

A note on Fourier multipliers on the product Hardy spaces

Aleksander Pawlewicz

March 2022

Abstract

In this article, we present some results about Fourier multipliers on Hardy spaces in the product case. We mainly give descriptions of multipliers from the space $H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$ into the space ℓ^2 and from the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ into the space L^1 .

Introduction

In the article, we describe the basic facts of the theory of Fourier multipliers on product Hardy spaces. The two theorems we present provide characterization of such multipliers from the space $H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$ into ℓ^2 and from the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ into L^1 . Because of the difficulties connected with the theory of product Hardy spaces and our imperfection, we decided to apply two different approaches to the two above-mentioned categories of multipliers.

For the Fourier multipliers from $H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$ into ℓ^2 we base our considerations on the classical theory of Hardy spaces. To be more precise, we generalise ideas described in Duren's book [5] about ordinary one dimensional Hardy spaces defined on torus group \mathbb{T} . Definitions and results connected with this approach are presented in sections 1 and 2.

The Fourier multipliers from $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ into L^1 are described in terms of the theory of product Hardy spaces developed mainly at the end of the 70's and in the 80's by mathematicians such as Sun-Young A. Chang, Robert Fefferman, Elias M. Stein and Richard F. Gundy. For more information about this type of Hardy spaces see [4], an excellent review on this subject from 1984. A multiplier results connected with this theory are presented in section 4.

In the case of one dimensional Hardy spaces

$$H^p(\mathbb{T}) = \{f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : |f| \in L^p(\mathbb{T}), \widehat{f}(n) = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\},$$

where $p \in [1, \infty)$, the problem of characterizing the Fourier multipliers operators dates back to the first half of the twentieth century. Hardy's inequality from 1927, see [9, page 202], can be considered the first result in this direction. It states that the sequence $(1/n)$ is a Fourier multiplier from $H^1(\mathbb{T})$ to ℓ^1 .

The characterisation of Fourier multipliers from $H^1(\mathbb{T})$ into ℓ^2 was given in paper [6], while the description of Fourier multipliers from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (in particular $H^1(\mathbb{R})$) into L^1 was given in paper [14] (see also [15]). Article [11] contains the

extensive review of results related to Fourier multipliers on the classical one-dimensional Hardy spaces.

The article is organised as follows. In Section 1, we present basic fact about the space $H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$. In Section 2, we give a characterisation of multipliers from the space $H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$ into ℓ^2 . Section 3 is devoted to basic facts connected with the $BMO(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ space including different but equivalent definitions of this space. At the end, in Section 4, we present results which describes Fourier multipliers from $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ space into the space L^1 . We also present how our method works in the case of already known results of Fourier multipliers from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into L^1 . Moreover, we formulate the conjecture which describes how the condition for the Fourier multipliers in the product Hardy space may look. This conjecture simplifies the formula given in Theorem 7.

1 Definition and basic facts about $H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$

The key tool in our consideration will be the Fourier transform. Let \mathbb{T} denote the interval $[0, 2\pi)$. We define the n -th Fourier coefficient, $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, of locally integrable function $f : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the formula

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x) e^{-in \cdot x} dx.$$

The two dimensional Hardy space is defined as follows:

$$H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}) = \{f : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : |f| \in L^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}), \widehat{f}(m, n) = 0 \text{ for } m < 0, n < 0\},$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^1} = \|f\|_{L^1}$$

for $f \in H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$.

By the Fourier multiplier operator we will mean the linear bounded operator $\Lambda : H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \ell^q$, $q \in [1, \infty)$, such that

$$\Lambda(f) = \left(\lambda(m, n) \widehat{f}(m, n) \right)_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Because functions from Hardy spaces have negative Fourier coefficients equal zero, it is enough to define the above sequences $(\lambda(m, n))$ only for non-negative integers.

