

# Charged Particle Motion in Spherically Symmetric Distributions of Magnetic Monopoles

Robert Littlejohn

*Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley*

Philip Morrison and Jeffrey Heninger

*Department of Physics, University of Texas, Austin\**

(Dated: June 15, 2022)

arXiv:2206.05822v2 [math-ph] 14 Jun 2022

## Abstract

The classical equations of motion of a charged particle in a spherically symmetric distribution of magnetic monopoles can be transformed into a system of linear equations, thereby providing a type of integrability. In the case of a single monopole, the solution was given long ago by Poincaré. In the case of a uniform distribution of monopoles the solution can be expressed in terms of parabolic cylinder functions (essentially the eigenfunctions of an inverted harmonic oscillator). This solution is relevant to recent studies of nonassociative star products, symplectic lifts of twisted Poisson structures and fluids and plasmas of electric and magnetic charges.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Recent studies of nonassociative star products by Bakas and Lüst [1] have led to models involving the classical motion of charged particles in distributions of magnetic monopoles. Such models are nonassociative because the obvious definition of the Poisson bracket fails to satisfy the Jacobi identity [2, 3]. In these models this failure can be expressed in terms of a closed 3-form, essentially  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$ , which qualifies the structure as twisted Poisson. Such systems pose a challenge to quantization, since all the usual methods depend in one way or another on the Jacobi identity. Star quantization of monopole systems has been of interest for some time, see for example Cariñena et al [4] and Soloviev [5], but the use of nonassociative star products for distributions of monopoles is more recent.

In a different approach Kupriyanov and Szabo [6] have tackled such systems directly and provided a symplectic lift in a phase space of doubled dimensionality, that is, in a fully associative framework in which the usual Jacobi identity is valid. In addition, some work has been done on the continuum Poisson structures associated with fluids and plasmas containing distributions of monopoles. It turns out that in most interesting circumstances these (continuum) Poisson structures are also nonassociative [3]. In fact, Lainz, Sardón and Weinstein [7] have shown that twisted Poisson structures for particle motion correspond to fluid structures that are not only not Poisson, they are not even twisted Poisson. We ourselves have had a long-standing interest in Poisson structures in fluids and plasmas [2, 8–10] and in charged particle motion in magnetic fields [11].

---

\* robert@wigner.berkeley.edu

The case of spherically symmetric distributions of monopoles is especially interesting, because the magnetic field is rotationally invariant and in a symplectic setting this would be enough to produce a conserved angular momentum that would lead to complete integrability. Indeed, if the distribution consists of a single monopole, this is exactly what happens; the problem of a single charged particle moving in the field of a single monopole was first solved by Poincaré [12], who exhibited the conserved angular momentum and showed that the motion lies on a cone whose apex is the monopole. Particle motion in other spherically symmetric distributions of monopoles, including the uniform distribution, has resisted complete solution. Bakas and Lüst [1] produced some first integrals and derived some quantitative constraints on the motion, but did not obtain a complete solution; and Kupriyanov and Szabo [6], with their doubled symplectic structure, found some integrals in involution, but not enough to produce complete integrability (they needed twice the usual number, because of their doubled symplectic structure, and, in particular, they did not find an angular momentum vector).

In this article we will exhibit a transformation that makes the equations of motion of a charged particle in the field of a spherically symmetric distribution of magnetic monopoles a linear system. In the case of a uniform distribution of monopoles we will show that the solution can be given in terms of parabolic cylinder functions, that is, essentially the energy eigenfunctions of an inverted harmonic oscillator. In addition we find an  $S$ -matrix connecting asymptotic states as  $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . We do not call this complete integrability because the usual definition of integrability [13] requires a symplectic structure, but the system is completely integrable in most ordinary senses.

## II. THE SOLUTION

### A. The Setup and Some First Integrals

Before specializing to the spherically symmetric case, we note that the general nonrelativistic equation of motion for a particle of mass  $m$  and electric charge  $e$  in any magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  is assumed to be

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{e}{mc} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}, \quad (1)$$

regardless of whether  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  or not. Here  $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\mathbf{r}}$  is the velocity and  $\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \dot{\mathbf{v}}$  is the acceleration of the particle. In the symplectic setting, that is, when  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ , the equations of motion (1) preserve the volume form  $d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{p}$  in phase space, according to the usual Liouville theorem, and moreover this form is proportional to  $d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}$ . In the nonsymplectic setting ( $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0$ ) the vector potential and hence the canonical momentum  $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}$  are not defined. The form  $d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}$ , however, is defined and is preserved by the flow, as shown by the calculation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Thus, the system possesses a preserved volume form in phase space even in the nonsymplectic setting.

We now specialize to a spherically symmetric distribution of magnetic monopoles with density  $\rho(r)$ , so that Maxwell's equation is  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 4\pi\rho(r)$ . We denote the magnetic charge inside radius  $r$  by  $g(r)$ ,

$$g(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r r'^2 dr' \rho(r'), \quad (3)$$

so that Gauss's law gives

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = g(r) \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3}. \quad (4)$$

Then the equation of motion in this magnetic field is

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = h(r)\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}, \quad (5)$$

with

$$h(r) = \frac{e}{mc} \frac{g(r)}{r^3}. \quad (6)$$

Parts of the solution to this system have been given by Bakas and Lüst [1].

