

A CLASS OF GENERALIZED FULLY NONLINEAR CURVATURE FLOWS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

JINRONG HU, JIAQIAN LIU, DI MA, AND JING WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we concern a generalized fully nonlinear curvature flow involving k -th elementary symmetric function for principal curvature radii in Eulidean space \mathbb{R}^n , k is an integer and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. For $1 \leq k < n-1$, based on some initial data and constrains on smooth positive function defined on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , we obtain the long time existence and convergence of the flow. Especially, the same result shall be derived for $k = n-1$ without any constraint on the smooth positive function.

1. INTRODUCTION

The geometric flows are deemed as an effective tool for promoting the research in some fields, such as geometric analysis, PDEs etc. Among a range of flows, one with the speed of functions involving principal curvatures have been widely studied. Firey [13] first introduced the Gauss curvature flow to describe shape of worn stones. Husiken [20] concerned with the mean curvature flow to characterise the asymptotic behaviour of the hypersurface. Chow [11], Andrews [1], Brendle-Choi-Dasklopoulos [6] considered the curvature flow at the speed of α -power of Gauss curvature to analyse the deformation of hypersurface, for more reading, refer to [2, 7, 10, 25, 26]. Another main issue is the study of the problem on the existence of the prescribed polynomial of the principal curvature radii of the hypersurface. Urbas [31], Gerhart [15], Chow-Tsai [12], Bryan-Ivaki-Scheuer [4] shed light on the convergence for the flow with the speed of symmetric polynomial of the principal curvature radii of the hypersurface. In this paper, we consider a class of generalized fully nonlinear curvature flow of convex hypersurfaces M_t parameterized by smooth map $X(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial X(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{f(v)} \sigma_k(x, t) \varphi(X \cdot v)(X \cdot v) G(X) v - X; \\ X(x, 0) = X_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where σ_k is the k -th elementary symmetric function for principal curvature radii, $\varphi : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $G : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0 \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ are two given continuous functions, and $v = x$ is the unit outer normal vector of M_t at $X(\cdot, t)$. An important feature of our flow (1.1) is that the speed is a more general curvature function without homogeneity. If a positive self-similar solution of (1.1) exists,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K55, 52A20, 58J35 .

Key words and phrases. Asymptotic behaviour, Geometric flow, Fully nonlinear equation.

it is a solution to the following fully nonlinear equation

$$\gamma\varphi(h)G(\nabla h)\sigma_k(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for } \gamma = 1. \quad (1.2)$$

From the point view of convex geometry, the solvability of (1.2) shall be deemed as a generalization of L_p Christoffel-Minkowski problem. It covers many well-known results. When $k = n - 1$, (1.2) reduces to the smooth case of dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem studied by [9, 27]. In the case $\varphi(s) = s^{1-p}$, $G(y) = |y|^{q-n}$, (1.2) is just the L_p dual Minkowski problem and is the dual Minkowski problem for the index $p = 1$ characterized by the dual curvature measure, which was first proposed by [19]. When $1 \leq k < n - 1$, for $\varphi(s) = s^{1-p}$, $G(y) = 1$, (1.2) is so-called L_p -Christoffel-Minkowski problem. This problem is related to the problem of prescribing k -th p -area measures, Ivaki [22] and Sheng-Yi [29] gave the existence of smooth solutions in the case $p \geq k + 1$ from the perspective of geometric flows. Li-Ju-Liu [21] treat the case $\varphi(s) = s^{1-p}$, $G(y) = |y|^{q-n}$ for $1 \leq k < n - 1$, and Ju-Li-Liu [24] deal with the case $G(y) = 1$ for $1 \leq k < n - 1$. To the best of our knowledge, the flow (1.1) has never been studied before. Our research shall generalize and enrich the related topic to some degree.

To obtain the solvability of (1.2) via the flow (1.1), we need some constraints on φ and G .

(A): Suppose $\varphi(s) \max_{|y|=s} G(y) < \beta_0 s^{-k-\varepsilon}$ for some positive constants ε, β_0 for s near $+\infty$, and $\varphi(s) \min_{|y|=s} G(y) > \beta_1 s^{-k-\varepsilon}$ for some positive constants ε, β_1 for s near 0. Here k is the order of σ_k .

We are now in a position to state that the main aim of current work is to obtain the long time existence and convergence results of the flow (1.1). It is shown in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M_0 be a smooth, and strictly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n enclosing the origin. Supposing $1 \leq k < n - 1$, k is an integer. Let φ, G be smooth functions satisfying **A**. Furthermore, for any $s > 0$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\log \varphi(s)) \right) &\geq 0, \quad -\vartheta \leq s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\log \varphi(s)) \leq -1, \\ k + s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\log \varphi(s)) + \frac{\nabla G(y) \cdot y}{G} &< 0, \quad \nabla G(y) \cdot y_\tau = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where ϑ is a positive constant and y_τ is the tangential component of y . If f is a smooth function on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} such that

$$(k+1)f^{-\frac{1}{k+\vartheta}} \delta_{ij} + (k+\vartheta) \nabla_{ij} (f^{-\frac{1}{k+\vartheta}})$$

is positive definite. Then there exists a smooth, strictly convex solution M_t to flow equation (1.1) for all time $t > 0$, and it subconverges in C^∞ to a smooth and strictly convex solution to equation (1.2) for $\gamma = 1$.

In view of Theorem 1.1, for $1 \leq k < n - 1$, if $\varphi(s) = s^{1-p}$, $G(y) = 1$ for $p \geq k + 1$, equipped with the above condition on f , Theorem 1.1 recovers the existence results to the L_p -Christoffel-Minkowski problem which have been derived by [18, 22, 29]. It should be remarked that, for $k = n - 1$, the same result shall be showed in Theorem 1.1 without constraint on f .

Theorem 1.2. *Let M_0 be a smooth, and strictly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n enclosing the origin, and f be a smooth and positive function on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Supposing $k = n - 1$. Let φ, G be smooth functions satisfying **A**. Then there exists a smooth, strictly convex solution M_t to flow equation (1.1) for all time $t > 0$, and it subconverges in C^∞ to a smooth and strictly convex solution to equation (1.2) for $\gamma = 1$.*

Notice that Theorem 1.2 recovers the existence results to the dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem showed in [27] from the Gauss curvature flow point of view.

The organization of this paper goes as follows: In Section 2, we collect some basic knowledge about k -th Hessian operators and convex bodies. In Section 3, we introduce the geometric flow. In Section 4 and 5, we obtain the priori estimates of the solution to the relevant flow. In Section 6, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. At last, we shall provide a special uniqueness result to the solution of equation (1.2).

2. PRELIMINARIES

We give some basics on k -Hessian operators and convex bodies, recommended to see [16] and [30] for good references.

2.1. K -th Hessian Operators. The k -th elementary symmetric function for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is defined as

$$\sigma_k(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n - 1.$$

Let S_{n-1} be the set of all symmetric $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ metrics. The k -th elementary symmetric function for $A \in S_{n-1}$ is

$$\sigma_k(A) =: \sigma_k(\lambda(A)), \quad \lambda(A) \text{ is the eigenvalue of } A.$$

We say $A \in S_{n-1}$ belongs to Γ_k if its eigenvalue $\lambda(A) \in \Gamma_k$. The Garding cone Γ_k is defined as

$$\Gamma_k = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid \sigma_i(\lambda) > 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

Here we denote by $\sigma_k(\lambda|i)$ the symmetric function with $\lambda_i = 0$. Now, we list some standard formulas and properties of elementary symmetric functions that we shall use in what follows.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. Then,*

- (i) $\sigma_{k+1}(\lambda) = \sigma_{k+1}(\lambda|i) + \lambda_i \sigma_k(\lambda|i), \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$
- (ii) $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \sigma_k(\lambda|i) = (k+1) \sigma_{k+1}(\lambda).$
- (iii) $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sigma_k(\lambda|i) = (n-k-1) \sigma_k(\lambda).$
- (iv) $\frac{\partial \sigma_{k+1}(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_i} = \sigma_k(\lambda|i).$

Proposition 2.2 (Newton-Maclaurin inequality). *For $\lambda \in \Gamma_k$ and $1 \leq l \leq k \leq n-1$, we have*

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_k(\lambda)}{C_{n-1}^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq \left(\frac{\sigma_l(\lambda)}{C_{n-1}^l} \right)^{\frac{1}{l}}.$$

Proposition 2.3 (Concavity). *For any $k > l \geq 0$, suppose $A = A_{ij} \in \Theta_{n-1}$ such that $\lambda(A) \in \Gamma_k$. Then, we have*

$$\left[\frac{\sigma_k(A)}{\sigma_l(A)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-l}} \text{ is a concave function in } \Gamma_k.$$

Proposition 2.4 (Inverse Concavity). *Suppose F is a smooth symmetric function in Γ_{n-1} . F is inverse concave, i.e.,*

$$F_*(\lambda_i) = \frac{1}{F(\lambda_i^{-1})} \text{ is concave.}$$

2.2. Basics of convex bodies. For the good references on convex bodies, please refer to Gardner [14] and Schneider [30].

For $Y, Z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $Y \cdot Z$ denotes the standard inner product. For $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $|X| = \sqrt{X \cdot X}$ the Euclidean norm. Let \mathbb{S}^{n-1} be the unit sphere, and $C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ be the set of continuous functions defined on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . A compact convex set of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior is called a convex body.