In the proof of Theorem 2 we will need one auxiliary theorem. We will call the two dimensional sequence $(\lambda(m, n))$, $\lambda(m, n) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, *two-dimensional lacunary sequence* if in every dyadic rectangle $[2^k, 2^{k+1}) \times [2^l, 2^{l+1})$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, there is at most one non-zero element of the sequence $(\lambda(m, n))$. Then we have

Theorem 1 ([1, Theorem 1]). *There exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that for every two-dimensional lacunary sequence $(\lambda(m, n))$ we have*

$$\left(\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \left| \lambda(m, n) \widehat{f}(m, n) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|f\|_{L^1}$$

for $f \in H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$.

We also need to define the Fejér kernel. Let us denote the Fejér kernel by $F_n(x)$,

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{n+1}{2}}{\sin(x/2)} \right)^2 = \sum_{j=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{n+1} \right) e^{ijx}.$$

Then we will denote

$$F_{k,l}(x, y) = F_k(x) \cdot F_l(y)$$

for $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. We also have for such k, l ,

$$\|F_{k,l}\|_{L^1} = 1. \quad (1)$$

For more information about the definition of Fejér kernel and its properties we recommend [10, Chapter I, section 2.5].

2 Multipliers from $H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$ into ℓ^2

Theorem 2. *A square summable sequence λ on \mathbb{N}^2 is a (Fourier) multiplier from the space $H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$ into the space ℓ^2 if and only if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all natural numbers m and n we have*

$$\sum_{\alpha=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} \sum_{\beta=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} |\lambda(\alpha, \beta)|^2 \leq C. \quad (2)$$

Necessity of condition (2) is proved in the same way as Theorem 1 from [13].

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us assume that λ is a (Fourier) multiplier from the space $H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$ into the space ℓ^2 but

$$\sup_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\alpha=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} \sum_{\beta=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} |\lambda(\alpha, \beta)|^2 = \infty.$$

Then there exists a sequence $(m_k, n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=2^{m_k}}^{2^{m_k+1}-1} \sum_{\beta=2^{n_k}}^{2^{n_k+1}-1} |\lambda(\alpha, \beta)|^2 > k.$$

Let M denote the Fourier multiplier operator from the space $H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$ into the space ℓ^2 corresponding to the sequence λ . Then

$$\left\| M \left(e^{i2^{m_k+1}x} e^{i2^{n_k+1}y} F_{2^{m_k+1}, 2^{n_k+1}}(x, y) \right) \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 \geq \frac{k}{4}.$$

Thus, because of (1), we have

$$\|M\| \geq \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradicts the boundedness of the norm of Fourier multiplier M given by the sequence λ .

For the proof of sufficiency of condition (2) we will denote

$$D_k = \{2^k, 2^k + 1, \dots, 2^{k+1} - 1\}.$$

Then we have, for $f \in H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$, the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left| \lambda(k, l) \widehat{f}(k, l) \right|^2 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sup_{\substack{\alpha \in D_k \\ \beta \in D_l}} \left| \widehat{f}(\alpha, \beta) \right|^2 \sum_{\alpha \in D_k} \sum_{\beta \in D_l} |\lambda(\alpha, \beta)|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{k, l \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in D_k} \sum_{\beta \in D_l} |\lambda(\alpha, \beta)|^2 \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sup_{\substack{\alpha \in D_k \\ \beta \in D_l}} \left| \widehat{f}(\alpha, \beta) \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The first term on the right hand side is bounded by assumption (2) and the second term is bounded by Theorem 1. Moreover, the operators $M : H^1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \ell^2$ defined by

$$M(f) = \left(\lambda(k, l) \widehat{f}(k, l) \right)_{k, l \in \mathbb{N}}$$

have a closed graph. By (3) and the closed graph theorem, the operator M is a bounded operator. \square

3 Product Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ and BMO space $BMO(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$

In order to introduce the basic definition, we need an auxiliary function ψ . We will define it in the same way as it was done in paper [2] (see the beginning of the proof on page 184). Let ψ be a C^1 function supported on $[-1, 1]$, even, with mean value 0. We normalize ψ so that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left| \widehat{\psi}(s) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{s} = 1.$$