It follows from (5) that  $\mathbf{r} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}} = 0$ , which implies

$$\frac{dv^2}{dt} = 2\mathbf{v} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}} = 0, \quad (7)$$

or

$$\mathbf{v}^2 = v^2 = v_0^2 = \text{const.} \quad (8)$$

This is the first integral of conservation of energy, where the 0-subscript indicates initial conditions at  $t = 0$ .

As a first special case, we take  $\mathbf{v}_0 = 0$ , which by (8) implies  $\mathbf{v} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{r} = \text{const.}$  for all  $t$ . We henceforth dispense with this case by assuming  $\mathbf{v}_0 \neq 0$ , which implies that  $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$  for all  $t$ .

We obtain a second first integral by noting that

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}|^2 = 2(\dot{\mathbf{v}} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}) = 2 \left\| \begin{array}{cc} \dot{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{v} & \dot{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \end{array} \right\| = 0, \quad (9)$$

so that  $|\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}|^2 = |\mathbf{v}_0 \times \mathbf{r}_0|^2$ . This leads to a second special case, in which  $\mathbf{v}_0 \times \mathbf{r}_0 = 0$ , which implies that  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r} = 0$  for all  $t$ . This in turn implies that  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are parallel with  $\dot{\mathbf{v}} = 0$ , so that  $\mathbf{r}(t) = \mathbf{v}_0 t + \text{const.}$ , and the particle moves on a radial line with constant velocity. We henceforth dispense with this special case by assuming that  $\mathbf{v}_0 \times \mathbf{r}_0 \neq 0$ , which implies that  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r} \neq 0$  for all  $t$ .

Since  $(d/dt)r^2 = 2\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}$  and  $(d/dt)(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^2 = v_0^2$ , we have  $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{v}_0 + v_0^2 t$ , and we see that there exists a unique time  $t$  such that  $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ . We henceforth take this time to be  $t = 0$ , so that  $\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{v}_0 = 0$  and

$$\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v} = v_0^2 t. \quad (10)$$

Using  $(d/dt)r^2 = 2\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}$  together with (10) implies

$$r^2 = r_0^2 + v_0^2 t^2, \quad (11)$$

and we see that the particle reaches a minimum distance from the origin  $r_0$  at  $t = 0$ . This minimum distance cannot be zero, since we are assuming that  $\mathbf{r}_0 \times \mathbf{v}_0 \neq 0$ . Also note that with this choice of initial time,

$$|\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}|^2 = v_0^2 r_0^2. \quad (12)$$

## B. The Frame

We also note that

$$|\mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r})|^2 = v^2 |\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}|^2 = v_0^2 |\mathbf{v}_0 \times \mathbf{r}_0|^2 = v_0^4 r_0^2 = \text{const}, \quad (13)$$

and that under our assumptions this constant is nonzero. Thus we have three nonvanishing, mutually orthogonal vectors,

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = \mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{F}_2 = \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}, \quad \mathbf{F}_3 = -\mathbf{v}, \quad (14)$$

whose magnitudes are constants. These vectors must evolve by means of a time-dependent rotation, much as in rigid body theory.

To find this rotation we differentiate the three vectors with respect to time. First,  $d\mathbf{v}/dt$  is given by (5). Next, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}) = \dot{\mathbf{v}} \times \mathbf{r} = h(r)[(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{r} - r^2\mathbf{v}]. \quad (15)$$

We use the identity

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{v^2}[\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r})] \quad (16)$$

in this to express the result as a linear combination of the three  $\mathbf{F}$ 's, obtaining

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}) = -\frac{h(r)}{v^2}[(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r})^2 \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r})], \quad (17)$$

or, with the help of (8), (10) and (12),

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}) = -h(r)[r_0^2 \mathbf{v} + t\mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r})]. \quad (18)$$

As for the third vector, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r})] = \mathbf{v} \times \frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}) = -h(r)t\mathbf{v} \times [\mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r})] = h(r)tv_0^2 \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{r}. \quad (19)$$

We summarize (5), (17) and (19) by writing

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_1 & \mathbf{F}_2 & \mathbf{F}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_1 & \mathbf{F}_2 & \mathbf{F}_3 \end{pmatrix} h(r) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t & 0 \\ tv_0^2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & r_0^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

We now define orthonormal unit vectors of a ‘‘body frame,’’

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{F}_1}{v_0^2 r_0}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{f}}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{F}_2}{v_0 r_0}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{f}}_3 = \frac{\mathbf{F}_3}{v_0}. \quad (21)$$

This frame is right-handed,

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{f}}_j = \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_k, \quad (22)$$

where we use the summation convention. In terms of these vectors (20) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_1 & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_2 & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_1 & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_2 & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_3 \end{pmatrix} h(r) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -v_0 t & 0 \\ v_0 t & 0 & -r_0 \\ 0 & r_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

We write this equivalently as

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i}{dt} = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_j \Omega_{ji}, \quad (24)$$

where the matrix  $\Omega$  is defined by (23) (including the factor  $h(r)$ ).