If Ω is a convex body containing the origin in \mathbb{R}^n , for $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, the support function of Ω (with respect to the origin) is defined by

$$h(\Omega, x) = \max\{x \cdot Y : Y \in \Omega\}.$$

Extending this definition to a homogeneous function of degree one in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ by the equation $h(\Omega, x) = |x|h\left(\Omega, \frac{x}{|x|}\right)$. The radial function ρ of Ω is given by

$$\rho(\Omega, u) = \max\{a > 0 : au \in \Omega\}, \quad u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Note that $\partial\Omega$ can be represented by its radial function,

$$\partial\Omega = \{\rho(\Omega, u)u : u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}.$$

The map $g : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ denotes the Gauss map of $\partial\Omega$. Meanwhile, for $\omega \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, the inverse of Gauss map g is expressed as

$$g^{-1}(\omega) = \{X \in \partial\Omega : g(X) \text{ is defined and } g(X) \in \omega\}.$$

For simplicity in the subsequence, we abbreviate g^{-1} as F . In particular, for a convex body Ω being of class C_+^2 , i.e., its boundary is of class C^2 and of positive Gauss curvature, the support function of Ω can be written as

$$h(\Omega, x) = x \cdot F(x) = g(X) \cdot X, \text{ where } x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, g(X) = x \text{ and } X \in \partial\Omega. \quad (2.1)$$

Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} be a local orthonormal frame on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , h_i be the first order covariant derivatives of $h(\Omega, \cdot)$ on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} with respect to the frame. Differentiating (2.1) with respect to e_i , we get

$$h_i = e_i \cdot F(x) + x \cdot F_i(x).$$

Since F_i is tangent to $\partial\Omega$ at $F(x)$, we obtain

$$h_i = e_i \cdot F(x). \quad (2.2)$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.2), we have (see also [31, p. 97])

$$F(x) = h_i(\Omega, x)e_i + h(\Omega, x)x = \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h(\Omega, x) + h(\Omega, x)x. \quad (2.3)$$

Here $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ is the spherical gradient. On the other hand, since we can extend $h(\Omega, x)$ to \mathbb{R}^n as a 1-homogeneous function $h(\Omega, \cdot)$, then restrict the gradient of $h(\Omega, \cdot)$ on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , it yields that (see for example [16, p. 14-16])

$$\nabla h(\Omega, x) = F(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \quad (2.4)$$

where ∇ is the gradient operator in \mathbb{R}^n . Let h_{ij} be the second order covariant derivatives of $h(\Omega, \cdot)$ on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} with respect to the local frame. Then, applying (2.3) and (2.4), we have (see, e.g., [23, p. 382])

$$\nabla h(\Omega, x) = h_i e_i + hx, \quad F_i(x) = (h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})e_j. \quad (2.5)$$

3. THE GEOMETRIC FLOW AND RELEVANT FUNCTIONAL

In this section, we are in the place to introduce the geometric flow and the relevant functional.

Let M_0 be a smooth, origin symmetric and strictly convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , as presented above, we are concerned with a family of convex hypersurfaces M_t parameterized by smooth map $X(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following flow equation,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial X(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{f(v)}\sigma_k(x,t)\varphi(X \cdot v)(X \cdot v)G(X)v - X(x,t); \\ X(x,0) = X_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.1) by v , by means of the definition of support function, we describe the flow equation associated with the support function $h(x, t)$ of Ω_t as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial h(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{f(x)}\sigma_k(x,t)\varphi(h(x,t))hG(\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h(x,t) + h(x,t)I) - h(x,t); \\ h(x,0) = h_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Denote by $\rho(u, t)$ the radial function of Ω_t for any $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Let u and x be related by

$$\rho(u, t)u = \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h(x, t) + h(x, t)x.$$

So,

$$\rho(u, t)^2 = |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x, t)|^2 + h(x, t)^2,$$

and $\rho(u, t)$ satisfies (see [7])

$$\frac{1}{\rho(u, t)} \frac{\partial \rho(u, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{h(x, t)} \frac{\partial h(x, t)}{\partial t}.$$

We are now in a position to give evolution equations of geometric quantities by using the flow equation (3.1). For simplicity, we write

$$\Theta = \frac{1}{f(x)} h(x, t) \varphi(h(x, t)) G(\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x, t) + h(x, t)I), \quad P = \Theta \sigma_k(x, t), \quad w_{ij} = h_{ij} + h \delta_{ij}.$$

Notice that the eigenvalue of $\{w_{ij}\}$ and $\{w^{ij}\}$ are respectively the principal radii and principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega_t$ (see [31]), where $\{w^{ij}\}$ is the inverse matrix of $\{w_{ij}\}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The following evolution equations hold along the flow (3.1).*

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t w_{ij} - \Theta \sigma_k^{pq} \nabla_{pq} w_{ij} \\ &= (k+1) \Theta \sigma_k \delta_{ij} - \Theta \sigma_k^{pq} \delta_{pq} w_{ij} + \Theta (\sigma_k^{ip} w_{jp} - \sigma_k^{jp} w_{ip}) \\ & \quad + \Theta \sigma_k^{pq, mn} \nabla_j w_{pq} \nabla_i w_{mn} + \sigma_k \nabla_{ij} \Theta + \nabla_j \sigma_k \nabla_i \Theta + \nabla_i \sigma_k \nabla_j \Theta - w_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t w^{ij} - \Theta \sigma_k^{pq} \nabla_{pq} w^{ij} \\ &= -(k+1) \Theta \sigma_k w^{ip} w^{jp} + \Theta \sigma_k^{pq} \delta_{pq} w^{ij} - \Theta w^{ip} w^{jq} (\sigma_k^{rp} w_{rq} - \sigma_k^{rq} w_{rp}) \\ & \quad - \Theta w^{il} w^{js} (\sigma_k^{pq, mn} + 2\sigma_k^{pm} w^{nq}) \nabla_l w_{pq} \nabla_s w_{mn} \\ & \quad - w^{ip} w^{jq} (\sigma_k \nabla_{pq} \Theta + \nabla_q \sigma_k \nabla_p \Theta + \nabla_p \sigma_k \nabla_q \Theta) + w^{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \left(\frac{\rho(u, t)^2}{2} \right) - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{\rho(u, t)^2}{2} \right) \\ &= (k+1) h \Theta \sigma_k - \rho^2 + \sigma_k \nabla_i h \nabla_j \Theta - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} w_{mi} w_{mj}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. For the specific computations, one can refer to [22]. Here for reader's convenience, we give the detail. For (3.3), since

$$\partial_t \nabla_{ij} h = \nabla_{ij} (\partial_t h) = \sigma_k \nabla_{ij} \Theta + \nabla_j \sigma_k \nabla_i \Theta + \nabla_i \sigma_k \nabla_j \Theta + \Theta \nabla_{ij} \sigma_k - h_{ij},$$

where

$$\nabla_{ij} \sigma_k = \sigma_k^{pq, mn} \nabla_j w_{pq} \nabla_i w_{mn} + \sigma_k^{pq} \nabla_{ij} w_{pq}.$$

By virtue of Ricci identity,

$$\nabla_{ij} w_{pq} = \nabla_{pq} w_{ij} + \delta_{ij} \nabla_{pq} w - \delta_{pq} \nabla_{ij} w + \delta_{iq} \nabla_{pj} w - \delta_{pj} \nabla_{iq} w.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t h_{ij} &= \Theta \sigma_k^{pq} \nabla_{pq} w_{ij} + k \Theta \sigma_k \delta_{ij} - \Theta \sigma_k^{pq} \delta_{pq} w_{ij} + \Theta (\sigma_k^{ip} w_{jp} - \sigma_k^{jq} w_{iq}) \\ & \quad + \Theta \sigma_k^{pq, mn} \nabla_j w_{pq} \nabla_i w_{mn} + \sigma_k \nabla_{ij} \Theta + \nabla_j \sigma_k \nabla_i \Theta + \nabla_i \sigma_k \nabla_j \Theta - h_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with $w_{ij} = h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij}$ gives (3.3). Then using (3.3), the evolution equation (3.4) of w^{ij} follows from

$$\partial_t w^{ij} = -w^{im} w^{lj} \partial_t w_{ml}, \quad \nabla_{pq} w^{ij} = 2w^{ik} w^{mn} w_{knq} w^{lj} w_{mlp} - w^{im} w^{lj} \nabla_{pq} w_{ml}.$$

