CARTAN PROJECTIONS OF FIBER PRODUCTS AND NON QUASI-ISOMETRIC EMBEDDINGS

KONSTANTINOS TSOUVALAS

ABSTRACT. Let Γ be a finitely generated group and N be a normal subgroup of Γ . The fiber product of Γ with respect to N is the subgroup $\Gamma \times_N \Gamma = \{(\gamma, \gamma w) : \gamma \in \Gamma, w \in N\}$ of the direct product $\Gamma \times \Gamma$. For every representation $\rho : \Gamma \times_N \Gamma \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$, where k is a local field, we establish upper bounds for the norm of the Cartan projection of ρ in terms of a fixed word length function on Γ. As an application, we exhibit examples of finitely generated and finitely presented fiber products $P = \Gamma \times_N \Gamma$, where Γ is linear and Gromov hyperbolic, such that P does not admit linear representations which are quasi-isometric embeddings.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Γ be a finitely generated group and N a normal subgroup of Γ . The fiber product of Γ with respect to N is the subgroup

 $\Gamma \times_N \Gamma = \{ (\gamma, \gamma w) : \gamma \in \Gamma, w \in N \}$

of the direct product $\Gamma \times \Gamma$. Fiber products have been used several times for the construction of pathological examples in geometric group theory, see for example [\[37,](#page-30-0) [39,](#page-30-1) [4,](#page-29-0) [10,](#page-29-1) [13,](#page-29-2) [12\]](#page-29-3). However, very little is known about their linear representations. In this article, we study the Cartan projection of linear representations of fiber products of the form $\Gamma \times_N \Gamma$. More precisely, for a representation $\rho : \Gamma \times_N \Gamma \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$, where k is a local field, we establish upper bounds for the norm of the Cartan projection of ρ in terms of a fixed left invariant word metric on Γ. As an application of our estimates, we exhibit examples of finitely generated and finitely presented fiber products which fail to admit linear representations which are quasi-isometric embeddings.

There are several important classes of discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups which are quasi-isometrically embedded in the ambient Lie group. These include the class of Anosov hyperbolic groups (see [\[30,](#page-29-4) [25\]](#page-29-5)) and more generally of convex cocompact subgroups of the projective linear group $\text{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ introduced in [\[18\]](#page-29-6), as well as irreducible lattices into higher rank semisimple Lie groups [\[33\]](#page-30-2). It is a natural question to determine classes of linear finitely generated groups with the property that they (or do not) admit discrete faithful linear representations which are quasi-isometric embeddings. Motivated by this question, we exhibit examples of finitely generated and finitely presented fiber products P such that any representation of P into a general linear group, over a local field, is not a quasi-isometric embedding. Our examples will be constructed as fiber products of the form $P = \Gamma \times_N \Gamma$, where Γ is a free group or a $C'(\frac{1}{6})$ small cancellation group of cohomological dimension 2. To our knowledge, these are the first known examples of subgroups of direct products of Gromov hyperbolic groups with this property.

Before we state the main results of this paper let us provide some notation. Let k be a local field. We denote by $\mu: GL_d(k) \to E^+$ the Cartan projection on $GL_d(k)$ to a cone E^+ in \mathbb{R}^d , equipped with the standard Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{E}}$. We equip a finitely generated group ∆ with a left invariant word metric induced by a finite generating subset of ∆ and denote by $|\cdot|_{\Delta} : \Delta \to \mathbb{N}$ the associated word length function. A linear representation $\psi : \Delta \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ is

Date: August 2, 2024.

²⁰²⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E40, 20H10.

called a *quasi-isometric embedding* if the norm of the Cartan projection of $\psi(\Delta)$ grows uniformly linearly in the word length on Δ . In other words, there exists $C > 1$ such that for every $\gamma \in \Delta$,

$$
C^{-1}|\gamma|_{\Delta} - C \leq \left|\left|\mu(\psi(\gamma))\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leq C|\gamma|_{\Delta} + C.
$$

For a group H and $w_1, w_2 \in H$, define the commutator $[w_1, w_2] := w_1^{-1} w_2^{-1} w_1 w_2$ and inductively set $[w_1, \ldots, w_{r-1}, w_r] := [[w_1, \ldots, w_{r-1}], w_r]$ for every $w_1, \ldots, w_{r-1}, w_r \in \mathsf{H}$. The commutator subgroup of H is $[H, H] = \langle \{ [w, w'] : w, w' \in H \} \rangle$. Our first result concerns linear representations of a direct product of the form $H \times H$. We establish an upper bound for the norm of the Cartan projection of multiple commutators in $\{1\} \times H$, in terms of the norm of the Cartan projection of the diagonal subgroup diag($\mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H}$) = $\{(w, w) : w \in \mathsf{H}\}.$

Theorem 1.1. Let k be a local field and H be a group. For every representation $\rho : H \times H \to GL_d(k)$ *there exists* $C > 1$ *, depending only on* ρ *, with the property: for every* $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_r \in \mathsf{H} \setminus \{1\}$ *and* $r \geq d+1$ *we have that*

$$
\left|\left|\mu\big(\rho\big(1,[w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_r]\big)\big)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leq 2^r C\Big(1+\sum_{i=1}^r \left|\left|\mu\big(\rho(w_i,w_i)\big)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}}\Big).
$$

As a corollary of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) we obtain the following bound for the Cartan projection of linear representations of (not necessarily finitely generated) fiber products.

Corollary 1.2. Let Γ be a finitely generated group, $N \leq \Gamma$ a normal subgroup and $|\cdot|_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \to \mathbb{N}$ a *word length function on* Γ *. Let* k *be a local field. For every representation* $\rho : \Gamma \times_N \Gamma \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ *there exist* $C, c > 1$ *, depending only on* ρ *, with the property: for every* $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_r \in N$ *,* $r \geq d+1$ *, and* $\gamma \in \Gamma$ *we have that*

$$
\left|\left|\mu(\rho(\gamma,\gamma[w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_r]))\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leqslant 2^r C\Big(\sum_{i=1}^r\big|w_i\big|_{\Gamma}\Big)+c\big|\gamma\big|_{\Gamma}.
$$

Let F_m be the free group on $m \geqslant 2$ generators. As an application of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) and Corollary [1.2,](#page-1-1) we exhibit examples of finitely generated subgroups of the direct product $F_m \times F_m$ which fail to admit linear representations which are quasi-isometric embeddings.

Theorem 1.3. Let F_m , $m \geq 2$, be the free group on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ and A a finite subset of $[F_m, F_m]$ which contains the commutator $[x_j, x_p] = x_j^{-1} x_p^{-1} x_j x_p$ for some $1 \leqslant p < j \leqslant m$. Let

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{A}} = F_m \times_{\langle \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle \rangle} F_m = \langle \{ (x_i, x_i), (1, w) : w \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \leq i \leq m \} \rangle
$$

be the fiber product of F_m *with respect to the normal subgroup* $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle = \langle\{ghg^{-1} : h \in A, g \in F_m\}\rangle$ *and fix a word length function* $|\cdot|_A : \Delta_A \to \mathbb{N}$. For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an infinite sequence $(w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of elements in* Δ_A *with the property: for every representation* $\rho : \Delta_A \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ *, where* k *is a local field, there exists* $C_{\rho} > 0$ *such that*

$$
\left| \left| \mu(\rho(w_n)) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leqslant C_{\rho} |w_n|_{\mathcal{A}}^{1 - \frac{1}{2d + 3}} \tag{1}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, the finitely generated group Δ_A does not admit linear represen*tation, over a local field, which is a quasi-isometric embedding.*

Now we briefly explain how Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) and Corollary [1.2](#page-1-1) are used in the proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-1-2) Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) and Corollary [1.2](#page-1-1) imply that for any representation $\rho: F_m\times_{\langle\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle}F_m \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and any sequence of the form $(1, y_n) \in F_m \times_{\langle\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle\rangle} F_m$, where $y_n \in [F_m, F_m]$ is a commutator of at least $d + 1$ elements in $\langle \langle A \rangle \rangle$, the norm of the Cartan projection of the sequence $(\rho(1, y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ grows at most linearly in n. However, for particular choices of $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, the word length of the sequence $((1, y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ grows faster than linearly in n, showing that ρ fails to be a quasi-isometric embedding. One possible choice of such a sequence is

$$
w_n := \left(1, \left[\left[[x_j^n, x_p^n], x_p^n \right], \underbrace{[x_j^n, x_p^n], \dots, [x_j^n, x_p^n]}_{d-\text{times}} \right]\right).
$$

We refer to Theorem [6.1](#page-22-0) which is a generalization of the previous theorem for more details.

Thanks to the Rips construction [\[41\]](#page-30-3) and its generalizations (e.g. see [\[43\]](#page-30-4)), given any finitely presented group Q there exist explicit examples of a Gromov hyperbolic group Γ , satisfying the $C'(\frac{1}{6})$ small cancellation condition, and a normal finitely generated subgroup N of Γ such that $\Gamma/N = Q$. By using Corollary [1.2](#page-1-1) and following the point of view of the Bridson–Grunewald construction in [\[10\]](#page-29-1), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. Let F_m , $m \geq 2$, be the free group on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ and B a finite subset of $[F_m, F_m]$ *which contains the commutator* $[x_j, x_p] = x_j^{-1} x_p^{-1} x_j x_p$ *for some* $1 \leq p < j \leq m$ *. Let* $Q_B = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_m | B \rangle$ and Γ_B be a $C'(\frac{1}{2})$ *small cancellation group provided by the Rips construction*¹ $x_1, \ldots, x_m \mid \mathcal{B} \rangle$ $x_1, \ldots, x_m \mid \mathcal{B} \rangle$ $x_1, \ldots, x_m \mid \mathcal{B} \rangle$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ be a $C'(\frac{1}{6})$ small cancellation group provided by the Rips construction¹ *such that*

$$
1 \to N_{\mathcal{B}} \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{B}} \to Q_{\mathcal{B}} \to 1
$$

is a short exact sequence and N_B *is finitely generated. Let* $P_B = \Gamma_B \times_{N_B} \Gamma_B$ *and fix a word length function* $|\cdot|_B : P_B \to \mathbb{N}$. For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an infinite sequence $(\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of *elements in* P_B *with the property: for every representation* ρ : $P_B \rightarrow GL_d(k)$ *, where* k *is a local field, there exists* $C_{\rho} > 0$ *such that*

$$
\left| \left| \mu(\rho(\delta_n)) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leqslant C_{\rho} \left| \delta_n \right|_{\mathcal{B}}^{1 - \frac{1}{2d + 3}} \tag{2}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, the finitely generated group P_B does not linear representation, *over a local field* k*, which is a quasi-isometric embedding.*

For explicit examples of sequences $(\delta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, depending only on $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and the finite set \mathcal{B} , satisfying the upper bound [\(2\)](#page-2-1), we refer to Theorem [6.3.](#page-22-1)

Let us also remark that when Q_B is of type \mathcal{F}_3 (i.e. admits a $K(Q_B, 1)$ Eilenberg–MacLane space whose 3-skeleton is finite) the fiber product P_B in Theorem [1.4](#page-2-2) is finitely presented by the 1-2-3-Theorem in [\[5\]](#page-29-7). Moreover, it follows by the work of Agol $[2, \text{Cor. } 1.2]$ and Wise $[44, \text{$ Thm. 1.2] that the Gromov hyperbolic group Γ is virtually special and hence admits a discrete faithful representation into $GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, as a consequence of [\[19,](#page-29-9) Thm. 1.3], Γ admits a complex representation which is a quasi-isometric embedding. Therefore, in the view of these facts and Theorem [1.4,](#page-2-2) we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.5. Let $\mathcal{B}, N_{\mathcal{B}}, \Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}, Q_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{B}} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{B}} \times_{N_{\mathcal{B}}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ be as in Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-2) Suppose that the *finitely presented group* Q_B *is of type* \mathcal{F}_3 (*e.g. free abelian*). Then P_B *is a finitely presented* $subgroup of \Gamma_B \times \Gamma_B$ *which admits a discrete faithful complex representation but does not admit linear representation, over a local field, which is a quasi-isometric embedding.*

We provide an explicit example and its finite presentation with 6 generators and 21 relations in Example [7.1.](#page-23-0) To our knowledge the groups provided by Corollary [1.5](#page-2-3) are the first known examples of finitely presented subgroups of $CAT(0)$ groups which fail to admit linear representations which are quasi-isometric embeddings.

