

# WEAK RAMANUJAN PROPERTY OF THE STANDARD NON-UNIFORM ARITHMETIC QUOTIENT OF $PGL_4$

SOONKI HONG AND SANGHOON KWON

ABSTRACT. Let  $F$  be a field of formal series over a finite field and  $\mathcal{B}_d$  be the affine building associated to  $PGL_d(F)$ . Given a lattice  $\Gamma$  in  $PGL_d(F)$ , the complex arising as a quotient  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$  is called weakly Ramanujan if every non-trivial discrete simultaneous spectrum of the colored adjacency operators  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{d-1}$  acting on  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d)$  is contained in the simultaneous spectrum of those operators acting on  $L^2(\mathcal{B}_d)$ . In this paper, we prove that the standard non-uniform arithmetic quotient  $PGL_4(\mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{B}_4$  of  $PGL_4(F)$  is weakly Ramanujan.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. A brief summary to the paper	5
2. Preliminaries: Buildings and colored adjacency operators	6
3. $PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q[t])$ quotient of the building $\mathcal{B}_4$	8
4. Calculation of natural weights	10
5. Simultaneous eigenfunctions of the weighted adjacency operators	16
6. Automorphic spectrum of the weighted adjacency operators	18
6.1. From the condition (A) to the condition (B)	18
6.2. From the condition (B), passing through (C) to the condition (D)	21
6.3. From the condition (D) to the condition (A)	25
Appendix A. Simultaneous eigenfunctions via recurrence relation	27
Appendix B. The proof of Lemma 6.3	32
References	36

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A finite  $k$ -regular graph  $X$  is called a *Ramanujan graph* if for every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of the adjacency matrix  $A_X$  of  $X$  satisfies either  $\lambda = \pm k$  or  $|\lambda| \leq 2\sqrt{k-1}$ . An eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is called trivial if  $\lambda = \pm k$ . Since the interval  $[-2\sqrt{k-1}, 2\sqrt{k-1}]$  is equal to the spectrum of the adjacency operator of  $k$ -regular tree  $\mathcal{T}_k$ , we note that

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05E45, 20G25; Secondary 47A25, 20E42.

a finite  $k$ -regular graph  $X$  is Ramanujan if and only if every non-trivial spectrum of  $A_X$  is contained in the spectrum of the adjacency operator  $A$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{T}_k)$ .

Ramanujan graphs can be constructed as quotients of the Bruhat-Tits tree associated to  $PGL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  by congruence subgroups of uniform lattices of  $PGL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  (see [LPS]), using Ramanujan conjecture for classical modular forms. More examples were given by Morgenstern [Mo1], replacing  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  by  $\mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1}))$ . One significant difference between  $PGL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$  is that  $PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$  has a non-uniform lattice  $\Gamma = PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q[t])$ . For congruence subgroups  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$ , the quotient graphs are infinite but the edges and vertices come with suitable weights  $w$  so that the total volume associated to the weight is finite. Under these weights on vertices and edges, the adjacency operator  $A$  on the  $(q+1)$ -regular tree  $\mathcal{T}_{q+1}$  induces the weighted adjacency operator  $A_X$  on the quotient  $X = \Lambda \backslash \mathcal{T}_{q+1}$ .

In [Mo2], the author defined *Ramanujan diagrams* as weighted objects that satisfies the similar bound for non-trivial spectrum of  $A_X$ . A *diagram* is a pair  $(X, w)$  where  $X$  is a bipartite graph and  $w: VX \cup EX \rightarrow \{\frac{1}{n}: n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is the *weight function* satisfying

$$\sum_{v \in VX} w(v) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{w(u)}{w(e)}, \frac{w(v)}{w(e)} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } e = (u, v) \in EX.$$

Let  $f, g$  be functions on  $VX$  and set

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{v \in VX} f(v) \overline{g(v)} w(v)$$

which defines  $L_w^2(X)$ , the space of functions  $f$  for which  $\langle f, f \rangle < \infty$ , as a Hilbert space. A diagram  $(X, w)$  is called a Ramanujan diagram if every non-trivial spectrum of the weight adjacency operator  $A_X$  on  $L_w^2(X)$  is contained in the interval  $[-2\sqrt{q}, 2\sqrt{q}]$ . For example, the diagram  $(PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{q+1}, w)$  with the weights

$$w(v) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_v|} \quad \text{and} \quad w(e) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_e|}$$

is a Ramanujan diagram since the adjacency operator on  $L_w^2(PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{q+1})$  has discrete spectrum  $\pm(q+1)$  and continuous spectrum  $[-2\sqrt{q}, 2\sqrt{q}]$  (see Figure 1).



FIGURE 1. Spectrum of  $A_X$  on  $L_w^2(PGL(2, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{q+1})$

The authors in [CSZ] suggested a generalization of the notion of Ramanujan graphs to the simplicial complexes. They considered simplicial complexes obtained as finite quotients of the Bruhat-Tits building  $\mathcal{B}_d$  associated to  $PGL(d, F)$  for a non-Archimedean local field  $F$ . The *colored adjacency operator*  $A_j: L^2(\mathcal{B}_d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{B}_d)$  is

defined for  $f \in L^2(\mathcal{B}_d)$  by

$$A_j f(x) = \sum_{\substack{y \sim x \\ \tau(y) = \tau(x) + j}} f(y),$$

where  $y \sim x$  implies that there is an edge between  $y$  and  $x$  in  $\mathcal{B}_d$  and  $\tau: \mathcal{B}_d^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  is a color function (see Section 2 for the precise definition). Let  $\mathcal{S}^d$  be the simultaneous spectrum of the colored adjacency operators  $(A_1, \dots, A_{d-1})$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{B}_d)$ , which may be computed explicitly as a subset of  $\mathbb{C}^{d-1}$  (Theorem 2.11 of [LSV1], see also [Ma]). In fact, if the cardinality of the residue field of  $F$  is  $q$ , then  $\mathcal{S}^d$  is equal to the set  $\sigma(\mathcal{S})$  for

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_d) : |z_1| = \dots = |z_d| = 1 \text{ and } z_1 z_2 \dots z_d = 1\}$$

and the map  $\sigma: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$  given by  $(z_1, \dots, z_d) \mapsto (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{d-1})$  where

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda_k = q^{\frac{k(d-k)}{2}} \sigma_k(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d).$$

A finite complex  $X$  arising as a quotient of  $\mathcal{B}_d$  is called *Ramanujan* if every non-trivial automorphic spectrum  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{d-1})$  of  $A_{X,j}$  acting on  $L^2(X)$  is contained in the simultaneous spectrum  $\mathcal{S}^d$  of  $A_j$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{B}_d)$ . In [LSV1], [LSV2], [Li] and [Sar], the authors constructed higher dimensional Ramanujan complexes arising as finite quotients of  $PGL(d, F)$ .

In [Sam], the author investigated non-uniform Ramanujan quotients of the Bruhat-Tits building  $\mathcal{B}_d$  associated to  $PGL(d, \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$ , generalizing the concept of finite Ramanujan complexes. She proved using the representation-theoretic argument that if  $d > 2$ , then for  $G = PGL(d, \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$ ,  $\Gamma = PGL(d, \mathbb{F}_q[t])$  and the Bruhat-Tits building  $\mathcal{B}_d$  of  $G$ , the quotient  $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$  is not Ramanujan for any finite index subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$ . In fact, the Ramanujan conjecture in positive characteristic for  $PGL_d$  for  $d > 2$ , achieved by Lafforgue, gives bounds on the cuspidal spectrum, but the other parts of the spectrum do not satisfy the same bounds as the cuspidal spectrum. See Figure 2 for the spectrum of  $A_1$  on  $PGL(3, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{B}_3$ , obtained in [HK].

From a representation-theoretic perspective, one may consider the property of the complex  $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$  being weakly Ramanujan. Given a lattice  $\Gamma$  in  $PGL_d(\mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$ , the simplicial complex arising as the quotient  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$  is called *weakly Ramanujan* if every non-trivial *discrete* simultaneous spectrum of the colored adjacency operators  $A_{w,1}, A_{w,2}, \dots, A_{w,d-1}$  with natural weights acting on  $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d)$  is contained in the simultaneous spectrum  $\mathcal{S}^d$  of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{d-1}$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{B}_d)$ . For every prime  $d$  with  $d \geq 3$ , it is known that  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$  is weakly Ramanujan for every congruence subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $PGL_d(\mathbb{F}_q[t])$ . On the other hand, when  $d$  is not a prime, there are infinitely many non-uniform quotients  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$  which are not weakly Ramanujan.




FIGURE 2. Spectrum of  $A_1$  on  $L_w^2(PGL(3, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \setminus \mathcal{B}_3)$

In this paper, we explore the simplest non-prime case. We provide a combinatorial characterization of the automorphic spectrum of the natural weighted adjacency operator on the non-uniform simplicial complex  $PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q[t]) \setminus \mathcal{B}_4$  and prove that it is indeed weakly Ramanujan.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The standard non-uniform arithmetic quotient  $PGL_4(\mathbb{F}_q[t]) \setminus \mathcal{B}_4$  of the building for  $PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$  is weakly Ramanujan.*

The following theorem describes the automorphic simultaneous spectrum of  $A_{w,i}$ , which yields Theorem 1.1. We recall that the parametrization of  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  is given in equation (1.1) by a complex 4-tuple  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  is in the simultaneous spectrum of  $A_i$ , then  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ , up to permutation, belongs to one of the following cases:*

- $(q\sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{q\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}), k = 0, 1, 2, 3; \leftarrow$  trivial spectrum
- $(qe^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta}, e^{-3i\theta}, \frac{1}{q}e^{i\theta}), \theta \in \mathbb{R};$
- $(\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta_1}, \pm\sqrt{q}e^{-i\theta_1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta_1}, \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{-i\theta_1}), \theta_1 \in \mathbb{R};$
- $(\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, e^{-i(\theta_2+2\theta_1)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta_1}), \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R};$
- $(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, e^{i\theta_3}, e^{-i(\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3)}), \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \in \mathbb{R}.$

Theorem 1.2 includes the case that  $z_i = z_j$  for some  $i, j$  except the fourth case.

The parametrization of  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  enable us to compute the simultaneous eigenfunction  $f$  of  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  (see Section 5 and Appendix A). For a given eigenfunction  $f$  of a simultaneous eigenvalue  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ , the function  $(\ell, m, n) \mapsto f(v_{\ell, m, n})$  is expressed as the linear combination of  $z_i^\ell z_j^m z_k^n$ , where  $v_{\ell, m, n}$  is a vertex in  $\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{B}_d$  indexed

by 3-tuple  $(\ell, m, n)$  with  $\ell \geq m \geq n \geq 0$  (see Section 3). The coefficient of  $z_i^\ell z_j^m z_k^n$  can be a polynomial with variables  $\ell, m$  and  $n$ .


Let  $f = f_{\mathbf{z}}$  be the simultaneous eigenfunction of a simultaneous eigenvalue  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  parametrized by  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  through the equation (1.1). The proof of Theorem 1.2 comes from demonstrating the equivalence of following conditions:

- (A) The 3-tuple  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  is contained in the simultaneous spectrum of  $A_{w,i}$ .
- (B) For any  $c > 0$ , we have

$$f(v_{\ell,0,0}) = (q^{(3+c)\ell/2}), f(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) = O(q^{(2+c)\ell}), f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) = O(q^{(3+c)\ell/2}).$$

- (C) The 4-tuple  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  belongs to the one of the cases in Theorem 1.2.
- (D) The coefficient of  $z_i^\ell z_j^m z_k^n$  in  $f(v_{\ell,m,n})$  is zero when  $|z_i^\ell z_j^m z_k^n| > 1$ .

The following diagram summarizes the proof procedure that will appear in Section 6:



In fact, there is no non-trivial discrete automorphic simultaneous spectrum of  $A_{w,i}$  on  $L_w^2(PGL_4(\mathbb{F}_q[t]) \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$ . We remark that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of 4-tuples  $\{|z_1|, |z_2|, |z_3|, |z_4|\}$  and the set of integer partitions of 4 by matching  $(q^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, q^{\frac{n-3}{2}}, \dots, q^{\frac{1-n}{2}})$  to  $n$  as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \left(q\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{q}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}, \frac{1}{q\sqrt{q}}\right) & \longleftrightarrow 4 \\
 \left(q, 1, \frac{1}{q}, q\right) & \longleftrightarrow 3+1 \\
 \left(\sqrt{q}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}, \sqrt{q}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) & \longleftrightarrow 2+2 \\
 \left(\sqrt{q}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}, 1, 1\right) & \longleftrightarrow 2+1+1 \\
 (1, 1, 1, 1) & \longleftrightarrow 1+1+1+1
 \end{array}$$

**1.1. A brief summary to the paper.** Section 2 reviews some notational conventions related to the Bruhat-Tits building for  $PGL(d, F)$  and explains the weighted adjacency operators and their spectrum. We provide an explicit description of the  $PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q[t])$ -quotient of the building  $\mathcal{B}_4$  in Section 3. Section 4 summarizes the calculations of natural weight functions for adjacency operators, based on the cardinality of stabilizers. Theorem 5.1 describes simultaneous eigenfunctions for generic triples  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ . In Section 6, we investigate which  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  are indeed automorphic spectrum. Appendix A contains the proof of Theorem 5.1 and the discussion of non-generic cases. In Appendix B, we prove Lemma 6.3.

*Acknowledgement.* This work is supported by SSTF (Samsung Science and Technology Foundation) Project Number SSTF-BA2101-01 and NRF grant (No. RS-2023-00237811).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES: BUILDINGS AND COLORED ADJACENCY OPERATORS

Let  $F$  be a non-Archimedean local field with a discrete valuation  $\nu$  and  $\mathcal{O}_F$  be the valuation ring of  $F$  with the uniformizer  $\pi$ . Let  $G$  be the projective general linear group  $PGL(d, F)$  over  $F$  given by

$$PGL(d, F) = GL(d, F)/\{\lambda I : \lambda \in F^\times\}$$

and denote by  $W$  the image of the map  $g \rightarrow g\{\lambda I : \lambda \in F^\times\}$  from  $GL(d, \mathcal{O}_F)$  to  $PGL(d, F)$ . Two  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -modules  $L$  and  $L'$  of rank  $d$  are said to be equivalent if  $L = sL'$  for some  $s \in F^\times$ . Each coset  $gW$  in  $G/W$  can be interpreted as an equivalence class of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -lattices, i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -modules of rank  $d$ .

The Bruhat-Tits building  $\mathcal{B}_d$  associated to  $PGL(d, F)$  is the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional contractible simplicial complex constructed as follows. The set of vertices  $\mathcal{B}_d^{(0)}$  is the set of equivalence classes  $[L]$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -lattices. More generally, the set  $\mathcal{B}_d^{(k)}$  of  $k$ -simplices is the set of  $k+1$ -vertices  $[L_0], [L_1], \dots, [L_k]$  satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \pi L'_1 \subset L'_k \subset L'_{k-1} \subset \dots \subset L'_2 \subset L'_1$$

for some  $L'_i \in [L_i]$ . A maximal set of mutually adjacent vertices has cardinality  $d$  and they form a  $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex, called *chamber*. The chambers sharing a fixed vertex correspond bijectively to the complete flags in  $(\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O})^d$ .