Note that since  $r$  is a known function of time [see (11)],  $\Omega$  is too. Thus, (23) or (24) is a system of linear differential equations with time-dependent coefficients. Given its solution one can use (16), with (8) and (10), to write our solution of (5) as

$$\mathbf{r} = -v_0 t \hat{\mathbf{f}}_3 - r_0 \hat{\mathbf{f}}_1. \quad (25)$$

Equation (24) defines the Frenet-Serret apparatus for a space curve with  $s = v_0 t$  being arc length. Because  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_3$  is anti-tangent to the curve, the curvature is  $\kappa(s) = h(r)r_0/v_0$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_2$  is the unit normal. Similarly,  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_1$  is the binormal and the torsion  $\tau(s) = -h(r)t = -h(r)s/v_0$ . So  $\tau/\kappa = -v_0 t/r_0 = -s/r_0$ , from which it follows by an observation due to Enneper that the orbits are geodesics on a cone (see [14] p. 47). This generalizes Poincaré's result for a single monopole [12]. Rather than go through the details of Enneper's analysis we will provide a simpler and more direct derivation of these conclusions in Sec. III.

We will now put (24) into a more convenient form with some ideas from rigid body theory. We define a "space frame"  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i$  as the unit vectors of the coordinate axes (which are time-independent), and we define the angular velocity  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  of the body frame relative to the space frame by

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i}{dt} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \hat{\mathbf{f}}_i. \quad (26)$$

Now writing  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \omega_k \hat{\mathbf{f}}_k$  and using (22), we obtain  $d\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i/dt = \omega_k \epsilon_{kij} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_j$ , which, combined with (24), gives

$$\Omega_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ijk} \omega_k, \quad \omega_i = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \Omega_{jk}, \quad (27)$$

the usual isomorphism between  $\mathfrak{so}(3)$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Finally, comparing this with (23) we find

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = h(r)(r_0 \hat{\mathbf{f}}_1 + v_0 t \hat{\mathbf{f}}_3). \quad (28)$$

Now by combining (25) and (28) we see that

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = -h(r)\mathbf{r} = -\frac{e\mathbf{B}(r)}{mc}, \quad (29)$$

so the instantaneous axis of rotation of the body frame, apart from sign, is in the direction of the magnetic field, that is, in the radial direction, while its magnitude is the instantaneous

gyrofrequency at the particle position. This would obviously be true in a uniform magnetic field but the fact that it generalizes to the nonuniform fields considered here was not obvious to us.

Now we break the velocity of the particle into its parallel and perpendicular components along the radial direction, so that  $v^2 = v_{\parallel}^2 + v_{\perp}^2$ . We note that (10) implies  $rv_{\parallel} = v_0^2 t$ , so that

$$v_{\perp} = \sqrt{v_0^2 - v_{\parallel}^2} = \frac{v_0 r_0}{r}, \quad (30)$$

where we use (11). This gives us the effective gyroradius,

$$r_g = \frac{v_{\perp}}{|\boldsymbol{\omega}|} = \frac{v_0 r_0}{r^2 h(r)}. \quad (31)$$

Now let us define a rotation operator  $R$  by  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i = R\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i$ , that is,  $R$  maps the space frame into the body frame. We write the matrix elements of  $R$  in the space frame as  $R_{ij} = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \cdot (R\hat{\mathbf{e}}_j) = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{f}}_j$ . Then by inserting a resolution of the identity we have

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i}{dt} = \dot{R}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_j[\hat{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot (\dot{R}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i)] = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_j[(R\hat{\mathbf{e}}_j) \cdot (\dot{R}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i)] = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_j[\hat{\mathbf{e}}_j \cdot (R^{-1}\dot{R}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i)], \quad (32)$$

so that  $\Omega = R^{-1}\dot{R}$ , or

$$\dot{R} = R\Omega, \quad (33)$$

where we now write  $R$  for the matrix with components  $R_{ij}$ .

This is a convenient form for the linearized equations; the solution is a curve  $R(t) \in SO(3)$ . The matrix  $\Omega$  belongs to the Lie algebra, a convenient basis for which is the set of matrices  $J_i$ , defined by  $(J_i)_{jk} = -\epsilon_{ijk}$ . These satisfy

$$[J_i, J_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} J_k, \quad (34)$$

and we have

$$\Omega = h(r)(r_0 J_1 + v_0 t J_3), \quad (35)$$

which has the same content as (28).

### C. The Lift Into $SU(2)$

To solve (33) with  $\Omega$  given by (35) we lift the curve  $R(t) \in SO(3)$  to a curve  $u(t) \in SU(2)$ . The projection :  $SU(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$  is

$$R_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(u^\dagger \sigma_i u \sigma_j), \quad (36)$$



where  $u \in SU(2)$  and  $\sigma_i$  are the Pauli matrices. The map  $u \mapsto R(u)$  is a homomorphism. Also, the map  $J_i \mapsto -(i/2)\sigma_i$  is the isomorphism between the Lie algebras. Thus, the lifted equation of motion is

$$\dot{u} = -\frac{i}{2}h(r)u(r_0\sigma_1 + v_0t\sigma_3). \quad (37)$$

We call the solution  $u(t)$  that satisfies  $u(0) = 1$  “the fundamental solution,” where 1 is the identity matrix. It suffices to determine this solution, since any other that satisfies  $u(0) \neq 1$  is given by  $u(t) = u(0)u_f(t)$ , where  $u_f(t)$  is the fundamental solution. In the fundamental solution the space and body frames coincide at  $t = 0$ .