More examples from Grothendieck pairs. In §[8](#page-24-0) we provide some other examples of fiber products, which are not commensurable to those of Theorem [1.3](#page-1-2) and Theorem [1.4,](#page-2-2) and fail to admit admit any linear representation which is a quasi-isometric embedding. This is achieved thanks to Theorem [8.3](#page-25-0) which is a stronger version of Corollary [1.2](#page-1-1) for fiber products of the form $\Gamma \times_N \Gamma$,

¹We refer here to Rips' construction in [\[41\]](#page-30-3) which we review in $\S5$.

where Γ/N satisfies the conditions of the Platonov–Tavgen criterion [\[39\]](#page-30-1) (i.e. Γ/N does not admit non-trivial finite quotients and $H_2(\Gamma/N, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. The main tool for the proof of Theorem [8.3](#page-25-0) is a theorem of Grothendieck [\[22,](#page-29-10) Thm. 1.2] which establishes a connection between the profinite completion of a finitely generated group and its representation theory.

Organization of the paper. In $\S2$ $\S2$ we provide some necessary background on fiber products, the Cartan projection, proximality and prove some preliminary lemmas that we will use in the following sections. In $\S 3$ $\S 3$ we are going to prove Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) and in $\S 4$ $\S 4$ we establish some further necessary lemmas. In $\S5$ $\S5$ we recall Rips' construction from [\[41\]](#page-30-3) and in $\S6$ $\S6$ we prove Theorem [1.3](#page-1-2) and Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-2) In §[7](#page-23-1) we provide an explicit example of a finite presentation of a fiber product satisfying the conclusion of Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-2) In §[8](#page-24-0) we discuss some known constructions of Grothendieck pairs and further examples of fiber products failing to admit representations which are quasi-isometric embeddings.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Richard Canary, Fanny Kassel, Claudio Llosa Isenrich, Alan Reid and Mihalis Sykiotis for interesting discussions, as well as the anonymous referees for their comments. I would also like to thank IHES, where this project started, for providing ´ excellent working conditions. This project received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European's Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (ERC starting grant DiGGeS, grant agreement No 715982).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some necessary background and prove certain lemmas that we are going to use in the following sections of the paper.

Let Γ be a group. For a subset $\mathcal F$ of Γ , the *normal closure of* $\mathcal F$ *in* Γ is the normal subgroup $\langle\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle\rangle = \langle \gamma f \gamma^{-1} : \gamma \in \Gamma, f \in \mathcal{F} \rangle$. If Γ is a finitely generated group we equip it with a left invariant word metric $d_{\Gamma}: \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{N}$ induced by some finite generating subset S of Γ . We denote by $|\cdot|_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \to \mathbb{N}, |\gamma|_{\Gamma} = d_{\Gamma}(\gamma, 1), \gamma \in \Gamma$, the associated word length function. The group $Γ$ is called *Gromov hyperbolic* if its Cayley graph with respect to S, equipped with $d_Γ$, is a δ-hyperbolic space. We refer the reader to [\[21\]](#page-29-11), [\[11,](#page-29-12) part III] and [\[16\]](#page-29-13) for more background on Gromov hyperbolic spaces.

Let us recall once more that if N is a normal subgroup of Γ , the *fiber product of* Γ *with respect to* N is the subgroup of $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ generated by the diagonal and the subgroup $\{1\} \times N$:

$$
\Gamma \times_N \Gamma = \big\{ (\gamma, \gamma w) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma, \ w \in N \big\}.
$$

Fact 2.1. *If* $N = \langle \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle \rangle$, where \mathcal{F} *is a finite subset of* Γ , then $\{(g, g) : g \in S\} \cup \{(1, h) : h \in \mathcal{F}\}\$ *is a finite generating subset of* $\Gamma \times_N \Gamma$.

2.1. **Proximality.** We denote by k a local field, i.e R, C or a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p or the field of formal Laurent series $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$ over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q and by $|\cdot|: k \to \mathbb{R}^+$ the absolute value on k. If k is Archimedean (i.e. $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}) | | is the standard Euclidean absolute value. If k is non-Archimedean and $\omega : k \to \mathbb{Z} \cup {\infty}$ is a discrete valuation on k, the absolute value is $|\cdot| = q^{-\omega(\cdot)}$, where $q \in \mathbb{N}$ is the cardinality of the residue field of k. The ring of integers of k is $\mathcal{O} := \{x \in K : |x| \leq 1\}$ and we fix $\pi \in \mathcal{O}$ a uniformizer with $\omega(\pi) = 1$.

The algebra of $d \times d$ matrices with entries in k is denoted by $\mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$. The ℓ_2 -matrix norm $|| \cdot || : \mathfrak{gl}_d(k) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined as follows

$$
||B|| = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} |b_{ij}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1}^d, b_{ij} \in k$. For $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant d$, E_{ij} denotes the elementary matrix with 1 at the (i, j) -entry and 0 everywhere else.

Let $g \in GL_d(k)$ be a matrix. The eigenvalues of g lie in a finite extension k' of k. We denote by $\ell_1(g) \geq \ldots \geq \ell_d(g)$ the absolute values of the eigenvalues of g in non-increasing order. Note that for every $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ we have $\ell_i(g^{-1}) = \ell_{d-i+1}(g)^{-1}$. An element $g \in \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ is called *1-proximal* if $\ell_1(g) > \ell_2(g)$. If g is 1-proximal then it has a unique eigenvalue of maximum absolute value, necessarily in k. In this case, $g \in GL_d(k)$ admits a unique attracting fixed point $x_g^+ \in \mathbb{P}(k^d)$ and a repelling $(d-1)$ -hypeplane V_g^- such that $k^d = x_g^+ \oplus V_g^-$ and for every $y \in \mathbb{P}(k^d) \setminus \mathbb{P}(V_g^-), \lim_{n} g^n y = x_g^+.$

2.2. Cartan and Lyapunov projection on $G = GL_d(k)$. Let us consider the cone of \mathbb{R}^d

$$
\mathsf{E}^+ := \big\{ (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant x_d \big\}.
$$

(a) k *is Archimedean*. For a matrix $g = (g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^d$ denote by $g^* = (\overline{g_{ji}})_{i,j=1}^d$ and $g^t = (g_{ji})_{i,j=1}^d$ the conjugate transpose and transpose of g respectively. If $k = \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $k = \mathbb{C}$) let $K = O(d)$ (resp. $K = U(d)$) be the (unique up to conjugation) maximal compact subgroup of G. A maximal k -split torus of G is the set of diagonal matrices with entries in k with dominant Weyl chamber

$$
A^+ = \{ \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_d) : a_1 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant a_d, \ a_i \in \mathbb{R}^+ \}.
$$

The corresponding Cartan decomposition is $G = KA^+K$, i.e. every $g \in G$ is written in the form $g = w_g a_g w'_g$, where $w_g, w'_g \in K$ and $a_g \in A^+$. The *Cartan projection* is the map $\mu : G \to E^+$ defined as follows

$$
\mu(g) = (\log \sigma_1(g), \dots, \log \sigma_d(g))
$$

where $\sigma_i(g) = \sqrt{\ell_i(gg^*)}$ is the *i-th singular value of g*. The map μ is a continuous, proper and surjective map.

(b) k is non-Archimedean. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be the cardinality of the residue field of k. The group $K = GL_d(\mathcal{O})$ is the unique, up to conjugation, maximal compact subgroup of G. A maximal k -split torus of $\mathsf G$ is the set of diagonal matrices with entries in k with dominant Weyl chamber

$$
A^{+} = \{ \text{diag}(a_1, ..., a_d) : |a_1| \geqslant ... \geqslant |a_d|, a_i \in k^* \}
$$

and the corresponding Cartan decomposition is $G = KA^+K$. Every matrix $g \in G$ can be written in the form $g = w_g a_g^+ w'_g$, where $w_g, w'_g \in K$ and

$$
a_g^+ = \text{diag}\big(\pi^{n_1(g)}, \dots, \pi^{n_d(g)}\big)
$$

where $n_1(g), \ldots, n_d(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n_d(g) \geq \ldots \geq n_1(g)$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, the *i*-th *singular value of* g is

$$
\sigma_i(g) = \left| \pi^{n_i(g)} \right| = p^{-n_i(g)}
$$

.

The Cartan projection $\mu: \mathsf{G} \to \mathsf{E}^+$ is the vector valued map

$$
\mu(g) = \big(\log \sigma_1(g), \dots \log \sigma_d(g)\big), \ g \in \mathsf{G}.
$$

In this case, μ is proper and continuous map but not surjective onto E^+ .

In both cases where k is Archimedean or not, the *Lyapunov projection* is the map $\lambda : G \to E^+$ defined in terms of the Cartan projection as follows

$$
\lambda(g)=\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r}\mu(g^r)=\big(\log\ell_1(g),\ldots\log\ell_d(g)\big),\ g\in\mathsf{G}.
$$

Let us also recall the definition of a linear representation, over a local field, being a quasiisometric embedding.

Definition 2.2. Let H be a finitely generated group and fix $|\cdot|_H : H \to \mathbb{N}$ a word length function *on* H. A representation $\rho : H \to GL_d(k)$ *is called a quasi-isometric embedding if there exists* $C > 1$ *such that for every* $\gamma \in H$ *we have*

$$
C^{-1}|\gamma|_{\mathsf{H}} - C \leq \left|\left|\mu(\rho(\gamma))\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leq C|\gamma|_{\mathsf{H}} + C.
$$

Remark 2.3. If $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , let (X, d) be the Riemannian symmetric space G/K equipped with the Killing metric d. If k is non-Archimedean, (X, d) denotes the Bruhat–Tits building on which G acts properly by isometries, see [\[14\]](#page-29-14) for more details. A representation $\rho : \mathsf{H} \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ is a quasi-isometric embedding if and only if the orbit map $\tau_\rho : (H, d_H) \to (X, d), \tau_\rho(\gamma) =$ $\rho(\gamma)x_0, \gamma \in \mathsf{H}$, is a quasi-isometric embedding between metric spaces.

