

DECOMPOSITION OF QUANDLE RINGS OF DIHEDRAL QUANGLES

DILPREET KAUR AND PUSHPENDRA SINGH

ABSTRACT. Let $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and \mathcal{R}_n be the dihedral quandle of order n . In this article, we give decomposition of the quandle ring $K[\mathcal{R}_n]$ into indecomposable right $K[\mathcal{R}_n]$ -modules for all even $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that the decomposition of $K[\mathcal{R}_n]$ given in [EFT19, Prop. 4.18(2)] is valid only in the case when n is not divisible by 4.

1. INTRODUCTION

A set X along with a binary operation \triangleright is called a *quandle* if it satisfies the following three axioms:

- (1). $x \triangleright x = x$ for all $x \in X$.
- (2). For any pair $x, y \in X$, there exist a unique $z \in X$ such that $x = z \triangleright y$.
- (3). $(x \triangleright y) \triangleright z = (x \triangleright z) \triangleright (y \triangleright z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

The above three axioms are motivated by the three Reidemeister moves of diagrams of knots.

In [BPS19], authors introduced the notion of quandle rings, which is analogous to the notion of group rings. Let K be an associative ring and (X, \triangleright) be a quandle. The quandle ring $K[X]$ as a set is same as $\{\sum_i \alpha_i x_i \mid \alpha_i \in K, x_i \in X\}$. It forms an abelian group under pointwise addition. The following multiplication equips it with the structure of a ring.

$$\left(\sum_i \alpha_i x_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_j \beta_j x_j \right) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j (x_i \triangleright x_j).$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{R}_n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. We define $i \triangleright j = 2j - i \pmod{n}$. The set \mathcal{R}_n along with the binary operation \triangleright forms a quandle, and it is known as *dihedral quandle*.

In this article, we give the decomposition of $K[\mathcal{R}_n]$ into indecomposable right $K[\mathcal{R}_n]$ -modules, where \mathcal{R}_n denotes the dihedral quandle of even order n . In [EFT19, Prop. 4.18], authors give decomposition of $K[\mathcal{R}_n]$ into indecomposable right $K[\mathcal{R}_n]$ -modules. However, there is a defect in their decomposition of $K[\mathcal{R}_n]$ in the case when n is divisible by 4.

We conclude this article with a detailed discussion on decomposition of quandle ring $K[\mathcal{R}_8]$ of dihedral quandle of order 8.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JODHPUR
 INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JODHPUR
 E-mail addresses: dilpreetkaur@iitj.ac.in, singh.105@iitj.ac.in.
 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20C15, 57M27, 17D99, 16S34.

Key words and phrases. Quandle rings, Dihedral quandles, Dihedral groups, Representations and characters.
 We are thankful to Amit Kulshrestha for his useful comments on our work.

2. DECOMPOSITION OF QUANDLE RINGS OF DIHEDRAL QUANGLES OF EVEN ORDER

Let (X, \triangleright) be a quandle. From the definition of quandle, we get that right multiplication maps $R_x : X \rightarrow X$ defined by $R_x(y) = y \triangleright x$; for $x \in X$, are automorphisms of X . The group generated by $\{R_x \mid x \in X\}$ is called group of inner automorphisms of X and it is denoted by $\text{Inn}(X)$. The group $\text{Inn}(X)$ acts on the set X via natural action. The quandle X is called *connected* if the action $\text{Inn}(X)$ on X is transitive.

Example 2.1. (1). The dihedral quandle \mathcal{R}_n defined in §1 is connected when n is an odd positive integer (see [EFT19, Example 4.17]).
(2). Let $n = 2m$ and \mathcal{R}_n be the dihedral quandle of order n . The action of $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_n)$ on \mathcal{R}_n is not transitive in this case. The orbits of action of $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_n)$ on \mathcal{R}_n are $A_n := \{0, 2, \dots, n-2\}$ and $B_n := \{1, 3, \dots, n-1\}$.

In [EFT19], authors discussed the decomposition of $K[X]$ into indecomposable right $K[X]$ -modules. We define $\rho : \text{Inn}(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}(K[X])$ by $\rho(R_x)(y) = y \triangleright x$ for all $R_x \in \text{Inn}(X)$ and $y \in X$. The map ρ is a representation of $\text{Inn}(X)$ and decomposing $K[X]$ into indecomposable right $K[X]$ -modules is equivalent to decomposing ρ into irreducible representations of the group $\text{Inn}(X)$.