The group  $PGL(d, F)$  acts on  $\mathcal{B}_d$  by left translation, preserving  $k$ -dimensional facets for all  $0 \leq k \leq d-1$ . Since the group  $W$  is the stabilizer of the vertex  $[\mathcal{O}_F^d]$ , the set  $\mathcal{B}_d^{(0)}$  of vertices identified with the quotient space  $G/W$  and we may denote by  $[L_g]$  the vertex of  $\mathcal{B}_d$  corresponding to the coset  $gW$ . Each vertex  $[L_g]$  has a *color* given by  $\text{ord}_\pi \det(g) \bmod d$ . Equivalently, the color  $\tau$  from  $\mathcal{B}_d^{(0)}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \tau([L]) := \log_q[\mathcal{O}_F^d : \pi^i L],$$

for sufficiently large positive integer  $i$  satisfying  $\pi^i L \subset \mathcal{O}_F^d$ . Since  $[\pi^i L : \pi^{i+1} L] = d$ , the color of a vertex  $[L]$  does not depend on the choice of a lattice in  $[L]$ . Adjacent vertices have different colors, and in particular, the vertices in a chamber exhaust all possible colors.

Let  $L^2(\mathcal{B}_d)$  be the space of functions  $f$  on  $\mathcal{B}_d$  satisfying

$$\|f\|_2 := \left( \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}_d^{(0)}} |f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

The *colored adjacency operator*  $A_i$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{B}_d)$  is defined for any  $L^2$ -function  $f$  by

$$(2.3) \quad A_i f(x) := \sum_{\substack{y \sim x \\ \tau(y) = \tau(x) + i}} f(y),$$

where  $y \sim x$  implies that  $y$  is a neighborhood  $x$ . These operators are bounded, commutative, and the operators  $A_i$  and  $A_{d-i}$  are the adjoint of each other. Consequently, each  $A_i$  is a normal operator.

Generalizing the idea of [Mo2], the colored adjacency operators with weights are introduced in [Sam]. Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of  $PGL(d, F)$ . Although the color function defined on  $\mathcal{B}_d^{(0)}$  may not be preserved by  $\Gamma$ , the colors defined on the set  $\mathcal{B}_d^{(1)}$  of edges (1-skeletons of  $\mathcal{B}_d$ ) by

$$\tau(x, y) = \tau(x) - \tau(y) \pmod{d}$$

are preserved by  $\Gamma$ . Thus, the operators  $A_i$  defined by (2.3) induce  $A_{X,i}$  on the space of functions on  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$ . If  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathcal{B}_d$  with torsions, the induced operators on the quotient should come with weights involving the cardinality of the stabilizer of the vertices and edges.

Given a simplicial complex  $X$  (not necessarily finite), let  $V = VX$  and  $E = EX$  be the set of vertices and edges of  $X$ , respectively. Denote by  $(u, v)$  the edge connecting  $u$  and  $v$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $w : V \cup E \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is called a *weight function* if  $\frac{w(e)}{w(v)}$  at least 1 for any  $v \in V$  and  $e \in E$  with  $v \in e$ . The function  $\theta(u, v) := \frac{w(e)}{w(u)}$  is called the *entering degree* of  $e = (u, v)$  to  $u$ . The *in-degree* of a vertex  $u$  is defined by  $\text{in-degree}(u) := \sum_{(u,v) \in E} \theta(u, v)$ . A simplicial complex  $X$  with a weight function  $w$  is  $k$ -regular if  $\text{in-degree}(u) = k$  for any  $u \in V$ .

Fix a weight function  $w$ . A measure  $\mu$  on  $V$  is defined by  $\mu(S) = \sum_{v \in S} w(v)$  for any  $S \subset V$ . The measure  $\mu$  allows to define  $L^2$ -norm of a function  $f$  by

$$\|f\|_{2,w} := \left( \sum_{u \in V} |f(u)|^2 w(u) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let  $L_w^2(X)$  be the space of functions  $f$  on  $V$  satisfying  $\|f\|_{2,w} < \infty$ .

**Definition 2.2.** The *weighted adjacency operators*  $A_{w,i}$  on  $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d)$  is defined for any  $f \in L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d)$  by

$$A_{w,i} f(u) := \sum_{\substack{(u,v) \in E \\ \tau(v) = \tau(u) + i}} \frac{w(u, v)}{w(u)} f(v).$$

With respect to the weight  $w$  given by

$$w(v) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_v|} \quad \text{and} \quad w(e) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_e|},$$

the colored adjacency operators  $A_i$  on  $\mathcal{B}_d$  induce the weighted adjacency operators  $A_{w,i}$  on  $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d)$ .

The *simultaneous spectrum*  $\mathcal{S}^d$  of  $A_1, \dots, A_{d-1}$  is the subset of  $d-1$ -tuples  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{d-1})$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{d-1}$  for which there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of  $L^2$ -functions with  $\|f_n\|_2 = 1$  such that for any  $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_i f_n - \lambda_i f_n\|_2 = 0.$$

According to MacDonald [Ma] (or see also Theorem 2.11 of [LSV1]), the spectrum of  $A_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$  on  $\mathcal{B}_d$  is  $q^{i(d-i)}\Omega_{d,i}$  where

$$\Omega_{d,i} = \{\sigma_i(z_1, \dots, z_d) : |z_1| = \dots = |z_d| = 1 \text{ and } z_1 z_2 \cdots z_d = 1\}$$

and  $\sigma_i(z_1, \dots, z_d) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_i \leq d} z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_i}$  is the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial.

### 3. $PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q[t])$ QUOTIENT OF THE BUILDING $\mathcal{B}_4$

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of order  $q$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$  be the ring of polynomials and the field of rational functions over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , respectively. Consider the absolute value  $\|\cdot\|$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$  given for any  $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  by

$$\left\| \frac{f}{g} \right\| := q^{\deg(f) - \deg(g)}.$$

The completion  $F$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  is the field of formal Laurent series in  $t^{-1}$

$$\mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})) := \left\{ \sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} a_n t^{-n} : N \in \mathbb{Z}, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\}$$

and the valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_F$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1}))$  is the subring of power series

$$\mathbb{F}_q[[t^{-1}]] := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^{-n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\}.$$

Let  $G := PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1})))$ ,  $W := PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q[[t^{-1}]])$  and  $\Gamma := PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q[t])$ . In this section, we give an explicit description of the standard fundamental domain for  $\Gamma \backslash G/W$  and the weighted adjacency operators  $A_{w,i}$  on  $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$  induced from the operators  $A_i$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{B}_4)$ .

Let  $x_{\ell, m, n}$  be the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(t^\ell, t^m, t^n, 1)$ . Using Lemma 3.1 and the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [HK], we have the following lemma.



**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $g \in G$ , there exists a unique 3-tuple  $(\ell, m, n)$  of integers with  $\ell \geq m \geq n \geq 0$  such that*

$$g \in \Gamma x_{\ell, m, n} W.$$

*Proof.* We note that every  $g \in G$  may be written by

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{\ell_0} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & t^{m_0} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & t^{n_0} & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{k_0} \end{pmatrix} w$$

for some  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1}))$ , matrix  $w \in W$  and non-negative integers  $\ell_0, m_0, n_0, k_0$ . By multiplying suitable  $\gamma$  on the left if necessary, we may assume that  $\ell_0 \geq m_0 \geq n_0 \geq k_0$ .

By similar argument of the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [HK], we may find either a pair  $(\gamma_1, w_1) \in \Gamma \times W$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{\ell_0} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & t^{m_0} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & t^{n_0} & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{k_0} \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_1 \begin{pmatrix} t^{\ell_1} & 0 & \star & \star \\ 0 & t^{m_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t^{n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{k_1} \end{pmatrix} w_1$$

or a pair  $(\gamma_2, w_2) \in \Gamma \times W$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{\ell_0} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & t^{m_0} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & t^{n_0} & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{k_0} \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_2 \begin{pmatrix} t^{\ell_2} & 0 & 0 & \star \\ 0 & t^{m_2} & 0 & \star \\ 0 & 0 & t^{n_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^{k_2} \end{pmatrix} w_2$$

for some  $\ell_i \geq m_i \geq n_i \geq k_i$ . In both cases, by applying Lemma 3.2 in *loc. cit.* to the suitable  $3 \times 3$  block, we may find  $\gamma$  and  $w$  for which each case reduces to

$$\gamma \begin{pmatrix} t^{\ell} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t^m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^k \end{pmatrix} w.$$

This completes the proof. □

Let  $v_{\ell, m, n}$  be the vertex of the quotient complex  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_d$  corresponds to  $\Gamma x_{\ell, m, n} W$ . By definition of the color (see equation 2.2), we have

$$\tau(v_{\ell, m, n}) = \ell + m + n \pmod{4}$$

for every  $v_{\ell, m, n} \in \mathcal{B}_d^{(0)}$ .

Let us denote by  $V_{\ell, m, n}$  the set of all vertices adjacent to  $v_{\ell, m, n}$  and let

$$V_{\ell, m, n}^i = \{v \in V_{\ell, m, n} : \tau(v) = \tau(v_{\ell, m, n}) + i\}.$$

Using (2.1) and Lemma 3.1, we have the following Figure 3 and Table 1, which present a part of a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4$  and describes the neighborhoods of vertices, respectively.




FIGURE 3. A fundamental domain for  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4$

#### 4. CALCULATION OF NATURAL WEIGHTS

Let  $\Gamma_{\ell,m,n}$  be the stabilizer of a vertex  $x_{\ell,m,n}W$  in  $\mathcal{B}_4$ . We consider the weight function  $w$  by

$$w(v_{\ell,m,n}) := \frac{(q-1)^3 q^6}{|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n}|} \text{ and } w(e) := \frac{(q-1)^3 q^6}{|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell',m',n'}|},$$

where  $e = (v_{\ell,m,n}, v_{\ell',m',n'})$  and  $|S|$  is the cardinality of a set  $S$ . Note that the numerator constant does not affect the space  $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$  or the operators  $A_{w,i}$ . In this section, we compute the values of the weight function  $w$ .

	$i = 1$	$i = 2$	$i = 3$
$V_{0,0,0}^i$	$v_{1,0,0}$	$v_{1,1,0}$	$v_{1,1,1}$
$V_{\ell,0,0}^i$	$v_{\ell,1,0}, v_{\ell+1,0,0}$	$v_{\ell,1,1}, v_{\ell+1,1,0}$	$v_{\ell-1,0,0}, v_{\ell+1,1,1}$
$V_{\ell,\ell,0}^i$	$v_{\ell,\ell,1}, v_{\ell+1,\ell,0}$	$v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0}, v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,0}, v_{\ell+1,\ell,1}$	$v_{\ell,\ell-1,0}, v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,1}$
$V_{\ell,\ell,\ell}^i$	$v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,\ell-1}, v_{\ell+1,\ell,\ell}$	$v_{\ell,\ell-1,\ell-1}, v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,\ell}$	$v_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}, v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,\ell+1}$
$V_{\ell,m,0}^i$	$v_{\ell,m,1}, v_{\ell,m+1,0},$ $v_{\ell+1,m,0}$	$v_{\ell-1,m-1,0}, v_{\ell,m+1,1},$ $v_{\ell+1,m,1}, v_{\ell+1,m+1,0}$	$v_{\ell-1,m,0}, v_{\ell,m-1,0},$ $v_{\ell+1,m+1,1}$
$V_{\ell,m,m}^i$	$v_{\ell-1,m-1,m-1}, v_{\ell,m+1,m},$ $v_{\ell+1,m,m}$	$v_{\ell-1,m,m-1}, v_{\ell,m-1,m-1},$ $v_{\ell,m+1,m+1}, v_{\ell+1,m+1,m}$	$v_{\ell-1,m,m}, v_{\ell,m,m-1},$ $v_{\ell+1,m+1,m+1}$
$V_{\ell,\ell,m}^i$	$v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m-1}, v_{\ell,\ell,m+1},$ $v_{\ell+1,\ell,m}$	$v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m}, v_{\ell,\ell-1,m-1},$ $v_{\ell+1,\ell,m+1}, v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,m}$	$v_{\ell,\ell-1,m}, v_{\ell,\ell,m-1},$ $v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,m+1}$
$V_{\ell,m,n}^i$	$v_{\ell-1,m-1,n-1}, v_{\ell,m,n+1},$ $v_{\ell,m+1,n}, v_{\ell+1,m,n}$	$v_{\ell-1,m-1,n}, v_{\ell-1,m,n-1},$ $v_{\ell,m-1,n-1}, v_{\ell,m+1,n+1}$ $v_{\ell+1,m,n+1}, v_{\ell+1,m+1,n}$	$v_{\ell-1,m,n}, v_{\ell,m-1,n},$ $v_{\ell,m,n-1}, v_{\ell+1,m+1,n+1}$

TABLE 1. The neighborhoods of the vertices

**Lemma 4.1.** *The cardinality of  $\Gamma_{0,0,0}$  is  $(q-1)^3(q^3+q^2+q+1)(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^6$ . For any  $m > 0$ ,*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\ell,0,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^{3\ell+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)^2q^{4\ell+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell}| &= (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^{3\ell+6}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\gamma x_{\ell,m,n} W = x_{\ell,m,n} W$  if and only if  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\ell,m,n}$ , we have

$$\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : x_{\ell,m,n}^{-1} \gamma x_{\ell,m,n} \in W\}.$$

Obviously,  $\Gamma_{0,0,0} = \Gamma \cap PGL(4, \mathcal{O}_F) = PGL(4, \mathbb{F}_q)$  and

$$|\Gamma_{0,0,0}| = \frac{(q^4-1)(q^4-q)(q^4-q^2)(q^4-q^3)}{q-1} = (q-1)^3(q^3+q^2+q+1)(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^6.$$

Let  $P^n(t)$  be the space of polynomials of degree less and equal to  $n$ . For any  $m > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\ell,0,0} &= \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{21}=a_{31}=a_{41}=0, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{14} \in P^\ell(t), \\ a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{24}, a_{32}, a_{33}, a_{34}, a_{42}, a_{43}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\} \\ \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} &= \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{31}=a_{32}=a_{41}=a_{42}=0, a_{13}, a_{14}, a_{23}, a_{24} \in P^\ell(t) \\ a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{34}, a_{43}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \\ \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell} &= \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{41}=a_{42}=a_{43}=0, a_{14}, a_{24}, a_{34} \in P^\ell(t), \\ a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{31}, a_{32}, a_{33}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since for any  $A \in \Gamma_{\ell,0,0}$ ,  $a_{11}$  is nonzero and the vectors  $(a_{22}, a_{32}, a_{42})$ ,  $(a_{23}, a_{33}, a_{43})$  and  $(a_{24}, a_{34}, a_{44})$  are linearly independent,

$$|\Gamma_{\ell,0,0}| = \frac{(q-1)(q^3-1)(q^3-q)(q^3-q^2)q^{3\ell+3}}{q-1} = (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^{3\ell+6}.$$

Similarly,  $|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell}| = (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^{3\ell+6}$ .