2.3. Semisimple representations. Let Γ be a group. A representation $\rho : \Gamma \to GL_d(k)$ is called *semisimple* if ρ decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible representations.

Fact 2.4. Let Γ be a group and $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ be a normal subgroup. Suppose that $\rho : \Gamma \to GL_d(k)$ is a *semisimple representation. Then the restriction* $\rho|_{\Gamma'} : \Gamma' \to GL_d(k)$ *is semisimple.*^{[2](#page-5-0)}

The following result, established by Benoist in [\[9\]](#page-29-15), using a result of Abels–Margulis–Soifer from [\[1\]](#page-29-16), offers a connection between eigenvalues and singular values of elements in the image of a semisimple linear representation over a local field. For a proof of the following result, in the case where k is Archimedean, we refer the reader to $[24, Thm. 4.12]$.

Theorem 2.5. Abels–Margulis–Soifer [\[1\]](#page-29-16), Benoist [\[9\]](#page-29-15) *Let* k *be a local field. Suppose that* Γ *is a group and* $\rho : \Gamma \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ *is a semisimple representation. There exist a finite subset* F of Γ *and* $C_{\rho} > 0$ *with the property: for every* $g \in \Gamma$ *there exists* $f \in F$ *such that*

$$
\left|\left|\mu(\rho(g)) - \lambda(\rho(gf))\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leq C_{\rho}.
$$

We will also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Let k be a local field and H be a group. Suppose that $\psi : \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H} \to \mathsf{GL}_m(k)$ is a *semisimple representation such that* ψ({1}×H) *contains a* 1*-proximal element. Then there exist finitely many group homomorphisms* $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_p : \mathsf{H} \to k^*$ *with the property: if* $g \in \mathsf{H}$, $\psi(1, g)$ *is* 1-proximal and $v_g^+ \in k^d$ is an eigenvector of $\psi(1,g)$ with respect to its eigenvalue of maximum *modulus, then there exists* $1 \leq r \leq p$ *such that for every* $h \in H$ *,*

$$
\psi(h,1)v_g^+ = \varepsilon_r(h)v_g^+.
$$

Proof. Observe that $\{1\} \times H$ is a normal subgroup of $H \times H$ and hence Fact [2.4](#page-5-1) implies that $\psi|_{\{1\}\times\mathsf{H}}$ is a semisimple representation since ψ is. Let V be the vector k-subspace of k^m spanned by the attracting eigenlines of 1-proximal elements of $\psi({1} \times H)$. Since $\psi|_{{1} \times H}$ is semisimple, there exists a decomposition

$$
V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_q
$$

into $\psi({1} \times H)$ -invariant subspaces such that the restriction of $\psi|_{V_i} : {1} \times H \to GL(V_i)$ is irreducible and its image contains a 1-proximal element. Note that for each i, the subspace V_i contains a basis $\mathcal{B}_i \subset V_i$, consisting entirely of attracting eigenvectors of 1-proximal elements of $\psi({1} \times H)|_{V_i}$. Since $\psi(H \times {1})$ centralizes $\psi({1} \times H)$, $\psi(H \times {1})$ fixes every vector in \mathcal{B}_i^3 \mathcal{B}_i^3 for every $1 \leq i \leq q$.

Now let us fix $h \in H$. Up to conjugation by an element of $GL(V_i)$, the restriction $\psi(h, 1)|_{V_i}$ on V_i is a diagonal matrix of the form

$$
diag(q_{i1}I_{d_1},\ldots,q_{is}I_{d_s})
$$

where $q_{ij} \in k^*$ and $\sum_{j=1}^s d_j = \dim_k(V_i)$, commuting with the irreducible subgroup $\psi({1} \times \mathsf{H})|_{V_i}$ of $\mathsf{GL}(V_i)$. Now suppose that there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $q_{ij} \neq q_{i\ell}$ for every $\ell \neq j$. Up to conjugation by an element of $GL(V_i)$, we may assume that $j = 1$. Since $\psi(h, 1)$ centralizes $\psi({1} \times \mathsf{H})|_{V_i}$, we check that for every $w \in \mathsf{H}$ the first row of $\psi(1, w)$ consists entirely of zeros

²If ρ is irreducible and $V' \subset k^d$ is a $\rho(\Gamma')$ -invariant k-subspace of minimum positive dimension, then, by using induction, there exist $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r \in \Gamma$ such that $k^d = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \rho(\gamma_i)V'.$

³Note that if $g, h \in GL_d(k)$ are commuting and g is 1-proximal, then $hx_g^+, x_g^+ \in \mathbb{P}(k^d)$ are attracting eigenvectors for g and hence $hx_g^+ = x_g^+$.

except in the (1, 1) entry. It follows that $\psi({1}\times H)|_{V_i}$ preserves a proper subspace of V_i which is a contradiction. Therefore, $q_{i1} = \cdots = q_{is}$ and $s = 1$. In conclusion, $\psi(h, 1)$ acts as scalar multiple of the identity on V_i for every $h \in H$ and $1 \leq i \leq q$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$ the algebra of $d \times d$ matrices with entries in k. Let Δ be a group and $\psi : \Delta \to$ $GL_d(k)$ be a representation. The representation ψ is called *spanning* if the vector subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$ spanned by $\psi(\Delta)$ is $\mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$. We close this section with the following analogue of Goursat's lemma describing the subspace generated by a product of two spanning representations.

Lemma 2.7. Let k be a local field and Δ be a group. Suppose that $\rho_1 : \Delta \to \mathsf{GL}_m(k)$ and $\rho_2 : \Delta \to GL_n(k)$ are two spanning representations. Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_m(k) \times \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ be the k-vector *subspace spanned by* $(\rho_1 \times \rho_2)(\Delta) := \{(\rho_1(\gamma), \rho_2(\gamma)) : \gamma \in \Delta\}$. Then one of the following holds: (i) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_m(k) \times \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$.

(ii) $n = m$ *and there exists* $h \in GL_n(k)$ *such that* $\rho_2(\gamma) = h\rho_1(\gamma)h^{-1}$ for every $\gamma \in \Delta$ *.*

Proof. We first observe that since Δ is a group, g is a sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_m(k) \times \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$. Let $pr_1: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}_m(k)$ and $pr_2: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ be the projections on the first and second coordinate respectively. Let us assume that $\mathfrak g$ is a proper sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_m(k) \times \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$. We are going to prove that $n = m$ and ρ_1 , ρ_2 are conjugate.

By assumption we have $pr_1(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{gl}_m(k)$ and $pr_2(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$. Now we claim that the projection pr₁ is injective. Suppose not. Then there exists $w \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(k) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(0, w) \in \mathfrak{g}$. Note that since \mathfrak{g} is $(\rho_1 \times \rho_2)(\Delta)$ invariant, $(0, \rho_2(\delta)w\rho_2(\gamma)) \in \mathfrak{g}$ for every $\gamma, \delta \in \Delta$. Since $\rho_2(\Delta)$ spans $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$, $\mathfrak g$ also contains the set $\mathcal{C}_w := \{(0, w_1ww_2) : w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)\}\.$ Moreover, note that there is no proper subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ which contains the set \mathcal{C}_w , since the latter contains all the elementary $n \times n$ matrices $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$. It follows that $\{0\} \times \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . In particular, $(\mathfrak{gl}_n(k) \times \{0\}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$ contains $\rho_1(\Delta) \times \{0\}$. Since ρ_1 is spanning, we similarly conclude that $\mathfrak{gl}_m(k) \times \{0\}$ is contained in g. Finally, we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_m(k) \times \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ contradicting our assumption that $\mathfrak g$ is proper. Therefore, $\text{pr}_1 : \mathfrak g \to \mathfrak{gl}_m(k)$ is an algebra isomorphism. Similarly, we check that $pr_2: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ is an algebra isomorphism.

It follows that $n = m$. By the Skolem–Noether theorem (e.g. see [\[32,](#page-30-6) p.174]) the automorphism $\operatorname{pr}_2 \circ \operatorname{pr}_1^{-1} : \mathfrak{gl}_n(k) \to \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ is inner, i.e. there exists $h \in \mathsf{GL}_n(k)$ such that $(\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1})(g) = hgh^{-1}$ for every $g \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$. In particular, since $\text{pr}_1^{-1}(\rho_1(\gamma)) = (\rho_1(\gamma), \rho_2(\gamma))$ for $\gamma \in \Delta$, we conclude that $\rho_2(\gamma) = h\rho_1(\gamma)h^{-1}$ for every $\gamma \in \Delta$.

3. Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0)

In this section we prove Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0) In order to simplify our notation in the following statements, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let k be a local field and H be a group. A representation $\rho : H \times H \rightarrow GL_d(k)$ is *called r-normal if there exist* $C, a > 0$ *such that*

$$
\left| \left| \rho \left(1, [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r]^{+1} \right) \right| \right| \leq Ce^{a \sum_{i=1}^r ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$
\n(3)

for every $w_1, \ldots, w_r \in \mathsf{H}$.

We will need the following lemma providing a sharper estimate from that of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) in the case where the representation ρ is semisimple.

Lemma 3.2. Let H be a group and $\rho : H \times H \rightarrow GL_d(k)$ a semisimple representation. There exist $C, c > 0$ *such that for every* $w \in [H, H]$ *we have*

$$
\left| \left| \mu(\rho(1, w)) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leq C \left| \left| \mu(\rho(w, w)) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}} + c. \tag{4}
$$

8 KONSTANTINOS TSOUVALAS

Proof. Let $\rho^*(w, w') = \rho((w, w')^{-1})^t$, $(w, w') \in \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H}$, be the dual representation of ρ . We may assume that the representation ρ is conjugate to ρ^* and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is even, otherwise we may replace ρ with the representation $\rho \times \rho^*$ and prove the statement for this representation. In particular,

$$
\ell_i(\rho(w, w')) = \ell_i(\rho(w, w')^{-1}) = \ell_{d-i+1}(\rho(w, w'))^{-1}
$$
\n(5)

for every $(w, w') \in H \times H$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \frac{d}{2}$. Since $\{1\} \times H$ is a normal subgroup of $H \times H$ and ρ is semisimple, Fact [2.4](#page-5-1) implies that $(\wedge^s \rho)|_{\{1\} \times H}$ is also a semisimple representation for every $1 \leq s \leq \frac{d}{2}$. Lemma [2.6](#page-5-3) shows that there exist finitely many group homomorphisms $\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_\ell$: $\mathsf{H} \to k^*$ with the following property: if $g \in \mathsf{H}$ and $\wedge^i \rho(1,g)$ is 1-proximal and $v_g^+ \in \wedge^i k^d$ is an eigenvector of $\wedge^i \rho(1,g)$ with respect to the eigenvalue of maximum modulus, there exists $1 \leq r \leq \ell$ such that

$$
\wedge^i \rho(h, 1)v_g^+ = \varepsilon_r(h)v_g^+\tag{6}
$$

for every $h \in H$. By Theorem [2.5](#page-5-4) there exists a finite subset F of $\{1\} \times H$ and $C_{\rho} > 0$ with the property: for every $w \in H$ there exists $(1, f) \in F$ such that

$$
\max_{1 \le i \le d} \left| \log \ell_i(\rho(1, wf)) - \log \sigma_i(\rho(1,w)) \right| \le C_\rho. \tag{7}
$$