For (3.5), due to $\rho^2 = h^2 + |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h|^2$, we directly compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) \\ &= h \partial_t h + \nabla_i h \nabla_i \partial_t h - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} (h \nabla_{ij} h + \nabla_i h \nabla_j h + \nabla_m h \nabla_j \nabla_{mi} h + \nabla_{mi} h \nabla_m h) \\ &= h \partial_t h + \nabla_i h \nabla_i (\Theta \sigma_k - h) - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} [\nabla_i h \nabla_j h + \nabla_m h \nabla_j (w_{mi} - h \delta_{mi})] \\ &\quad - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} h (w_{ij} - h \delta_{ij}) - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} (w_{mi} - h \delta_{mi}) (w_{mj} - h \delta_{mj}) \\ &= (k+1) h \Theta \sigma_k - \rho^2 + \sigma_k \nabla_i h \nabla_i \Theta - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} w_{mi} w_{mj}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Hence, the proof is completed. \square

4. C^0, C^1 ESTIMATES

In this section, we shall obtain the C^0, C^1 estimates of solutions to the flow (3.1). Let us begin with completing the C^0 estimate.

Lemma 4.1. *Supposing $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, k is an integer. Let f be a smooth and positive function on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , M_t be a smooth and strictly convex solution satisfying the flow (3.1), and let φ, G be smooth functions satisfying **A**. Then*

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq h(x, t) \leq C, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty), \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \rho(u, t) \leq C, \quad \forall (u, t) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty). \tag{4.2}$$

Here $h(x, t)$ and $\rho(u, t)$ are the support function and the radial function of M_t .

Proof. Suppose the spatial maximum of $h(x, t)$ is attained at $x^0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then at x^0 , we have

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h = 0, \quad \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2 h \leq 0, \quad \rho = h,$$

and

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2 h + hI \leq hI.$$

This illustrates that, at x^0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{f(x)} h \sigma_k G(\rho, u) \varphi(h) - h \\ &\leq \frac{1}{f_{\min}} h [G(h, u) h^k \varphi(h) - f_{\min}]. \end{aligned}$$

If $\varphi(s) \max_{|y|=s} G(y) < \beta_0 s^{-k-\varepsilon}$ for some positive constant ε and β_0 for s tends to $+\infty$, then, at x^0 , there is

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \leq \frac{1}{f_{\min}} h[h^{-\varepsilon} \beta_0 - f_{\min}]. \quad (4.3)$$

The right hand side of (4.3) is negative for $\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x, t)$ large enough, thus the upper bound of $\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x, t)$ follows.

Similarly, we can estimate the spatial minimum of $h(x, t)$. Suppose $\min_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x, t)$ is attained at x^1 . Then at x^1 , we have

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h = 0, \quad \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2 h \geq 0, \quad \rho = h,$$

and

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2 h + hI \geq hI.$$

Therefore, at x^1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{f(x)} h \sigma_k G(\rho, u) \varphi(h) - h \\ &\geq \frac{1}{f_{\max}} h [G(h, u) h^k \varphi(h) - f_{\max}]. \end{aligned}$$

If $\varphi(s) \min_{|y|=s} G(y) > \beta_1 s^{-k-\varepsilon}$ for some positive constants ε and β_1 for s near 0, then, at x^1 , we have

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \geq \frac{1}{f_{\max}} h [h^{-\varepsilon} \beta_1 - f_{\max}]. \quad (4.4)$$

The right hand side of (4.4) is positive for $\min_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x, t)$ small enough and the lower bound of $\min_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x, t)$ follows. Due to $\rho(u, t)u = \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x, t) + h(x, t)x$, one has (see [8])

$$\min_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x, t) \leq \rho(u, t) \leq \max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x, t). \quad (4.5)$$

Hence, the estimate (4.2) immediately holds via (4.5). The proof is completed. \square

The C^1 estimate is as follows.

Lemma 4.2. *Supposing $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, k is an integer. Let f be a smooth and positive function on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , M_t be a smooth and strictly convex solution satisfying the flow (3.1), and let φ, G be smooth functions satisfying **A**. Then*

$$|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x, t)| \leq C, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty),$$

and

$$|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho(u, t)| \leq C, \quad \forall (u, t) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty)$$

for some $C > 0$, independent of t .

Proof. Lemma 4.1 and the following facts

$$\rho^2 = h^2 + |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h|^2, \quad h = \frac{\rho^2}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho|^2}}$$

elaborate the results. \square

5. C^2 ESTIMATE

In this section, our aim is to derive the C^2 estimate of solutions to the flow (3.1). We first obtain the lower bound of σ_k .

Lemma 5.1. *Supposing $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, k is an integer. Let f be a smooth and positive function on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , M_t be a smooth and strictly convex solution satisfying the flow (3.1), and let φ, G be smooth functions satisfying **A**. Then*

$$\sigma_k(x, t) \geq C, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty),$$

for a positive constant C , independent of t .

Proof. Considering the auxiliary function

$$Q = \log P - A \frac{\rho^2}{2},$$

where $P = \Theta \sigma_k$, and A is a positive constant to be determined later. Assume that the spatial minimum of Q is achieved at \tilde{x}_0 . Then at \tilde{x}_0 , we have

$$0 = \nabla_i Q = \frac{\nabla_i P}{P} - A \nabla_i \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right), \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$0 \leq \nabla_{ij} Q = \frac{\nabla_{ij} P}{P} - \frac{\nabla_i P \nabla_j P}{P^2} - A \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right).$$

Now, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t Q - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} Q \\ &= \frac{1}{P} (\partial_t P - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} P) - A \left[\partial_t \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) \right] + \frac{\Theta}{P^2} \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_i P \nabla_j P. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Clearly

$$\partial_t P = \Theta \partial_t \sigma_k + \sigma_k \partial_t \Theta, \quad (5.3)$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \sigma_k &= \sigma_k^{ij} \partial_t (\nabla_{ij} h + \delta_{ij} h) \\ &= \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} P - \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} h + \sigma_k^{ij} \delta_{ij} P - \sigma_k^{ij} \delta_{ij} h \\ &= \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} P - \sigma_k^{ij} (w_{ij} - h \delta_{ij}) + \sigma_k^{ij} \delta_{ij} P - \sigma_k^{ij} \delta_{ij} h \\ &= \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} P + \sigma_k^{ij} \delta_{ij} P - k \sigma_k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \Theta &= \frac{1}{f} G \varphi \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{f} h \varphi \left(\nabla G \cdot \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{1}{f} h G \varphi' \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{1}{f} G \varphi (P - h) + \frac{1}{f} h \varphi (\nabla G \cdot (Pv - X)) + \frac{1}{f} h G \varphi' (P - h).\end{aligned}$$

In turn, (5.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t P &= \Theta (\sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} P + \sigma_k^{ij} \delta_{ij} P - k \sigma_k) + \sigma_k \left[\frac{1}{f} G \varphi (P - h) + \frac{1}{f} h \varphi (\nabla G \cdot (Pv - X)) + \frac{1}{f} h G \varphi' (P - h) \right] \\ &= \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} P + \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \delta_{ij} P - kP + \frac{P}{h} (P - h) + P^2 \frac{\nabla G \cdot v}{G} - P \frac{\nabla G \cdot X}{G} + P(P - h) \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} \\ &= \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} P + \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \delta_{ij} P - P \left(k + 1 + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot X}{G} \right) + \frac{P^2}{h} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot hv}{G} \right).\end{aligned}$$

So, (5.2) turns into

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t Q - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} Q &= \frac{\Theta}{P^2} \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_i P \nabla_j P + \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \delta_{ij} - \left(k + 1 + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot X}{G} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{P}{h} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{h(\nabla G \cdot v)}{G} \right) - A(k + 1)h\Theta \sigma_k + A\rho^2 - A\sigma_k \nabla_i h \nabla_i \Theta + A\Theta \sigma_k^{ij} w_{mi} w_{mj} \\ &\geq A\rho^2 - \left(k + 1 + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot X}{G} \right) + \frac{P}{h} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot hv}{G} \right) - A(k + 1)h\Theta \sigma_k - A\sigma_k \nabla_i h \nabla_i \Theta.\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

By using the C^0 , C^1 estimates, choosing A large enough, the right hand side of (5.4) is strictly positive provided $\min_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sigma_k \rightarrow 0$ (Q is negatively large enough), thus the lower bound of Q follows, hence σ_k is uniformly bounded below away from zero.