It is slightly more convenient to work with  $w = u^\dagger$ , a substitution that converts right-actions into left-actions. Also, we note that the second column of an element of  $SU(2)$  is the time-reversed image of the first column, that is,  $w_{12} = -\bar{w}_{21}$ ,  $w_{22} = \bar{w}_{11}$ , where the overbar indicates complex conjugation, so it suffices to solve for the first column of  $w$ . Thus the equations of motion become

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} \\ w_{21} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{i}{2}h(r) \begin{pmatrix} v_0t & r_0 \\ r_0 & -v_0t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} \\ w_{21} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (38)$$

#### D. Uniform Sphere of Monopodium

We now specialize to the case  $\rho(r) = \rho_0 = \text{const.}$ , which implies  $g(r) = (4\pi/3)\rho_0 r^3$  and  $h(r) = (4\pi/3)(\rho_0 e/mc) = h_0 = \text{const.}$  In the following we assume  $h_0 > 0$ ; the case  $h_0 < 0$  is handled similarly. The problem has one dimensionless parameter, which we denote by

$$p = \sqrt{h_0 r_0^2 / 4v_0}. \quad (39)$$

An interpretation of this parameter is given below.

It is interesting that the equations of motion (38) now become the normal form for Landau-Zener transitions in one dimension [15–17]. Taking the second derivative causes these equations to decouple, and we obtain,

$$\frac{d^2 w_{11}}{dt^2} + \left[ \frac{h_0^2}{4}(r_0^2 + v_0^2 t^2) - \frac{ih_0 v_0}{2} \right] w_{11} = 0, \quad (40a)$$

$$\frac{d^2 w_{21}}{dt^2} + \left[ \frac{h_0^2}{4}(r_0^2 + v_0^2 t^2) + \frac{ih_0 v_0}{2} \right] w_{21} = 0. \quad (40b)$$

The solution can be expressed in terms of parabolic cylinder functions, essentially the energy eigenfunctions for an inverted harmonic oscillator. The properties of these functions that are needed for this paper have been summarized in Appendix A.

By the substitution

$$z = e^{-i\pi/4}\omega_0 t \quad (41)$$

where  $\omega_0 = \sqrt{h_0 v_0}$  the equations (40) become

$$\frac{d^2 w_{11}}{dz^2} + \left( ip^2 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{z^2}{4} \right) w_{11} = 0, \quad (42a)$$

$$\frac{d^2 w_{21}}{dz^2} + \left( ip^2 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{z^2}{4} \right) w_{21} = 0. \quad (42b)$$

According to (A1) the solutions can be written,

$$w_{11}(t) = A_1 D_\nu(z) + B_1 D_\nu(-z), \quad (43a)$$

$$w_{21}(t) = A_2 D_{\nu-1}(z) + B_2 D_{\nu-1}(-z), \quad (43b)$$

where  $D_\nu$  is a parabolic cylinder function, where  $A_{1,2}$  and  $B_{1,2}$  are constants and where

$$\nu = ip^2. \quad (44)$$

The solutions (43) must satisfy (38) with  $h(r) = h_0$ . By the substitution (41) the latter equations can be written

$$\frac{d}{dz} \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} \\ w_{21} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -z/2 & -e^{-i\pi/4}p \\ -e^{-i\pi/4}p & z/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} \\ w_{21} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (45)$$

Now substituting (43) into these and using the recursion relations (A3) we find that the four constants are related by

$$A_2 = pe^{-i\pi/4}A_1, \quad B_2 = -pe^{-i\pi/4}B_1. \quad (46)$$

Finally, by imposing the initial conditions  $w_{11}(0) = 1$ ,  $w_{21}(0) = 0$  of the fundamental solution, we find the first column of  $w$  (and the second row of  $u$ ) in the form

$$w_{11}(t) = u_{22}(t) = A[D_\nu(z) + D_\nu(-z)], \quad (47a)$$

$$w_{21}(t) = -u_{21}(t) = Ape^{-i\pi/4}[D_{\nu-1}(z) - D_{\nu-1}(-z)], \quad (47b)$$

where

$$A = \frac{\Gamma((1-\nu)/2)}{2^{(\nu+1)/2}\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{1}{2D_\nu(0)}. \quad (48)$$

Then by using  $w_{22} = \bar{w}_{11}$ ,  $w_{12} = -\bar{w}_{21}$ , we find the second column of  $w$  (and the first row of  $u$ ),

$$w_{12}(t) = -u_{12}(t) = \frac{B}{pe^{-i\pi/4}}[D_\nu(z) - D_\nu(-z)], \quad (49a)$$

$$w_{22}(t) = u_{11}(t) = B[D_{\nu-1}(z) + D_{\nu-1}(-z)], \quad (49b)$$

where

$$B = \frac{\Gamma(1-\nu/2)}{2^{\nu/2}\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{1}{2D_{\nu-1}(0)}. \quad (50)$$

The constants  $A$  and  $B$  satisfy the identities,

$$\frac{1}{|A|^2} \pm \frac{p^2}{|B|^2} = 4e^{\pm p^2\pi/2}, \quad AB = \frac{\Gamma(1-\nu)}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}. \quad (51)$$

In taking the complex conjugates of  $w_{11}$  and  $w_{21}$  it helps to notice that  $\overline{D_\nu(z)} = D_{\bar{\nu}}(\bar{z}) = D_{-\nu}(iz)$ , and, one must work with the linear dependencies (A2) satisfied by the functions  $D_\nu$  to bring the results into the form shown. One must also use various identities satisfied by the  $\Gamma$ -function. To check the results we can show that  $\det w = 1$  for all  $t$ ; in this calculation  $\det w$  turns out to be proportional to the Wronskian of the solutions  $D_\nu(\pm z)$ .