Also, for $y_1, y_2 > 0$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$\Psi_y(x) = \frac{1}{y_1 y_2} \psi\left(\frac{x_1}{y_1}\right) \psi\left(\frac{x_2}{y_2}\right), \quad (4)$$

where $y = (y_1, y_2)$ and $x = (x_1, x_2)$. Then we have, for a locally integrable function f , the following formula

$$f(x) = \iint_{(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2} f(t, y) \Psi_y(x - t) \frac{dt dy}{y_1 y_2},$$

and for dyadic rectangle R we define

$$f_R(x) = \iint_{(t, y) \in R_+} f(t, y) \Psi_y(x - t) \frac{dt dy}{y_1 y_2},$$

where

$$R_+ = \left\{ (t, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2 \mid t \in R = I \times J, \frac{|I|}{2} < y_1 \leq |I|, \frac{|J|}{2} < y_2 \leq |J| \right\}.$$

For the function f on \mathbb{R}^2 we define its double S -function

$$S(f)(x) = \sqrt{\iint_{\Gamma(x)} |f(t, y)|^2 \frac{dt dy}{y_1^2 y_2^2}},$$

where $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma(x_1) \times \Gamma(x_2)$ and

$$\Gamma(x_i) = \{(t_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : |x_i - t_i| < y_i\},$$

for $i = 1, 2$. All these facts are described on pages 182, 184, and 185 of [2]. See also paragraphs 2.1 and 2.5 of [16].

Product Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ is a Banach space of integrable functions such that the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^1} = \|S(f)\|_{L^1}$$

is finite. There are a few equivalent definitions of the norm on this space, see part II, section 3 of [4], paragraph 1 of [3], section 2.1 of [16] or [8].

With all the above definitions we can formulate a basic theorem in this theory.

Theorem 3 ([2, page 188]). *Suppose $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies*

$$\int \phi(x_1, x_2) dx_1 = \int \phi(x_1, x_2) dx_2 = 0$$

for all $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then the following conditions on ϕ are equivalent:

- a) ϕ is in the dual of $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$;
- b) there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we have

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left\| \sum_{R \subset \Omega} \phi_R \right\|_2^2 < C,$$

where R are dyadic rectangles;

- c) there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we have

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{R \subset \Omega} S_R^2(\phi) < C,$$

where R are dyadic rectangles and

$$S_R^2(\phi) = \iint_{R_+} |\phi(t, y)|^2 \frac{dt dy}{y_1 y_2}; \quad (5)$$

- d) there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, there exists a function $\widetilde{\phi}_\Omega$ such that

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega |\phi(t) - \widetilde{\phi}_\Omega(t)|^2 \leq C.$$

A locally integrable function λ on \mathbb{R}^2 is a (Fourier) multiplier from the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ into the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$, for $p \in [1, \infty)$, if and only if, the operator

$$f \mapsto \widehat{f}(x)\lambda(x)$$

is a bounded linear operator from the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ into the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

At the end, as a final remark, we would like to indicate that the theory of product Hardy and *BMO* spaces in the sens of the Chang-Fefferman can also be developed for functions defined on the bidisc. This approach has been used in article [12] which describes how to obtain *BMO* function by averaging dyadic *BMO* functions on the bidisc.

4 Multipliers from $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ into L^1

Before presenting the results in the product case we will formulate a new necessary condition for function to be a Fourier multiplier from ordinary Hardy spaces $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into L^1 . Proof of this condition presents the method which we use in product case. Let, as in [14], Q_α^ε denote the cube:

$$Q_\alpha^\varepsilon = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \varepsilon\alpha_j - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq x_j < \varepsilon\alpha_j + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\},$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Also we will write

$$\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^d = \left[-\frac{\pi}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon} \right]^d$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$.

In this part we will use the following definitions of Fourier transform. For integrable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx. \quad (6)$$

The inverse Fourier transform we will denote by \mathcal{F}^{-1} . Moreover, for locally integrable function $f : \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote

$$\widehat{f}(\varepsilon\alpha) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon}\right)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^d} f(x) e^{-i\varepsilon x \cdot \alpha} dx, \quad (7)$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We would like to draw the reader attention to the fact that

$$\widehat{f}(\varepsilon\alpha) = \widehat{f\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)}(\alpha).$$

In this situation we also have the formula for inverse Fourier transform

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(\varepsilon\alpha) e^{i\varepsilon\alpha \cdot x}$$

for $f : \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^d$.