Let us set $R := C_\rho + \max_{g \in F} |\det(\rho(1,g))|$. Fix now $w \in [\mathsf{H},\mathsf{H}]$, observe that $|\det(\rho(1,w))| = 1$, and choose $f \in H$ such that $f, w \in H$ satisfy [\(7\)](#page-7-0). If $\sum_{i=1}^{d} |\log \sigma_i(\rho(1, w))| < 10Rd$ then [\(4\)](#page-6-1) holds true for $c = 10Rd$. Thus, we continue by assuming that $\sum_{i=1}^{d} |\log \sigma_i(\rho(1, w))| \geq 10Rd$. Then [\(7\)](#page-7-0) implies that $\sum_{i=1}^d |\log \ell_i(\rho(1, wf))| \geq 9Rd$. By the choice of $R > 0$ and the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^d \log \ell_i(\rho(1, wf)) = \log |\det(\rho(1, f)|)$, we may choose $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ such that $\ell_i(\rho(1, wf)) >$ $\overline{\ell_{i+1}(\rho(1, wf))}$ (otherwise we would have $\log \ell_i(\rho(1, wf)) = \frac{1}{d} \log |\det \rho(1, f)|$ for every *i*, which cannot happen since $\sum_{i=1}^{d} |\log \ell_i(\rho(1, wf))| \geq 9Rd$. Therefore, $\wedge^i \rho(1, wf)$ is 1-proximal and we may assume by [\(5\)](#page-7-1) that $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \frac{d}{2}$. Let $v_{wf}^+ \in \wedge^i k^d$ be a unit eigenvector of $\wedge^i \rho(1, wf)$ corresponding to its eigenvalue of maximum modulus. By [\(6\)](#page-7-2) we may choose $1 \leq r \leq \ell$ and write

$$
\wedge^i \rho(wf,1)v_{wf}^+ = \varepsilon_r(wf)v_{wf}^+ = \varepsilon_r(f)v_{wf}^+,
$$

since $f \in N$, $w \in [H, H]$ and $[H, H] \subset \text{ker} \varepsilon_r$. We deduce that

$$
\left| \begin{aligned} \left| \begin{aligned} \wedge^i \rho(wf, wf) \right| &\right| &\geq \left| \left| \begin{aligned} \wedge^i \rho(wf, wf)v_{wf}^+ \right| \right| \\ &= \left| \left| \begin{aligned} \wedge^i \rho(wf, 1) \wedge^i \rho(1, wf)v_{wf}^+ \right| \right| \\ &= \left| \varepsilon_r(f) \right| &\left(\left| \begin{aligned} \wedge^i \rho(1, wf) \right) \end{aligned} \right| \end{aligned} \right| \\ &= \left| \varepsilon_r(f) \right| &\left(\ell_1(\wedge^i \rho(1, wf)) \ell_1(\wedge^i \rho(1,(wf)^{-1})) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left| \varepsilon_r(f) \right| \left(\prod_{j=1}^i \frac{\ell_j(\rho(1, wf))}{\ell_{d-j+1}(\rho(1, wf))} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq \left(\min_{1 \leq q \leq \ell} \min_{(1,g) \in F} \left| \varepsilon_q(g) \right| \right) \left(\frac{\ell_1(\rho(1, wf))}{\ell_d(\rho(1, wf))} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq e^{-C} \left(\min_{1 \leq q \leq \ell} \min_{(1,g) \in F} \left| \varepsilon_q(g) \right| \right) \left(\frac{\sigma_1(\rho(1,w))}{\sigma_d(\rho(1,w))} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .\end{aligned} \right.
$$

We conclude that there exist constants $M_1, M_2, M_3 > 100Rd$, depending only on the representation $\rho, d \in \mathbb{N}$, the finite set $F \subset \{1\} \times H$ and the the constant $\min_{1 \leq q \leq \ell} \min_{(1,q) \in F} |\varepsilon_q(g)|$, such that:

$$
\log\frac{\sigma_1\big(\rho(1,w)\big)}{\sigma_d\big(\rho(1,w)\big)} \leqslant 2\max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant \frac{d}{2}}\log\left|\left|\right. \wedge^i\rho\big(wf,wf\big)\right|\right|+M_1\leqslant M_3\log\frac{\sigma_1\big(\rho(w,w)\big)}{\sigma_d\big(\rho(w,w)\big)}+M_2
$$

for every $w \in [H, H]$. The proof of the lemma is complete.

3.1. Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0) Let us now recall Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) which states that every linear representation of a direct product of the form $H \times H$ is q-normal for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$:

Theorem 3.3. (*Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0)*) *Let* k *be a local field and* H *be a group. For every representation* $\rho : \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H} \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ *there exists* $C > 1$ *, depending only on* ρ *, with the property: for every* $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_r \in \mathsf{H} \setminus \{1\}$ and $r \geq d+1$ we have that

$$
\left|\left|\mu\big(\rho\big(1,[w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_r]\big)\big)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leqslant 2^r C\Big(1+\sum_{i=1}^r \left|\left|\mu\big(\rho(w_i,w_i)\big)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}}\Big).
$$

For the proof we need the following elementary fact.

Lemma 3.4. Let H be a group and suppose that $\rho : \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H} \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ is a representation which is *r*-normal. Then ρ is m-normal for every $m \geq r$. In particular, there exist $\mathcal{K}, a > 1$, depending *only on* ρ*, such that*

$$
\left| \left| \rho \left(1, [w_1, \ldots, w_m]^{\pm 1} \right) \right| \right| \leqslant \mathcal{K}^{2^{m-r}} e^{2^{m-r} a \sum_{i=1}^r ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$

for every $w_1, \ldots, w_m \in \mathsf{H}$.

Proof. Let us observe that there exist constants $C, a > 1$, depending only on $d \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$
\left|\left|\rho\big(g,g\big)\right|\right| \leqslant Ce^{a||\mu\big(\rho(g,g)\big)||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$

for every $q \in \Gamma$. Since ρ is r-normal, by enlarging $C, a > 1$ if necessary, we may assume that

$$
\left\| \rho \big(1, [w_1, \ldots, w_r]^{+1} \big) \right\| \leq Ce^{a \sum_{i=1}^r ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}},
$$

for every $w_1, \ldots, w_r \in \mathsf{H}$. Now we may use induction to prove

$$
\left| \left| \rho \left(1, [w_1, \dots, w_m]^{\pm 1} \right) \right| \right| \leq C^{-2 + 6 \cdot 2^{m-r}} e^{2^{m-r} a \sum_{i=1}^r ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$
(8)

for every $w_1, \ldots, w_m \in \mathsf{H}, m \geq r$. Obviously [\(8\)](#page-8-0) holds for $m = r$. Assume now that (8) holds for $m \geq r$ and let $w_1, \ldots, w_m, w_{m+1} \in \mathsf{H}$. We set $z_m := [w_1, \ldots, w_m]$ and observe that

$$
\left| \left| \rho(1, [z_m, w_{m+1}]) \right| \right| = \left| \left| \rho(1, z_m^{-1}) \rho(w_{m+1}^{-1}, w_{m+1}^{-1}) \rho(1, z_m) \rho(w_{m+1}, w_{m+1}) \right| \right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left| \left| \rho(1, z_m^{-1}) \right| \right| \cdot \left| \left| \rho(w_{m+1}^{-1}, w_{m+1}^{-1}) \right| \right| \cdot \left| \left| \rho(1, z_m) \right| \right| \cdot \left| \left| \rho(w_{m+1}, w_{m+1}) \right| \right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq C^{-4+12 \cdot 2^{m-r}} e^{2^{m-r+1} \alpha \sum_{i=1}^m ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}} \cdot C^2 e^{2a ||\mu(\rho(w_{m+1}, w_{m+1}))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$

\n
$$
\leq C^{-2+6 \cdot 2^{m+1-r}} e^{2^{m+1-r} \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}.
$$

We similarly check the same bound holds for $||\rho(1,[w_{m+1}, z_m])||$. This completes the proof of the induction and the conclusion follows. $\hfill \square$

The proof Theorem [3.3](#page-8-1) is based on the following key lemma.

Lemma 3.5. Let H be a group and $\rho : H \times H \rightarrow GL_d(k)$ be a representation of the form:

$$
\rho(g,g') = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(g,g') & \dots & * \\ 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_r(g,g') \end{pmatrix}, \quad (g,g') \in \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H},
$$

where $\{\rho_i : \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H} \to \mathsf{GL}_{d_i}(k)\}_{i=1}^r$ are spanning representations and $d = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i$. Suppose that ρ_i *is* 2*-normal for every* $1 \leq i \leq r$ *. Then* ρ *is* $(r + 1)$ *-normal.*

Before we give the proof of Lemma [3.5,](#page-8-2) we will also need the following observation.

Observation 3.6. Let ψ : $H \times H \rightarrow GL_d(k)$ be a representation and $\{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_m, y_m)\}\$ a *finite subset of* $H \times H$ *. There exist* $C, a > 0$ *, depending only on* ψ *, such that for every* $w \in H$ *,*

$$
\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left| \left| \psi(wx_i, y_i w)^{\pm 1} \right| \right| \leq Ce^{a ||\mu(\psi(w, w))||_{\mathbb{E}}}.
$$

Proof. Observe that for every $w \in H$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$ we can write

$$
(wx_i, y_iw) = (w, w) (x_i, x_i) (1, x_i)^{-1} (w, w)^{-1} (1, y_i) (w, w).
$$

The observation now follows directly from the sub-multiplicativity of the ℓ_2 -norm $||\cdot||$. \Box

Proof of Lemma [3.5.](#page-8-2) We shall use induction on the number $r \in \mathbb{N}$ of the spanning representations $\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_r$. First, we are going to prove the statement for $r = 2$.