The upper bound of σ_k is as follows.

Lemma 5.2. *Supposing $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, k is an integer. Let f be a smooth and positive function on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , M_t be a smooth and strictly convex solution satisfying the flow (3.1), and let φ, G be smooth functions satisfying **A**. Then*

$$\sigma_k(x, t) \leq \bar{C}, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty),$$

for a positive constant \bar{C} , independent of t .

Proof. Using the C^0 estimate, there exists a positive constant B such that

$$B < \rho^2 < \frac{1}{B}$$

for all $t > 0$. Now, setting the auxiliary function as

$$\chi(x, t) = \frac{\frac{1}{f} G \varphi \sigma_k}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}} = \frac{P}{h} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}}.$$

Suppose the spatial maximum of $\chi(x, t)$ at \tilde{x}_1 . Then at \tilde{x}_1 , we get

$$0 = \nabla_i \chi = \nabla_i \left(\frac{P}{h} \right) \frac{1}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}} + \frac{P}{h} \frac{B \nabla_i \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right)}{\left(1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2} \right)^2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \nabla_{ij} \chi = \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{P}{h} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{P}{h} \right) + 2 \frac{B \nabla_i \frac{\rho^2}{2}}{\left(1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2} \right)^2} \nabla_j \frac{P}{h} + 2 \frac{\frac{P}{h} B^2 \nabla_j \frac{\rho^2}{2} \nabla_i \frac{\rho^2}{2}}{\left(1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2} \right)^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{P}{h} \frac{B}{\left(1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2} \right)^2} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{P}{h} \right) + \frac{P}{h} \frac{B}{\left(1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2} \right)^2} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We are in a position to compute the following

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \chi - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} \chi \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}} \partial_t \left(\frac{P}{h} \right) + \frac{P}{h} \frac{B}{\left(1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2} \right)^2} \partial_t \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{P}{h} \right) - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \frac{P}{h} \frac{B}{\left(1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2} \right)^2} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) \quad (5.5) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}} \left[\partial_t \left(\frac{P}{h} \right) - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{P}{h} \right) \right] + \frac{P}{h} \frac{B}{\left(1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2} \right)^2} \left[\partial_t \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \left(\frac{P}{h} \right) - N \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{P}{h} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} (\partial_t P - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} P) - \frac{P}{h^2} (\partial_t h - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} h) + 2 \frac{P}{h} \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_i \frac{P}{h} \nabla_j h \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \left[\Theta P \sigma_k^{ij} \delta_{ij} - P \left(k + 1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} h + \frac{\nabla G \cdot X}{G} \right) \right] + \frac{P^2}{h^2} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{(\nabla G \cdot hv)}{G} \right) \quad (5.6) \\ &\quad - \frac{P}{h^2} (P - h - k \Theta \sigma_k + \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} h \delta_{ij}) + 2 \frac{P}{h} \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_i h \nabla_j \frac{P}{h} \\ &= -\frac{P}{h} \left(k + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot X}{G} \right) + \frac{P^2}{h^2} \left(k + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{(\nabla G \cdot hv)}{G} \right) + 2 \frac{P}{h} \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_i h \nabla_j \frac{P}{h}. \end{aligned}$$

In turn, (5.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \chi - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} \chi \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}} \left[-\frac{P}{h} \left(k + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot X}{G} \right) + \frac{P^2}{h^2} \left(k + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{h(\nabla G \cdot v)}{G} \right) + 2 \frac{P}{h} \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_i h \nabla_j \frac{P}{h} \right] \quad (5.7) \\ &\quad + \frac{P}{h} \frac{B}{\left(1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2} \right)^2} \left[(k + 1) h \Theta \sigma_k - \rho^2 + \sigma_k \nabla_i h \nabla_i \Theta - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} w_{mi} w_{mj} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

On one hand, at \tilde{x}_1 , there satisfies

$$\nabla_j \frac{P}{h} = -\frac{P}{h} \frac{B \nabla_j \frac{\rho^2}{2}}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}} = -\frac{P}{h} \frac{B w_{jm} h_m}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}}. \quad (5.8)$$

On the other hand, by the inverse concavity of $(\sigma_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}$ (see [3, 31]), we have

$$\left((\sigma_k)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{ij} w_{im} w_{jm} \geq (\sigma_k)^{\frac{2}{k}},$$

which illustrates that

$$\sigma_k^{ij} w_{im} w_{jm} \geq k (\sigma_k)^{1 + \frac{1}{k}}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \chi - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} \chi \\ & \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2}} \left[-\frac{P}{h} \left(k + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot X}{G} \right) + \frac{P^2}{h^2} \left(k + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{h(\nabla G \cdot v)}{G} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{P}{h} \frac{B}{(1 - \frac{B\rho^2}{2})^2} \left[(k+1)h\Theta\sigma_k - \rho^2 + \sigma_k \nabla_i h \nabla_i \Theta - \Theta (\sigma_k)^{1 + \frac{1}{k}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This in conjunction with the C^0, C^1 estimates, we have

$$\partial_t \chi \leq c_1 \chi + c_2 \chi^2 - c_3 \chi^{2 + \frac{1}{k}} \quad (5.9)$$

for some positive constants c_1, c_2, c_3 . Then, we see that $\chi(x, t)$ is uniformly bounded from above.

Hence, the upper bound of σ_k is obtained. \square

We are in a position to derive the upper bound of the principal curvatures for $1 \leq k < n - 1$.

Lemma 5.3. *Supposing $1 \leq k < n - 1$, k is an integer. Let M_t be a smooth and strictly convex solution satisfying the flow (3.1). Suppose f, φ, G be smooth and positive functions satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and **A**. Then*

$$\kappa_i(x, t) \leq \hat{C}, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty), \quad (5.10)$$

where \hat{C} is a positive constant independent of t .

Proof. Suppose the spatial maximum of the maximum eigenvalue of the matrix $\{\frac{w^{ij}}{h}\}$ is attained at $\tilde{x}_2 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. By a rotation, we may assume $\{w_{ij}(\tilde{x}_2, t)\}$ is diagonal, and the maximum eigenvalue of the matrix $\{\frac{w^{ij}}{h}\}$ at (\tilde{x}_2, t) is $\frac{w^{11}}{h}(\tilde{x}_2, t)$. So, at \tilde{x}_2 , we have

$$\nabla_i \left(\frac{w^{11}}{h} \right) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$w^{11} w_{11i} = -\frac{h_i}{h}. \quad (5.11)$$

Moreover,

$$\nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{w^{11}}{h} \right) \leq 0.$$

Now, we compute the evolution equation of $\frac{w^{11}}{h}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \partial_t \frac{w^{11}}{h} - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} \frac{w^{11}}{h} \\
 &= \frac{2}{h} \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_i \frac{w^{11}}{h} \nabla_j h + \frac{\Theta}{h^2} w^{11} \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} h - (k+1) \frac{\Theta}{h} \sigma_k (w^{11})^2 + \frac{\Theta}{h} \sigma_k^{ij} \delta_{ij} w^{11} \\
 &\quad - \frac{\Theta}{h} (w^{11})^2 (\sigma_k^{ij, mn} + 2\sigma_k^{im} w^{nj}) \nabla_1 w_{ij} \nabla_1 w_{mn} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{h} (w^{11})^2 (\nabla_{11} \Theta \sigma_k + 2\nabla_1 \sigma_k \nabla_1 \Theta) - \frac{w^{11}}{h^2} \Theta \sigma_k + 2 \frac{w^{11}}{h} \\
 &= \frac{2}{h} \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_i \frac{w^{11}}{h} \nabla_j h - (k+1) \frac{\Theta}{h} \sigma_k (w^{11})^2 - \frac{\Theta}{h} (w^{11})^2 (\sigma_k^{ij, mn} + 2\sigma_k^{im} w^{nj}) \nabla_1 w_{ij} \nabla_1 w_{mn} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{h} (w^{11})^2 (\nabla_{11} \Theta \sigma_k + 2\nabla_1 \sigma_k \nabla_1 \Theta) + (k-1) \frac{w^{11}}{h^2} \Theta \sigma_k + \frac{2w^{11}}{h}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By means of the inverse concavity of $(\sigma_k)^{\frac{1}{k}}$, there is (see [3, 31]),

$$(\sigma_k^{ij, mn} + 2\sigma_k^{im} w^{nj}) \nabla_1 w_{ij} \nabla_1 w_{mn} \geq \frac{k+1}{k} \frac{(\nabla_1 \sigma_k)^2}{\sigma_k}. \quad (5.12)$$

