

# ESSENTIAL DIMENSION OF CENTRAL SIMPLE ALGEBRAS OF DEGREE 8 AND EXPONENT 2 IN CHARACTERISTIC 2

ADAM CHAPMAN

**ABSTRACT.** The goal of this note is to reduce the existing upper bound for the essential dimension of central simple algebras of degree 8 and exponent 2 over fields of characteristic 2 from 10 to 9.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Fixing a field  $k$  in the background, we consider the covariant functor  $\text{Alg}_{d,e}$  sending any field  $F$  containing  $k$  to the set of all (isomorphism classes of) central simple algebras of degree  $d$  and exponent dividing  $e$  over  $F$ . The essential dimension of an algebra  $A$  in  $\text{Alg}_{d,e}(F)$  is the minimal possible transcendence degree of a field  $E$  over  $k$  with  $k \subseteq E \subseteq F$  for which there exists a central simple algebra  $A_0$  in  $\text{Alg}_{d,e}(E)$  with  $A_0 \otimes F = A$ . The essential dimension of  $\text{Alg}_{d,e}$ , denoted  $\text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{d,e})$ , is thus the supremum on the essential dimensions of  $A$  where  $A$  ranges over all algebras in  $\text{Alg}_{d,e}(F)$  and  $F$  ranges over all fields containing  $k$ . The question of computing  $\text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{d,e})$  is a very difficult one (see [Mer13, Section 10]) and the exact value is known only in specific cases: it is known that  $\text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{2,2}) = \text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{3,3}) = 2$ , but for any prime  $p > 3$  it is still not known what  $\text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{p,p})$  is. The value of  $\text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{4,2})$  is 4 when  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$  and 3 when  $\text{char}(k) = 2$ . The value of  $\text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{8,2})$  is 8 when  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$  ([BM12, Corollary 1.4]). The exact value of  $\text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{8,2})$  when  $\text{char}(k) = 2$  is not known, but was bounded from above by 10 and below by 3 in [Bae11]. The lower bound was improved to 4 in [McK17]. The goal of this short note is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** (Main Theorem). *When  $\text{char}(k) = 2$ , we have  $\text{ed}(\text{Alg}_{8,2}) \leq 9$ .*

This is proved in Section 3, and Section 2 describes the main ingredients.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

When  $F$  is a field of  $\text{char}(F) = 2$ , a quaternion algebra over  $F$  is of the form  $[\alpha, \beta]_F = F\langle i, j : i^2 + i = \alpha, j^2 = \beta, ji j^{-1} = i + 1 \rangle$  for some

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16K20 (primary); 16K50 (secondary).

*Key words and phrases.* Essential Dimension; Central Simple Algebras; Fields of Characteristic 2.

$\alpha \in F$  and  $\beta \in F^\times$ . We write  $\wp(t)$  for  $t^2 + t$  and  $F[\wp^{-1}(\alpha)]$  thus stands for  $F[i : i^2 + i = \alpha]$ . By [GS17, Theorem 9.1.4] (credited to Teichmüller), every class in  ${}_2Br(F)$  is represented by a tensor product of quaternion algebras. In particular, when  $A$  is a central simple algebra of degree 4 and exponent 2, it decomposes as a tensor product of two quaternion algebras ([Rac74]).

A tool that makes the study of the essential dimension of central simple algebras of degree 8 and exponent 2 easier is the chain lemma for quaternion algebras ([Dra83, Chapter 14, Theorem 7]): If  $[\alpha, \beta]_F = [\alpha', \beta']_F$ , then there exists  $\delta \in F$  for which  $[\alpha, \beta]_F = [\delta, \beta]_F = [\delta, \beta']_F = [\alpha', \beta']_F$ . It is also good to have the necessary and sufficient condition for  $[\alpha, \beta]_F$  to be split:  $\alpha = x^2 + x + y^2\beta$  for some  $x, y \in F$  ([Dra83, Page 104]). In [Bae11], Baek was using another necessary and sufficient condition for splitting:  $\beta = x^2 + xy + y^2\alpha$  for some  $x, y \in F$  ([GS17, Corollary 4.7.5]), but here we prefer to use the former condition.

Another important tool is the fact that the essential dimension of compositums of  $n$  cyclic quadratic field extensions of fields  $F$  containing  $k$  of  $\text{char}(k) = 2$  is 1 (see [Led04, Lemma 2] and [BF03, Remark 3.8] for reference, and [CFM, Remark 4.6] for an explicit construction; despite the condition  $|k| \geq 2^n$  appearing in [Led04, Lemma 2], the proof of the latter goes through under the weaker assumption that  $|F| \geq 2^n$ , and for our purpose this always applies, for in order for noncommutative division algebras to exist over  $F$ , the cardinality of  $F$  must be infinite). The meaning is that if  $K = F[\wp^{-1}(\alpha), \wp^{-1}(\beta), \wp^{-1}(\gamma)]$  is a compositum of three cyclic quadratic extensions of a field  $F$  containing  $k$ , then there exists a field  $L \supseteq k$  transcendence degree 1 over  $k$  containing some elements  $a, b, c$  such that the tensor product  $L[\wp^{-1}(a), \wp^{-1}(b), \wp^{-1}(c)] \otimes_L F$  is  $K$ . In other words, the elements  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  can be chosen to come from a single field  $L$  of transcendence degree at most 1 over  $k$ .