The proof which we want to present actually shows that expression which could characterise the norm of Fourier multiplier is equivalent to the *BMO*

norm of some function closely related to a given Fourier multiplier. In order to do that we need some definitions.

A complex valued locally integrable function f on \mathbb{R}^d is of a bounded mean oscillation, $f \in BMO$, if $\|f\|_{BMO} < \infty$ where

$$\|f\|_{BMO} = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(y) dy \right| dx,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q in \mathbb{R}^d . By the classical John-Nirenberg inequality we have the following corollary (see [7, Corollary 7.1.9]).

Theorem 4. For $1 < p < \infty$ we have

$$\|f\|_{BMO} \approx \sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(y) dy \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q in \mathbb{R}^d .

Now we can formulate the preliminary result. Theorem 1 from article [14] states

Theorem. Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$\sup \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)| d\mu < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all f in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of norm 1 if and only if

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \mu(Q_\alpha^\varepsilon)^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \quad (8)$$

Moreover, the corresponding suprema are equivalent.

Sufficiency of condition (8) in [14] was proved by the atomic decomposition of Hardy space. We prove using different approach the following statement.

Theorem 5. Let λ be a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^d . Then

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \left| \widehat{\lambda}(\varepsilon\alpha) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \sup \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(x)\mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)| dx, \quad (9)$$

where the supremum on the right hand side is taken over all f in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of norm 1.

Proof. Let us denote by \mathcal{H} the set of functions from the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of norm 1. Then we have by Plancherel identity

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(x)\mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)| dx &\geq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(x) \overline{\mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \overline{\lambda(x)} dx \right| \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum of the above inequality over functions f from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of norm 1 we obtain

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(x)\mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)| dx \geq \|\bar{\lambda}\|_{BMO} = \|\lambda\|_{BMO}. \quad (10)$$

Now we calculate the BMO norm of λ . Let

$$P = \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^d + p$$

be a cube of \mathbb{R}^d with center $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and with side length $\varepsilon > 0$. Then by Plancherel identity we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|P|} \int_P \left| \lambda(x) - \frac{1}{|P|} \int_P \lambda(y) dy \right|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon}\right)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^d} \left| \lambda(x+p) - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon}\right)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^d} \lambda(y+p) dy \right|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left| \lambda\left(\frac{x+p}{\varepsilon}\right) - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \lambda\left(\frac{y+p}{\varepsilon}\right) dy \right|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \lambda\left(\frac{\cdot+p}{\varepsilon}\right) \right\}^{\wedge(\alpha)} e^{i\alpha x} \right|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \left| \left\{ \lambda\left(\frac{\cdot+p}{\varepsilon}\right) \right\}^{\wedge(\alpha)} \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \left| \left\{ \lambda\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right) \right\}^{\wedge(\alpha)} e^{i\alpha p} \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \left| \widehat{\lambda\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)}(\alpha) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \left| \widehat{\lambda}(\varepsilon\alpha) \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Taking square root and then supremum over $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ of (11) we obtain by Theorem 4

$$\|\lambda\|_{BMO} \gtrsim \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} |\widehat{\lambda}(\varepsilon\alpha)|^2}. \quad (12)$$

Combining (10) and (12) we obtain

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(x)\mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)| dx \geq \|\lambda\|_{BMO} \gtrsim \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} |\widehat{\lambda}(\varepsilon\alpha)|^2}.$$

□

In order to formulate the main theorem of this section we need to split the real plane \mathbb{R}^2 into the set of identical rectangles. Let us define

$$Q_{k,l}^{A,B} = I_k^A \times J_l^B = \left[kA - \frac{A}{2}, kA + \frac{A}{2} \right) \times \left[lB - \frac{B}{2}, lB + \frac{B}{2} \right),$$

for $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $A, B > 0$. Notice that the area of $Q_{k,l}^{A,B}$ is equal to AB ,

$$|Q_{k,l}^{A,B}| = AB.$$

For the proof of the next theorem we need to change a little definition of Fourier transform given by formula (7). Let $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$, $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ and

$$\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^2 = \left[-\frac{\pi}{\varepsilon_1}, \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon_1} \right) \times \left[-\frac{\pi}{\varepsilon_2}, \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon_2} \right).$$