Let X be a quandle of order n and \mathcal{S}_n be the symmetric group of degree n . Suppose X is not a connected quandle and $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_k$, where X_i is an orbit for action of $\text{Inn}(X)$ on X . We recall from [EFT19] that the map $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$ defined by $\Phi(x) = R_x$ restricts to the maps $\Phi_i : X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{n_i}$, where $n_i = |X_i|$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. In this case, $K[X] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k K[X_i]$ and decomposition of $K[X_i]$ into indecomposable right $K[X_i]$ -modules is equivalent to decomposing corresponding irreducible representations of the group $\text{Inn}(X_i)$.

From now onwards, $n = 2m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.2. *With the notations same as defined in Example 2.1(2), the groups $\text{Inn}(A_n)$ and $\text{Inn}(B_n)$ are isomorphic to the dihedral group of order n .*

Proof. We consider the right multiplication maps $R_j(i) = i \triangleright j = (2j - i) \pmod{n}$ for all $i, j \in \mathcal{R}_n$. We observe that

$$R_j = \prod_{i=j+1}^{j+m-1} (i, 2j - i),$$

where $(i, 2j - i) \in \mathcal{S}_n$ is a transposition. Thus, $R_j = R_{j+m} = (R_1 R_0)^j R_0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq m-1$, and the group $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{R}_n)$ of inner automorphisms of \mathcal{R}_n is generated by R_0 and R_1 .

Let S_0 and S_1 be restrictions of R_0 and R_1 respectively, on the set A_n . It follows from the above discussion that the group $\text{Inn}(A_n)$ is generated by S_0 and S_1 . Note that $S_0 = (2, 2m-2)(4, 2m-4) \dots (m-2, m+2)$ and $S_1 S_0 = (0, 2, 4, \dots, 2m-2)$. Therefore, $\text{Inn}(A_n)$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order n . A similar calculation holds for the group $\text{Inn}(B_n)$. \square

Remark 2.3. We consider the following finite presentations for the dihedral group D_m of order $2m$

$$D_m := \langle r, s \mid r^m = s^2 = 1, sr s^{-1} = r^{-1} \rangle.$$

Let S_j and T_j denote the restrictions of right multiplication map R_j of quandle \mathcal{R}_n on orbits A_n and B_n , respectively. The identification of S_0 with s and of $S_1 S_0$ with r is an isomorphism between D_m and $\text{Inn}(A_n)$. Along the same lines, the identification of T_0 with s and of $T_1 T_0$ with r is an isomorphism between D_m and $\text{Inn}(B_n)$. \square

Let $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . By Example 2.1(2), $K[\mathcal{R}_n] = K[A_n] \oplus K[B_n]$. We know that A_n is a basis of $K[A_n]$ and B_n is that of $K[B_n]$. We identify A_n with $\{v_0, v_2, \dots, v_{n-2}\} \subseteq K[A_n]$ and B_n with $\{v_1, v_3, \dots, v_{n-1}\} \subseteq K[B_n]$. Clearly, the modules generated by $v_{triv, even} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} v_{2i}$

and $v_{triv,odd} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} v_{2i+1}$ are indecomposable modules over $K[A_n]$ and $K[B_n]$, respectively. Moreover if m is an even number, then the following lemma yields one dimensional indecomposable modules over $K[A_n]$ and $K[B_n]$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $m = 2t, t \in \mathbb{N}$. The modules generated by $v_{ref,even} = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} v_{4i} - \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} v_{4i+2}$ and $v_{ref,odd} = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} v_{4i+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} v_{4i+3}$ are indecomposable modules over $K[A_n]$ and $K[B_n]$, respectively.*

Proof. We consider the right multiplication map $R_j(i) = i \triangleright j = (2j - i) \pmod{n}$ for all $i, j \in \mathcal{R}_n$. Let S_j be the restriction of R_j on the set A_n . It is easy to see that $S_j(v_{ref,even}) = v_{ref,even}$ if j is even and $S_j(v_{ref,even}) = -v_{ref,even}$ if j is odd.