The last tool is the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1** ([Bae11, Lemma 3.3]). *Given a field  $F$  of characteristic 2 and a field extension  $E = F[i : i^2 + i = \alpha]$  and  $\beta \in F$ , if  $x^2 + xy + y^2\alpha = u^2 + uv + v^2\beta$  for some  $x, y, u, v \in F$ , then  $[\beta, x + yi]_E = [\beta, y + v]_E$ .*

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Consider a division algebra  $A$  of degree 8 and exponent 2 over a field  $F$  containing a subfield  $k$  of  $\text{char}(k) = 2$ . By [Row84],  $A$  contains a maximal subfield  $K = F[\wp^{-1}(\alpha), \wp^{-1}(\beta), \wp^{-1}(\gamma)]$ . By [Led04, Lemma 2],  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  can be chosen to come from a single subfield  $k \subseteq L \subseteq F$  of transcendence degree 1 over  $k$ . Let  $E = F[\wp^{-1}(\alpha)] = F[\mu : \mu^2 + \mu = \alpha]$ . Then  $A_E$  is Brauer equivalent to  $[\beta, b]_E \otimes [\gamma, c]_E$  for some  $b, c \in E$ . The corestriction back to  $F$  is trivial, and so  $[\beta, N_b]_F = [\gamma, N_c]_F$ , where  $N_b = b_0^2 + b_0b_1 + b_1^2\alpha$ , and

$N_c = c_0^2 + c_0c_1 + c_1^2\alpha$ , given that  $b = b_0 + b_1\mu$  and  $c = c_0 + c_1\mu$  for some  $b_0, b_1, c_0, c_1 \in F$  ([MM91]). By the chain lemma for quaternion algebras, there exists  $\delta \in F$  for which  $[\beta, N_b)_F = [\delta, N_b)_F = [\delta, N_c)_F = [\gamma, N_c)_F$ . Therefore  $\delta = \beta + u^2 + u + x^2N_b = \gamma + y^2 + y + z^2N_c = \lambda^2 + \lambda + t^2N_bN_c$  for some  $u, x, y, z, \lambda, t \in F$ . By replacing  $\delta, y, \lambda$  with  $\delta + u^2 + u, y + u, \lambda + u$  respectively, we can assume  $u = 0$ . Note that  $y = \wp^{-1}(\beta + \gamma + x^2N_b + z^2N_c)$  and  $\lambda = \wp^{-1}(\beta + x^2N_b + t^2N_bN_c)$ . If  $x = 0$  then  $\delta = \beta$ , which means  $[\beta + \delta, b)_E$  is split. If  $x \neq 0$ , then from the equation  $\delta = \beta + x^2N_b$  we obtain  $(\beta + \delta)\frac{1}{x^2} = b_0^2 + b_0b_1 + b_1^2\alpha$ . It follows then from Lemma 2.1 that  $[\beta + \delta, b)_E = [\beta + \delta, b_1 + \frac{1}{x})_E$ . Similarly, if  $z = 0$  then  $[\gamma + \delta, c)_E$  is split, and otherwise  $[\gamma + \delta, c)_E = [\gamma + \delta, c_1 + \frac{1}{z})_E$ , and if  $t = 0$  then  $[\delta, bc)_E$  is split and otherwise  $[\delta, bc)_E = [\delta, \frac{1}{t} + b_0c_1 + b_1c_0 + b_1c_1)_E$ .