Utilizing Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$2|\nabla_1 \sigma_k \nabla_1 \Theta| \leq \frac{k+1}{k} \frac{\Theta (\nabla_1 \sigma_k)^2}{\sigma_k} + \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{\sigma_k (\nabla_1 \Theta)^2}{\Theta}. \quad (5.13)$$

Using (5.12) and (5.13), at \tilde{x}_2 , there is

$$\partial_t \frac{w^{11}}{h} \leq -\frac{(w^{11})^2}{h} \sigma_k \left[\nabla_{11} \Theta - \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{(\nabla_1 \Theta)^2}{\Theta} + (k+1)\Theta + (1-k) \frac{\Theta w_{11}}{h} \right] + \frac{2w^{11}}{h}. \quad (5.14)$$

To estimate (5.14). Let ι be the arc-length of the great circle passing through x_0 with the unit tangent vector e_1 , then

$$\nabla_{11} \Theta - \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{(\nabla_1 \Theta)^2}{\Theta} + (k+1)\Theta = (k+1)\Theta^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \left(\Theta^{\frac{1}{k+1}} + (\Theta^{\frac{1}{k+1}})_{\iota} \right) = (k+1)\Theta (1 + \Theta^{-\frac{1}{k+1}} \Theta_{\iota}^{\frac{1}{k+1}}). \quad (5.15)$$

On one hand,

$$\Theta_{\iota} = (f^{-1})_{\iota} \varphi h G + f^{-1} G \varphi h_{\iota} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} h \right) + f^{-1} \varphi h G_{\iota}. \quad (5.16)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta_u &= (f^{-1})_u \varphi h G + 2(f^{-1})_{\iota} \varphi G h_{\iota} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} h \right) + 2(f^{-1})_{\iota} \varphi h G_{\iota} + f^{-1} \varphi' h_{\iota}^2 G \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} h \right) \\
 &\quad + f^{-1} \varphi G_{\iota} h_{\iota} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} h \right) + f^{-1} \varphi G h_u \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} h \right) + f^{-1} \varphi G h_{\iota}^2 \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} h \right) \\
 &\quad + f^{-1} \varphi' h h_{\iota} G_{\iota} + f^{-1} \varphi h_{\iota} G_{\iota} + f^{-1} \varphi h G_u.
 \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

In view of (5.15),

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1 + \Theta^{-\frac{1}{k+1}}(\Theta^{\frac{1}{k+1}})_u \\
&= 1 + \frac{1}{k+1}\Theta^{-1}\Theta_u - \frac{k}{(k+1)^2}\Theta^{-2}\Theta_t^2 \\
&= 1 + \frac{1}{k+1}f(f^{-1})_u + \frac{2f}{(k+1)h}(f^{-1})_t h_t \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) + \frac{\varphi'}{(k+1)\varphi h}h_t^2 \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{h_u}{(k+1)h} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) + \frac{h_t^2}{h(k+1)} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right)' + \frac{2}{k+1}(f^{-1})_t f \frac{G_t}{G} + \frac{G_t h_t}{(k+1)Gh} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\varphi'}{(k+1)\varphi G} h_t G_t + \frac{h_t}{(k+1)hG} G_t + \frac{G_u}{(k+1)G} - \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} f^2 (f^{-1})_t^2 - \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{h_t^2}{h^2} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right)^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{2kf(f^{-1})_t h_t}{h(k+1)^2} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) - \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{G_t^2}{G^2} - 2\frac{k}{k+1} \frac{f}{G} (f^{-1})_t G_t - \frac{2k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{h_t G_t}{hG} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) \\
&= 1 + \frac{1}{k+1}f(f^{-1})_u + \frac{2f}{(k+1)^2 h} (f^{-1})_t h_t \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) + \frac{h_u}{(k+1)h} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{h_t^2}{(k+1)h} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right)' - \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} f^2 (f^{-1})_t^2 + \frac{h_t^2}{(k+1)^2 h^2} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) \left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h - k\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{k+1}(f^{-1})_t f \frac{G_t}{G} + \frac{G_t h_t}{(k+1)Gh} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) + \frac{\varphi'}{(k+1)\varphi G} h_t G_t + \frac{h_t}{(k+1)hG} G_t + \frac{G_u}{(k+1)G} \\
&\quad - \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{G_t^2}{G^2} - 2\frac{k}{k+1} \frac{f}{G} (f^{-1})_t G_t - \frac{2k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{h_t G_t}{hG} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) \\
&= \frac{1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h}{(k+1)} \frac{h_u + h}{h} + \frac{h_t^2}{(k+1)h} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right)' \\
&\quad - \frac{1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h}{h(k+1)^2} f \left[h_t \left(\frac{k - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h}{fh}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (f^{-1})_t \left(\frac{hf}{k - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{k+1} \left[\left(k - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) - (f^{-1})_t^2 f^2 \left(\frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h}{\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h - k}\right) + (f^{-1})_u f \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{k+1}(f^{-1})_t f \frac{G_t}{G} + \frac{G_t h_t}{(k+1)Gh} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right) + \frac{\varphi'}{(k+1)\varphi G} h_t G_t + \frac{h_t}{(k+1)hG} G_t + \frac{G_u}{(k+1)G} \\
&\quad - \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{G_t^2}{G^2} - 2\frac{k}{k+1} \frac{f}{G} (f^{-1})_t G_t - \frac{2k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{h_t G_t}{hG} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h\right).
\end{aligned}$$

(5.18)

Making use of the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, one see that $(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h)' \geq 0$, and $\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h \leq -1$. (5.18) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 + \Theta^{-\frac{1}{k+1}}(\Theta^{\frac{1}{k+1}})_u \\
 & \geq \frac{1 + \frac{\varphi'}{h}h_u + h}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+1} \left[\left(k - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h \right) - (f^{-1})_i^2 f^2 \left(\frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h}{\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h - k} \right) + (f^{-1})_u f \right] \\
 & \quad + \frac{2}{k+1} (f^{-1})_i f \frac{G_i}{G} + \frac{G_i h_i}{(k+1)Gh} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h \right) + \frac{\varphi'}{(k+1)\varphi G} h_i G_i + \frac{h_i}{(k+1)hG} G_i + \frac{G_u}{(k+1)G} \\
 & \quad - \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{G_i^2}{G^2} - 2 \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{f}{G} (f^{-1})_i G_i - \frac{2k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{h_i G_i}{hG} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h \right) \\
 & \geq \frac{1 + \frac{\varphi'}{h}h_u + h}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+1} \left[\left(k - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h \right) - (f^{-1})_i^2 f^2 \left(\frac{k-1 - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h}{k - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h} \right) + (f^{-1})_u f \right] \\
 & \quad + \frac{2}{k+1} (f^{-1})_i f \frac{G_i}{G} + \frac{G_i h_i}{(k+1)Gh} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h \right) + \frac{\varphi'}{(k+1)\varphi G} h_i G_i + \frac{h_i}{(k+1)hG} G_i + \frac{G_u}{(k+1)G} \\
 & \quad - \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{G_i^2}{G^2} - 2 \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{f}{G} (f^{-1})_i G_i - \frac{2k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{h_i G_i}{hG} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Using again the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(k - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h \right) - (f^{-1})_i^2 f^2 \left(\frac{k-1 - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h}{k - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h} \right) + (f^{-1})_u f \\
 & \geq (k+1) - (f^{-1})_i^2 f^2 \frac{k + \vartheta - 1}{k + \vartheta} + (f^{-1})_u f \\
 & = (k+1) + (k + \vartheta) f^{\frac{1}{k+\vartheta}} (f^{-\frac{1}{k+\vartheta}})_u \\
 & = f^{\frac{1}{k+\vartheta}} \left[(k+1) f^{-\frac{1}{k+\vartheta}} + (k + \vartheta) (f^{-\frac{1}{k+\vartheta}})_u \right] \\
 & \geq c_0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

where c_0 is a positive constant depending on f and the minimum eigenvalue of $(k+1)f^{-\frac{1}{k+\vartheta}} + (k + \vartheta)(f^{\frac{1}{k+\vartheta}})_u$. On the other hand,

$$G_i = (\nabla G \cdot e_i) w_u, \tag{5.21}$$

and

$$G_u = ((\nabla^2 G \cdot e_i) \cdot e_i) w_u^2 - (\nabla G \cdot x) w_u + (\nabla G \cdot e_i) w_{ii}. \tag{5.22}$$