The matrix  $u(t) \in SU(2)$ , given by (47) and (49), can be projected onto the matrix  $R(t) \in SO(3)$  via (36). Actually, it is easier to write  $u$  in axis-angle form, and then to use the same axis and angle for  $R$ . The matrix  $R(t)$ , so determined, is the fundamental solution; from it the time evolution of the vectors  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i$  follows from the definition of  $R$ , that is,  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i(t) = R(t)\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i$ . To put this in matrix form we insert a resolution of the identity,

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i(t) = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j[\hat{\mathbf{e}}_j \cdot (R(t)\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i)] = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j R_{ji}(t). \quad (52)$$

From the evolution of the  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i$  we obtain that of the  $\mathbf{F}_i$  by (32). The position as a function of time  $\mathbf{r}(t)$  is given in terms of these vectors by (25). We omit the details and focus instead on the asymptotic properties of the solution.

### E. Qualitative Features of the Asymptotic Motion

It is easy to derive some qualitative and semi-quantitative features of the motion when  $t$  is large. In a uniform magnetic field the motion has gyrofrequency  $\omega_g = e|\mathbf{B}|/mc$  and

gyroradius  $r_g = v_\perp/\omega_g$ . In the actual motion for which  $\mathbf{B}$  is not constant, the motion will be qualitatively similar to that in a uniform field if  $\mathbf{B}$  does not change much over the distance  $r_g$ . We will call such motion “adiabatic” (a word usually defined in a symplectic context). For the sphere of monopolum, (29) gives  $\omega_g = h_0 r$  and (31) gives  $r_g = r_0 v_0/h_0 r^2$ . Thus, as the particle moves to larger radii, the gyrofrequency goes to infinity as  $r$  and the gyroradius goes to zero as  $1/r^2$ . The condition that  $|\mathbf{B}|$  does not change much in direction over a distance  $r_g$  is  $r_g \ll r$ , or

$$\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^3 \gg \frac{v_0}{h_0 r_0^2} = \frac{1}{4p^2}. \quad (53)$$

Thus, if  $p \ll 1$ , the motion does not become adiabatic until  $r$  has reached a large multiple of  $r_0$ , while if  $p \gg 1$  the motion is adiabatic for all  $t$ . A similar conclusion is reached regarding the change in the direction of  $\mathbf{B}$ .

From (11) we have

$$r(t) = v_0 t \sqrt{1 + \frac{r_0^2}{v_0^2 t^2}} \approx v_0 t + \frac{r_0^2}{2v_0 t} + \text{const} + \dots \quad (54)$$

Then, we can integrate the gyrofrequency  $\omega_g(t) = h_0 r(t)$  to find

$$\int^t dt' \omega_g(t') = \frac{h_0 v_0 t^2}{2} + \frac{h_0 r_0^2}{2v_0} \ln t + \text{other terms}. \quad (55)$$

We will see this phase  $\phi(t)$  again soon in (56). It can be interpreted as the accumulated gyrophase in the asymptotic region.

## F. The Asymptotic Behavior

To be more quantitative about the asymptotic behavior we invoke the asymptotic forms of the parabolic cylinder functions (A6) and (A7). After some work we find the asymptotic form of the matrix  $u$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , including corrections that go as  $1/t$ . We use the frequency  $\omega_0 = \sqrt{h_0 v_0}$  and a dimensionless time  $\tau = \omega_0 t$ . We also define

$$\phi(t) = 2p^2 \ln \tau + \tau^2/2. \quad (56)$$

Then we find for  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$u_{11}(t) = \frac{e^{-\pi p^2/4}}{2} \left( \frac{e^{-i\phi/2}}{A} + \frac{p^2 e^{i\pi/4} e^{i\phi/2}}{\bar{B} \tau} \right), \quad (57a)$$

$$u_{12}(t) = \frac{e^{-\pi p^2/4}}{2} p \left( -\frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{\bar{B}} e^{i\phi/2} + \frac{e^{-i\phi/2}}{A\tau} \right), \quad (57b)$$

$$u_{21}(t) = \frac{e^{-\pi p^2/4}}{2} p \left( \frac{e^{-i\pi/4}}{B} e^{-i\phi/2} - \frac{e^{i\phi/2}}{\bar{A}\tau} \right), \quad (57c)$$

$$u_{22}(t) = \frac{e^{-\pi p^2/4}}{2} \left( \frac{e^{i\phi/2}}{\bar{A}} + \frac{p^2 e^{-i\pi/4} e^{-i\phi/2}}{B \tau} \right). \quad (57d)$$

The forms shown make it easy to check that  $u_{21} = -\bar{u}_{12}$  and  $u_{22} = \bar{u}_{11}$ . It is also easy to check that  $\det u = 1$  to order  $1/\tau$ , with the help of the identities (51).

