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#### Abstract

We compute the singular support and the characteristic cycle of a rank 1 sheaf on a smooth variety in codimension 2 using ramification theory, when the ramification of the sheaf is clean. We develop a general theory, called the partially logarithmic ramification theory, and define an algebraic cycle on a logarithmic cotangent bundle with partial logarithmic poles along the boundary. We prove that the inverse image of the support of the cycle and the pull-back of the cycle to the cotangent bundle are equal to the singular support and the characteristic cycle, respectively, outside a closed subset of the variety of codimension $\geq 3$ under a mild assumption.
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## Introduction

Motivated by the observation in [D], Beilinson ([Be]) and Saito ([S4]) have defined the singular support $S S(\mathcal{G})$ and the characteristic cycle $C C(\mathcal{G})$ of an étale constructible sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on a smooth algebraic variety $X$ in any characteristic. Similarly as in the theory in [KS] for complex manifolds, the singular support $S S(\mathcal{G})$ is a closed conical subset of the cotangent bundle $T^{*} X$ of $X$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim} X$. The characteristic cycle $C C(\mathcal{G})$ is an algebraic cycle of dimension $\operatorname{dim} X$ on $T^{*} X$ whose support is contained in $S S(\mathcal{G})$. One of the differences from the theory for complex manifolds is that the vanishing cycles are mainly used to construct $S S(\mathcal{G})$ and $C C(\mathcal{G})$, which makes it difficult to compute $S S(\mathcal{G})$ and $C C(\mathcal{G})$.

In this article, we consider the zero extension $j!\mathcal{F}$ of a smooth sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on an open subvariety $U$ of a smooth scheme $X$ over a perfect field $k$ of positive characteristic such that the boundary $D=X-U$ is a divisor on $X$ with simple normal crossings. Here $\Lambda$ is a finite field of characteristic invertible in $k$ and $j: U \rightarrow X$ denotes the canonical open immersion. We assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is clean along $D$ in the sense of [K2], and consider computations of the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ and the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $j!\mathcal{F}$ in codimension 2 , namely outside a closed subscheme of $X$ of codimension $\geq 3$, in terms of ramification theory.

As a previous result on a computation of the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$, Saito ( $\mathbf{S 4}$, Proposition 4.13, Theorem 7.14]) has given a computation of $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ in codimension

1. In the case where $X$ is a surface, a computation of $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ has been given in Y2, Theorem 6.1] as a complement of Saito's computation. Once we obtain a computation of $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$, the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $j!\mathcal{F}$ is computed as the support of $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ (Corollary 3.17(2)). The condition that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is clean along $D$, which appears in our setting, is satisfied by taking a blow-up of $X$ in the case where $X$ is a surface ([K2, Theorem 4.1]) and it is expected to be satisfied even in general.

For a computation of $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$, we introduce a general theory called the partially logarithmic ramification theory. The theory generalizes the logarithmic and non-logarithmic ramification theories developed by Brylinski ([Br]), Kato ([K1], [K2]), Matsuda ([M]), and Abbes-Saito ([AS1], AS2], AS3], AS4]), and Saito ([S4]). The adjective "partially logarithmic" means that log poles are imposed on any union $D^{\prime}$ of irreducible components of $D$ and the theory is a refinement of the theory on the mixed refined Swan conductor introduced by Matsuda ([M]). Similarly as in the logarithmic and non-logarithmic ramification theories, we introduce a notion the $\log -D^{\prime}$-cleanliness for the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ for a union $D^{\prime}$ of irreducible components of $D$. The $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-cleanliness is the cleanliness introduced in [K2] when $D^{\prime}=D$ and the non-degeneration introduced in $[S 3]$ when $D^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Following the construction of the logarithmic characteristic cycle given by Kato ([K2]) in the logarithmic ramification theory, we construct the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic cycle $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ as an algebraic cycle on the logarithmic cotangent bundle $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ with logarithmic poles along $D^{\prime}$, when the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$, in the partially logarithmic ramification theory. We compute $C C\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ in terms of ramification theory by proving that $C C\left(j_{i} \mathcal{F}\right)$ is equal to the pull-back of $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ to the cotangent bundle $T^{*} X$.

We explain the strategy of a computation of $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ in this article more precisely. Under the assumption that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is clean along $D$, we can take a union $D^{\prime}$ of irreducible components of $D$ such that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ (Proposition 1.39) and that $\mathcal{F}$ is wildly ramified along any irreducible component of $D$ not contained in $D^{\prime}$. If the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ of the support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ by the canonical morphism $\tau_{D^{\prime}}: T^{*} X \rightarrow T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ is of dimension $d=\operatorname{dim} X$, then we obtain computations of $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ and $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ in codimension 2 :

Theorem 0.1 (Theorem [5.6, Corollary (5.12). Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$. Let $D^{\prime}$ be a union of irreducible components of $D$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ is wildly ramified along any irreducible component of $D$ not contained in $D^{\prime}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ and that the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ of the support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ by the canonical morphism $\tau_{D^{\prime}}: T^{*} X \rightarrow T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ is of dimension d. Let

$$
\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!}: Z_{d}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)=C H_{d}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \rightarrow C H_{d}\left(\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)\right)=Z_{d}\left(\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)\right)
$$

denote the Gysin homomorphism $([\mathcal{F}, 6.6])$ defined by the l.c.i. morphism $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$. If the bases of irreducible components of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset T^{*} X$ are of codimension $\leq 2$ in $X$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S S(j!\mathcal{F})=\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C C(j!\mathcal{F})=\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $Z_{d}\left(\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)\right)$.
We can remove the assumption on the dimension of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ in Theorem 0.1 by admitting blowing up $X$ along a closed subscheme of $D$ :

Proposition 0.2 (Corollary4.32). Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimensiond and that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ for some union $D^{\prime}$ of irreducible components of $D$. Then there exist a blow-up $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ of $X$ along a closed subscheme of $D$ and $a$ union $E^{\prime}$ of irreducible components of $\left(f^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$ such that the ramification of $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ -$E^{\prime}$-clean along $\left(f^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$, that $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is wildly ramified along any irreducible component of $\left(f^{*} D\right)_{\mathrm{red}}$ not contained in $E^{\prime}$, and that the inverse image $\tau_{E^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{E^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ is purely of dimension $d$, where $j^{\prime}: f^{*} U \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is the base change of $j$ by $f$.

We can expect Theorem 0.1 holds without the assumption on the codimensions of the bases of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$. In fact, under the assumptions of Theorem 0.1 without the assumption on the codimensions of the bases of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$, the pull-back $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ determines the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ in the following sense:

Proposition 0.3 (Proposition 4.33). Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension d. Let $D^{\prime}$ be a union of irreducible components of $D$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ be smooth sheaves of $\Lambda$ modules of rank 1 on $U$ such that the ramifications of $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ are $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ and that $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ are wildly ramified along any irreducible component of $D$ not contained in $D^{\prime}$. If $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}_{i}\right)\right)$ are of dimension d for $i=0,1$ and if $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}_{0}\right)=\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$, then we have $C C\left(j!\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)=C C\left(j!\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$.

We give a brief outline of the proof of Theorem 0.1. If $X$ is a surface, then the equality (0.2) is obtained by an explicit computation of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ and the comparison with the computation of $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ given in [Y2, Theorem 6.1]. In general, the proof of the equality (0.2) is reduced to the case where $X$ is a surface, and we obtain the equality (0.1) by taking the supports of the both sides of the equality (0.2). To reduce the proof of the equality (0.1) to the surface case, we use the equality (0.2) in codimension 1, which is obtained by the computation of $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ given in [S4, Proposition 4.13, Theorem 7.14], and use the pull-back formulas for $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ ([S4, Theorem 7.6]) and $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ (Proposition 4.9) with taking an appropriate immersion from a smooth surface. As a key of the reduction, we prove that $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ locally has at most one irreducible component whose base is of codimension 2 in $X$. The partially logarithmic ramification theory enables us to make the reduction by allowing the divisor $D$ on $X$ not to have simple normal crossings as long as $D$ has smooth irreducible components. We devote almost a half of this article to develop the partially logarithmic ramification theory where the divisor $D$ on $X$ has smooth irreducible components but does not necessarily have simple normal crossings.

We briefly explain the content of each section. We devote from Section 1 to Section 2 to the study of ramification theory. In Subsection 1.1, we recall the arithmetic theories
of logarithmic and non-logarithmic ramifications of complete discrete valuation fields possibly with imperfect residue fields introduced by Brylinski ([Br]), Kato ([K1], [K2]), Matsuda ([M]), Abbes-Saito ( AS1], AS3]), and Saito ([S3]). From Subsection 1.2 to Section 2, we develop the partially logarithmic ramification theory, which admits the log poles to be along a divisor $D^{\prime}$ on $X$ with simple normal crossings contained in the boundary $D$. We construct a conductor $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ called $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-characteristic form in Subsection 1.2 and compare the characteristic forms in various settings in Subsection 1.3. We introduce the notion that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ by using $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ in Subsection 1.4. Subsection 1.5 is just preparation for Subsections 4.4, 4.5, and Section 5 and is not used in the other sections. As a refinement of the corresponding result [Y2, Proposition 2.34] in logarithmic ramification theory, we prove that the canonical morphism $j_{!} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism when the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ and when $\mathcal{F}$ is wildly ramified along all irreducible components of $D$ in Subsection 2.2 by using the dilatations constructed in Subsection 2.1.

The singular support and the characteristic cycle of a constructible sheaf on $X$ are recalled in Subsections 3.1 and 3.2, respectively. We devote Section 4 to construct and study an algebraic cycle $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ on $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ called the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic cycle. We construct $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ by using the conductor char ${ }^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ in Subsection 4.1 when the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. We construct a closed conical subset $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $T^{*} X$ in Subsection 4.3 by using the inverse image of the support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ by the canonical morphism $\tau_{D^{\prime}}: T^{*} X \rightarrow T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ and prove that $S_{D^{\prime}}\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ contains the singular support $S S\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$. For the proof of the inclusion, we a proposition prepared in Subsection 4.2 to reduce the proof to the case where $\mathcal{F}$ is wildly ramified along any irreducible component of $D$, and use the the isomorphism $j_{!} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{F}$ given in Section [2.2. In Subsection 4.4, we refine the result. More precisely, we prove that $S S\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ is a union of irreducible components of the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ when $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ is of dimension $\operatorname{dim} X$. We prove Proposition 0.2 in Subsection 4.4 and we prove Proposition 0.3 in Subsection 4.5. In Section 5, which is the last section of this article, we consider computations of $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ and $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ in terms of ramification theory. We first recall the computations of $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ given in [S4] in the case where the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is non-degenerate along $D$ and given in Y2 in the case where $X$ is a surface in Subsection 5.1. We then prove Theorem 0.1 in Subsection 5.2 by reducing the proof to the case where $X$ is a surface. Finally, we give a few comments in the exceptional case in Subsection 5.3,
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Conventions. In this article, the symbol $k$ denotes a perfect field of characteristic $p>0$ and $X$ denotes a smooth scheme over $k$. Let $U$ be an open subscheme of $X$ and let $D=X-U$ be the complement of $U$ in $X$. We assume that $D$ is a divisor on $X$ whose irreducible components $\left\{D_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ are smooth. The symbol $\Lambda$ denotes a finite field
of characteristic prime to $p$ and the symbol $\mathcal{F}$ denotes a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $U$, which is the sheaf we mainly consider in this article. For a subset $I^{\prime} \subset I$ of the index set $I$ of irreducible components of $D$, we say that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings (or $D^{\prime}$ is a divisor on $X$ with simple normal crossings) if $I^{\prime}=\emptyset$ or if $D^{\prime}$ is a divisor on $X$ with simple normal crossings. The cardinality of a subset $I^{\prime} \subset I$ is sometimes denoted by $\sharp I^{\prime}$. Except for Section 3, we denote the canonical open immersion from $U$ to $X$ by $j: U \rightarrow X$.

For a point $\mathfrak{p} \in X$ of codimension 1 , the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathfrak{p}}$ is a discrete valuation ring. We call the fraction field of the completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \mathfrak{p}_{i}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathfrak{p}}$ the local field at $\mathfrak{p}$. We denote the local field at the generic point $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I$ by $K_{i}$ and the valuation ring of $K_{i}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{K_{i}}$. For a field $K$, the absolute Galois group of $K$ is denoted by $G_{K}$. Then there is a canonical morphism $G_{K_{i}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(U)$ of abelianized fundamental groups. Except in Subsection 1.1, we denote by $\chi: \pi^{\mathrm{ab}}(U) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$the character corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$. For the character $\chi: \pi^{\mathrm{ab}}(U) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$, let $\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}: G_{K_{i}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$denote the composition $G_{K_{i}} \rightarrow G_{K_{i}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(U) \xrightarrow{\chi} \Lambda^{\times}$of the canonical morphisms and $\chi$. We fix an inclusion $\psi: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$ and often regard $\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}$ as an element of $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})=\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {cont }}\left(G_{K}, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}\right)$.

For a smooth scheme $Y$ over $k$, the cotangent bundle of $Y$ is denoted by $T^{*} Y$ and the zero section of $T^{*} Y$ is denoted by $T_{Y}^{*} Y$. The symbol $T_{y}^{*} Y$ denotes the fiber of $T^{*} Y$ at a closed point $y \in Y$. If $E$ is a divisor on $Y$ with simple normal crossings, let $T^{*} Y(\log E)$ denote the logarithmic cotangent bundle with logarithmic poles along $E$. The zero section and the fiber at $y \in Y$ of $T^{*} Y(\log E)$ are denoted by $T_{Y}^{*} Y(\log E)$ and $T_{y}^{*} Y(\log E)$, respectively. For a closed subset $T$ of a scheme $S$ over $k$ of finite type, let $Z_{r}(T)$ denote the group of $r$-cycles on $T$ and let $C H_{r}(T)$ denote the Chow group of $r$-cycles on $T$, where we endow $T$ with the reduced subscheme structure. If $f: S \rightarrow T$ is a morphism of schemes of finite types over $k$ and if $C$ is a closed subscheme of $T$, then we regard the inverse image $f^{-1}(C)$ as the fiber product $C \times_{T} S$. We denote the algebraic cycle on a scheme $S$ of finite type over $k$ defined by a closed subscheme $C$ of $S$ by [C].
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## 1 Conductors

See Conventions for the notation. In this section, we recall and unify the arithmetic logarithmic and non-logarithmic ramification theories introduced and developed by Brylinski ([Br), Kato ([K1], [K2]), Matsuda ([M]), Abbes-Saito ( AS1], AS3]), and Saito ([S3]). Let $D^{\prime}$ be a divisor on $X$ with simple normal crossings contained in $D$. We introduce an invariant char $D^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ called the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-characteristic form of $\mathcal{F}$ in Definition 1.22, and a notion that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ by using $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ in Definition 1.29. The $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is a key ingredient of computations of the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ and the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ of the zero extension $j!\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ in Subsection 5.2. We recall the definitions of $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ and $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ in Section 3.

### 1.1 Local definition

We briefly recall the logarithmic and non-logarithmic ramification theories ([Br], [K1], [M] , AS3, (Y1) for a complete discrete valuation field.

Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p>0$. For the Witt ring $W_{s}(K)$ of length $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $V: W_{s}(K) \rightarrow W_{s}(K)$ denote the Verschiebung:

$$
V: W_{s}(K) \rightarrow W_{s+1}(K) ;\left(a_{s-1}, a_{s-2}, \ldots, a_{0}\right) \mapsto\left(0, a_{s-1}, a_{s-2}, \ldots, a_{0}\right)
$$

By convention, we have $W_{0}(K)=0$ and $W_{1}(K)=K$. Let $\operatorname{ord}_{K}: K \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \cup\{\infty\}$ denote the normalized valuation of $K$.

Definition 1.1 ([ Br , Proposition 1], [Y1, Definition 1.12], cf. [M, 3.1]). Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p>0$. Let $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
(1) We define the normalized valuation $\operatorname{ord}_{K}: W_{s}(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \cup\{\infty\}$ of $W_{s}(K)$ by

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{K}(a)=\min _{0 \leq i \leq s-1}\left\{p^{i} \operatorname{ord}_{K}\left(a_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

for $a=\left(a_{s-1}, \ldots, a_{0}\right) \in W_{s}(K)$.
(2) We define an increasing filtration $\left\{\operatorname{fil}_{n} W_{s}(K)\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of $W_{s}(K)$ by

$$
\operatorname{fil}_{n} W_{s}(K)=\left\{a \in W_{s}(K) \mid \operatorname{ord}_{K}(a) \geq-n\right\}
$$

(3) We define an increasing filtration $\left\{\operatorname{fil}_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K)\right\}_{m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ of $W_{s}(K)$ by

$$
\operatorname{fil}_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K)=\operatorname{fil}_{m-1} W_{s}(K)+V^{s-s^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{fil}_{m} W_{s^{\prime}}(K)\right)
$$

where $s^{\prime}=\min \left\{s, \operatorname{ord}_{p}(m)\right\}$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p}$ denotes the $p$-adic valuation.
Remark 1.2. Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p>$ 0 . By (3) in Definition 1.1, the following relations hold between the two filtrations $\left\{\operatorname{fil}_{n} W_{s}(K)\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ and $\left\{\operatorname{fil}_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K)\right\}_{m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ : For $m=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{fil}_{1}^{\prime} W_{s}(K)=\operatorname{fil}_{0} W_{s}(K) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(1)=0$. For $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{fil}_{m-1} W_{s}(K) \subset \operatorname{fil}_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K) \subset \operatorname{fil}_{m} W_{s}(K) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $V^{s-s^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{fil}_{m} W_{s^{\prime}}(K)\right) \subset \operatorname{fil}_{m} W_{s}(K)$ for all $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and all $s^{\prime} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $s^{\prime} \leq s$.
For a complete discrete valuation field $K$ of characteristic $p>0$, let $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z})$ denote the Galois cohomology group

$$
H^{1}\left(G_{K}, \mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {cont }}\left(G_{K}, \mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We regard $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z})$ as a subgroup of

$$
H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})=\underset{m}{\lim } H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z})
$$

By the Artin-Schreier-Witt theory, for $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there is an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow W_{s}\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right) \rightarrow W_{s}(K) \xrightarrow{F-1} W_{s}(K) \xrightarrow{\delta_{s}} H^{1}\left(K, \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each of filtrations $\left\{\operatorname{fil}_{n} W_{s}(K)\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{fil}_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K)\right\}_{m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ of $W_{s}(K)$ defines a filtration of $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ as follows:

Definition 1.3 ([K1, Corollary (2.5), Theorem (3.2) (1)], [Y1, Definition 1.13], cf. [M, Definition 3.1.1]). Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p>0$. Let $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})^{\prime}$ denote the prime to $p$-part of the Galois cohomology group $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$.
(1) We define an increasing filtration $\left\{\operatorname{fil}_{n} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ by

$$
\mathrm{fil}_{n} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})=H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})^{\prime}+\bigcup_{s \geq 0} \delta_{s}\left(\mathrm{fil}_{n} W_{s}(K)\right)
$$

(2) We define an increasing filtration $\left\{\text { fil }_{m}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})\right\}_{m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ of $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ by

$$
\mathrm{fil}_{m}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})=H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})^{\prime}+\bigcup_{s \geq 0} \delta_{s}\left(\mathrm{fil}_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K)\right)
$$

Remark 1.4. By the relations (1.1) and (1.2) of filtrations of $W_{s}(K)$, respectively, the following relations hold between the two filtrations $\left\{\text { fil }_{n} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ and $\left\{\text { fil }_{m}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})\right\}_{m \in \mathbf{Z}}^{\geq 1}$ : For $m=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{fil}_{1}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})=\operatorname{fil}_{0} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{fil}_{m-1} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}) \subset \operatorname{fil}_{m}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}) \subset \operatorname{fil}_{m} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall two kinds of conductors $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)$ and $\operatorname{dt}(\chi)$ for a character $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ of $G_{K}$ for a complete discrete valuation field $K$ of characteristic $p>0$. The conductors $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)$ and $\operatorname{dt}(\chi)$ are defined by using the filtrations $\left\{\operatorname{fil}_{n} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ and $\left\{\text { fil }_{m}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})\right\}_{m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ of $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ (Definition 1.3), respectively.

Definition 1.5 ([K1, Definition (2.2)], [Y1, Definition 1.14]). Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p>0$ and let $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ be a character of $G_{K}$.
(1) We define the Swan conductor $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)$ of $\chi$ to be the smallest non-negative integer $n$ such that $\chi \in \operatorname{fil}_{n} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$.
(2) We define the total dimension $\operatorname{dt}(\chi)$ of $\chi$ to be the smallest positive integer $m$ such that $\chi \in \operatorname{fil}_{m}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$.

Remark 1.6. Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p>0$.
(1) By the construction of $\mathrm{fil}_{n} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathrm{fil}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})\right)$ as the sum of the prime to $p$-part $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})^{\prime}$ of $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ and a submodule of the $p$-part of $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$, the Swan conductor $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)$ (resp. the total dimension $\left.\operatorname{dt}(\chi)\right)$ for $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ is dependent only on the $p$-part of $\chi$.
(2) Let $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$. By (1.4), the $\operatorname{Swan}$ conductor $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)$ is 0 if and only if the total dimension $\operatorname{dt}(\chi)$ is 1 . Generally, the total dimension $\operatorname{dt}(\chi)$ is equal to $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)$ or $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)+1$ by (1.5). By [AS1, Propositions 3.7 (3), 3.15 (4)], AS3, Corollaire 9.12], and [Y1, Theorem 3.1], if the residue field $F_{K}$ of $K$ is perfect, then $\operatorname{fil}_{n} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})=\operatorname{fil}_{n+1}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and we have $\operatorname{dt}(\chi)=$ $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)+1$ for all $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$.
(3) Both of the filtrations $\left\{\operatorname{fil}_{n} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ and $\left\{\operatorname{fil}_{m}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})\right\}_{m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ of $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ (Definition 1.3) measure the ramification of a character $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ of $G_{K}$. Indeed, by [AS1, Proposition 3.1.5 (2)] and AS3, Corollaire 9.12], the ramification of $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ is tamely ramified if and only if the Swan conductor $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)$ is 0 , or equivalently the total dimension $\operatorname{dt}(\chi)$ is 1 by (2).

According to Remark 1.6 (2), we introduce the types of $\chi$ for a character $\chi \in$ $H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ of $G_{K}$ that is wildly ramified.

Definition 1.7 (cf. [M, Definition 3.2.10]). Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p>0$. Let $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ be a character of $G_{K}$ such that $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)>0$ (or equivalently $\mathrm{dt}(\chi)>1$ by Remark $1.6(2)$ ).
(1) We say that a character $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ is of type I if $\mathrm{dt}(\chi)=\operatorname{sw}(\chi)+1$.
(2) We say that a character $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ is of type II if $\operatorname{dt}(\chi)=\operatorname{sw}(\chi)$.

Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p>0$. We recall two kinds of refined conductors $\operatorname{rsw}(\chi)$ and $\operatorname{char}(\chi)$ for a character $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ of $G_{K}$, which will appear as stalks of the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ defined in the next subsection. The refined conductors $\operatorname{rsw}(\chi)$ and $\operatorname{char}(\chi)$ are defined to be elements of graded quotients of the filtrations $\left\{\operatorname{fil}_{n} \Omega_{K}^{1}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{fil}_{m}^{1} \Omega_{K}^{1}\right\}_{m \in \mathbf{Z}}{ }_{>1}$ of the module $\Omega_{K}^{1}$ of differential forms, which are recalled in the following, respectively.

For a perfect field $k$ of characteristic $p>0$ and a $k$-algebra $A$, let $\Omega_{A}^{1}$ denote the module of differential forms:

$$
\Omega_{A}^{1}=\Omega_{A / A^{p}}^{1}=\Omega_{A / k}^{1} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ denote the valuation ring of $K$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{K}$ the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. Let $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1}(\log )$ be the module of logarithmic differential forms:

$$
\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1}(\log )=\left(\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1} \oplus\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} K^{\times}\right)\right) / B
$$

where $B$ is the sub $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-module of $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1} \oplus\left(\mathcal{O}_{K} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} K^{\times}\right)$generated by $(d a, 0)-(0, a \otimes a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{O}_{K}-\{0\}$. Let $d \log a \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1}(\log )$ denote the class of $(0,1 \otimes a)$ for $a \in K^{\times}$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} K \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\sim} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1}(\log ) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} K ; d a \otimes b \mapsto d a \otimes b, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the image of $d a \otimes a^{-1} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} K$ is $d \log a \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1}(\log ) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} K$ for $a \in$ $\mathcal{O}_{K}-\{0\}$. We define fil $\Omega_{K}^{1}$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and fil ${ }_{m}^{\prime} \Omega_{K}^{1}$ for $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ to be the images of the compositions

$$
\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1}(\log ) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-n} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1}(\log ) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} K \underset{\sim 1.6}{\simeq} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} K \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{K}^{1}
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-m} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} K \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{K}^{1}
$$

of the canonical morphisms, respectively. These two filtrations $\left\{\text { fil }_{n} \Omega_{K}^{1}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ and $\left\{\text { fil }_{m}^{\prime} \Omega_{K}^{1}\right\}_{m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ of $\Omega_{K}^{1}$ are increasing filtrations, since $\mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-m^{\prime}} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-n^{\prime}}$ if $m^{\prime} \leq n^{\prime}$. If $\pi$ is a uniformizer of $K$, then we have

$$
\operatorname{fil}_{n} \Omega_{K}^{1}=\left\{(\alpha+\beta d \log \pi) / \pi^{n} \mid \alpha \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1}, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{K}\right\} \subset \Omega_{K}^{1}
$$

for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and

$$
\operatorname{fil}_{m}^{\prime} \Omega_{K}^{1}=\left\{\alpha / \pi^{m} \mid \alpha \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}^{1}\right\} \subset \Omega_{K}^{1}
$$

for $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$.
We put $\mathrm{gr}_{n}=\mathrm{fil}_{n} / \mathrm{fil}_{n-1}$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $\mathrm{gr}_{m}^{\prime}=$ fil $_{m}^{\prime} /$ fil $_{m-1}^{\prime}$ for $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Then the morphism $\delta_{s}$ (1.3) for $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ induces the two morphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{s}^{(n)}: \operatorname{gr}_{n} W_{s}(K) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{n} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}), \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{s}^{\prime(m)}: \operatorname{gr}_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{m}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Let $-F^{s-1} d: W_{s}(K) \rightarrow \Omega_{K}^{1}$ be the morphism

$$
-F^{s-1} d: W_{s}(K) \rightarrow \Omega_{K}^{1} ;\left(a_{s-1}, \ldots, a_{0}\right) \mapsto-\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_{i}^{p^{i}-1} d a_{i} .
$$

Then the morphism $-F^{s-1} d$ induces for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ the morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{s}^{(n)}: \operatorname{gr}_{n} W_{s}(K) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{n} \Omega_{K}^{1} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $F_{K}$ denotes the residue field of $K$, then there exists for $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$ a unique morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{s}^{\prime(m)}: \operatorname{gr}_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{m}^{\prime} \Omega_{K}^{1} \otimes_{F_{K}} F_{K}^{1 / p} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the equalities

$$
\varphi_{s}^{\prime(m)}(\bar{a})= \begin{cases}\overline{-F^{s-1} d a} \otimes \overline{1} & ((m, p) \neq(2,2)), \\ \overline{-F^{s-1} d a} \otimes \overline{1}+\overline{d \pi / \pi^{2}} \otimes \sqrt{\overline{a_{0} \pi^{2}}} & ((m, p)=(2,2))\end{cases}
$$

for every $a=\left(a_{s-1}, \ldots, a_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{fil}_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K)$ and every uniformizer $\pi$ of $K$. The existence of $\varphi_{s}^{\prime(m)}$ (1.10) follows from [M, 3.2] for $(p, m) \neq(2,2)$ and [Y1, Proposition 1.17 (i)] for $(p, m)=(2,2)$.

Proposition 1.8 ([AS3, Proposition 10.7], [M, Proposition 3.2.3], [Y1, Proposition 1.17 (ii)]). Let the notation be as above.
(1) For $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, there exists a unique injection

$$
\phi^{(n)}: \operatorname{gr}_{n} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{n} \Omega_{K}^{1}
$$

such that the following diagram is commutative for every $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ :

(2) Let $F_{K}$ denote the residue field of $K$. For $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$, there exists a unique injection

$$
\phi^{\prime(m)}: \operatorname{gr}_{m}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{m}^{\prime} \Omega_{K}^{1} \otimes_{F_{K}} F_{K}^{1 / p}
$$

such that the following diagram is commutative for every $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ :


The following are the definitions of the refined conductors:
Definition 1.9 ([K1, (3.4.2)], [Y1, Definition 1.18]). Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p>0$. Let $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ be a character of $G_{K}$.
(1) Suppose that $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)=n \geq 1$ (Definition (1.5)(1)). Let $\bar{\chi}$ denote the image of $\chi$ in $\mathrm{gr}_{n} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$. Then we define the refined Swan conductor $\mathrm{rsw}(\chi)$ of $\chi$ to be the image of $\bar{\chi}$ by the morphism $\phi^{(n)}$ in Proposition 1.8 (1).
(2) Suppose that $\operatorname{dt}(\chi)=m \geq 2$ (Definition $1.5(2)$ ). Let $\bar{\chi}$ denote the image of $\chi$ in $\operatorname{gr}_{m}^{\prime} H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$. Then we define the characteristic form $\operatorname{char}(\chi)$ of $\chi$ to be the image of $\bar{\chi}$ by the morphism $\phi^{(m)}$ in Proposition 1.8 (2).

The next lemma is useful to compute the refined conductors.
Lemma 1.10 ([Y2, Lemmas 2.3, 2.11]). Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p>0$ and let $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ be a character of $G_{K}$. Let $a \in W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$ be an element of the Witt ring of the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ of $K$ of length $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ whose image by $\delta_{s}$ (1.3) is the $p$-part of $\chi$.
(1) Suppose that $a \in \operatorname{fil}_{n} W_{s}(K)$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ (Definition 1.1 (2)). Let $\bar{a}$ denote the image of $a$ in $\operatorname{gr}_{n} W_{s}(K)$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:
(a) $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)=n$.
(b) $\operatorname{rsw}(\chi)=\varphi_{s}^{(n)}(\bar{a})$, where the morphism $\varphi_{s}^{(n)}$ is as in (1.9).
(c) $\varphi_{s}^{(n)}(\bar{a}) \neq 0$ in $\operatorname{gr}_{n} \Omega_{K}^{1}$.
(2) Suppose that $a \in \operatorname{fil}_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K)$ for $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$ (Definition 1.1 (3)). Let $\bar{a}$ denote the image of $a$ in $\operatorname{gr}_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K)$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:
(a) $\operatorname{dt}(\chi)=m$.
(b) $\operatorname{char}(\chi)=\varphi_{s}^{\prime(m)}(\bar{a})$, where the morphism $\varphi_{s}^{\prime(m)}$ is as in (1.10).
(c) $\varphi_{s}^{\prime(m)}(\bar{a}) \neq 0$ in $\operatorname{gr}_{m}^{\prime} \Omega_{K}^{1}$.

Remark 1.11. Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic $p>0$ and let $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ be a character of $G_{K}$. If the refined conductors of $\chi$ are defined, namely if $\operatorname{sw}(\chi) \geq 1$ (or equivalently $\operatorname{dt}(\chi) \geq 2$ by Remark 1.6 (2)), then we have $\operatorname{rsw}(\chi) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{char}(\chi) \neq 0$ by Lemma 1.10.

Example 1.12. Let $\pi$ be a uniformizer of a complete discrete valuation field $K$ of characteristic $p>0$. Let $u$ be a unit of the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ of $K$. Suppose that the $p$-part of $\chi \in H^{1}(K, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z})$ is the image of $a=\left(u / \pi, u, u / \pi^{p^{2}}\right) \in W_{3}(K)$ by $\delta_{3}$ (1.3) and that the images $\overline{1}$ and $\bar{u}$ of $1 \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ and $u \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ in the residue field $F_{K}$ of $K$ are a part of a $p$-basis of $F_{K}$ over a perfect subfield of $F_{K}$. Then the smallest $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $a \in \operatorname{fil}_{n} W_{3}(K)$ and that $a \in \operatorname{fil}_{m}^{\prime} W_{3}(K)$ are $p^{2}$ and $p^{2}+1$, respectively. By the assumption that $\overline{1}$ and $\bar{u}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_{p}$, we have $\operatorname{sw}(\chi)=p^{2}$ and $\operatorname{dt}(\chi)=p^{2}+1$. Thus, by Lemma 1.10, we have $\operatorname{rsw}(\chi)=\varphi_{3}^{\left(p^{2}\right)}(a)=$ $\left(u^{p^{2}} d \log \pi-\left(u^{p^{2}-1}+1\right) d u\right) / \pi^{p^{2}}$ and $\operatorname{char}(\chi)=\varphi_{3}^{\prime\left(p^{2}+1\right)}(a)=u^{p^{2}} d \pi / \pi^{p^{2}+1}$.

### 1.2 Global definition

We define a refinement $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ of the mixed refined Swan conductor of $\mathcal{F}$ introduced in [M, 4.1] in Definition 1.22, The refinement $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is defined for $\mathcal{F}$ and a divisor $D^{\prime}$ on $X$ with simple normal crossings contained in $D$ (possibly $D^{\prime}=\emptyset$ as is explained in Conventions). The conductor $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is also a refinement of the refined Swan conductor of $\mathcal{F}$ and the characteristic form of $\mathcal{F}$ introduced in [K1] and [S3], respectively (see Remark 1.23 (4)). We construct the conductor char ${ }^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ by using the sheaf theory and following the constructions of conductors $\operatorname{rsw}(\chi)$ and $\operatorname{char}(\chi)$ in the previous subsection.

Let $W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U_{\text {et }}}\right)$ (resp. $\left.W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)\right)$ denote the étale (resp. Zariski) sheaf of Witt vectors of length $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $F: W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U_{\text {ett }}}\right) \rightarrow W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U_{\text {êt }}}\right)$ (resp. $\left.F: W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)\right)$ denote the Frobenius morphism:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F: W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U_{\text {et }}}\right) \rightarrow W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U_{\text {et }}}\right) ;\left(a_{s-1}, a_{s-2}, \ldots, a_{0}\right) \mapsto\left(a_{s-1}^{p}, a_{s-2}^{p}, \ldots, a_{0}^{p}\right) \\
\text { (resp. } \left.F: W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) ;\left(a_{s-1}, a_{s-2}, \ldots, a_{0}\right) \mapsto\left(a_{s-1}^{p}, a_{s-2}^{p}, \ldots, a_{0}^{p}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $j: U=X-D \rightarrow X$ be the canonical open immersion and let $\varepsilon: X_{\text {ét }} \rightarrow X_{\text {Zar }}$ be the canonical mapping from the étale site of $X$ to the Zariski site of $X$. Applying $(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*}$ to the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow W_{s}\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right) \rightarrow W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U_{\text {ett }}}\right) \xrightarrow{F-1} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U_{\text {ett }}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

of étale sheaves on $U$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow W_{s}\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right) \rightarrow j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \xrightarrow{F-1} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta_{s, j}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

of Zariski sheaves on $X$ by $R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U_{\text {ett }}}\right)=0$. If $V$ is an open subscheme of $X$, then the canonical morphism

$$
H_{\text {êt }}^{1}\left(U \cap V, \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(V, R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism, since $E_{2}^{1,0}=E_{2}^{2,0}=0$ for the spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{a, b}=H_{\mathrm{Zar}}^{a}\left(V, R^{b}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}\right) \Rightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^{a+b}\left(U \cap V, \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

If $D^{\prime}$ is a divisor on $X$ with simple normal crossings contained in $D$ and if $R=$ $\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} D_{i}$ with $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}$, then there is a canonical injection

$$
\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)(R) \rightarrow j_{*} j^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)
$$

Identifying $j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1}$ with $j_{*} j^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ by the canonical isomorphisms

$$
j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\sim} j_{*} j^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} j_{*} j^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right),
$$

we regard $\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)(R)$ as a subsheaf of $j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1}$.
Definition 1.13 (cf. [Y1, Definitions 1.25, 1.34]). Let $I^{\prime}$ be a subset of $I$ and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Let $R=\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} D_{i}$ with $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \in I^{\prime}$ and $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$. Let $j_{i}$ : Spec $K_{i} \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical morphism from the spectrum of the local field $K_{i}=\operatorname{Frac} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{D_{i}, \mathfrak{p}_{i}}$ at the generic point $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I$.
(1) We define a Zariski subsheaf $\mathrm{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ of $j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ to be the inverse image of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigoplus_{i \in I} j_{i *} \mathrm{fil}_{n_{i}} W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} j_{i *} \operatorname{fil}_{n_{i}}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right) \subset \bigoplus_{i \in I} j_{i *} W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the canonical morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} j_{i *} W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) We define a Zariski subsheaf $\operatorname{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}$ of $R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}$ to be the image of $\mathrm{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \subset j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ by $\delta_{s, j}$ (1.11).
(3) Assume that $D^{\prime}$ has simple normal crossings. We define a Zariski subsheaf fil $_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1}$ of $j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1}$ to be $\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)(R)$, which has been regarded as a subsheaf of $j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1}$.