For any  $A \in \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0}$ , two matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}$  are invertible. Using this,

$$|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0}| = \frac{(q^2-1)^2(q^2-q)^2q^{4\ell+4}}{q-1} = (q-1)^3(q+1)^2q^{4\ell+6}.$$

□

**Lemma 4.2.** *For any  $\ell > m > 0$ ,*

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\ell,m,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,m,m}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{4\ell-m+6}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\ell,m,0} &= \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{21}=a_{31}=a_{32}=a_{41}=a_{42}=0, a_{12} \in P^{\ell-m}(t), a_{13}, a_{14} \in P^\ell(t), \\ a_{23}, a_{24} \in P^m(t), a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{34}, a_{43}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\} \\ \Gamma_{\ell,m,m} &= \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{21}=a_{31}=a_{41}=a_{42}=a_{43}=0, a_{12}, a_{13} \in P^{\ell-m}(t), a_{14} \in P^\ell(t), \\ a_{24}, a_{34} \in P^m(t), a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{32}, a_{33}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\} \\ \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} &= \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{31}=a_{32}=a_{41}=a_{42}=a_{43}=0, a_{13}, a_{23} \in P^{\ell-m}(t), \\ a_{14}, a_{24} \in P^\ell(t), a_{34} \in P^m(t), a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}. \end{aligned}$$

Following the method in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we have (4.2). □

**Lemma 4.3.** *For any  $\ell > m > n > 0$ ,*

$$(4.3) \quad |\Gamma_{\ell,m,n}| = (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m-n+6}$$

*Proof.* Since

$$\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} = \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma : \begin{array}{l} a_{21}=a_{31}=a_{32}=a_{41}=a_{42}=a_{43}=0, a_{12} \in P^{\ell-m}(t), \\ a_{13} \in P^{\ell-n}(t), a_{14} \in P^\ell(t), a_{23} \in P^{m-n}, a_{24} \in P^m(t), a_{34} \in P^n(t), \\ a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{44} \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} / \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\},$$

the equation (4.3) holds. □

**Lemma 4.4.** *For any  $f \in L_w^2(X)$ ,*

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{w,1}f(v_{0,0,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{1,0,0}) \\ A_{w,2}f(v_{0,0,0}) &= (q^4 + q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{1,1,0}) \\ A_{w,3}f(v_{0,0,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{1,1,1}) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It follows from the proof of Lemma 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{0,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{1,0,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^6 \\ |\Gamma_{0,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{1,1,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)^2q^6 \\ |\Gamma_{0,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{1,1,1}| &= (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^6. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\frac{w(v_{0,0,0}, v_{1,0,0})}{w(v_{0,0,0})} = q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$ ,  $\frac{w(v_{0,0,0}, v_{1,1,0})}{w(v_{0,0,0})} = q^4 + q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1$  and  $\frac{w(v_{0,0,0}, v_{1,1,1})}{w(v_{0,0,0})} = q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$ . Thus we have (4.4).  $\square$

For any  $\ell > 0$ , we have the following lemmata.

**Lemma 4.5.** *For any  $f \in L_w^2(X)$  and  $\ell > 0$ ,*

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,0,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell,1,0}) + f(v_{\ell+1,0,0}) \\ A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,0,0}) &= (q^4 + q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,1,1}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell+1,1,0}) \\ A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,0,0}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,0,0}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell+1,1,1}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It follows from the proof of Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\ell,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,1,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+5} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,1,1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+4} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,0,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^{3\ell+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,1,0}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,0,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,1,1}| = (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+6}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.4, we have (4.5).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *For any  $f \in L_w^2(X)$  and  $\ell > 0$ ,*

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,\ell,1}) + (q+1)f(v_{\ell+1,\ell,0}) \\ A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) &= q^4f(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0}) + q(q+1)^2f(v_{\ell+1,\ell,1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,0}) \\ A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,\ell-1,0}) + (q+1)f(v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,1}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell-1,0}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,1}| = (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{4\ell+4} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell,0}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell+1,1}| = (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{4\ell+6} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell,1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{4\ell+5} \\ |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell+1,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)^2q^{4\ell+6}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.4, we have (4.6).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *For any  $f \in L_w^2(X)$  and  $\ell > 0$ ,*

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,\ell-1}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell+1,\ell,\ell}) \\ A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) &= (q^4 + q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,\ell-1,\ell-1}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,\ell}) \\ A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,\ell+1}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell-1,\ell-1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell-1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+5} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell,\ell}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell+1,\ell}| = (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+6} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,\ell} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell+1,\ell+1}| &= (q-1)^3(q^2+q+1)(q+1)q^{3\ell+6}.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows (4.7). □

For any  $\ell > m > 0$ , we have the following lemmata.

**Lemma 4.8.** *For any  $f \in L_w^2(X)$  and  $\ell > m$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \quad A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,m,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,m,1}) + qf(v_{\ell,m+1,0}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m,0}) \\
A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,m,0}) &= q^4f(v_{\ell-1,m-1,0}) + (q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,m+1,1}) \\
&\quad + (q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell+1,m,1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m+1,0}) \\
A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,m,0}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,m,0}) + q^2f(v_{\ell,m-1,0}) + (q+1)f(v_{\ell+1,m+1,1}).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m,1}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m+1,1}| = (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m+1,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m+5} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m,0}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m+1,0}| = (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m+6} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,m-1,0}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m+2} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m,1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m+5} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,0} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m+1,1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m+6}.
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.8). □

**Lemma 4.9.** *For any  $f \in L_w^2(X)$  and  $\ell > m$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.9) \quad A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,m,m}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,m-1,m-1}) + (q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell,m+1,m}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m,m}) \\
A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,m,m}) &= (q^4 + q^3)f(v_{\ell-1,m,m-1}) + q^2f(v_{\ell,m-1,m-1}) \\
&\quad + q^2f(v_{\ell,m+1,m+1}) + (q+1)f(v_{\ell+1,m+1,m}) \\
A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,m,m}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,m,m}) + (q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell,m,m-1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m+1,m+1})
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,m-1,m-1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+3} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,m,m-1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+3} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,m,m}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+3} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m-1,m-1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m,m-1}| &= |\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m+1,m}| = (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+5} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m,m}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+6} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m+1,m}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+6}.
\end{aligned}$$

which yields (4.9). □

**Lemma 4.10.** For any  $f \in L_w^2(X)$  and  $\ell > m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.10) \quad A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,\ell,m}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m-1}) + q^2f(v_{\ell,\ell,m+1}) + (q+1)f(v_{\ell+1,\ell,m}) \\
A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,\ell,m}) &= q^4f(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m}) + (q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,\ell-1,m-1}) \\
&\quad + (q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell+1,\ell,m+1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,m}) \\
A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,\ell,m}) &= (q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,\ell-1,m}) + qf(v_{\ell,\ell,m-1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,\ell+1,m+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m-1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{4\ell-m+3} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{4\ell-m+2} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell-1,m-1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{4\ell-m+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell-1,m}| &= (q-1)^3q^{4\ell-m+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m+1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{4\ell-m+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell,m}| &= (q-1)^3q^{4\ell-m+6} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,\ell,m} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,\ell,m+1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{4\ell-m+5}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this, we have (4.10). □

For any  $\ell > m > n > 0$ , we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.11.** For any  $f \in L_w^2(X)$  and  $\ell > m > n > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{w,1}f(v_{\ell,m,n}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,m-1,n-1}) + q^2f(v_{\ell,m,n+1}) + qf(v_{\ell,m+1,n}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m,n}) \\
A_{w,2}f(v_{\ell,m,n}) &= q^4f(v_{\ell-1,m-1,n}) + q^3f(v_{\ell-1,m,n-1}) + q^2f(v_{\ell,m-1,n-1}) \\
&\quad + q^2f(v_{\ell,m+1,n+1}) + qf(v_{\ell+1,m,n+1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m+1,n}) \\
A_{w,3}f(v_{\ell,m,n}) &= q^3f(v_{\ell-1,m,n}) + q^2f(v_{\ell,m-1,n}) + qf(v_{\ell,m,n-1}) + f(v_{\ell+1,m+1,n+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m-1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m-n+3} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,m-1,n}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m-n+2} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell-1,m,n-1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m-n+3} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m-1,n-1}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m-n+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m,n+1}| &= (q-1)^3(q+1)q^{3\ell+m-n+4} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell,m+1,n}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m-n+5} \\
|\Gamma_{\ell,m,n} \cap \Gamma_{\ell+1,m,n}| &= (q-1)^3q^{3\ell+m-n+6}.
\end{aligned}$$

which yields the Lemma.  $\square$

## 5. SIMULTANEOUS EIGENFUNCTIONS OF THE WEIGHTED ADJACENCY OPERATORS

In this section, we summarize the results about simultaneous eigenfunctions of the weighted adjacency operators  $A_{w,1}, A_{w,2}, A_{w,3}$  in the space of arbitrary functions on  $\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{B}_4^{(0)}$ . Detailed calculation will be presented in Appendix A. Among the simultaneous eigenvalues  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ , the only tuples for which the corresponding eigenfunction  $f$  satisfies Condition (B) can be contained in the automorphic spectrum (see Section 6).

By Lemma 4.5, the eigenfunction  $f$  of the simultaneous eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  of  $A_{w,i}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 f(v_{\ell+1,0,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell+1,1,0}) + f(v_{\ell+2,0,0}) \\
\lambda_2 f(v_{\ell,0,0}) &= (q^4 + q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,1,1}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell+1,1,0}) \\
\lambda_3 f(v_{\ell-1,0,0}) &= q^3 f(v_{\ell-2,0,0}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell,1,1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $a_{\ell,m,n} = f(v_{\ell,m,n})/q^{\ell+m+n}$ . Using the above equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{-1}\lambda_1 a_{\ell+1,0,0} &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{\ell+1,1,0} + a_{\ell+2,0,0} \\
q^{-1}\lambda_2 a_{\ell,0,0} &= (q^5 + q^4 + q^3)a_{\ell,1,1} + (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{\ell+1,1,0} \\
\lambda_3 a_{\ell-1,0,0} &= q^2 a_{\ell-2,0,0} + (q^5 + q^4 + q^3)a_{\ell,1,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(5.1) \quad a_{\ell+2,0,0} - q^{-1}\lambda_1 a_{\ell+1,0,0} + q^{-1}\lambda_2 a_{\ell,0,0} - \lambda_3 a_{\ell-1,0,0} + q^2 a_{\ell-2,0,0} = 0.$$

Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  be the solution of the characteristic equation (5.1). The 4-tuple  $\mathbf{z}$  satisfies

$$(5.2) \quad \lambda_1 = q\sqrt{q}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z}), \quad \lambda_2 = q^2\sigma_2(\mathbf{z}), \quad \lambda_3 = q\sqrt{q}\sigma_3(\mathbf{z}) \quad \sigma_4(\mathbf{z}) = 1,$$

where

$$\sigma_k(z_1, \dots, z_d) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d} z_{i_1} z_{i_2} \cdots z_{i_k}$$



is the  $k$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial. Since  $A_{w,i}^* = A_{w,4-i}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , every automorphic simultaneous eigenvalue  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  is parametrized by  $\mathbf{z}$  in the set

$$S = \{\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : \overline{\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})} = \sigma_3(\mathbf{z}), \sigma_2(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}, z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 1\}.$$

and the eigenfunction  $f = f_{\mathbf{z}}$  is also indexed by  $\mathbf{z}$ .

We assume  $z_i \neq z_j$  for any  $i, j$  in this section. From this, we obtain the general formula of  $a_{\ell,0,0}$ . Similarly,  $a_{\ell,m,0}$  satisfies the following equation

$$a_{\ell+2,m+1,0} + qa_{\ell+1,m+2,0} - \frac{\lambda_1}{q}a_{\ell+1,m+1,0} + \frac{\lambda_3}{q}a_{\ell,m,0} - qa_{\ell-1,m,0} - a_{\ell,m-1,0} = 0,$$

which again yields the formula of  $a_{\ell,m,0}$  for any  $\ell \geq m > 0$ .

Similarly, for any  $\ell \geq m \geq n > 0$ , we have

$$\lambda_1 a_{\ell,m,n} = a_{\ell-1,m-1,n-1} + q^3 a_{\ell,m,n+1} + q^2 a_{\ell,m+1,n} + qa_{\ell+1,m,n}.$$

This enables us to find  $a_{\ell,m,n}$  and hence the simultaneous eigenfunction  $f$ . It requires Lemmata in Section 4 and complicated calculations to obtain the above equations. For more detail, we refer to see Appendix A.

To express the eigenfunctions, we introduce constants  $C_{ijk}$  as follows. Let

$$D = (q+1)(q^2+q+1)(q^3+q^2+q+1)$$

and

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{(z_i - qz_k)(z_i - qz_j)(z_i - qz_\ell)(z_j - qz_k)(z_j - qz_\ell)(z_k - qz_\ell)}{D(z_i - z_k)(z_i - z_j)(z_i - z_\ell)(z_j - z_k)(z_j - z_\ell)(z_k - z_\ell)}.$$

For example,

$$C_{123} = \frac{(z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - qz_3)(z_1 - qz_4)(z_2 - qz_3)(z_2 - qz_4)(z_3 - qz_4)}{D(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_3)(z_1 - z_4)(z_2 - z_3)(z_2 - z_4)(z_3 - z_4)}.$$

The main result of this section is:

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  be an element of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  indexed by the equation (5.2) with respect to  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in S$ . If  $f = f_{\mathbf{z}}$  is the corresponding simultaneous eigenfunction satisfying  $A_{w,i}f = \lambda_i f$ , then*

$$f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,m,n}) = \sum_{\tau \in S_4} C_{\tau(1)\tau(2)\tau(3)} \sqrt{q}^{3\ell+m-n} z_{\tau(1)}^\ell z_{\tau(2)}^m z_{\tau(3)}^n.$$

Rigorous proof of the above theorem will be given in Appendix A. Appendix A also deals with the case when the characteristic equation (5.1) has repeated roots.

## 6. AUTOMORPHIC SPECTRUM OF THE WEIGHTED ADJACENCY OPARTORS

In this section, we investigate the automorphic spectrum of the weighted adjacency operators  $A_{w,1}, A_{w,2}, A_{w,3}$  on  $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$ . We will prove that there are no discrete spectrum except trivial eigenvalues, and present all the simultaneous automorphic spectrum of  $A_{w,i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

We recall that the set  $\mathcal{S}^4$  is the subset of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  containing  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  given by (5.2) for  $|z_1| = |z_2| = |z_3| = |z_4| = z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 1$ .