Case 1: *Suppose that* $r = 2$. The representation ρ is of the form

$$
\rho(g,g') = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(g,g') & u(g,g') \\ 0 & \rho_2(g,g') \end{pmatrix}, \quad (g,g') \in \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H},
$$

for some matrix valued function $u : H \times H \to Mat_{d_1 \times d_2}(k)$. Observe that there exist $R, c > 0$ such that

$$
\max_{i=1,2} ||\rho_i(g,g)|| \le ||\rho(g,g)|| \le Re^{c||\mu(\rho(g,g))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$
\n(9)

for every $g \in H$. It is enough to prove that there exist $J, a > 0$ such that

$$
\left| \left| u \big(1, [w_1, w_2] \big) \right| \right| \leqslant Je^{a \sum_{i=1}^2 ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$

for every $w_1, w_2 \in \mathsf{H}$. By assumption, ρ_1, ρ_2 are spanning representations, hence by Lemma [2.7](#page-6-2) there are two cases to consider:

Case 1a. $\langle (\rho_1 \times \rho_2)(\mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H}) \rangle = \mathfrak{gl}_{d_1}(k) \times \mathfrak{gl}_{d_2}(k)$. In particular, we may choose $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^q \subset H \times H$ and $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^q \subset k$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} c_i \rho_1(a_i, b_i) = I_{d_1} \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{q} c_i \rho_2(a_i, b_i) = 0_{d_2}.
$$

Let $w_1, w_2 \in H$ be arbitrary elements and set $w := [w_1, w_2]$. We may directly check that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} c_i \rho(wa_i, b_i w) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(w, w) & \mathcal{Y}(w) \\ 0 & 0_{d_2} \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\mathcal{Y}(w) := \rho_1(w, 1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} c_i u(a_i, b_i) \right) \rho_2(1, w) + \rho_1(w, 1) u(1, w)
$$

and note that

$$
\left| \left| \mathcal{Y}(w) \right| \right| \leq \left| \left| \sum_{i=1}^{q} c_i \rho(wa_i, b_i w) \right| \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} |c_i| \left| \left| \rho(wa_i, b_i w) \right| \right|.
$$

Therefore, by Observation [3.6,](#page-9-0) the fact that ρ_1 and ρ_2 are 2-normal and [\(9\)](#page-9-1), there exist $R_1, r_1 > 0$, depending only on ρ and $c_1, \ldots, c_q \in k$, such that

$$
\left| |u(1, w)| \right| = \left| \left| \rho_1(w, 1)^{-1} \mathcal{Y}(w) - \sum_{i=1}^q c_i u(a_i, b_i) \rho_2(1, w) \right| \right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left| \left| \rho_1(1, w)^{-1} \right| \right| \cdot \left| \left| \sum_{i=1}^q c_i \rho(wa_i, b_i w) \right| \right| + \sum_{i=1}^q |c_i| \left| |u(a_i, b_i)| \right| \cdot \left| \left| \rho_2(1, w) \right| \right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq R_1 e^{r_1 \sum_{i=1}^2 ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}. \right|
$$

We deduce that there exist $R_2, r_2 > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$
\left| \left| \rho \big(1, [w_1, w_2] \big) \right| \right| \leq R_2 e^{r_2 \sum_{i=1}^2 ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$

for every $w_1, w_2 \in \mathsf{H}$. It follows that ρ is 2-normal and hence 3-normal by Lemma [3.4.](#page-8-3) \Box

Case 1b. $d_1 = d_2$ *and* ρ_1 *and* ρ_2 *are conjugate.*

Up to conjugating ρ by an element of $GL_{d_1}(k) \times GL_{d_1}(k)$ we may assume that $\rho_1 = \rho_2$. By assumption, $\rho_1(H \times H)$ spans $\mathfrak{gl}_{d_1}(k)$, hence for every $1 \leq i, j \leq d_1$ there exist $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$, ${c_{ijk}}_{\ell=1}^{m_{ij}} \subset k$ and ${(a_{ij\ell}, b_{ij\ell})}_{\ell=1}^{m_{ij}} \subset H \times H$ such that $\sum_{\ell=1}^{m_{ij}} c_{ij\ell} \rho_1(a_{ij\ell}, b_{ij\ell}) = E_{ij}$.

Now let $w_1, w_2 \in \mathsf{H}$ and set $w := [w_1, w_2]$. For every $1 \leq i, j \leq d_1$ we have:

$$
\sum_{\ell=1}^{m_{ij}} c_{ij\ell} \rho(w a_{ij\ell}, b_{ij\ell} w) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(w, 1) & u(w, 1) \\ 0 & \rho_1(w, 1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{ij} & \mathcal{U}_{ij} \\ 0 & E_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(1, w) & u(1, w) \\ 0 & \rho_1(1, w) \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
= \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(w, 1) E_{ij} \rho_1(1, w) & \mathcal{V}_{ij}(w) \\ 0 & \rho_1(w, 1) E_{ij} \rho_1(1, w) \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\mathcal{U}_{ij} := \sum_{\ell=1}^{m_{ij}} c_{ij\ell} u(a_{ij\ell}, b_{ij\ell}),
$$

$$
\mathcal{V}_{ij}(w) := \rho_1(w, 1) \mathcal{U}_{ij} \rho_1(1, w) + u(w, 1) E_{ij} \rho_1(1, w) + \rho_1(w, 1) E_{ij} u(1, w).
$$

By Observation [3.6,](#page-9-0) the fact that ρ_1 is 2-normal and [\(9\)](#page-9-1), we obtain $B_0, b_0 > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$
\left| \left| u(w,1) E_{ij} \rho_1(1,w) + \rho_1(w,1) E_{ij} u(1,w) \right| \right| = \left| \left| \mathcal{V}_{ij}(w) - \rho_1(w,1) \mathcal{U}_{ij} \rho_1(1,w) \right| \right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left| \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^{m_{ij}} c_{ij\ell} \rho(w a_{ij\ell}, b_{ij\ell} w) \right| \right| + \left| \left| \rho_1(1,w) \right| \right| \cdot \left| \left| \rho_1(w,1) \right| \right| \cdot \left| \left| \mathcal{U}_{ij} \right| \right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq B_0 e^{b_0 \sum_{i=1}^2 ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$

for every $1 \leq i, j \leq d_1$. Let us also observe that for every $g \in H$ we have

$$
u(g,g) = \rho_1(g,1)u(1,g) + u(g,1)\rho_1(1,g)
$$

\n
$$
u(g,1) = u(g,g)\rho_1(1,g)^{-1} - \rho_1(g,1)u(1,g)\rho_1(1,g)^{-1}.
$$
\n(10)

Therefore, since ρ_1 is 2-normal and by [\(9\)](#page-9-1) we have $||u(w,w)|| \leqslant Re^{c||\mu(\rho(w,w))||_{\mathbb{E}}}$, there exist $B_1, b_1 > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$
\left|\left|\rho_1(w,1)u(1,w)\rho_1(1,w)^{-1}E_{ij}\rho_1(1,w)-\rho_1(w,1)E_{ij}u(1,w)\right|\right|\leq B_1e^{b_1\sum_{i=1}^2||\mu(\rho(w_i,w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}.
$$

In addition, by the sub-multiplicativity of the ℓ_2 -norm, note that

$$
\left| \left| u(1,w)\rho_1(1,w)^{-1} E_{ij} - E_{ij} u(1,w)\rho_1(1,w)^{-1} \right| \right| \leq \left| \left| \rho_1(w,1)^{-1} \right| \right| \cdot \left| \left| \rho_1(1,w)^{-1} \right| \right|.
$$
 (11)

12 KONSTANTINOS TSOUVALAS

$$
\cdot \Big\|\rho_1(w,1)u(1,w)\rho_1(1,w)^{-1}E_{ij}\rho_1(1,w)-\rho_1(w,1)E_{ij}u(1,w)\Big\|.
$$

Since ρ_1 is 2-normal, by using [\(11\)](#page-10-0), we deduce that there exist $B_2, b_2 > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that for every $w_1, w_2 \in \mathsf{H}$ we have

$$
\max_{1 \leq i,j \leq d_1} \left| \left| (u(1,w)\rho_1(1,w)^{-1}) E_{ij} - E_{ij} \left(u(1,w)\rho_1(1,w)^{-1} \right) \right| \right| \leq B_2 e^{b_2 \sum_{i=1}^2 ||\mu(\rho(w_i,w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}, \tag{12}
$$

where $w = [w_1, w_2]$. By using [\(12\)](#page-11-0) and the bound $||Q - q_{11}I_d|| \le d \max_{1 \le i,j \le d} ||QE_{ij} - E_{ij}Q||$ for any matrix $Q = (q_{ij})_{i,j=1}^d \in \mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$, we may find $B_3, b_3 > 0$, depending only on ρ , and write

$$
u(1, w)\rho_1(1, w)^{-1} = \phi(w)I_{d_1} + \mathcal{D}_w,
$$

for some $\phi(w) \in k$ and $\mathcal{D}_w \in \mathfrak{gl}_{d_1}(k)$ with $||\mathcal{D}_w|| \leqslant B_3 e^{b_3 \sum_{i=1}^2 ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Equivalently, since ρ_1 is 2-normal, there exist B_4 , $b_4 > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$
u(1, w) = \phi(w)\rho_1(1, w) + \mathcal{D}'_w,\tag{13}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}'_w \in \mathfrak{gl}_{d_1}(k)$ and $||\mathcal{D}'_w|| \leqslant B_4 e^{b_4 \sum_{i=1}^2 ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}$. By using the fact that

$$
u(1, w^{-1}) = -\rho_1(1, w)^{-1}u(1, w)\rho_1(1, w)^{-1},
$$

 ρ_1 is 2-normal and [\(13\)](#page-11-1), we may choose $B_5, b_5 > 0$, depending only on ρ , and write

$$
u(1, w^{-1}) = -\phi(w)\rho_1(1, w)^{-1} + \mathcal{D}'_{w^{-1}}
$$
\n(14)

 $\text{for some } \mathcal{D}'_{w^{-1}} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{d_1}(k) \text{ with } \left| \left| \mathcal{D}'_{w^{-1}} \right| \right| \leqslant \left| \left| \rho_1(1,w^{-1}) \right| \right|^2 \left| \left| \mathcal{D}'_{w} \right| \right| \leqslant B_5 e^{b_5 \sum_{i=1}^2 ||\mu(\rho(w_i,w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}.$ Let us now fix $w_3 \in H$. Then we have the following straightforward calculation:

$$
\rho(1,[w,w_3]) = \rho(1,w^{-1})\rho(w_3^{-1},w_3^{-1})\rho(1,w)\rho(w_3,w_3)
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{pmatrix}\n\rho_1(w_3^{-1},w^{-1}w_3^{-1}) & -\phi(w)\rho_1(w_3^{-1},w^{-1}w_3^{-1}) + \mathcal{D}'_{w^{-1}}\rho_1(w_3^{-1},w_3^{-1}) + \rho_1(1,w^{-1})u(w_3^{-1},w_3^{-1}) \\
0 & \rho_1(w_3^{-1},w^{-1}w_3^{-1})\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n
$$
\cdot \begin{pmatrix}\n\rho_1(w_3,ww_3) & \eta(w)\rho_1(w_3,ww_3) + \mathcal{D}'_{w}\rho_1(w_3,w_3) + \rho_1(1,w)u(w_3,w_3) \\
0 & \rho_1(w_3,ww_3)\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{pmatrix}\n\rho_1(1,[w,w_3]) & u(1,[w,w_3]) \\
0 & \rho_1(1,[w,w_3])\n\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n
$$
u(1,[w,w_3]) := \frac{\rho_1(w_3^{-1},w^{-1}w_3^{-1})\phi(w)\rho_1(w_3,ww_3)}{1 + \rho_1(w_3^{-1},w_3^{-1}) + \rho_1(1,w^{-1})u(w_3^{-1},w_3^{-1})} \rho_1(w_3,ww_3)
$$
\n
$$
+ \rho_1(w_3^{-1},w^{-1}w_3^{-1})\left(\mathcal{D}'_{w}\rho_1(w_3,w_3) + \rho_1(1,w)u(w_3,w_3)\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\phi(w)\rho_1(w_3^{-1},w^{-1}w_3^{-1})\rho_1(w_3,w_3)}{1 + \phi(w_3^{-1},w_3^{-1}) + \phi(w_3,w_3)}.
$$
\n(15)