Using C^0 , C^1 estimates, then (5.21) and (5.22) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{k+1}(f^{-1})_i f \frac{G_i}{G} + \frac{G_i h_i}{(k+1)Gh} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} h\right) + \frac{\varphi'}{(k+1)\varphi G} h_i G_i + \frac{h_i}{(k+1)hG} G_i + \frac{G_u}{(k+1)G} \\ & - \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{G_i^2}{G^2} - 2 \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{f}{G} (f^{-1})_i G_i - \frac{2k}{(k+1)^2} \frac{h_i G_i}{hG} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} h\right) \geq -c_1 w_u - c_2 w_u^2 + \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{\nabla G \cdot e_i}{G} w_{iu}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

Substituting (5.23) and (5.20) into (5.19), we get

$$\partial_t \frac{w^{11}}{h} \leq -\frac{(w^{11})^2}{h} \sigma_k \Theta \left(c_0 - c_1 w_{11} - c_2 w_{11}^2 + \frac{2 - \vartheta - k}{h} w_{11} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot e_i}{G} w_{11i} \right) + \frac{2w^{11}}{h}. \quad (5.24)$$

Using (5.11) into (5.24), we have

$$\partial_t \frac{w^{11}}{h} \leq -C_1 \left(\frac{w^{11}}{h} \right)^2 + C_2 \frac{w^{11}}{h}, \quad (5.25)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants independent of t . This give the upper bound of w^{11} . The proof is completed. \square

\square

For the particular case $k = n - 1$, the upper bound of the principal curvatures is as follows.

Lemma 5.4. *Supposing $k = n - 1$. Let f be a smooth and positive function on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , M_t be a smooth and strictly convex solution satisfying the flow (3.1), and let φ, G be smooth functions satisfying **A**. Then*

$$\kappa_i(x, t) \leq C, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty)$$

where C is a positive constant, independent of t .

Proof. We consider the auxiliary function,

$$\widetilde{E}(x, t) = \log \lambda_{\max}(\{w^{ij}\}) - d \log h + \frac{l}{2} \rho^2, \quad (5.26)$$

where d and l are positive constants to be specified later, and $\lambda_{\max}(\{w^{ij}\})$ is the maximal eigenvalue of $\{w^{ij}\}$.

For any fixed $t \in (0, \infty)$, we assume that the maximum of $\widetilde{E}(x, t)$ is attained at x_0 on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . By a rotation of coordinates, we may assume that $\{w^{ij}(x_0, t)\}$ is diagonal, and $\lambda_{\max}(\{w^{ij}(x_0, t)\}) = w^{11}(x_0, t)$. Then, (5.26) turns into

$$E(x, t) = \log w^{11} - d \log h + \frac{l}{2} \rho^2.$$

At x_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \nabla_i E &= -w^{11} \nabla_i w_{11} - d \frac{h_i}{h} + l \rho \rho_i \\ &= -w^{11} (h_{i11} + h_1 \delta_{1i}) - d \frac{h_i}{h} + l \rho \rho_i, \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

and

$$0 \geq \nabla_{ii}E = -w^{11}\nabla_{ii}w_{11} + 2w^{11} \sum w^{kk}(\nabla_1 w_{ik})^2 - (w^{11})^2(\nabla_i w_{11})^2 - d\left(\frac{h_{ii}}{h} - \frac{h_i^2}{h^2}\right) + l\rho_i^2 + l\rho\rho_{ii}. \quad (5.28)$$

In addition,

$$\partial_t E = -w^{11}\partial_t w_{11} - d\frac{h_t}{h} + l\rho\rho_t = -w^{11}(h_{11t} + h_t) - d\frac{h_t}{h} + l\rho\rho_t. \quad (5.29)$$

Now, recall that

$$\log(h_t + h) = \log \sigma_{n-1} + \chi(x, t), \quad (5.30)$$

where

$$\chi(x, t) = \log\left(\frac{1}{f}hG(\nabla h)\varphi(h)\right).$$

Differentiating (5.30) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h_{jt} + h_j}{h_t + h} &= w^{ik}\nabla_j w_{ik} + \nabla_j \chi \\ &= w^{ii}(h_{jii} + h_i\delta_{ij}) + \nabla_j \chi, \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h_{11t} + h_{11}}{h_t + h} - \frac{(h_1 + h_{1t})^2}{(h_t + h)^2} \\ = \sum w^{ii}\nabla_{11}w_{ii} - \sum w^{ii}w^{kk}(\nabla_1 w_{ik})^2 + \nabla_{11}\chi. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

The Ricci identity on sphere shows

$$\nabla_{11}w_{ij} = \nabla_{ij}w_{11} - \delta_{ij}w_{11} + \delta_{11}w_{ij} - \delta_{1i}w_{1j} + \delta_{1j}w_{1i}.$$

Hence, apply the Ricci identity, (5.28), (5.29), (5.31) and (5.32), at x_0 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial_t E}{h_t + h} &= \frac{-w^{11}(h_{11t} + h_t)}{h_t + h} - d \frac{h_t}{h(h_t + h)} + l \frac{\rho \rho_t}{(h_t + h)} \\
&= -w^{11} \left[\frac{h_{11t} + h_{11} - h_{11} - h + h + h_t}{h_t + h} \right] - d \frac{h_t}{h(h_t + h)} + l \frac{\rho \rho_t}{(h_t + h)} \\
&= -w^{11} \frac{h_{11t} + h_{11}}{h_t + h} + \frac{1}{h_t + 1h} - w^{11} - \frac{d}{h} + \frac{d}{h + h_t} + l \frac{\rho \rho_t}{(h_t + h)} \\
&= -w^{11} \frac{h_{11t} + h_{11}}{h_t + h} + \frac{(1+d)}{h_t + h} - w^{11} - \frac{d}{h} + l \frac{\rho \rho_t}{(h_t + h)} \\
&\leq -w^{11} \sum w^{ii} \nabla_{11} w_{ii} + w^{11} \sum w^{ii} w^{kk} (\nabla_1 w_{ik})^2 - w^{11} \nabla_{11} \chi + \frac{(1+d)}{h_t + h} + l \frac{\rho \rho_t}{(h_t + h)} \\
&= -w^{11} w^{ii} (\nabla_{ii} w_{11} - w_{11} + w_{ii}) + w^{11} \sum w^{ii} w^{jj} (\nabla_1 w_{ij})^2 \\
&\quad - w^{11} \nabla_{11} \chi + \frac{(1+d)}{h_t + h} + \frac{l \rho \rho_t}{(h_t + h)} \\
&\leq \sum w^{ii} (w^{11})^2 (\nabla_i w_{11})^2 - 2w^{11} \sum w^{ii} w^{kk} (\nabla_1 w_{ik})^2 + \sum w^{ii} d \left(\frac{h_{ii}}{h} - \frac{h_i^2}{h^2} \right) - \sum w^{ii} l \rho_i^2 - \sum w^{ii} l \rho \rho_{ii} \\
&\quad + w^{11} \sum w^{ii} w^{kk} (\nabla_1 w_{ik})^2 - w^{11} \nabla_{11} \chi + \frac{(1+d)}{h_t + h} + \frac{l \rho \rho_t}{(h_t + h)} + \sum w^{ii} - (n-1)w^{11} \\
&\leq -d \sum w^{ii} + \frac{(n-1)d}{h} - w^{11} \nabla_{11} \chi + \frac{(1+d)}{h_t + h} + l \left[\frac{\rho \rho_t}{(h_t + h)} - \sum w^{ii} (\rho_i^2 + \rho \rho_{ii}) \right]. \tag{5.33}
\end{aligned}$$

It is simple to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_t &= \frac{hh_t + \sum h_k h_{kt}}{\rho}, \\
\rho_i &= \frac{hh_i + \sum h_k h_{ki}}{\rho} = \frac{h_i w_{ii}}{\rho}, \\
\rho_{ij} &= \frac{hh_{ij} + h_i h_j + \sum h_k h_{kij} + \sum h_{ki} h_{kj}}{\rho} - \frac{h_i h_j w_{ii} w_{jj}}{\rho^3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

Applying (5.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\rho \rho_t}{h_t + h} - \sum w^{ii} (\rho_i^2 + \rho \rho_{ii}) &= \frac{hh_t}{h_t + h} - h \sum w^{ii} h_{ii} - w^{ii} \sum h_{ii}^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h|^2}{h_t + h} + \sum h_k \nabla_k \chi \\
&= h - \frac{\rho^2}{h_t + h} + (n-1)h - \sum w_{ii} + \sum h_k \nabla_k \chi \\
&\leq C - \frac{\rho^2}{h_t + h} - \sum w_{ii} + \sum h_k \nabla_k \chi.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