Then for locally integrable function $f : \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we denote

$$\widehat{f}(\varepsilon\alpha) = \widehat{f}(\varepsilon_1\alpha_1, \varepsilon_2\alpha_2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^2} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot (\varepsilon_1\alpha_1, \varepsilon_2\alpha_2)} dx, \quad (13)$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$. In this situation we also have the formula for inverse Fourier transform

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(\varepsilon\alpha) e^{ix \cdot (\varepsilon_1\alpha_1, \varepsilon_2\alpha_2)}$$

for $f : \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^2$.

Now we can formulate one of the main theorems of this article.

Theorem 6. *Let λ be a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^d . Then for every bounded open set Ω in \mathbb{R}^2 we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(x) \mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)| dx \\ & \geq \|\lambda\|_{BMO} \\ & \gtrsim \sqrt{\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{R \subset \Omega} |R| \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \int_{|J|/2}^{|J|} |\widehat{\lambda * \Psi_y}(Ak, Bl)|^2 \frac{dy}{y_1 y_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the supremum on the left hand side is taken over all f in $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ of norm 1 and the sum on the right hand side is taken over all dyadic rectangles $R = I \times J$ contained in Ω ; $A = \frac{2\pi}{|I|}$, $B = \frac{2\pi}{|J|}$.

Proof. In the proof we will use the definition of the $BMO(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ space given by condition c) of Theorem 3, that is a function ϕ is in $BMO(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{R \subset \Omega} S_R^2(\phi)} < C,$$

where R are dyadic rectangles.

Let us denote, similar to the proof of Theorem 5, by \mathcal{H} the set of functions from the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ of norm at most 1. Then we have by Plancherel identity

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(x) \mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)| dx & \geq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(x) \overline{\mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)} dx \right| \\ & = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \overline{\lambda(x)} dx \right| \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum of the above inequality over functions f in \mathcal{H} we obtain

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(x)\mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)| dx \geq \|\bar{\lambda}\|_{BMO} = \|\lambda\|_{BMO}. \quad (14)$$

Now let us calculate the BMO norm of λ . We denote by $R = I \times J$ the dyadic rectangle centered in (r_1, r_2) such that

$$R = I \times J = \mathbb{T}_{(A,B)}^2 + (r_1, r_2),$$

where $A|I| = 2\pi$ and $B|J| = 2\pi$. Then by Plancherel identity we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_R^2(\lambda) &= \iint_{R_+} |\lambda(t, y)|^2 \frac{dt dy}{y_1 y_2} \\ &= \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \int_{|J|/2}^{|J|} \iint_R |\lambda(t, y)|^2 dt \frac{dy}{y_1 y_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{AB} \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \int_{|J|/2}^{|J|} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \lambda * \Psi_y \left(\frac{t_1 - r_1}{A}, \frac{t_2 - r_2}{B} \right) \right|^2 dt \frac{dy}{y_1 y_2} \\ &= |R| \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \int_{|J|/2}^{|J|} \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left| \left\{ \lambda * \Psi_y \left(\frac{\cdot - r_1}{A}, \frac{\cdot - r_2}{B} \right) \right\}^{\wedge}(k, l) \right|^2 dt \frac{dy}{y_1 y_2} \quad (15) \\ &= |R| \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \int_{|J|/2}^{|J|} \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left| \widehat{\lambda * \Psi_y}(Ak, Bl) \right|^2 \frac{dy}{y_1 y_2} \\ &= |R| \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \int_{|J|/2}^{|J|} \left| \widehat{\lambda * \Psi_y}(Ak, Bl) \right|^2 \frac{dy}{y_1 y_2}, \end{aligned}$$

because $\lambda(t, y) = \lambda * \Psi_y(t)$.