Meanwhile,  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  is in the automorphic simultaneous spectrum of  $A_{w,1}, A_{w,2}, A_{w,3}$  on  $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of  $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$  with  $\|f_n\|_{2,w} = 1$  such that for any  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_{w,i} f_n - \lambda_i f_n\|_{2,w} = 0.$$

**6.1. From the condition (A) to the condition (B).** In this section, we show that the eigenfunction  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  indexed by a 4-tuple  $\mathbf{z}$  of the form  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  satisfies the condition (B) whenever the condition (A) holds. Since the condition (B) holds when  $|z_i| = 1$  for any  $i$  and  $z_i \neq z_j$  for any  $i, j$ , it is enough to consider the case when  $|z_i| > 1$  for some  $i$ .

The following lemma reduces the number of possibilities that we need to consider the cases when  $|z_i| > 1$  for some  $i$  and  $z_j = z_k$  for some  $j, k$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *If a point  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in S$  satisfies  $|z_1| = |z_2| = |z_3|$ , then  $|z_i| = 1$  for any  $i$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $z_i = a e^{i\theta_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $z_4 = \frac{1}{a^3} e^{-i(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)}$ . Since  $\overline{\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})} = \sigma_3(\mathbf{z})$ ,

$$\left( a - \frac{1}{a} \right) \left| e^{-i\theta_1} + e^{-i\theta_2} + e^{-i\theta} \right| = \left( a^3 - \frac{1}{a^3} \right).$$

This holds only if  $a = 1$  and  $e^{-i\theta_1} = e^{-i\theta_2} = e^{-i\theta_3}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

By Lemma 6.1, to prove Lemma 6.2, it is enough to consider either the cases when  $|z_1| > |z_2|$  or when  $|z_1| = |z_2|$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  be a point in  $S$  with  $z_i \neq z_j$  for any  $i, j$ ,  $|z_1| > 1$  and  $|z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq |z_3| \geq |z_4|$ . If  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  satisfies the condition (A), then the condition (B) holds.*

*Proof.* By assumption, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $h \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$  with  $\|h\|_{2,w} = 1$  such that for any  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , the function

$$\delta_i(v_{\ell,m,n}) := A_{w,i} h(v_{\ell,m,n}) - \lambda_i h(v_{\ell,m,n})$$

satisfies  $\|\delta_i\|_{2,w} < \epsilon$ . Let  $f$  be a simultaneous eigenfunction corresponding to  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  with  $a := f(v_{0,0,0}) = h(v_{0,0,0})$ . Then we have the following lemma, of which proof will appear in Appendix B.

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  be a point in  $S$  with  $|z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq |z_3| \geq |z_4|$  and  $|z_1| > 1$ . Let  $C_1, C_2,$  and  $C_3$  be the sum of the coefficients of terms with the same absolute value as  $|z_1|^\ell, |z_1 z_2|^\ell$  and  $|z_1 z_2 z_3|^\ell$  in  $f(v_{\ell,0,0}), f(v_{\ell,\ell,0})$  and  $f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})$ , respectively. Let  $m(z_1)$  be the multiplicity of  $\sqrt{q}z_1$  in the equation (5.1). For sufficiently small  $\epsilon, c > 0$ , we have*

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \frac{h(v_{\ell,0,0})}{q^{((3+c)\ell/2)}} \right| &\geq (|C_1| - C\epsilon \ell^{m(z_1)-1}) \left| \frac{z_1}{q^{c/2}} \right|^\ell - o(|z_1|^\ell) \\ \left| \frac{h(v_{\ell,\ell,0})}{q^{(2+c)\ell}} \right| &\geq (|C_2| - C\epsilon) \left| \frac{z_1 z_2}{q^c} \right|^\ell - o(|z_1 z_2|^\ell) \\ \left| \frac{h(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})}{q^{(3\ell+c)/2}} \right| &\geq (|C_3| - C\epsilon \ell^{m(z_1)-1}) \left| \frac{z_1 z_2 z_3}{q^{c/2}} \right|^\ell - o(|z_1 z_2 z_3|^\ell) \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $\|\delta_i\|_{2,w} < \epsilon$  and  $A_i$  is nonzero.

Let  $C_{ijk}$  be the constant in Theorem 5.1. If  $z_i = qz_j$ , then the constants  $C_{i**}, C_{ki*}$  and  $C_{kli}$  are zero when  $k, l \neq j$ . In this case,  $\mathbf{z}$  satisfies that the condition (B) holds if and only if the absolute values of all remaining terms  $z_i^\ell, z_i^\ell z_j^\ell$  and  $z_i^\ell z_j^\ell z_k^\ell$  in  $\frac{f(v_{\ell,0,0})}{q^{3\ell/2}}, \frac{f(v_{\ell,\ell,0})}{q^{2\ell}}$  and  $\frac{f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})}{q^{3\ell/2}}$  are at most 1, respectively.

We confirm that either  $C_i$  is nonzero for certain  $i$ , or that the condition (B) holds in each case, except when  $z_i = qz_4$  for some  $i$ . Then Lemma 6.3 shows that if  $C_i$  is nonzero for some  $i$ , either  $\frac{h(v_{\ell,0,0})}{q^{3\ell/2}}, \frac{h(v_{\ell,\ell,0})}{q^{2\ell}}$  or  $\frac{h(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})}{q^{3\ell/2}}$  diverges as  $\ell$  goes to infinity. This contradicts to the fact that  $h$  is  $L^2$ . In the cases when  $z_i = qz_4$  for some  $i$ , we will investigate whether either  $\frac{h(v_{\ell,0,0})}{q^{3\ell/2}}, \frac{h(v_{\ell,\ell,0})}{q^{2\ell}}$  or  $\frac{h(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})}{q^{3\ell/2}}$  diverges, or if the condition (B) holds.

Case 1.  $|z_1| > |z_2|$ : Suppose that  $z_1 \neq qz_i$  for any  $i$ . By assumption,  $|z_1| > 1$  and the coefficient  $C_1 = A_{1,0,0}$  is nonzero, where  $A_{1,0,0}$  is the constant in Appendix A.

Suppose that  $z_1 = qz_2$ .

- If  $z_2 = qz_3 = q^2 z_4$ , then the condition (B) holds since  $C_{432}$  is the only nonzero constant and  $|z_4|, |z_3 z_4|, |z_2 z_3 z_4| < 1$ .
- If  $z_2 = qz_3$  and  $z_3 \neq qz_4$ ,  $C_3 = aC_{321}$  is nonzero.
- Suppose that  $z_2 = qz_4$ . Then  $C_{421}$  is nonzero. By (B.4), we have

$$\left| \frac{h(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})}{q^{3\ell/2}} \right| \geq \left| \sum_i \frac{d_{\ell-i}}{q^{\ell-i}} X_{124} \left( \frac{z_1 z_2 z_3}{z_1 z_2 z_4} \right)^i + aC_{421} \right| |z_1 z_2 z_4|^\ell - o(|z_1 z_2 z_4|^\ell).$$

This shows that if  $|z_2| > 1$ , then  $\frac{h(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})}{q^{3\ell/2}}$  diverges. Since  $C_{342}, C_{421}, C_{423}$  and  $C_{432}$  are nonzero and  $z_2^3 z_3 = 1$ , the condition (B) holds if  $|z_2| = 1$ .

- If  $z_2 \neq qz_3$  and  $z_2 \neq qz_4$ , then  $C_2 = aC_{213} + aC_{214}$  is nonzero.

Suppose that  $z_1 = qz_3$  and  $z_3 \neq qz_4$ . The coefficient  $C_3 = aC_{231} + aC_{312} + aC_{321}$  is nonzero.

Suppose that  $z_1 = qz_3 = q^2z_4$  or  $z_1 = qz_4$ . Then the sum  $C_{234} + C_{241} + C_{243}$  is nonzero. By (B.3), we have

$$\left| \frac{h(v_{\ell,0,0})}{q^{3\ell/2}} \right| \geq \left| \sum_i^{\ell} \frac{c_{\ell-i}}{q^{\ell-i}} X_1 \left( \frac{z_1}{z_2} \right)^i + aC_{234} + aC_{241} + aC_{243} \right| |z_2|^\ell - o(|z_2|^\ell).$$

This implies that  $\frac{h(v_{\ell,0,0})}{q^{3\ell/2}}$  diverges when  $|z_2| > 1$ . If  $|z_2| = 1$ , then the condition (B) holds since  $C_{1ij}$ ,  $C_{21i}$ ,  $C_{231}$ ,  $C_{31j}$  and  $C_{321}$  are zero and the absolute values of the remaining terms are at most 1.

Case 2.  $|z_1| = |z_2|$ : Suppose that  $z_i \neq qz_j$  for any  $i, j$ , then

$$C_2 = aC_{123} + aC_{124} + aC_{213} + aC_{214}$$

is nonzero.

Suppose that  $z_1 = qz_3$ .

- If  $z_2 = qz_4$ , then  $C_{ijk}$  are all zero except  $C_{314}$ ,  $C_{341}$ ,  $C_{342}$ ,  $C_{423}$ ,  $C_{431}$  and  $C_{432}$ . The condition (B) holds since the absolute values of the remaining terms are at most 1.
- If  $z_3 = qz_4$ ,  $C_1 = aC_{243}$  since  $|z_1| = |z_2|$ .
- If  $z_2 \neq qz_4$  and  $z_3 \neq qz_4$ ,  $C_3 = aC_{231} + aC_{312} + aC_{321}$  is nonzero.

Suppose that  $z_1 = qz_4$ .

- If  $z_2 = qz_3$ , then  $C_{324}$ ,  $C_{341}$ ,  $C_{342}$ ,  $C_{413}$ ,  $C_{431}$  and  $C_{432}$  are nonzero and the remaining coefficients are zero. The condition (B) holds since the absolute values of the remaining terms are at most 1.
- If  $z_2 \neq qz_3$ ,  $C_1 = aC_{234} + aC_{241} + aC_{243}$  is nonzero.

Suppose that  $z_1 \neq qz_3$  and  $z_1 \neq qz_4$ .

- If  $z_2 = qz_3$ , then  $C_1 = aC_{132} + aC_{134} + aC_{143}$  is nonzero.
- If  $z_2 = qz_4$ , then  $C_1 = aC_{134} + aC_{142} + aC_{143}$  is nonzero.
- If  $z_2 \neq qz_3$ ,  $z_2 \neq qz_4$  and  $z_3 = qz_4$ , then  $C_2 = aC_{124} + aC_{214}$  is nonzero.

Thus we have Lemma 6.1. □

By Lemma 6.1, the following lemmata deal with the all possible cases in which the characteristic equation (5.1) has repeated roots and  $|z_i| > 1$  for some  $i$ . In the proof of lemmata, the polynomials  $D_{ijk}$  and  $F_{ijk}$  are from Proposition A.2 and Proposition A.3, respectively.

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  be a point in  $S$  with  $z_1 = z_2$ . If  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  satisfies the condition (A), then the condition (B) holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $h$  and  $f$  be the functions in the proof of Lemma 6.2 and  $a = h(v_{0,0,0})$ . Let  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  be the coefficients in Lemma 6.3. The proof is analogous to the

proof of Lemma 6.2. As an application of Lemma 6.3, we will verify that either  $C_i$  is nonzero for some  $i$  or the condition (B) holds in each case.

Case 1.  $|z_1| > |z_3|$  and  $|z_1| > |z_4|$ : Suppose that  $z_1 \neq qz_i$  for any  $i$ . Then the polynomial  $C_2 = aD_{113}(\ell, \ell, 0) + aD_{114}(\ell, \ell, 0)$  is nonzero.

Suppose that  $z_1 = qz_3$  or  $z_1 = qz_4$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $z_1 = z_2 = q\alpha e^{i\theta}$ ,  $z_3 = \alpha e^{i\theta}$  and  $z_4 = \frac{1}{q^2\alpha^3}e^{-3i\theta}$  for some  $\theta$  and  $\alpha > 0$  with  $q\alpha > 1$ . Since  $\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})$  is real,  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Using this and the equation  $\overline{\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})} = \sigma_3(\mathbf{z})$ , we have  $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}$ . Then  $z_1 = z_2 = qz_3 = qz_4$ . The polynomials  $F_{331}$  and  $F_{313}$  are nonzero. Then the condition (B) holds since the absolute values of the remaining terms are at most 1.

Case 2.  $|z_3| > |z_1|$ : In this case,  $z_3$  corresponds to  $z_1$  in Lemma 6.3. Suppose that  $z_3 \neq qz_i$  for any  $i$ . Then the polynomial

$$C_1 = aD_{311}(\ell, 0, 0) + aD_{314}(\ell, 0, 0) + aD_{341}(\ell, 0, 0)$$

is nonzero.

Suppose that  $z_3 = qz_1$ . Let  $z_3 = q\alpha e^{i\theta}$ ,  $z_1 = \alpha e^{i\theta}$  and  $z_4 = \frac{1}{q\alpha^3}e^{-3i\theta}$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  with  $\alpha > \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}$ . Since  $\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})$  is real,  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}k$ . This and the equation  $\overline{\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})} = \sigma_3(\mathbf{z})$  imply that  $\alpha = 1$ . The polynomials  $D_{141}$ ,  $D_{411}$  and  $D_{413}$  is nonzero.

Suppose that  $z_3 = qz_4$ . Let  $z_3 = q\alpha e^{i\theta}$ ,  $z_4 = \alpha e^{i\theta}$  and  $z_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}\alpha}e^{-i\theta}$ . The equation  $\overline{\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})} = \sigma_3(\mathbf{z})$  holds only if  $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}$ . The nonzero polynomials are  $D_{114}$ ,  $D_{141}$ ,  $D_{143}$ ,  $D_{411}$ ,  $D_{413}$  and  $D_{431}$ .

In the case when  $z_3 = qz_1$  or  $z_3 = qz_4$ , the absolute values of remaining terms are at most 1. This implies that the condition (B) holds. Thus we have Lemma 6.4.  $\square$

**6.2. From the condition (B), passing through (C) to the condition (D).** Theorem 6.5 and Theorem 6.8 describe the complement of  $\mathcal{S}^4$  in the simultaneous spectrum and allow us to show that if the simultaneous eigenfunction  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  satisfies the condition (B), then the condition (C) holds. In the process of the proof of Theorem 6.5 and Theorem 6.8, we establish that condition (C) implies condition (D).

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  be a point in  $S$  satisfying  $|z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq |z_3| \geq |z_4|$  and  $z_i \neq z_j$  for any  $i \neq j$ . If the simultaneous eigenfunction  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  satisfies the condition (B), then the condition (C) holds.*

*Proof.* If  $|z_1| = 1$ , we have  $\mathbf{z} = (e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, e^{i\theta_3}, e^{-i(\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3)})$ . The rest part of the proof follows from two below lemmata.

**Lemma 6.6.** *Suppose that  $z_i \neq z_j$  for any  $i, j$ ,  $|z_1| > 1$  and  $|z_1| > |z_2| \geq |z_3| \geq |z_4|$ . Suppose that the simultaneous eigenfunction  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  satisfies the condition (B). The 4-tuple  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ , up to permutation, belongs to one of the following cases:*

- $(q\sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{q\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}), k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\},$
- $(qe^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta}, e^{-3i\theta}, \frac{1}{q}e^{i\theta})$  with  $e^{4i\theta} \neq 1,$  or
- $(\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}, e^{-i(\phi+2\theta)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta})$  with  $e^{i\phi} \neq e^{-i\theta}.$

The 4-tuple  $\mathbf{z}$  belonging to the cases above satisfies the condition (D).