Substituting (5.35) into (5.33), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial_t E}{h_t + h} \leq -d \sum w^{ii} + C(d+l) + \frac{(1+d-l\rho^2)}{h_t + h} - l \sum w_{ii} - w^{11} \nabla_{11} \chi + l \sum h_k \nabla_k \chi. \tag{5.36}$$

Since

$$\nabla_k \chi = \frac{-f_k}{f} + \frac{h_k}{h} + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} h_k + \frac{(\nabla G \cdot e_i) w_{ki}}{G},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{11} \chi &= \frac{-f f_{11} + f_1^2}{f^2} + \frac{h h_{11} - h_1^2}{h^2} + \frac{\varphi'' h_1^2 + \varphi' h_{11}}{\varphi} - \frac{(\varphi')^2 h_1^2}{\varphi^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{[(\nabla G \cdot e_1) w_{11}]^2}{G^2} + \frac{((\nabla^2 G \cdot e_1) \cdot e_1) w_{11}^2}{G} + \frac{(\nabla G \cdot e_i) w_{11i}}{G} - \frac{(\nabla G \cdot x) w_{11}}{G}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear to see that

$$\begin{aligned} l \sum h_k \nabla_k \chi &= l \sum h_k \left[\frac{-f_k}{f} + \frac{h_k}{h} + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} h_k + \frac{(\nabla G \cdot e_i) \cdot w_{ki}}{G} \right] \\ &\leq C_1 l + l \frac{\nabla G \cdot e_k}{G} h_k w_{kk} = C_1 l + l \frac{\nabla G \cdot e_k}{G} \rho \rho_k \leq C_2 l, \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -w^{11} \nabla_{11} \chi &= -w^{11} \left(\frac{-f f_{11} + f_1^2}{f^2} + \frac{h h_{11} - h_1^2}{h^2} + \frac{\varphi'' h_1^2 + \varphi' h_{11}}{\varphi} - \frac{(\varphi')^2 h_1^2}{\varphi^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{[(\nabla G \cdot e_1)]^2 w_{11}}{G^2} - \frac{((\nabla^2 G \cdot e_1) \cdot e_1) w_{11}}{G} - \frac{(\nabla G \cdot e_i) w^{11} w_{11i}}{G} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot x}{G}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

From (5.27) and (5.34), we get

$$w^{11} w_{i11} = -d \frac{h_i}{h} + l \rho \rho_i.$$

Hence, (5.38) becomes

$$-w^{11} \nabla_{11} \chi \leq C_2 w^{11} + C_3 + C_4 w_{11} + C_5 l + C_6 d. \quad (5.39)$$

Combining (5.37) and (5.39), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l \sum h_k \nabla_k \chi - w^{11} \nabla_{11} \chi \\ \leq \tilde{C}_1 l + \tilde{C}_2 d + \tilde{C}_3 w^{11} + \tilde{C}_4 w_{11} + \tilde{C}_5. \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

Substituting (5.40) into (5.36), if we choose $l \gg d$, then

$$\frac{\partial_t E}{h_t + h} \leq -d \sum w^{ii} + \tilde{C}(d + l) + \tilde{C}_3 w^{11} + \tilde{C}_4 w_{11} + \tilde{C}_5 l + \tilde{C}_6. \quad (5.41)$$

In view of (5.41), if we take

$$d > \tilde{C}_3,$$

then for w^{11} large enough, there is

$$\frac{\partial_t E}{h_t + h} < 0,$$

which yields

$$E(x_0, t) = \tilde{E}(x_0, t) \leq C$$

for some $C > 0$, independent of t . This implies that the principal curvature is bounded from above.

□

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we complete the main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3 show that (3.1) is uniformly parabolic in C^2 norm space. Then, by means of the standard Krylov's regularity theory [28] of uniform parabolic equation, the estimates of higher derivatives can be naturally obtained, it implies that the long-time existence and regularity of the solution of (3.1). Moreover, there exists a uniformly positive constant C , independent of t , such that

$$\|h\|_{C_{x,t}^{i,j}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times [0, \infty))} \leq C \quad (6.1)$$

for each pairs of nonnegative integers i and j .

With the aid of Arzelà-Ascoli theorem and a diagonal argument, we can extract a subsequence $\{t_s\} \subset (0, \infty)$ such that there exists a smooth function $\tilde{h}(x)$ satisfying

$$\|h(x, t_k) - \tilde{h}(x)\|_{C^i(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \rightarrow 0 \quad (6.2)$$

for each nonnegative integer i as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Observe that the problem $\frac{1}{f}G\varphi\sigma_k = 1$ does not have any variational structure, so we may expect the convergence of solutions for all initial hypersurfaces. Following the similar lines in [5], utilizing the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, as computed in (5.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \left(\frac{P}{h} - 1 \right) - \Theta \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_{ij} \left(\frac{P}{h} - 1 \right) \\ &= -\frac{P}{h} \left(k + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot X}{G} \right) + \frac{P^2}{h^2} \left(k + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{(\nabla G \cdot h\nu)}{G} \right) + 2 \frac{\Theta}{h} \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_i h \nabla_j \left(\frac{P}{h} - 1 \right) \\ &= \left(k + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot X}{G} \right) \left(\frac{P}{h} - 1 \right) \frac{P}{h} + 2 \frac{\Theta}{h} \sigma_k^{ij} \nabla_i h \nabla_j \left(\frac{P}{h} - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Since $k + \frac{\varphi' h}{\varphi} + \frac{\nabla G \cdot X}{G} < 0$, choosing the initial hypersurface M_0 satisfying $(\frac{P}{h} - 1)_{M_0} > 0$, using (6.3), one see that the positivity of $\frac{P}{h} - 1$ is preserved along the flow, so we obtain

$$\partial_t h = P - h > 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (6.4)$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$C \geq h(x, t) - h(x, 0) = \int_0^t (P - h)(x, t) dt. \quad (6.5)$$

This implies that

$$\int_0^\infty (P - h)(x, t) dt \leq C.$$

Then there exists a subsequence of $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(P - h)(x, t_k) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Namely,

$$\left(\varphi(h(x, t_k))G(X_{t_k})\sigma_k(x, t_k)h(x, t_k)\frac{1}{f(x)} - h(x, t_k) \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Passing to the limit, we obtain

$$\varphi(\tilde{h}(x))G(\nabla\tilde{h})\tilde{\sigma}_k(x)\frac{1}{f(x)} = 1.$$

Thus the hypersurface with support function $\tilde{h}(x)$ is our desired solution to

$$\varphi(h(x))G(\nabla h)\sigma_k(x)\frac{1}{f(x)} = 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Similarly, Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2, 5.4 reveal that (6.1) holds. We are in a position to establish the functional (see also [27]) corresponding to (3.1) with $k = n - 1$ as

$$J(t) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{\varphi(s)} ds \right) f(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left(\int_0^t G(s, u) s^{n-1} ds \right) du. \quad (6.6)$$

Under the flow (3.1), the monotonicity of $J(t)$ is showed as in the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dJ(t)}{dt} &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{f(x)}{\varphi(h)} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} dx - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} G(\rho, u) \rho^{n-1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} du \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{f(x)}{\varphi(h)} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} dx - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} G(\rho, u) \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \frac{\rho^n}{h} du \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \left(\frac{f(x)}{\varphi(h)} - G(\rho, u) \sigma_{n-1}(x, t) \right) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{(G\sigma_{n-1}(x, t)h\frac{1}{f} - h)^2}{\frac{1}{f}\varphi h} dx \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Using (6.7) and Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, there is

$$C \geq J(0) - J(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{(G\varphi\sigma_{n-1}(x, t)h\frac{1}{f} - h)^2}{\frac{1}{f}\varphi h} dx dt, \quad (6.8)$$

where C is a positive constant, independent of t . This implies that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{(G\varphi\sigma_{n-1}(x, t)h\frac{1}{f} - h)^2}{\frac{1}{f}\varphi h} dx dt \leq C, \quad (6.9)$$

Then, there exists a subsequence of $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{(G\varphi\sigma_{n-1}(x, t_k)h\frac{1}{f} - h)^2}{\frac{1}{f}\varphi h(x, t_k)} dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t_k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.10)$$

Taking a limit, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{(G(\nabla\tilde{h})\varphi(\tilde{h})\tilde{\sigma}_{n-1}(x)\tilde{h}\frac{1}{f} - \tilde{h})^2}{\frac{1}{f}\varphi\tilde{h}(x)} dx = 0. \quad (6.11)$$

This illustrates that

$$\frac{1}{f(x)}G(\nabla\tilde{h})\varphi(\tilde{h})\tilde{\sigma}_{n-1}(x) = 1.$$

7. THE UNIQUENESS OF THE SOLUTION

Observe that, for general φ and G , there is no uniqueness result for solution to (1.2). In what follows, we shall give a special uniqueness result for (1.2).