Under the notation in Definition 1.13, let $Z=\operatorname{Supp}\left(R+D^{\prime}-D\right)$ be the support of $R+D^{\prime}-D$. Then we have $\mathrm{fil}_{R-Z}^{D^{\prime}} \subset \mathrm{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}}$ and we put

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}}=\operatorname{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} / \operatorname{fil}_{R-Z}^{D^{\prime}}
$$

Remark 1.14. Let the notation be as in Definition 1.13 .
(1) Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Then $\mathrm{fil}_{R}^{D}$ is the same as fil ${ }_{R}$ in Y1, Subsection 1.3] and fil ${ }_{R}^{\emptyset}$ is the same as fil $_{R}^{\prime}$ in [Y1, Subsection 1.4].
(2) Let $D^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$. Since $\operatorname{fil}_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K) \subset \operatorname{fil}_{m} W_{s}(K)$ for any $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and for any complete discrete valuation field $K$ of characteristic $p$ by (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime \prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \subset \operatorname{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inclusion (1.14) induces the canonical morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime \prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) For a morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ of smooth schemes over $k$ such that $h^{*} D_{i}$ for $i \in I^{\prime}$ and $\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }}=\left(D^{\prime} \times_{X} W\right)_{\text {red }}$ are divisors on $W$ with simple normal crossings and that $h^{*} D_{i}$ for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$ are smooth divisors on $W$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{*} \operatorname{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \subset \operatorname{fil}_{h^{*} R}^{\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right) \text { red } j_{*}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{h^{*} U}\right), ~, ~ . ~} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j^{\prime}: h^{*} U=U \times_{X} W \rightarrow W$ denotes the base change of $j: U \rightarrow X$ by $h$. Actually, let $\left\{E_{\theta}\right\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ be the irreducible components of $\left(h^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$ and let $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta$ be the index set of irreducible components of $\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }}$. We put $h^{*} R=\sum_{\theta \in \Theta} m_{\theta} E_{\theta}$, where $m_{\theta} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then $m_{\theta}$ for $\theta \in \Theta-\Theta^{\prime}$ is positive, since so is $n_{i}$ for $i \in$ $I-I^{\prime}$. Let $L_{\theta}$ denote the local field at the generic point of $E_{\theta}$ for $\theta \in \Theta$ and let $j_{\theta}^{\prime}: \operatorname{Spec} L_{\theta} \rightarrow W$ be the canonical morphism. Since fil ${ }_{m}^{\prime} W_{s}(K) \subset \operatorname{fil}_{m} W_{s}(K)$ for any $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and for any complete discrete valuation field $K$ of characteristic $p$ by (1.2), the image of the restriction of the canonical morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{*}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{h^{*} U}\right) \rightarrow j_{\theta *}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(L_{\theta}\right) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

to $h^{*} \mathrm{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ is contained in $j_{\theta *}^{\prime} \mathrm{fil}_{m_{\theta}} W_{s}\left(L_{\theta}\right)$ for $\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}$. Let $\theta \in \Theta-\Theta^{\prime}$ and let $I_{\theta}$ be the subset of $I$ consisting of $i \in I$ such that $E_{\theta} \subset h^{*} D_{i}$. Then we have $I_{\theta} \subset I-I^{\prime}$ and $m_{\theta}=\sum_{i \in I_{\theta}} n_{i}$. We put $s_{i}^{\prime}=\min \left\{s, \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(n_{i}\right)\right\}$ for $i \in I_{\theta}$ and $s^{\prime}=\min \left\{s, \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(m_{\theta}\right)\right\}$. Since $\min \left\{s_{i}^{\prime} \mid i \in I_{\theta}\right\} \leq s^{\prime}$, there is at least one $i \in I_{\theta}$ such that $s_{i}^{\prime} \leq s^{\prime}$, and the image of the restriction of (1.17) to $h^{*} \mathrm{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ is contained in

$$
j_{\theta *}^{\prime} \mathrm{fil}_{m_{\theta}-1} W_{s}\left(L_{\theta}\right)+j_{\theta *}^{\prime} V^{s-s^{\prime}} \mathrm{fil}_{m_{\theta}} W_{s^{\prime}}\left(L_{\theta}\right)=j_{\theta *}^{\prime} \mathrm{fil}_{m_{\theta}}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(L_{\theta}\right)
$$

Thus we have (1.16).
For a subset $I^{\prime} \subset I$ such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings and for $R=\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} D_{i}$, where $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \in I^{\prime}$ and $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$, we construct a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}: \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{Z^{1 / p}}=\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)(R)\right|_{Z^{1 / p}} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

to define the conductor $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$. Here the symbol $Z^{1 / p}$ in (1.18) denotes the radicial covering of $Z=\operatorname{Supp}\left(R+D^{\prime}-D\right)$ defined as follows.

Let $f: S \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$ be the structure morphism of a scheme $S$ over $k$. We define the radicial covering $S^{1 / p}$ of $S$ by the cartesian diagram

where the symbol $F_{k}$ denotes the absolute Frobenius morphism of Spec $k$. We regard $S^{1 / p}$ as a scheme over $S$ by the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{1 / p} \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{F_{S}} S \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the upper horizontal arrow in (1.19) and the absolute Frobenius $F_{S}$ of $S$. If $S$ is locally of finite type over $k$, then the composition (1.20) is a finite covering. If $T$ is a subscheme of $S$, then $T^{1 / p}$ is the fiber product of $T$ and $S^{1 / p}$ over $S$. If $\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h}$ are the irreducible components of $S$, then $\left\{S_{h}^{1 / p}\right\}_{h}$ are the irreducible components of $S^{1 / p}$.

For $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
-F^{s-1} d: j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the morphism locally defined by

$$
-F^{s-1} d a=-\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_{i}^{p^{i}-1} d a_{i}
$$

for $a=\left(a_{s-1}, \ldots, a_{0}\right) \in j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$. Then the morphism $-F^{s-1} d$ (1.21) induces the morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the morphism (1.22) induces the morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1}=\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{X} \mathcal{O}_{Z} \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we often denote $\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{O}_{Z}$ and $\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{O}_{Z^{1 / p}}$ by $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{Z}$ and $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{Z^{1 / p}}$, respectively.
Lemma 1.15. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings and let $R=\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} D_{i}$, where $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \in I^{\prime}$ and $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$. We put $Z=\operatorname{Supp}\left(R+D^{\prime}-D\right)$. For $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a unique morphism

$$
\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}: \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{Z^{1 / p}}=\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)(R)\right|_{Z^{1 / p}}
$$

such that the equations

$$
\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}(\bar{a})= \begin{cases}\overline{-F^{s-1} d a} \otimes \overline{1} & (p \neq 2)  \tag{1.24}\\ \overline{-F^{s-1} d a} \otimes \overline{1}+\sum_{\substack{i \in I-I^{\prime} \\ n_{i}=2}}\left(\overline{d t_{i} / t_{i}^{2}} \otimes \sqrt{\overline{a_{0} t_{i}^{2}}}\right) & (p=2)\end{cases}
$$

locally hold for the image $\bar{a}$ of every $a=\left(a_{s-1}, a_{s-2}, \ldots, a_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ in $\operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$, and for every local equation $t_{i}$ of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$ such that $n_{i}=2$ in the case where $p=2$.

Proof. We consider the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \xrightarrow{1.23} \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{Z^{1 / p}} \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the morphism (1.23) and the canonical injection. If $p \neq 2$, then the composition (1.25) satisfies the desired condition.

Suppose that $p=2$. Let $t_{i}$ be a local equation of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I$ and let $a=$ $\left(a_{s-1}, a_{s-2}, \ldots, a_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$. Take $i \in I-I^{\prime}$ such that $n_{i}=2$. Then we locally have

$$
\overline{d t_{i} / t_{i}^{2}} \otimes \sqrt{\overline{a_{0} t_{i}^{2}}}=\left.\overline{d t_{i} / \prod_{i^{\prime} \in I} t_{i^{i^{\prime}}}^{n_{i}}} \otimes \sqrt{\overline{a_{0} \prod_{i^{\prime} \in I} t_{i^{i^{\prime}}}^{n_{i^{\prime} \in I-\{i\}}} t_{i^{i^{\prime}}}}} \in \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)(R)\right|_{Z^{1 / 2}}
$$

where $\overline{a_{0} \prod_{i^{\prime} \in I} t_{i^{\prime}}^{n^{i^{\prime}}}} \in \mathcal{O}_{Z}$. If $u \in \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is locally a unit, then we locally have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{d\left(u t_{i}\right) /\left(u t_{i}\right)^{2}} \otimes \sqrt{\overline{a_{0}\left(u t_{i}\right)^{2}}} & =\overline{d t_{i} / t_{i}^{2}} \otimes \sqrt{\overline{a_{0} t_{i}^{2}}}+\overline{t_{i} d u / u t_{i}^{2}} \otimes \sqrt{\overline{a_{0} t_{i}^{2}}} \\
& =\overline{d t_{i} / t_{i}^{2}} \otimes \sqrt{\overline{a_{0} t_{i}^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)(R)\right|_{Z^{1 / 2}}$, where we applied $t_{i} \sqrt{\prod_{i^{\prime} \in I-\{i\}} t_{i^{i^{\prime}}}}=0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{1 / 2}}$ at the last equality. Therefore we can glue $\overline{d t_{i} / t_{i}^{2}} \otimes \sqrt{\overline{a_{0} t_{i}^{2}}}$, and there exists a unique mapping

$$
\varphi_{i}: \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{Z^{1 / 2}}
$$

locally defined by

$$
\left(\overline{a_{s-1}}, \overline{a_{s-2}}, \ldots, \overline{a_{0}}\right) \mapsto \overline{d t_{i} / t_{i}^{2}} \otimes \sqrt{\overline{a_{0} t_{i}^{2}}}
$$

Since $p=2$ and since we have

$$
\left(\overline{a_{s-1}}, \overline{a_{s-2}}, \ldots, \overline{a_{0}}\right)=\left(0,0, \ldots, \overline{a_{0}}\right)
$$

in $j_{i *} \operatorname{gr}_{2}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right)$ for $\left(\overline{a_{s-1}}, \overline{a_{s-2}}, \ldots, \overline{a_{0}}\right) \in \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$, the mapping $\varphi_{i}$ is a morphism. Then the sum of the composition (1.25) and $\varphi_{i^{\prime}}$ for all $i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime}$ such that $n_{i^{\prime}}=2$ is the desired morphism.

By the definitions of $\mathrm{fil}_{R-Z}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathrm{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}$ as the images of $\mathrm{fil}_{R-Z}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ and $\mathrm{fil}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ by $\delta_{s, j}$ (1.11), respectively, the morphism $\delta_{s, j}$ for $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ induces a surjection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}: \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z} \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We construct the morphism (1.18) as follows:

Proposition 1.16. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings and let $R=\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} D_{i}$ where $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \in I^{\prime}$ and $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$. We put $Z=\operatorname{Supp}\left(R+D^{\prime}-D\right)$. For each $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a unique morphism

$$
\phi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}: \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{Z^{1 / p}}=\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)(R)\right|_{Z^{1 / p}}
$$

such that the following diagram is commutative:

where $\delta_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ is as in (1.26) and $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ is as in Lemma 1.15.
Proof. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G} & =\bigoplus_{\substack{i \in I \\
n_{i}>0}} j_{i *} \mathrm{gr}_{n_{i}} H^{1}\left(K_{i}, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i \in I-I^{\prime} \\
n_{i}>1}} j_{i *} \operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}}^{\prime} H^{1}\left(K_{i}, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}\right), \\
\mathcal{H} & =\bigoplus_{\substack{i \in I \\
n_{i}>0}} j_{i *} \mathrm{gr}_{n_{i}} W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i \in I-I^{\prime} \\
n_{i}>1}} j_{i * \mathrm{gr}_{n_{i}}^{\prime}}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right), \\
\mathcal{I} & =\bigoplus_{\substack{i \in I \\
n_{i}>0}} j_{i *}\left(\operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i \in I-I^{\prime} \\
n_{i}>1}} j_{i *}\left(\operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}}^{\prime} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j_{i}$ : Spec $K_{i} \rightarrow X$ are the canonical morphisms. Let $\oplus \delta_{s}^{\left(n_{i}\right)}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ denote the direct sum of the morphisms defined by $\delta_{s}^{\left(n_{i}\right)}: \mathrm{gr}_{n_{i}} W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{n_{i}} H^{1}\left(K_{i}, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}\right)$ (1.7) for $i \in I^{\prime}$ such that $n_{i}>0$ and by $\delta_{s}^{\prime\left(n_{i}\right)}: \operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}}^{\prime} H^{1}\left(K_{i}, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}\right)$ (1.8) for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$ such that $n_{i}>1$. Let $\bigoplus \varphi_{s}^{\left(n_{i}\right)}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ be the direct sum of the morphisms defined by the compositions

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}} W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{s}^{\left(n_{i}\right)}} \operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}} \Omega_{K}^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}
$$

of $\varphi_{s}^{\left(n_{i}\right)}(1.9)$ and the canonical injections for $i \in I^{\prime}$ such that $n_{i}>0$ and those defined by the morphisms $\varphi_{s}^{\prime\left(n_{i}\right)}: \operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}}^{\prime} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}$ (1.10) for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$ such that $n_{i}>1$. We consider the commutative diagram

where the vertical arrows are canonical morphisms. Since $\operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}$ for $i \in I^{\prime}$ such that $n_{i}>0$ and $\operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}}^{\prime} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}$ for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$ such that $n_{i}>1$ are the stalks of the
locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{1 / p}}$-module $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)(R)\right|_{Z^{1 / p}}$ at the generic points of $D_{i}^{1 / p}$, respectively, the right vertical arrow in (1.28) is injective. By Proposition 1.8, the kernel of $\oplus \delta_{s}^{\left(n_{i}\right)}$ is equal to that of $\bigoplus \varphi_{s}^{\left(n_{i}\right)}$. By the commutativity of (1.28) and the injectivity of the right vertical arrow, the kernel of $\delta_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ is contained in the kernel of $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$. Hence the morphism $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ factors as the canonical surjection

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) / \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}
$$

followed by the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) / \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) / \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{Z^{1 / p}} \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the canonical surjection and the injection induced by $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$. Since $\delta_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ is surjective, the morphism $\delta_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) / \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z} . \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the composition of the inverse of (1.30) and the composition (1.29) is the desired morphism.

We construct the conductor $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$. In the rest of this article, we denote by $\chi: \pi^{\mathrm{ab}}(U) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$the character corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$, as is explained in Conventions. We fix an inclusion $\psi: \Lambda^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$ and regard $\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}: G_{K_{i}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$(the second paragraph in Conventions) as an element of $H^{1}\left(K_{i}, \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}\right)$ by $\psi$ for $i \in I$. Then we can consider the conductors defined in the previous subsection for $\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}$.

Definition 1.17. (1) We define a subset $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ of $I$ by

$$
I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=\left\{i \in I \mid \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)=0\right\}
$$

and define a subset $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ of $I$ by

$$
I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}=I-I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=\left\{i \in I \mid \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) \geq 1\right\} .
$$

(2) We define a subset $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$ of $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ by

$$
I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}=\left\{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}|\chi|_{K_{i}} \text { is of type } \mathrm{I}\right\},
$$

and define a subset $I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}$ of $I$ by

$$
I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}=I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}-I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}=\left\{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}|\chi|_{K_{i}} \text { is of type II }\right\}
$$

(see Definition 1.7 for the notion of the types of $\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}$ ).
If there is no risk of confusion, then we use $I_{*, \mathcal{F}}$ for $*=\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}$ independently on the symbol denoting the index set of irreducible components of a divisor.

Remark 1.18. Let the notation be as in Definition 1.17 .
(1) We have $I=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}} \sqcup I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ and $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}=I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \sqcup I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}$.
(2) By Remark 1.6 (1), the subset $I_{*, \mathcal{F}}$ of $I$ is dependent only on the $p$-part of $\chi$ for every $*=$ T, W, I, II.

Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Then we put

$$
\operatorname{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)= \begin{cases}\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) & \left(i \in I^{\prime}\right),  \tag{1.31}\\ \operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) & \left(i \in I-I^{\prime}\right)\end{cases}
$$

for $i \in I$.
Definition 1.19. (1) Let $I^{\prime}$ be a subset of $I$ and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. We define a divisor $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ on $X$ by

$$
R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\sum_{i \in I} \mathrm{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) D_{i}
$$

where $\mathrm{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ is as in (1.31).
(2) We define a closed subscheme $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $X$ to be the union of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) with the reduced subscheme structure:

$$
Z_{\mathcal{F}}=\bigcup_{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} D_{i} .
$$

Remark 1.20. Let the notation be as in Definition 1.19. The divisor $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ and the closed subscheme $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $X$ are dependent only on the $p$-part of $\chi$, since $\operatorname{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ for $i \in I$ and the subset $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}} \subset I$ are dependent only on the $p$-part of $\chi$ by Remarks 1.6 (1) and 1.18 (2), respectively.

Lemma 1.21. Let $I^{\prime}$ be a subset of $I$ and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Then the closed subscheme $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $X$ (Definition 1.19 (2)) is equal to the support of $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}+D^{\prime}-D$ (Definition 1.19 (1)). Consequently, the support of $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}+D^{\prime}-D$ is independent of the choice of a subset $I^{\prime}$ of $I$.

Proof. Since $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) if and only if $\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)>0$ for $i \in I$, the closed subscheme $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $X$ is equal to the support of

$$
R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}=\sum_{i \in I} \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) D_{i} .
$$

Since $\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)=1$ for $i \in I$, especially for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$, by Remark 1.6 (2), the support of

$$
R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}+D^{\prime}-D=\sum_{i \in I^{\prime}} \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) D_{i}+\sum_{i \in I-I^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)-1\right) D_{i}
$$

is equal to that of $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}$.

The conductor $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is defined to be a global section of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ as follows:

Definition 1.22 (cf. [M, 5.2]). Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\sum_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Suppose that the closed subscheme $Z_{\mathcal{F}}=\operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}+D^{\prime}-D\right)$ of $X$ (Definition 1.19, Lemma 1.21) is non-empty. Let $s \geq 0$ be the integer such that the order of the $p$-part of $\chi$ is $p^{s}$. We define the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ to be the image of the $p$-part of $\chi$ by the composition

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma\left(X, \operatorname{fil}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}\right)  \tag{1.32}\\
& \xrightarrow{\phi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.}(X)} \Gamma\left(X, \operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}}} \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}\right)=\Gamma\left(Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p},\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

of the canonical morphism and the morphism $\phi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}(X)$ constructed in Proposition 1.16.

Remark 1.23. Let the notation and the assumption be as in Definition 1.22,
(1) The $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is independent of the choice of $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that the order of the $p$-part of $\chi$ is $\leq p^{s}$. Actually, the following diagram is commutative for any $t \geq t^{\prime} \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma\left(X, \operatorname{fil}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{D_{2}}}}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{t^{\prime}} \mathbf{Z}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \operatorname{fil}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{t} \mathbf{Z}\right) \\
& \Gamma\left(X, \operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{t^{\prime}} \mathbf{Z}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{t} \mathbf{Z}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\phi_{t^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}(X)}{\Gamma\left(X, \operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}}} \mathcal{O}_{\left.Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)}\right), \phi_{t}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.}(X)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the morphisms in the square are canonical morphisms.
(2) The $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is dependent only on the $p$-part of $\chi$ by Remark 1.20 and the definition of $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ as the image of the $p$-part of $\chi$ by the morphism (1.32). Let $a$ be a section of $\operatorname{fil}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ whose image by $\delta_{s, j}$ (1.11) is locally the $p$-part of $\chi$. By the commutativity of the diagram (1.27) in Proposition 1.16, the log- $D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is locally equal to the image of the section $a$ of $\operatorname{fil}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{D}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ by the composition

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{fil}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)  \tag{1.33}\\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}} \operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{Z^{1 / p}}=\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}},
\end{align*}
$$

where the first arrow is the canonical surjection and $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ is the morphism constructed in Lemma 1.15 .
(3) We can characterize the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ as follows: We identify $\operatorname{rsw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ with its image in $\operatorname{gr}_{\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}$ by the canonical injection

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{\mathrm{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{\mathrm{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}
$$

for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)). Let $\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{\prime}$ denote the generic point of $D_{i}^{1 / p}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$. Then the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is a unique global section of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ whose stalk at the generic point $\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{\prime}$ of $D_{i}^{1 / p}$ is $\operatorname{rsw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ and $\operatorname{char}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ for $i \in\left(I-I^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ by (2) and the injectivity of the right vertical arrow in the commutative diagram (1.28) in the proof of Proposition 1.16.
(4) Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Then the $\log$ - $D$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D}(\mathcal{F})$ is equal to the image of the refined Swan conductor $\operatorname{rsw}(\chi)$ (K2, (3.4.2)]) by the canonical injection $\left.\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ by (3) and [M, Remark 3.3.12]. The $\log -\emptyset$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{\emptyset}(\mathcal{F})$ is equal to the characteristic form $\operatorname{char}(\chi)([\boxed{Y 1}$, Definition 1.42]), since the construction of $\operatorname{char}(\chi)$ is same as that of $\operatorname{char}^{\emptyset}(\mathcal{F})$. If $p \neq 2$ and if we put $D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}=\bigcup_{i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}} D_{i}$, then the $\log -D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})$ is equal to the mixed refined Swan conductor $\operatorname{mrsw}(\chi)([$ M, 5.2]) by (3).
(5) If $p \neq 2$ or if $\operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) \neq 2$ for every $i \in I-I^{\prime}$, then the image of the morphism $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ is contained in $\operatorname{gr}_{R}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1}$ by Lemma 1.15. Since the morphism $\delta_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.}$ (1.26) is surjective, we may replace $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}}$ in Proposition 1.16 and we can regard the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ as a global section of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}}$, if $p \neq 2$ or if $\operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) \neq 2$ for every $i \in I-I^{\prime}$. Especially the $\log$ - $D$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D}(\mathcal{F})$ can be regarded as a global section of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}}$, if $D$ has simple normal crossings.

### 1.3 Comparison of characteristic forms

We compare two characteristic forms in several settings. Let $x$ be a point on $X$. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{x}=\left\{i \in I \mid x \in D_{i}\right\} \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{*, \mathcal{F}, x}=\left\{i \in I_{*, \mathcal{F}} \mid x \in D_{i}\right\}=I_{*, \mathcal{F}} \cap I_{x} \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $*=\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}$ (Definition 1.17). If there is no risk of confusion, then we use $I_{x}$ and $I_{*, \mathcal{F}, x}$ for $*=\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}$ independently on the symbol denoting the index set of irreducible components of a divisor.

Lemma 1.24 (cf. [Y2, Lemma 2.24]). Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \subset I$ be subsets and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$. Let $x$ be a closed point of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)) and let $d=\operatorname{dim}_{x} X$ be the dimension of $X$ at $x$. We put $I_{x}=\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$ (1.34), $I_{x}^{\prime}=I^{\prime} \cap I_{x}=\left\{1,2, \ldots, r^{\prime}\right\}$ and $I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{x}=\left\{1,2, \ldots, r^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, where $r^{\prime \prime} \leq r^{\prime} \leq r \leq d$. Let $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{d}\right)$ be a local coordinate system at $x$ such that $t_{i}$ is a local equation of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I_{x}$. For the unique closed point $x^{\prime} \in Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ lying above x, we put

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})_{x^{\prime}} & =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r^{\prime}} \alpha_{i} d \log t_{i}+\sum_{i=r^{\prime}+1}^{d} \beta_{i} d t_{i}\right) / \prod_{i=1}^{r} t_{i}^{n_{i}},  \tag{1.36}\\
\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime \prime}}(\mathcal{F})_{x^{\prime}} & =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r^{\prime \prime}} \alpha_{i}^{\prime} d \log t_{i}+\sum_{i=r^{\prime \prime}+1}^{d} \beta_{i}^{\prime} d t_{i}\right) / \prod_{i=1}^{r} t_{i}^{m_{i}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i} D_{i}$ (Definition 1.19 (1)), $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime \prime}}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i} D_{i}$, and $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \alpha_{i}^{\prime}$, $\beta_{i}^{\prime}$ are elements of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}, x^{\prime}}$ of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ at $x^{\prime}$.
(1) We put $J_{x}=I_{x}^{\prime}-\left(I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}, x} \cup I_{x}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (1.35). Then we have the following for $i \in I_{x}$ :

$$
\begin{cases}\alpha_{i}^{\prime}=\alpha_{i} \prod_{i^{\prime} \in J_{x}} t_{i^{\prime}} & \left(i \in I^{\prime \prime}\right), \\ \beta_{i}^{\prime}=\alpha_{i} \prod_{i^{\prime} \in J_{x}-\{i\}} t_{i^{\prime}} & \left(i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}-I^{\prime \prime}\right), \\ \beta_{i}^{\prime} \in \prod_{i^{\prime} \in J_{x}} t_{i^{\prime}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}, x^{\prime}} & \left(i \in I^{\prime} \cap\left(I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}\right)-I^{\prime \prime}\right), \\ \beta_{i}^{\prime}=\beta_{i} \prod_{i^{\prime} \in J_{x}} t_{i^{\prime}} & \left(i \in I-I^{\prime} \text { or } i=r+1, r+2, \ldots, d\right) .\end{cases}
$$

(2) Let $i \in I_{x}^{\prime}$. Then we have $\alpha_{i} \in t_{i} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}, x^{\prime}}$ if and only if $i \in I^{\prime} \cap\left(I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}\right)$.

Proof. (1) The assertion follows similarly as the proof of [Y2, Lemma 2.24] with the following relations between $n_{i}$ and $m_{i}$ for $i \in I_{x}$ :

$$
m_{i}= \begin{cases}n_{i}+1 & \left(i \in\left(I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap\left(I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}\right)\right) \\ n_{i} & \left(i \in I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}} \text { or } i \in\left(I-I^{\prime}\right) \cup I^{\prime \prime}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

(2) By (1) applied to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$, the assertion in the case where $I=I^{\prime}$ deduces that in the general case. Hence we may assume that $I^{\prime}=I$. If $i \in I^{\prime} \cap\left(I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}\right)=I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$, then we have $\alpha_{i} \in t_{i} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}, x^{\prime}}$ by [Y2, Lemma 2.24]. The converse also holds by [Y2, Lemma 2.23 (ii)].

Lemma 1.25. Let $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \subset I$ be two subsets and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$. Suppose that $D^{\prime}$ has simple normal crossings and that $I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}$ is contained in $I_{I I, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (2)). Then we have $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime \prime}}$ (Definition 1.19 (1)) and the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$ characteristic form char ${ }^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is the image of the $\log -D^{\prime \prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime \prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ by the canonical morphism

$$
\Gamma\left(Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p},\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p},\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}\right)
$$

where $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ is as in Definition 1.19 (2).

Proof. Since we have $\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ for $i \in I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} & =\sum_{i \in I^{\prime}} \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) D_{i}+\sum_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} \operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) D_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) D_{i}+\sum_{i \in I-I^{\prime \prime}} \operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) D_{i}=R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime \prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Remark 1.23 (2), we may assume that $\chi$ is of order $p^{s}$ for $s \in Z_{\geq 0}$. By (1.14), we have $\operatorname{fil}_{R_{F}^{D^{\prime \prime}}}^{D_{*}^{\prime \prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \subset \operatorname{fil}_{R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$. Let $a$ be a section of $\operatorname{fil}_{R_{F}^{D^{\prime \prime}}}^{D_{*}^{\prime \prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \subset$ $\mathrm{fil}_{R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ whose image by $\delta_{s, j}(1.11)$ is locally $\chi$ and let $\bar{a}$ denote both the images of $a$ in $\operatorname{gr}_{R_{F}^{D^{\prime \prime}}}^{D^{\prime \prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ and $\operatorname{gr}_{R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$. Since the assertion is local by Remark 1.23 (3) and since the characteristic forms $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime \prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ are locally the images of $\bar{a}$ by the morphisms $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime \prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right.}$ and $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right)}$ constructed in Lemma 1.15, respectively, by Remark 1.23 (2), it is sufficient to prove the commutativity of the diagram

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{gr}_{R_{F}^{D_{F}^{\prime \prime}}}^{D_{*}^{\prime \prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { 1.15 }} \operatorname{gr}_{R_{F}^{D_{F}^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)  \tag{1.37}\\
& \varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime \prime} \subset D, R D_{F}^{D^{\prime \prime}}\right)} \downarrow \quad \varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R D_{F}^{D^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \left.\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}},
\end{align*}
$$

where the lower horizontal arrow is the canonical morphism. We put $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime \prime}}=\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} D_{i}$. Since $n_{i^{\prime}}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition $\left.1.17(1)\right)$ are positive, the image of $d t_{i} / t_{i}^{2}$ by the lower horizontal arrow is

$$
d t_{i} / t_{i}^{2}=\prod_{i^{\prime} \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}-\{i\}}} t_{i^{\prime}}^{n_{i^{\prime}}} \cdot t_{i} d \log t_{i} / \prod_{i^{\prime} \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} t_{i^{\prime}}^{n_{i^{\prime}}}=0
$$

for $i \in I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}$ such that $n_{i}=2$ and for a local equation $t_{i}$ of $D_{i}$. Hence the diagram (1.37) is commutative by the constructions of $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime \prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime \prime}}\right)}$ and $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ in Lemma 1.15

Lemma 1.26. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \cap I_{T, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) be a subset and let $E=\bigcup_{i \in I-I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$ and $E^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $V=X-E$ whose associated character $\chi^{\prime}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$has the p-part inducing that of $\chi$. Then we have $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}\left(\right.$ Definition 1.19 (1)) and $Z_{\mathcal{F}}=Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)). The log-$D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is the image of the $\log$ - $E^{\prime}$-characteristic form char ${ }^{E^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ by the canonical morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{1 / p},\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log E^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime \prime}} ^{1 / p}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p},\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}\right) \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We prove the assertions similarly as the proof of Lemma 1.25. By Remark 1.6 (1), we have $\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi^{\prime}\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)=\operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi^{\prime}\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ for $i \in I-I^{\prime \prime}$. Since we have $\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)=0$ for $i \in I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ and since $I-I^{\prime}=\left(I-I^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left(I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} & =\sum_{i \in I^{\prime}} \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) D_{i}+\sum_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} \operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) D_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i \in I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}} \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi^{\prime}\right|_{K_{i}}\right) D_{i}+\sum_{i \in\left(I-I^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left(I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}\right)} \operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi^{\prime}\right|_{K_{i}}\right) D_{i}=R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the equalities $D-D^{\prime}=\sum_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} D_{i}=E-E^{\prime}$ of sums of divisors and by Lemma 1.21, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{\mathcal{F}} & =\operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}+D^{\prime}-D\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}+E^{\prime}-E\right)=Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Remark 1.23 (2), we may assume that both $\chi$ and $\chi^{\prime}$ are of orders powers of $p$. Let $s \geq 0$ be an integer such that the order of $\chi^{\prime}$ is $p^{s}$. Let $j^{\prime}: V \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical open immersion. We regard fil ${R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}}_{E_{*}^{\prime}}^{\prime} j_{*}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right)$ as a subsheaf of fil $R_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ by the injection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{fil}_{R_{F^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}}^{E_{*}^{\prime}} j^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{fil}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \tag{1.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

induced by the canonical injection $j_{*}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right) \rightarrow j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$. Let $a$ be a section of $\operatorname{fil}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{E^{\prime}}}^{E_{*}^{\prime}} j_{*}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right) \subset \operatorname{fil}_{R_{F}^{D_{F}^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ whose image by the morphism $\delta_{s, j^{\prime}}: j_{*}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow$ $R^{1}\left(\varepsilon \circ j^{\prime}\right)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}(1.11)$ is locally $\chi^{\prime}$. Then $\chi$ is locally the image of $a$ by $\delta_{s, j}$. Let $\bar{a}$ denote the images of $a$ in $\operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}}^{E_{*}^{\prime}} j_{*}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right)$ and $\operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$. Since $R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}=R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ and $Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{1 / p}=Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$, the morphism (1.39) induces the morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}}^{E_{*}^{\prime}} j^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can consider the diagram

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{E^{\prime}}}^{E_{*}^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right) \xrightarrow{1.40} \operatorname{gr}_{R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)  \tag{1.41}\\
& \varphi_{s}^{\left(E^{\prime} \subset E, R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}\right)} \downarrow \quad \varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \left.\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log E^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{1 / p}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}},
\end{align*}
$$

where the lower horizontal arrow is the canonical morphism defining the morphism (1.38). Then the diagram (1.41) is commutative by the constructions of the morphisms $\varphi_{s}^{\left(E^{\prime} \subset E, R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{\left.E^{\prime}\right)}\right.}$ and $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ in Lemma 1.15, and the assertion follows, since the assertion is local by Remark 1.23 (3) and since the characteristic forms char ${ }^{E^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ are locally the images of $\bar{a}$ by the morphisms $\varphi_{s}^{\left(E^{\prime} \subset E, R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}\right)}$ and $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$, respectively, by Remark 1.23 (2).

Lemma 1.27. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings and that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) is contained in $I^{\prime}$. Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of smooth schemes over $k$. Suppose that the pull-backs $h^{*} D_{i}=D_{i} \times_{X} W$ for all $i \in I^{\prime}$ and $\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }}=\left(D^{\prime} \times_{X} W\right)_{\text {red }}$ are divisors on $W$ with simple normal crossings and that the pull-backs $h^{*} D_{i}$ for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$ are smooth divisors on $W$. Let $d h_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(h^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ denote the image by the morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}},\right. & \left.\left.h^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}\right) \\
& \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}},\left.\Omega_{W}^{1}\left(\log \left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}\right)\left(h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

induced by $h$ of the pull-back

$$
h^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F}) \in \Gamma\left(\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}},\left.h^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}\right)
$$

of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ by the morphism $\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }} \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ induced by $h$.
(1) Let $\left\{E_{\theta}\right\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ be the irreducible components of $\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}$. Let $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta$ be the index set of the irreducible components of $\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\text {red }}$ contained in $h^{*} D^{\prime}$ and let $L_{\theta}=$ Frac $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{W, \mathfrak{q}_{\theta}}$ denote the local field at the generic point $\mathfrak{q}_{\theta}$ of $E_{\theta}$ for $\theta \in \Theta$. Let $\mathfrak{q}_{\theta}^{\prime}$ be the unique point on $E_{\theta}^{1 / p}$ lying above $\mathfrak{q}_{\theta}$ for $\theta \in \Theta$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:
(a) $\left.\left(d h_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(h^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)\right)\right|_{E_{\theta}^{1 / p}} \neq 0$ for every $\theta \in \Theta$.
(b) $R_{h^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}=h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$.
(c) $\left(d h_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(h^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)\right)_{\mathrm{q}_{\theta}^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{rsw}\left(\left.h^{*} \chi\right|_{L_{\theta}}\right) & \left(\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}\right), \\ \operatorname{char}\left(\left.h^{*} \chi\right|_{L_{\theta}}\right) & \left(\theta \in \Theta-\Theta^{\prime}\right) .\end{cases}$
(2) If the equivalent conditions (a), (b), and (c) in (1) hold, then we have two equalities

$$
Z_{h^{*} \mathcal{F}}=\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}, \quad \operatorname{char}^{\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}\left(h^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=d h_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(h^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)
$$

Proof. By Remark 1.20 and Remark 1.23 (2), we may assume that $\chi$ is of order a power of $p$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is unramified along $D_{i}$ for every $i \in I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ by Remark 1.6 (3) and so is $h^{*} \mathcal{F}$ along every irreducible component of $h^{*} D$ not contained in $\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\text {red }}$. Therefore we have $Z_{h^{*} \mathcal{F}} \subset\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\text {red }}$.
(1) Let $s \geq 0$ be the integer such that the order of $\chi$ is $p^{s}$. Since the assertion is local, we may assume that $\chi$ is the image of a global section $a=\left(a_{s-1}, \ldots, a_{1}, a_{0}\right)$ of $\operatorname{fil}_{R_{F}^{D}}^{D_{F}^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ by $\delta_{s, j}(X): \Gamma\left(X, j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}\right)$ (1.11). Let $j^{\prime}: h^{*} U=U \times_{X} W \rightarrow W$ be the base change of $j: U \rightarrow X$ by $h$ and let $\varepsilon^{\prime}: W_{\text {ét }} \rightarrow W_{\text {Zar }}$ be the canonical mapping from the étale site of $W$ to the Zariski site of $W$. Then the pull-back $h^{*} \chi \in \Gamma\left(W, R^{1}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} \circ j^{\prime}\right)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is the image of $h^{*} a=\left(h^{*} a_{s-1}, \ldots, h^{*} a_{1}, h^{*} a_{0}\right) \in$
$\Gamma\left(W, h^{*} \operatorname{fil}_{R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)\right)$ by the morphism $\delta_{s, j^{\prime}}(W): \Gamma\left(W, j_{*}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{h^{*} U}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(W, R^{1}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} \circ\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.j^{\prime}\right)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}\right)$. We regard $h^{*} a$ as a global section of fil $h_{h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }} j_{*}^{\prime}} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{h^{*} U}\right)$ by the inclusion (1.16) in Remark 1.14 (3), and denote the image of $h^{*} a$ in $\Gamma\left(W, \operatorname{gr}_{h^{*} R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}}^{\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right) \text { red }} j_{*}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{h^{*} U}\right)\right)$ by $\overline{h^{*} a}$.

We prove that $d h_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(h^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ is the image of $\overline{h^{*} a}$ by the morphism $\varphi_{s}^{\left(\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }} \subset\left(h^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}, h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}(W)$ constructed in Lemma 1.15, We put $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} D_{i}$. Then we have $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\sum_{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} n_{i} D_{i}$, since $n_{i}=0$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}} \subset I^{\prime}$. Hence we can put $h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\sum_{\theta \in \Theta} m_{\theta} E_{\theta}$. Let $\Theta_{i^{\prime}}=\left\{\theta \in \Theta \mid E_{\theta} \subset h^{*} D_{i^{\prime}}\right\}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$. If $p=2$ and if $i$ is an element of $I-I^{\prime}$ such that $n_{i}=2$, then we locally have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{*}\left(\sqrt{\overline{a_{0} t_{i}^{2}}} d t_{i} / t_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& =\sqrt{\overline{\left(h^{*} a_{0}\right) \prod_{\theta^{\prime} \in \Theta_{i}} s_{\theta^{\prime}}^{2}}} d \prod_{\theta^{\prime} \in \Theta_{i}} s_{\theta^{\prime}} / \prod_{\theta^{\prime} \in \Theta_{i}} s_{\theta^{\prime}}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{\theta \in \Theta_{i}} \sqrt{\overline{\left(h^{*} a_{0}\right) \prod_{\theta^{\prime} \in \Theta_{i}} s_{\theta^{\prime}}^{2 m_{\theta^{\prime}}-2} \prod_{\theta^{\prime \prime} \in \Theta-\Theta_{i}} s_{\theta^{\prime \prime}}^{2 m_{\theta^{\prime \prime}}}} \prod_{\theta^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \Theta_{i}-\{\theta\}} s_{\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}} d s_{\theta} / \prod_{\theta^{\prime \prime \prime \prime} \in \Theta} s_{\theta^{\prime \prime \prime \prime \prime}}^{m_{\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}}}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\theta \in \Theta_{i} \\
m_{\theta}=2}} \sqrt{\overline{\left(h^{*} a_{0}\right) s_{\theta}^{2}}} d s_{\theta} / s_{\theta}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\left.\Omega_{W}^{1}\left(\log \left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }}\right)\left(h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}$, where $t_{i^{\prime}}$ is a local equation of $D_{i^{\prime}}$ for $i^{\prime} \in$ $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ and $s_{\theta}$ is a local equation of $E_{\theta}$ for $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $h^{*} t_{i}=\prod_{\theta \in \Theta_{i}} s_{\theta}$. Hence $d h_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(h^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ is the image of $\overline{h^{*} a}$ by $\varphi_{s}^{\left(\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }} \subset\left(h^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}, h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.}(W)$ by the construction of $\varphi_{s}^{\left(\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }} \subset\left(h^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }} h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ in Lemma 1.15.