*Proof.* As we mentioned in the proof of Lemma 6.2, to satisfy the condition (B), the coefficient of  $z_i^\ell, z_i^\ell z_j^\ell$  and  $z_i^\ell z_j^\ell z_k^\ell$  in  $\frac{f(v_{\ell,0,0})}{q^{3\ell/2}}, \frac{f(v_{\ell,\ell,0})}{q^{2\ell}}$  and  $\frac{f(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})}{q^{3\ell/2}}$  need to be zero whenever  $|z_i|, |z_i z_j|, |z_i z_j z_k| > 1$  for some  $i, j, k.$  Thus the coefficient  $C_1$  of  $z_1^\ell$  in  $\frac{f(v_{\ell,0,0})}{q^{3\ell/2}}$  need to be zero. Hence, it follows that either  $z_1 = qz_2, z_1 = qz_3$  or  $z_1 = qz_4$  holds.

Case 1.  $z_1 = qz_2$ : In this case,  $C_{1ij}, C_{31i}, C_{341}, C_{41i}$  and  $C_{431}$  are zero. Since  $|z_1 z_2 z_3| = |z_4|^{-1} > 1,$  the condition (B) does not hold when  $C_{213} + C_{231} + C_{321} \neq 0.$  The numerator of  $C_{213} + C_{231} + C_{321}$  is of the form

$$(z_1 - qz_4)(z_2 - qz_1)(z_2 - qz_4)(z_3 - qz_4)(z_3 - qz_2)(z_2 - z_3)(q^2 + q + 1).$$

Under the assumption,  $(z_1 - qz_4), (z_2 - qz_1), (z_3 - qz_2)$  and  $(z_2 - z_3)$  are nonzero. Thus  $C_{213} + C_{231} + C_{321} = 0$  if and only if  $z_2 = qz_4$  or  $z_3 = qz_4.$

If  $z_2 = qz_4,$  then  $C_{342}, C_{421}, C_{423}$  and  $C_{432}$  are nonzero. In this case, if either  $|z_3| > 1$  or  $|z_3| = |z_1 z_2 z_4|^{-1} < 1,$  the condition (B) does not hold. Thus, we have  $|z_3| = 1$  and

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \left( qe^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta}, e^{-3i\theta}, \frac{1}{q}e^{i\theta} \right).$$

Such a 4-tuple  $\mathbf{z}$  satisfies

$$|z_3^\ell z_4^m z_2^n|, |z_4^\ell z_2^m z_1^n|, |z_4^\ell z_2^m z_3^n|, |z_4^\ell z_3^m z_2^n| \leq 1$$

for every  $\ell \geq m \geq n \geq 0.$  Hence,  $\mathbf{z}$  satisfies the condition (D).

Now let us consider the case  $z_3 = qz_4.$  The coefficients  $C_{214}, C_{241}, C_{243}, C_{421}, C_{423}$  and  $C_{432}$  are remaining. Since  $z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 1, |z_2 z_4| = \frac{1}{q} \geq |z_3 z_4|.$  This implies that  $|z_1 z_2| > 1$  and  $C_{214}$  needs to be zero. This is possible if and only if  $z_2 = qz_3,$  so we have

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \left( q\sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \sqrt{q}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i}, \frac{1}{q\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{k\pi}{2}i} \right).$$

Since  $f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,m,n}) = C_{432} z_4^\ell z_3^m z_2^n,$  it follows that  $f_{\mathbf{z}} \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4).$  The 4-tuple  $\mathbf{z}$  satisfies the condition (D).

Case 2.  $z_1 = qz_3$ : In this case,  $C_{1ij}, C_{21i}, C_{241}, C_{41i}$  and  $C_{421}$  are zero. Similar to Case 1,  $C_{231} + C_{312} + C_{321}$  needs to be zero. The numerator of  $C_{231} + C_{312} + C_{321}$  is of the form

$$(z_1 - qz_4)(z_2 - qz_4)(z_3 - qz_4)(z_3 - qz_1)(z_2 - qz_3)(z_2 - z_3)(q^2 + q + 1).$$

Under the assumption, either  $z_3 = qz_4$  or  $z_2 = qz_4$  should hold. If  $z_2 = qz_4$ , then it is of the form  $(q^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}e^{i\theta}, q^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}e^{-i\theta}, q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}e^{i\theta}, q^{-\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}e^{-i\theta})$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . We may exclude this case since  $C_{314} \neq 0$  while  $|z_1 z_3| > 1$ .

Hence, it follows that  $z_1 = qz_3 = q^2 z_4$ . Following the method in the case when  $z_1 = qz_2 = q^2 z_4$ , we have  $\mathbf{z} = (qe^{i\theta}, e^{-3i\theta}, e^{i\theta}, \frac{1}{q}e^{i\theta})$ . The only nonzero coefficients are  $C_{243}$ ,  $C_{423}$ ,  $C_{431}$  and  $C_{432}$ . The 4-tuple  $\mathbf{z}$  satisfies the condition (B) since for any  $\ell \geq m \geq n$ ,

$$|z_2^\ell z_4^m z_3^n|, |z_4^\ell z_2^m z_3^n|, |z_4^\ell z_3^m z_1^n|, |z_4^\ell z_3^m z_2^n| \leq 1.$$

Case 3.  $z_1 = qz_4$ : In this case,  $C_{1ij}$ ,  $C_{213}$ ,  $C_{214}$ ,  $C_{231}$ ,  $C_{312}$ ,  $C_{314}$  and  $C_{321}$  are equal to zero and the remaining coefficients are nonzero. Since  $C_{234} + C_{241} + C_{243}$  and  $C_{241} + C_{412} + C_{421}$  are nonzero, if either  $|z_2| > 1$  or  $|z_3| = |z_1 z_2 z_4|^{-1} < 1$ , the condition (B) does not hold. Hence we have  $|z_2| = |z_3| = 1$ . This yields

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \left( \sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}, e^{-i(2\theta+\phi)}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta} \right).$$

For any  $\ell \geq m \geq n \geq 0$ , the inequality  $|z_i^\ell z_j^m z_k^n| \leq 1$  holds whenever  $C_{ijk} \neq 0$ . We complete the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.7.** *Suppose that  $z_i \neq z_j$  for any  $i \neq j$ ,  $|z_1| > 1$  and  $|z_1| = |z_2| \geq |z_3| \geq |z_4|$ . Suppose that the simultaneous eigenfunction  $f_z$  satisfies the condition (B). The 3-tuple  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ , up to permutation, is of the form*

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, \pm\sqrt{q}e^{-i\theta}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta}, \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{-i\theta})$$

with  $e^{i\theta} \neq \pm e^{-i\theta}$ . The 4-tuple  $\mathbf{z}$  belonging to the cases above satisfies the condition (D).

*Proof.* By assumption, the coefficient  $C_{123} + C_{124} + C_{213} + C_{214}$  of  $z_1^\ell z_2^\ell$  in  $\frac{f(v_{\ell,\ell,0})}{q^{2\ell}}$  needs to be zero. Since the numerator of  $C_{123} + C_{124} + C_{213} + C_{214}$  is of the form

$$(q+1)^2(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - qz_3)(z_1 - qz_4)(z_2 - qz_3)(z_2 - qz_4)(z_3 - z_4),$$

either  $z_1 = qz_3$ ,  $z_1 = qz_4$ ,  $z_2 = qz_3$  or  $z_2 = qz_4$  holds. Let us consider the case that  $z_1 = qz_3$ . Since  $|z_2| > 1$ ,  $C_{231} + C_{234} + C_{243}$ , of which the numerator is of the form

$$(z_2 - qz_1)(z_2 - qz_3)(z_2 - qz_4)(z_3 - qz_1)(z_4 - qz_3)(z_4 - z_3)(q^2 + q + 1),$$

needs to be zero. Thus we have  $z_2 = qz_4$  and

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \left( \sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, \pm\sqrt{q}e^{-i\theta}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta}, \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{-i\theta} \right)$$

with  $e^{2i\theta} \neq \pm 1$ . The coefficients  $C_{314}$ ,  $C_{341}$ ,  $C_{342}$ ,  $C_{423}$ ,  $C_{431}$  and  $C_{432}$  are nonzero and the rest coefficients are zero. For any  $\ell \geq m \geq n$ , the terms satisfy that

$$|z_3^\ell z_1^m z_4^n|, |z_3^\ell z_4^m z_1^n|, |z_3^\ell z_4^m z_2^n|, |z_4^\ell z_2^m z_3^n|, |z_4^\ell z_3^m z_1^n|, |z_4^\ell z_3^m z_2^n| \leq 1.$$

In the case when  $z_1 = qz_4$ , we have  $z_2 = qz_3$  and

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \left( \sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, \pm\sqrt{q}e^{-i\theta}, \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{-i\theta}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta} \right)$$

with  $e^{2i\theta} \neq \pm 1$ . Thus  $\mathbf{z}$  satisfies the condition (D).  $\square$

By Lemma 6.1, Lemma 6.6 and Lemma 6.7, we have Theorem 6.5.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.8.** *Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  be a point in  $S$  with  $z_i = z_j$  for some  $i, j$ ,  $|z_1| > 1$  and  $|z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq |z_3| \geq |z_4|$ . If the simultaneous eigenfunction  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  satisfies the condition (B), then the condition (C) holds.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from two below lemmata.

**Lemma 6.9.** *Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  be a point in  $S$  with  $|z_k| > 1$  for some  $k$  and  $z_i \neq z_j$  except for  $z_1 = z_2$ . Suppose that the simultaneous eigenfunction  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  satisfies the condition (B). The 4-tuple  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  is of the form*

- $\left( e^{\frac{\pi k}{2}i}, e^{\frac{\pi k}{2}i}, qe^{\frac{\pi k}{2}i}, \frac{1}{q}e^{\frac{\pi k}{2}i} \right)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,
- $\left( e^{-i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}, \sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta} \right)$ .

The 4-tuple  $\mathbf{z}$  belonging to the cases above satisfies the condition (D).

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to Lemma 6.6 and Lemma 6.7. The eigenfunction  $f$  of a simultaneous eigenvalue  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  is given in Proposition A.2. We consider two cases that  $|z_1|$  is the maximal modulus or not.

Case 1.  $|z_1| > |z_3|$  and  $|z_1| > |z_4|$ : The coefficient  $C_2$  of  $z_1^{2\ell}$  in  $\frac{f(v_{\ell, \ell, 0})}{q^{2\ell}}$  needs to be zero. The numerator of  $C_2 = D_{113}(\ell, \ell, 0) + D_{114}(\ell, \ell, 0)$  is of the form

$$-(q+1)^2(z_1 - qz_3)^2(z_1 - qz_4)^2(z_3 - z_4).$$

Thus  $C_2 = 0$  only if  $z_1 = qz_3$  or  $z_1 = qz_4$ . As in the proof of Lemma 6.4, we have  $z_1 = z_2 = qz_3 = qz_4$ . It does not fulfill the assumption that  $z_3 \neq z_4$ .

Case 2.  $|z_3| > |z_1|$ : The coefficient  $C_1$  of  $z_3^\ell$  in  $\frac{f(v_{\ell, 0, 0})}{q^{3\ell/2}}$  needs to be zero. The numerator of  $C_1 = D_{311}(\ell, 0, 0) + D_{314}(\ell, 0, 0) + D_{341}(\ell, 0, 0)$  is equal to

$$-(z_3 - qz_1)^2(z_3 - qz_4)(z_1 - z_4)^2(q^2 + q + 1).$$

It follows that either  $z_3 = qz_1$  or  $z_3 = qz_4$  holds.

Suppose that  $z_3 = qz_1$ . In the proof of Lemma 6.4, we have  $z_3 = qz_1 = q^2z_4$ . Thus the tuple  $\mathbf{z}$  is of the form

$$\mathbf{z} = \left( e^{\frac{\pi k}{2}i}, e^{\frac{\pi k}{2}i}, qe^{\frac{\pi k}{2}i}, \frac{1}{q}e^{\frac{\pi k}{2}i} \right) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$



The polynomials  $D_{141}$ ,  $D_{411}$  and  $D_{413}$  is nonzero and the remaining polynomials are zero. Since for any  $\ell \geq m \geq n \geq 0$ ,

$$|z_1^{\ell+n} z_4^m|, |z_4^\ell z_1^{m+n}|, |z_4^\ell z_1^m z_3^n| \leq 1,$$

the 4-tuple  $\mathbf{z}$  satisfies the condition (D).

Suppose that  $z_3 = qz_4$ . In the proof of Lemma 6.4, we have  $|z_1| = 1$ . This shows that  $\mathbf{z}$  is of the form

$$\mathbf{z} = \left( e^{-i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}, \sqrt{q}e^{i\theta}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{i\theta} \right).$$

For any  $\ell \geq m \geq n \geq 0$ ,

$$|z_1^{\ell+m} z_4^n|, |z_1^{\ell+n} z_4^m|, |z_1^\ell z_4^m z_3^n|, |z_4^\ell z_1^{m+n}|, |z_4^\ell z_1^m z_3^n|, |z_4^\ell z_3^m z_1^n| \leq 1.$$

Thus the condition (D) holds. This completes proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.10.** *Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  be a point in  $S$  with  $z_1 = z_2$ ,  $z_3 = z_4$  and  $|z_1| > |z_3|$ . Suppose that the simultaneous eigenfunction  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  satisfies the condition (B). The 4-tuple  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  is of the form*

$$\left( \sqrt{q}e^{\frac{\pi}{2}ki}, \sqrt{q}e^{\frac{\pi}{2}ki}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{\pi}{2}ki}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}e^{\frac{\pi}{2}ki} \right) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

and satisfies the condition (D).

*Proof.* Similarly, if  $F_{113}$  is nonzero, the condition (B) does not hold. The polynomial  $F_{113}$  is zero only if  $z_1 = qz_3$ . In this case,  $F_{313}$  and  $F_{331}$  are the nonzero polynomials and we have  $|z_3^{\ell+n} z_1^m|, |z_3^{\ell+m} z_1^n| \leq 1$  for any  $\ell \geq m \geq n \geq 0$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{z}$  satisfies condition (D).  $\square$

Using Lemma 6.9 and Lemma 6.10, we complete the proof.  $\square$

**6.3. From the condition (D) to the condition (A).** Proposition 6.11, Corollary 6.12 and Corollary 6.13 enable to show that if the simultaneous eigenfunction  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  indexed by 4-tuple  $\mathbf{z}$  satisfies the condition (D), the condition (A) holds.

**Proposition 6.11.** *Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  be a point in  $S$  for which  $z_i \neq z_j$  for every  $i \neq j$ . If the eigenfunction  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  satisfies the condition (D), the condition (A) holds.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $|z_i^\ell z_j^m z_k^n| < 1$  for any  $i, j, k$  with  $C_{ijk} \neq 0$ . Then it is not difficult to see that  $\|f_{\mathbf{z}}\|_{2,w} < \infty$ .