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose $G(y) = G(|y|)$. If*

$$\varphi(ms_1)G(ms_2) \leq \varphi(s_1)G(s_2)m^{-k} \quad (7.1)$$

holds for some positive $s_1, s_2, m \geq 1$. Then the solution to the equation

$$\frac{1}{f(x)}\sigma_k(x)\varphi(h)G(\nabla h) = 1 \quad (7.2)$$

is unique. Here $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, k is an integer.

Proof. Assume h_1 and h_2 be two solutions of equation (7.2). To prove $h_1 = h_2$, on the one hand, we take by contradiction, with satisfying $\max \frac{h_1}{h_2} > 1$. Suppose $\frac{h_1}{h_2}$ achieves its maximum at $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. It follows $h_1(x_0) > h_2(x_0)$. Let $\Lambda = \log \frac{h_1}{h_2}$. So, at x_0 , one has

$$0 = \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\Lambda = \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h_1}{h_1} - \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h_2}{h_2}, \quad (7.3)$$

and applying (7.3), there is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2\Lambda \\ &= \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2h_1}{h_1} - \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h_1 \otimes \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h_1}{h_1^2} - \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2h_2}{h_2} + \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h_2 \otimes \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h_2}{h_2^2} \\ &= \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2h_1}{h_1} - \frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2h_2}{h_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

Since h_1 and h_2 are solutions of equation (7.2), using (7.2) and (7.4), at x_0 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \frac{\sigma_k(\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2h_2 + h_2I)G(|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h_2 + h_2x|)\varphi(h_2)}{\sigma_k(\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2h_2 + h_1I)G(|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h_1 + h_1x|)\varphi(h_1)} \\ &= \frac{h_2^k\sigma_k\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2h_2}{h_2} + I\right)G\left(h_2\sqrt{\left|\frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h_2}{h_2}\right|^2 + 1}\right)\varphi(h_2)}{h_1^k\sigma_k\left(\frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}^2h_1}{h_1} + I\right)G\left(h_1\sqrt{\left|\frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h_1}{h_1}\right|^2 + 1}\right)\varphi(h_1)} \\ &\geq \frac{h_2^kG\left(h_2\sqrt{\left|\frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h_1}{h_1}\right|^2 + 1}\right)\varphi(h_2)}{h_1^kG\left(h_2\sqrt{\left|\frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}h_1}{h_1}\right|^2 + 1}\right)\varphi(h_1)} \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

Set $h_2(x_0) = \delta h_1(x_0)$ and $s = h_1 \left(\sqrt{\left| \frac{\nabla_{S^{n-1}} h_1}{h_1} \right|^2 + 1} \right) (x_0)$. Then

$$\frac{\delta^k G(\delta s) \varphi(h_2)}{G(s) \varphi(h_1)} \leq 1,$$

i.e,

$$G(\delta s) \varphi(\delta h_1) \leq G(s) \varphi(h_1) \delta^{-k}.$$

In light of the assumption in Theorem 7.1, $\delta \geq 1$, it implies that $h_1(x_0) \leq h_2(x_0)$, which is a contradiction. This reveals

$$\max \frac{h_1}{h_2} \leq 1. \tag{7.6}$$

On the other hand, interchanging the role of h_1 and h_2 , applying the same argument as above, we have

$$\max \frac{h_2}{h_1} \leq 1. \tag{7.7}$$

Combining (7.6) and (7.7), this illustrates that $h_1 = h_2$. So, we complete the proof.

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express sincere gratitude to their supervisor Prof. Yong Huang for his encouragement and constant guidance.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Andrews, Contraction of convex hypersurfaces in Euclidean space, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **2** (1994), no. 2, 151–171.
- [2] B. Andrews, Gauss curvature flow: the fate of the rolling stones, *Invent. Math.* **138** (1999), no. 1, 151–161.
- [3] B. Andrews, J. McCoy and Y. Zheng, Contracting convex hypersurfaces by curvature, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **47** (2013), no. 3-4, 611–665.
- [4] P. Bryan, M. N. Ivaki and J. Scheuer, Orlicz-Minkowski flows, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **60** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 41, 25 pp.
- [5] P. Bryan, M. N. Ivaki and J. Scheuer, Parabolic approaches to curvature equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **203** (2021), Paper No. 112174, 24 pp.
- [6] S. Brendle, K. Choi and P. Daskalopoulos, Asymptotic behavior of flows by powers of the Gaussian curvature, *Acta Math.* **219** (2017), no. 1, 1–16.
- [7] C. Chen, Y. Huang and Y. Zhao, Smooth solutions to the L_p dual Minkowski problem, *Math. Ann.* **373** (2019), no. 3-4, 953–976.
- [8] H. Chen and Q.-R. Li, The L_p dual Minkowski problem and related parabolic flows, *J. Funct. Anal.* **281** (2021), no. 8, Paper No. 109139, 65 pp.
- [9] L. Chen et al., Existence of smooth even solutions to the dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem, *J. Geom. Anal.* **32** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 40, 25 pp.
- [10] K.-S. Chou and X.-J. Wang, A logarithmic Gauss curvature flow and the Minkowski problem, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **17** (2000), no. 6, 733–751.
- [11] B. Chow, Deforming convex hypersurfaces by the n th root of the Gaussian curvature, *J. Differential Geom.* **22** (1985), no. 1, 117–138.
- [12] B. Chow and D.-H. Tsai, Expansion of convex hypersurfaces by nonhomogeneous functions of curvature, *Asian J. Math.* **1** (1997), no. 4, 769–784.

- [13] W. J. Firey, Shapes of worn stones, *Mathematika* **21** (1974), 1–11.
- [14] R. J. Gardner, *Geometric tomography*, second edition, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, 58, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006.
- [15] C. Gerhardt, Non-scale-invariant inverse curvature flows in Euclidean space, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **49** (2014), no. 1-2, 471–489.
- [16] P. Guan, *Topics in Geometric Fully Nonlinear Equations*.
- [17] P. Guan and C. Xia, L^p Christoffel-Minkowski problem: the case $1 < p < k + 1$, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **57** (2018), no. 2, Paper No. 69, 23 pp.
- [18] C. Hu, X.-N. Ma and C. Shen, On the Christoffel-Minkowski problem of Firey’s p -sum, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **21** (2004), no. 2, 137–155.
- [19] Y. Huang, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, Geometric measures in the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory and their associated Minkowski problems, *Acta Math.* **216** (2016), no. 2, 325–388.
- [20] G. Huisken, Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres, *J. Differential Geom.* **20** (1984), no. 1, 237–266.
- [21] B. Li, H. Ju and Y. Liu, A flow method for a generalization of L_p Christoffel-Minkowski problem, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **21** (2022), no. 3, 785–796.
- [22] M. N. Ivaki, Deforming a hypersurface by principal radii of curvature and support function, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **58** (2019), no. 1, Paper No. 1, 18 pp.
- [23] D. Jerison, Prescribing harmonic measure on convex domains, *Invent. Math.* **105** (1991), no. 2, 375–400.
- [24] H. Ju, B. Li and Y. Liu, Deforming a convex hypersurface by anisotropic curvature flows, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **21** (2021), no. 1, 155–166.
- [25] Q.-R. Li, W. Sheng and X.-J. Wang, Flow by Gauss curvature to the Aleksandrov and dual Minkowski problems, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **22** (2020), no. 3, 893–923.
- [26] Q.-R. Li, W. Sheng and X.-J. Wang, Asymptotic convergence for a class of fully nonlinear curvature flows, *J. Geom. Anal.* **30** (2020), no. 1, 834–860.
- [27] Y. Liu and J. Lu, A flow method for the dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **373** (2020), no. 8, 5833–5853.
- [28] N. V. Krylov, *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of the second order*, translated from the Russian by P. L. Buzytsky [P. L. Buzytskiĭ], *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*, 7, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987.
- [29] W. Sheng and C. Yi, A class of anisotropic expanding curvature flows, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **40** (2020), no. 4, 2017–2035.
- [30] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, second expanded edition, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [31] J. I. E. Urbas, An expansion of convex hypersurfaces, *J. Differential Geom.* **33** (1991), no. 1, 91–125.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HUNAN UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, 410082, HUNAN PROVINCE, CHINA

Email address: hujinrong@hnu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HUNAN UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, 410082, HUNAN PROVINCE, CHINA

Email address: liujiaqian@hnu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HUNAN UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, 410082, HUNAN PROVINCE, CHINA

Email address: madi@hnu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HUNAN UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, 410082, HUNAN PROVINCE, CHINA

Email address: wangjingz@hnu.edu.cn