For negative times we may use the identities

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{11}(-t) & u_{12}(-t) \\ u_{21}(-t) & u_{22}(-t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11}(t) & -u_{12}(t) \\ -u_{21}(t) & u_{22}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (58)$$

which follow from (47) and (49). These are exact (not just asymptotic), but when  $t \rightarrow -\infty$  we can use these in conjunction with (57) to obtain the asymptotic forms for  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ .

### G. The $S$ -Matrix

The matrices  $u(t)$  and  $u(-t)$  do not have a limit as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  because of the rapidly varying phase  $\phi(t)$ , but their leading asymptotic forms (that is, neglecting terms that go as  $1/t$ ) can be factored,

$$u(t) = m_+ q(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \phi(t)), \quad u(-t) = m_- q(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \phi(t)), \quad (59)$$

in which the  $\phi$ -dependence appears only in the second factor. Here  $q(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \theta) \in SU(2)$  is notation for a spin rotation in axis-angle form,

$$q(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \theta) = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} - i(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \sin \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad (60)$$

and the  $m$ -matrices are given by

$$m_+ = \frac{e^{-p^2\pi/4}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1/A & -pe^{i\pi/4}/\bar{B} \\ pe^{-i\pi/4}/B & 1/\bar{A} \end{pmatrix}, \quad m_- = \frac{e^{-p^2\pi/4}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1/A & pe^{i\pi/4}/\bar{B} \\ -pe^{-i\pi/4}/B & 1/\bar{A} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (61)$$

The notation  $m$  is a mnemonic for Møller, since these matrices are analogous to the Møller wave operator in scattering theory [19]. We can define  $m_{\pm}(\pm t)$  for any  $t > 0$  by  $u(\pm t) =$

$m_{\pm}(\pm t)q(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \phi(t))$ , whereupon the limits  $m_{\pm}(\pm\infty)$  exist and are given by (61) (and denoted simply  $m_{\pm}$ ).

The quantities  $u(t)$ ,  $m_{\pm}(t)$  and  $q(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \phi)$  are elements of  $SU(2)$ . To see the effects of this in three dimensions we write  $R(t)$ ,  $M_{\pm}(t)$  and  $Q(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \theta)$  for the corresponding elements of  $SO(3)$ . As for  $Q(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \theta)$ , it is a rotation in axis-angle form with the same axis and angle as  $q(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \theta)$ . Then for  $t > 0$  we have

$$R(t) = M_+(t)Q(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \phi(t)), \quad R(-t) = M_-(-t)Q(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \phi(t)), \quad (62)$$

and (52) implies

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i(t) = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j[M_+(t)Q(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \phi(t))]_{ji}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{f}}_i(-t) = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j[M_-(-t)Q(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \phi(t))]_{ji}. \quad (63)$$

The vectors  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i(\pm t)$  do not approach a limit as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , instead  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_1$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_2$  spin ever more rapidly about the direction  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_3$  as  $t$  gets larger. But we can strip off this rapid evolution by defining for  $t > 0$

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{+i}(t) = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_j(t)[Q(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, -\phi(t))]_{ji}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{-i}(-t) = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_j(-t)[Q(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, -\phi(t))]_{ji}. \quad (64)$$

Then the limits  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\pm i}(\pm t)$  exist as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , what we will call simply  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\pm i}$ . These are analogous to the asymptotic states in the interaction picture in scattering theory (a version of the ‘‘in states’’ and ‘‘out states’’).

Finally, we define the  $S$ -matrix by

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{+i} = \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{-j} S_{ji}, \quad (65)$$

which implies

$$S = M_-^{-1} M_+. \quad (66)$$

We write  $s$  (in lower case) for the corresponding element of  $SU(2)$ ; it is  $m_-^{-1}m_+$ . From (61) we find

$$s_{11} = s_{22} = e^{-p^2\pi}, \quad (67)$$

$$s_{21} = -\bar{s}_{12} = \frac{e^{-p^2\pi/2} p e^{-i\pi/4} \sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(1-\nu)} = e^{i(\eta-\pi/4)} \sqrt{1 - e^{-2\pi p^2}}, \quad (68)$$

where  $\eta = \arg \Gamma(1+\nu)$ . By comparing this with (36) we see that  $s$  is a rotation by an angle  $\theta$  about an axis  $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$  in the  $x$ - $y$  plane,  $s = q(\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \theta)$ , where

$$\cos \frac{\theta}{2} = e^{-p^2\pi}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{b}} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos \alpha + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \alpha, \quad (69)$$

where  $\alpha = \eta + \pi/4$ .

Thus the asymptotic frame vectors are related by

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{+i} = \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{-j} Q(\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \theta)_{ji}. \quad (70)$$

The particle comes in asymptotically along a radial line and goes out asymptotically along a different radial line, and the scattering angle is  $\theta$ , given by (69). However the azimuthal angle of the scattering, measured with respect to the incoming direction, depends ever more sensitively on the azimuthal angle of the angular component of the velocity in the remote past. The asymptotic states are not free, and a cross section in the usual sense does not exist.

### H. Intuitive Picture of the Trajectory

When the particle is far from the origin, the magnetic field is strong. The particle's motion can be decomposed into radial motion (aligned with the magnetic field) and rapid gyration about the magnetic field. Far from the origin, the motion is nearly in the radial direction. The more interesting dynamics occurs when the particle “scatters” off the weaker field near the origin. The behavior depends on the magnitude of the dimensionless parameter  $p$ .