Now consider the case when  $|z_i^\ell z_j^m z_k^n| = 1$  for some  $i, j, k$  with  $C_{ijk} \neq 0$ . In this case,  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  is not contained in  $L_w^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$ . Define a function  $f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon$  by

$$f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,m,n}) = (1 - \epsilon)^\ell f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,m,n}).$$

By assumption,  $|f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,m,n})|^2 w(v_{\ell,m,n}) \leq C := (\sum |C_{ijk}|)^2$  and  $f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon$  is  $L^2$ . We claim that for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\|A_{w,i}f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon - \lambda_i f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon\|_{2,w} \leq \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^{-1}(q^4 + q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1)\|f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon\|_{2,w}.$$

From the above inequality, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|A_{w,i}f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon - \lambda_i f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon\|_{2,w}}{\|f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon\|_{2,w}} = 0.$$

Let us prove the claim. Since  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  is the eigenfunction of the simultaneous eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  associated to  $A_{w,i}$ , we have  $\lambda_i f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,m,n}) = (1-\epsilon)^\ell A_{w,i}f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell,m,n})$ . By the definition of  $A_{w,i}$ , the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{0,0,0}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{0,0,0}) &= -\epsilon(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{1,0,0}) \\ A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,0,0}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,0,0}) &= -\epsilon(1-\epsilon)^\ell f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,0,0}) \\ A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) &= -\epsilon(1-\epsilon)^\ell (q+1)f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,\ell,0}) \\ A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell}) &= \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^{\ell-1}q^3 f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,\ell-1}) \\ &\quad - \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^\ell (q^2 + q + 1)f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,\ell,\ell}) \\ A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,m,0}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,m,0}) &= -\epsilon(1-\epsilon)^\ell f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,m,0}) \\ A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,m,m}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,m,m}) &= \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^{\ell-1}q^3 f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,m,0}) - \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^\ell f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,m,m}) \\ A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,\ell,m}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,\ell,m}) &= \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^{\ell+1}q^3 f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,m-1}) - \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^\ell f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,\ell,m}) \\ A_{w,1}f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,m,n}) - \lambda_1 f_{\mathbf{z}}^\epsilon(v_{\ell,m,n}) &= \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^{\ell-1}q^3 f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell-1,m-1,n-1}) - \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^\ell f_{\mathbf{z}}(v_{\ell+1,m,n}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim when  $i = 1$ . Similar argument holds for  $i = 2$  and  $3$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.12.** *Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  be a point in  $S$  with  $z_i \neq z_j$  except for  $z_1 = z_2$ . If the eigenfunction  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  satisfies the condition (D), the condition (A) holds.*

*Proof.* By assumption and Proposition A.2,  $|f(v_{\ell,m,n})|^2 w(v_{\ell,m,n}) \leq (A\ell + B)^2$  for some  $A, B > 0$ . Following the proof of Proposition 6.11, we have Corollary 6.12.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.13.** *Let  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  be a point in  $S$  with  $z_1 = z_2, z_3 = z_4$  and  $z_1 \neq z_3$ . If the eigenfunction  $f_{\mathbf{z}}$  satisfies the condition (D), the condition (A) holds.*

*Proof.* By assumption and Proposition A.3,  $|f(v_{\ell,m,n})|^2 w(v_{\ell,m,n}) \leq (A\ell^2 + B\ell + C)^2$  for some  $A, B, C > 0$ . Following the proof of Proposition 6.11, we have Corollary 6.13.  $\square$

From the above crucial observation, we may describe all elements in the automorphic simultaneous spectrum of  $A_{w,i}$ .

## APPENDIX A. SIMULTANEOUS EIGENFUNCTIONS VIA RECURRENCE RELATION

In this appendix, we give the proof of Theorem 5.1 as well as some remarks on the multiple root cases.

**Theorem A.1.** *Let  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  be an element of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  indexed by the equation (5.2) with respect to  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ . If  $f$  is the corresponding simultaneous eigenfunction satisfying  $A_{w,i}f = \lambda_i f$ , then*

$$f(v_{\ell,m,n}) = \sum_{\tau \in S_4} C_{\tau(1)\tau(2)\tau(3)} \sqrt{q}^{3\ell+m-n} z_{\tau(1)}^\ell z_{\tau(2)}^m z_{\tau(3)}^n.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.5, the eigenfunction  $f = f_{\mathbf{z}}$  of simultaneous eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  of  $A_{w,i}$  satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 f(v_{\ell+1,0,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)f(v_{\ell+1,1,0}) + f(v_{\ell+2,0,0}) \\ \lambda_2 f(v_{\ell,0,0}) &= (q^4 + q^3 + q^2)f(v_{\ell,1,1}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell+1,1,0}) \\ \lambda_3 f(v_{\ell-1,0,0}) &= q^3 f(v_{\ell-2,0,0}) + (q^2 + q + 1)f(v_{\ell,1,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a_{\ell,m,n} = f(v_{\ell,m,n})/q^{\ell+m+n}$ . Using above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{q}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell+1,0,0} &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{\ell+1,1,0} + a_{\ell+2,0,0} \\ \text{(A.1)} \quad q\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell,0,0} &= (q^5 + q^4 + q^3)a_{\ell,1,1} + (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{\ell+1,1,0} \\ q^{3/2}\sigma_3(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell-1,0,0} &= q^2 a_{\ell-2,0,0} + (q^5 + q^4 + q^3)a_{\ell,1,1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\text{(A.2)} \quad a_{\ell+2,0,0} - q^{1/2}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell+1,0,0} + q\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell,0,0} - q^{3/2}\sigma_3(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell-1,0,0} + q^2\sigma_4(\mathbf{z})a_{\ell-2,0,0} = 0.$$

The sequence  $a_{\ell,0,0}$  is of the form

$$a_{\ell,0,0} = \sum_i A_{i,0,0} q^{\ell/2} z_i^\ell.$$

By Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,0,0} &= \frac{\lambda_1}{q(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} = \frac{\sqrt{q}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1} \\ a_{2,0,0} &= \frac{\lambda_1}{q} a_{1,0,0} - (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{1,1,0} = \frac{q\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})^2}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1} - \frac{q\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})}{q^2 + 1} \\ a_{3,0,0} &= \frac{\lambda_1}{q} a_{2,0,0} - (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{2,1,0} = \frac{\lambda_1}{q} a_{2,0,0} - \frac{\lambda_2}{q} a_{1,0,0} + (q^5 + q^4 + q^3)a_{1,1,1} \\ &= \frac{q^{3/2}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})^3}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1} - \frac{q^{3/2}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})}{q^2 + 1} - \frac{q^{3/2}\sigma_1(\mathbf{z})\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1} + \frac{q^{3/2}(q^2 + q + 1)\sigma_3(\mathbf{z})}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,0,0} + A_{2,0,0} + A_{3,0,0} + A_{4,0,0} &= 1 \\ z_1 A_{1,0,0} + z_2 A_{2,0,0} + z_3 A_{3,0,0} + z_4 A_{4,0,0} &= \frac{a_{1,0,0}}{\sqrt{q}} \\ z_1^2 A_{1,0,0} + z_2^2 A_{2,0,0} + z_3^2 A_{3,0,0} + z_4^2 A_{4,0,0} &= \frac{a_{2,0,0}}{q} \\ z_1^3 A_{1,0,0} + z_2^3 A_{2,0,0} + z_3^3 A_{3,0,0} + z_4^3 A_{4,0,0} &= \frac{a_{3,0,0}}{q\sqrt{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$A_{i,0,0} = \frac{(z_i - qz_k)(z_i - qz_j)(z_i - qz_l)}{(z_i - z_k)(z_i - z_j)(z_i - z_l)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)},$$

where  $i, j, k, l$  are distinct numbers in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . From the first and the third equation of (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_1}{q} a_{\ell+1,m+1,0} &= (q^3 + q^2) a_{\ell+1,m+1,1} + q a_{\ell+1,m+2,0} + a_{\ell+2,m+1,0} \\ \frac{\lambda_3}{q} a_{\ell,m,0} &= q a_{\ell-1,m,0} + a_{\ell,m-1,0} + (q^3 + q^2) a_{\ell+1,m+1,1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(A.3) \quad a_{\ell+2,m+1,0} + q a_{\ell+1,m+2,0} - \frac{\lambda_1}{q} a_{\ell+1,m+1,0} + \frac{\lambda_3}{q} a_{\ell,m,0} - q a_{\ell-1,m,0} - a_{\ell,m-1,0} = 0.$$

Denote

$$a_{\ell,m,0} = A_{1,m,0}(\sqrt{q}z_1)^\ell + A_{2,m,0}(\sqrt{q}z_2)^\ell + A_{3,m,0}(\sqrt{q}z_3)^\ell + A_{4,m,0}(\sqrt{q}z_4)^\ell.$$

By (A.3),  $A_{i,m,0}$  satisfies that

$$q A_{i,m+1,0} z_i^2 + q^{3/2} A_{i,m+2,0} z_i - \frac{\lambda_1}{\sqrt{q}} A_{i,m+1,0} z_i + \frac{\lambda_3}{q} A_{i,m,0} - \sqrt{q} A_{i,m,0} z_i^{-1} - A_{i,m-1,0} = 0.$$

For any distinct numbers  $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , we have

$$q^{3/2} A_{i,m+2,0} - q(z_j + z_k + z_l) A_{i,m+1,0} + \sqrt{q}(z_j z_k + z_k z_l + z_l z_j) A_{i,m,0} - A_{i,m-1,0} z_i^{-1} = 0.$$

Thus the sequence  $A_{i,m,0}$  is of the form

$$A_{i,m,0} = B_{i,j,0} \left( \frac{z_j}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^m + B_{i,k,0} \left( \frac{z_k}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^m + B_{i,l,0} \left( \frac{z_l}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^m.$$

Applying the first equation of (A.1), we have  $A_{i,1,0} := \frac{z_j + z_k + z_l}{\sqrt{q}(q^2 + q + 1)} A_{i,0,0}$ . By the third equation of (4.5) and the first equation of (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_3}{q^2} a_{1,0,0} &= a_{0,0,0} + (q^3 + q^2 + q) a_{2,1,1} \\ \frac{\lambda_1}{q} a_{2,1,0} &= (q^3 + q^2) a_{2,1,1} + q a_{2,2,0} + a_{3,1,0}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$a_{2,2,0} = \frac{\lambda_1}{q^2} a_{2,1,0} - \frac{\lambda_3(q+1)}{q^4 + q^3 + q^2} a_{1,0,0} + \frac{q+1}{q^2 + q + 1} a_{0,0,0} - \frac{1}{q} a_{3,1,0}$$

For any distinct numbers  $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , the above equation shows that

$$qz_i^2 A_{i,2,0} = \frac{\lambda_1}{q} z_i^2 A_{i,1,0} - \frac{\lambda_3(q+1)}{q\sqrt{q}(q^2 + q + 1)} z_i A_{i,0,0} + \frac{q+1}{q^2 + q + 1} A_{i,0,0} - \sqrt{q} z_i^3 A_{i,1,0}.$$

For any distinct numbers  $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} qA_{i,2,0} &= \frac{\lambda_1}{q} A_{i,1,0} - \frac{\lambda_3(q+1)}{q\sqrt{q}(q^2 + q + 1)} z_i^{-1} A_{i,0,0} + \frac{q+1}{q^2 + q + 1} z_i^{-2} A_{i,0,0} - \sqrt{q} z_i A_{i,1,0} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{(z_i + z_j + z_k + z_l)(z_i + z_j + z_l)}{q^2 + q + 1} - \frac{(q+1)(z_j z_k + z_j z_l + z_k z_l + z_i^{-2})}{q^2 + q + 1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{q+1}{q^2 + q + 1} z_i^{-2} - \frac{z_i(z_j + z_k + z_l)}{q^2 + q + 1} \right\} A_{i,0,0} \\ &= \frac{(z_j + z_k + z_l)^2 - (q+1)(z_j z_k + z_j z_l + z_k z_l)}{q^2 + q + 1} A_{i,0,0}. \end{aligned}$$

The second equation above follows from  $A_{i,1,0} := \frac{z_j + z_k + z_l}{\sqrt{q}(q^2 + q + 1)} A_{i,0,0}$  and  $z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 1$ .

Since

$$(A.4) \quad \begin{aligned} B_{i,j,0} + B_{i,k,0} + B_{i,l,0} &= A_{i,0,0} \\ z_j B_{i,j,0} + z_k B_{i,k,0} + z_l B_{i,l,0} &= \sqrt{q} A_{i,1,0} \\ z_j^2 B_{i,j,0} + z_k^2 B_{i,k,0} + z_l^2 B_{i,l,0} &= q A_{i,2,0}, \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$B_{i,j,0} = \frac{(z_j - qz_k)(z_j - qz_l)}{(z_j - z_k)(z_j - z_l)(q^2 + q + 1)} A_{i,0,0}.$$

Denote  $A_{i,m,n} = \sum_{i \neq j} B_{i,j,n} \sqrt{q}^{-m} z_j^m$ . It follows from the first equation of Lemma 4.11 that

$$\lambda_1 a_{\ell,m,n} = a_{\ell-1,m-1,n-1} + q^3 a_{\ell,m,n+1} + q^2 a_{\ell,m+1,n} + q a_{\ell+1,m,n}.$$

The above equation shows that for any distinct numbers  $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,

$$\lambda_1 B_{i,j,n} = B_{i,j,n-1} z_i^{-1} z_j^{-1} + q^3 B_{i,j,n+1} + q^{3/2} B_{i,j,n} z_j + q^{3/2} B_{i,j,n} z_i.$$

Since  $z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = 1$ ,

$$q^3 B_{i,j,n+1} - q^{3/2} (z_k + z_l) B_{i,j,n} + z_k z_l B_{i,j,n-1} = 0.$$

Thus the sequence  $B_{i,j,n}$  is of the form

$$B_{i,j,n} = C_{ijk} \left( \frac{z_k}{q\sqrt{q}} \right)^n + C_{i,j,l} \left( \frac{z_l}{q\sqrt{q}} \right)^n.$$

By the second equation of (4.9), we have

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{q^2} a_{\ell,m,0} = a_{\ell-1,m-1,0} + (q^3 + q^2) a_{\ell,m+1,1} + (q^2 + q) a_{\ell+1,m,1} + a_{\ell+1,m+1,0}.$$

Using this, we have for any distinct  $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$\sigma_2(\mathbf{z})B_{i,j,0} = z_k z_l B_{i,j,0} + q^{3/2}(q^2 + q)(z_i + z_j)B_{i,j,1} + z_i z_j B_{i,j,0}.$$

Thus we have

$$B_{i,j,1} = \frac{z_k + z_l}{q^{3/2}(q+1)} B_{i,j,0}.$$

Since  $C_{ijk} + C_{i,j,l} = B_{i,j,0}$  and  $z_k C_{ijk} + z_l C_{i,j,l} = q^{3/2} B_{i,j,1}$ , we have

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{z_k - qz_l}{(z_k - z_l)(q+1)} B_{i,j,0}$$

and

$$a_{\ell,m,n} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{Z}_4} C_{\tau(1),\tau(2),\tau(3)} \sqrt{q}^{\ell-m-3n} z_{\tau(1)}^\ell z_{\tau(2)}^m z_{\tau(3)}^n.$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.  $\square$

Now let us find eigenfunctions when the characteristic equation (A.2) has multiple root.