Combining (14) and (15) we obtain by the definition of the $BMO(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ space the following estimate: for every bounded open set Ω we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(x)\mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)| dx \\ &\geq \|\lambda\|_{BMO} \\ &\gtrsim \sqrt{\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{R \subset \Omega} |R| \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \int_{|J|/2}^{|J|} \left| \widehat{\lambda * \Psi_y}(Ak, Bl) \right|^2 \frac{dy}{y_1 y_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum on the right is taken over all dyadic rectangles $R = I \times J$ contained in the open bounded set Ω . \square

In fact we can prove a little stronger statement then presented in Theorem 6.

Theorem 7. *Let λ be a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^d . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_f \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(x)\mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)| dx \\ &\approx \sup_g \sup_{\Omega} \sqrt{\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{R \subset \Omega} |R| \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \int_{|J|/2}^{|J|} \left| \{\lambda * \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g) * \Psi_y\}^{\wedge}(Ak, Bl) \right|^2 \frac{dy}{y_1 y_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol \sup_f on the left hand side denotes the supremum over all f in $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ of norm not bigger than 1. Symbol \sup_g denotes supremum over all functions g such that $\|g\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$ and symbol \sup_Ω denotes supremum over all bounded open subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 . The sum on the right hand side is taken over all dyadic rectangles $R = I \times J$ contained in Ω ; $A = \frac{2\pi}{|I|}$, $B = \frac{2\pi}{|J|}$.

Proof. The proof with the minor changes is almost the same as the proof of Theorem 6. We only need to see that we have by Plancherel identity

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(x)\mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(x)\overline{\mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)}| dx \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(x)\overline{\mathcal{F}(\lambda)(x)}g(x) dx \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(x)\overline{\mathcal{F}(\lambda * \mathcal{F}^{-1}g(x))} dx \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\overline{\lambda * \mathcal{F}^{-1}g(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is the same as in the proof of Theorem 6. We need only put in every place the expression $\lambda * \mathcal{F}^{-1}g$ instead of λ . \square

At the end of this section we would like to state some conjecture. Condition given in Theorem 7 can be probably simplified. It is eager to write the value

$$\{\lambda * \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g) * \Psi_y\} \wedge (Ak, Bl)$$

from this condition in the following way

$$\widehat{\lambda}(Ak, Bl)g(Ak, Bl)\mathcal{F}(\Psi_y)(Ak, Bl),$$

but the situation is not so simple because of the use of different Fourier transform definitions and because of the problems with the supports of functions involved in this expression (function λ is not periodic). Although, using the following lemma we hope that condition from Theorem 7 might be written in a better way.

Lemma 8. *Let the function Ψ be as it is define by (4). Then for dyadic intervals I and J we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \int_{|J|/2}^{|J|} |\mathcal{F}(\Psi_y)(Ak, Bl)|^2 \frac{dy_2 dy_1}{y_1 y_2} \\ = \int_{\frac{2\pi k}{2}}^{2\pi k} \int_{\frac{2\pi l}{2}}^{2\pi l} |\mathcal{F}(\Psi)(y_1, y_2)|^2 \frac{dy_2 dy_1}{y_1 y_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\Psi)(y_1, y_2) = \mathcal{F}(\Psi_{(1,1)})(y_1, y_2)$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \int_{|J|/2}^{|J|} |\mathcal{F}(\Psi_y)(Ak, Bl)|^2 \frac{dy_2 dy_1}{y_1 y_2} \\ = \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \int_{|J|/2}^{|J|} \left| \frac{1}{y_1 y_2} \mathcal{F} \left(\psi \left(\frac{\cdot}{y_1} \right) \right) (Ak) \mathcal{F} \left(\psi \left(\frac{\cdot}{y_2} \right) \right) (Bl) \right|^2 \frac{dy_2 dy_1}{y_1 y_2}, \end{aligned}$$

and by the Fubini theorem it is only enough to consider the integral

$$\int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \left| \frac{1}{y_1} \mathcal{F} \left(\psi \left(\frac{\cdot}{y_1} \right) \right) (Ak) \right|^2 \frac{dy_1}{y_1}. \quad (17)$$

By the definition of Fourier transform (7) we have

$$\frac{1}{y_1} \mathcal{F} \left(\psi \left(\frac{\cdot}{y_1} \right) \right) (Ak) = \mathcal{F}(\psi)(Aky). \quad (18)$$