The underlined terms cancel, so $u(1,[w,w_3])$ does not depend on the scalar $\phi(w) \in k$. We recall that ρ_1 is 2-normal and there exist B_6 , $b_6 > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$
\left| \left| \mathcal{D}'_{w^{\pm 1}} \right| \right| \leq B_6 e^{b_6 \sum_{i=1}^2 ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$

We immediately check that all the remaining terms of $u(1,[w,w_3])$ are bounded by $B_7e^{b_7\sum_{i=1}^3||\mu(\rho(w_i,w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}},$ where $B_7, b_7 > 0$ are constants depending only on ρ . Finally, by working similarly with the commutator $[w_3, [w_1, w_2]]$, we deduce that there exist $B_8, b_8 > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

.

$$
\left\| \rho \big(1, \big[[w_1, w_2], w_3 \big]^{ \pm 1} \big) \right\| \leq B_8 e^{b_8 \sum_{i=1}^3 ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$

for every $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in \mathsf{H}$. We conclude that ρ is 3-normal. This completes the proof of the lemma when $r = 2$. \Box

Case 2: *Suppose that* $r \geq 3$. The representation ρ has the form

$$
\rho(g,g') = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(g,g') & v_r(g,g') & a_{1r}(g,g') \\ 0 & U_r(g,g') & V_r(g,g') \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_r(g,g') \end{pmatrix}, (g,g') \in \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H},
$$

where $v_r(g, g')$ is the collection of matrix blocks of ρ different from $(1, 1)$ in the first row, $a_{1r}: \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H} \to \mathsf{Mat}_{d_1 \times d_r}(k)$ is the $(1,r)$ -block of ρ , $V_r(g, g')$ is the collection of the blocks in the last column (except from $a_{1r}(g, g')$). The representation of $H \times H$ defined by U_r is written in upper block form and has at most $r - 2$ diagonal irreducible block representations.

By the induction hypothesis and Lemma [3.4,](#page-8-3) there exist C_0 , $c_0 > 0$ such that

 $\max\{\big|\big|v_r(1,w)\big|\big|,\big|\big|U_r(1,w)\big|\big|,\big|\big|V_r(1,w)\big|\big|,\big|\big|V_r(w,1)\big|\big|,\big|\big|U_r(w,1)\big|\big|,\big|\big|V_r(w,1)\big|\big|,\big|\big|V_r(w,1)\big|\big|\big|,\big|\big|V_r(w,1)\big|\big|\big|,\big|\big|V_r(w,1)\big|\big|\big|,\big|\big|V_r(w,1)\big|\big|\big|,\big|\big|V_r(w,1)\big|\big|\big|,\big|\big|V_r(w,1)\big|\big|\big|,\big|\big|V_r(w,1)\big|\big|\big|,\big|\big|V_r(w$ (16)

for every $w_1, \ldots, w_r \in H$ and $w = [w_1, \ldots, w_r]$. It remains to show that there exists $J, a > 0$ such that

$$
\left| \left| a_{1r} \left(1, [w_1, \dots, w_{r+1}] \right) \right| \right| \leqslant J e^{a \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$
\n(17)

for every $w_1, \ldots, w_r, w_{r+1} \in \mathsf{H}$.

Similarly as before, by using Lemma [2.7,](#page-6-2) it is enough to consider two sub-cases for the spanning representations ρ_1 and ρ_r :

Case 2a. $\langle (\rho_1 \times \rho_r)(\mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H}) \rangle = \mathfrak{gl}_{d_1}(k) \times \mathfrak{gl}_{d_r}(k)$. Let $w_1, \ldots, w_r \in \mathsf{H}$ be arbitrary elements and set $w := [w_1, \ldots, w_r]$. We may choose $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^q \subset k$ and $\{(\gamma_i, \delta_i)\}_{i=1}^q \subset \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q} c_i \rho_1(\gamma_i, \delta_i) = I_{d_1} \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{q} c_i \rho_r(\gamma_i, \delta_i) = 0_{d_r}.
$$

Similarly as in Case 1a a direct computation shows that the $(1, r)$ -block of the matrix $\mathcal{Q}(w) :=$ $\sum_{i=1}^q c_i \rho(w\gamma_i, \delta_i w) = \rho(w, 1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^q c_i \rho(\gamma_i, \delta_i)\right) \rho(1, w)$ has the form

$$
\rho_1(w,1)a_{1r}(1,w) + (\rho_1(w,1)A + v_r(w,1)B)V_r(1,w) + (\rho_1(w,1)C + v_r(w,1)D)\rho_r(1,w)
$$

where A, B, C, D are sub-blocks of the matrix $\sum_{i=1}^{q} c_i \rho(\gamma_i, \delta_i) \in \mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$. On the other hand, by using Observation [3.6,](#page-9-0) we may find $C_1, c_1 > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$
\left|\left|\mathcal{Q}(w)\right|\right|\leqslant C_{1}e^{c_{1}||\mu(\rho(w,w))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$

for every $w_1, \ldots, w_r \in \mathsf{H}$ and $w = [w_1, \ldots, w_r]$. By using the fact that ρ_1 is 2-normal and [\(16\)](#page-12-0) we deduce that a_{1r} satisfies [\(17\)](#page-12-1) and hence ρ is r-normal. \Box

Case 2b. The representations ρ_1 *and* ρ_r *are conjugate.*

Up to conjugation by an element of $GL_d(k)$ we may assume that $\rho_1 = \rho_r$. For every $1 \leq i, j \leq d_1$, we choose $\{c_{ij\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{q_{ij}} \subset k$ and $\{(\gamma_{ij\ell}, \delta_{ij\ell})\}_{\ell=1}^{q_{ij}} \subset H \times H$ such that

$$
E_{ij} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{q_{ij}} c_{ij\ell} \rho_1(\gamma_{ij\ell}, \delta_{ij\ell}).
$$

Let us fix again arbitrary elements $w_1, \ldots, w_r \in \mathsf{H}$ and $w := [w_1, \ldots, w_r]$. Then if we let $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(w) := \sum_{\ell=1}^{q_{ij}} c_{ij\ell} \rho(w_{\gamma_{ij}\ell}, \delta_{ij\ell}w)$, by Observation [3.6,](#page-9-0) there exist $C_2, c_2 > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$
\left| \left| \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(w) \right| \right| \leqslant C_2 e^{c_2 ||\mu(\rho(w,w))||_{\mathbb{E}}}.
$$

By looking the $(1, r)$ -block of $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(w)$ and using [\(16\)](#page-12-0), there exist C_3 , $c_3 > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$
\left| \left| \rho_1(w, 1) E_{ij} a_{1r}(1, w) + a_{1r}(w, 1) E_{ij} \rho_1(1, w) \right| \right| \leq C_3 e^{c_3 \sum_{i=1}^r ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}.
$$
 (18)

Let us observe that

$$
a_{1r}(w, w) = \rho_1(w, 1)a_{1r}(1, w) + a_{1r}(w, 1)\rho_1(1, w) + v_r(w, 1)V_r(1, w),
$$

hence, by using [\(16\)](#page-12-0), there exist C_4 , $c_4 > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$
\left| \left| \rho_1(w,1) a_{1r}(1,w) + a_{1r}(w,1) \rho_1(1,w) \right| \right| \leq C_4 e^{c_4 \sum_{i=1}^r ||\mu(\rho(w_i,w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}. \tag{19}
$$

Then, by using [\(18\)](#page-13-0) and [\(19\)](#page-13-1) and the fact that ρ_1 is 2-normal, we may find constants C_5 , $c_5 > 0$, depending only on ρ , with the property

$$
\max_{1 \leq i,j \leq d_1} \left| \left| (a_{1r}(1,w)\rho_1(1,w)^{-1}) E_{ij} - E_{ij} \left(a_{1r}(1,w)\rho_1(1,w)^{-1} \right) \right| \right| \leq C_5 e^{c_5 \sum_{i=1}^r ||\mu(\rho(w_i,w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}.
$$
\n(20)

for every $w_1, \ldots, w_r \in \mathsf{H}$ and $w = [w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_r]$. Then we may find constants $C_6, c_6 > 0$ depending only on ρ and write

$$
a_{1r}(1, w) = \chi(w)\rho_1(1, w) + \Omega_w, \quad \left| |\Omega_w| \right| \leq C_6 e^{c_6 \sum_{i=1}^r ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$
(21)

for some $\chi(w) \in k$. In addition, let us observe that

$$
\rho_1(1, w)a_{1r}(1, w^{-1}) + v_r(1, w)V_r(1, w^{-1}) + a_{1r}(1, w)\rho_1(1, w^{-1}) = 0_{d_1},
$$

hence by using the fact that ρ_1 is 2-normal and [\(16\)](#page-12-0), there exist C_7 , $c_7 > 0$ depending only on ρ such that

$$
\left| \left| a_{1r}(1, w^{-1}) + \rho_1(1, w)^{-1} a_{1r}(w, 1)\rho_1(1, w)^{-1} \right| \right| \leq C_7 e^{c_7 \sum_{i=1}^r ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}. \tag{22}
$$

By using (21) and (22) we may write

$$
a_{1r}(1, w^{-1}) = -\chi(w)\rho_1(1, w^{-1}) + \Omega'_w, \quad ||\Omega'_w|| \leq C_8 e^{c_8 \sum_{i=1}^r ||\mu(\rho(w_i, w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$
(23)

for some C_8 , $c_8 > 0$ depending only on ρ . By writing

$$
\rho(1,[w,w_{r+1}]) = \rho(1,w^{-1})\rho(w_{r+1}^{-1},w_{r+1}^{-1})\rho(1,w)\rho(w_{r+1},w_{r+1}),
$$

making a similar calculation as in (15) and using (21) and (23) , we may check that for every $w_{r+1} \in H$ the entries $a_{1r}(1,[w,w_{r+1}])$ and $a_{1r}(1,[w_{r+1},w])$ of $\rho(1,[w,w_{r+1}])$ do not depend on $\chi(w) \in k$ and there exist constants $C_9, c_9 > 0$ such that

$$
\left| \left| a_{1r}(1,[w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_{r+1}]^{\pm 1}) \right| \right| \leq C_9 e^{c_9 \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} ||\mu(\rho(w_i,w_i))||_{\mathbb{E}}}
$$

for every $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_r \in N$. Therefore, we conclude that $a_{1r}: \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H} \to \mathsf{Mat}_{d_1 \times d_r}(k)$ satisfies [\(17\)](#page-12-1) for some $J, a > 0$ depending only on ρ . In particular, Lemma [3.4](#page-8-3) shows that ρ is also s-normal for every $s \geq r + 1$. The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Before we give the proof of Theorem [3.3](#page-8-1) we will also need the following observation.

Lemma 3.7. Let k be a local field, Γ a group and $\rho : \Gamma \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ a representation. There exists *a finite extension* k¹ *of* k *such that* ρ *has the form*

$$
\rho(\gamma) = h \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(\gamma) & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_r(\gamma) \end{pmatrix} h^{-1}, \ \gamma \in \Gamma
$$
 (24)

where $\{\rho_i : \Gamma \to \mathsf{GL}_{d_i}(k_1)\}_{i=1}^r$ are spanning representations, $h \in \mathsf{GL}_d(k_1)$ and $d = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i$.