**Proposition A.2.** *Suppose that  $z_1 = z_2$  and  $z_1, z_3$  and  $z_4$  are distinct. The eigenfunction of simultaneous eigenvalues  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  indexed by  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  is*

$$(A.5) \quad f(v_{\ell,m,n}) = \sqrt{q}^{3\ell+m-n} \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{3,4\}^2 \\ i \neq j}} (D_{11i} z_1^{\ell+m} z_i^n + D_{1i1} z_1^{\ell+n} z_i^m + D_{1ij} z_1^\ell z_i^m z_j^n + D_{i11} z_i^\ell z_1^m z_1^{m+n} \\ + D_{i1j} z_i^\ell z_1^m z_j^n + D_{ij1} z_i^\ell z_j^m z_1^n),$$

where  $D_{ijk}(\ell, m, n)$  are polynomials defined by

$$D_{11i} = \frac{(-1)^i (z_1 - qz_3)^2 (z_1 - qz_4)^2 (z_i - qz_j) \{q+1 + (\ell-m)(1-q)\}}{(z_1 - z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_4)^2 (z_3 - z_4) (q+1) (q^2 + q + 1) (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)}$$

$$D_{1i1} = \frac{(-1)^j (z_1 - qz_j)^2 (z_i - qz_j)}{(z_1 - z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_4)^2 (z_3 - z_4) (q+1) (q^2 + q + 1) (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\ \times [\{q+1 + (\ell-n)(1-q)\} (z_1 - qz_i) (z_i - qz_1) + (1-q)(1-q^2) z_1 z_i]$$

$$D_{1ij} = \frac{(-1)^i (z_i - qz_j)}{(z_1 - z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_4)^2 (z_3 - z_4) (q+1) (q^2 + q + 1) (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\ \times [\{q+1 + \ell(1-q)\} (z_1 - qz_3) (z_3 - qz_1) (z_1 - qz_4) (z_4 - qz_1) \\ + (1-q)(1-q^2) \{(z_1 - qz_3) (z_3 - qz_1) z_1 z_4 + (z_1 - qz_4) (z_4 - qz_1) z_1 z_3\}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{i11} &= \frac{(-1)^i (z_i - qz_1)^2 (z_1 - qz_j)^2 (z_i - qz_j) [q + 1 + (m - n)(1 - q)]}{(z_1 - z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_4)^2 (z_3 - z_4) (q + 1) (q^2 + q + 1) (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
D_{i1j} &= \frac{(-1)^j (z_i - qz_1)^2 (z_i - qz_j)}{(z_1 - z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_4)^2 (z_3 - z_4) (q + 1) (q^2 + q + 1) (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad \times [\{1 + q + m(1 - q)\} (z_1 - qz_j) (z_j - qz_1) + (1 - q)(1 - q^2) z_1 z_j] \\
D_{ij1} &= \frac{(-1)^i (z_3 - qz_1)^2 (z_4 - qz_1)^2 (z_i - qz_j) [q + 1 + n(1 - q)]}{(z_1 - z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_4)^2 (z_3 - z_4) (q + 1) (q^2 + q + 1) (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since for any  $\ell \geq m \geq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z_1} C_{12i} z_1^\ell z_2^m z_i^n + C_{21i} z_2^\ell z_1^m z_i^n &= D_{11i} z_1^{\ell+m} z_i^n \\
\lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z_1} C_{1i2} z_1^\ell z_i^m z_2^n + C_{2i1} z_2^\ell z_i^m z_1^n &= D_{1i1} z_1^{\ell+n} z_i^m \\
\lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z_1} C_{1ij} z_1^\ell z_i^m z_j^n + C_{2ij} z_2^\ell z_i^m z_j^n &= D_{1ij} z_1^\ell z_i^m z_j^n \\
\lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z_1} C_{i12} z_i^\ell z_1^m z_2^n + C_{i21} z_i^\ell z_2^m z_1^n &= D_{i11} z_i^\ell z_1^{m+n} \\
\lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z_1} C_{i1j} z_i^\ell z_1^m z_j^n + C_{i2j} z_i^\ell z_2^m z_j^n &= D_{i1j} z_i^\ell z_1^m z_j^n \\
\lim_{z_2 \rightarrow z_1} C_{ij1} z_i^\ell z_j^m z_1^n + C_{ij2} z_i^\ell z_j^m z_2^n &= D_{ij1} z_i^\ell z_j^m z_1^n
\end{aligned}$$

by L'Hôpital's law, we have (A.5). □

**Proposition A.3.** *Suppose that  $z_1 = z_2, z_3 = z_4$  and  $z_1$  and  $z_3$  are distinct. The eigenfunction of simultaneous eigenvalues  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  indexed by  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  is*

$$(A.6) \quad f(v_{\ell, m, n}) = \sqrt{q}^{3\ell+m-n} \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,3\}^2 \\ i \neq j}} (F_{iij} z_i^{\ell+m} z_j^n + F_{ijj} z_i^{\ell+n} z_j^m + F_{ijj} z_i^\ell z_j^{m+n}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{iij} &= \frac{(z_i - qz_j)^4 \{1 + q + (\ell - m)(1 - q)\} \{1 + q + n(1 - q)\}}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1) (q^2 + q + 1) (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
F_{131} &= \frac{-(z_1 - qz_3)^3 (z_3 - qz_1) \{1 + q + (\ell - n)(1 - q)\} \{1 + q + m(1 - q)\}}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1) (q^2 + q + 1) (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{(1 - q)(1 - q^2) (z_1 - qz_3)^2 \{2 + 2q + (\ell + m - n + 1)(1 - q)\} z_1 z_3}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1) (q^2 + q + 1) (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{2(1 - q)^2 (1 - q^2) (z_1 - qz_3) z_1 z_3^2}{(z_1 - z_3)^4 (q + 1) (q^2 + q + 1) (q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{313} &= \frac{-(z_3 - qz_1)^3(z_1 - qz_3)\{1 + q + (\ell - n)(1 - q)\}\{1 + q + m(1 - q)\}}{(z_1 - z_3)^4(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{(1 - q)(1 - q^2)(z_3 - qz_1)^2\{2 + 2q + (\ell + m - n - 1)\}z_1z_3}{(z_1 - z_3)^4(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{2(1 - q)^2(1 - q^2)(z_3 - qz_1)z_1z_3^2}{(z_1 - z_3)^4(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
F_{ijj} &= \frac{(z_1 - qz_3)^2(z_3 - qz_1)^2\{1 + q + \ell(1 - q)\}\{1 + q + (m - n)(1 - q)\}}{(z_1 - z_3)^4(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)} \\
&\quad + \frac{2(1 - q)(1 - q^2)(z_1 - qz_3)(z_3 - qz_1)\{1 + q + (m - n)(1 - q)\}z_1z_3}{(z_1 - z_3)^4(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since for any  $\ell \geq m \geq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3} D_{113}z_1^{\ell+m}z_3^n + D_{114}z_1^{\ell+m}z_4^n &= F_{113}z_1^{\ell+m}z_3^n \\
\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3} D_{131}z_1^{\ell+n}z_3^m + D_{141}z_1^{\ell+n}z_4^m &= F_{131}z_1^{\ell+n}z_3^m \\
\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3} D_{133}z_1^\ell z_3^{m+n} + D_{144}z_1^\ell z_4^{m+n} &= F_{133}z_1^\ell z_3^{m+n} \\
\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3} D_{311}z_1^{m+n}z_3^\ell + D_{411}z_1^{m+n}z_4^\ell &= F_{311}z_1^{m+n}z_3^\ell \\
\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3} D_{313}z_1^m z_3^{\ell+n} + D_{414}z_1^m z_4^{\ell+n} &= F_{313}z_1^m z_3^{\ell+n} \\
\lim_{z_4 \rightarrow z_3} D_{341}z_1^n z_3^{\ell+m} + D_{441}z_1^n z_4^{\ell+m} &= F_{431}z_1^n z_3^{\ell+m}
\end{aligned}$$

by L'Hôpital's law, we have (A.6).  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. THE PROOF OF LEMMA 6.3

In this section, we prove Lemma 6.3 for the case when  $z_i \neq z_j$  since the proof is similar to the case when  $z_i = z_j$  for some  $i, j$ .

If a 3-tuple  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  is a simultaneous eigenvalue of  $A_{w,i}$ , there exists a function  $h \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}_4)$  with  $\|h\|_{2,w} = 1$  such that for any  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , the function

$$\delta_i(v_{\ell,m,n}) := A_{w,i}h(v_{\ell,m,n}) - \lambda_i h(v_{\ell,m,n})$$

satisfies  $\|\delta_i\|_{2,w} < \epsilon$ . Let  $f$  be a simultaneous eigenfunction corresponding to  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  with  $f(v_{0,0,0}) = h(v_{0,0,0})$ . Denote

$$a_{\ell,m,n} = h(v_{\ell,m,n}) - f(v_{\ell,m,n}).$$

The sequence  $a_{\ell,m,n}$  is different from the sequence in Appendix A.

Since  $A_i f(v_{\ell,m,n}) = \lambda_i f(v_{\ell,m,n})$  for any  $i$ , we have

$$(B.1) \quad \delta_i(v_{\ell,m,n}) = A_{w,i}a_{\ell,m,n} - \lambda_i a_{\ell,m,n}.$$

This implies that

$$a_{1,0,0} = \frac{\delta_1(v_{0,0,0})}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1}, \quad a_{1,1,0} = \frac{\delta_2(v_{0,0,0})}{q^4 + q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1}, \quad a_{1,1,1} = \frac{\delta_3(v_{0,0,0})}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1}.$$



Using (B.1), the first and the second equation of (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1(v_{1,0,0}) &= (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{1,1,0} + a_{2,0,0} - \lambda_1 a_{1,0,0} \\ \delta_2(v_{1,0,0}) &= (q^4 + q^3 + q^2)a_{1,1,1} + (q^2 + q + 1)a_{2,1,0} - \lambda_2 a_{1,0,0}.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$(B.2) \quad \begin{aligned}a_{2,0,0} &= \delta_1(v_{1,0,0}) + \frac{\lambda_1 \delta_1(v_{0,0,0})}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1} - \frac{q \delta_2(v_{0,0,0})}{q^2 + 1} \\ a_{2,1,0} &= \frac{\lambda_2 \delta_1(v_{0,0,0})}{(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1)} + \frac{\delta_2(v_{1,0,0})}{q^2 + q + 1} - \frac{q^2 \delta_3(v_{0,0,0})}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\delta_1(v_{2,0,0}) = (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{2,1,0} + a_{3,0,0} - \lambda_1 a_{2,0,0}$$

It follows from (B.2) and the above equation that

$$\begin{aligned}a_{3,0,0} &= \delta_1(v_{2,0,0}) + \lambda_1 \delta_1(v_{1,0,0}) + \frac{(\lambda_1^2 - q \lambda_2) \delta_1(v_{0,0,0})}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1} \\ &\quad - q \delta_2(v_{1,0,0}) - \frac{q \lambda_1 \delta_2(v_{0,0,0})}{q^2 + 1} + \frac{q^3 (q^2 + q + 1) \delta_3(v_{0,0,0})}{q^3 + q^2 + q + 1}.\end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $\delta_i$  and the equation (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}a_{\ell+2,0,0} + (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{\ell+1,1,0} - \lambda_1 a_{\ell+1,0,0} &= \delta_1(v_{\ell+1,0,0}) \\ - (q^5 + q^4 + q^3)a_{\ell,1,1} - (q^3 + q^2 + q)a_{\ell+1,1,0} + q \lambda_2 a_{\ell,0,0} &= -q \delta_2(v_{\ell,0,0}) \\ q^6 a_{\ell-2,0,0} + (q^5 + q^4 + q^3)a_{\ell,1,1} - q^3 \lambda_3 a_{\ell-1,0,0} &= q^3 \delta_3(v_{\ell-1,0,0}).\end{aligned}$$

The sum of three equations is

$$\begin{aligned}a_{\ell+2,0,0} - \lambda_1 a_{\ell+1,0,0} + q \lambda_2 a_{\ell,0,0} - q^3 \lambda_3 a_{\ell-1,0,0} + q^6 a_{\ell-2,0,0} \\ = \delta_1(v_{\ell+1,0,0}) - q \delta_2(v_{\ell,0,0}) + q^3 \delta_3(v_{\ell-1,0,0}).\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{\alpha_n\}_n$  be a sequence defined by  $\alpha_{-2} = \alpha_{-1} = \alpha_0 = 0$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 1$  and

$$\alpha_n = \lambda_1 \alpha_{n-1} - q \lambda_2 \alpha_{n-2} + q^3 \lambda_3 \alpha_{n-3} - q^6 \alpha_{n-4}$$

for any  $n \geq 2$ . The characteristic equation of  $\alpha_n$  shows that if  $z_i \neq z_j$  for any  $i, j$

$$\frac{\alpha_n}{q^{3n/2}} = X_1 z_1^{n-1} + X_2 z_2^{n-1} + X_3 z_3^{n-1} + X_4 z_4^{n-1}.$$

Here  $X_i = \frac{z_i^3}{(z_i - z_j)(z_i - z_k)(z_i - z_l)}$  where  $i, j, k, l$  are distinct numbers in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

By the characteristic equation of  $a_{\ell,0,0}$  and induction on  $\ell$ , for any  $\ell \geq 4$ , the sequence  $a_{\ell,0,0}$  satisfies that

$$(B.3) \quad \frac{a_{\ell,0,0}}{q^{3\ell/2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{c_{\ell-i}}{q^{3(\ell-i)/2}} \frac{\alpha_i}{q^{3i/2}} = \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{c_{\ell-i}}{q^{3(\ell-i)/2}} X_j z_j^{i-1}$$

where  $c_n$  is a sequence defined by

$$c_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta_1(v_{0,0,0})}{q^3+q^2+q+1} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \delta_1(v_{1,0,0}) - \frac{q\delta_2(v_{0,0,0})}{q^2+1} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \delta_1(v_{2,0,0}) - q\delta_2(v_{1,0,0}) + \frac{q^3(q^2+q+1)\delta_3(v_{0,0,0})}{q^3+q^2+q+1} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \delta_1(v_{n,0,0}) - q\delta_2(v_{n-1,0,0}) + q^3\delta_3(v_{n-2,0,0}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the choice of  $\delta_i$ , there exists a constant  $\mathcal{C}_1$  such that for any  $i$ ,  $\left|\frac{c_i}{q^{3i/2}}\right| < \mathcal{C}_1\epsilon$ . Using this, we have for any  $c > 0$ ,

$$\left|\frac{a_{\ell,0,0}}{q^{3(\ell+c)/2}}\right| \leq \mathcal{C}_1\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} |X_j| \left|\frac{z_j}{q^{c/2}}\right|^{i-1} \leq \frac{2\mathcal{C}_1q^{c/2}\epsilon|X_1|}{|z_1| - q^{c/2}} \left|\frac{z_1}{q^{c/2}}\right|^{\ell} + o(|z_1|^{\ell}).$$