For large  $p$ , the particle never gets close enough to the origin to experience a weak magnetic field. The particle remains “adiabatic” for all time and must stay close to a magnetic field line in one radial direction. The particle approaches the origin, then reflects and returns the way it came. The scattering angle is close to  $\pi$ .

For small  $p$ , the particle does get close enough to the origin to experience a weak magnetic field. Near the origin, the motion is approximately force free, so the particle goes past the origin and continues on the other side. The scattering angle is close to zero.

Figure 1 illustrates an orbit with initial conditions  $r_0 = v_0 = 1$  and  $p = 0.553$ , which, according to (69), corresponds to a scattering angle of  $\theta = 135^\circ$ . For purposes of illustration it is convenient to project the orbit onto the unit sphere, as has been done in the figure. The asymptotic spirals as  $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$  can be seen, corresponding to the incoming and outgoing directions. The point of symmetry on the orbit is  $t = 0$ , the point of minimum approach where  $r = r_0$ . The orbit illustrated is not the fundamental solution, but rather for the




FIG. 1. An orbit projected onto the unit sphere, with  $p = 0.553$ , corresponding to a scattering angle of  $\theta = 135^\circ$ . The orbit has been rotated to appear in the principal octant of the sphere. The initial point at  $t = 0$  is the symmetry point. The asymptotic spirals as  $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$  are clearly seen.

purpose of the presentation it has been rotated to place the orbit entirely within the principal octant of the sphere. More extensive numerical work confirms the formula (69) for the scattering angle.

### I. Cones and Geodesics

The case  $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = g_0\delta^3(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = g_0\mathbf{r}/r^3$  is the point monopole at the origin, for which the solution is well known. In this case Bakas and Lüst [1] have provided an illustration of the orbit on the cone. We offer another way of visualizing this solution.

Poincaré [12] pointed out that the orbit is a geodesic on the cone. This is because vectors  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ , which are linearly independent and which span the tangent plane to the cone, are orthogonal to the force vector. Therefore the magnetic force is a force of constraint on the cone, and the motion is a geodesic.

Since however a cone is isometric to a plane, the orbit may be visualized by drawing a straight line on a piece of paper, which is then rolled into a cone. One can clearly see the effective repulsion of the particle from regions of high magnetic field strength (the mirror effect). One line suffices to visualize a continuum of possible orbits that is, for various initial



conditions, as the paper is rolled into a cone in different ways.

It turns out that Poincaré’s construction generalizes to arbitrary, spherically symmetric distributions of monopoles. To do this we define a generalized cone as the union of a smooth family of radial half-lines, emanating from the origin. The cone that applies to motion in a spherically symmetric distribution of monopoles is the one swept out by the half-line joining the origin with the particle position  $\mathbf{r}(t)$  and extending out to infinity, as  $t$  goes from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$ . Such a cone is conveniently visualized by its intersection with the unit sphere, which is a curve. This curve may self-intersect (although not in our examples), and as  $t$  goes from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$  parts of it may be retraced.

In the case of a point monopole, the cone in this sense is the same as the usual cone, and the curve on the unit sphere is a small circle, or an arc thereof; for a free particle ( $\mathbf{B} = 0$ ) the curve is an arc of a great circle; and for the uniform distribution of monopoles an example of the curve on the unit sphere is given in Fig. 1.

The cone in this sense is locally isometric to the Euclidean plane. Let  $s$  be the arc length of the curve on the unit sphere. Then an increment of arc length on the unit sphere  $ds = d\theta$  is also an increment of angle, and the sector of the cone defined by  $d\theta$  is isometric to the corresponding sector of the Euclidean plane where  $\theta$  is the usual polar coordinate. More formally, if  $\theta$  is a length or accumulated angle coordinate along the curve on the unit sphere, then  $(r, \theta)$  are coordinates of points on the cone, and the metric on the cone, as inherited from the Euclidean metric in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , is  $dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2$ , the same as the metric in Euclidean  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in polar coordinates. Poincaré’s argument applies to the cone as we have defined it, and the orbits are geodesics, the images of straight lines on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  under the mapping in which points are identified by their  $(r, \theta)$  coordinates.

### III. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we have analyzed the motion of a charged particle in the magnetic field produced by a spherically symmetric distribution of magnetic monopoles. We have found some general features of such solutions, that is, for any spherically symmetric distribution of monopoles, including the fact that the equations of motion can be converted into a linear system and the orbit is a geodesic on a generalized cone. In the special case of a uniform, spherically symmetric distribution of monopoles we have given a complete solution for the

orbits, including an  $S$ -matrix that connects asymptotic states and an explicit formula for the scattering angle. These results enlarge the repertoire of systems of distributions of magnetic monopoles for which the orbits of charged particles are known.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A portion of this research was carried out at MSRI, supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0441170, while the authors participated in the program *Hamiltonian systems, from topology to applications through analysis*. JH and PJM were also supported by the DOE Office of Fusion Energy Sciences under DE-FG02-04ER-54742.

## Appendix A: Parabolic Cylinder Functions

We have found that the best reference for parabolic cylinder functions for our purposes is Magnus and Oberhettinger [18]. The edition cited has a small and obvious error in the asymptotic forms, which has been corrected in (A6) and (A7); earlier editions have more serious errors.