We prove the equivalence of the conditions (a), (b), and (c). Let $j_{\theta}^{\prime}: \operatorname{Spec} L_{\theta} \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical morphism for $\theta \in \Theta$. We consider the commutative diagrams (1.42)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma\left(W, \operatorname{gr}_{h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)} j_{*}^{\text {red }} j^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{h^{*} U}\right)\right) \longrightarrow\left(W,\left.\Omega_{W}^{1}\left(\log \left(h^{*} D\right)_{\mathrm{red}}\right)\left(h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}\right) \\
& \operatorname{gr}_{m_{\theta}} W_{s}\left(L_{\theta}\right) \xrightarrow[\varphi_{s}^{\left(m_{\theta}\right)}]{ } \operatorname{gr}_{m_{\theta}} \Omega_{L_{\theta}}^{1} \otimes_{F_{L_{\theta}}} F_{L_{\theta}}^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(W, \operatorname{gr}_{h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }}} j_{*}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{h^{*} U}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{m_{\theta}}^{\prime} W_{s}\left(L_{\theta}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{m_{\theta}}^{\prime} \Omega_{L_{\theta}}^{1} \otimes_{F_{L_{\theta}}} F_{L_{\theta}}^{1 / p} \tag{1.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\theta \in \Theta-\Theta^{\prime}$, where the upper horizontal arrows are $\varphi_{s}^{\left(\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }} \subset\left(h^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}, h^{*} R_{F}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.}(W)$ and the vertical arrows are the canonical morphisms. Then the condition (a) is equivalent to that the image of $\overline{h^{*} a}$ by the composition of the upper horizontal arrow and the right vertical arrow in (1.42) is not 0 for every $\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}$ and neither is that in (1.43) for every $\theta \in \Theta-\Theta^{\prime}$. Since the diagrams (1.42) and (1.43) are commutative, the last condition is equivalent to both the conditions (b) and (c) by Lemma 1.10 .
(2) Suppose that the equivalent conditions (a), (b), and (c) in (1) hold. Then we have
$Z_{h^{*} \mathcal{F}}=\operatorname{Supp}\left(R_{h^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }}}+\left(h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }}-\left(h^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}\right) \supset \operatorname{Supp} h^{*}\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}+D^{\prime}-D\right)=\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\text {red }}$
by the condition (b). Since $Z_{h^{*} \mathcal{F}} \subset\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\text {red }}$, we obtain the first equality. The second equality holds by the first equality, by the condition (c), and by Remark 1.23 (3).

Remark 1.28. Let the notation be as in Lemma 1.27 ,
(1) If $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ can be regarded as a global section of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}}$ (see Remark 1.23 (5)), we can remove the index $1 / p$ everywhere in Lemma 1.27 and its proof.
(2) The assumptions on $h: W \rightarrow X$ in Lemma 1.27 are satisfied in the following cases:
(a) $h: W \rightarrow X$ is the blow-up of $X$ along an intersection $Y$ of irreducible components of $D^{\prime}$, where $Y$ is regarded as a closed subscheme of $X$ with the reduced subscheme structure.
(b) $h: W \rightarrow X$ is $C_{D^{\prime} \subset D^{-} \text {-transversal (see Subsection 4.1). }}^{\text {4 }}$.

### 1.4 Log- $D^{\prime}$-cleanliness

We introduce the notion that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ for a divisor $D^{\prime}$ on $X$ with simple normal crossings contained in $D$.

Definition 1.29 (cf. [K2, (3.4.3)], [S3, Definition 2.17]). Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings.
(1) Let $x \in X$. We say that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at $x$ if one of the following two conditions is satisfied:
(a) $x \notin Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)).
(b) $x \in Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ and the germ $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})_{x^{\prime}}$ of the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ at the unique point $x^{\prime}$ on $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ lying above $x$ is a part of a basis of the free $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}, x^{\prime}}$-module $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}, x^{\prime}}$.
(2) We say that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ if the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at every $x \in X$.

Remark 1.30. Let the notation be as in Definition 1.29 ,
(1) The $\log -D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ is equivalent to the $\log$ -$D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ at every point on $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$. If $I=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)), then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$, since $Z_{\mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$.
(2) The $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ is an open condition on $X$, since the condition (b) in Definition $1.29(1)$ is an open condition on $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$. Since the germ $\operatorname{rsw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ or $\operatorname{char}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ of $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ at the generic point of $D_{i}^{1 / p} \subset Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ is not 0 by Remark 1.11, the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ outside a closed subset of $X$ of codimension $\geq 2$.
(3) Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Then the log- $D$-cleanliness is the same as the cleanliness in the sense of [K2, (3.4.3)]. The log- $\emptyset$-cleanliness is the same as the non-degeneration in the sense of [S3, Definition 4.2].

Lemma 1.31. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings.
(1) Let $x$ be a closed point of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)) and let $x^{\prime}$ be the unique point on $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ lying above $x$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:
(a) The ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at $x$.
(b) $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\left(x^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$.
(2) The following two conditions are equivalent:
(a) The ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$.
(b) $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\left(x^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ for all closed point $x^{\prime}$ of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$.

Proof. (1) By Nakayama's lemma, the condition (b) is equivalent to the condition (b) in Definition 1.29 (1), and is equivalent to the condition (a).
(2) By (1), the condition (b) is equivalent to that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$ clean along $D$ at every closed point of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$. By Remark 1.30 (2), the last condition is equivalent to that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at every point on $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$. By Remark 1.30 (1), the last condition is equivalent to the condition (a).

Definition 1.32. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Let $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)).
(1) We define a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F}):\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(-R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{D_{i}^{1 / p}} \xrightarrow{\times\left.\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right|_{D_{i}^{1 / p}}} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{D_{i}^{1 / p}} \tag{1.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

of locally free sheaves on $D_{i}^{1 / p}$ to be the multiplication by the restriction $\left.\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right|_{D_{i}^{1 / p}}$ of $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ to $D_{i}^{1 / p}$. Here $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ is as in Definition 1.19 (1).
(2) We define a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F}):\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(-R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}\right)\right|_{D_{i}^{1 / p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{i}^{1 / p}} \tag{1.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

of invertible sheaves on $D_{i}^{1 / p}$ to be the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F}):\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(-R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{D_{i}^{1 / p}} \xrightarrow{m_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{D_{i}^{1 / p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{i}^{1 / p}} \tag{1.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $m_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ and the base change of the residue mapping res ${ }_{i}:\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{D_{i}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}$ by the canonical morphism $D_{i}^{1 / p} \rightarrow D_{i}$.

Remark 1.33. Let the assumption and the notation be as in Definition 1.32.
(1) If the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$, then the morphism $m_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ is injective, since the germ $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})_{x^{\prime}}$ at $x^{\prime} \in D_{i}^{1 / p}$ is a part of a basis of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{D_{i}^{1 / p}, x^{\prime}}$ for every $x^{\prime} \in D_{i}^{1 / p}$.
(2) Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Since the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is locally the image of a section by the morphism $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{O}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ constructed in Lemma 1.15 by Remark $1.23(2)$, we can regard $\xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ as a morphism from $\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(-R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{D_{i}}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}$ for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ by the construction of $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ in Lemma 1.15.
(3) Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings and that $D^{\prime}=D$. Then we may replace $D_{i}^{1 / p}$ by $D_{i}$ in Definition 1.32 by Remark 1.23 (5). We denote by $\xi_{i}(\mathcal{F})$ the morphism $\xi_{i}^{D}(\mathcal{F})$ with $D_{i}^{1 / p}$ replaced by $D_{i}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}(\mathcal{F}):\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(-R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}\right)\right|_{D_{i}} \xrightarrow{\times\left.\operatorname{char}^{D}(\mathcal{F})\right|_{D_{i}}} \Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right|_{D_{i}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{res}_{i}} \mathcal{O}_{D_{i}} . \tag{1.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the image of $\xi_{i}(\mathcal{F})$ in the residue field $k(x)$ at some closed point $x$ of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not 0 , then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D$-clean along $D$ at $x$ by Lemma 1.31 (1).

Lemma 1.34. Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Let $x$ be a closed point of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19).
(1) If the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at $x$, then $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ (1.35) is contained in $I^{\prime}$ and the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}-I^{\prime}$ (1.35) is $\leq 1$.
(2) Suppose that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, x} \subset I^{\prime}$ and that the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}-I^{\prime}$ is 1 . Then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at $x$ if and only if the image of $\xi_{i}(\mathcal{F})$ (1.47) for the unique $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}-I^{\prime}$ in the residue field $k(x)$ at $x$ is not 0 .

Proof. Let $x^{\prime}$ be the unique closed point of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ lying above $x$.
(1) We prove the contrapositive of the statement. We put $I_{x}^{\prime}=I^{\prime} \cap I_{x}$ (1.34). If the cardinality of $J_{x}=I_{x}-\left(I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}, x} \cup I_{x}^{\prime}\right)$ is $\geq 2$ or if $J_{x} \cap I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ is non-empty, then we
have $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0$ by Lemma $1.24(1)$ applied to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$. Hence the assertion holds by Lemma 1.31 (1).
(2) Let $i$ be the unique element of $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}-I^{\prime}$. By Lemma 1.24 (1) applied to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$, the image of $\xi_{i}(\mathcal{F})$ in $k(x)$ is not 0 if and only if $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\left(x^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$. By Lemma $1.31(1)$, the last condition is equivalent to that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at $x$.

In the rest of this article, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{*, \mathcal{F}}=\bigcup_{i \in I_{*, \mathcal{F}}} D_{i} \tag{1.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $*=\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{I}$, II (Definition 1.17). If $I_{*, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$, then we have $D_{*, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$ for $*=$ $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}$, by convention. For $*=\mathrm{W}$, we have $D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}=Z_{\mathcal{F}}($ Definition 1.19 (2)). For a subset $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{I^{\prime \prime}}=\bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}, \tag{1.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{I^{\prime \prime}}=X$ if $I^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$. We should distinguish the three similar notations $D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$, $D_{I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}$, and $D_{I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}}$, where $x$ is a point on $D$; the first $D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$ is the union of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$ as in (1.48) and the second $D_{I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}$ (resp. the third $D_{I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}}$ ) is the intersection of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$ (resp. for $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ ) as in (1.49). If there is no risk of confusion, then we use $D_{*, \mathcal{F}}, D_{I_{*, \mathcal{F}}}$, and $D_{I_{*, \mathcal{F}, x}}$ for $*=\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}$ and for a point $x$ on a divisor with smooth irreducible components independently on the symbol denoting the divisor.

We compare the logarithmic cleanliness among several settings. As a consequence of the comparisons, we prove that the $\log -D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$-cleanliness is the weakest condition among the log- $D^{\prime}$-cleanliness for divisors $D^{\prime} \subset D$ on $X$ when $D$ has simple normal crossings.

Lemma 1.35 (cf. [Y2, Lemma 2.28 (i)]). Let $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \subset I$ be subsets such that $I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}$ is contained in $I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (2)) and that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Let $x$ be a closed point of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)). Suppose that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at $x$. Then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime \prime}$-clean along $D$ at $x$ for $D^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$. Consequently, the log- $D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ implies the $\log -D^{\prime \prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$.

Proof. By Lemma $1.31(1)$, we have $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\left(x^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ for the closed point $x^{\prime}$ of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ lying above $x$. Thus we have $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime \prime}}(\mathcal{F})\left(x^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ by Lemma 1.25, and first the assertion holds by Lemma 1.31 (1). The last assertion follows from the first assertion and Remarks 1.30 (1) and (2).

Lemma 1.36 (cf. [Y2, Lemma 2.26 (ii)]). Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Let $x$ be a closed point of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)). Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at $x$. Then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is not $\operatorname{log-\emptyset -clean~along~} D$ at $x$ if and only if one of the following three conditions holds:
(1) $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, x} \neq \emptyset$ (1.35).
(2) $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, x}=\emptyset, I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x} \subset I^{\prime}$, the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ (1.35) is 1 , and the image of $\xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ (1.47) in $k(x)$ is 0 for the unique $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}$.
(3) $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, x}=\emptyset$ and the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ is $\geq 2$.

Proof. If one of the conditions (1) and (3) holds, then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is not $\log -\emptyset$-clean along $D$ at $x$ by Lemma 1.34 (1) applied to the case where $I^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Hence we may assume that neither the condition (1) nor the condition (3) holds. Then we have $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, x}=\emptyset$ and the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ is $\leq 1$. If $I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}=\emptyset$, then we have $I^{\prime} \cap I_{x} \subset I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}, x}(1.34)$, (1.35)), and the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $\emptyset$-clean along $D$ at $x$ by Lemma 1.35 applied to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I^{\prime}, \emptyset\right)$. Thus we may assume that the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ is 1 and that $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x} \subset I^{\prime}$. Then the image of $\xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ in $k(x)$ for the unique $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ is equal to that of $\xi_{i}(\mathcal{F})$ (1.47) in $k(x)$ by Lemma 1.24 (1) applied to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore the desired equivalence follows from Lemma $1.34(2)$ with $I^{\prime}=\emptyset$.
Lemma 1.37 (cf. [Y2, Lemma 2.28 (i)]). Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Let $x$ be a closed point of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)). Suppose that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at $x$. Let $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) be a subset and let $E=\bigcup_{i \in I-I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$ and $E^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $V=X-E$ whose associated character $\chi^{\prime}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$has the p-part inducing the $p$-part of $\chi$. Then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is $\log -E^{\prime}$-clean along $E$ at x. Consequently, the $\log -D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ implies the $\log -E^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ along $E$.
Proof. The first assertion follows similarly as the proof of Lemma 1.35 using Lemma 1.26 instead of Lemma 1.25. Since we have $Z_{\mathcal{F}}=Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$ by Lemma 1.26, the last assertion follows from the first assertion and Remarks 1.30 (1) and (2).
Proposition 1.38 (cf. [K2, Remark 4.13]). Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ and that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) is contained in $I^{\prime}$. We put $I=\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$, where $r \geq 1$. Let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up along an irreducible component $Y$ of $D_{I^{\prime \prime}}(1.49)$ for a non-empty subset $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$, where $Y$ is regarded as a closed subscheme of $X$ with the reduced subscheme structure. Let $D_{i}^{\prime}$ denote the proper transform of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I$ and let $D_{0}^{\prime}=f^{-1}(Y)$ be the exceptional divisor. We identify the index set of the irreducible components of $f^{*} D$ with $I \cup\{0\}$. Then the following hold:
(1) $R_{f^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{\left.\left(f^{*}\right)^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }}}=f^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\right.$ Definition 1.19 (1)), $Z_{f^{*} \mathcal{F}}=\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\text {red }}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)), and $\operatorname{char}^{\left(f^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=d f_{\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(f^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ (Definition 1.22). Here $d f_{\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}\left(f^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ denotes the image by the canonical morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}},\right. & \left.\left.f^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}\right) \\
& \left.\rightarrow \Gamma\left(\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}},\left.\Omega_{X^{\prime}}^{1}\left(\log \left(f^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}\right)\left(f^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right.}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

induced by $f$ of the pull-back

$$
f^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F}) \in \Gamma\left(\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }},\left.f^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}\right)
$$

of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ by the morphism $\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }} \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ induced by $f$.
(2) The ramification of $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -\left(f^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}$-clean along $\left(f^{*} D\right)_{\mathrm{red}}$.
(3) The image of $\xi_{i}^{\left(f^{*} D^{\prime}\right)_{\text {red }}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right) \text { (Definition 1.32 (2)) for } i \in\left(I^{\prime} \cup\{0\}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} .}$ (Definiton 1.17 (1)) is equal to that of $f^{*} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathcal{O}_{D_{i}^{\prime 1 / p}}$ if $i \in I^{\prime}$ and to the sum of the images of $f^{*} \xi_{i^{\prime}}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathcal{O}_{D_{i}^{1 / p}}$ for all $i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ if $i=0$.

Proof. We may assume that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$. Let $x$ be a closed point of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)). Since the assertions are local, we may shrink $X$ to a neighborhood of $x$ and we may assume that $I=I_{x}$ (1.34). We put $I^{\prime}=\left\{1,2, \ldots, r^{\prime}\right\}$ for $1 \leq r^{\prime} \leq r \leq d$. Let $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{d}\right)$ be a local coordinate system at $x$ such that $t_{i}$ is a local equation of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I$. By shrinking $X$ if necessary, we may assume that $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$ for a $k$-algebra $A$, that $t_{i} \in A$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, d$, that $D_{i}=\left(t_{i}=0\right)$ for $i \in I$, and that $\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module with the basis $\left(d \log t_{1}, d \log t_{2}, \ldots, d \log t_{r^{\prime}}, d t_{r^{\prime}+1}, d t_{r^{\prime}+2}, \ldots, d t_{d}\right)$. We put $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} D_{i}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r^{\prime}} \alpha_{i} d \log t_{i}+\sum_{i=r^{\prime}+1}^{d} \beta_{i} d t_{i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} t_{i}^{n_{i}}} \tag{1.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ for $i \in I^{\prime}$ and $\beta_{i}$ for $i=r^{\prime}+1, r^{\prime}+2, \ldots, d$ are global sections of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$. Since the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$, we may assume that $\alpha_{i}$ for some $i \in I^{\prime}$ or $\beta_{i}$ for some $i=r^{\prime}+1, r^{\prime}+2, \ldots, d$ is invertible in $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ by Lemma 1.31 (1) and by shrinking $X$ if necessary.

Let $i \in I^{\prime \prime}=\left\{1,2, \ldots, r^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, where $1 \leq r^{\prime \prime} \leq r^{\prime}$. We put $U_{i}^{\prime}=X^{\prime}-D_{i}^{\prime}$. We denote $f^{*} t_{i}$ simply by $t_{i}$ in $U_{i}^{\prime}$ and put $f^{*} t_{i^{\prime}}=t_{i} t_{i^{\prime}}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime}-\{i\}$ and $f^{*} t_{i^{\prime}}=t_{i^{\prime}}$ for $i^{\prime}=r^{\prime \prime}+1, r^{\prime \prime}+2, \ldots, d$ in $U_{i}^{\prime}$ by abuse of notation. Then $D_{0}^{\prime}=\left(t_{i}=0\right)$ in $U_{i}^{\prime}$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f_{\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(f^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)=\frac{\sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{r^{\prime}} \alpha_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime} d \log t_{i^{\prime}}+\sum_{i^{\prime}=r^{\prime}+1}^{d} f^{*} \beta_{i^{\prime}} d t_{i^{\prime}}}{\prod_{i^{\prime}=1}^{r} t_{i^{i^{\prime}}}^{n^{\prime}}}, \tag{1.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}f^{*} \alpha_{i^{\prime}} & \left(i^{\prime} \neq i\right)  \tag{1.52}\\ \sum_{i^{\prime \prime}=1}^{r^{\prime \prime}} f^{*} \alpha_{i^{\prime \prime}} & \left(i^{\prime}=i\right)\end{cases}
$$

for $i^{\prime}=1,2, \ldots, r^{\prime}$ and

$$
n_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}n_{i^{\prime}} & \left(i^{\prime} \neq i\right) \\ \sum_{i^{\prime \prime}=1}^{r^{\prime \prime}} n_{i^{\prime \prime}} & \left(i^{\prime}=i\right)\end{cases}
$$

for $i^{\prime}=1,2, \ldots, r$, in $U_{i}^{\prime}$. Since $\alpha_{i^{\prime}}$ for some $i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}$ or $\beta_{i^{\prime}}$ for some $i^{\prime}=r^{\prime}+1, r^{\prime}+$ $2, \ldots, d$ in (1.50) is invertible in $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$, there exists $\alpha_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ for some $i^{\prime}=1,2, \ldots, r^{\prime}$ or $f^{*} \beta_{i^{\prime}}$ for some $i^{\prime}=r^{\prime}+1, r^{\prime}+2, \ldots, d$ in (1.51) that is invertible in $k\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ for each closed point $y^{\prime}$ of $\left(D_{0}^{\prime} \cap U_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / p}$. Therefore we have $d f_{\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(f^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ for every closed point $y^{\prime}$ of $D_{0}^{\prime 1 / p}$. Since $X^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $X$ outside $D_{0}^{\prime}$, we have $d f_{\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(f^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ for every closed point $y^{\prime}$ of $\left(f^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}$ by Lemma 1.31 (2) and the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$. Thus the assertion (1) holds by Lemma 1.27. The assertion (2) holds by the third equality in (1) and Lemma 1.31 (2). The assertion (3) holds by the third equality in (1) and (1.52), since $\left.f^{*} \alpha_{i^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{D_{0}^{\prime}}=0$ for $i^{\prime \prime} \in I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ by Lemma 1.24 (2).

Proposition 1.39. Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings and that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ for some union $D^{\prime}$ of irreducible components of $D$. Then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F} \text {-clean along } D \text {, where } D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \text { and } D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}} \text { are }{ }^{\text {ar }} \text {, }}$ as in (1.48).

Proof. By Remarks 1.30 (1) and (2), it is sufficient to prove that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F} \text {-clean along }} D$ at every closed point $x$ of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)). Let $x$ be a closed point of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ (1.35) is contained in $I^{\prime}$ by Lemma 1.34 (1). If $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}(1.35)$ is contained in $I^{\prime}$, then we have $D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}} \subset D^{\prime}$ in a neighborhood of $x$, and the assertion follows from Lemma 1.35 applied to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I^{\prime}, I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}\right)$. If $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ is not contained in $I^{\prime}$, then the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}-I^{\prime}$ is 1 by Lemma 1.34 (1), and the image of $\xi_{i}(\mathcal{F})$ (1.47) for the unique $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}-I^{\prime}$ in $k(x)$ is not 0 by Lemma 1.34 (2). Then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D$-clean along $D$ at $x$ by Remark 1.33 (3), and the assertion follows from Lemma 1.35 applied to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I, I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}\right)$.

### 1.5 Preparation for the main purpose

We devote this subsection to preparation for Subsections 4.4, 4.5, and Section 5, where we consider computations of the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ and the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ of the zero extension $j!\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ by the canonical open immersion $j: U \rightarrow X$ in terms of ramification theory. We do not use anything given in this subsection before Subsection 4.4 .

Definition 1.40 (cf. [K2, Lemma 4.2], [Y2, Lemma 2.17 (ii)]). Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. For $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and a closed point $x$ of $D_{i}$, we define an integer $\operatorname{ord}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{i}\right)$ to be the largest non-negative integer $n$ such that

$$
\left.\left.\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right|_{D_{i}^{1 / p}, x^{\prime}} \in \mathfrak{m}_{x^{\prime}}^{p n} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{D_{i}^{1 / p}, x^{\prime}}
$$

where $x^{\prime}$ denotes the unique point on $D_{i}^{1 / p}$ lying above $x$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{x^{\prime}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{D_{i}^{1 / p}, x^{\prime}}$ denotes the maximal ideal at $x^{\prime}$.

Lemma 1.41 (cf. [K2, Lemma 4.3], [Y2, Lemma 2.18 (i)]). Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. For a closed point $x$ of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)), the following three conditions are equivalent:
(1) The ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at $x$.
(2) $\operatorname{ord}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{i}\right)=0$ for every $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ (1.35).
(3) $\operatorname{ord}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{i}\right)=0$ for some $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}, x}$.

Proof. Both the conditions (2) and (3) are equivalent to the condition (b) in Lemma 1.31 (1), which is equivalent to the condition (1) by loc. cit..

Definition 1.42. Assume that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$.
(1) We regard the image $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ (Definition $\left.1.32(2)\right)$ for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) as an ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}$ by Remark 1.33 (2) and denote by $V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ the closed subset of $D_{i}$ defined by $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$. We define a closed subset $B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \subset D_{I^{\prime \prime}} \cap Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (1.49) for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ (possibly $I^{\prime}=\emptyset$ ) to be $D_{I^{\prime \prime}} \cap$ $\bigcup_{i \in\left(I-I^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} D_{i}$ if $I^{\prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ and to be the closure of $D_{I^{\prime \prime} \cap} \bigcap_{i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i^{\prime^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)-$ $\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$ in $D_{I^{\prime \prime}} \cap Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ if $I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}} \neq \emptyset$.
We define a closed subset $B_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \subset Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ to be the union of $B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ for all non-empty subsets $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$.
(2) We define a closed subset $E_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \subset D_{I^{\prime \prime}} \cap Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ (possibly $I^{\prime}=\emptyset$ ) to be the union of the irreducible components of $B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ whose codimensions in $D_{I^{\prime \prime}}=$ $\bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$ are 0 .
We define a closed subset $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \subset Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ to be the union of $E_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ for all (non-empty) subsets $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$.

The closed subsets $B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}, B_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}, E_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$, and $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ defined in Definition 1.42 play key roles in Subsections 4.4, 4.5, and Section 5 .

Remark 1.43. Let the assumptions and the notation be as in Definition 1.42, We regard $V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ as the closed subscheme of $D_{i}$ defined by the ideal sheaf $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F}) \subset$ $\mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}$ for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$.
(1) We regard $\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}} \cdot \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ (Definitions 1.19, 1.22) locally as a direct summand of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ of rank 1 by the $\log -D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$. Then the restriction of $D_{I^{\prime \prime}} \cap \bigcap_{i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i^{\prime}}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ to $D_{I^{\prime \prime}}-$ $\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$ is the maximum subscheme $Y$ of $D_{I^{\prime \prime}} \cap Z_{\mathcal{F}}-\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$ such that the kernel of the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\right|_{Y^{1 / p}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{Y^{1 / p}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{Y^{1 / p}} /\left(\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Y^{1 / p}} \cdot \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right|_{Y^{1 / p}}\right) \tag{1.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

of canonical morphisms is locally free of rank $1+\sharp I^{\prime \prime}$. The closed subset $E_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ of $D_{I^{\prime \prime}} \cap Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ is empty if $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ and is the union of the irreducible components $Y^{\prime}$ of $D_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ such that the image of $\xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is 0 in $\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\prime}}$ for every $i \in$ $I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ if $I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}} \neq \emptyset$.
(2) By Lemma $1.24(2)$, the codimension of $V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ in $X$ for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ such that $V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right) \neq \emptyset$ is 2 if and only if $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (2)). By loc. cit., we have $V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)=D_{i}$ for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (2)). Hence a divisor $D_{i}$ for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ is contained in $B_{\{i\}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ if and only if $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}$, namely we have $E_{\{i\}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset$ for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ if and only if $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}$. If $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}$, then we have $E_{\{i\}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=D_{i}$. If $I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$ and if $I^{\prime \prime}$ is a non-empty subset of $I^{\prime}$, then the codimension of $B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ in $X$ is $\geq 2$, and so is that of $E_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$.
(3) If $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\emptyset$, then we have $I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$ by (2). If $X$ is a surface, namely is purely of dimension 2 , and if the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$, then the converse is also true. Actually, suppose that $X$ is a surface and that $I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$. Then we locally have $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=E_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ for a subset $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ of cardinality 2 by (2). If $x \in E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ is a closed point, then we have $I_{x}=I^{\prime \prime}$ (1.34), and the image of $\xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ in $k(x)$ is 0 for every $i \in I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ by (1). Hence the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is not $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at $x$ by Lemma 1.24 (2) and Lemma 1.31 (1), which contradicts the log- $D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ at $x$.
(4) Suppose that $I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$. Then each closed point $x$ of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfying the condition (1) in Lemma 1.36 is a point on $B_{\{i\}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ for some $i \in I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, x} \subset I^{\prime}$ (1.35). Each closed point $x$ of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfying the condition (2) in Lemma 1.36 is also a point on $B_{I^{\prime} \cap I_{x}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$, since the image of $\xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ in $k(x)$ is 0 for every $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}$ (1.35) by Lemma 1.24 (2). Let $x$ be a closed point of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfying the condition (3) in Lemma 1.36. If $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x}-I^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ (1.35), then the image of $\xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ in $k(x)$ is 0 for every $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ (1.35) by Lemma $1.24(1)$ applied to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$, and hence we have $x \in B_{I^{\prime} \cap I_{x}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$, where $I^{\prime} \cap I_{x} \supset I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x} \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 1.34 (1). If $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x} \subset I^{\prime}$, then we have $x \in D_{i} \cap D_{i^{\prime}}$ for any pair $\left(i, i^{\prime}\right)$ of distinct elements of $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, x} \subset I^{\prime}$. Thus the set of points on $X$ where the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is not $\log$ - $\emptyset$-clean along $D$ is contained in $B_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{i, i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \\ i \neq i^{\prime}}}\left(D_{i} \cap D_{i^{\prime}}\right)$ by Remarks 1.30 (1) and (2) and by Lemma 1.36 .
We prove that we can eliminate $E_{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{I}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}}$ by taking blow-ups of $X$ along closed subschemes of $D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$, where $D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$ and $D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ are as in (1.48).

Lemma 1.44. Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F} \text {-clean }}^{1.48)}$ along $D$. Let $Y$ be an irreducible component of $E_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}}$ (Definition 1. L2 $^{2}$ (2)) for a non-empty subset $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17). We regard $Y$ as a closed subscheme of $X$ with the reduced subscheme structure. Let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of $X$ along $Y$. Let $D_{i}^{\prime}$ be the proper transform of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I=\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$ and let $D_{0}^{\prime}=f^{-1}(Y)$ be the exceptional divisor. We identify
the index set of the irreducible components of $f^{*} D$ with $I \cup\{0\}$. Then we have the following:
(1) $0 \in I_{\mathrm{II}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (2)), $I_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}=I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$, and $I_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$.

(3) Let $y \in D_{0}^{\prime} \cap \bigcup_{i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F} \cup} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}} D_{i}^{\prime}$ be a closed point and let $z \in Y \subset X$ denote the image of $y$ by $f$. Then the cardinality $\sharp\left(I_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}\right)$ of $I_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}$ (1.35) is equal to $\sharp\left(I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, z} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, z}\right)-1$. If $y \in E_{I_{\mathrm{I}, f f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{F}}, \text { where }} I_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}$ and $I_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}$ are as in (1.35), then we have $z \in E_{I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, z} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, z}, \mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{I}} \cup \text {. }}$. If the cardinality of $I_{z}$ (1.34) is 2, then the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}$ is 1 and we have $y \notin E_{f^{*} f^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}$.
Proof. (1) Since the image of $\xi_{i}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F}) \text { in } \mathcal{O}_{Y^{1 / p}} \text { is } 0 \text { for every } i \in I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}}$ (Definition $1.17(1))$, the image of $\xi_{0}^{\left(f^{*}\left(D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}\right)\right)_{\text {red }}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ (in $\left.\mathcal{O}_{D_{0}^{\prime 1 / p}}\right)$ is 0 by Proposition 1.38 (3) applied to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Therefore the first assertion follows from Lemma 1.24 (2).

Since $X^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $X$ outside $Y$ and since the image of $\xi_{i}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})$ (in $\left.\mathcal{O}_{D_{i}^{1 / p}}\right)$ is not 0 for $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$ by Lemma $1.24(2)$, the image of $\xi_{i}^{\left(f^{*}\left(D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ (in $\mathcal{O}_{D_{i}^{\prime 1 / p}}$ ) is not 0 for $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$ by Proposition 1.38 (3). Hence we have $I_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}=I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$ by the first assertion and Lemma 1.24 (2). By the second equality in Proposition 1.38 (1), we have $I_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$.
(2) Since the ramification of $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -\left(f^{*}\left(D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}\right)\right)_{\text {red }}$-clean along $\left(f^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$ by Proposition 1.38 (2), the assertion follows from Proposition 1.39
(3) The first assertion holds by (1). Suppose that $y \in E_{I_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{F}^{*} \cup D_{\mathrm{T},{ }^{*}}}$. Let $Z^{\prime}$ be the irreducible component of $D_{I_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}}$ (1.49) such that $y \in Z^{\prime}$. We regard $Z^{\prime}$ as a closed subscheme of $X^{\prime}$ with the reduced subscheme structure. Since we have $D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \subset\left(f^{*}\left(D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{red}}$, the image of $\xi_{i}^{\left(f^{*}\left(D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime 1 / p}}$ is 0 for every $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}$ by Lemma 1.25 and Remark 1.43 (1). Let $Z$ be the irreducible component of $D_{I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, z} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, z}}$ such that $z \in Z$. Then we have $Z \subset Y$ and we regard $Z$ as a closed subscheme of $X$ with the reduced subscheme structure. Then the image of $\xi_{i}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{1 / p}}$ is 0 for every $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}$ by Proposition 1.38 (3). Since the image of $\xi_{0}^{\left(f^{*}\left(D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}\right)\right)_{\text {red }}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ (in $\left.\mathcal{O}_{D_{0}^{\prime 1 / p}}\right)$ is 0 as is seen in the proof of $(1)$, the image of $\xi_{i}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{1 / p}}$ is also 0 if $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, z}-I_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}$ by Proposition 1.38 (3). Thus the second assertion holds.

Suppose that the cardinality of $I_{z}$ is 2 . Then we have $I_{z}=I^{\prime \prime}$, since $I^{\prime \prime}$ is a subset of $I_{z}$ of cardinality $\geq 2$ by Remark 1.43 (2). Hence the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}$ is 1 by the first assertion, and we have $y \notin E_{f^{*}, \mathcal{F}}^{D_{1, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}$ by Remark 1.43 (2).
Proposition 1.45. Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings and that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ for some union $D^{\prime}$ of irreducible components of $D$. If $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset$ (Definition 1.42 (2)), then there exist successive blow-ups

$$
f: X^{\prime}=X_{s} \xrightarrow{f_{s}} X_{s-1} \xrightarrow{f_{s-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{1}} X_{0}=X
$$

satisfying the following three conditions:
(1) $f_{1}$ (resp. $f_{i}$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, s$ ) is the blow-up along an irreducible component $Y_{0}$ of an intersection of irreducible components of $D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ 1.48) (resp. an irreducible component $Y_{i-1}$ of an intersection of irreducible components of $D_{\mathrm{I},\left(f_{1} \circ f_{2} \circ \ldots \circ f_{i-1}\right) * \mathcal{F} \cup} \cup$ $D_{\left.\mathrm{T},\left(f_{1} \circ f_{2} \circ \cdots \circ f_{i-1}\right)^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)}$, where $Y_{0}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.Y_{i-1}\right)$ is regarded as a closed subscheme of $X_{0}$ (resp. $X_{i-1}$ ) with the reduced subscheme structure.
(2) The ramification of $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}-c l e a n ~ a l o n g ~}\left(f^{*} D\right)_{\mathrm{red}}$.
(3) $E_{f^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{*} \mathcal{F} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{* \mathcal{F}}}}=\emptyset$.

Proof. By Proposition 1.39, the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F} \text {-clean along } D \text {. Let }}$ $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ be the set of subsets $I^{\prime \prime}$ of $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ such that $E_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}} \neq \emptyset$. We take an element $I_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ whose cardinality $r_{0}$ is the largest among the elements of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. Let $Y_{0}$ be an irreducible component of $E_{I_{1}^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{F}} \mathcal{J} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}}$. We regard $Y_{0}$ as a closed subscheme of $X$ with the reduced subscheme structure. Let $f_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow X$ be a blow-up of $X$ along $Y_{0}$. Let $D_{i}^{\prime}$ denote the proper transform of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{1,2, \ldots, r_{0}\right\}$ and let $D_{0}^{\prime}=f_{1}^{-1}\left(Y_{0}\right)$ be the exceptional divisor. By Lemma 1.44 (1), every closed point of $D_{0}^{\prime}-\bigcup_{i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}} D_{i}^{\prime}$ is not a point on $E_{f_{1}^{*} F_{1}^{*}}^{D_{1}^{*} \cup \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}}}$. If $y$ is a closed point of $D_{0}^{\prime} \cap \bigcup_{i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}} D_{i}^{\prime}$ such
 $f_{1}(y) \in E_{I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}, f_{1}(y) \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, f(y)}, \mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{I}}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{F}}}$ and the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{I}, f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}, y} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}, y}$ is strictly less than $r_{0}$ by Lemma 1.44 (3).

We inductively define $f_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow X_{i-1}$ for $i>1$ to be the blow-up of $X_{i-1}$ as follows, if $E_{f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{F}^{*} \mathcal{F} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{F}}}}^{D_{\mathrm{I}}} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}=\left(f_{1} \circ f_{2} \circ \cdots \circ f_{i-1}\right)^{*} \mathcal{F}$ and let $\mathcal{S}_{i-1}$ be the set of subsets $I^{\prime \prime \prime}$ of $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}} \cup I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}}$ such that $E_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}}^{D_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}}} \neq \emptyset$. We take an element $I_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{i-1}$ whose cardinality $r_{i-1}$ is the largest among the elements of $\mathcal{S}_{i-1}$. Let $Y_{i-1}$ be an irreducible component of $E_{I_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}}^{D_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}_{i-1}}}$. We regard $Y_{i-1}$ as a closed subscheme of $X_{i-1}$ with the reduced subscheme structure and define $f_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow X_{i-1}$ to be the blow-up of $X_{i-1}$ along $Y_{i-1}$. By Lemma 1.44 (3), for $i \geq 1$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{i} \neq \emptyset$, there exists an integer $h_{i}>0$ satisfying one of the following two conditions:
(a) $\mathcal{S}_{i+h_{i}} \neq \emptyset$ and $r_{i}>r_{i+h_{i}}$.
(b) $\mathcal{S}_{i+h} \neq \emptyset$ for $h=1,2, \ldots, h_{i}-1$ and $\mathcal{S}_{i+h_{i}}=\emptyset$.

Hence there exists an integer $s>0$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, s-1$ and that $\mathcal{S}_{s}=\emptyset$. For such $s$, we put $f=f_{1} \circ f_{2} \circ \cdots \circ f_{s}$. Then $f$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (3). By Lemma 1.44 (2), the composition $f$ also satisfies the condition (2).

At last, we prepare a lemma for a computation of the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ in the case where $X$ is a surface in Subsection 5.2.

Lemma 1.46. Suppose that $X$ is a surface, namely is purely of dimension 2, and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I=\{1,2\}$ and let $I^{\prime}=\{1\} \subset I$. Let $D^{\prime}=D_{1}$ and let $x \in D_{1} \cap D_{2}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at $x$, that $I^{\prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (2)), and that $x \in B_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ (Definition 1.42 (1)). We regard the image of $\xi_{1}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ (Definition 1.32 (2)) as an ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}$ by Remark 1.33 (2). Then the image of $\xi_{1}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ defines a divisor $\operatorname{ord}^{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{1}\right)\left(D_{2} \cap D_{1}\right)$ on $D_{1}$ in a neighborhood of $x$ and we have $\operatorname{ord}^{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{2}\right)=1$. With the notation in (1.36) in Lemma 1.24, we have $\beta_{2} \neq 0$ in $k(x)$. If $2 \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$, then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D$-clean along $D$ at $x$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.34 (1), we have $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}, x} \subset I^{\prime}$ (1.35). Since $I^{\prime}=\{1\} \subset I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$, the first assertion holds by Lemma 1.24 (1) applied to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I^{\prime}, \emptyset\right)$. Let the notation be as in (1.36) in Lemma 1.24. Since $x \in B_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=B_{\{1\}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$, we have $\alpha_{1}=0$ in $k(x)$. Therefore we have $\beta_{2} \neq 0$ in $k(x)$ by the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ at $x$ and by Lemma 1.31 (1). Since $\alpha_{1}=0$ and $\beta_{2} \neq 0$ in $k(x)$, we have $\operatorname{ord}^{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{2}\right)=1$ by applying Lemma 1.24 (1) to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I^{\prime}, \emptyset\right)$. If $2 \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$, then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D$-clean along $D$ at $x$ by Lemma 1.24 applied to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$ and by Lemma $1.31(1)$, since $\beta_{2} \neq 0$ in $k(x)$.

## 2 Cleanliness and the direct image

Let $D^{\prime}$ be a divisor on $X$ with simple normal crossings contained in $D$. We prove in Proposition 2.9 (2) that the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ is a sufficient condition for the canonical morphism $j_{!} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{F}$ to be an isomorphism when $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$ (Definition 1.17 (1)). Here $j: U \rightarrow X$ denotes the canonical open immersion as is explained in Conventions.