Since  $\left|\frac{f(v_{\ell,0,0})}{q^{(3+c)\ell/2}}\right| \geq |C_1| \left|\frac{z_1}{q^{c/2}}\right|^{\ell} - o(|z_1|^{\ell})$ , we have

$$\left|\frac{h(v_{\ell,0,0})}{q^{(3+c)\ell/2}}\right| \geq \left|\frac{f(v_{\ell,0,0})}{q^{(3+c)\ell/2}}\right| - \left|\frac{a_{\ell,0,0}}{q^{(3+c)\ell/2}}\right| \geq \left(|C_1| - \frac{2\mathcal{C}_1q^{c/2}\epsilon|X_1|}{|z_1| - q^{c/2}}\right) \left|\frac{z_1}{q^{c/2}}\right|^{\ell} - o(|z_1|^{\ell}).$$

Let  $\{\beta_n\}_n$  be a sequence defined by  $d_{-2} = d_{-1} = d_0 = 0$ ,  $d_1 = 1$  and

$$\beta_n = \lambda_3\beta_{n-1} - q\lambda_2\beta_{n-2} + q^3\lambda_1\beta_{n-3} - q^6\beta_{n-4}$$

The characteristic equation of  $\beta_n$  shows that if  $z_i \neq z_j$  for any  $i, j$

$$\frac{\beta_n}{q^{3n/2}} = X_{123}(z_1z_2z_3)^{n-1} + X_{124}(z_1z_2z_4)^{n-1} + X_{134}(z_1z_3z_4)^{n-1} + X_{234}(z_2z_3z_4)^{n-1}.$$

Here  $X_{ijk} = \frac{(z_l)^{-3}}{(z_l^{-1}-z_i^{-1})(z_l^{-1}-z_j^{-1})(z_l^{-1}-z_k^{-1})}$  where  $i, j, k, l$  are distinct numbers in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

Similarly, using the equations in (4.7), we have that for any  $\ell \geq 4$ ,

$$(B.4) \quad \frac{a_{\ell,\ell,\ell}}{q^{3\ell/2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{d_{\ell-i}}{q^{3(\ell-i)/2}} \frac{\beta_i}{q^{3i/2}}$$

where  $d_i$  is a sequence defined by

$$d_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta_3(v_{0,0,0})}{q^3+q^2+q+1} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \delta_3(v_{1,1,1}) - \frac{q\delta_2(v_{0,0,0})}{q^2+1} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \delta_3(v_{2,2,2}) - q\delta_2(v_{1,1,1}) + \frac{q^3(q^2+q+1)\delta_1(v_{0,0,0})}{q^3+q^2+q+1} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \delta_3(v_{n,n,n}) - q\delta_2(v_{n-1,n-1,n-1}) - q^3\delta_3(v_{n-2,n-2,n-2}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Analogous to the case  $a_{\ell,0,0}$ , there exists a constant  $\mathcal{C}_3$  such that

$$\left|\frac{h(v_{\ell,\ell,\ell})}{q^{(3+c)\ell/2}}\right| \geq \left(|C_3| - \frac{2\mathcal{C}_3q^{c/2}\epsilon|X_{123}|}{|z_1z_2z_3| - q^{c/2}}\right) \left|\frac{z_1z_2z_3}{q^{c/2}}\right|^{\ell} - o(|z_1z_2z_3|^{\ell}).$$

The remaining part of this section is the case  $a_{\ell,\ell,0}$ . By the first equation and the third equation in (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -q^4(q+1)a_{\ell-1,\ell-2,1} - q^3a_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0} - q^2a_{\ell,\ell-2,0} + q^2\lambda_1a_{\ell-1,\ell-2,0} = -q^2\delta_1(v_{\ell-1,\ell-2,0}) \\ & q^3a_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0} + q^2a_{\ell,\ell-2,0} + (q+1)a_{\ell+1,\ell,1} - \lambda_3a_{\ell,\ell-1,0} = \delta_3(v_{\ell,\ell-1,0}). \end{aligned}$$

The sum of two equations is

$$(B.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & (q+1)a_{\ell+1,\ell,1} - \lambda_3a_{\ell,\ell-1,0} + q^2\lambda_1a_{\ell-1,\ell-2,0} - q^4(q+1)a_{\ell-1,\ell-2,1} \\ & = \delta_3(v_{\ell,\ell-1,0}) - q^2\delta_1(v_{\ell-1,\ell-2,0}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the first and third equation in (4.6) that

$$(B.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & (q+1)a_{\ell+1,\ell,0} - \lambda_1a_{\ell,\ell,0} - q^4(q+1)a_{\ell-1,\ell-2,0} + \lambda_3q^2a_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0} \\ & = \delta_1(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) - q^2\delta_3(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (B.5) and (B.6), we have the following three equations:

- multiplying  $-q(q+1)$  by (B.5),
- changing  $\ell$  to  $\ell-1$  of (B.6) and multiplying  $-\lambda_3q$  and
- changing  $\ell$  to  $\ell-2$  and multiplying  $\lambda_1q^3$ .

The sum of three equations is

$$(B.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & -q(q+1)^2a_{\ell+1,\ell,1} + \lambda_1\lambda_3qa_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0} + q^5(q+1)^2a_{\ell-1,\ell-2,1} + \lambda_3q^5(q+1)a_{\ell-2,\ell-3,0} \\ & - (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_3^2)q^3a_{\ell-2,\ell-2,0} + \lambda_1\lambda_3q^5a_{\ell-3,\ell-3,0} - \lambda_1q^7(q+1)a_{\ell-3,\ell-4,0} \\ & = -q(q+1)\delta_3(v_{\ell,\ell-1,0}) + q^3(q+1)\delta_1(v_{\ell-1,\ell-2,0}) - \lambda_3q\delta_1(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0}) \\ & + \lambda_3q^3\delta_3(v_{\ell-2,\ell-2,0}) + \lambda_1q^3\delta_1(v_{\ell-2,\ell-2,0}) - \lambda_1q^5\delta_3(v_{\ell-3,\ell-3,0}) \end{aligned}$$

The sum of (B.7) and the equation obtained by multiplying (B.5) by  $q^5(q+1)$  and changing  $\ell$  to  $\ell-2$  is

$$(B.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & -q(q+1)^2a_{\ell+1,\ell,1} + \lambda_1\lambda_3qa_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0} + 2q^5(q+1)^2a_{\ell-1,\ell-2,1} \\ & - (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_3^2)q^3a_{\ell-2,\ell-2,0} + \lambda_1\lambda_3q^5a_{\ell-3,\ell-3,0} - q^9(q+1)a_{\ell-3,\ell-4,1} \\ & = -q(q+1)\delta_3(v_{\ell,\ell-1,0}) + q^3(q+1)\delta_1(v_{\ell-1,\ell-2,0}) \\ & + q^5(q+1)\delta_3(v_{\ell-2,\ell-3,0}) - q^7(q+1)\delta_1(v_{\ell-3,\ell-4,0}) \\ & - \lambda_3q\delta_1(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0}) + \lambda_3q^3\delta_3(v_{\ell-2,\ell-2,0}) + \lambda_1q^3\delta_1(v_{\ell-2,\ell-2,0}) - \lambda_1q^5\delta_3(v_{\ell-3,\ell-3,0}) \end{aligned}$$

The second equation of (4.6) shows that

$$(B.9) \quad a_{\ell+1,\ell+1,0} + q(a+1)^2a_{\ell+1,\ell,1} - \lambda_2a_{\ell,\ell,0} + q^4a_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0} = \delta_2(v_{\ell,\ell,0}).$$

Using (B.9), it is possible to eliminate the terms of the form  $a_{\ell+1,\ell,1}$  in (B.8). Then for any  $\ell \geq 5$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (B.10) \\
& a_{\ell+1,\ell+1,0} - \lambda_2 a_{\ell,\ell,0} + (\lambda_1 \lambda_3 - q^3) q a_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0} - (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_3^2 - 2\lambda_2 q) q^3 a_{\ell-2,\ell-2,0} \\
& + (\lambda_1 \lambda_3 - q^3) q^5 a_{\ell-3,\ell-3,0} - \lambda_2 q^8 a_{\ell-4,\ell-4,0} + q^{12} a_{\ell-5,\ell-5,0} \\
& = \delta_2(v_{\ell,\ell,0}) - 2q^4 \delta_2(v_{\ell-2,\ell-2,0}) + q^8 \delta_2(v_{\ell-4,\ell-4,0}) - q(q+1) \delta_3(v_{\ell,\ell-1,0}) \\
& + q^3(q+1) \delta_1(v_{\ell-1,\ell-2,0}) - \lambda_3 q \delta_1(v_{\ell-1,\ell-1,0}) + \lambda_3 q^3 \delta_3(v_{\ell-2,\ell-2,0}) + \lambda_1 q^3 \delta_1(v_{\ell-2,\ell-2,0}) \\
& - \lambda_1 q^5 \delta_3(v_{\ell-3,\ell-3,0}) + q^5(q+1) \delta_3(v_{\ell-2,\ell-3,0}) - q^7(q+1) \delta_1(v_{\ell-3,\ell-4,0}).
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $\ell \geq 5$ , let  $e_{\ell+1}$  be the right hand side of (B.10).

Let  $\{\gamma_n\}_n$  be a sequence defined by  $\gamma_{-n} = 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_n &= \lambda_2 \gamma_{n-1} - (\lambda_1 \lambda_3 - q^3) q \gamma_{n-2} + (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_3^2 - 2\lambda_2 q) q^3 \gamma_{n-3} \\
&\quad - (\lambda_1 \lambda_3 - q^3) q^5 \gamma_{n-4} + \lambda_2 q^8 \gamma_{n-5} + q^{12} \gamma_{n-6}
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $n > 0$ . Then  $\gamma_n$  satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_n &= X_{12}(z_1 z_2)^{n-1} + X_{13}(z_1 z_3)^{n-1} + X_{14}(z_1 z_4)^{n-1} \\
&\quad + X_{23}(z_2 z_3)^{n-1} + X_{24}(z_2 z_4)^{n-1} + X_{34}(z_3 z_4)^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $X_{ij} = \frac{z_i^5 z_j^5}{(z_i z_j - z_i z_k)(z_i z_j - z_i z_l)(z_i z_j - z_j z_l)(z_i z_j - z_j z_k)(z_i z_j - z_j z_i)}$  where  $i, j, k, l$  are distinct numbers in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

Using (B.5), (B.7) and the second equation of (4.6), we have a constants  $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_5$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_3$  such that

$$(B.11) \quad \frac{a_{\ell,\ell,0}}{q^{2\ell}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{e_{\ell-i}}{q^{2(\ell-i)}} \frac{\gamma_i}{q^{2i}}.$$

for any  $\ell < 5$  and  $\left| \frac{e_{\ell}}{q^{2\ell}} \right| < \epsilon \mathcal{C}_2$  for any  $\ell$ . Using induction on  $\ell$  and B.10, the equation (B.11) holds for any  $\ell$ .

Analogous to the case  $a_{\ell,0,0}$  and  $a_{\ell,\ell,\ell}$ , we obtain

$$\left| \frac{h(v_{\ell,\ell,0})}{q^{(2+c)\ell}} \right| \geq \left( |\mathcal{C}_2| - \frac{2\mathcal{C}_2 q^c \epsilon |X_{12}|}{|z_1 z_2| - q^c} \right) \left| \frac{z_1 z_2}{q^c} \right|^{\ell} - o(|z_1 z_2|^{\ell}).$$

## REFERENCES

- [CM] D. I. Cartwright and W. MŁotkowski, *Harmonic analysis for groups acting on triangle buildings*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society **56**, (1994) 345-383
- [CSZ] D. I. Cartwright, P. Solé and A. Zuk, *Ramanujan Geometries of type  $\tilde{A}_n$* , Discrete Math. **269**, (2003) 35-43
- [CMS] D. I. Cartwright, W. MŁotkowski and T. Steger, *Property (T) and  $\tilde{A}_2$  groups*, Annales de l'institut Fourier **44** (1994), 213-248.
- [Ef] I. Efrat, *Automorphic spectra of  $PGL(2)$* , L'Enseignement Mathématique **37** (1991) 31-43.

- [HK] S. Hong and S. Kwon, *Spectrum of weight adjacency operator on non-uniform arithmetic quotient of  $PGL_3$* . preprint, [arXiv:2108.01275]
- [Ka] M.-H. Kang, *Riemann Hypothesis and strongly Ramanujan complexes from  $GL_n$* , J. Number Theory **161** (2016), 281-297. (doi:10.1016/j.jnt.2015.09.002)
- [KLW] M.-H. Kang, W.-C. W. Li and C.-J Wang, *The zeta function of complexes from  $PGL(3)$ : A representation-theoretic approach*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **177** (2010) 335-348
- [Li] W.-C. W. Li, *Ramanujan hypergraphs*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **14** (2004) 380-399. (doi:10.1007/s00039-004-0461-z)
- [Lu] A. Lubotzky, *Discrete groups, expanding graphs and invariant measures*, Progress in Mathematics Birkhauser Basel **125** (1994)
- [LPS] A. Lubotzky, R. Philips and P. Sarnak, *Ramanujan graphs*, Combinatorica **8** (1988), 261-277.
- [LSV1] A. Lubotzky, B. Samuels and U. Vishne, *Ramanujan complexes of type  $\tilde{A}_d$* , Israel J. Math. **149** (2005), 267-299.
- [LSV2] A. Lubotzky, B. Samuels and U. Vishne, *Explicit constructions of Ramanujan complexes of type  $\tilde{A}_d$* , European J. Combinatorics **26** (6) (2005), 965-993.
- [Ma] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, second edition. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford (1995)
- [Mo1] M. Morgenstern, *Existence and explicit constructions of  $(q + 1)$ -regular Ramanujan graphs for every prime power  $q$* , J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **62** (1994), 44-62
- [Mo2] M. Morgenstern, *Ramanujan diagrams*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **7** (4) (1994), 560-570.
- [Pe] G. K. Pedersen, *Analysis Now*, Graduate texts in Mathematics, **118**. Springer-Verlag New York (1989).
- [Sam] B. Samuels, *On non-uniform Ramanujan complexes*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (9) (2009) 2869-2877.
- [Sar] A. Sarveniazi, *Explicit construction of a Ramanujan  $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{d-1})$ -regular hypergraph*, Duke Math. J. **139** (2007), 141-171.
- [So] C. Soulé, *Chevalley groups over polynomial rings*, Homological Group Theory (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, pp. 359-368). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (1979)

SOONKI HONG

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Postech, Cheongam-ro, Pohang, 37673, Republic of Korea

*Email address:* soonkihong@postech.ac.kr

SANGHOON KWON\*

*Current address:* Jinsil Building Room 506, Department of Mathematical Education, Catholic Kwandong University, Gangneung 25601, Republic of Korea

*Email address:* shkwon1988@gmail.com, skwon@cku.ac.kr