Parabolic cylinder functions, denoted  $D_\nu(z)$ , are entire analytic functions of  $z$ . In this appendix  $z$  and  $\nu$  are arbitrary complex numbers, while in the main body of the paper  $z$  and  $\nu$  have the specific values (41) and (44). The parabolic cylinder functions satisfy the differential equation,

$$\frac{d^2 f(z)}{dz^2} + \left( \nu + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{z^2}{4} \right) f(z) = 0, \quad (\text{A1})$$

of which the functions  $D_\nu(\pm z)$  and  $D_{-\nu-1}(\pm iz)$  are solutions. These have the linear dependencies,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\nu(z) &= e^{-i\nu\pi} D_\nu(-z) + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(-\nu)} e^{-i(\nu+1)\pi/2} D_{-\nu-1}(iz) \\ &= e^{i\nu\pi} D_\nu(-z) + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(-\nu)} e^{i(\nu+1)\pi/2} D_{-\nu-1}(-iz). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

These functions satisfy the recursion relations,

$$D'_\nu(z) + \frac{z}{2} D_\nu(z) - \nu D_{\nu-1}(z) = 0, \quad (\text{A3a})$$

$$D'_\nu(z) - \frac{z}{2} D_\nu(z) + D_{\nu+1}(z) = 0, \quad (\text{A3b})$$

and the initial conditions,

$$D_\nu(0) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{\nu/2}}{\Gamma((1-\nu)/2)}, \quad D'_\nu(0) = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{(\nu+1)/2}}{\Gamma(-\nu/2)}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

The parabolic cylinder functions have the integral representation,

$$D_\nu(z) = \frac{e^{-i\nu\pi/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_C dt t^\nu e^{-t^2/2+itz+z^2/4}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where the contour  $C$  runs just above the real axis and where  $t^\nu$  has a branch cut just below the positive real axis. This is not the same as the integral representations quoted in [18], but is convenient because it applies to all  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Equation (A5) can be proved by showing that  $D_\nu(z)$ , so defined, satisfies the differential equation (A1) and the initial conditions (A4).

When  $|z| \gg 1, |\nu|$ , the integral representation (A5) leads via the method of steepest descent to the asymptotic forms,

$$D_\nu(z) \approx e^{-z^2/4} z^\nu \left[ 1 - \frac{\nu(\nu-1)}{1! \cdot 2z^2} + \frac{\nu(\nu-1)(\nu-2)(\nu-3)}{2! \cdot 2^2 z^4} - \dots \right], \quad (\text{A6})$$

for  $-\pi/2 < \arg z < \pi/2$ , and

$$D_\nu(z) \approx \text{Series (A6)} - \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(-\nu)} e^{i\nu\pi} e^{z^2/4} z^{-\nu-1} \times \left[ 1 + \frac{(\nu+1)(\nu+2)}{1! \cdot 2z^2} + \frac{(\nu+1)(\nu+2)(\nu+3)(\nu+4)}{2! \cdot 2^2 z^4} + \dots \right], \quad (\text{A7})$$

for  $\pi/2 < \arg z < \pi$ . The asymptotic form changes at  $\arg z = \pi/2$  because of a bifurcation in the steepest descent contour; see Dingle [20] for details. For the purposes of this paper we need only  $\arg z = -\pi/4$  and  $3\pi/4$ .

- 
- [1] I. Bakas and D. Lüst, J. High Energy Phys. **01**, 171(2014).
  - [2] P. J. Morrison, AIP Conf. Proc. **88**, 13(1982).
  - [3] J. M. Heninger and P. J. Morrison, Phys. Lett. A **384**, 126101(2020).
  - [4] J. F. Cariñena, J. M. Gracia-Bondía, F. Lizzi, G. Marmo and P. Vitale, Phys. Lett. A **374**, 3614(2010).
  - [5] M. A. Soloviev, J. Phys. A **51**, 095205(2018).
  - [6] V. G. Kupriyanov and R. J. Szabo, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 045005(2018).
  - [7] M. Lainz, C. Sardón and A. Weinstein, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 105016(2019).

- [8] P. J. Morrison, Phys. Lett. A **80**, 383(1980).
- [9] A. Weinstein and P. J. Morrison, Phys. Lett. A **86**, 235(1981).
- [10] J. E. Marsden and A. Weinstein, Physics **4D**, 394(1982).
- [11] R. G. Littlejohn, J. Plasma Phys. **29**, 111(1983).
- [12] H. Poincaré, Compt. Rend. **123**, 530(1896).
- [13] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (Springer, New York, 1978).
- [14] W. Blaschke, *Vorlesungen Über Differentialgeometrie I* (Springer, Berlin, 1930).
- [15] W. G. Flynn and R. G. Littlejohn, Ann. Phys. **234**, 334(1994).
- [16] Y. Colin de Verdière, Annales de l'Institut Fourier **53**, 1023(2003).
- [17] E. R. Tracy and A. N. Kaufman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 130402(2003).
- [18] W. Magnus and F. Oberhettinger, *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics* (Chelsea Publishing, New York, 1949).
- [19] R. G. Newton, *Scattering Theory of Waves and Particles, 2nd ed.* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982).
- [20] R. B. Dingle, *Asymptotic Expansions: Their Derivation and Interpretation* (Academic Press, London, 1973).