Combining (17) and (18) we have by the change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} \left| \frac{1}{y_1} \mathcal{F} \left(\psi \left(\frac{\cdot}{y_1} \right) \right) (Ak) \right|^2 \frac{dy_1}{y_1} &= \int_{|I|/2}^{|I|} |\mathcal{F}(\psi)(Aky_1)|^2 \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \\ &= \int_{Ak|I|/2}^{Ak|I|} |\mathcal{F}(\psi)(y_1)|^2 \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \\ &= \int_{\pi k}^{2\pi k} |\mathcal{F}(\psi)(y_1)|^2 \frac{dy_1}{y_1}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives (16). \square

Now we can formulate our conjecture.

Conjecture 9. *Let λ be a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^d . Then $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$ is a (Fourier) multiplier from the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ into the space L^1 if and only if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every bounded open set Ω we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{R \subset \Omega} |R| \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\lambda}(Ak, Bl)|^2 \int_{\frac{2\pi k}{2}}^{2\pi k} \int_{\frac{2\pi l}{2}}^{2\pi l} |\mathcal{F}(\Psi)(y_1, y_2)|^2 \frac{dy_2 dy_1}{y_1 y_2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{R \subset \Omega} |R| \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} |\widehat{\lambda}(Ak, Bl)|^2 \int_{\frac{2\pi k}{2}}^{2\pi k} \int_{\frac{2\pi l}{2}}^{2\pi l} |\mathcal{F}(\Psi)(y_1, y_2)|^2 \frac{dy_2 dy_1}{y_1 y_2}} < C, \end{aligned}$$

where $R = I \times J$ are dyadic sub-rectangles of Ω and $A = \frac{2\pi}{|I|}$, $B = \frac{2\pi}{|J|}$.

References

- [1] Karen L. Avetisyan. Hardy-Bloch type spaces and lacunary series on the polydisk. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 49(2):345–356, 2007.
- [2] Sun-Young A. Chang and Robert Fefferman. A continuous version of duality of H^1 with BMO on the bidisc. *Annals of Mathematics*, 112(1):179–201, 1980.
- [3] Sun-Young A. Chang and Robert Fefferman. The Calderón-Zygmund decomposition on product domains. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 104(3):455–468, 1982.
- [4] Sun-Young A. Chang and Robert Fefferman. Some recent developments in Fourier analysis and H^p -theory on product domains. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 12(1):1–43, 1985.

- [5] Peter L. Duren. *Theory of H^p Spaces*. New York and London: Academic Press, 1970.
- [6] Peter L. Duren and Allen L. Shields. Coefficient multipliers of H^p and B^p spaces. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 32(1):69–78, 1970.
- [7] Loukas Grafakos. *Modern Fourier Analysis, second edition*. Graduate Text in Mathematics, Springer, 2009.
- [8] Richard F. Gundy and Elias M. Stein. H^p theory for the poly-disc. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 76(3):1026–1029, 1979.
- [9] Godfrey H. Hardy and John E. Littlewood. Some new properties of fourier constant. *Mathematische Annalen*, 97:159–209, 1927.
- [10] Yitzak Katznelson. *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, third edition, 2004.
- [11] Beth Osikiewicz. Multipliers of Hardy spaces. *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, 27(1):57–73, 2004.
- [12] Jill Pipher and Lesley A. Ward. BMO from dyadic BMO on the bidisc. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 77(2):524–544, 2008.
- [13] Walter Rudin. Remarks on a theorem of Paley. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-32(3):307–311, 1957.
- [14] William T. Sledd and David A. Stegenga. An H^1 multiplier theorem. *Arkiv för Matematik*, 19(1-2):265–270, 1981.
- [15] Stanisław J. Szarek and Tomasz Wolniewicz. A proof of Fefferman’s theorem on multipliers. *Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Science*, Preprint 209, March 1980.
- [16] Ferenc Weisz. *Summability of Multi-Dimensional Fourier Series and Hardy Spaces*, volume 541 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*. Springer, Dordrecht, 2002.