Now,  $A_E = [\beta, b)_E \otimes [\gamma, c)_E \sim_{Br} [\beta + \delta, b)_E \otimes [\gamma + \delta, c)_E \otimes [\delta, bc)_E$ . In the expression  $[\beta + \delta, b)_E \otimes [\gamma + \delta, c)_E \otimes [\delta, bc)_E$ , each term is either split or isomorphic to  $[\beta + \delta, b_1 + \frac{1}{x})_E, [\gamma + \delta, c_1 + \frac{1}{z})_E$  and  $[\delta, \frac{1}{t} + b_0c_1 + b_1c_0 + b_1c_1)_E$  respectively. In either case, each term descends to  $F$ . If one of the terms is split, then  $A_E$  is the restriction of a biquaternion algebra  $Q_1 \otimes Q_2$  from  $F$  to  $E$ , and thus  $A = Q_1 \otimes Q_2 \otimes [\alpha, d)_F$  for some  $d \in F^\times$ . This means  $A$  is a decomposable algebra of degree 8 and exponent 2, and by [McK17, Corollary 5.9],  $A$  descends to a field of transcendence degree at most 4 over  $k$ . We may therefore continue with the case of  $x, z, t \neq 0$ . Hence,  $A \sim_{Br} [\alpha, a)_F \otimes [\beta + \delta, b_1 + \frac{1}{x})_F \otimes [\gamma + \delta, c_1 + \frac{1}{z})_F \otimes [\delta, \frac{1}{t} + b_0c_1 + b_1c_0 + b_1c_1)_F$ . Consider now the algebra  $B = [\alpha, a)_T \otimes [\beta + \delta, b_1 + \frac{1}{x})_T \otimes [\gamma + \delta, c_1 + \frac{1}{z})_T \otimes [\delta, \frac{1}{t} + b_0c_1 + b_1c_0 + b_1c_1)_T$  where  $T = L(a, b_0, b_1, c_0, c_1, x, z, t, y, \lambda)$ . Note that  $y$  and  $\lambda$  are algebraic over  $L(a, b_0, b_1, c_0, c_1, x, z, t)$ , and thus the transcendence degree of  $T$  over  $k$  is at most 9. In order to conclude the argument, we need to explain why  $B$  is of index 8 rather than 16, which means that it is  $M_2(A_0)$  for some division algebra  $A_0$  of degree 8 over  $T$ , and thus  $A$  descends to a degree 8 division algebra over a field of transcendence degree at most 9 over  $k$ . The restriction of  $B$  to  $R = T[\wp^{-1}(\alpha)]$  is Brauer equivalent to  $[\beta + \delta, b_1 + \frac{1}{x})_R \otimes [\gamma + \delta, c_1 + \frac{1}{z})_R \otimes [\delta, \frac{1}{t} + b_0c_1 + b_1c_0 + b_1c_1)_R$ . Since  $[\beta + \delta, b_1 + \frac{1}{x})_R = [\beta + \delta, b)_R$  (for the same equality  $\delta = \beta + x^2N_b$  holds true as before),  $[\gamma + \delta, c_1 + \frac{1}{z})_R = [\gamma + \delta, c)_R$  and  $[\delta, \frac{1}{t} + b_0c_1 + b_1c_0 + b_1c_1)_R = [\delta, bc)_R$ , we get that  $B \otimes R$  is Brauer equivalent to  $[\beta, b)_R \otimes [\gamma, c)_R$ . Thus,  $B$  is split by  $T[\wp^{-1}(\alpha), \wp^{-1}(\beta), \wp^{-1}(\gamma)]$ , and so its index is 8.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** It seems that in the argument in [Bae11], the author is suggesting that one could assume  $x = z = 1$  in our computation above. If we were talking only about the quadratic equations  $\delta = \beta + x^2N_b = \gamma + y^2 + y + z^2N_c$  this would be correct, because replacing  $b_i$  and  $c_j$  with  $\frac{b_i}{x}$  and  $\frac{c_j}{z}$  would eliminate their occurrences in these equations. However, that would change

$[\beta, b)_E$  to  $[\beta, \frac{b}{x})_E$  and  $[\gamma, c)_E$  to  $[\gamma, \frac{c}{z})_E$ . It is therefore not clear why one can assume  $x = z = 1$ . If this were true, that would reduce the upper bound of the essential dimension from 9 to 7.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank the anonymous referee for the detailed reading of the manuscript and for the helpful comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [Bae11] Sanghoon Baek. Essential dimension of simple algebras in positive characteristic. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 349(7-8):375–378, 2011.
- [BF03] Grégory Berhuy and Giordano Favi. Essential dimension: a functorial point of view (after A. Merkurjev). *Doc. Math.*, 8:279–330, 2003.
- [BM12] Sanghoon Baek and Alexander S. Merkurjev. Essential dimension of central simple algebras. *Acta Math.*, 209(1):1–27, 2012.
- [CFM] Adam Chapman, Mathieu Florence, and Kelly McKinnie. Common splitting fields of symbol algebras. *Manuscripta Math.* to appear.
- [Dra83] P.K. Draxl. *Skew Fields*, volume 81 of *London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes*. Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- [GS17] Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely. *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, volume 165 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017. Second edition of [MR2266528].
- [Led04] Arne Ledet. On the essential dimension of  $p$ -groups. In *Galois theory and modular forms*, volume 11 of *Dev. Math.*, pages 159–172. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [McK17] Kelly McKinnie. Essential dimension of generic symbols in characteristic  $p$ . *Forum Math. Sigma*, 5:e14, 30, 2017.
- [Mer13] Alexander S. Merkurjev. Essential dimension: a survey. *Transform. Groups*, 18(2):415–481, 2013.
- [MM91] P. Mammone and A. Merkurjev. On the corestriction of  $p^n$ -symbol. *Israel J. Math.*, 76(1-2):73–79, 1991.
- [Rac74] M. L. Racine. A simple proof of a theorem of Albert. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 43:487–488, 1974.
- [Row84] Louis H. Rowen. Division algebras of exponent 2 and characteristic 2. *J. Algebra*, 90(1):71–83, 1984.

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, ACADEMIC COLLEGE OF TEL-AVIV-YAFFO, RABENU YERUHAM ST., P.O.B 8401 YAFFO, 6818211, ISRAEL

*Email address:* adam1chapman@yahoo.com