Let T_j be the restriction of R_j on the set B_n . It is easy to see that $T_j(v_{ref,odd}) = -v_{ref,odd}$ if j is even and $T_j(v_{ref,odd}) = v_{ref,odd}$ if j is odd. This completes the proof of lemma. \square

Let S_j and T_j denote the restrictions of right multiplication map R_j of quandle \mathcal{R}_n on orbits A_n and B_n , respectively. Consider the representation $\rho_{A_n} : \text{Inn}(A_n) \rightarrow \text{GL}(K[A_n])$ defined by $\rho(S_j)(v_i) = v_{i \triangleright j}$ for all $S_j \in \text{Inn}(A_n)$. Let χ_{A_n} be the character associated to ρ_{A_n} . Similarly we define ρ_{B_n} and χ_{B_n} . With these notations, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. (1). *If m is an odd number, then*

$$\chi_{A_n}(g) = \chi_{B_n}(g) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } g = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } g = S_i \text{ or } T_i, 0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(2). *If m is an even number, then*

$$\chi_{A_n}(g) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } g = 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } g = S_{2i}, 0 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\chi_{B_n}(g) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } g = 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } g = T_{2i+1}, 0 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We identify $\text{Inn}(A_n)$ and $\text{Inn}(B_n)$ with $D_m := \{r, s \mid r^m = s^2 = 1, sr s^{-1} = r^{-1}\}$ (see Remark 2.3). Since characters are class functions, it is sufficient to compute them on representatives of conjugacy classes of the group.

For convenience, we divide rest of the proof into two cases.

(1). **Case $m = \text{odd}$.** In this case, D_m has $(m+3)/2$ conjugacy classes, namely

$$\{1\}, \quad \{r^k, r^{-k}\}; 1 \leq k \leq (m-1)/2, \quad \{r^l s; 0 \leq l \leq m-1\}$$

Using the proof of Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.3,

$$\chi_{A_n}(1) = \chi_{B_n}(1) = m, \chi_{A_n}(r^k) = \chi_{B_n}(r^k) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq (m-1)/2$, and $\chi_{A_n}(s) = \chi_{B_n}(s) = 1$.

(2). **Case $m = \text{even}$.** Let $m = 2t, t \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, D_m has $t+3$ conjugacy classes, namely

$$\{1\}, \quad \{r^t\}, \quad \{r^k, r^{-k}\}; 1 \leq k \leq t-1, \quad \{r^l s; l \text{ even}\}, \quad \{r^l s; l \text{ odd}\}$$

Again, from the proof of Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.3,

$$\chi_{A_n}(1) = \chi_{B_n}(1) = m, \chi_{A_n}(r^k) = \chi_{B_n}(r^k) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq t$. We compute $\chi_{A_n}(s) = 2$ and $\chi_{B_n}(s) = 0$, whereas $\chi_{A_n}(rs) = 0$ and $\chi_{B_n}(rs) = 2$. This completes proof of this lemma. \square

Let ϵ denote a primitive n^{th} root of unity. Let $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and V_j be a two dimensional vector space over K for each integer j with $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. Let $D_m := \langle r, s \mid r^m = s^2 = 1, sr s^{-1} = r^{-1} \rangle$ be the dihedral group of order $2m$. Then the map $\psi_j : D_m \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_j)$ defined by

$$\psi_j(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^j & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-j} \end{pmatrix} \quad \psi_j(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a representation of D_m . If m is an odd number, then the set $\{\psi_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq (m-1)/2\}$ is a complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of D_m with degree 2. If m is an even number, then the set $\{\psi_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1\}$ is complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of D_m with degree 2. For more details, we refer the reader to [JL01, §18.3].

Lemma 2.6. *Let $k = (m-1)/2$ if m is odd number and $k = \frac{m}{2} - 1$ if m is an even number. Then for each integer j such that $1 \leq j \leq k$, the representation ψ_j appears in the decomposition of ρ_{A_n} as well as ρ_{B_n} with multiplicity 1.*

Proof. Let χ_j denote the character associated to the irreducible representation ψ_j of D_m . We recall that χ_{A_n} is character associated to ρ_{A_n} . For $1 \leq j \leq k$, using lemma 2.5 and [JL01, §18.3], we compute

$$\langle \chi_{A_n}, \chi_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{g \in \text{Inn}(A_n)} \chi_{A_n}(g) \chi_j(g) = 1.$$

The inner product of χ_{B_n} and χ_j is also 1, where χ_{B_n} is the character associated to ρ_{B_n} . Now the lemma follows from [JL01, Th. 14.17]. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let $K = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} . Let \mathcal{R}_n be the dihedral quandle of order n .*

(1) *If m is odd, then*

$$K[\mathcal{R}_n] = V_{triv,even} \oplus V_{triv,odd} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{(m-1)/2} V_{j,even} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{(m-1)/2} V_{j,odd}.$$

(2) *If $m = 2t$, then*

$$K[\mathcal{R}_n] = V_{triv,even} \oplus V_{triv,odd} \oplus V_{ref,even} \oplus V_{ref,odd} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} V_{j,even} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} V_{j,odd}.$$

Proof. It follows from the Lemma 2.6, that if $\psi_j : D_m \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_j)$ is an irreducible representation of degree 2, then V_j is an indecomposable $K[A_n]$ -module with multiplicity 1. We denote this module by $V_{j,even}$. Let us consider the following two cases.