### 2.1 Dilatations

This subsection is devoted to preparation for the next subsection. Through this subsection, we assume that $X$ is separated over $k$. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Let $T X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Spec} S^{\bullet} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ be the logarithmic tangent bundle of $X$ with logarithmic poles along $D^{\prime}$. Let $R=\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} D_{i}$ with $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \in I^{\prime}$ and with $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$. Let $Z=\operatorname{Supp}\left(R+D^{\prime}-D\right)$ denote the support of $R+D^{\prime}-D$. We construct a smooth scheme $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ over $k$ such that $Z^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}=P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \times_{X} Z$ is canonically isomorphic to $T X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)(-R) \times_{X} Z$.

Definition 2.1 ( AS4, 1.3]). Assume that $X$ is separated over $k$. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. We define the $\log$ product $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ with respect to $D^{\prime}$ to be the complement of the proper transform of $\left(D^{\prime} \times_{k} X\right) \cup\left(X \times_{k} D^{\prime}\right)$ in the blow-up $\left(X \times_{k} X\right)^{\prime}$ of $X \times_{k} X$ along the closed subscheme of $X \times_{k} X$ defined by the product of ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}_{D_{i} \times_{k} D_{i}}$ defining $D_{i} \times_{k} D_{i} \subset X \times_{k} X$ for all $i \in I^{\prime}$.

Remark 2.2. Let the assumption and the notation be as in Definition 2.1.
(1) If $D^{\prime}=\emptyset$, then we have $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X=X \times_{k} X$.
(2) Let $p_{i}: X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X \rightarrow X$ for $i=1,2$ be the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}: X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X \rightarrow X \times_{k} X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{i}} X \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the canonical morphism and the $i$-th projection $\mathrm{pr}_{i}$. By [AS4, Remark 5.23 (iii)], the composition $p_{i}$ is a smooth morphism for $i=1,2$. Consequently, the $\log$ product $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ is a smooth scheme over $k$.
(3) By [AS4, Remark 5.23 (i)], the diagonal $\delta: X \rightarrow X \times_{k} X$ is uniquely lifted to a morphism

$$
\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}: X \rightarrow X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X .
$$

We call the lift $\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}$ of $\delta$ the log diagonal. By [AS4, Remark 5.23 (iii)], the $\log$ diagonal $\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}: X \rightarrow X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ is a regular closed immersion whose normal bundle $T_{X}\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)$ is canonically isomorphic to $T X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. If $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$ for a $k$-algebra $A$ and if $D_{i}$ is defined by $t_{i} \in A$ for $i \in I^{\prime}=\left\{1,2, \ldots, r^{\prime}\right\}$, then we have $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X=\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{A}_{D^{\prime}}$ for

$$
\tilde{A}_{D^{\prime}}=\left(A \otimes_{k} A\right)\left[\left(\frac{1 \otimes t_{1}}{t_{1} \otimes 1}\right)^{ \pm 1},\left(\frac{1 \otimes t_{2}}{t_{2} \otimes 1}\right)^{ \pm 1}, \ldots,\left(\frac{1 \otimes t_{r^{\prime}}}{t_{r^{\prime}} \otimes 1}\right)^{ \pm 1}\right]
$$

If $I_{\delta} \subset A \otimes A$ is the defining ideal of the diagonal $\delta: X \rightarrow X \times_{k} X$, then the log diagonal $\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}: X \rightarrow X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ (Remark 2.2 (3)) is defined by the ideal

$$
\left(I_{\delta}, \frac{1 \otimes t_{1}}{t_{1} \otimes 1}-1, \frac{1 \otimes t_{2}}{t_{2} \otimes 1}-1, \ldots, \frac{1 \otimes t_{r^{\prime}}}{t_{r^{\prime}} \otimes 1}-1\right) \subset \tilde{A}_{D}
$$

Definition 2.3 ([S3, Definition 1.12]). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \rightarrow Q \leftarrow Y \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be closed immersions of schemes. Assume that $E$ is a Cartier divisor on $Q$. Let $Q^{\prime} \rightarrow Q$ be the blow-up of $Q$ along the intersection $E \cap Y=E \times_{Q} Y$. We define the dilatation $Q^{(E \cdot Y)}$ of $Q$ with respect to $(E, Y)$, or the dilatation $Q^{(E \cdot Y)}$ of $Q$ with respect to (2.2), to be the complement of the proper transform of $E$ in $Q^{\prime}$.

Remark 2.4. Let the notation be as in Definition 2.3.
(1) If $Y=Q$ in (2.2), then the canonical morphism $Q^{(E \cdot Y)} \rightarrow Q$ is an isomorphism ([S3, a remark after Definition 1.12]).
(2) If the intersection $E_{Y}=E \cap Y$ in $Q$ is a Cartier divisor on $Y$, then the closed immersion $Y \rightarrow Q$ is uniquely lifted to an immersion $Y \rightarrow Q^{(E \cdot Y)}$ ([S3], a remark after Example 1.13]). If $E_{Y}$ is a Cartier divisor on $Y$ and if $Y \rightarrow Q$ is a regular closed immersion, then the immersion $Y \rightarrow Q^{(E \cdot Y)}$ is a regular closed immersion with normal bundle canonically isomorphic to $T_{Y} Q\left(-E_{Y}\right)$ ([S3, Lemma 1.14.2]).

Suppose that $X$ is separated over $k$. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=$ $\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. We construct a scheme $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ by applying the construction of dilatation to $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ and prove several properties of $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$. We put $D^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. By Remark 2.2 (2), the pull-back $p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime}$ of $D^{\prime \prime}$ by $p_{i}$ (2.1) is a Cartier divisor on $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ for $i=1,2$. We have regular closed immersions

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X \stackrel{\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}}{\longleftrightarrow} X \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first arrow is the canonical closed immersion. We define a scheme $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ to be the intersection of the dilatations $\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)^{\left(p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cdot X\right)}$ of $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ with respect to (2.3) for $i=1,2$. The dilatation $\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)^{\left(p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cdot X\right)}$ for $i=1,2$ is an open subscheme of the blow-up $\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)^{\prime}$ of $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ along $D^{\prime \prime}=p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cap X \subset X \subset X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$, and the scheme $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is an open subscheme of $\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)^{\left(p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cdot X\right)}$ for $i=1,2$.

Suppose that $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$ and that $D_{i}$ is defined by $t_{i} \in A$ for $i \in I=\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$. If $I^{\prime}=\left\{1,2, \ldots, r^{\prime}\right\}$ with $r^{\prime} \leq r$ and if $I_{\delta}$ denotes the ideal of $A \otimes_{k} A$ defining the diagonal $\delta: X \rightarrow X \times_{k} X$, then we have $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}=\operatorname{Spec} A^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ for

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}= & \tilde{A}_{D^{\prime}}\left[\frac{I_{\delta}}{\prod_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} t_{i} \otimes 1},\left(\frac{1 \otimes t_{r^{\prime}+1}}{t_{r^{\prime}+1} \otimes 1}\right)^{ \pm 1}, \ldots,\left(\frac{1 \otimes t_{r}}{t_{r} \otimes 1}\right)^{ \pm 1}\right. \\
& \left.\frac{\left(1 \otimes t_{1}\right)-\left(t_{1} \otimes 1\right)}{\left(t_{1} \otimes 1\right)\left(\prod_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} t_{i} \otimes 1\right)}, \ldots, \frac{\left(1 \otimes t_{r^{\prime}}\right)-\left(t_{r^{\prime}} \otimes 1\right)}{\left(t_{r^{\prime}} \otimes 1\right)\left(\prod_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} t_{i} \otimes 1\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $p_{i}^{\prime}: P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \rightarrow X$ for $i=1,2$ denote the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}^{\prime}: P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \rightarrow X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X \xrightarrow{p_{i}} X \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the canonical morphism and the projection $p_{i}$ (2.1).
Lemma 2.5. Suppose that $X$ is separated over $k$. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Then we have the following for the scheme $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ defined above:
(1) The projection $p_{i}^{\prime}: P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \rightarrow X$ (2.4) is a smooth morphism for $i=1,2$.
(2) The inverse image $D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ of $D_{i^{\prime}}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I$ by $p_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=1,2$ is a smooth divisor on $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ and is independent of the choice of $i=1,2$. The complement $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}-$ $D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ of $D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}=\bigcup_{i^{\prime} \in I} D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is $U \times_{k} U$. If $D$ has simple normal crossings, then $D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is a divisor on $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ with simple normal crossings.
(3) The log diagonal $\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}: X \rightarrow X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ (Remark 2.2 (3)) is uniquely lifted to a regular closed immersion $\delta^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}: X \rightarrow P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$. The normal bundle of $\delta^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is canonically isomorphic to $T_{X}\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)\left(-D^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $D^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime}} D_{i^{\prime}}$.

Proof. (1) Let $\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}: X \rightarrow X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ denote the $\log$ diagonal (Remark 2.2 (3)). We consider the commutative diagram

where the first lower horizontal arrow is the canonical regular closed immersion and the left square is cartesian, for $i=1,2$. Then the upper line in (2.5) is (2.3). By Remark 2.4 (1), the canonical morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\left(D^{\prime \prime} \cdot X\right)} \rightarrow X \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the dilatation of $X$ with respect to the lower line in (2.5) is an isomorphism. Since the left square in (2.5) is cartesian, the projection $p_{i}: X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X \rightarrow X$ is canonically lifted to a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)^{\left(p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cdot X\right)} \rightarrow X^{\left(D^{\prime \prime} \cdot X\right)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the universality of blow-ups. Since the intersection $p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cap X$ in $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ is the Cartier divisor $D^{\prime \prime}$ on $X$ and since the $\log$ diagonal $\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}$ is a regular closed immersion by Remark 2.2 (3), the lift (2.7) of $p_{i}$ is smooth by [S3, Corollary 1.17.1]. Since the projection $p_{i}^{\prime}: P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \rightarrow X$ is the composition of the canonical morphisms (2.6) and (2.7) and the canonical open immersion $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \rightarrow\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)^{\left(p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cdot X\right)}$, the projection $p_{i}^{\prime}$ is a smooth morphism for $i=1,2$.
(2) Since the $\log$ product $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ is the complement of the proper transform of $\left(D^{\prime} \times_{k} X\right) \cup\left(X \times_{k} D^{\prime}\right)$ in the blow-up of $X \times_{k} X$ along the union of $D_{i} \times_{k} D_{i}$ for all $i \in I^{\prime}$, the inverse image $D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ of $D_{i^{\prime}}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}$ by $p_{i}^{\prime}$ is independent of the choice of $i=1,2$. Since the scheme $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is the complement of the proper transform of $p_{1}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cup p_{2}^{*} D^{\prime \prime}$ in the blow-up $\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)^{\prime}$ of $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ along $D^{\prime \prime}(\subset X) \subset X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$, the independence on the choice of $p_{i}^{\prime}$ for the inverse image $D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ of $D_{i^{\prime}}$ by $p_{i}^{\prime}$ also follows for $i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime}$. The regularity of $D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I$ follows from (1). By the constructions of $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ and $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ as the complements of the proper transforms of $\left(D^{\prime} \times_{k} X\right) \cup\left(X \times_{k} D^{\prime}\right)$ and $p_{1}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cup p_{2}^{*} D^{\prime \prime}$ in the blow-ups of $X \times_{k} X$ and $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ along the union of $D_{i} \times_{k} D_{i}$ for all $i \in I^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime} \subset X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$, respectively, we have $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}-D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}=U \times_{k} U$. If $D$ has simple normal crossings, then so does $D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ by (1).
(3) Since the intersection $p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cap X$ in $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ is the Cartier divisor $D^{\prime \prime}$ on $X$ and since $\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}$ is a regular closed immersion by Remark 2.2 (3), the $\log$ diagonal $\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}: X \rightarrow$ $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ is uniquely lifted to a regular closed immersion

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \rightarrow\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)^{\left(p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cdot X\right)} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2$ by Remark 2.4 (2). By loc. cit., the normal bundle of (2.8) is canonically isomorphic to $T_{X}\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)\left(-D^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. By the universality of blow-ups,
the composition of the lift (2.8) of $\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}$ and the canonical open immersion $\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}}\right.$ $X)^{\left(p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cdot X\right)} \rightarrow\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)^{\prime}$ to the blow-up of $X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ along $D^{\prime \prime} \subset X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X$ is independent of the choice of $i=1,2$. Since the scheme $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is the intersection of the open subschemes $\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)^{\left(p_{i}^{*} D^{\prime \prime} \cdot X\right)}$ of $\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)^{\prime}$ for $i=1,2$, the assertions hold.

We construct the scheme $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ for $R=\sum_{i^{\prime} \in I} n_{i^{\prime}} D_{i^{\prime}}$ where $n_{i^{\prime}} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}$ and $n_{i^{\prime}} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime}$. We put

$$
R^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}=\sum_{i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}} n_{i^{\prime}} D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}+\sum_{i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime}}\left(n_{i^{\prime}}-1\right) D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} .
$$

Here $D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ denotes the inverse image of $D_{i^{\prime}}$ by $p_{i}^{\prime}$ (2.4), which is a smooth divisor on $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ and is independent of the choice of $i=1,2$ by Lemma 2.5 (2). We consider the regular closed immersions

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \rightarrow P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \stackrel{\delta^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}}{\leftarrow} X, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first arrow is the canonical closed immersion and $\delta^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is the lift of the $\log$ diagonal $\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}$ (Remark 2.2 (3)) constructed in Lemma 2.5 (3). We define a scheme $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ to be the dilatation of $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ with respect to (2.9). If $D$ has simple normal crossings and if $I^{\prime}=\emptyset$, then $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ and $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ are none other than $P_{2}^{(D)}$ and $P_{2}^{(R)}$ in [S3, Subsection 2.1], respectively.

Suppose that $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$ for a $k$-algebra $A$ and that $D_{i}$ is defined by $t_{i} \in A$ for $i \in I=\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$. We put $I^{\prime}=\left\{1,2, \ldots, r^{\prime}\right\}$, where $r^{\prime} \leq r$. If $I_{\delta}$ denotes the ideal of $A$ defining the diagonal $\delta: X \rightarrow X \times_{k} X$, then we have $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}=\operatorname{Spec} A^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \\
& \quad=A^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}\left[\frac{I_{\delta}}{\prod_{i \in I} t_{i}^{n_{i}} \otimes 1}, \frac{\left(1 \otimes t_{1}\right)-\left(t_{1} \otimes 1\right)}{\left(t_{1} \otimes 1\right)\left(\prod_{i \in I} t_{i}^{n_{i}} \otimes 1\right)}, \ldots, \frac{\left(1 \otimes t_{r^{\prime}}\right)-\left(t_{r^{\prime}} \otimes 1\right)}{\left(t_{r^{\prime}} \otimes 1\right)\left(\prod_{i \in I} t_{i}^{n_{i}} \otimes 1\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We prove that $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ has the desired property, namely that the fiber product $Z^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}=P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \times_{X} Z$ is canonically isomorphic to $T X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(D \subset D, R)} \rightarrow P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the canonical morphism and let $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}: P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \rightarrow X$ for $i=1,2$ denote the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}^{\prime \prime}: P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \xrightarrow{2.10} P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \xrightarrow{p_{i}^{\prime}} X \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the morphisms (2.10) and $p_{i}^{\prime}(2.4)$.
Lemma 2.6. Suppose that $X$ is separated over $k$. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i^{\prime} \in I} D_{i^{\prime}}$ has simple normal crossings. Let $R=\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} D_{i}$ with $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \in I^{\prime}$ and $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ for $i \in I-I^{\prime}$. We put $Z=\operatorname{Supp}\left(R+D^{\prime}-D\right)$. Then we have the following for the scheme $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ defined above:
(1) The projection $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}: P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \rightarrow X$ (2.11) is a smooth morphism for $i=1,2$.
(2) The inverse image $D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ of $D_{i^{\prime}}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I$ by the projection $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ for $i=1,2$ is a smooth divisor on $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ and is independent of the choice of $i=1,2$. The complement $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}-D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ of $D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}=\bigcup_{i^{\prime} \in I} D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ is $U \times_{k} U$. If $D$ has simple normal crossings, then $D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ is a divisor on $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ with simple normal crossings.
(3) Let $Z^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ be the inverse image of $Z$ by $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, which is independent of the choice of $i=1,2$ by (2). Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
Z^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} T X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)(-R) \times_{X} Z .
$$

Proof. (1) We prove the assertions similarly as the proof of Lemma 2.5 (1). Let $\delta^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}: X \rightarrow P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ be the regular closed immersion constructed in Lemma 2.5 (3). We put

$$
R^{\prime}=R+D^{\prime}-D=\sum_{i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}} n_{i^{\prime}} D_{i^{\prime}}+\sum_{i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime}}\left(n_{i^{\prime}}-1\right) D_{i^{\prime}} .
$$

For $i=1,2$, we consider the commutative diagram

where the first lower horizontal arrow is the canonical regular closed immersion and the left square is cartesian. Then the upper line in (2.12) is (2.9). By Remark 2.4 (1), the canonical morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\left(R^{\prime} \cdot X\right)} \rightarrow X \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the dilatation of $X$ with respect to the lower line in (2.12) is an isomorphism. Since the left square in (2.12) is cartesian, the projection $p_{i}^{\prime}: P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \rightarrow X$ is canonically lifted to a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \rightarrow X^{\left(R^{\prime} \cdot X\right)} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the universality of blow-ups. Since the intersection of $R^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ and $X$ in $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is identified with the Cartier divisor $R^{\prime}$ on $X$ and since the morphism $\delta^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is a regular closed immersion by Lemma 2.5 (3), the lift (2.14) of $p_{i}^{\prime}$ is smooth by [S3, Corollary 1.17.1]. Since the projection $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}: P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \rightarrow X$ is the composition of the isomorphism (2.13) and the morphism (2.14), the projection $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is a smooth morphism for $i=1,2$.
(2) By (1), the inverse image $D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ of $D_{i^{\prime}}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I$ by $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ for $i=1,2$ is a smooth divisor on $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$. If $D$ has simple normal crossings, then so does $D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ by loc. cit..

We prove the independence of the choice of $i=1,2$ for the inverse image $D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I$ by $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and the equality $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}-D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}=U \times_{k} U$. By the construction of $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ as the composition of the canonical morphism (2.10) and $p_{i}^{\prime}$, the inverse image $D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ of $D_{i^{\prime}}$ by $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is the inverse image of the divisor $D_{i^{\prime}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ on $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ constructed in Lemma [2.5 (2) by the morphism (2.10). Hence the independence follows and the complement $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}-D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ is equal to the inverse image of $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}-D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ by the morphism (2.10). Since $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}$ is an open subscheme of the blow-up of $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ along $R^{\prime}=R^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \cap X \subset P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ and since the support of $R^{\prime} \subset P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is contained in $D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$, the morphism (2.10) induces an isomorphism $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)}-D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \rightarrow$ $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}-D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}=U \times_{k} U$, where the equality holds by Lemma 2.5 (2).
(3) By Remark 2.2 (3), the normal bundle $T_{X}\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)$ of the log diagonal $\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}$ (Remark 2.2 (3)) is canonically isomorphic to $T X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 2.5 (3), the normal bundle $T_{X} P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ of the lift $\delta^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ of $\tilde{\delta}_{D^{\prime}}$ constructed in loc. cit. is canonically isomorphic to $T_{X}\left(X *_{k, D^{\prime}} X\right)\left(-D^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $D^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime}} D_{i^{\prime}}$. Therefore the normal bundle $T_{X} P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ of $\delta^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is canonically isomorphic to $T X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(-D^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Since the intersection of $R^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ and $X$ in $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is the Cartier divisor $R^{\prime}$ on $X$ and since the morphism $\delta^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is a regular closed immersion by Lemma 2.5 (3), there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R\right)} \times_{P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}} R^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} T X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(-\left(R^{\prime}+D^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \times_{X} R^{\prime} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

by [S3, Lemma 1.14.1]. Since $R=R^{\prime}+D^{\prime \prime}$ and since $R^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ is the fiber product of $R^{\prime}$ and $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D\right)}$ over $X$, we obtain the desired isomorphism by taking the base change of (2.15) by the canonical morphism $Z=\operatorname{Supp} R^{\prime} \rightarrow R^{\prime}$.

### 2.2 Cleanliness and the direct image

Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Suppose that $X$ is separated over $k$. Let $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ be as in Definition 1.19 (1). We consider the smooth scheme $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ over $k$ constructed in the previous subsection. By Lemma 2.6 (2), the inverse image $D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ of $D$ by the projection $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}: P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}\right)} \rightarrow X$ (2.11) is independent of the choice of $i=1,2$ and is a divisor on $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ with smooth irreducible components. Since the complement $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}-D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ is $U \times_{k} U$ by Lemma 2.6 (2), the canonical open immersion $j \times j: U \times_{k} U \rightarrow X \times_{k} X$ is uniquely lifted to the canonical open immersion

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{D^{\prime}}^{\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.}: U \times_{k} U=P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}-D^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)} \rightarrow P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L_{i}$ for $i \in I$ be the local field at a generic point of $D_{i}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{F}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right)}$. Then the projections $p_{1}^{\prime \prime}, p_{2}^{\prime \prime}: P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)} \rightarrow X$ induces the morphisms

$$
u_{s, i}, v_{s, i}: W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right) \rightarrow W_{s}\left(L_{i}\right)
$$

respectively, for $s \geq 0$ and for $i \in I$. Let $\mathrm{pr}_{i}: U \times_{k} U \rightarrow U$ denote the $i$-th projection for $i=1,2$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H o m}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} \mathcal{F}, \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(U \times_{k} U\right) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$denote the character corresponding to the smooth sheaf $\mathcal{H}$ of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $U \times_{k} U$ and let $\left.\varphi\right|_{L_{i}}: G_{L_{i}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Z}$ for $i \in I$ denote the composition

$$
\left.\varphi\right|_{L_{i}}: G_{L_{i}} \rightarrow G_{L_{i}}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\operatorname{Spec} L_{i}\right) \rightarrow \pi^{a b}\left(U \times_{k} U\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Lambda^{\times}
$$

of the canonical morphisms and $\varphi$. If the order $n$ of $\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}$ for $i \in I$ is prime to $p$, then $\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}$ is defined by a Kummer equation $t^{n}=a$ for the image $a$ in $K_{i}$ of a section of $\mathcal{O}_{U}$, and $\left.\varphi\right|_{L_{i}}$ is defined by $t^{n}=v_{1, i}(a) / u_{1, i}(a)$. If the order of $\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}$ for $i \in I$ is $p^{s}$ for $s \geq 0$, then $\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}$ is defined by an Artin-Schreier-Witt equation $F(t)-t=a$ for the image $a$ in $W_{s}\left(K_{i}\right)$ of a section of $W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$, and $\left.\varphi\right|_{L_{i}}$ is defined by $F(t)-t=v_{s, i}(a)-u_{s, i}(a)$. Here $F$ denotes the Frobenius.

Let $F_{L_{i}}$ denote the residue field of $L_{i}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ the generic point of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I$. We put $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} D_{i}$. Then we have $n_{i}>0$ for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and $n_{i}>1$ for $i \in\left(I-I^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$. By Lemma 2.6 (3), there are canonical injections

$$
t_{i, n_{i}}: \operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1}=\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{D_{i}, \mathfrak{p}_{i}} \rightarrow F_{L_{i}}
$$

for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ and

$$
t_{i, n_{i}}^{\prime}: \operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}}^{\prime} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}=\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{D_{i}, p_{i}} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p} \rightarrow F_{L_{i}} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}
$$

for $i \in\left(I-I^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$. Recall that the refined Swan conductor $\operatorname{rsw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ (Definition 1.9 (1)) and the characteristic form $\operatorname{char}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ (Definition $\left.1.9(2)\right)$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ are elements of $\operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1}$ and $\operatorname{gr}_{n_{i}}^{\prime} \Omega_{K_{i}}^{1} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}$, respectively.
Lemma 2.7 (cf. [AS2, Lemma 4.2.3]). Suppose that $X$ is separated over $k$. Let the notation be as above.
(1) The character $\left.\varphi\right|_{L_{i}}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ is unramified and is of order $p$.
(2) Let $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$. Then the character $\left.\varphi\right|_{L_{i}}$ is defined by the Artin-Schreier equation $t^{p}-t=-t_{i, n_{i}}\left(\operatorname{rsw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\right)$, that is, $\left.\varphi\right|_{L_{i}}$ is the image of $-t_{i, n_{i}}\left(\operatorname{rsw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\right)$ by the canonical morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{L_{i}} \rightarrow H^{1}\left(F_{L_{i}}, \mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}\right) \subset H^{1}\left(L_{i}, \mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

of Artin-Schreier theory.
(3) Let $i \in\left(I-I^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$. Then the character $\left.\varphi\right|_{L_{i}}$ is defined by $t^{p}-t=-t_{i, n_{i}}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{char}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\right)$, namely, $\left.\varphi\right|_{L_{i}}$ is the image of an element of $F_{L_{i}}$ that is equal to $-t_{i, n_{i}}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{char}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\right)-$ $\left(b^{p}-b\right)$ in $F_{L_{i}} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}$ for some $b \in F_{L_{i}} \otimes_{F_{K_{i}}} F_{K_{i}}^{1 / p}$ by the morphism (2.18).
Proof. Since the assertions are local on the generic point of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$, we may assume that $D$ is irreducible and that $I=I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$. Then the assertion (1) for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ and the assertion (2) are nothing but AS2, Lemma 4.2.3]. Since $\chi$ is the sum of the $p$-part and prime to $p$-part of $\chi$, we may assume that the order of $\chi$ is a power of $p$ to prove the remaining assertions by [Y1, Lemmas 2.7 (ii), (iii)]. Then the assertion (3) follows from [Y1, Proposition 2.12 (ii)], and the assertion (1) for $i \in\left(I-I^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ follows.

Lemma 2.8. Suppose that $X$ is separated over $k$. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Then the following hold:
(1) The direct image $j_{D^{\prime} *}^{\left(R^{D^{\prime}}\right)} \mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ (2.17) by $j_{D^{\prime}}^{\left(R_{F}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.}: U \times_{k} U \rightarrow P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ (2.16) is a smooth sheaf on $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$.
(2) We regard the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ as a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}\right)}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}}}$ $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ by Lemma[2.6 (3), where $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)) is equal to the support of $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}+D^{\prime}-D$ by Lemma 1.21 and we put $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}=P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)} \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}$. If $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ is non-empty, then the restriction $\left.j_{D^{\prime} *}^{\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.} \mathcal{H}\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.}}$ of $j_{D^{\prime} *}^{\left(R D_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.} \mathcal{H}$ to $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.}$ is defined by the Artin-Schreier equation $t^{p}-t=-\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$.
(3) Let $\bar{x}$ be a geometric point on $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$. Let $P_{\bar{x}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ denote the fiber product of $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ and $\bar{x}$ over $X \times_{k} X$, where $\bar{x}$ is regarded as a geometric point on $X \times_{k} X$ by the diagonal $X \rightarrow X \times_{k} X$. If the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at the image $x \in X$ of $\bar{x}$, then $\left.j_{D^{\prime} *}^{\left(R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}\right)} \mathcal{H}\right|_{P_{\bar{x}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.}}$ is not constant.
Proof. (1) By the purity of Zariski-Nagata, it is sufficient to prove that $\left.\varphi\right|_{L_{i}}$ is unramified for $i \in I$. Since the assertion is local on the generic point of $D_{i}$ for $i \in I$, we may assume that $D$ is irreducible. If $I=I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$, then the assertion follows from Lemma 2.7 (1). If $I=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=I^{\prime}$, then the assertion is nothing but the last assertion in [Y2, Lemma 2.32 (i)].

Suppose that $I=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ and that $I^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Then, since $\chi$ is decomposed to the sum of its $p$-part and its prime to $p$-part, we may assume that $\chi$ is of order a power of $p$ or of order prime to $p$. If the order of $\chi$ is prime to $p$, then the assertion follows from [Y1, Lemma 2.7 (i), (ii)]. If the order of $\chi$ is a power of $p$, then the assertion follows from [Y1, Lemma 2.9 (i)].
(2) By Remark 1.23 (3), the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is the unique global section of $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left.D^{\prime}\right)}\right.}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}}} \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ whose germ at the generic point of $D_{i}^{1 / p}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ is $\operatorname{rsw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)^{\mathcal{F}}$ if $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ and is $\operatorname{char}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ if $i \in\left(I-I^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$. Thus the assertion follows from Lemma 2.7 (2) and (3).
(3) By Lemma 2.6(2), we can identify $P_{\bar{x}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ with the fiber product of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ and $\bar{x}$ over $X \times_{k} X$. Then the restriction $\left.j_{D^{\prime} *}^{\left(R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}\right)} \mathcal{H}\right|_{P_{\bar{x}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}}$ is defined by $t^{p}-t=$ $-\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ by (2), and is not constant by the $\log -D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ at $x$.
Proposition 2.9. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings.
(1) Suppose that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at a point $x$ on $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)). Let $\bar{x}$ be a geometric point lying above $x$. Then we have $\left(R j_{*} \mathcal{F}\right)_{\bar{x}}=0$.
(2) Suppose that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) (or equivalently $Z_{\mathcal{F}}=D$ ) and that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. Then the canonical morphism $j_{!} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since the assertion is local, we may assume that $X$ is separated over $k$. Then the assertion (1) follows similarly as the proof of [Y2, Proposition 2.34] by replacing $\left(X *_{k} X\right)^{\left(R_{\chi}\right)}, P_{\bar{x}}^{\left(R_{\chi}\right)}$, and [Y2, Lemma 2.33] by $P^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}, P_{\bar{x}}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$, and Lemma 2.8 (3), respectively. The assertion (2) is a consequence of (1), since $Z_{\mathcal{F}}=X-U$ when $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$.

## 3 Singular support and characteristic cycle

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a constructible complex of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$, namely $\mathcal{G}$ has the constructible cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^{i}(\mathcal{G})$ that are 0 except for finitely many $i$. We recall the singular support $S S(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ introduced in [Be] in Subsection 3.1 and the characteristic cycle $C C(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ introduced in [S4] in Subsection 3.2.

### 3.1 Singular support

For a vector bundle $E$ on $X$, we say that a closed subset $C \subset E$ is a closed conical subset if $C$ is stable under the $\mathbf{G}_{m}$-action. The base of a closed conical subset $C \subset E$ is the intersection of $C$ and the zero section of $E$. For a morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ of smooth schemes over $k$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
d h: T^{*} X \times_{X} W \rightarrow T^{*} W \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the morphism defined by $h$.
Definition 3.1. Let $C \subset T^{*} X$ be a closed conical subset.
(1) Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of smooth schemes over $k$. We say that $h$ is $C$-transversal at $w \in W$ if the intersection

$$
\left(h^{*} C \cap d h^{-1}\left(T_{W}^{*} W\right)\right) \times_{W} w \subset T^{*} X \times_{X} w,
$$

where $d h$ is as in (3.1), is contained in the zero section $T_{X}^{*} X \times_{X} w$.
We say that $h$ is $C$-transversal if $h$ is $C$-transversal at every $w \in W$, namely if the intersection

$$
h^{*} C \cap d h^{-1}\left(T_{W}^{*} W\right) \subset T^{*} X
$$

is contained in the zero section $T_{X}^{*} X \times_{X} W$.
If $h$ is $C$-transversal, then we define a closed conical subset

$$
h^{\circ} C \subset T^{*} W
$$

to be the image of $h^{*} C=C \times_{X} W$ by $d h$.
(2) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of smooth schemes over $k$. We say that $f$ is $C$-transversal at $x \in X$ if the inverse image

$$
d f^{-1}(C) \times_{X} x \subset T^{*} Y \times_{Y} x
$$

where $d f: T^{*} Y \times_{Y} X \rightarrow T^{*} X$ is as in (3.1), is contained in the zero section $T_{Y}^{*} Y \times_{Y} x$.

We say that $f$ is $C$-transversal if $f$ is $C$-transversal at every $x \in X$, namely the inverse image

$$
d f^{-1}(C) \subset T^{*} Y
$$

is contained in the zero section $T_{Y}^{*} Y \times_{Y} X$.
(3) Let $(h, f)$ be a pair of morphisms $h: W \rightarrow X$ and $f: W \rightarrow Y$ of smooth schemes over $k$. We say that $(h, f)$ is $C$-transversal if $h$ is $C$-transversal and $f$ is $h^{\circ} C$ transversal.

Remark 3.2. (1) In Definition 3.1(1), if the morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ is $C$-transversal, then the restriction $\left.d h\right|_{h^{*} C}: h^{*} C \rightarrow T^{*} W$ of $d h$ to $h^{*} C=C \times_{X} W \subset T^{*} X \times_{X} W$ is finite by [Be, Lemma 1.2 (ii)]. Hence the image $h^{\circ} C \subset T^{*} W$ of $h^{*} C$ by $d h$ is a closed conical subset of $T^{*} W$ and, if further $h^{*} C$ is purely of dimension $e$, then so is $h^{\circ} C$.

If the morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ is a smooth morphism over $k$, then the morphism $d h$ is injective. Thus the smooth morphism $h$ is $C$-transversal and the closed conical subset $h^{\circ} C \subset T^{*} W$ is isomorphic to $h^{*} C \subset T^{*} X \times_{X} W$.
(2) For a closed conical subset $C \subset T^{*} X$, the $C$-transversality of a morphism $h: W \rightarrow$ $X$ of smooth schemes over $k$ is an open condition on $W$ by [Be, Lemma 1.2 (i)].
(3) Let $C, C^{\prime} \subset T^{*} X$ be two closed conical subsets. If $C^{\prime} \subset C$, then every $C$ transversal morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ is $C^{\prime}$-transversal and so is every $C$-transversal morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Therefore so is every $C$-transversal pair of morphisms. In general, a morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ of smooth schemes over $k$ is $C \cup C^{\prime}$-transversal if and only if $h$ is $C$-transversal and is $C^{\prime}$-transversal. It also holds for a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth schemes over $k$ and a pair $\left(h, f^{\prime}\right)$ of morphisms $h: W \rightarrow X$ and $f^{\prime}: W \rightarrow Y$ of smooth schemes over $k$, respectively.

Definition 3.3 ([Be, 1.2]). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism to a smooth scheme $Y$ over $k$ and let $C \subset T^{*} X$ be a closed conical subset. Then we define a closed conical subset

$$
f_{0} C \subset T^{*} Y
$$

to be the image by the projection $\mathrm{pr}_{1}: T^{*} Y \times_{Y} X \rightarrow T^{*} Y$ of the inverse image $d f^{-1}(C)$ of $C$ by $d f: T^{*} Y \times_{Y} X \rightarrow T^{*} X$ (3.1).

There is a compatibility of the closed conical subset $f_{0} C$ of $T^{*} Y$ for a proper morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and a closed conical subset $C \subset T^{*} X$ with the composition of proper morphisms:

Lemma 3.4. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ be proper morphisms of smooth schemes over $k$. Let $C \subset T^{*} X$ be a closed conical subset. Then we have $(g \circ f) \circ C=g_{\circ}\left(f_{\circ} C\right)$.

Proof. We consider the diagram

where pr: $T^{*} Z \times_{Z} X \rightarrow T^{*} Z \times_{Z} Y$ denotes the projection and $d g_{X}: T^{*} Z \times_{Z} X \rightarrow$ $T^{*} Y \times_{Y} X$ is the base change of $d g$ (3.1) by $f$. Since the square is cartesian, we obtain the desired equality.

The singular support $S S(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is defined as follows:
Definition 3.5 ([Be, 1.3]). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a constructible complex of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$ and let $C \subset T^{*} X$ be a closed conical subset.
(1) We say that $\mathcal{G}$ is micro-supported on $C$ if $f$ is locally acyclic relative to $h^{*} \mathcal{G}$ for every $C$-transversal pair $(h, f)$ of morphisms $h: W \rightarrow X$ and $f: W \rightarrow Y$ of smooth schemes over $k$.
(2) We define the singular support $S S(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ to be the smallest closed conical subset where $\mathcal{G}$ is micro-supported.

The existence of the singular support follows from [Be, Theorem 1.3].
Remark 3.6. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a constructible complex of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$.
(1) Since the local acyclicity is an étale local condition, the singular support $S S(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is defined étale locally ( $[\mathrm{Be}$, Theorem 1.4 (i)]).
(2) If $X$ is purely of dimension $d$, then so is the singular support $S S(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ ([Be, Theorem 1.3 (ii)]).
(3) If $\mathcal{G}$ is micro-supported on a closed conical subset $C \subset T^{*} X$, then $\mathcal{G}$ is microsupported on $C^{\prime}$ for every closed conical subset $C^{\prime} \subset T^{*} X$ containing $C$. Indeed, if $C \subset C^{\prime}$, then every $C^{\prime}$-transversal pair $(h, f)$ is $C$-transversal by Remark 3.2 (3), and the assertion follows. Consequently, the complex $\mathcal{G}$ is micro-supported on a closed conical subset $C \subset T^{*} X$ if and only if the singular support $S S(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is contained in $C$.

Let $D_{c}^{b}(X, \Lambda)$ denote the derived category of constructible complexes of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$. We recall properties of singular supports.

Lemma 3.7 (cf. [Be, Lemma 2.1 (iv)]). Let $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^{\prime}$, and $\mathcal{G}^{\prime \prime}$ be constructible complexes of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$ and let $\mathcal{G}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow$ be a distinguished triangle in $D_{c}^{b}(X, \Lambda)$. Then we have

$$
S S\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right) \subset S S(\mathcal{G}) \cup S S\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Proof. We put $C=S S(\mathcal{G}) \cup S S\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ so that both $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\prime \prime}$ are micro-supported on $C$ by Remark 3.6 (3). Let $(h, f)$ be a $C$-transversal pair of morphisms $h: W \rightarrow X$ and $f: W \rightarrow Y$. We prove that $f$ is locally acyclic relative to $h^{*} \mathcal{G}^{\prime}$, which deduces the assertion. By [Be, Theorem 1.5], we may assume that $Y$ is a smooth curve over $k$. Let $w$ be a point on $W$ and $\bar{w}$ an algebraic geometric point lying above $w$. We consider the morphism

of distinguished triangles of complexes on $\bar{w}$. Here the symbol $\varphi_{\bar{w}}$ denotes the stalk of nearby cycle complex at $\bar{w}$. Since $f$ is locally acyclic relative to both $h^{*} \mathcal{G}$ and $h^{*} \mathcal{G}^{\prime \prime}$, the middle and right vertical arrows in (3.2) are isomorphisms. Hence the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism, and $f$ is locally acyclic relative to $h^{*} \mathcal{G}$.
Theorem 3.8 ([Be, Theorems 1.4 (i), 1.5, Lemmas 2.2 (ii), 2.5 (i)]). We have the following for a constructible complex $\mathcal{G}$ of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$ :
(1) Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a smooth morphism. Then we have $S S\left(h^{*} \mathcal{G}\right)=h^{\circ} S S(\mathcal{G})$.
(2) Let $i: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion to a smooth scheme $Y$ over $k$. Then we have $S S\left(i_{*} \mathcal{G}\right)=i_{\circ} S S(\mathcal{G})$.
(3) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism to a smooth scheme $Y$ over $k$. Then we have $S S\left(R f_{*} \mathcal{G}\right) \subset f_{0} S S(\mathcal{G})$.

Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a separated morphism of schemes of finite type over $k$. We define a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{h, \mathcal{G}}: h^{*} \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\Lambda}^{L} R h^{!} \Lambda \rightarrow R h^{!} \mathcal{G} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be the adjunction of the composition

$$
R h_{!}\left(h^{*} \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\Lambda}^{L} R h^{!} \Lambda\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\Lambda}^{L} R h_{!} R h^{!} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon} \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\Lambda}^{L} \Lambda=\mathcal{G}
$$

where the first arrow is the inverse of the isomorphism of the projection formula and $\varepsilon: R h_{!} R h^{!} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ denotes the adjunction mapping.

Definition 3.9 ([S4, Definition 8.5]). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a constructible complex of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$ and let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a separated morphism of smooth schemes over $k$. We say that the morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ is $\mathcal{G}$-transversal if the morphism $c_{h, \mathcal{G}}$ (3.3) is an isomorphism.

Proposition 3.10 ([Y2, Lemma 1.12], cf. [S4, Proposition 8.8.1]). Let

be a cartesian diagram of smooth schemes over $k$. Assume that the horizontal arrows in (3.4) are open immersions and that the morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ is separated over $k$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules on $V$ such that both of the canonical morphisms

$$
j_{!} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{H}
$$

and

$$
j_{!}^{\prime} h^{*} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow R j_{*}^{\prime} h^{*} \mathcal{H}
$$

are isomorphisms. Then the separated morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ is j! $\mathcal{H}$-transversal.
Proposition 3.11 ([Y2, Proposition 1.13], cf. [S4, Proposition 8.13]). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a constructible complex of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$ and let $C \subset T^{*} X$ be a closed conical subset. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) The complex $\mathcal{G}$ is micro-supported on $C$.
(2) The support $\operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{G} \subset X$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is contained in the base $C \cap T_{X}^{*} X \subset X$ of $C$ and every separated $C$-transversal morphism is $\mathcal{G}$-transversal.

### 3.2 Characteristic cycle

We first recall the notion that a point is at most a characteristic point to define characteristic cycles.

Definition 3.12 ([S4, Definition 5.3.1]). Let $C \subset T^{*} X$ be a closed conical subset. Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be an étale morphism and let $f: W \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism to a smooth curve $Y$ over $k$. Let $w \in W$ be a closed point. If the restriction $X \stackrel{h}{\leftarrow} W-\{w\} \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} Y$ of the pair $(h, f)$ to $W-\{w\}$ is $C$-transversal, then we say that $w \in W$ is at most an isolated $C$-characteristic point of $f$.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a constructible complex of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$. Then the characteristic cycle $C C(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is defined as follows:

Definition 3.13 ([S4, Definition 5.10, Theorem 5.18]). Assume that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a constructible complex of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$ and let $C \subset T^{*} X$ be a closed conical subset of pure dimension $d$ containing the singular support $S S(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\left\{C_{a}\right\}_{a}$ denote the irreducible components of $C$. We define the characteristic cycle $C C(\mathcal{G})$ to be a unique Z-linear combination $A=\sum_{a} m_{a}\left[C_{a}\right] \in Z_{d}\left(T^{*} X\right)$, which is independent of the choice of $C$, satisfying the following Milnor formula ([S4, Theorem
5.9]): If $h: W \rightarrow X$ is an étale morphism and if $f: W \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism to a smooth curve $Y$ over $k$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{dimtot} \phi_{w}\left(h^{*} \mathcal{G}, f\right)=\left(h^{*} A, d f\right)_{T^{*} W, w} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every at most isolated characteristic point $w \in W$ of $f$. In (3.5), the left-hand side denotes $-\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dimtot} \phi_{w}^{i}\left(h^{*} \mathcal{G}, f\right)$, where $\operatorname{dimtot} \phi_{w}^{i}\left(h^{*} \mathcal{G}, f\right)$ is the total dimension of the stalk at $w$ of the $i$-th cohomology sheaf of the vanishing cycle complex $\phi\left(h^{*} \mathcal{G}, f\right)$. The right-hand side denotes the intersection number supported on the fiber of $T^{*} W$ at $w$ of $h^{*} A$ with the section $d f \subset T^{*} W$ defined by the pull-back of a basis of $T^{*} Y$.

Remark 3.14. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a constructible complex of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$.
(1) Since the singular support $S S(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is defined étale locally by Remark 3.6 (1) and since the statement of Milnor formula ([S4, Theorem 5.9]) is étale local, the characteristic cycle $C C(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is defined étale locally ([S4, Lemma 5.11.2]).
(2) By the definition of $C C(\mathcal{G})$ as a linear combination of the prime cycles defined by the irreducible components of $S S(\mathcal{G})$, the support of the characteristic cycle $C C(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is contained in the singular support $S S(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$.

We recall properties of characteristic cycles.
Lemma 3.15 ([S4, Lemma 5.13.1]). Suppose that $X$ is of pure dimension. Let $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^{\prime}$, and $\mathcal{G}^{\prime \prime}$ be constructible complexes of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$ and let $\mathcal{G}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow$ be a distinguished triangle in $D_{b}^{c}(X, \Lambda)$. Then we have

$$
C C(\mathcal{G})=C C\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)+C C\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Proposition 3.16 ([S4, Proposition 5.14]). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a constructible complex of $\Lambda$ modules on $X$. Suppose that $X$ is of pure dimension and that $\mathcal{G}$ is a perverse sheaf on $X$. Then we have the following for the characteristic cycle $C C(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ :
(1) $C C(\mathcal{G}) \geq 0$.
(2) The support of $C C(\mathcal{G})$ is equal to the singular support $S S(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$.

Corollary 3.17 (cf. [Y2, Lemma 1.7]). Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension d. Let $j: V \rightarrow X$ be an affine open immersion and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules on $V$. Then we have the following for the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{H})$ of $j!\mathcal{H}$ :
(1) $(-1)^{d} C C(j!\mathcal{H}) \geq 0$.
(2) The support of $C C(j!\mathcal{H})$ is equal to the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{H})$ of $j!\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. By [BBD, Examples 4.0, Corollaire 4.1.10 (i)], the complex $j!\mathcal{H}[d]$ is a perverse sheaf on $X$. By Lemma 3.15, we have $C C(j!\mathcal{H})=(-1)^{d} C C(j!\mathcal{H}[d])$.
(1) By Proposition 3.16 (1), we have $(-1)^{d} C C(j!\mathcal{H})=C C\left(j_{!} \mathcal{H}[d]\right) \geq 0$.
(2) By Proposition 3.16 (2), the support of $C C(j!\mathcal{H})=(-1)^{d} C C(j!\mathcal{H}[d])$ is equal to $S S(j!\mathcal{H}[d])$. Since the support of $C C(j!\mathcal{H})$ is contained in $S S(j!\mathcal{H})$ by Remark 3.14 (2), we have $S S(j!\mathcal{H}[d]) \subset S S(j!\mathcal{H})$. Conversely, we have $S S(j!\mathcal{H}) \subset S S(j!\mathcal{H}[d])$ by Lemma 3.7.

Proposition 3.18 (cf. [SY, Theorem 0.1]). Suppose that $X$ is of pure dimension. Let $j: V \rightarrow X$ be an affine open immersion and let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ be two smooth sheaves of $\Lambda$ modules of rank 1 on $V$ such that the p-parts of the characters $\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$associated to $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ are the same. Then we have the following:
(1) $S S\left(j_{!} \mathcal{H}\right)=S S\left(j!\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) $C C(j!\mathcal{H})=C C\left(j!\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. The assertion (2) is a special case of [SY, Theorem 0.1]. The assertion (1) is a consequence of (2) and Corollary 3.17 (2).

Definition 3.19 (cf. [S4, Definition 7.1]). Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$. Let $C \subset T^{*} X$ be a closed conical subset whose irreducible components $\left\{C_{a}\right\}_{a}$ are of dimension $d$. Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a morphism from a smooth scheme $W$ of pure dimension $e$ over $k$.
(1) We say that the morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ is properly $C$-transversal if $h$ is $C$ transversal and if $h^{*} C=C \times_{X} W$ is purely of dimension $e$.
(2) Assume that the morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ is properly $C$-transversal. We define $h^{!} A \in Z_{e}\left(T^{*} W\right)$ for $A=\sum_{a} m_{a}\left[C_{a}\right] \in Z_{d}\left(T^{*} X\right)$ to be the push-forward of $(-1)^{d-e} \sum_{a} m_{a}\left[h^{*} C_{a}\right] \in Z_{e}\left(T^{*} X \times_{X} W\right)$ by $d h: T^{*} X \times_{X} W \rightarrow T^{*} W$ (3.1).

Theorem 3.20 ([S4, Theorem 7.6]). Assume that $X$ is of pure dimension. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a constructible complex of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$ and let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a properly $C$-transversal morphism for a closed conical subset $C \subset T^{*} X$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is micro-supported on $C$, then we have

$$
C C\left(h^{*} \mathcal{G}\right)=h^{!} C C(\mathcal{G})
$$

Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$. Let $C \subset T^{*} X$ be a closed conical subset of pure dimension $d$. For a proper morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to a smooth scheme $Y$ of pure dimension $e$ over $k$, we define a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{!}: Z_{d}(C)=C H_{d}(C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{1 *} \circ d f^{!}} C H_{e}\left(f_{\circ} C\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be the composition of the Gysin homomorphism $d f^{!}: C H_{d}(C) \rightarrow C H_{e}\left(d f^{-1}(C)\right)$ ([F, 6.6]) defined by the l.c.i. morphism $d f: T^{*} Y \times_{Y} X \rightarrow T^{*} X$ (3.1) and the pushforward $\operatorname{pr}_{1 *}: C H_{e}\left(d f^{-1}(C)\right) \rightarrow C H_{e}\left(f_{\circ} C\right)$ defined by the first projection $\operatorname{pr}_{1}: T^{*} Y \times_{Y}$ $X \rightarrow T^{*} Y$. If every irreducible component of $f_{\circ} C$ is of dimension $\leq e$, then we have $C H_{e}\left(f_{0} C\right)=Z_{e}\left(f_{\circ} C\right)$ and the morphism $f_{!}$(3.6) defines the morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{!}: Z_{d}(C) \rightarrow Z_{e}\left(f_{0} C\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

of abelian groups ([S5, (2.3)]).

Lemma 3.21 ([S4, Lemma 5.13.2]). Suppose that $X$ is of pure dimension. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be $a$ constructible complex of $\Lambda$-modules on $X$ and let $i: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion to a smooth scheme $Y$ of pure dimension over $k$. Then we have

$$
C C\left(i_{*} \mathcal{G}\right)=i_{!} C C(\mathcal{G})
$$

Consequently, if $i^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow Z$ is another closed immersion to a smooth scheme $Z$ of pure dimensions over $k$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i^{\prime} \circ i\right)_{!} C C(\mathcal{G})=i_{!}^{\prime} i_{!} C C(\mathcal{G}) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The first assertion is none other than [S4, Lemma 5.13.2]. We prove the second assertion. By the first assertion, both sides of (3.8) are equal to $C C\left(\left(i^{\prime} \circ i\right)_{*} \mathcal{G}\right)=$ $C C\left(i_{*}^{\prime} i_{*} \mathcal{G}\right)$.
Lemma 3.22. Suppose that $X$ is of pure dimension. Let $j: V \rightarrow X$ be an affine open immersion and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules on $V$. If $i: X \rightarrow Y$ is a closed immersion to a smooth scheme $Y$ of pure dimension over $k$, then the support of $i_{!} C C(j!\mathcal{H})$ is $i_{0} S S(j!\mathcal{H})$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.21, we have $i_{!} C C(j!\mathcal{H})=C C\left(i_{*} j_{!} \mathcal{H}\right)$. By Theorem 3.8 (2), we have $i_{0} S S\left(j_{!} \mathcal{H}\right)=S S\left(i_{*} j_{!} \mathcal{H}\right)$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that the support of $C C\left(i_{*} j_{!} \mathcal{H}\right)$ is $S S\left(i_{*} j_{!} \mathcal{H}\right)$. By BBD, Examples 4.0, Corollaire 4.1.3], the complex $i_{*} j_{!} \mathcal{H}[d]$ is a perverse sheaf on $Y$. Then the assertion follows similarly as the proof of Corollary 3.17 (2) with $j!\mathcal{H}$ replaced by $i_{*} j_{!} \mathcal{H}$.

## 4 Log- $D^{\prime}$-characteristic cycle and a candidate of singular support

Let $j: U \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical open immersion as is explained in Conventions. For a divisor $D^{\prime}$ on $X$ with simple normal crossings contained in $D$ and containing $D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (1.48), we define the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic cycle $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $j!\mathcal{F}$ in Definition 4.1 (4) when the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ (Definition 1.29 (2)). We construct a closed conical subset $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X$ as a union of conormal bundles and the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ of the support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ by the canonical morphism $\tau_{D^{\prime}}: T^{*} X \rightarrow T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ in Definition 4.15, when $D$ has simple normal crossings. We prove that the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ (Definition $3.5(2)$ ) is contained in $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ in Theorem 4.21. If further the dimension of the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ is the same as that of $X$, then $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ is proved to be contained in $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ in Corollary 4.31 and we prove that the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ (Definition 3.13) is determined by the pull-back $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ in some sense in Proposition 4.33,

### 4.1 Log- $D^{\prime}$-characteristic cycle

We define the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-characteristic cycle $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $j!\mathcal{F}$ when the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$, where $D^{\prime}$ is a divisor on $X$ with simple normal crossings contained in $D$.

Definition 4.1 (cf. [K2, (3.4.4)], [S3, Definition 3.5.1]). Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. Let $R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ and $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ be as in Definition 1.19 (1) and (2), respectively, and let $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ be the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic form of $\mathcal{F}$ (Definition 1.22).
(1) We define a closed subscheme

$$
L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}
$$

to be the sub line bundle of $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ defined by the invertible sheaf $\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(-R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}} \cdot \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$, which is locally a direct summand of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ by the $\log -D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$.
For $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)), we define a closed subscheme

$$
L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times{ }_{X} D_{i}^{1 / p}
$$

to be the the sub line bundle of $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} D_{i}^{1 / p}$ defined by the invertible sheaf $\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(-R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{D_{i}^{1 / p}} \cdot \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right|_{D_{i}^{1 / p}}$.
(2) Let $f: Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p} \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $f_{i}: D_{i}^{1 / p} \rightarrow D_{i}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ be the structure morphisms (1.20). We define a closed subscheme

$$
L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)
$$

to be the image of $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}$ by the canonical morphism

$$
T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p} \rightarrow T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)
$$

with the closed subscheme structure satisfying the equality $\left[L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right]=f_{*}\left[L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}\right]$ as algebraic cycles on $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$.
For $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$, we define a closed subscheme

$$
L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)
$$

to be the image of $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}$ by the canonical morphism

$$
T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} D_{i}^{1 / p} \rightarrow T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} D_{i} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)
$$

with the closed subscheme structure satisfying the equality $\left[L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right]=f_{i *}\left[L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}\right]$ as algebraic cycles on $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$.
(3) Assume that $I_{T, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) is contained in $I^{\prime}$. Then we define the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $j!\mathcal{F}$ by

$$
S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})=T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \cup L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}
$$

which is a closed conical subset of $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$.
(4) Assume that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$ and that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ is contained in $I^{\prime}$. Then we define the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic cycle $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ by

$$
C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})=(-1)^{d}\left(\left[T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right]+\sum_{i \in I_{\mathrm{w}, \mathcal{F}}} \mathrm{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\left[L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right]\right) \in Z_{d}\left(T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ is as in (1.31).
Remark 4.2. Let the notation be as in Definition 4.1. Suppose that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$.
(1) In Definition4.1(1) (resp. (2)), the closed conical subsets $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X}$ $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ are the irreducible components of $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}\left(\right.$ resp. of $\left.L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)$, and we have $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)$.
(2) By Remark 1.23 (2), both the sub line bundles $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ and $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ are stable under the replacement of $\chi$ by the $p$-part of $\chi$. Therefore so is $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$, and so is $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ by (1) and Remark 1.6 (1).
(3) Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Then the closed subscheme $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D} \subset$ $T^{*} X(\log D)$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ is the same as $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}_{i}}$ in [K2, (3.4.4)], where $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ is the generic point of $D_{i}$, and $L_{i, \chi}$ in [Y2, the remark before Definition 3.1] by Remark 1.23 (4). The closed subscheme $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime \emptyset} \subset T^{*} X \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ is the same as $L_{i, \chi}^{\prime \prime}$ in [Y2, the remark after Lemma 2.18] by Remark 1.23 (4), and is equal to $L_{\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}}$ in [S4, the remark before Proposition 4.13] by [Y1, Corollary 2.13 (i)] and Remark [1.30] (3). The cycle $\left[L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset}\right]$ on $T^{*} X$ defined by $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset}$ is the same as $\left[L_{i, \chi}^{\prime}\right]$ in [Y2, the remark after Lemma 2.18] and $\pi_{\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}} *}\left[L_{\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}}\right]$ in [S4, the remark before Theorem 7.14].
(4) Let the assumptions be as in Definition4.1(4). Since $\mathrm{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)>0$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$, we have $(-1)^{d} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})>0$ and the support of $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ is the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $j!\mathcal{F}$ by (1).
(5) Let the assumptions be as in Definition 4.1 (4). Suppose further that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Then the $\log -D$-characteristic cycle $C C_{D}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ is the same as $\operatorname{Char}^{\log }(X, U, \chi)$ in [Y2, Definition 3.1], which is a modification of [K2, (3.4.4)], by (3) and Remark 1.30 (3), and is equal to $C C(\mathcal{F})$ in [S1, Definition 3.6] by [AS3, Corollaire 9.12] and Remark 1.30 (3). By (3), by [Y1, Theorem 3.1], and by Remark $1.30(3)$, the $\log -\emptyset$-characteristic cycle $C C_{\emptyset}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ is equal to $C(j!\mathcal{F})$ in [S4, the remark before Theorem 7.14], which is equal to the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $j!\mathcal{F}$ (Definition 3.13) by [S4, Theorem 7.14].
In order to discuss compatibilities with morphisms of schemes, we recall the theory of logarithmic transversality introduced in [Y2, Subsection 3.2]. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{D^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}} T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X \subset T^{*} X \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the union of the conormal bundles $T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X$ of $D_{I^{\prime \prime}}=\bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i} \subset X$ for all subsets $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ including $I^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$. If $I^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$, then $T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X$ is the zero section $T_{X}^{*} X$ of $T^{*} X$ by convention.

By [S4, Lemma 3.4.5], a morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ of smooth schemes over $k$ is $C_{D^{\prime}-}$ transversal (Definition 3.1 (1)) if and only if the pull-back $h^{*} D_{i}=D_{i} \times_{k} W$ for $i \in I^{\prime}$ is a smooth divisor on $W$ and if $h^{*} D^{\prime}=D^{\prime} \times_{k} W$ is a divisor on $W$ with simple normal crossings. Therefore, if a morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ of smooth schemes over $k$ is $C_{D^{\prime}}$-transversal, then the morphism $h$ induces the morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
d h^{D^{\prime}}: T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} W \rightarrow T^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 4.3 ([Y2, Definition 3.6]). Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings and let $C_{D^{\prime}}$ be as in (4.1). Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a $C_{D^{\prime-}}$ transversal morphism of smooth schemes over $k$ and let $C$ be a closed conical subset of $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$. Then we say that $h$ is $\log -D^{\prime}-C$-transversal at $w \in W$ if the subset

$$
\left(h^{*} C \cap d h^{D^{\prime-1}}\left(T_{W}^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \times_{W} w \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} w
$$

where $d h^{D^{\prime}}$ is as in (4.2), is contained in the zero section $T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} w$.
We say that $h$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$ - $C$-transversal if $h$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$ - $C$-transversal at every $w \in W$, namely if the intersection

$$
h^{*} C \cap d h^{D^{\prime-1}}\left(T_{W}^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} W
$$

is contained in the zero section $T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times{ }_{X} W$.
If $h$ is log- $D^{\prime}-C$-transversal, then we define a closed conical subset

$$
h^{\circ} C \subset T^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)
$$

to be the image of $h^{*} C$ by $d h^{D^{\prime}}$.
Remark 4.4. Let the notation be as in Definition 4.3.
(1) In the last part of Definition 4.3, if the morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-transversal, then the image $h^{\circ} C$ of $h^{*} C=C \times_{X} W$ by $d h^{D^{\prime}}$ is a closed conical subset of $T^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)$, since the restriction $\left.d h^{D^{\prime}}\right|_{h^{*} C}: h^{*} C \rightarrow T^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)$ of $d h^{D^{\prime}}$ to $h^{*} C \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} W$ is finite by [S4, Lemma 3.1].
(2) Let $C, C^{\prime} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ be two closed conical subsets. If $C^{\prime} \subset C$, then every $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$ - $C$-transversal morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$ - $C^{\prime}$-transversal. Generally, a
 transversal if and only if $h$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}-C$-transversal and is $\log -D^{\prime}-C^{\prime}$-transversal.
(3) Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a $C_{D^{\prime}}$-transversal morphism of smooth schemes over $k$. Since we have $h^{*} T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)=T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} W$, the morphism $h$ is $\log -D^{\prime}-T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ transversal and we have $h^{\circ} T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)=T_{W}^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $h$ is $\log -D^{\prime}-$ $T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \cup C$-transversal for a closed conical subset $C \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $h$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$ - $C$-transversal by (2).

Similarly as the $C$-transversality of a morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ of smooth schemes over $k$ for a closed conical subset $C \subset T^{*} X$, the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}-C^{\prime}$-transversality of a $C_{D^{\prime \prime}}$ transversal morphism $h^{\prime}: W^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ of smooth schemes over $k$ for a closed conical subset $C^{\prime} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ is an open condition on $W$ (cf. Remark 3.2 (2)):

Lemma 4.5 (cf. [Be, Lemma 1.2 (i)]). Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings and let $C \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ be a closed conical subset. For a morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ of smooth schemes over $k$, the set of points on $W$ at which $h$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-C-transversal is an open subset of $W$.

Proof. The assertion follows, since the considered subset of $W$ is the complement of the image of the closed subset

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(h^{*} C \cap d h^{D^{\prime-1}}\left(T_{W}^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \subset \mathbf{P}\left(T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} W\right)
$$

of the projective space bundle on $W$ associated to the vector bundle $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} W$ on $W$ by the canonical projection $\mathbf{P}\left(T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} W\right) \rightarrow W$.

For two divisors $E^{\prime} \subset E$ on $X$ with simple normal crossings, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{E^{\prime} / E}: T^{*} X\left(\log E^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow T^{*} X(\log E) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the canonical morphism of vector bundles on $X$. If $E^{\prime}=\emptyset$, then we simply denote $\tau_{\emptyset / E}: T^{*} X \rightarrow T^{*} X(\log E)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{E}: T^{*} X \rightarrow T^{*} X(\log E) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The closed conical subset $C_{E} \subset T^{*} X$ (4.1) is equal to the inverse image by $\tau_{E}: T^{*} X \rightarrow$ $T^{*} X(\log E)$ of the zero section $T_{X}^{*} X(\log E) \subset T^{*} X(\log E)$ as sets, namely, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{E}=\tau_{E}^{-1}\left(T_{X}^{*} X(\log E)\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left\{E_{\theta}\right\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ denotes the irreducible components of $E$ and if $E_{\Theta^{\prime}}$ denotes the intersection $\bigcap_{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}} E_{\theta}$ for each subset $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\tau_{E}^{-1}\left(T_{X}^{*} X(\log E)\right)\right]=\sum_{\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta}\left[T_{E_{\Theta^{\prime}}}^{*} X\right] \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as algebraic cycles on $T^{*} X$.
The transversality and the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-transversality for a $C_{D^{\prime}}$-transversal morphism of smooth schemes over $k$ are equivalent in the following sense:

Proposition $4.6\left(\boxed{Y 2}\right.$, Proposition 3.9]). Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Let $C_{D^{\prime}}$ be as in (4.1) and let $\tau_{D^{\prime}}: T^{*} X \rightarrow T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ be as in (4.4). Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a $C_{D^{\prime}}$-transversal morphism of smooth schemes over $k$ and let $C \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ be a closed conical subset. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:
(1) $h$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-C-transversal.
(2) $h$ is $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}(C)$-transversal.

Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{D^{\prime} \subset D}=C_{D^{\prime}} \cup \bigcup_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} T_{D_{i}}^{*} X \subset T^{*} X \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the union of $C_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.1) and the conormal bundles $T_{D_{i}}^{*} X$ of $D_{i} \subset X$ for all $i \in I-I^{\prime}$. By Remark 3.2 (3), a $C_{D^{\prime} \subset D^{\prime}}$-transversal morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ of smooth schemes over $k$ is $C_{D^{\prime}}$-transversal. By [S4, Lemma 3.4.5], the pull-back $h^{*} D_{i}=D_{i} \times{ }_{X} W$ of $D_{i}$ by a $C_{D^{\prime} \subset D^{-}}$-transversal morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ for $i \in I$ is a smooth divisor on $W$ and the pull-back $\left(h^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}=\left(D \times_{X} W\right)_{\text {red }}$ is a divisor on $W$ with smooth irreducible components.

We study compatibilities of $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ and $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic cycle $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{log}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ with a $\log -D^{\prime}-S_{D^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{log}}(j!\mathcal{F})$-transversal morphism.
Proposition 4.7 (cf. [Y2, Proposition 3.11]). Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=$ $\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Suppose that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ and that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) is contained in $I^{\prime}$. Let $C_{D^{\prime} \subset D}$ be as in 4.7)

(1) The following three conditions are equivalent:
(a) $h$ is $\log -D^{\prime}-S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$-transversal.
(b) $R_{h^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{h^{*} D^{\prime}}=h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}^{*}}^{D^{\prime}}($ Definition $1.19(1)), Z_{h^{*} \mathcal{F}}=\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\text {red }}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)), and $\operatorname{char}^{h^{*} D^{\prime}}\left(h^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=d h_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(h^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$, where
$d h_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}^{D^{\prime}}:\left.\left.h^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}} \rightarrow \Omega_{W}^{1}\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)\left(h^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}$ is the morphism yielded by $h$. The ramification of $h^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -h^{*} D^{\prime}$-clean along $\left(h^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$.
(c) The image of $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}} \times_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}$ (Definition 4.1 (1)) by the base change $d h_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}^{D^{\prime}}: T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X}\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow T^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right) \times_{W}\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\mathrm{red}}$ of $d h^{D^{\prime}}$ (4.2) by the canonical morphism $\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }} \rightarrow W$ is a sub line bundle of $T^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} W\right) \times_{W}\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}$.
(2) Suppose that the equivalent conditions (a), (b), and (c) in (1) holds and that $h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}=Z_{\mathcal{F}} \times_{X} W$ is reduced. Then we have $D_{\mathrm{T}, h^{*} \mathcal{F}} \subset h^{*} D^{\prime}$ 1.48) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{h^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{h^{*} D^{\prime}}=h^{\circ} L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for closed conical subsets defined in Definition 4.1 (2). If $j^{\prime}: h^{*} U=U \times_{k} W \rightarrow W$ denotes the base change of $j: U \rightarrow X$ by $h$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} h^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=h^{\circ} S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (1) By Remark 4.4 (3), the condition (a) is equivalent to the condition that $h$ is $\log -D^{\prime}-L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$-transversal. Since $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ is defined to be the image of $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}$ by the canonical morphism $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p} \rightarrow T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}$, each of the conditions (a) and (c) is equivalent to the condition

$$
\text { (d) } d h_{\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(h^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)\left(w^{\prime}\right) \neq 0 \text { for every closed point } w^{\prime} \in\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)_{\text {red }}
$$

Thus it is sufficient to prove the equivalence of the conditions (b) and (d).
In order to prove the equivalence, we may assume that all of the three equalities in the condition (b) hold. In fact, the condition (d) implies the first equality in (b) by Lemma 1.27 (1) and the first equality implies the second and third equalities in (b) by Lemma 1.27 (2). Then the equivalence of the (last) condition (in) (b) and the condition (d) follows from Lemma 1.31 (2).
(2) By the second equality in the condition (b) in (1), we have $D_{\mathrm{T}, h^{*} \mathcal{F}} \subset h^{*} D^{\prime}$. We consider the cartesian diagram

where the horizontal arrows are canonical morphisms and the left and right vertical arrows are the base changes of $d h^{D^{\prime}}$ by the canonical morphisms $h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p} \rightarrow W$ and $h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow W$, respectively. By the condition (b) (resp. the condition (a)) in (1), the lefthand side (resp. the right-hand side) of (4.8) is the image of $h^{*} L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}$ by the composition of the left vertical arrow and the lower horizontal arrow (resp. by the composition of the upper horizontal arrow and the right vertical arrow) in (4.10). Thus the equality (4.8) holds by the commutativity of (4.10). Since we have $h^{\circ} T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)=T_{W}^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)$ by Remark 4.4 (3), the equality (4.9) follows from the equality (4.8).

Definition 4.8. Assume that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Let $C \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ be a closed conical subset of pure dimension $d$ and $\left\{C_{a}\right\}_{a}$ the irreducible components of $C$. Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a $C_{D^{\prime}}$-transversal morphism from a smooth scheme $W$ over $k$ of pure dimension $e$, where $C_{D^{\prime}}$ is as in (4.1).
(1) We say that the morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ is properly $\log -D^{\prime}-C$-transversal if $h$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$ - $C$-transversal and if $h^{*} C=C \times_{X} W$ is purely of dimension $e$.
(2) Assume that the morphism $h: W \rightarrow X$ is properly $\log$ - $D^{\prime}-C$-transversal. Then we define

$$
h^{!} A \in Z_{e}\left(T^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

for $A=\sum_{a} m_{a}\left[C_{a}\right] \in Z_{d}\left(T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right)$ to be the push-forward of $(-1)^{d-e} \sum_{a} m_{a}\left[h^{*} C_{a}\right] \in$ $Z_{e}\left(T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} W\right)$ by $d h^{D^{\prime}}: T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} W \rightarrow T^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)$ (4.2), whose restriction to $h^{*} C$ is finite by Remark 4.4 (1).

Proposition 4.9. Suppose that $X$ is of pure dimension and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ and that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) is contained in $I^{\prime}$. Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a $C_{D}$-transversal and $\log -D^{\prime}-S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$-transversal morphism from a smooth scheme $W$ of pure dimension e over $k$, where $C_{D}$ is as in 4.1). Then $h$ is properly $\log -D^{\prime}-S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$-transversal, the ramification of $h^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -h^{*} D^{\prime}$-clean along $h^{*} D$, we have $D_{\mathrm{T}, h^{*} \mathcal{F}} \subset h^{*} D^{\prime}$ (1.48), and we have

$$
C C_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} h^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=h^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})
$$

in $Z_{e}\left(T^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Here $j^{\prime}: h^{*} U \rightarrow W$ denotes the base change of $j$ by $h$.
Proof. Since $h$ is $C_{D}$-transversal, the pull-back $h^{*} D_{i}$ is a smooth divisor on $W$ for every $i \in I$ and $h^{*} D$ is a divisor on $W$ with simple normal crossings by [S4, Lemma 3.4.5]. Since $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ (Definition 4.1 (2)) is isomorphic to the sub line bundle $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}$ (Definition 4.1 (1)) of $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ (Definition $\left.1.19(2)\right)$ as topological spaces and since $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a union of irreducible components of $D$, the dimension of $h^{*} L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ is $e$. Since $h^{*} T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $T_{W}^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)$ by $d h^{D^{\prime}}$ (4.2) and is of dimension $e$, the first assertion holds.

Let $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, h^{*} \mathcal{F}}}$ be the irreducible components of $Z_{h^{*} \mathcal{F}}$ and let $L_{i}=\operatorname{Frac} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{W, q_{i}}$ denote the local field at the generic point $\mathfrak{q}_{i}$ of $E_{i}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, h^{*} \mathcal{F}}$. Since the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ and since the morphism $h$ is $C_{D^{\prime} \subset D^{-} \text {-transversal and } \log \text { - }}$ $D^{\prime}-S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$-transversal by Remark 3.2 (3), the second and third assertions hold by Propositions 4.7 (1) and (2), respectively. By Remark 4.2 (1) and Proposition 4.7, we have

$$
h^{!} \sum_{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} \mathrm{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\left[L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right]=(-1)^{d-e} \sum_{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, h^{*} \mathcal{F}}} \mathrm{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.h^{*} \chi\right|_{L_{i}}\right)\left[L_{i, h^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{h^{*} D^{\prime}}\right]
$$

where $d$ is the dimension of $X$. Since we have $h^{!}\left[T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right]=(-1)^{d-e}\left[T_{W}^{*} W\left(\log h^{*} D^{\prime}\right)\right]$, the last assertion holds.

Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Suppose that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition $\left.1.17(1)\right)$ is contained in $I^{\prime}$. If $X$ is purely of dimension $d$ and if the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is simple normal crossings, then let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!}: Z_{d}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)=C H_{d}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \rightarrow C H_{d}\left(\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the Gysin homomorphism ([|F, 6.6]) defined by the l.c.i. morphism $\tau_{D^{\prime}}: T^{*} X \rightarrow$ $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ (4.4). If further the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right.$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ is of dimension $d$, then we have $C H_{d}\left(\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)=\right.$ $Z_{d}\left(\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)\right.$ and the morphism $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!}$(4.11) defines the morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!}: Z_{d}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \rightarrow Z_{d}\left(\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)\right. \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

of groups of $d$-cycles.

Proposition 4.10. Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$ and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ and that the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset T^{*} X$ of $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset$ $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) is of dimension d. Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a $C_{D}$-transversal and properly $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$-transversal morphism (Definition 3.19 (1)) from a smooth scheme $W$ over $k$ of pure dimension e, where $C_{D}$ is as in 4.1). Let $j^{\prime}: h^{*} U \rightarrow W$ denote the base change of $j$ by $h$. Then we have the following:
(1) The ramification of $h^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -h^{*} D^{\prime}$-clean along $h^{*} D$ and we have $D_{\mathrm{T}, h^{*} \mathcal{F}} \subset h^{*} D^{\prime}$ (1.48). The inverse image $\tau_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} h^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right) \subset T^{*} W$ of is equal to $h^{\circ} \tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$, where $h^{\circ}$ is as in Definition 3.1 (1), and is of dimension e.
(2) Let $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!}$and $\tau_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{!}$be as in 4.12). Then we have

$$
\tau_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} h^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=h^{!} \tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})
$$

in $Z_{e}\left(\tau_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} h^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)\right)$, where $h^{!}$is as in Definition 3.19 (2).
Proof. Since $h$ is $C_{D^{\prime}}$-transversal by Remark 3.2 (3), the morphism $h$ is $\log -D^{\prime}-S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ transversal by Proposition 4.6. Since $h$ is $C_{D}$-transversal, the morphism $h$ is properly $\log -D^{\prime}-S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$-transversal, the ramification of $h^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -h^{*} D^{\prime}$-clean along $h^{*} D$, we have $D_{\mathrm{T}, h^{*} \mathcal{F}} \subset h^{*} D^{\prime}$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C C_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} h^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=h^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}), \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h!$ is as in Definition 4.8 (2), by Proposition 4.9.
We consider the commutative diagram

where the right square is cartesian and the morphisms $d h$ and $d h^{D^{\prime}}$ are as in (3.1) and (4.2), respectively. Since $h$ is $C_{D^{\prime}}$-transversal, the left square in (4.14) is cartesian by [Y2, Lemma 3.8 (ii)]. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(h^{\circ} S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)=h^{\circ} \tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h$ is $C_{D}$-transversal, the pull-back $h^{*} D$ is a divisor on $W$ with simple normal crossings by [S4, Lemma 3.4.5], and the pull-back $h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ is reduced. Thus we have $h^{\circ} S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)=S_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} h^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ by Proposition $4.7(2)$, and the left-hand side of (4.15) is equal to $\tau_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{h^{*} D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} h^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$. Since the right-hand side of (4.15) is of dimension $e$ by the proper $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right.$ )-transversality of $h$ and Remark 3.2 (1), the assertion (1) holds. Since the left square in (4.14) is cartesian, the assertion (2) holds by (4.13) and the commutativity of (4.14).

### 4.2 Reduction to the totally wildly ramified case

As a preparation for following subsections, we prove the following proposition:
Proposition 4.11. Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. Let $I^{\prime \prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ be subsets. We put $E=\bigcup_{i \in I-I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}, E^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$, and $V=X-E$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $V$ whose associated character $\chi^{\prime}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$has the p-part inducing the p-part of $\chi$ and let $i^{\prime}: D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}=\bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime \prime}} D_{i} \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical closed immersion. Then we have the following:
(1) $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$ is contained in $I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}$ and the closed immersion $i^{\prime}$ is $C_{E}$-transversal, where $C_{E}$ is as in (4.1).
(2) $R_{i^{\prime *} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{i^{\prime *} E^{\prime}}=i^{\prime *} R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}, Z_{i^{\prime *} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=i^{\prime *} Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$, and $\operatorname{char}^{i^{\prime *} E^{\prime}}\left(i^{* *} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=d i_{i^{\prime *} Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{1 / p}}^{\prime E^{\prime}}\left(i^{\prime *} \operatorname{char}^{E^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, where $d i_{i^{\prime *} Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{\prime / p}}^{\prime^{\prime}}:\left.\left.i^{i^{*}} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log E^{\prime}\right)\right|_{i^{\prime * *} Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{1 / p}} \rightarrow \Omega_{D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}}^{1}\left(\log i^{\prime *} E^{\prime}\right)\right|_{i^{\prime \prime *} Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{1 / p}}$ is the morphism induced by $i^{\prime}$. The ramification of $i^{* *} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is $\log -i^{*} E^{\prime}$-clean along $i^{*} E$.

In order to prove Proposition 4.11, we prove several properties of the canonical closed immersion $i_{r}: D_{r} \rightarrow X$ for $r \in I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ related to its logarithmic transversality.
Definition 4.12. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings. Let $C_{D^{\prime}}$ be as in (4.1). Let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be a $C_{D^{\prime}}$-transversal proper morphism of smooth schemes over $k$. For a closed conical subset $C^{\prime} \subset T^{*} X^{\prime}\left(\log f^{*} D^{\prime}\right)$, we define a closed conical subset

$$
f_{0} C^{\prime} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)
$$

to be the image by the first projection $\mathrm{pr}_{1}: T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} X^{\prime} \rightarrow T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ of the inverse image of $C^{\prime}$ by the morphism $d f^{D^{\prime}}: T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times{ }_{X} X^{\prime} \rightarrow T^{*} X^{\prime}\left(\log f^{*} D^{\prime}\right)$ (4.2).

Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings and let $I^{\prime \prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ be subsets. We put $E^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$ and we denote the canonical closed immersion by $i^{\prime}: D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}=\bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime \prime}} D_{i} \rightarrow X$. Then we construct a canonical injection

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}^{\prime}:\left.\Omega_{D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}}^{1}\left(\log i^{\prime *} E^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}}$-modules as follows: Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X}$ denote the defining ideal sheaf of $D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}} \subset X$. We consider the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I} /\left.\mathcal{I}^{2} \xrightarrow{\bar{d}} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log E^{\prime}\right)\right|_{D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}} \xrightarrow{f} \Omega_{D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}^{1}}^{1}\left(\log i^{\prime *} E^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0, \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the morphism $\bar{d}$ sends the class of a section $a$ of $\mathcal{I}$ to the image of the section $d a$ of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\right|_{D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}}$ in $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log E^{\prime}\right)\right|_{D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}}$ and the morphism $f$ is the morphism yielded by $i^{\prime}$. Since $i^{\prime}$ is a strict closed immersion for the log structures on $D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}$ and $X$ defined by $i^{\prime *} E^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime}$, respectively, the sequence (4.17) is exact by [O, IV, Proposition 2.3.2]. We consider the exact sequence

$$
\mathcal{I} /\left.\left.\mathcal{I}^{2} \xrightarrow{\bar{d}} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log E^{\prime}\right)\right|_{D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}} \xrightarrow{\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}, D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}}} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}},
$$

where the morphism $\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}, D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}}$ is the canonical morphism. Then the morphism $\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}, D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}}$ induces an injection $i_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ :


If $I^{\prime \prime \prime}=I^{\prime \prime}$, then the image of $\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}, D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}}$ is locally a direct summand of rank $\operatorname{dim} X-\sharp I^{\prime \prime \prime}$, and the image of $i_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}, D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}}$ is locally a direct summand of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}}$ of rank $\operatorname{dim} X-\sharp I^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Lemma 4.13 (cf. [Y2, Lemma 3.12]). Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$ has simple normal crossings and let $r \in I^{\prime}$. We put $E=\bigcup_{i \in I-\{r\}} D_{i}, E^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-\{r\}} D_{i}$, and $V=X-E$. Let $i_{r}: D_{r} \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical closed immersion and let $j_{v}: V \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical open immersion.
(1) Let $C \subset T^{*} X\left(\log E^{\prime}\right)$ be a closed conical subset. If the closed immersion $i_{r}$ is $\log -E^{\prime}-C$-transversal, then we have

$$
\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}(C)\right)=C \cup i_{r o} i_{r}^{\circ} C
$$

where $\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}$ is an in (4.3).
(2) Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings, that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) is contained in $I^{\prime}$, and that $r \in I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $V=X-E$ whose associated character $\chi^{\prime}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$has the p-part induing the $p$-part of $\chi$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) The ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$.
(b) The ramification of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is $\log -E^{\prime}$-clean along $E$ and the closed immersion $i_{r}$ is $\log -E^{\prime}-S_{E^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$-transversal (Definition 4.1 (3)).
(3) Let the assumptions and the notation be as in (2). Assume that the equivalent conditions (a) and (b) in (2) hold. Let $j_{v}^{\prime}: D^{\prime} \cap V \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ denote the canonical open immersion. Then we have two equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{i_{\underset{\prime}{*} E^{\prime}}=i_{r}^{\circ} L_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}, ~} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)=L_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}} \cup i_{r o} L_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{i^{*} E^{\prime}} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{i^{*} E^{\prime}}, L_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}$, and $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ are as in Definition 4.1 (2).

Proof. (1) The assertion in nothing but [Y2, Lemma 3.12 (i)].
(2) By Lemma 1.37, the condition (a) implies the first condition in (b). Thus we may assume that the first condition in (b) holds. By Lemma 1.26, the log- $D^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is the image of $\operatorname{char}^{E^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ by the canonical morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log E^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{1 / p}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}=R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$. Since the morphism (4.21) of sheaves on $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ is an isomorphism outside $i_{r}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}=Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p} \cap D_{r}^{1 / p}$, the first condition in (b) implies the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ at every point on the complement $X-i_{r}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove the equivalence of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-cleanliness of the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ at every closed point of $i_{r}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ and the second condition in (b) by Remark 1.30 (2).

We consider the commutative diagram
induced by (4.18) with $I^{\prime \prime \prime}=I^{\prime \prime}=\{r\}$ and $i^{\prime}=i_{r}$. Since the image of $\Omega_{D_{r}}^{1}\left(\log i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}\right)$ by the injection $i_{r, E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}$ (4.16) is locally a direct summand of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{F}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{D_{r}}$, the morphism $i_{r, E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}, i_{r}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ in (4.22) is an injection. By Lemma 1.31 (1), the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ at every closed point of $i_{r}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ if and only if the image by $\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}, i_{r}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ of

$$
\left.\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{i_{r}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{1 / p}} \cdot \operatorname{char}^{E^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{i_{r}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}} \subset \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log E^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{i_{r}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{1 / p}}
$$

is locally a direct summand of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{i_{i}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ of rank 1. By Proposition4.7 (1), the last condition is equivalent to the second condition in (b).
(3) By Proposition 4.7 (2), we obtain the equality (4.19). By Lemma 1.26, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}\right) \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking the inverse images by $\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}$ of (4.23), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)=\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(\tau_{E^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}\right)\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we obtain the equality (4.20) by applying (1) to the right-hand side of (4.24) and then applying the equality (4.19).

We prove Proposition 4.11.

Proof of Proposition 4.11. (1) By Lemma 1.26, we have $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}-I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}$. Since $D$ has simple normal crossings, the closed immersion $i^{\prime}$ is $C_{E}$-transversal by [S4, Lemma 3.4.5].
(2) If $I^{\prime \prime \prime}=\emptyset$, then the closed immersion $i^{\prime}$ is the identity mapping of $X$ and there is nothing to prove except the last assertion. The last assertion follows from Lemma 1.37. Hence we may assume that $I^{\prime \prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$. Then we have $I^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$.

We prove the assertions by the induction on the cardinality of $I^{\prime \prime}$. Suppose that the cardinality of $I^{\prime \prime}$ is 1 . Then we have $I^{\prime \prime \prime}=I^{\prime \prime}$, and the closed immersion $i^{\prime}$ is $\log -E^{\prime}-$ $S_{E^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$-transversal by Lemma 4.13 (2). Thus the assertions follow from Proposition 4.7 (1).

Suppose that the cardinality of $I^{\prime \prime}$ is $\geq 2$. We take $r \in I^{\prime \prime \prime}$. We put $F=\bigcup_{i \in I-\{r\}} D_{i}$, $F^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-\{r\}} D_{i}, W=X-F$, and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}=\left.\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right|_{W}$. Then $\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}$ is a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$ modules of rank 1 on $W$ whose associated character $\chi^{\prime \prime}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(W) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$is induced by $\chi^{\prime}$ and has the $p$-part inducing the $p$-part of $\chi$. By the case where $I^{\prime \prime \prime}=\emptyset$, the ramification of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is $\log$ - $E^{\prime}$-clean along $E$ and the ramification of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}$ is $\log -F^{\prime}$-clean along $F$. By Lemma 1.26, we have $R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}=R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}^{F^{\prime}}, Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=Z_{\mathcal{F}}=Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}, I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}-\left(I^{\prime \prime}-\{r\}\right)$, and $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}-\{r\} \subset I^{\prime}-\{r\}$.

Let $i_{r}: D_{r} \rightarrow X$ and $i_{r}^{\prime}: D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}} \rightarrow D_{r}$ denote the canonical closed immersions. Then we have $i^{\prime}=i_{r} \circ i_{r}^{\prime}$. By the case where the cardinality of $I^{\prime \prime}$ is 1 , we have $R_{i_{r}^{* F^{\prime \prime}}}^{*_{*}^{\prime}}=i_{r}^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}^{F^{\prime}}$ and $Z_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}=i_{r}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}$ and the ramification of $i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}$ is $\log -i_{r}^{*} F^{\prime}$-clean along $i_{r}^{*} F$. Then the last equality enables us to identify $I_{\mathrm{T}, i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}$ with $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}-\{r\}$ locally. Since the character $i_{r}^{*} \chi^{\prime \prime}$ corresponding to $i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}$ is induced by the character $i_{r}^{*} \chi^{\prime}$ corresponding to $i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, we have $R_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{i^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=R_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}^{i_{r}^{*} F^{\prime}}$ and $Z_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=Z_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}$ by Lemma 1.26. Therefore we have $R_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{i^{*} E^{\prime}}=i_{r}^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}^{F^{\prime}}=i_{r}^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}$ and $Z_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=i_{r}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}=i_{r}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$, and we can locally identify $I_{\mathrm{T}, i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$ with $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}-\left(I^{\prime \prime}-\{r\}\right)=I_{\mathrm{T}, i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}}-\left(I^{\prime \prime}-\{r\}\right)$. Since we can locally identify the index set of irreducible components of $i_{r}^{*} F^{\prime}$ with $I^{\prime}-\{r\}$, we can apply the induction hypothesis to $i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}, i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, and $i_{r}^{\prime}$. Then we have $R_{i_{r}^{*} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{i^{* *} *^{*} E^{\prime}}=i_{r}^{\prime *} R_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}}=i_{r}^{* *} i_{r}^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}$ and the ramification of $i_{r}^{* *} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is $\log -i_{r}^{* *} i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}$-clean along $i_{r}^{* *} i_{r}^{*} E$. Thus the first and last assertions hold, since $i^{\prime}=i_{r} \circ i_{r}^{\prime}$. The other two assertions hold by Lemma 1.27 (2).

Corollary 4.14. Let the notation and the assumptions be as in Proposition 4.11. Then the closed immersion $i^{\prime}: D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}} \rightarrow X$ is $\log -E^{\prime}-S_{E^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$-transversal.

Proof. Since the closed immersion $i^{\prime}$ is $C_{E^{\prime} \subset E^{-}}$-transversal by Remark 3.2 (3) and Proposition 4.11 (1), where $C_{E^{\prime} \subset E}$ is as in (4.7), the assertion follows from Propositions 4.7 (1) and 4.11 (2).

### 4.3 Singular support and $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support

We construct a closed conical subset $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X$ by using the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset T^{*} X$ of the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ of $j_{j!} \mathcal{F}$ (Definition4.1(3)) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) and prove that the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ is contained in the closed conical subset $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X$.

Definition 4.15. Assume that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. Let $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}: D_{I^{\prime \prime}}=\bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i} \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical closed immersion for each $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$. We put $D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} D_{i}$. Then we define a closed conical subset $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X$ by

$$
S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})=\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}} i_{I^{\prime \prime} \circ} C_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime} \subset i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}} \cup \tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right), ~, ~, ~}
$$

where $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ 。and $C_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime} \subset i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}}$ are as in Definition 3.3 and (4.7), respectively, and $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ is the inverse image of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $j_{!} \mathcal{F}$ (Definition 4.1 (3)) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4).

Remark 4.16. Let the notation and the assumptions be as in Definition 4.15.
(1) Since $i_{I^{\prime \prime}} C_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime} \subset i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ is a union of irreducible components of $C_{D}$ (4.1), the closed conical subset $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X$ is contained in the union $C_{D} \cup \tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$. If $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$, then the closed conical subset $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X$ is equal to the union $C_{D} \cup \tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$.
(2) The closed conical subset $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X$ is stable under the replacement of $\chi$ by the $p$-part of $\chi$ by Remarks 1.18 (2) and 4.2 (2).

Let

$$
j_{w}: U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}=X-D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}} \rightarrow X
$$

be the canonical open immersion, where $D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ is as in (1.48). Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ such that the character $\chi_{\mathrm{W}}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}$ has the $p$-part inducing the $p$-part of $\chi$. For the proof of the inclusion $S S(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$, we use the following (Induction Step) on the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) under the assumptions in Definition 4.15:
(Induction Step): Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies a condition $(\mathrm{P})$ when $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$. In the case where the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ is $\geq 1$, then we take $r \in I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$. We put $E=\bigcup_{i \in I-\{r\}} D_{i}$, $E^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-\{r\}} D_{i}, V=X-E$, and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}=\left.\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right|_{V}$. By Lemma 1.26, we have $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=$ $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}-\{r\} \subset I^{\prime}-\{r\}$. By Lemma 1.37, the ramification of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is $\log$ - $E^{\prime}$-clean along $E$. Let $i_{r}: D_{r} \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical closed immersion and let $j_{v}: V \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical open immersion. We locally identify the index set $I_{r}^{\prime}$ of $i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}$ with $I^{\prime}-\{r\}$. By Proposition 4.11 (2), we can locally identify $I_{\mathrm{T}, i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$ with $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}-\{r\}$ and the ramification of $i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is $\log -i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}$-clean along $i_{r}^{*} E$. Then we can apply the induction hypothesis to each of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ and $i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, and it follows that each of them satisfies the condition (P).

Lemma 4.17. Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{T, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}=X-D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}(1.48)$ such that the $p$-part of the character $\chi_{\mathrm{W}}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$
corresponding to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}$ induces the p-part of $\chi$. Let $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}: D_{I^{\prime \prime}}=\bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i} \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical closed immersion for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ and let $j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}}: i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}} \rightarrow D_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ denote the canonical open immersion. We put $D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} D_{i}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})=\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}} i_{I^{\prime \prime} \circ} S_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}, D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}!} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right), \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the ramification of $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}$ is $\log -i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}$-clean along $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ for every $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ by Proposition 4.11 (2).

Proof. We use the induction on the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$. If $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$, then $D^{\prime}=D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}$ and the assertion follows from Remark 4.16 (2).

Suppose that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}} \neq \emptyset$. We take $r \in I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}} \subset I^{\prime}$ and we put $E=\bigcup_{i \in I-\{r\}} D_{i}$, $E^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-\{r\}} D_{i}, V=X-E$, and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}=\left.\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right|_{V}$. Then we have $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}=I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$ by Lemma 1.26, since the $p$-part of $\chi$ is induced by that of the character $\chi^{\prime}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$. Hence we have $I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}=\left(I^{\prime}-\{r\}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$. Let $i_{r}: D_{r} \rightarrow X$ be the canonical closed immersion. Then we can locally identify the index set $I_{r}^{\prime}$ of the irreducible components of $i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}$ with $I^{\prime}-\{r\}$. By Proposition 4.11 (2), we can locally identify $I_{\mathrm{W}, i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$ with $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$, and $I_{r}^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}$ with $\left(I^{\prime}-\{r\}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$. Let $j_{v}: V \rightarrow X$ and $j_{v}^{\prime}: i_{r}^{*} V \rightarrow D_{r}$ be the canonical open immersions. By applying (Induction Step), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{E^{\prime}}\left(j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}-\{r\}} i_{I^{\prime \prime}} S_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}!} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right) \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}}\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}-\{r\}}} i_{I^{\prime \prime} \circ}^{\prime} S_{i_{I^{*}}^{\prime,} i_{r}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime} \cup\{r\}!} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime *} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right), \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}: i_{r}^{*} D_{I^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow D_{r}$ denotes the base change of $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ by $i_{r}$. By applying $i_{r o}$ to (4.27) and then applying Lemma 3.4 to $i_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup\{r\}}=i_{r} \circ i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}-\{r\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{r o} S_{i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}}\left(j_{v!!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=\bigcup_{\substack{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathcal{F} \\ r \in I^{\prime \prime}}} i_{I^{\prime \prime} \circ} S_{i_{I^{*}}^{* \prime}} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}!i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right) \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the union of the right-hand sides of (4.26) and (4.28) is the right-hand side of (4.25), it is sufficient to prove the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})=S_{E^{\prime}}\left(j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \cup i_{r o} S_{i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}}\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying Lemma 3.4 to $i_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup\{r\}}=i_{r} \circ i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}-\{r\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}} & i_{I^{\prime \prime}}{ }_{\circ} C_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime} \subset i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}} \\
& =\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}-\{r\}} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}{ }_{\circ} C_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime} \subset i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} \cup \bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}-\{r\}} i_{r \circ} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime} C_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{* *} i_{r}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime} \subset i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} i_{r}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right) & =\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}-\{r\}} T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X \cup \bigcup_{\substack{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \\
r \in I^{\prime \prime}}} T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X \\
& =\tau_{E^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log E^{\prime}\right)\right) \cup i_{r \circ} \tau_{i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(T_{D_{r}}^{*} D_{r}\left(\log i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying $\tau_{E^{\prime}}^{-1}$ to the equation (4.20) in Lemma 4.13 (3), we have

$$
\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)=\tau_{E^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{E^{\prime}}\right) \cup \tau_{E^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(i_{r o} L_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

We consider the commutative diagram

where the left square is cartesian. Then we have

$$
\tau_{E^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(i_{r o} L_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{i_{*}^{*} E^{\prime}}\right)=i_{r o} \tau_{i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{i_{*}^{*}}\right),
$$

and we obtain the desired equality (4.29).
Remark 4.18. Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition $\left.1.17(1)\right)$ and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. As is seen in the proof of Lemma 4.17, the closed conical subset $S_{D^{\prime}}\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right) \subset T^{*} X$ (Definition 4.15) satisfies the following relation: Let $r \in I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$. We put $E=\bigcup_{i \in I-\{r\}} D_{i}, E^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-\{r\}} D_{i}$, and $V=X-E$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $V$ whose associated character $\chi^{\prime}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$ has the $p$-part inducing the $p$-part of $\chi$. Let $i_{r}: D_{r} \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical closed immersion and $j_{v}: V \rightarrow X$ the canonical open immersion. Then we have

$$
S_{D^{\prime}}\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)=S_{E^{\prime}}\left(j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \cup i_{r o} S_{i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}}\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $j_{v}^{\prime}: i_{r}^{*} V \rightarrow D_{r}$ denotes the base change of $j_{v}$ by $i_{r}$.
Proposition 4.19. Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$ and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{T, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=$ $\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. Let $j_{w}: U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}=$ $X-D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}} \rightarrow X\left(1.48\right.$ denote the canonical open immersion and let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ whose associated character $\chi_{\mathrm{W}}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$ has the p-part inducing the p-part of $\chi$. Let $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}: D_{I^{\prime \prime}}=\bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i} \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical closed immersion for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ and let $j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}}: i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}} \rightarrow D_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ denote the canonical open immersion. Let $r_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ denote the cardinality of $I^{\prime \prime}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{T, \mathcal{F}}$. Then we have the following:
(1) For the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ (Definition 3.5 (2)), we have

$$
S S(j!\mathcal{F})=\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}} i_{I^{\prime \prime} \circ} S S\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}!} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right) .
$$

(2) For the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ (Definition 3.13), we have

$$
C C(j!\mathcal{F})=\sum_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}}(-1)^{r_{I^{\prime \prime}}} i_{I^{\prime \prime}!} C C\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}!!} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)
$$

in $Z_{d}\left(T^{*} X\right)$.
Proof. By Proposition 3.18, we may assume that both $\chi$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{w}}$ are of orders powers of $p$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is unramified along $D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}(1.48)$, since $\mathcal{F}$ is tamely ramified along $D_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ by Remark 1.6 (3), and we have $\mathcal{F}=\left.\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right|_{U}$.

We prove the implication $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. Suppose that the equality in (2) holds. By Corollary 3.17 (2), it is sufficient to prove that the support of the right-hand side of the equality in (2) is equal to the right-hand side of the equality in (1). By Corollary 3.17 (1), we have $(-1)^{d-r_{I^{\prime \prime}}} C C\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}!i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right) \geq 0$ for all $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$. Therefore the support of the right-hand side of the equality in (2) is equal to the union of the support of $i_{I^{\prime \prime}!} C C\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}!} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)$ for all $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$. Thus the assertion holds by Lemma 3.22 .

We prove (2) by the induction on the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$. If $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$, then we have $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}$, and there is nothing to show. Suppose that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}} \neq \emptyset$. We take $r \in I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$. We put $E=\bigcup_{i \in I-\{r\}} D_{i}, V=X-E$, and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}=\left.\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right|_{V}$. Let $i_{r}: D_{r} \rightarrow X$ be the canonical closed immersion and $j_{v}: V \rightarrow X$ the canonical open immersion. Let $j_{v}^{\prime}: i_{r}^{*} V \rightarrow D_{r}$ and $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}: i_{r}^{*} D_{I^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow D_{r}$ denote the base changes of $j_{v}$ and $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ by $i_{r}$, respectively. Then we apply (Induction Step) and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C C\left(j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}-\{r\}}}(-1)^{r_{I^{\prime \prime}}} i_{I^{\prime \prime}!} C C\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}!} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right) \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C C\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}-\{r\}}(-1)^{r_{I^{\prime \prime}}} i_{I^{\prime \prime}!}^{\prime} C C\left(j_{\left.w, I^{\prime \prime} \cup\{r\}!i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right) . . . . . . .}\right. \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying $i_{r!}$ to (4.31) and then applying the last assertion of Lemma 3.21 to $i_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup\{r\}}=$ $i_{r} \circ i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}-\{r\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{r!} C C\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\substack{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{T}, \mathcal{F} \\ r \in I^{\prime \prime}}}(-1)^{r_{I^{\prime \prime}}-1} i_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}!} C C\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}!} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right) . \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the difference between the right-hand side of (4.30) and that of (4.32) is the right-hand side of the desired equality, it is sufficient to prove the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
C C\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)=C C\left(j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)-i_{r!} C C\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the diagram

where the arrows in the square are the canonical open immersions and the square is cartesian. Since $\left.\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right|_{U}=\mathcal{F}$, the sheaf $j_{!} \mathcal{F}$ is canonically isomorphic to $j_{r!} j_{r}^{*} j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, and we have

$$
C C\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)=C C\left(j_{r!} j_{r}^{*} j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

By applying Lemma 3.15 to the distinguished triangle

$$
j_{r!} j_{r}^{*} j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow i_{r *} *{ }_{r}^{*} j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow
$$

we have

$$
C C\left(j_{r!} j_{r}^{*} j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=C C\left(j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)-C C\left(i_{r *} i_{r}^{*} j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is canonically isomorphic to $i_{r}^{*} j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
C C\left(i_{r *} i_{r}^{*} j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=i_{r!} C C\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)
$$

by Lemma 3.21, and we obtain the desired equality (4.33).
Remark 4.20. Suppose that $X$ is of pure dimension and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=$ $\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. As is seen in the proof of Proposition 4.19, the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ and the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ satisfy the following relations: Let $r \in I_{T, \mathcal{F}}$. We put $E=\bigcup_{i \in I-\{r\}} D_{i}$ and $V=X-E$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $V$ whose associated character $\chi^{\prime}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$has the $p$-part inducing the $p$-part of $\chi$. Let $i_{r}: D_{r} \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical closed immersion and $j_{v}: V \rightarrow X$ the canonical open immersion. Let $j_{v}^{\prime}: i_{r}^{*} V \rightarrow D_{r}$ be the base change of $j_{v}$ by $i_{r}$. Then we have

$$
S S\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)=S S\left(j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \cup i_{r o} S S\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
C C\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)=C C\left(j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)-i_{r!} C C\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)
$$

The following theorem is a refinement of [Y2, Theorem 3.13].
Theorem 4.21 (cf. [Y2, Theorem 3.13]). Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{T, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. Then $j!\mathcal{F}$ is micro-supported on the closed conical subset $S_{D^{\prime}}\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right) \subset T^{*} X$ (Definition4.15), or equivalently we have $S S(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ by Remark 3.6 (3).

Proof. Since $X$ is smooth over $k$, we may assume that $X$ is of pure dimension. We prove the assertion by the induction on the cardinality of $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$.

Suppose that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})=C_{D^{\prime} \subset D} \cup \tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Remark 4.16 (1). Let $h: W \rightarrow X$ be a separated $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$-transversal morphism of smooth schemes over $k$ and let $j^{\prime}: h^{*} U=U \times_{X} W \rightarrow W$ denote the bace change of $j$ by $h$. By Remark 3.2 (3) and (4.35), the morphism $h$ is $C_{D^{\prime}}$-transversal and $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$-transversal. Thus the morphism $h$ is $\log -D^{\prime}-S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$-transversal by
 $Z_{h^{*} \mathcal{F}}=\left(h^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\text {red }}=\left(h^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$ and the ramification of $h^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $h^{*} D^{\prime}$-clean along $\left(h^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$ by Proposition 4.7 (1). Thus the canonical morphisms $j_{!} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R j_{*} \mathcal{F}$ and $j_{!}^{\prime} h^{*} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R j_{*}^{\prime} h^{*} \mathcal{F}$ are isomorphisms by Proposition 2.9 (2). By Proposition 3.10, the morphism $h$ is $j!\mathcal{F}$-transversal. Since $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ contains $T_{X}^{*} X$, the base $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F}) \cap T_{X}^{*} X$ of $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ is $X=\operatorname{Supp}(j!\mathcal{F})$. Therefore the assertion holds by Proposition 3.11.

Suppose that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}} \neq \emptyset$. We take $r \in I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ and we put $E=\bigcup_{i \in I-\{r\}} D_{i}, E^{\prime}=$ $\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-\{r\}} D_{i}$, and $V=X-E$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $V$ whose associated character $\chi^{\prime}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$has the $p$-part inducing the $p$-part of $\chi$. Let $i_{r}: D_{r} \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical closed immersion and let $j_{v}: V \rightarrow X$ denote the canonical open immersion. Let $j_{v}^{\prime}: i_{r}^{*} V \rightarrow D_{r}$ be the base change of $j_{v}$ by $i_{r}$. We apply (Induction Step) and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S S\left(j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \subset S_{E^{\prime}}\left(j_{v!} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S S\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \subset S_{i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}}\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying $i_{r}$ 。 to (4.37), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{r o} S S\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \subset i_{r o} S_{i_{r}^{*} E^{\prime}}\left(j_{v!}^{\prime} i_{r}^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Remark4.20, the union of left-hand sides of (4.36) and (4.38) is equal to $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$. By Remark 4.18, the union of right-hand sides of (4.36) and (4.38) is equal to $S_{D^{\prime}}\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$.

### 4.4 Candidate of singular support

We improve Theorem 4.21 under the assumption that inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset$ $T^{*} X$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(\right.$ Definition 4.1 (3)) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) has the same dimension with $X$. We first recall the computation of the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ (Definition $3.5(2)$ ) in codimension 1, namely outside a closed subset of $X$ of codimension $\geq 2$, given in [S4].

Proposition 4.22 (cf. [S4, Proposition 4.13]). Suppose that D has simple normal crossings and that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -\emptyset$-clean along $D$.
(1) If $I=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)), then we have

$$
S S(j!\mathcal{F})=\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I} T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X,
$$

where $T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X$ denotes the conormal bundle of $D_{I^{\prime \prime}}=\bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i} \subset X$.
(2) If $I=I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)), then we have

$$
S S(j!\mathcal{F})=T_{X}^{*} X \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset},
$$

where $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset}$ is as in Definition 4.1 (2).
Proof. By Remark 1.30(3), the assertions are special cases of [S4, Proposition 4.13].
Remark 4.23. Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings.
(1) By Lemma 1.34 (1), we locally have $I=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) or $I=I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) when the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -\emptyset$-clean along $D$. That is, the $\log -\emptyset$-cleanliness is equivalent to the strong non-degeneration in the sense of [S4, the remark before Proposition 4.13] for the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ by Remark 1.30 (3).
(2) Proposition 4.22 generally gives a computation of the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ outside a closed subscheme of $X$ of codimension $\geq 2$ by Remarks 1.30 (2) and 3.6 (1).

We next prove that the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset T^{*} X$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ (Definition $\left.4.1(3)\right)$ and the pull-back $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}-$ characteristic cycle $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ (Definition4.1 (4)) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) satisfy the similar equalities as in Proposition 4.19, when the dimension of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ is the same as that of $X$.

Lemma 4.24. Let $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism of smooth schemes $Y$ and $Z$ of relative dimension $c$ and $d$ over a scheme $S$, respectively. Let $C \subset Z$ be an irreducible closed subset of dimension $e$. Then every irreducible component of the inverse image $f^{-1}(C)$ is of dimension $\geq c-d+e$.

Proof. The assertion follows, since $f$ factors as the graph $\Gamma_{f}: Y \rightarrow Y \times_{S} Z$ followed by the second projection $\operatorname{pr}_{2}: Y \times_{S} Z \rightarrow Z$ and since the graph $\Gamma_{f}$ is a regular closed immersion of codimension $d$.

Lemma 4.25. Let $f: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ be the morphism of vector bundles on a connected scheme $S$ of the same rank. Let $f_{x}: E_{x}^{\prime} \rightarrow E_{x}$ denote the morphism of fibers of $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ at $x \in S$ induced by $f$. Let $0_{E} \subset E$ be the zero section and let $C \subset E$ be a sub bundle. Suppose that the inverse image $Z=f^{-1}\left(0_{E}\right)$ of the zero section $0_{E} \subset E$ by $f$ is of dimensiond and that the intersection $C_{x} \cap \operatorname{Im} f_{x}$ of the fiber $C_{x}$ of $C$ at $x$ and the image $\operatorname{Im} f_{x}$ of $f_{x}$ is of dimension $r$ for every $x \in S$. Then we have the following:
(1) The inverse image $f^{-1}(C)$ of $C$ by $f$ is of dimension $r+d$.
(2) There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of irreducible components of $Z$ of dimension $d$ and that of irreducible components of $f^{-1}(C)$ of dimension $r+d$, which associates an irreducible component $Z_{a}$ of $Z$ of dimension $d$ to the irreducible component of $f^{-1}(C)$ that is the closure of the fiber of $f^{-1}(C)$ at the generic point of the base $Z_{a} \cap 0_{E^{\prime}}$ of $Z_{a}$. Here $0_{E^{\prime}} \subset E^{\prime}$ denotes the zero section.

Proof. Let $0_{E^{\prime}} \subset E^{\prime}$ be the zero section and let $x \in Z \cap 0_{E^{\prime}} \subset S$. Since $Z$ is of dimension $d$, the dimension of the fiber $Z_{x}$ of $Z$ at $x$ is $\leq d-\operatorname{dim} \overline{\{x\}}$, where $\overline{\{x\}}$ is the closure of $x$ in $S$, and the equality holds if and only if $x$ is the generic point of the base of an irreducible component of $Z$ of dimension $d$. Since the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow Z_{x} \rightarrow f^{-1}(C)_{x} \xrightarrow{f_{x}} C_{x} \cap \operatorname{Im} f_{x} \rightarrow 0
$$

of vector bundles on $\operatorname{Spec} k(x)$ is exact, the dimension of the fiber $f^{-1}(C)_{x}$ of $f^{-1}(C)$ at $x$ is $\leq r+d-\operatorname{dim} \overline{\{x\}}$, and the equality holds if and only if $x$ is the generic point of the base of an irreducible component of $Z$ of dimension $d$. Therefore the assertions hold.

Proposition 4.26. Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$ and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. Let $\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ denote the set of generic points of $B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ (Definition 1.42 (1)) whose codimension in $D_{I^{\prime \prime}}(1.49)$ is $\leq 1$. Let $Y_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the irreducible component of $D_{I^{\prime \prime}} \cap$ $\bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)-\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}-I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$ whose generic point is $\mathfrak{p}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$, where $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ and where $V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ denotes the closed subscheme of $D_{i}$ defined by the image $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ (Definition 1.32 (2)), which is regarded as an ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}$ by Remark 1.33 (2). We regard $Y_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$ as the closed subscheme of $X-\bigcup_{i \in I-I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}$ whose local ring at $\mathfrak{p}$ is of the same length with the local ring of $D_{I^{\prime \prime}} \cap \bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ at $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a section of $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\right|_{Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}}$ whose image in $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{Y_{p}^{1 / p}}$ generates the image of $m_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\left(\right.$ Definition 1.32 (1)) in $\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right|_{Y_{p}^{1 / p}}$ for some (or equivalently any) $i \in I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{p}}$ (1.35). Let $\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, d t_{i^{\prime}} ; i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle$ denote the image by the canonical finite morphism

$$
T^{*} X \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p} \rightarrow T^{*} X \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}
$$

of the closure in $T^{*} X \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ of the sub bundle $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $T^{*} X \times_{X} Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}$ with the basis $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $d t_{i^{\prime}}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime}$. We regard $\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, d t_{i^{\prime}} ; i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle$ as the closed subscheme of $T^{*} X \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ whose local ring at the generic point is of the same length with the closed subscheme $C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \subset T^{*} X \times_{X} Y_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that the algebraic cycle $\left[C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right]$ defined by $C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime \prime}$ is equal to the push-forward of $\left[C_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]$ by the canonical finite morphism $T^{*} X \times_{X} Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p} \rightarrow T^{*} X \times_{X} Y_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then we have the following for the inverse images by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) of the closed subschemes $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} D_{i}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ defined in Definition 4.1 (2):
(1) The equalities

$$
\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)=\bigcup_{\substack{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \\ I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset}}} T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X \cup \bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}} \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}}\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, d t_{i^{\prime}} ; i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)= \begin{cases}\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}}^{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}}^{*} X \cup \bigcup_{I_{I^{\prime \prime}} \subset I^{\prime}} \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime \prime}}\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, d t_{i^{\prime}} ; i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle & \left(i \in I^{\prime}\right), \\ \bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}} \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{0}}\right.}^{i \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}} \boldsymbol{j}\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, d t_{i^{\prime}} ; i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle \times_{X} D_{i} & \left(i \in I-I^{\prime}\right)\end{cases}
$$

for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) of closed conical subsets of $T^{*} X$ hold.
(2) The equalities

$$
\left[\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right]=\sum_{\substack{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \\ I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset}}}\left[T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}}^{*} X\right]+\sum_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \in \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}}\left[\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, d t_{i^{\prime}} ; i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right]} \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}\sum_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}}^{i \in I^{\prime \prime}}\left[T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}}^{*} X\right]+\sum_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}}^{i \in I^{\prime}} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right.}\left[\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, d t_{i^{\prime}} ; i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle\right] & \left(i \in I^{\prime}\right), \\
\sum_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}} \sum_{\substack{p \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0} \\
i \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}}}\left[\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, d t_{i^{\prime}} ; i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle \times_{X} D_{i}\right] & \left(i \in I-I^{\prime}\right)\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) of algebraic cycles on $T^{*} X$ hold.
(3) The inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)$ is of dimension $\leq d+1$. The dimension of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)$ is $\leq d$ if and only if $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ (Definition 1.42 (2)).

Proof. We consider the cartesian diagram

where $\tau_{D^{\prime}, Z_{\mathcal{F}}}$ and $\tau_{D^{\prime}, Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ are the base changes of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ by the canonical closed immersion $Z_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow X$ and the canonical morphism $Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p} \rightarrow X$, respectively, and the vertical arrows are the canonical finite morphisms. Since $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\right.$ resp. $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ ) is the image of $L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}} \subset$ $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}\right)$ (Definition4.1 (1)) by the right vertical arrow, the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)$ (resp. $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)$ for $\left.i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}\right)$ is equal to the image by the left vertical arrow of the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}, Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}^{1 /}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\tau_{D^{\prime}, Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}^{-1}\left(L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}\right)\right)$, and is of the same dimension with $\tau_{D^{\prime}, Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}^{-1}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\tau_{D^{\prime}, Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}^{-1}\left(L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}\right)\right)$.

Let $Y$ be a closed subscheme of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then the inverse image of the zero section $T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Y^{1 / p} \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right) \times_{X} Y^{1 / p}$ by $\tau_{D^{\prime}, Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}$ is equal to $\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}} T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X \times_{X}$ $Y^{1 / p}$ by (4.5). Since the dimension of $T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X \times_{X} Y^{\prime}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ is $\leq d$, the dimension of each irreducible component of $\tau_{D^{\prime}, Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}^{-1}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}\right)$ (resp. $\tau_{D^{\prime}, Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}^{-1}\left(L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}\right)$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ ) is $d$ or $d+1$ by Lemma 4.24 and Lemma 4.25 (1). Then the assertion (2) holds by Remark 1.43 (1) and Lemma 4.25 (2), and the assertion (1) follows from the assertion (2). Since the dimension of $T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X \times_{X} Y$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ is $d$ if and only if the codimension of $Y \cap D_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ in $D_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ is 0 , the dimension of $\tau_{D^{\prime}, Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}^{-1}\left(L_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}\right)$ (resp. $\tau_{D^{\prime}, Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}^{-1}\left(L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime D^{\prime}}\right)$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ ) is $d+1$ if and only if we have $E_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset$ for some $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ (resp. for some $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ such that $i \in I^{\prime \prime}$ ) by Lemma 4.25 (1). Hence the assertion (3) holds.

Remark 4.27. In Proposition 4.26, we have $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset}=\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}_{i}} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle \times_{X} D_{i}$ for $i \in(I-$ $\left.I^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ denotes the generic point of $D_{i} \subset B_{\emptyset, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{i^{\prime} \in\left(I-I^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} D_{i^{\prime}}$. For $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (2)), we have $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset}=T_{D_{i}}^{*} X$ by [Y2, Lemma 2.23 (ii)].

Corollary 4.28. Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$ and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. Then the following five conditions for the closed conical subset $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X$ (Definition 4.15), the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ (Definition 4.1 (3)) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ 4.4), and the closed subset $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}} \subset X$ (Definition 1.42 (2)) are equivalent:
(1) $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ is of dimension $d$.
(2) $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ is purely of dimension $d$.
(3) $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ is of dimension $d$.
(4) $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ is purely of dimension $d$.
(5) $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\emptyset$.

If the equivalent conditions are satisfied, then the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $j!\mathcal{F}$ is a union of irreducible components of $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. Let the notation be as in Definition 4.15, Since $i_{I^{\prime \prime}} C_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime} \subset i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}}$ is purely of dimension $d$ for every subset $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$, the equivalence of (1) and (3) and that of (2) and (4) hold by the definition of $S_{D^{\prime}}\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ as the union of $i_{I^{\prime \prime}} C_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime} \subset i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}}$ for all $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ and $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$. The equivalence of (3) and (4) holds by Lemma 4.24, since $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ is of dimension $d$. The equivalence of (3) and (5) holds by Proposition 4.26 (3), since the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is of dimension $d$ by (4.5). Since $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ is contained in $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ by Theorem 4.21, the last assertion holds by Remark 3.6 (2).

Corollary 4.29. Let the notation and the assumptions be as in Proposition 4.26. Then we have the following:
(1) For the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset T^{*} X$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset$ $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(\right.$ Definition 4.1 (3)) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \\
& \quad=\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}} T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}}^{*} X \cup \bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}} \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}}\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, d t_{i^{\prime}} ; i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle \\
& \quad \cup \bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}} \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}} \bigcup_{i \in\left(I-I^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{p}}}\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, d t_{i^{\prime}} ; i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle \times_{X} D_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{p}}$ is as in (1.35).
(2) Suppose that the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ is of dimension $d$. Then, for the image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of the log- $D^{\prime}$-characteristic cycle $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{log}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ (Definition 4.1 (4)) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!}$(4.12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \\
& =(-1)^{d}\left(\sum_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}}\left(1+\sum_{i \in I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} \mathrm{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\right)\left[T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X\right]\right. \\
& \\
& \quad+\sum_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{\left.B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}\right)^{\prime}}\right.} \sum_{i \in I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} \mathrm{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\left[\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, d t_{i^{\prime}} ; i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle\right] \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}} \sum_{i \in\left(I-I^{\prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{p}}} \mathrm{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\left[\left\langle\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, d t_{i^{\prime}} ; i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime} / Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}\right\rangle \times_{X} D_{i}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $Z_{d}\left(\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)\right)$, where $\operatorname{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)$ is as in (1.31).
Proof. The assertion (1) holds by (4.5) and Proposition 4.26 (1). The assertion (2) holds by (4.6) and Proposition 4.26 (2).