Proof. Let \overline{k} be the algebraic closure of k. There exists $h \in GL_d(\overline{k})$ and irreducible representations $\left\{\rho_i: \Gamma \to \mathsf{GL}_{d_i}(\overline{k})\right\}_{i=1}^r$, where $d = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i$, such that ρ has the form [\(24\)](#page-13-5). Let $\{b_{ij} : \Gamma \to \overline{k}\}_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{F}}$ be the finite set of matrix entries off the diagonal, $a_{ij}(h^{\pm 1}) \in \overline{k}$ the (i, j) entry of $h^{\pm 1} \in GL_d(\overline{k})$ and set $k_0 := k(\lbrace a_{ij}(h^{\pm 1}) : i, j = 1, \ldots, d \rbrace)$. Observe that the extension $k \subset k_0$ is finite (since $k \subset k$ is algebraic), $\rho_i(\gamma) \in \mathsf{GL}_{d_i}(k_0)$ and $b_{ij}(\gamma) \in k_0$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Moreover, since ρ_1, \ldots, ρ_r are irreducible representations over the algebraically closed field \overline{k} , by Burnside's theorem (e.g. see [\[31\]](#page-30-7)), the representation ρ_i is spanning for every *i*. In particular, for every $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq p_i \leq d_i$ and $1 \leq q_i \leq d_i$, there exist $\gamma_{p_i q_i 1}, \ldots, \gamma_{p_i q_i r_i} \in \Gamma$ such that $E_{p_i q_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \epsilon_{p_i q_i j} \rho_i(\gamma_{p_i q_i j})$ and $\epsilon_{p_i q_i 1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{p_i q_i d_i} \in \overline{k}$. Now we consider the field

$$
k_1 := k_0\big(\{\epsilon_{p_i q_i j} : 1 \leqslant p_i, q_i \leqslant d_i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r\}\big)
$$

and note that $k \subset k_1$ is a finite field extension. By the definition of $k_1, \rho_i : \Gamma \to \mathsf{GL}_{d_i}(k_1)$ is a spanning representation for every i. Moreover, since $k_0 \subset k_1$, $b_{ij}(\gamma) \in k_1$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and every *i*, *j*. It follows that k_1 satisfies the conclusion of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem [3.3.](#page-8-1) By Lemma [3.7,](#page-13-6) we may replace k with a finite extension and conjugate with an element of $GL_d(k)$ so that ρ has the form:

$$
\rho(g,g') = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(g,g') & \dots & * \\ 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_s(g,g') \end{pmatrix}, \quad (g,g') \in \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H},
$$

where $d = \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i$ and $\{\rho_i : \mathsf{H} \times \mathsf{H} \to \mathsf{GL}_{d_i}(k)\}_{i=1}^{s}$ are spanning representations. In particular, for every *i*, ρ_i is irreducible and hence 2-normal by Lemma [3.2.](#page-6-3) Therefore, Lemma [3.5](#page-8-2) shows that ρ is $(s + 1)$ -normal. In particular, by Lemma [3.4,](#page-8-3) ρ is m-normal for every $m \geq s + 1$. In other words there exists $C > 1$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$
\left|\left|\mu\big(\rho\big(1,\big[w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_r\big]\big)\big)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}}\leqslant 2^rC\Big(1+\sum_{i=1}^r\left|\left|\mu\big(\rho(w_i,w_i)\big)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}}\Big)
$$

for every $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_r \in \mathsf{H}$, where $r \geq d+1 \geq s+1$. \Box

Proof of Corollary [1.2](#page-1-1). Let $\rho : \Gamma \times_N \Gamma \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ be a representation. Note that since Γ is finitely generated there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that $||\mu(\rho(\gamma, \gamma))||_{\mathbb{E}} \leq C_0 |\gamma|_{\Gamma}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) there exists $C > 0$ depending only on ρ such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_r \in N \setminus \{1\}, r \geq d+1$, we have:

$$
\left| \left| \mu(\rho(\gamma, \gamma[w_1, \dots, w_r])) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leq \left| \left| \mu(\rho(\gamma, \gamma)) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}} + \left| \left| \mu(\rho(1, [w_1, \dots, w_r])) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}}
$$

$$
\leq C_0 |\gamma|_{\Gamma} + 2^r C + 2^r C \sum_{i=1}^r \left| \left| \mu(\rho(w_i, w_i)) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}}
$$

$$
\leq C_0 |\gamma|_{\Gamma} + 2^{r+1} C C_0 \sum_{i=1}^r |w_i|_{\Gamma}.
$$

The corollary follows. \square

Similarly, by using Lemma [3.2,](#page-6-3) we obtain the following corollary for semisimple representations.

Corollary 3.8. Let Γ be a finitely generated group, N a normal subgroup of Γ and fix $|\cdot|_{\Gamma}:\Gamma \to \mathbb{N}$ *a word length function on* Γ. Suppose that $\rho : \Gamma \times_N \Gamma \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ *is a semisimple representation. There exist* C, c > 0*, depending only on* ρ*, such that*

$$
\left|\left|\mu\big(\rho(\gamma,\gamma w)\big)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}}\leqslant C\Big(\big|\gamma\big|_{\Gamma}+\big|\gamma w\big|_{\Gamma}\Big)+c
$$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ *and* $w \in [N, N]$ *.*

4. Some further lemmas

In this section we prove some more lemmas that we need to establish Theorem [1.3](#page-1-2) and Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-2) We recall that F_m denotes the free group on the set $\mathcal{X} := \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ and denote by $|\cdot|_{F_m}: F_m \to \mathbb{N}$ the corresponding left invariant word metric with respect to $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}^{-1}$. The lower central series of F_m is the descending series of characteristic subgroups

$$
\ldots \subset \gamma_4(F_m) \subset \gamma_3(F_m) \subset \gamma_2(F_m) \subset \gamma_1(F_m) := F_m
$$

inductively defined by $\gamma_{r+1}(F_m) = [F_m, \gamma_r(F_m)]$ for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$. The terms of the lower central series have the property that $[\gamma_r(F_m), \gamma_d(F_m)] \subset \gamma_{r+d}(F_m)$ for every $r, d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 4.1. (Basic commutators [\[15,](#page-29-18) Ch.3, Def. 3.3]) *The basic commutators of weight are inductively defined as follows:*

(1) The elements x_1, \ldots, x_m are the basic commutators of weight 1 with the order $x_i < x_j$ if *and only if* $i < j$.

(2) Suppose that commutators of weight $r \geq 1$ have been defined and ordered such that $y_1 < y_2$ *if the weight of* y_1 *is smaller than the weight of* y_2 *. The basic commutators of weight* $r + 1$ *are of the form* $[x, y]$ *, where* x and y are basic commutators of weight k_1 and k_2 respectively and *the following conditions hold:*

(i) $k_1 + k_2 = r + 1$ *and* $y < x$.

(ii) *if* $x = [u, v]$ *, where* u, v *are basic commutators with* $v < u$ *, then* $v \leq y$ *.*

The weight of a basic commutator $v \in F_m$ is denoted by $w(v) \in \mathbb{N}$. One of the key properties of the lower central series of F_m is that the quotient group $A_q^m := \gamma_q(F_m)/\gamma_{q+1}(F_m)$ is free abelian of finite rank. Magnus proved in [\[36\]](#page-30-8) that the cosets defined by basic commutators of weight $q \geq 2$ in $F_m/\gamma_{q+1}(F_m)$ form a free basis for A_q^m (see also [\[15,](#page-29-18) Thm. 3.5]). We denote by \mathcal{E}_q the set of basic commutators of weight $q \geq 1$ and we use the notation $\mathcal{E}_q^{\pm 1} := \{g^{\pm 1} : g \in \mathcal{E}_q\}.$

We will also use the following well known commutator identities:

$$
[ac, b] = c^{-1}[a, b]c[c, b] = [c, [a, b]^{-1}][a, b][c, b]
$$

$$
[a, cb] = [a, b]b^{-1}[a, c]b = [a, b][b, [a, c]^{-1}][a, c].
$$

(25)

By using induction on the weight of a basic commutator and the previous identities we have the following fact.

Fact 4.2. *If* $v = v(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \gamma_r(F_m)$ *is a basic commutator of weight* $r \geq 1$ *, then*

$$
v(x_1^n, ..., x_m^n)\gamma_{r+1}(F_m) = v(x_1, ..., x_m)^{n^r}\gamma_{r+1}(F_m)
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *.*

Lemma 4.3. Let F_m be the free group on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$, $m \geq 2$, and $w_0 \in [F_m, F_m]$. Suppose *that* $w \in F_m$ *is an element which is a product of* $\ell(w)$ *elements in* $\{x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_m^{\pm 1}\}.$ (i) The commutator $[w, w_0]$ is a product commutators of the form

$$
\left[x_{i_1}^{\pm 1}, \left[\ldots, \left[x_{i_{r-1}}^{\pm 1}, \left[x_{i_r}^{\pm 1}, w_0\right]^{\pm 1}\right]^{\pm 1}, \ldots\right]^{\pm 1}\right]^{\pm 1} \in \gamma_{r+2}(F_m)
$$
\n(26)

where $1 \leq r \leq \ell(w)$ *and* $1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_r \leq m$. In this product decomposition of $[w, w_0]$ the number *of commutators of the form* ([26](#page-15-1)), with $r \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, is equal to $\binom{\ell(w)}{r}$.

(ii) *Fix an integer* $p \geqslant 4$ *. There exists a constant* $C > 0$ *, depending only on* $p \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $w_0 \in [F_m, F_m]$, with the property: we may write

$$
[w, w_0] \gamma_p(F_m) = \widetilde{w}_1 \cdots \widetilde{w}_M \gamma_p(F_m)
$$

where $\widetilde{w}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{w}_M \in \bigcup_{i=3}^{p-1} \mathcal{E}_i^{\pm 1}$ *and for every* $3 \leq t \leq p-1$ *we have* card $\{i \in [1, M] \cap \mathbb{Z} : \widetilde{w}_i \in \mathcal{E}_t^{\pm 1}\} \leqslant C\ell(w)^{t-2}.$

Proof. (i) This part follows by using induction on $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and the commutator identities [\(25\)](#page-15-2).