(1) $m = \text{odd}$. In this case, D_m has $(m-1)/2$ inequivalent irreducible representations ψ_j of degree 2. Therefore $K[A_n]$ has $(m-1)/2$ distinct indecomposable $K[A_n]$ -modules $V_{j,even}$ for $1 \leq j \leq (m-1)/2$. Let $V_{triv,even}$ be one dimensional $K[A_n]$ -module generated by $v_{triv,even}$. By dimension count, we get

$$K[A_n] = V_{triv,even} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{(m-1)/2} V_{j,even}.$$

- (2) $m = \text{even}$. Let $m = 2t$. In this case, D_m has $t - 1$ inequivalent irreducible representations ψ_j of degree 2. Therefore $K[A_n]$ has $t - 1$ distinct indecomposable $K[A_n]$ -modules $V_{j,\text{even}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t - 1$. Let $V_{\text{triv},\text{even}}$ be one dimensional $K[A_n]$ -module generated by $v_{\text{triv},\text{even}}$, and $V_{\text{ref},\text{even}}$ be one dimensional $K[A_n]$ -module generated by $v_{\text{ref},\text{even}}$ given in Lemma 2.4. Again, by dimension count,

$$K[A_n] = V_{\text{triv},\text{even}} \oplus V_{\text{ref},\text{even}} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} V_{j,\text{even}}.$$

One can compute the decomposition of $K[B_n]$ into indecomposable $K[B_n]$ -modules along a similar line. Now, the theorem follows from the fact that $K[\mathcal{R}_n] = K[A_n] \oplus K[B_n]$. \square

To conclude the article, we provide a complete decomposition of the quandle ring $K[\mathcal{R}_8]$.

Example 2.8. Let $K = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} . We consider the dihedral quandle \mathcal{R}_8 of order 8. The decomposition of quandle ring $K[\mathcal{R}_8]$ is given below:

$$K[\mathcal{R}_8] = V_{\text{triv},\text{even}} \oplus V_{\text{triv},\text{odd}} \oplus V_{\text{ref},\text{even}} \oplus V_{\text{ref},\text{odd}} \oplus V_{1,\text{even}} \oplus V_{1,\text{odd}}.$$

Let $\{v_0, v_2, v_4, v_6\}$ and $\{v_1, v_3, v_5, v_7\}$ be bases for $K[A_8]$ and $K[B_8]$, respectively, obtained by identifying $\{v_0, v_2, v_4, v_6\}$ and $\{v_1, v_3, v_5, v_7\}$ with A_8 and B_8 , respectively. With these notations, the components of decomposition of $K[\mathcal{R}_8]$ are

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{triv},\text{even}} &= \langle v_0 + v_2 + v_4 + v_6 \rangle \\ V_{\text{triv},\text{odd}} &= \langle v_1 + v_3 + v_5 + v_7 \rangle \\ V_{\text{ref},\text{even}} &= \langle v_0 - v_2 + v_4 - v_6 \rangle \\ V_{\text{ref},\text{odd}} &= \langle v_1 - v_3 + v_5 - v_7 \rangle \\ V_{1,\text{even}} &= \langle v_0 - v_4, v_2 - v_6 \rangle \\ V_{1,\text{odd}} &= \langle v_1 - v_5, v_3 - v_7 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [BPS19] Valeriy G. Bardakov, Inder Bir S. Passi, and Mahender Singh, *Quandle rings*, J. Algebra Appl. **18** (2019), no. 8, 1950157, 23. MR 3977818 [↑1](#)
- [EFT19] Mohamed Elhamdadi, Neranga Fernando, and Boris Tsvetikhovskiy, *Ring theoretic aspects of quandles*, J. Algebra **526** (2019), 166–187. MR 3915329 [↑1](#), [↑2](#)
- [JL01] Gordon James and Martin Liebeck, *Representations and characters of groups*, second ed., Cambridge University Press, New York, 2001. MR 1864147 [↑4](#)