Proposition 4.30. Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$ and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ and that the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset T^{*} X$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset$ $\left.T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)(D e f i n i t i o n ~ 4.1 ~(3)) ~ b y ~ \tau_{D^{\prime}} 4.4\right)$ is of dimension d. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}=X-D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ 1.48) whose associated character $\chi_{\mathrm{W}}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$has the p-part inducing the p-part of $\chi$. We put $D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}=$ $\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} D_{i}$, where $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ is as in Definition 1.17 (1). Let $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}: D_{I^{\prime \prime}}=\bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i} \rightarrow X$ be the canonical closed immersion and $j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}}: i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} U_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}} \rightarrow D_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ the canonical open immersion for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$. Then we have the following:
(1) The dimension of the inverse image $\tau_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)\right) \subset T^{*} D_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ is the same as that of $D_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ for every $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$, where the ramification of $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}$ is $\log -i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}$-clean along $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ by Proposition 4.11 (2).
(2) For the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ of $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$, we have

$$
\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)=\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}} i_{I^{\prime \prime}} \tau_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}!} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)\right) .
$$

(3) For the image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic cycle $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ (Definition 4.1 (4)) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!}$(4.12), we have

$$
\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})=\sum_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}} i_{I^{\prime \prime}!} \tau_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}}^{!} D^{\prime} C C_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}, D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{log}}\left(j_{w, I^{\prime \prime}} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right) .
$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.11 (2), we have $Z_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}=i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}=i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ and the ramification of $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}$ is $\log -i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}^{-}}^{\prime}$-clean along $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$. Then we can locally identify $I_{\mathrm{W}, i^{*}, \prime,} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}$ with $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}=I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ and the index set of irreducible components of $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}$ with $I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}=I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$.

First, let $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ and $I^{\prime \prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ be subsets. Then we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=B_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}, i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}^{i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*}, D_{1}^{\prime}}, \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ and $B_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}, i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}^{i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*}, D^{\prime}}$ are as in Definition 1.42 (1). If $I^{\prime \prime \prime}=\emptyset$, then we have $B_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{i}=B_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}, i_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}^{i_{I^{\prime}}^{*}, D_{1}^{\prime}}$. Suppose that $I^{\prime \prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$. Let $V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ (resp. $V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}\left(i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)\right)$ ) for $i \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ be the closed subscheme of $D_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{i}\right)$ defined by the image $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ (Definition $\left.1.32(2)\right)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{i_{L^{\prime \prime}}^{*}, D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}\left(i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)$ of $\left.\xi_{i}^{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}\left(i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)\right)$, where $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{i_{L^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}\left(i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)\right)$ is regarded as an ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i}}$ ) by Remark $1.33(2)$. Since $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ is the image of $\operatorname{char}^{D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)$ by the canonical morphism

$$
\left.\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}^{D_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}^{1 / p}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}
$$

where we have $R_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}^{D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}=R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ and $Z_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}^{1 / p}=Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$, by Lemma 1.26, and since we have

$$
\operatorname{char}^{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}, D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}\left(i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)=d i_{I_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime \prime}, i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}}^{D_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}, Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}}\left(i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)\right),
$$

where $\left.\left.d i_{I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime \prime}, i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}}^{D_{\mathcal{F}}^{\prime}} z^{1 / p} p i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}} Z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p} \rightarrow \Omega_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{1}\left(\log i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{i_{I^{*}}^{\prime \prime}} z_{\mathcal{F}}^{1 / p}$ is the morphism induced by $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}$, by Proposition 4.11 (2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}} \cap \bigcap_{i \in\left(I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)=i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}} \cap \bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, i_{I^{* \prime}}^{*}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}} V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}\left(i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)\right) . \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have

$$
D_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}}-\bigcup_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} D_{i}=i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}-\bigcup_{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}-I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{i}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}} \cap \bigcap_{i \in\left(I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)-\bigcup_{i \in I-I^{\prime}} D_{i} \\
& \quad=i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}} \cap \bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, i_{1}^{*} I^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}} V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i}^{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}\left(i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)\right)-\bigcup_{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}-I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

as closed subschemes of $D_{I^{\prime \prime} \cap I^{\prime \prime \prime}}=i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}}$, and we obtain the equality (4.39).
We then prove the assertion (1). By Corollary 4.28, we have $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ (Definition 1.42 (2)). Hence we have $E_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}, i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime}}^{i_{I_{2}^{\prime}}^{*}}=E_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}=\emptyset($ Definition $1.42(2))$ for any $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ and $I^{\prime \prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$, and we have $E_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{i^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ for every $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$, which deduces the assertion (1) by Corollary 4.28,

We prove the assertions (2) and (3). By (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$
i_{I^{\prime \prime} \circ} \tau_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} D_{I^{\prime \prime}}\left(\log i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}} T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}}^{*}}^{*} X
$$

and

$$
i_{I^{\prime \prime}!}\left[\tau_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}}^{*} D_{I^{\prime \prime}}\left(\log i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]=\sum_{I^{\prime \prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}}\left[T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}}^{*}} X\right],
$$

respectively, for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$. Let $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ and $I^{\prime \prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ be subsets and let the notation be as in Proposition 4.26. Then we have

$$
\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}=\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}, i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}^{i_{\mathrm{F}}^{*}, D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}
$$

by (4.39). We consider the commutative diagram

where the horizontal arrows are the canonical morphisms, the vertical arrows are the morphisms yielded by $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}$, and $i_{I^{\prime \prime}, D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime} / D^{\prime}, Y_{p}^{1 / p}}$ is the morphism induced by the injection $i_{I^{\prime \prime}, D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime} / D^{\prime}}^{\prime(4.16)}$. By the commutativity of the square, we may assume that the section $\left.\omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \Omega_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{1}\right|_{Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}}$ for $i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime \prime}, i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}}^{i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*}, D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}=\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime} \cup I^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$ is the image of the section
$\left.\omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \Omega_{X}^{1}\right|_{Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}}$ for $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ by $f_{Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{I^{\prime \prime} \circ} \tau_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}}^{-1} D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}\left(L_{i, i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}}^{i_{\mathrm{W}}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime \prime} D_{\mathrm{F}}^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{I^{\prime \prime}}\left[\tau_{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}, D_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{i, i_{I^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}}^{i_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{*}, D_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime \mathcal{F}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ by Propositions 4.26 (1) and (2), respectively. Thus the assertion (2) holds by Corollary 4.29 (1) and the assertion (3) holds by Corollary 4.29 (2).

Corollary 4.31. Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$ and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{T, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ and that the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ (Definition 4.1 (3)) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) is of dimension d. Then the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ is a union of irreducible components of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$.
Proof. By Corollary 4.28, the closed conical subset $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X$ (Definition 4.15) is of dimension $d$ and the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ is a union of irreducible components of $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$. By Propositions 4.19 (1) and 4.30 (1) and (2), we may assume that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$. Then we have $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})=\bigcup_{i \in I_{I I}, \mathcal{F}} T_{D_{i}}^{*} X \cup \tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$, since $C_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.1) is contained in $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right)$ by (4.5) and since $T_{D_{i}}^{*} X$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}-I^{\prime}$ (Definition 1.17 (2)) is equal to $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset}$ by [Y2, Lemma 2.23 (ii)] and is contained in $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)$ by Remark 4.27, Since we have $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset} \neq T_{D_{i}}^{*} X$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (2)) by Y2, Lemma 2.23 (ii)], we have $T_{D_{i}}^{*} X \not \subset S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}$ by Proposition 4.22 (2) and Remark 4.23 (2). Therefore the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ is a union of irreducible components of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{log}}(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$.

Corollary 4.32. Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimensiond and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ for some union $D^{\prime}$ of irreducible components of $D$. Let

$$
f: X^{\prime}=X_{s} \xrightarrow{f_{s}} X_{s-1} \xrightarrow{f_{s-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{1}} X_{0}=X
$$

be successive blow-ups satisfying the conditions (1)-(3) in Proposition 1.45 and let $j^{\prime}: f^{*} U \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be the base change of $j$ by $f$. Then the dimension of the inverse image $\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{-1} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{-1}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{!} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ of the $\log -D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}^{-} \text {-singular support }}$
 (4.4) is d, where $D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}$ and $D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}$ are as in (1.48). The singular support $S S\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ is a union of irreducible components of the inverse image $\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{-1}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$.
Proof. By the condition (2) in Proposition 1.45, the ramification of $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup$
 condition (3) in Proposition 1.45, the first assertion follows from Corollary 4.28, and the last assertion follows from Corollary 4.31.

### 4.5 Homotopy invariance of characteristic cycles

Finally, we prove the following proposition saying that the pull-back $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ (4.12) of the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-characteristic cycle $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $j!\mathcal{F}$ (Definition 4.1 (4)) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) determines the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $j!\mathcal{F}$ (Definition 3.13) when the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ and when the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset$ $T^{*} X$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(\right.$ Definition 4.1 (3) ) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ is of dimension $d$ :

Proposition 4.33. Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$ and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ be smooth sheaves of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $U$ whose ramifications are $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. Assume that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}_{i}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) for $i=0,1$ are contained in $I^{\prime}$, that the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}_{i}\right)\right) \subset T^{*} X$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j!\mathcal{F}_{i}\right) \subset$ $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(\right.$ Definition 4.1 (3)) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) for $i=0,1$ are of dimension $d$, and that we have

$$
\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}_{0}\right)=\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)
$$

in $Z_{d}\left(\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j!\mathcal{F}_{0}\right) \cup S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j!\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)\right)\right.$, where $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!}$is as in 4.12). Then we have

$$
C C\left(j!\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)=C C\left(j!\mathcal{F}_{1}\right) .
$$

Proof. Since we have $E_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}^{D^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ by Corollary 4.28, we have $I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}_{i}}=\emptyset$ for $i=$ 0,1 by Remark 1.43 (3). By Corollary 4.29 (2) and the comparison of the terms of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j!\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$ and $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j!\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$ whose supports have bases of codimension 1 in $X$, we have $R_{\mathcal{F}_{0}}^{D^{\prime}}=R_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}^{D^{\prime}}$ (Definition 1.19 (1)) and $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}_{0}}=I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}_{1}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)). By the same comparison and Remark 4.27, we also have $L_{i^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{0}}^{\emptyset}=L_{i^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{1}}^{\emptyset}$ (Definition 4.1 (2)) for every $i^{\prime} \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}_{0}}$. Since $L_{i^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{i}}^{\emptyset}=T_{D_{i^{\prime}}}^{*} X$ if and only if $i^{\prime} \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}_{i}}$ (Definition 1.17 (2)) for $i^{\prime} \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}_{i}}$ and $i=0,1$ by [Y2, Lemma 2.23 (ii)], we have $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}_{0}}=I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}_{1}}$. Therefore we have $\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi_{0}\right|_{K_{i^{\prime}}}\right)=\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi_{1}\right|_{K_{i^{\prime}}}\right)$ (Definition $\left.1.5(1)\right)$ for every $i^{\prime} \in I$. By Corollary 4.29 (2), the subset $\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}_{i}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0} \subset X$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ and the closed subscheme $Y_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset X$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}_{i}}^{D_{i}^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$ are independent of the choice of $i=1,2$, where the notation is as in Proposition 4.26.

Let $\chi_{i}: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(U) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$be the character corresponding to $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ for $i=0,1$. By Remark 4.2 (2) and [SY, Theorem 0.1], we may assume that $\chi_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ are of orders powers of
$p$. Let $s \geq 2$ be an integer such that both the orders of $\chi_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ are $\leq p^{s}$. Since the assertion is local, we may assume that $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$ for a $k$-algebra $A$. By shrinking $X$ if necessary, we can take global sections $a=\left(a_{s-1}, \ldots, a_{1}, a_{0}\right)$ and $a^{\prime}=\left(a_{s-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathrm{fil}_{R_{\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\prime}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)=\operatorname{fil}_{R_{\mathcal{F}_{1}}^{D^{\prime}}}^{D^{\prime}} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ whose images by $\delta_{s, j}: j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow R^{1}(\varepsilon \circ j)_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}$ (1.11) are $\chi_{0}$ and $\chi_{1}$, respectively. Then the images of $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ by the composition (1.33) are equal to the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic forms $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$, respectively, by Remark 1.23 (2). We put $\tilde{X}=X \times{ }_{k} \mathbf{A}_{k}^{1}$, where $\mathbf{A}_{k}^{1}=\operatorname{Spec} k[T]$. Let $\tilde{j}: \tilde{U}=U \times_{k} \mathbf{A}_{k}^{1} \rightarrow$ $\tilde{X}$ denote the canonical open immersion and let $b$ be the global section of $\tilde{j}_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\left(a_{s-1}(1-T)^{p}, \ldots, a_{1}(1-T)^{p^{s-1}}, a_{0}(1-T)^{p^{s}}\right)+\left(a_{s-1}^{\prime} T^{p}, \ldots, a_{1}^{\prime} T^{p^{s-1}}, a_{0} T^{p^{s}}\right) \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\tilde{U}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}$ be the image of $b$ by $\delta_{s, \tilde{j}}: \tilde{j}_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}}\right) \rightarrow R^{1}(\tilde{\varepsilon} \circ \tilde{j})_{*} \mathbf{Z} / p^{s} \mathbf{Z}$, where $\tilde{\varepsilon}: \tilde{X}_{\text {ett }} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{\text {Zar }}$ is the canonical mapping from the étale site of $\tilde{X}$ to the Zariski site of $\tilde{X}$, and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-module of rank 1 on $\tilde{U}$ whose associated character is $\varphi$. We put $\tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}=D_{i^{\prime}} \times_{k} \mathbf{A}_{k}^{1} \subset \tilde{X}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I, \tilde{D}=D \times_{k} \mathbf{A}_{k}^{1}=\bigcup_{i^{\prime} \in I} \tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}$, and $\tilde{D}^{\prime}=D^{\prime} \times_{k} \mathbf{A}_{k}^{1}=\bigcup_{i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}} \tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}$. Then we can identify the index set of irreducible components of $\tilde{D}$ and that of irreducible components of $\tilde{D}^{\prime}$ with $I$ and $I^{\prime}$, respectively. Let $\tilde{K}_{i^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Frac} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}}$ be the local field at the generic point $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_{i^{\prime}}$ of $\tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I$. Then we have $\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{\tilde{K}_{i^{\prime}}}\right)=\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi_{0}\right|_{K_{i^{\prime}}}\right)$ for every $i^{\prime} \in I$ by [Y2, Lemma 5.4], and we have $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{G}}=I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}_{0}}$. Thus we have $Z_{\mathcal{G}}=Z_{\mathcal{F}_{0}} \times_{k} \mathbf{A}_{k}^{1}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)). By Remark 1.23 (4) and [Y2, Lemma 5.5], we have

$$
\operatorname{char}^{\tilde{D}}(\mathcal{G})=\operatorname{char}^{D}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)(1-T)^{p^{s}}+\operatorname{char}^{D}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right) T^{p^{s}}
$$

in $\Gamma\left(Z_{\mathcal{G}},\left.\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{1}(\log \tilde{D})\left(R_{\mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{D}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{G}}}\right)$. By Lemma 1.24 (2) applied to the case where $I^{\prime}$ is $I$, we have $I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{G}}=I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}_{0}}$, and we have $\mathrm{sw}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{\tilde{K}_{i^{\prime}}}\right)=\operatorname{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\chi_{0}\right|_{K_{i^{\prime}}}\right)$ (1.31) for every $i^{\prime} \in I$. Thus we have $R_{\mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}=R_{\mathcal{F}_{0}}^{D^{\prime}} \times_{k} \mathbf{A}_{k}^{1}$.

Let $h_{i}: X=X \times_{k}\{i\} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the canonical closed immersions for $i=0,1$. Then the closed immersions $h_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ are $C_{\tilde{D}^{-} \text {-transversal by [S4, Lemma 3.4.5]. By }}$ shrinking $X$ if necessary, we may assume that $D_{i^{\prime}}=\left(t_{i^{\prime}}=0\right)$ for $t_{i^{\prime}} \in A$ for $i^{\prime} \in I$.
Lemma 4.34 (cf. [Y2, Lemma 5.5]). Let the notation and the assumptions be as above. Then the following hold:
(1) Let $d h_{i, Z_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}^{1 / p}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}:\left.\left.\left(h_{i}^{*} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{1}\left(\log \tilde{D}^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}\right)\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}^{1 / p}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}^{D_{i}^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}^{1 / p}}$ be the morphism yielded by $h_{i}$ for $i=0,1$. Then we have

$$
d h_{i, Z_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}^{1 / p}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}\left(h_{i}^{*} \operatorname{char}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{G})\right)=\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{i}\right)
$$

for $i=0,1$
(2) The morphism $\xi_{i^{\prime}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{G})$ (Definition 1.32 (2)) for $i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{G}}$ is the sum of the compositions

$$
\xi_{i^{\prime}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)(1-T)^{p^{s}}:\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\left(R_{\mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{\tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}^{1 / p}} \xrightarrow{\times\left.\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)\right|_{D_{i^{\prime}}^{1 / p}(1-T)^{p^{s}}}} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{1}\left(\log \tilde{D}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}^{1 / p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}^{1 / p}}
$$

and

$$
\xi_{i^{\prime}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right) T^{p^{s}}:\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\left(R_{\mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}\right)\right|_{\tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}^{1 / p}} \xrightarrow{\times\left.\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)\right|_{D_{i^{1 / p}}^{1 / p}} ^{T^{p^{s}}}} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{1}\left(\log \tilde{D}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}^{1 / p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}^{1 / p}}
$$

of multiplications and the base change of the residue mapping $\left.\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{1}\left(\log \tilde{D}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}}$ by the canonical morphism $\tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}^{1 / p} \rightarrow \tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}$.
Proof. We put $n_{i^{\prime}}=\operatorname{sw}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}_{0}\right|_{K_{i^{\prime}}}\right)$ for $i^{\prime} \in I$ and put $b=\left(b_{s-1}, \ldots, b_{1}, b_{0}\right)$ for the global section $b$ (4.41) of $\tilde{j}_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}}\right)$. Since we have $R_{\mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}=R_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}^{D^{\prime}} \times_{k} \mathbf{A}_{k}^{1}$ for $i=0,1$, the global sections $\left(a_{s-1}(1-T)^{p}, \ldots, a_{1}(1-T)^{p^{s-1}}, a_{0}(1-T)^{p^{s}}\right)$ and $\left(a_{s-1}^{\prime} T^{p}, \ldots, a_{1}^{\prime} T^{p^{s-1}}, a_{0} T^{p^{s}}\right)$ of $\tilde{j}_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}}\right)$ are global sections of $\operatorname{fil}_{R_{G}^{D^{D}}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}} \tilde{j}_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}}\right)$, and so is $b$. Hence the image of $b$ by the composition (1.33) is equal to the $\log$ - $\tilde{D}^{\prime}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{G})$ by Remark 1.23 (2). Since the image of $b$ by the composition (1.33) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
-F^{s-1} d b & =-\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\left(a_{i}(1-T)^{p^{s-i}}\right)^{p^{i}-1} d\left(a_{i}(1-T)^{p^{s-i}}\right)-\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\left(a_{i}^{\prime} T^{p^{s-i}}\right)^{p^{i}-1} d\left(a_{i}^{\prime} T^{p^{s-i}}\right) \\
& =-(1-T)^{p^{s}} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_{i}^{p^{i}-1} d a_{i}-T^{p^{s}} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_{i}^{\prime p^{i}-1} d a_{i}^{\prime} \\
& =\left(-F^{s-1} d a\right)(1-T)^{p^{s}}+\left(-F^{s-1} d a^{\prime}\right) T^{p^{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $p \neq 2$ and is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -F^{s-1} d b+\sum_{\substack{i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime} \\
n_{i^{\prime}}=2}} \sqrt{\overline{b_{0} t_{i^{\prime}}^{2}}} d t_{i^{\prime}} / t_{i^{\prime}}^{2} \\
& =-\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\left(a_{i}(1-T)^{p^{s-i}}\right)^{2^{i}-1} d\left(a_{i}(1-T)^{2^{s-i}}\right)+\sum_{\substack{i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime} \\
n_{i^{\prime}}=2}} \sqrt{\overline{a_{0}(1-T)^{2^{s}} t_{i^{\prime}}}} d t_{i^{\prime}} / t_{i^{\prime}}^{2} \\
& -\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\left(a_{i}^{\prime} T^{2^{s-i}}\right)^{2^{i}-1} d\left(a_{i}^{\prime} T^{2 s-i}\right)+\sum_{\substack{i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime} \\
n_{i^{\prime}}=2}} \sqrt{\overline{a_{0}^{\prime} T^{2 s} t_{i^{\prime}}}} d t_{i^{\prime}} / t_{i^{\prime}}^{2} \\
& =-(1-T)^{2^{s}} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_{i}^{2^{i}-1} d a_{i}-T^{2^{s}} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_{i}^{\prime 2^{i}-1} d a_{i}^{\prime} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime} \\
n_{i^{\prime}}=2}}\left(\sqrt{\overline{a_{0} t_{i^{\prime}}}}(1-T)^{2^{s-1}} d t_{i^{\prime}} / t_{i^{\prime}}^{2}+\sqrt{\overline{a_{0}^{\prime} t_{i^{\prime}}^{2}}} T^{2^{s-1}} d t_{i^{\prime}} / t_{i^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(-F^{s-1} d a\right)(1-T)^{2^{s}}+(1-T)^{2^{s-1}} \sum_{\substack{i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime} \\
n_{i^{\prime}}=2}} \sqrt{\overline{a_{0} t_{i^{\prime}}}} d t_{i^{\prime}} / t_{i^{\prime}}^{2} \\
& +\left(-F^{s-1} d a^{\prime}\right) T^{2^{s}}+T^{2^{s-1}} \sum_{\substack{i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime} \\
n_{i^{\prime}}=2}} \sqrt{\overline{a_{0}^{\prime} t_{i^{\prime}}^{2}}} d t_{i^{\prime}} / t_{i^{\prime}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $p=2$ by the construction of the morphism $\varphi_{s}^{\left(\tilde{D}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{D}, R_{\mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}\right)}$ given in Lemma 1.15 and since the images of $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ by the composition (1.33) are char $D^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$, respectively, the assertions hold by the constructions of $\varphi_{s}^{\left(D^{\prime} \subset D, R_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)}$ for $i=1,2$ given in Lemma 1.15 .

Let $x \in Z_{\mathcal{F}_{0}}$ be a closed point and let $x^{\prime}$ be the unique point on $Z_{\mathcal{F}_{0}}^{1 / p}$ lying above $x$. Let $x_{i} \in X \times_{k}\{i\} \subset \tilde{X}$ be the closed point corresponding to $x$ via $h_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ and let $x_{i}^{\prime}$ be the unique point on $Z_{\mathcal{G}}^{1 / p}$ lying above $x_{i}$. Since the ramifications of $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ are $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$, we have $\operatorname{char}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{i}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ for $i=0,1$ by Lemma 1.31 (1). Hence we have $\operatorname{char}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{G})\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ for $i=0,1$ by Lemma 4.34 (1), and the ramification of $\mathcal{G}$ is $\log$ - $\tilde{D}^{\prime}$-clean along $\tilde{D}$ at $x_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ by Lemma 1.31 (1). Thus the ramification of $\mathcal{G}$ is $\log -\tilde{D}^{\prime}$-clean along $\tilde{D}$ at any point on $X \times_{k}\{i\}$ for $i=0,1$ by Remarks 1.30 (1) and (2). Let $\tilde{V}$ be the subset of $\tilde{X}$ consisting of the points on $\tilde{X}$ where the ramification of $\mathcal{G}$ is $\log -\tilde{D}^{\prime}$-clean along $\tilde{D}$. Then $\tilde{V}$ is an open subset of $\tilde{X}$ by Remark 1.30 (2) and we have $X \times_{k}\{i\} \subset \tilde{V}$ for $i=0,1$.

We show that the canonical closed immersion $h_{i}^{\prime}: X \times_{k}\{i\} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ for $i=0,1$ are properly $S S\left(\left.\left(\tilde{j}_{j} \mathcal{G}\right)\right|_{\tilde{V}}\right)$-transversal and that we have $h_{0}^{\prime!} C C\left(\left.\left(\tilde{j}_{\vdots} \mathcal{G}\right)\right|_{\tilde{V}}\right)=h_{1}^{\prime!} C C\left(\left.\left(\tilde{j}_{!} \mathcal{G}\right)\right|_{\tilde{V}}\right)$, which deduce the assertion by Theorem 3.20 for we have $\left.h_{i}^{*}(\tilde{j}!\mathcal{G})\right|_{\tilde{V}}=j!\mathcal{F}_{i}$ for $i=0,1$. By replacing $\tilde{X}$ by $\tilde{V}$, we may assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{G}$ is $\log -\tilde{D}^{\prime}$-clean along $\tilde{D}$. Then the closed immersions $h_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ are $\log -\tilde{D}^{\prime}-S_{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(\tilde{j}_{j} \mathcal{G}\right)$-transversal by Proposition 4.7 (1) and Lemma 4.34, since $h_{i}$ is $C_{\tilde{D}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{D}^{-}}$-transversal by Remark 3.2 (3). Since $h_{i}$ is $C_{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}$-transversal by Remark 3.2 (3), the closed immersions $h_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ are $\tau_{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(\tilde{j}_{j} \mathcal{G}\right)\right)$-transversal by Proposition 4.6, Let the notation be as in Proposition 4.26 and Lemma 4.34. Then we have $B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}=\tilde{D}_{I^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} \cap \bigcup_{i^{\prime} \in I-I^{\prime}} \tilde{D}_{i^{\prime}}=B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}_{i}}^{D^{\prime}} \times{ }_{k} \mathbf{A}_{k}^{1}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{G}}=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}_{i}}$ and $i=0,1$. By Lemma 4.34 (2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{I^{\prime \prime}} \cap \bigcap_{i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime}} V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i^{\prime}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{G})\right)=\tilde{D}_{I^{\prime \prime}} \cap \bigcap_{i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime \prime}} V\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\xi_{i^{\prime}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)(1-T)^{p^{s}}+\xi_{i^{\prime}}^{D^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right) T^{p^{s}}\right)\right) \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ such that $I^{\prime \prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{G}} \neq \emptyset$. Hence we have $E_{\mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}} E_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ (Definition 1.42 (2)) for $E_{\mathcal{F}_{i}}^{D^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}} E_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}_{i}}^{D^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ for $i=0,1$. Then the inverse image $\tau_{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(\tilde{j}^{\prime} \mathcal{G}\right)\right) \subset T^{*} \tilde{X}$ is purely of dimension $d+1$ by Corollary 4.28 , We denote the closed subscheme $Y_{\tilde{p}} \subset \tilde{X}$ and the section $\left.\omega_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}} \in \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{1}\right|_{Y_{\tilde{p}}^{1 / p}}$ for $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$, where $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$, by $\tilde{Y}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}$, repsectively. By (4.42), the pull-back $h_{i}^{*} \tilde{Y}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{G}}^{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$ is equal to $Y_{\mathfrak{p}}$ if $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the generic point of $Y_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathbf{A}_{k}^{1}$ for an element $\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}_{0}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$, and is empty if otherwise. By Lemma 4.34 (1), we may assume that the image of $h_{i}^{*} \tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{p}}$ by the morphism $d h_{i, h_{i}^{*} \tilde{Y}_{\tilde{p}}^{1 / p}}:\left.\left.\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{1}\right|_{h_{i}^{*} \tilde{Y}_{\tilde{p}}^{1 / p}} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\right|_{Y_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1 / p}}$ yielded by $h_{i}$ is equal to $\omega_{p}$ for each element $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}_{0}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$ that is the generic point of $Y_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathbf{A}_{k}^{1}$ for an element $\mathfrak{p} \in\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}_{0}}^{D_{0}^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$ and for $i=0,1$. Therefore the dimension of $h_{i}^{*} \tau_{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(\tilde{j}_{!} \mathcal{G}\right)\right)$ is purely of dimension $d$ and we have $h_{0}^{\circ} \tilde{C}_{a}=h_{1}^{\circ} \tilde{C}_{a}$ for each irreducible component $\tilde{C}_{a}$ of $\tau_{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}^{\log }(\tilde{j}!\mathcal{G})\right)$ by Corollary 4.29 (1). Since the singular support $S S\left(\tilde{j_{!}} \mathcal{G}\right)$ is a union of irreducible components
of $\tau_{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{\tilde{D}^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(\tilde{j}_{!} \mathcal{G}\right)\right)$ by Corollary 4.31, the closed immersion $h_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ are properly $S S(\tilde{j} \mathcal{G})$-transversal by Remark 3.2 (3), and we have $h_{0}^{!} C C\left(\tilde{j}_{!} \mathcal{G}\right)=h_{1}^{!} C C(\tilde{j}!\mathcal{G})$.

We formulate the following conjecture inspired by Proposition 4.33:
Conjecture 4.35 (cf. [Y2, Conjecture 3.14]). Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$ and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$ clean along $D$ and that the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset T^{*} X$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ (Definition 4.1 (3)) by the canonical morphism $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) is of dimension d. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C C(j!\mathcal{F})=\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $Z_{d}\left(\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)\right)$, where $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!}$is as in 4.12).
Remark 4.36. Suppose $X$ is purely of dimension $d$, that $D$ has simple normal crossings, and that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ for some union $D^{\prime}$ of irreducible components of $D$. If Conjecture 4.35holds generally and if we admit blowing up $X$ along a closed subscheme of $D$, then the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ is computed in terms of ramification theory as follows: Let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be the composition of successive blowups satisfying the conditions (1)-(3) in Proposition 1.45 and let $j^{\prime}: f^{*} U \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be the base change of $j$ by $f$. Then the inverse image $\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{-1}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right) \subset$

 (1.48), is of dimension $d$ by Corollary 4.32, and Conjecture 4.35 deduces the equality

$$
C C\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{!} C C_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)
$$

in $Z_{d}\left(\tau_{\left.D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{-1} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)\right) .}^{\text {. }}\right.$

## 5 Computation of characteristic cycle in codimension 2

In this section, we prove Conjecture 4.35 under the assumption that the bases of irreducible components of the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset T^{*} X$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ (Definition 4.1 (3)) by the canonical morphism $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) are of codimension $\leq 2$ in $X$. The equality (4.43) in Conjecture 4.35 gives a computation of the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ (Definition 3.13) and further a computation of the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ (Definition $3.5(2))$ as the support of $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ in terms of ramification theory.

### 5.1 Computations in known cases

We first recall the computation of the characteristic cycle $C C\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ in the case where the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $\emptyset$-clean along $D$ given in [S4.

Theorem 5.1 (cf. [S4, Theorem 7.14]). Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension d and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -\emptyset$-clean along $D$.
(1) If $I=I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)), then we have

$$
C C\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)=(-1)^{d} \sum_{I^{\prime \prime} \subset I}\left[T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X\right],
$$

where $T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X$ denotes the conormal bundle of $D_{I^{\prime \prime}}=\bigcap_{i \in I^{\prime \prime}} D_{i} \subset X$.
(2) If $I=I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)), then we have

$$
C C(j!\mathcal{F})=(-1)^{d}\left(\left[T_{X}^{*} X\right]+\sum_{i \in I} \operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\left[L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset}\right]\right),
$$

where $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset}$ is as in Definition 4.1 (2).
Proof. By Remark 1.30 (3), the assertions are special cases of [S4, Theorem 7.14].
Remark 5.2. Suppose that $D$ has simple normal crossings.
(1) As is seen in Remark 4.23 (1), the log- $\emptyset$-cleanliness is equivalent to the strong non-degeneration in the sense of [S4, the remark before Proposition 4.13] for the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$.
(2) Theorem 5.1 generally gives a computation of the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ outside a closed subscheme of $X$ of codimension $\geq 2$ by Remarks 1.30 (2) and 3.14 (1).

We then recall the computation of the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ in the case where $X$ is a surface, namely is purely of dimension 2, given in [Y2].

Suppose that $X$ is a surface. We first define two additional invariants $\lambda_{x} \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $s_{x} \in \mathbf{Z}$ for the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ at a closed point $x \in D$ as follows ([K2, Remark 5.8]): If $x \notin Z_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.19 (2)), then we define both $\lambda_{x}$ and $s_{x}$ to be 0 . If $x \in Z_{\mathcal{F}}$, then, by [K2, Theorem 4.1], we can take successive blow-ups

$$
\begin{equation*}
f: X^{\prime}=X_{s} \xrightarrow{f_{s}} X_{s-1} \xrightarrow{f_{s-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{1}} X_{0}=X, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{0}=X$ is the blow-up at $x_{0}=x$ and $f_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow X_{i-1}$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, s$ is the blow-up at a closed point $x_{i-1}$ of $X_{i-1}$ lying over $x_{0}$, such that the ramification of $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $f^{*} D$-clean along $f^{*} D$ at every point on $f^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Let $\left\{D_{i^{\prime}}^{(i)}\right\}_{i^{\prime} \in I_{i}}$ be the irreducible components of the pull-back of $D$ to $X_{i}$ and let $K_{i^{\prime}}^{(i)}$ be the local field at
the generic point of $D_{i^{\prime}}^{(i)}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, s$ and $i^{\prime} \in I_{i}$, where $I_{0}=I$ and $D_{i^{\prime}}^{(0)}=D_{i^{\prime}}$ for $i^{\prime} \in I_{0}$. Let $r_{i}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, s-1$ be the cardinality of

$$
I_{x_{i}}=\left\{i^{\prime} \in I_{i} \mid x_{i} \in D_{i^{\prime}}^{(i)}\right\} .
$$

Then $r_{i}$ is 1 or 2 for each $i=0,1, \ldots, s-1$. By renumbering $\left\{D_{i^{\prime}}^{(i)}\right\}_{i^{\prime} \in I_{i}}$ if necessary, we may assume that $0 \in I_{i}$ and that $D_{0}^{(i)}$ is the exceptional divisor $f_{i}^{-1}\left(x_{i-1}\right)$ of the blow-up $f_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, s$. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i}=\sum_{i^{\prime} \in I_{x_{i-1}}} \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i^{\prime}}^{(i-1)}}\right)-\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{0}^{(i)}}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\mu_{i}= \begin{cases}e_{i}\left(e_{i}-1\right) & \left(r_{i-1}=1\right) \\ e_{i}^{2} & \left(r_{i-1}=2\right)\end{cases}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, s$. We define an integer $\lambda_{x}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mu_{i}, \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define $s_{x}$ by

$$
s_{x}=\sum_{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}, x}} \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) \operatorname{ord}^{D}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{i}\right)-\lambda_{x},
$$

where $I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}, x}$ is as in (1.35) and $\operatorname{ord}^{D}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{i}\right)$ is as in Definition 1.40,
Remark 5.3. Suppose that $X$ is a surface. Let the notation be as above.
(1) If $x$ is a closed point of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$, then the integer $\lambda_{x}$ and hence $s_{x}$ are independent of the choice of a sequence (5.1) of blow-ups by [K2, Remark 5.7]. Consequently, if the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D$-clean along $D$ at $x$, then we have $s_{x}=\lambda_{x}=0$.
(2) It is conjectured that $s_{x} \geq 0$ for any closed point $x$ of $D$ ([K2, Remark 5.8]).

Then the computation of the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ in the case where $X$ is a surface given in Y2 is as follows:

Theorem 5.4 (Y2, Theorem 6.1]). Suppose that $X$ is a surface and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $|D|$ be the set of closed points of $D$. Let $\lambda_{x}$ and $s_{x}$ for $x \in|D|$ be as above and let $\operatorname{ord}^{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ord}^{D}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{i}\right)$ for $x \in|D|$ and for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) be as in Definition 1.49. Let $r_{x}$ be the cardinality of $I_{x}$ (1.34) for each $x \in|D|$ and let $\delta_{r_{x}, 2}$ denote the Kronecker delta. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C C(j!\mathcal{F})=\left[T_{X}^{*} X\right]+\sum_{i \in I} \operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\left[L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset}\right]+\sum_{x \in|D|} t_{x}\left[T_{x}^{*} X\right], \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) is as in Definition 4.1 (2), where we put $L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset}=T_{D_{i}}^{*} X$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)), and where we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{x}=s_{x}+\delta_{r_{x}, 2}+ & \sum_{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}, x}} \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\left(\operatorname{ord}^{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{i}\right)-\operatorname{ord}^{D}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{i}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i \in I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}, x}}\left(-\delta_{r_{x}, 2}+\operatorname{ord}^{D}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{i}\right)\right) \\
=-\lambda_{x}+\delta_{r_{x}, 2}+ & \sum_{i \in I_{\mathrm{W}, \mathcal{F}, x}} \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right) \operatorname{ord}^{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{i}\right)+\sum_{i \in I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}, x}}\left(-\delta_{r_{x}, 2}+\operatorname{ord}^{D}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally in this subsection, we give an example of computation of $\lambda_{x}$ (5.3) for a closed point $x$ of $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$, which we use in the proof of the main theorem Theorem 5.6 in the next subsection.

Example 5.5. Let $X=\operatorname{Spec} A$ be a smooth affine surface over $k$ and let $x \in X$ be a closed point corresponding to the maximal ideal $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ of $A$ generated by two elements $t_{1}, t_{2}$ of $A$. Let $D_{i}=\left(t_{i}=0\right)$ for $i=1,2$ and let $D=D_{1} \cup D_{2}$. Suppose that the $\log$ - $D$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D}(\mathcal{F}) \in \Gamma\left(Z_{\mathcal{F}},\left.\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\left(R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}\right)\right|_{Z_{\mathcal{F}}}\right)$ (Definition 1.22) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{char}^{D}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{\alpha_{1} d \log t_{1}+\beta_{2} t_{2} d \log t_{2}}{t_{1}^{n_{1}} t_{2}^{n_{2}}} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{1}=\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{1}}\right)>0, n_{2}=\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{2}}\right) \geq p, \alpha_{1} \in A / t_{1} t_{2} A-t_{2} A / t_{1} t_{2} A$, and $\beta_{2} \in$ $\left(A / t_{1} t_{2} A\right)^{\times}$. Here $Z_{\mathcal{F}}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(A / t_{1} t_{2} A\right)$. Then $\operatorname{ord}^{D}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{2}\right)$ (Definition 1.40) is equal to the (normalized) valuation $n$ of the image of $\alpha_{1}$ in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{D_{2}, x}$ of $D_{2}$ at $x$. We can prove that $\lambda_{x}=n$ by the induction on $n$ as follows.

If $n=0$, then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D$-clean along $D$ at $x$ by Lemma 1.41, and we have $\lambda_{x}=0$ by Remark 5.3 (1).

If $n>0$, then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is not $\log$ - $D$-clean along $D$ at $x$ by Lemma 1.41 and we have $\alpha_{1} \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) A / t_{1} t_{2} A-t_{2} A / t_{1} t_{2} A$. Since the assertion is local, we may assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D$-clean along $D$ except at $x$. Let $f_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow$ $X_{0}=X$ be the blow-up at $x$. Let $D_{0}^{(1)}=f_{1}^{-1}(x) \subset X_{1}$ be the exceptional divisor and let $D_{i}^{(1)}$ be the proper transform of $D_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. We put $U_{i}^{(1)}=X_{1}-D_{i}^{(1)}$ for $i=1,2$. Let $x^{(1)} \in U_{1}^{(1)}$ be the unique closed point of the intersection $D_{0}^{(1)} \cap D_{2}^{(1)} \cap U_{1}^{(1)} \subset U_{1}^{(1)}$ and let $e_{1}$ be as in (5.2) for the blow-up $f_{1}$. By induction, it is sufficient to prove that the following three conditions hold:
(1) The ramification of $f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$-clean along $\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$ at every point on $X_{1}=U_{1}^{(1)} \cup U_{2}^{(1)}$ except at $x^{(1)}$.
(2) The $\log -\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}}\left(f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ is of the form (5.5) in a neighborhood of $x^{(1)}$.
(3) $e_{1}=1$ and $\operatorname{ord}^{\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}}\left(f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F} ; x^{(1)}, D_{2}^{(1)}\right)=n-1$.

Actually, if $n=1$, then the second equation in the condition (3) implies that the ramification of $f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$-clean along $\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$ at $x^{(1)}$ by Lemma 1.41. Thus the ramification of $f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$-clean along $\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$ by the condition (1), and we have $\lambda_{x}=e_{1}^{2}=1$ by the first equation in the condition (3). If $n \geq 2$, then we can use the induction by the conditions (1), (2), and the second equation in (3) so that we have

$$
\lambda_{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}=n
$$

by the first equation in the condition (3) and the induction hypothesis.
We first compute char ${ }^{\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}}\left(f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ in order to show that the three conditions (1), (2), and (3) above hold. Since the $\log$ - $D$-characteristic form is only dependent on the $p$-part of the character $\chi$ associated to $\mathcal{F}$ by Remark 1.23 (2), we may assume that the order of $\chi$ is $p^{s}$ for $s \geq 0$. Since the assertions are local, we may assume that $\chi$ is the image of a global section $a$ of $\operatorname{fil}_{R_{F}^{D}}^{D} j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \subset j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right)$ by $\delta_{s, j}$ (1.11). Then the image of $a$ by the composition (1.33) is $\operatorname{char}^{D}(\mathcal{F})$ by Remark 1.23 (2), and the image of $a$ by $-F^{s-1} d: j_{*} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{U}\right) \rightarrow j_{*} \Omega_{U}^{1}$ (1.21) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-F^{s-1} d a=\frac{\alpha_{1} d \log t_{1}+\beta_{2} t_{2} d \log t_{2}+t_{1} t_{2} \gamma}{t_{1}^{n_{1}} t_{2}^{n_{2}}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}$ (resp. $\beta_{2}$ ) is a lift of $\alpha_{1} \in A / t_{1} t_{2} A$ (resp. $\beta_{2} \in A / t_{1} t_{2} A$ ) in $A$ by abuse of notation and $\gamma$ is a global section of $\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)$. Since $n>0$ is the valuation of the image of $\alpha_{1}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{D_{2}, x}=A_{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)} / t_{2} A_{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}$, we can put $\alpha_{1}=u t_{1}^{n}+v t_{2}^{m}$ for $u, v \in A$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that $u$ is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_{D_{2}, x}$.

Let $j^{(1)}: f_{1}^{*} U \rightarrow X_{1}$ denote the canonical open immersion. Since $\alpha_{1}=0$ in $A /\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) A$, both $\left.f_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}\right|_{D_{0}^{(1)}}$ and $\left.f_{1}^{*}\left(\beta_{2} t_{2}\right)\right|_{D_{0}^{(1)}}$ are 0 and we have $\left.d f_{1}^{D}\left(f_{1}^{*} \operatorname{char}^{D}(\mathcal{F})\right)\right|_{D_{0}^{(1)}}=$ 0. Therefore $f_{1}^{*} a \in j_{*}^{(1)} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{f_{1}^{*} U}\right)$ is a section of $\operatorname{fil}_{f_{1}^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}-D_{0}^{(1)} j_{*}^{(1)}}^{f_{s}} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{f_{1}^{*} U}\right)$ by Lemma 1.10 (1). We denote $f^{*} t_{i}$ by $t_{i}$ in $U_{i}^{(1)}$ for $i=1,2$ and we put $f^{*} t_{2}=t_{1} t_{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.f^{*} t_{1}=t_{1} t_{2}\right)$ in $U_{1}^{(1)}$ (resp. in $U_{2}^{(1)}$ ) by abuse of notation. Then the image $-F^{s-1} d f_{1}^{*} a$ of $f_{1}^{*} a$ by $-F^{s-1} d: j_{*}^{(1)} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{f_{1}^{*} U}\right) \rightarrow j_{*}^{(1)} \Omega_{f_{1}^{*} U}^{1}$ is of the form

$$
-F^{s-1} d f_{1}^{*} a=\frac{\left(t_{1}^{-1} f_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}+t_{2} f_{1}^{*} \beta_{2}\right) d \log t_{1}+t_{2} f^{*} \beta_{2} d \log t_{2}+t_{1} t_{2} f_{1}^{*} \gamma}{t_{1}^{n_{1}+n_{2}-1} t_{2}^{n_{2}}}
$$

in $U_{1}^{(1)}$ and

$$
-F^{s-1} d f_{1}^{*} a=\frac{t_{2}^{-1} f_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1} d \log t_{1}+\left(t_{2}^{-1} f_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}+f_{1}^{*} \beta_{2}\right) d \log t_{2}+t_{1} t_{2} f_{1}^{*} \gamma}{t_{1}^{n_{1}} t_{2}^{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}}
$$

in $U_{2}^{(1)}$ by (5.6) and is a section of $\Omega_{X_{1}}^{1}\left(\log \left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\mathrm{red}}\right)\left(f_{1}^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}-D_{0}^{(1)}\right)$. By the assumption that $n>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}^{-1} f_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}=f^{*} u t_{1}^{n-1}+f^{*} v t_{1}^{m-1} t_{2}^{m} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $U_{1}^{(1)}$ and we have

$$
t_{2}^{-1} f_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}=f^{*} u t_{1}^{n} t_{2}^{n-1}+f^{*} v t_{2}^{m-1}
$$

in $U_{2}^{(1)}$. Since $u$ is invertible in $A_{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)} / t_{2} A_{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}$ and $\beta_{2}$ is invertible in $A / t_{1} t_{2} A$, we have $-\left.F^{s-1} d f_{1}^{*} a\right|_{D_{i}^{(1)}}$ is not 0 in $\left.\Omega_{X_{1}}^{1}\left(\log \left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}\right)\left(f_{1}^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}-D_{0}^{(1)}\right)\right|_{D_{i}^{(1)}}$ for $i=0,1,2$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}}^{\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}=f_{1}^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}-D_{0}^{(1)} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 1.10 (1), and we have $Z_{f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}}=\left(f_{1}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\text {red }}$. Since the pull-back $f_{1}^{*} a$ is a section of fil $f_{1}^{f_{1}^{*} D} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}-D_{0}^{(1)} j_{*}^{(1)} W_{s}\left(\mathcal{O}_{f_{1}^{*} U}\right)$, the section $-\left.F^{s-1} d f_{1}^{*} a\right|_{\left(f_{1}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\text {red }}}$ of $\Omega_{X_{1}}^{1}\left(\log \left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}\right)\left(f_{1}^{*} R_{\mathcal{F}}^{D}-\right.$ $\left.D_{0}^{(1)}\right)\left.\right|_{\left(f_{1}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\text {red }}}$ is equal to the $\log -\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }}}\left(f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ of $f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}$ by Remark 1.23 (2). Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{char}^{\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}\left(f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=\frac{\left(t_{1}^{-1} f_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}+t_{2} f_{1}^{*} \beta_{2}\right) d \log t_{1}+t_{2} f^{*} \beta_{2} d \log t_{2}}{t_{1}^{n_{1}+n_{2}-1} t_{2}^{n_{2}}} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $U_{1}^{(1)}$ and

$$
\operatorname{char}^{\left(f_{1}^{*} D\right)_{\mathrm{red}}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=\frac{t_{2}^{-1} f_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1} d \log t_{1}+\left(t_{2}^{-1} f_{1}^{*} \alpha_{1}+f_{1}^{*} \beta_{2}\right) d \log t_{2}}{t_{1}^{n_{1}} t_{2}^{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}}
$$

in $U_{2}^{(1)}$.
We then prove that the three conditions (1), (2), and (3) hold. Since $f_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism outside of $x$ and since $u$ and $\beta_{2}$ are invertible in $A_{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)} / t_{2} A_{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}$ and $A / t_{1} t_{2} A$, respectively, the condition (1) holds by Remarks 1.30 (1) and (2) and Lemma 1.31 (1). The condition (2) follows from (5.9), since $f^{*} \beta_{2}$ is invertible in $Z_{f_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}}=$ $\left(f_{1}^{*} Z_{\mathcal{F}}\right)_{\text {red }}$. The first equation in the condition (3) follows from (5.8). Since $f_{1}^{*} u$ is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_{D_{2}^{(1)}, x^{(1)}}$, the second equation in (3) holds by (5.7) and (5.9).

### 5.2 Computation in codimension 2

In this subsection, we prove the following main theorem:
Theorem 5.6 (cf. [Y2, Theorems 4.2 (ii), 6.1]). Conjecture 4.35 holds if the bases of irreducible components of the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)\right) \subset T^{*} X$ of the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ (Definition 4.1 (3)) by the canonical morphism $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) are of codimension $\leq 2$ in $X$.

In order to reduce the proof of Theorem 5.6 to the case where $X$ is a surface, we first prove the local existence of a $C_{D^{\prime}}$-transversal and properly $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$-transversal regular closed immersion $g: S \rightarrow X$ from a smooth surface $S$ over $k$, where $C_{D}$ is as in (4.1).

Lemma 5.7. Suppose that $k$ is algebraically closed and that $Y$ is a connected smooth quasi-projective scheme over $k$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $i^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ be an immersion to a projective space $\mathbf{P}$ over $k$ and let $\mathbf{P}^{\vee}$ denote the dual of $\mathbf{P}$. Let $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ be irreducible closed subsets of $Y$. Then the set of hyperplanes $H \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee}$ satisfying both of the following conditions is a dense subset of $\mathbf{P}^{\vee}$ :
(1) $H$ meets $Y$ transversally and the intersection $H \cap Y$ is connected and is smooth over $k$.
(2) $H$ meets $Y_{i}$ properly for every $i=1,2, \ldots, m$.

Proof. By Bertini's theorem, the set $V$ of hyperplanes $H \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee}$ such that $H$ meets $Y$ transversally and that the intersection $H \cap Y$ is connected and is smooth over $k$ is a dense subset of $\mathbf{P}^{\vee}$. Since the image of the fiber $Q_{y_{i}}$ of the universal hyperplane $Q=\{(y, H) \mid y \in H\} \subset \mathbf{P} \times{ }_{k} \mathbf{P}^{\vee}$ at a closed point $y_{i} \in Y_{i}$ by the projection $p^{\vee}: Q \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{\vee}$ is a divisor $E_{y_{i}}$, the union of the intersections $V \cap\left(\mathbf{P}^{\vee}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_{y_{i}}\right)$ for the $m$-tuples $\left(y_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{m}$ of the closed points $y_{i} \in Y_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ satisfies the desired conditions.

Lemma 5.8. Suppose that $k$ is algebraically closed and that $Y$ is a connected smooth quasi-projective scheme over $k$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $C \subset T^{*} Y$ be a closed conical subset of pure dimension d. Then there exists a properly $C$-transversal regular closed immersion $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ of codimension 1 from a connected smooth scheme $Y^{\prime}$ over $k$.

Proof. By Lemma [S4, Lemma 3.19], we can take a very ample invertible $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module $\mathcal{L}$ and a $k$-linear mapping $E \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L})$ from a $k$-vector space $E$ of finite dimension defining an immersion $i^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=\operatorname{Spec} S^{\bullet} E$ satisfying the following two conditions:
(E) The composition $E \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{u} / \mathfrak{m}_{u}^{2} \mathcal{L}_{u} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{v} / \mathfrak{m}_{v}^{2} \mathcal{L}_{v}$ is a surjection for every pair $(u, v)$ of distinct closed points of $Y$.
(C) None of the inverse images $\tilde{C}_{a}$ of irreducible components $C_{a}$ of $C$ by the morphism $d i^{\prime}: T^{*} \mathbf{P} \times_{\mathbf{P}} Y \rightarrow T^{*} Y$ (3.1) is contained in the zero section $T_{\mathbf{P}}^{*} \mathbf{P} \times_{\mathbf{P}} Y$.

Here the condition (C) is always satisfied unless the immersion $i^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ is an open immersion. We identify the universal hyperplanes $Q=\{(y, H) \mid y \in H\} \subset \mathbf{P} \times{ }_{k} \mathbf{P}^{\vee}$ with the covariant projective space bundle $\mathbf{P}\left(T^{*} \mathbf{P}\right)$ and the fiber product $Y \times_{\mathbf{P}} Q$ with $\mathbf{P}\left(T^{*} \mathbf{P} \times_{\mathbf{P}} Y\right)$ as in [S4, Subsection 3.2]. Then the closure of the image of the projectivization $\mathbf{P}\left(\tilde{C}_{a}\right) \subset \mathbf{P}\left(T^{*} \mathbf{P} \times_{\mathbf{P}} Y\right)$ by the projection $p^{\vee}: Y \times_{\mathbf{P}} Q \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{\vee}$ is a divisor on $\mathbf{P}^{\vee}$ by [S4, Corollary 3.21.1]. Therefore we can take $t \in \mathbf{P}^{\vee}-p_{\tilde{V}}{ }^{\vee}(\mathbf{P}(\tilde{C}))$, where $\mathbf{P}(\tilde{C}) \subset \mathbf{P}\left(T^{*} \mathbf{P} \times_{\mathbf{P}} Y\right)$ is the projectivization of the inverse image $\tilde{C}$ of $C$ by $d i^{\prime}$, such that the hyperplane $H_{t}$ corresponding to $t$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in Lemma 5.7 for $Y$ and the bases $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ of irreducible components of $C$ by loc. cit.. Let $h: H_{t} \cap Y \rightarrow Y$ be the canonical closed immersion. Then the pull-back $h^{*} C \subset T^{*} X \times_{X}\left(H_{t} \cap Y\right)$ is purely of dimension $d-1$ by Lemma 4.24. Since $H_{t} \cap Y$ and $Y$ are smooth over $k$, the closed immersion $h: H_{t} \cap Y \rightarrow Y$ is a regular immersion, and it is sufficient to prove that $h$ is $C$-transversal.

Since the projection $p: Y \times_{\mathbf{P}} Q \rightarrow Y$ is $C$-transversal at every point on $Y \times_{\mathbf{P}} Q-\mathbf{P}(\tilde{C})$ by [S4, Lemma 3.10], the closed immersion $h: H_{t} \cap Y \rightarrow Y$ is $C$-transversal if and only if the canonical closed immersion $h^{\prime}: H_{t} \cap Y \rightarrow Y \times_{\mathbf{P}} Q$ is $p^{\circ} C$-transversal by [S4, Lemma 3.4.3]. We consider the cartesian diagram

where the horizontal arrows are the canonical closed immersions. Since the horizontal arrows are regular closed immersions of the same codimension and since the right vertical arrow is $C^{\prime}$-transversal for every closed conical subset $C^{\prime} \subset T^{*}\left(H_{t} \cap Y\right)$ by [S4, Lemma 3.6.1], the closed immersion $h^{\prime}: H_{t} \cap Y \rightarrow Y \times_{\mathbf{P}} Q$ is $p^{\circ} C$-transversal if and only if $p^{\vee}$ is $p^{\circ} C$-transversal on a neighborhood of $H_{t} \cap Y \subset Y \times_{\mathbf{P}} Q$ by [S4, Lemma 3.9.1]. Since $Y \times_{\mathbf{P}} Q-\mathbf{P}(\tilde{C})$ is a neighborhood of $H_{t} \cap Y$ and since the projection $p^{\vee}$ is $p^{\circ} C$-transversal at every point on $Y \times_{\mathbf{P}} Q-\mathbf{P}(\tilde{C})$ by [S4, Lemma 3.10], the closed immersion $h: H_{t} \cap Y \rightarrow Y$ is $C$-transversal.

Corollary 5.9. Let the notation and the assumptions be as in Lemma 5.8. Let $e \leq d$ be a positive integer. Then there exists a properly $C$-transversal regular closed immersion $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ from a connected smooth scheme $Y^{\prime}$ over $k$ of dimension $e$.

Proof. We prove the assertion by the induction on $d-e$. If $d=e$, then the identity mapping of $Y$ satisfies the desired condition. Suppose that $e<d$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a properly $C$-transversal regular closed immersion $h^{\prime \prime}: Y^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow Y$ from a connected smooth scheme $Y^{\prime \prime}$ over $k$ of dimension $e+1$. Then $h^{\prime \prime *} C$ is purely of dimension $e+1$, and so is $h^{\prime \prime \circ} C$ by Remark 3.2 (1). Since $Y^{\prime \prime}$ is quasi-projective over $k$, we can take a properly $h^{\prime \prime \circ} C$-transversal regular closed immersion $h^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime \prime}$ of codimension 1 from a connected smooth scheme $Y^{\prime}$ over $k$ by Lemma 5.8. Then the composition $h=h^{\prime \prime} \circ h^{\prime}$ satisfies the desired conditions by [S4, Lemma 7.2.2].

Corollary 5.10. Suppose that $k$ is algebraically closed, that $X$ is a connected smooth quasi-projective scheme over $k$ of dimension $d \geq 2$, and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $D^{\prime}$ be a union of irreducible components of $D$. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ and that the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset T^{*} X$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\left(\right.$ Definition 4.1 (3)) by $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) is purely of dimension $d$. Let $e \leq d$ be a positive integer. Then there exists a $C_{D^{-}}$ transversal, where $C_{D}$ is as in 4.1), and properly $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$-transversal regular closed immersion $Y \rightarrow X$ from a connected smooth scheme $Y$ over $k$ of dimension $e$.

Proof. We put $C=C_{D} \cup \tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$. Then $C$ is purely of dimension $d$, and there exists a properly $C$-transversal regular closed immersion $g: Y \rightarrow X$ from a connected smooth scheme $Y$ of dimension $e$ by Corollary 5.9, By Remark 3.2 (3), the immersion $g$ satisfies the desired conditions.

We then prove Theorem 5.6.

Proof of Theorem 5.6. We may assume that $k$ is algebraically closed, by replacing $k$ by an algebraic closure of $k$ if necessary. By Propositions 4.19 (2) and 4.30, we may assume that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$. Since the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ is assumed to be of dimension $d$, the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ is purely of dimension $d$ and we have $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ (Definition 1.42 (2)) by Corollary 4.28, By Remark 1.43 (3), we have $I^{\prime} \cap I_{I I, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$ (Definition 1.17 (2)). Since the bases of irreducible components of the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ are assumed to be of codimension $\leq 2$ in $X$, the bases $D_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ (1.49) of $T_{D_{I^{\prime \prime}}}^{*} X$ is of codimension $\leq 2$ for any $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ by Corollary 4.29 (1), and the cardinality of $I^{\prime}$ is locally $\leq 2$. Since the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ is purely of dimension $d$, the support of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ is a union of irreducible components of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ by Remark 4.2 (4). By Corollary 3.17 (2) and Corollary 4.31, the support of $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ is equal to the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ and is a union of irreducible components of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$. Therefore we may shrink $X$ to a neighborhood in $X$ of each generic point of the bases of irreducible components of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{log}}(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$, since the assertion is local. Then we may assume that the cardinality of $I_{x}$ (1.34) is $\leq 2$ for every point $x$ on $X$. Let $\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}$ for $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ be as in Proposition 4.26. Since the bases of irreducible components of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ are of codimension $\leq 2$ in $X$ and since $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$ and $I^{\prime} \cap I_{I I, \mathcal{F}}$ are empty, the cardinalities of the subsets $I^{\prime \prime} \subset I^{\prime}$ such that $\left(B_{I^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0} \neq \emptyset$ are $\leq 1$ by Remark 1.43 (2) and Corollary 4.29 (1). Hence we may assume that there exists at most one irreducible component $C_{a}$ of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ whose base $C_{a} \cap T_{X}^{*} X$ is of codimension 2 in $X$ by loc. cit..

Let $x$ be a closed point of $X$. Since the assertion is local, we may shrink $X$ to a neighborhood of $x$. Suppose that $x \in X-D^{\prime}$. Then we have $I^{\prime}=\emptyset$ in a neighborhood of $x$, and we may assume that $I^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Since $\tau_{\emptyset}$ is the identity mapping of $T^{*} X$ and since $C C_{\emptyset}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})=C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ by Remark $4.2(5)$, the assertion holds.

Suppose that $x \in D^{\prime}$. By Remark 4.27, Corollary 4.29 (2), and Theorem 5.1 (2), the equality (4.43) holds except the terms whose supports have the bases of codimension 2 in $X$. We take a $C_{D^{\prime}}$-transversal and properly $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$-transversal regular closed immersion $g: S \rightarrow X$ from a smooth surface $S$ over $k$ by Corollary 5.10. Let $j^{\prime}: g^{*} U \rightarrow S$ denote the base change of $j$ by $g$. By Proposition 4.10 (1), the ramification of $g^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -g^{*} D^{\prime}$-clean along $g^{*} D$, we have $D_{\mathrm{T}, g^{*} \mathcal{F}} \subset g^{*} D^{\prime}$ (1.48), and $\tau_{g^{*} D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{g^{*} D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} g^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ is of dimension 2. By Proposition 4.10 (2), we have $\tau_{g^{*} D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{g^{*} D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} g^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=g^{\prime} \tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$. Since the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ is a union of irreducible components of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$, the immersion $g$ is properly $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ transversal by Remark 3.2 (3), and we have $C C\left(j_{!}^{\prime} g^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=g^{!} C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ by Theorem 3.20. Since there is at most one irreducible component of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ whose base is of codimension 2 in $X$, it suffices to prove the equality

$$
C C\left(j_{!}^{\prime} g^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=\tau_{g^{*} D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{g^{*} D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{!} g^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)
$$

for the sheaf $j_{!}^{!} g^{*} \mathcal{F}$ on the surface $S$ in order to obtain the desired equality (4.43). Since $\tau_{g^{*} D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{g^{*} D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} g^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ is of dimension 2 , we may assume that $X$ is a surface, namely $d=2$.

Suppose that $X$ is a surface. If $I^{\prime}=I$, then the assertion holds by Remark 4.2 (5) and [Y2, Theorems 4.2 (ii), 6.1]. Hence we may assume that $I^{\prime}=\{1\}$ and that
$I=\{1,2\}$. Since $I^{\prime} \cap I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}$ and $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ are empty, we have $1 \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$. We regard the image $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{1}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\xi_{1}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})$ (Definition $\left.1.32(2)\right)$ as an ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}$ by Remark $1.33(2)$. Then we have $B_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=B_{I^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{1}^{D^{\prime}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ (Definition $\left.1.42(1)\right)$. It is sufficient to prove the equality (4.43) in the following two cases:
(I) $x \notin B_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$.
(II) $x \in B_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}$.

In the case (I), we may assume that $B_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\emptyset$. Then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -\emptyset$ clean along $D$ by Remark 1.43 (4), and we obtain the desired equality in a neighborhood of $x$ by Corollary 4.29 (2) and Theorem 5.1 (2).

In the case (II), we have $\left(B_{I^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}\right)^{0}=\{x\}$ and the special fiber $T_{x}^{*} X$ at $x$ is the unique irreducible component of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ whose base is of codimension 2 in $X$ by Corollary 4.29 (1). By Lemma 1.46, Remark 4.27, and Corollary 4.29 (2), we have

$$
\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})=\left[T_{X}^{*} X\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{i}}\right)\left[L_{i, \mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset}\right]+t_{x}\left[T_{x}^{*} X\right]
$$

with

$$
t_{x}=\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{1}}\right) \operatorname{ord}^{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{1}\right)+\operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{2}}\right) \operatorname{ord}^{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{2}\right)
$$

and $\operatorname{ord}^{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{2}\right)=1$. If $2 \in I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$, then the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D$-clean along $D$ by Lemma 1.46, and we have $\lambda_{x}=0$ by Remark 5.3 (1). Hence the equality (4.43) holds by Theorem 5.4, since $\operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{2}}\right)=\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{2}}\right)+1$. Suppose that $2 \in I_{I I, \mathcal{F}}$. Then the $\log$ - $D$-characteristic form $\operatorname{char}^{D}(\mathcal{F})$ is of the form (5.5) in Example 5.5 by Lemma 1.25 applied to the case where $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$ and by Lemma 1.46. Thus we have $\lambda_{x}=\operatorname{ord}^{D}\left(\mathcal{F} ; x, D_{2}\right)$ by Example 5.5, and the equality (4.43) holds by Theorem 5.4, since $\operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{2}}\right)=\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{2}}\right)$.
Corollary 5.11. Suppose that $X$ is a curve, namely is purely of dimension 1 , and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset such that $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}} \subset I^{\prime}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Then the assumptions in Conjecture 4.35 are satisfied and Conjecture 4.35 holds.
Proof. By Remark 1.30 (2), the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$. By Remark 1.6 (2), we have $I_{\mathrm{II}, \mathcal{F}}=\emptyset$ (Definition 1.17 (2)). Since the dimension of $X$ is 1 , we have $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ by Remark $1.43(2)$, and the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset T^{*} X$ of the $\log$ - $D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ (Definition 4.1 (3)) by the canonical morphism $\tau_{D^{\prime}}$ (4.4) is purely of dimension 1 by Corollary 4.28. Thus the assumptions in Conjecture 4.35 are satisfied. Since the base of any closed conical subset of $T^{*} X$ is of codimension $\leq 1$ in $X$, Conjecture 4.35 holds by Theorem 5.6.
Corollary 5.12. Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $d$ and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Let the assumptions be as in Theorem 5.6 (including the assumptions of Conjecture 4.35) or let $d=1$. Then we have

$$
S S(j!\mathcal{F})=\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) .
$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.6 and Corollary 5.11, we have $C C(j!\mathcal{F})=\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$. Since the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ is the support of the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ by Corollary 3.17 (2), it suffices to show that the support of $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{!} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ is the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$. Since $S_{D^{\prime}}(j!\mathcal{F})$ is of dimension $d$, the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ is purely of dimension $d$ by Corollary 4.28, Since the support of $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ is $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ and we have $(-1)^{d} C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})>0$ by Remark 4.2 (4), the assertion holds.
Corollary 5.13 (Index formula, cf. [S1, Corollary 3.8]). Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimensiond and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Let $I^{\prime} \subset I$ be a subset containing $I_{\mathrm{T}, \mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 1.17 (1)) and let $D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in I^{\prime}} D_{i}$. Let the assumptions be as in Corollary 5.12. If $X$ is projective over an algebraically closed field, then we have

$$
\chi(X, j!\mathcal{F})=\left(C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}), T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)}
$$

where the left-hand side is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $j!\mathcal{F}$ and the right-hand side is the intersection number of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-characteristic cycle $C C_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})$ of $j!\mathcal{F}$ (Definition 4.1 (4)) with the zero section $T_{X}^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$ of $T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 5.6, Corollary 5.11, and the index formula [S4, Theorem 7.13] for the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$.

We give examples of computations of the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ and the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$.
Example 5.14. We put $X=\mathbf{A}_{k}^{3}=\operatorname{Spec} k\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right]$ and $D=D_{1}=\left(t_{1}=0\right)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $U=X-D=\operatorname{Spec} k\left[t_{1}^{ \pm 1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right]$ defined by the Artin-Schreier-Witt equation

$$
F(t)-t=\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}^{n}}, \frac{t_{3}}{t_{1}^{p n}}\right),
$$

where $F$ denotes the Frobenius, the right-hand side is an element of the Witt ring $W_{2}\left(k\left[t_{1}^{ \pm 1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right]\right)$, and $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ is prime to $p$. Then we have $\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{1}}\right)=p n, \operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{1}}\right)=$ $p n+1$, and

$$
\operatorname{char}^{D}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{n t_{2}^{p} d \log t_{1}-t_{2}^{p-1} d t_{2}-d t_{3}}{t_{1}^{p n}}
$$

Hence the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D$-clean along $D$ and we have $D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}=D$ (1.48) and $B_{\mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}=B_{\{1\}, \mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}=V\left(t_{1}, t_{2}^{p}\right)$ (Definition $\left.1.42(1)\right)$. If we regard the image $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{1}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\xi_{1}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})$ (Definition 1.32 (2)) as an ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}$ by Remark 1.33 (2) and if $V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{1}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$ denotes the closed subscheme of $D_{1}$ defined by the ideal sheaf $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{1}^{D_{\mathrm{D}, \mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F}) \subset$ $\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}$, then we have $V\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{1}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F})\right)=V\left(t_{1}, t_{2}^{p}\right)$. Hence we have

$$
\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}^{-1}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)=T_{X}^{*} X \cup T_{D_{1}}^{*} X \cup\left\langle t_{2}^{p-1} d t_{2}+d t_{3} / V\left(t_{1}, t_{2}^{p}\right)\right\rangle
$$

by Corollary 4.29 (1). Since the dimension of $\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}^{-1}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ is 3 and the bases of irreducible components of $\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}^{-1}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right)$ are of codimension $\leq 2$ in $X$, we have

$$
\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}^{!} C C_{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})=-\left(\left[T_{X}^{*} X\right]+(1+p n)\left[T_{D_{1}}^{*} X\right]+p^{2} n\left[\left\langle d t_{1}, t_{2}^{p-1} d t_{2}+d t_{3} / V\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right]\right)
$$

by Corollary 4.29 (2). By Theorem 5.6 and Corollary 5.12, the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ and the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ are equal to $\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}^{-1}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ and $\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}^{!} C C_{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$, respectively.

### 5.3 Computation in codimension 2 in remaining case

We consider computations of the singular support $S S(j!\mathcal{F})$ and the characteristic cycle $C C(j!\mathcal{F})$ in codimension 2 without the assumption on the dimension of the inverse image $\tau_{D^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F})\right) \subset T^{*} X$ of the $\log -D^{\prime}$-singular support $S_{D^{\prime}}^{\log }(j!\mathcal{F}) \subset T^{*} X\left(\log D^{\prime}\right)$. By admitting blowing up $X$ along a closed subscheme of $D$, we can compute them in codimension 2:

Theorem 5.15. Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimensiond and that $D$ has simple normal crossings. Assume that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D^{\prime}$-clean along $D$ for some union $D^{\prime}$ of irreducible components of $D$. Let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be the composition of successive blow-ups satisfying the conditions (1)-(3) in Proposition 1.45 and let $j^{\prime}: f^{*} U \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be the base change of $j$ by $f$. Then we have
and

$$
C C\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{!} C C_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{\mathrm{log}}\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)
$$

 mension $\geq 3$. Here $D_{*, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}$ for $*=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{T}$ are as in (1.48, $\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}$ is as in 4.4), and $\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{!}$is as in 4.12).

Proof. By the condition (2) in Proposition 1.45, the ramification of $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup$
 age $\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{-1}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ of the $\log -D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}^{-} \text {-singular support }}$ $S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ by the canonical morphism $\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}: T^{*} X^{\prime} \rightarrow T^{*} X^{\prime}\left(\log D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}} \cup\right.$ $\left.D_{\mathrm{T}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}\right)$ is $d$. Then we obtain the desired equalities outside a closed subscheme of $X^{\prime}$ of codimension $\geq 3$ by Theorem 5.6 and Corollary 5.12,

If the assumptions and the notation are in Theorem 5.15 and if the dimension of $f_{0} S S\left(f^{*} j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ is $\leq d$, then $C C\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ is equal to the image $f_{!} C C\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ of $C C\left(j_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)$ by the morphism $f_{!}: Z_{d}\left(S S\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right) \rightarrow Z_{d}\left(f_{\circ} S S\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ (3.7) by [S5, Theorem 2.2.5]. However $f_{\circ} S S\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ is not always of dimension $\leq d$ as is seen in the following example:

Example 5.16. Let $X=\mathbf{A}_{k}^{3}=\operatorname{Spec} k\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right]$ and $D=\left(t_{1} t_{2}=0\right)$. We put $D_{i}=$ $\left(t_{i}=0\right)$ for $i \in I=\{1,2\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a smooth sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1 on $U=X-D=\operatorname{Spec} k\left[t_{1}^{ \pm 1}, t_{2}^{ \pm 2}, t_{3}\right]$ defined by the Artin-Schreier-Witt equation

$$
F(t)-t=\left(\frac{t_{1}}{t_{2}}, \frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}, \frac{t_{3}}{t_{1}^{p} t_{2}^{p^{2}}}\right)
$$

where $F$ denotes the Frobenius and the right-hand side is an element of the Witt ring $W_{3}\left(k\left[t_{1}^{ \pm 1}, t_{2}^{ \pm 2}, t_{3}\right]\right)$. Then we have $\left(\operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{1}}\right), \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{2}}\right)\right)=\left(p, p^{2}\right),\left(\operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{1}}\right), \operatorname{dt}\left(\left.\chi\right|_{K_{2}}\right)\right)=$ ( $p+1, p^{2}+1$ ), and

$$
\operatorname{char}^{D}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{\left(-t_{1}^{p^{2}+p}+t_{2}^{p^{2}+p}\right) d \log t_{1}+\left(t_{1}^{p^{2}+p}-t_{2}^{p^{2}+p}\right) d \log t_{2}-d t_{3}}{t_{1}^{p} t_{2}^{p^{2}}}
$$

Hence we have $D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}=D=D_{1} \cup D_{2}$ (1.48), the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\log$ - $D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}$-clean along $D$, and we have $E_{\mathcal{F}}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}=V\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ (Definition 1.42 (2)).

Let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of $X$ along $D_{I_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}}=D_{1} \cap D_{2}$ and let $j^{\prime}: f^{*} U \rightarrow X$ denote the base change of $j$ by $f$. We denote $f^{*} t_{i}$ in the complement $U_{i}^{\prime}$ of the proper transform $D_{i}^{\prime}$ of $D_{i}$ by $t_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=1,2$ and we put $f^{*} t_{2}=t_{1}^{\prime} t_{2}^{\prime}$ (resp. $f^{*} t_{1}=t_{1}^{\prime} t_{2}^{\prime}$ ) and $f^{*} t_{3}=t_{3}^{\prime}$ in $U_{1}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{resp} . U_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Then the sheaf $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is defined by the Artin-Schreier-Witt equation

$$
F(t)-t=\left(\frac{1}{t_{2}^{\prime}}, t_{2}^{\prime}, \frac{t_{3}^{\prime}}{t_{1}^{\prime p+p^{2}} t_{2}^{\prime p^{2}}}\right)
$$

in $U_{1}^{\prime}$ and

$$
F(t)-t=\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \frac{1}{t_{1}^{\prime}}, \frac{t_{3}^{\prime}}{t_{1}^{\prime p} t_{2}^{p^{2}+p}}\right)
$$

in $U_{2}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 1.44 (1), the exceptional divisor $D_{0}^{\prime}=f^{-1}\left(D_{\mathrm{I}, \mathcal{F}}\right)$ is contained in $D_{\mathrm{II}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}$ (1.48) and we have $D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}=D_{1}^{\prime} \cup D_{2}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 1.44 (2), the ramification of $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is $\log -D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}^{-c} \text {-clean along }\left(f^{*} D\right)_{\text {red }} \text {. Then we have } \operatorname{sw}\left(\left.f^{*} \chi\right|_{K_{i}^{\prime}}\right)=p^{i} \text { and } \operatorname{dt}\left(\left.f^{*} \chi\right|_{K_{i}^{\prime}}\right)=}$ $p^{i}+1$ for $i=1,2$, where $K_{i}^{\prime}$ denotes the local field at the generic point of $D_{i}^{\prime}$, and we have

$$
\operatorname{char}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=\frac{t_{1}^{\prime p^{2}+p} d \log t_{2}^{\prime}-d t_{3}^{\prime}}{t_{1}^{\prime p^{2}+p} t_{2}^{\prime p^{2}}}
$$

in $U_{1}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\operatorname{char}^{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=\frac{t_{2}^{\prime p^{2}+p} d \log t_{1}^{\prime}-d t_{3}^{\prime}}{t_{1}^{\prime p} t_{2}^{p^{2}+p}}
$$

in $U_{2}^{\prime}$. Hence we have

$$
\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{-1}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)=T_{X^{\prime}}^{*} X^{\prime} \cup\left\langle d t_{3}^{\prime} / V\left(t_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \cup\left\langle d t_{2}^{\prime} / V\left(t_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \cup\left\langle d t_{2}^{\prime}, d t_{3}^{\prime} / V\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle
$$

on $U_{1}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{-1}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)=T_{X^{\prime}}^{*} X^{\prime} \cup\left\langle d t_{1}^{\prime} / V\left(t_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \cup\left\langle d t_{3}^{\prime} / V\left(t_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \cup\left\langle d t_{1}^{\prime}, d t_{3}^{\prime} / V\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle
$$

on $U_{2}^{\prime}$ as sets by Corollary 4.29 (1). Since $\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{-1}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ is of dimension 3 and
 in $X^{\prime}$, we have

$$
S S\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)=\tau_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{-1}\left(S_{D_{\mathrm{I}, f^{*} \mathcal{F}}}^{\log }\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)
$$

by Corollary 5.12

Let $\left.d f\right|_{U_{1}^{\prime}}: T^{*} X \times_{X} U_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow T^{*} U_{1}^{\prime}$ be the base change of $d f(3.1)$ by the canonical open immersion $U_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$. Then $\left\langle t_{2}^{\prime} d t_{1}-d t_{2}, d t_{3} / V\left(t_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \subset T^{*} X \times_{X} U_{1}^{\prime}$ is contained in $\left.d f\right|_{U_{1}^{\prime}} ^{-1}\left(\left\langle d t_{3}^{\prime} / V\left(t_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\right) \subset d f^{-1}\left(S S\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$. We put $A=k\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right]=\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ and $B=k\left[t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right]=\Gamma\left(U_{1}^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\right)$. Let $\left(\partial / \partial t_{1}, \partial / \partial t_{2}, \partial / \partial t_{3}\right)$ be the dual basis of the basis $\left(d t_{1}, d t_{2}, d t_{3}\right)$ of the free $A$-module $\Omega_{A}^{1}$. Then the prime ideal of the symmetric algebra $S^{\bullet} \Omega_{A}^{1 \mathrm{~V}} \otimes_{A} B$ corresponding to the generic point of $\left\langle t_{2}^{\prime} d t_{1}-d t_{2}, d t_{3} / V\left(t_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \subset T^{*} X \times_{X} U_{1}^{\prime}$ is generated by $t_{1}^{\prime} \in B=S^{0} \Omega_{A}^{1 \mathrm{~V}} \otimes_{A} B$ and $t_{2}^{\prime} \partial / \partial t_{1}+\partial / \partial t_{2} \in S^{1} \Omega_{A}^{1 \mathrm{~V}} \otimes_{A} B$. Since the inverse image of the prime ideal $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime} \partial / \partial t_{1}+\partial / \partial t_{2}\right) \subset S^{\bullet} \Omega_{A}^{1 \vee} \otimes_{A} B$ by the canonical morphism $S^{\bullet} \Omega_{A}^{1 \vee} \rightarrow S^{\bullet} \Omega_{A}^{1 \vee} \otimes_{A} B$ is $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \subset S^{\bullet} \Omega_{A}^{1 \vee}$, the closed conical subset $T^{*} X \times_{X}\left(D_{1} \cap D_{2}\right) \subset$ $T^{*} X$, which is of dimension 4 , is contained in $f^{\circ} S S\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ so that $f^{\circ} S S\left(j_{!}^{\prime} f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)$ is of dimension $>3$.
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