(ii) For $1 \le s \le p-2$, let $\mathcal{A}_p^s \subset \gamma_{s+2}(F_m)$ be the set of all commutators of the form [\(26\)](#page-15-1). By using (i) we may write

$$
[w, w_0] \gamma_p(F_m) = w_1 \cdots w_n \gamma_p(F_m),
$$

 $w_1,\ldots,w_n \in \bigcup_{r=1}^{p-2} \mathcal{A}_p^r$ such that for every $1 \leqslant r \leqslant p-2$ the cardinality of the set $\{i \in$ $[0,n] \cap \mathbb{Z} : w_i \in \mathcal{A}_p^r$ is at most equal to $\binom{\ell(w)}{r}$. Note that \mathcal{A}_p^s is a finite subset of F_m , so there exists $C_0 = C_0(p, w_0) > 1$ with the property: if $w_r \in A_p^s$, for some r, we may write $w_r =$ $\widetilde{w}_{r1} \cdots \widetilde{w}_{rd_r} \omega_r$ for some $\widetilde{w}_{r1}, \ldots, \widetilde{w}_{rd_r} \in \bigcup_{j=s+2}^{p-1} \mathcal{E}_j^{\pm 1}, \omega_r \in \gamma_p(F_m)$ and $d_r \leq C_0$. Therefore, the total number of elements in $\bigcup_{i=3}^t \mathcal{E}_i^{\pm 1}$ from the decompositions of $\{\widetilde{w}_{r1} \cdots \widetilde{w}_{rd_r} \omega_r : 1 \leq r \leq n\}$ is at most equal to

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{t-2} \sum_{\{r:w_r \in \mathcal{A}_p^i\}} C_0 \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{t-2} C_0 {\ell(w) \choose i} < tC_0 \ell(w)^{t-2}.
$$

Now the conclusion follows by observing that $\prod_{r=1}^n (\tilde{w}_{r1} \cdots \tilde{w}_{rd_r}) \gamma_p(F_m) = [w, w_0] \gamma_p(F_m)$. \Box

We also need the following lemma which is the content of $[27, \text{ Lem. 1}]$.

Lemma 4.4. (Hidber [\[27,](#page-29-19) Lem. 1]) Let $p \geq 3$ and $\mathcal{E}_i = \{e_{i1}, \ldots, e_{in_i}\}\)$ be the set of basic commu*tators of weight* $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ *. Suppose that* $w \in \gamma_i(F_m)$ *and* $w\gamma_p(F_m) = w_1 \cdots w_n \gamma_p(F_m)$ *where* $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \bigcup_{j=i}^{p-1} \mathcal{E}_i^{\pm 1}$. For every $i \leqslant j \leqslant p-1$ set $L_j := \text{card}\big\{r \in [0, n] \cap \mathbb{Z} : w_r \in \mathcal{E}_j^{\pm 1}\big\}$. Then *we can write*

$$
w\gamma_p(F_m) = e_{i1}^{s_1} \cdots e_{in_i}^{s_{n_i}} w_1' w_2' \cdots w_R' \gamma_p(F_m)
$$

 $for some s_1, \ldots, s_{n_i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $w'_1, \ldots, w'_R \in \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{p-1} \mathcal{E}_j^{\pm 1}$. Moreover, there is $D > 1$, depending *only on* $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$ *, such that*

$$
\operatorname{card}\left\{r \in [1, R] \cap \mathbb{Z}: w_r \in \mathcal{E}_j^{\pm 1}\right\} \leqslant \sum_{q=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{j-1}{i} \right\rfloor} D^q L_i^q L_{j-iq}.
$$

Now let us fix a word length function $|\cdot|_{A_p^m}: A_p^m \to \mathbb{N}$ on the free abelian group $A_p^m =$ $\gamma_p(F_m)/\gamma_{p+1}(F_m)$. We shall use the previous two lemmas to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. Let $p \geq 4$ and $m \geq 2$ be integers and B be a finite subset of $[F_m, F_m]$. There exists $c > 0$, depending only on $p, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and B, with the following property: if $w \in \gamma_p(F_m)$ is written *as a product of the form* $w = \prod_{i=1}^{M} z_i^{-1} w_i z_i$ *where* $w_1, \ldots, w_M \in \mathcal{B}$ *, then*

$$
\max\left\{M,|z_1|_{F_m},\ldots,|z_M|_{F_m}\right\}\geqslant c\big|w\gamma_{p+1}(F_m)\big|_{A_p^m}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.
$$

Proof. Let us set $R_M := \max\{M, |z_1|_{F_m}, \ldots, |z_M|_{F_m}\}.$ We may write

$$
w\gamma_{p+1}(F_m) = [z_1, w_1^{-1}]w_1[z_2, w_2^{-1}]w_2 \cdots [z_M, w_M^{-1}]w_M\gamma_{p+1}(F_m).
$$
 (27)

By Lemma [4.3](#page-15-3) (ii) there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on the finite set \mathcal{B} and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, with the following properties:

(i) for each $1 \leq j \leq M$, we may write $[z_j, w_j^{-1}] \gamma_{p+1}(F_m) = \widetilde{z}_j \gamma_{p+1}(F_m)$ such that \widetilde{z}_j is a product of elements in $\bigcup_{r=3}^{p-1} \mathcal{E}_r^{\pm 1}$. In this product decomposition of \tilde{z}_j , for $3 \leq t \leq p-1$, the number of elements in $\mathcal{E}_t^{\pm 1}$ is at most equal to $C |z_i|_{F_m}^{t-2}$ $\frac{L-2}{F_m}$.

(ii) for each $1 \leq j \leq M$, we may write $w_j \gamma_{p+1}(F_m) = \tilde{w}_j \gamma_{p+1}(F_m)$ so that \tilde{w}_j is a product of at most $C > 0$ elements in $\bigcup_{r=2}^{p-1} \mathcal{E}_r^{\pm 1}$.

18 KONSTANTINOS TSOUVALAS

In particular, by using (i) and (ii), we may write $w\gamma_{p+1}(F_m) = v_{12} \cdots v_{d_2} \gamma_{p+1}(F_m)$, where $v_{12},\ldots,v_{d_2} \in \bigcup_{r=2}^{p-1} \mathcal{E}_r^{\pm 1}$ and the total number of elemets in $\mathcal{E}_t^{\pm 1}$ satisfies the upper bound

$$
L_{t,2} := \text{card}\{i \in [0, d_2] \cap \mathbb{Z} : v_{i2} \in \mathcal{E}_t^{\pm 1}\} \leqslant CM + \sum_{i=1}^s C |z_i|_{F_m}^{t-2}
$$
\n
$$
\leqslant CM + \sum_{i=1}^M CR_M^{t-2} \leqslant 2CR_M^{t-1}.
$$
\n(28)

Now we repeatedly apply Lemma [4.4](#page-16-0) to the coset $w\gamma_{p+1}(F_m)$. By applying Lemma 4.4 to $w\gamma_{p+1}(F_m) = v_{12} \cdots v_{d_2} \gamma_{p+1}(F_m)$ and using the fact that $w \in \gamma_p(F_m)$, we may write

$$
w\gamma_{p+1}(F_m)=v_{13}\cdots v_{d_3} \gamma_{p+1}(F_m)
$$

where $v_{13}, \ldots, v_{d_33} \in \bigcup_{j=3}^p \mathcal{E}_j^{\pm 1}$ and for every $3 \leqslant t \leqslant p$, $L_{t,3} := \text{card}\big\{i \in [0, d_3] \cap \mathbb{Z} : v_{i3} \in \mathcal{E}_t^{\pm 1}\big\}$ satisfies the upper bound

$$
L_{t,3} \leqslant \sum_{q=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{t-1}{2} \right\rfloor} D^q L_{2,2}^q L_{t-2q,2} \leqslant \sum_{q=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{t-1}{2} \right\rfloor} D^q \left(2CR_M \right)^q 2CR_M^{t-2q-1}
$$

$$
\leqslant 2CR_M^{t-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{t-1}{2} \right\rfloor} (2CDR_M^{-1})^q \leqslant \left(2DC \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} R_M^{t-1}.
$$

By continuing inductively and using Lemma [4.4,](#page-16-0) we deduce that for every $3 \leq r \leq p$ we can write

$$
w\gamma_{p+1}(F_m)=v_{1r}\cdots v_{d_r} \gamma_{p+1}(F_m),
$$

where $v_1, \ldots, v_{d_r} \in \bigcup_{j=r}^p \mathcal{E}_j^{\pm 1}$, and for $r \leqslant t \leqslant p$, $L_{t,r} := \text{card}\big\{i \in [0, d_r] \cap \mathbb{Z} : v_{ir} \in \mathcal{E}_i^{\pm 1}\big\}$ satisfies the upper bound:

$$
L_{t,r} \leqslant \sum_{q=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{t-1}{r-1} \right\rfloor} D^q L_{r-1,r-1}^q L_{t-q(r-1),r-1} \leqslant \sum_{q=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{t-1}{r-1} \right\rfloor} D^q \left(C_{r-1} R_M^{r-2} \right)^q \left(C_{r-1} R_M^{t-(r-1)q-1} \right)
$$

$$
\leqslant C_{r-1} R_M^{t-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{t-1}{r-1} \right\rfloor} \left(D C_{r-1} R_M^{-1} \right)^q \leqslant R_M^{t-1} C_r,
$$

where $C_r := (DC_{r-1})^{\frac{p}{2}}$ and $C_2 = 2C$. It follows that we can write

$$
w\gamma_{p+1}(F_m)=v_{1p}\cdots v_{d_p p}\gamma_{p+1}(F_m)
$$

where $v_{1p}, \ldots, v_{d_p p} \in \mathcal{E}_p^{\pm 1}$ and

$$
d_p \leq C_p R_M^{p-1} \leq (2C)^{(\frac{p}{2})^{p-2}} D^{(1+\frac{p}{2})^{p-1}} R_M^{p-1}.
$$

In particular, set $c_1 := \max \{|g\gamma_{p+1}(F_m)|_{A_p^m} : g \in \mathcal{E}_p^{\pm 1}\}\$, note that $|w\gamma_{p+1}(F_m)|_{A_p^m} \leq d_p c_1$, hence

$$
R_M \geqslant c \left| w\gamma_{p+1}(F_m) \right|_{A_p^m}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \tag{29}
$$

where $c := c_1^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} (2C)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}(\frac{p}{2})^{p-2}} D^{-\frac{1}{p-1}(1+\frac{p}{2})^{p-1}}$. The proof of the lemma is complete.

By using Lemma [4.5](#page-16-1) we obtain the following lemma that we need for the proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-1-2)

Lemma 4.6. Let $v = [b_1, b_2]$ be a basic commutator of weight $r \geq 2$, where $b_1, b_2 \in F_m$ are basic *commutators with* $b_2 < b_1$ *and* $w(b_1) + w(b_2) = r$. Suppose that A is a finite subset of $[F_m, F_m]$ such that the normal subgroup $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ of F_m contains the element $v(x_1^n, \ldots, x_m^n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *We consider the fiber product of* F_m *with respect to* $\langle \langle A \rangle \rangle$

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{A}} := F_m \times_{\langle \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle \rangle} F_m = \langle \{ (x_i, x_i), (1, w) : 1 \leq i \leq m, w \in \mathcal{A} \} \rangle
$$

and fix a word length function $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{A}} : \Delta_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathbb{N}$ *. Define the element of* $\langle \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle \rangle$:

$$
V_q(x_1^n,\ldots,x_m^n) := \left[\left[v(x_1^n,\ldots,x_m^n), b_2(x_1^n,\ldots,x_m^n) \right], \underbrace{v(x_1^n,\ldots,x_m^n),\ldots,v(x_1^n,\ldots,x_m^n)}_{q-\text{times}} \right], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

There exists $C > 0$ *such that for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *we have*

$$
\left| \left(1, V_q(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n) \right) \right|_{\mathcal{A}} \geqslant C n^{1+\varepsilon}
$$

 $where \varepsilon = \frac{1}{rq+r+w(b_2)-1}.$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that the word length function $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{A}} : \Delta_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathbb{N}$ is induced by the finite generating subset $\{(x_i, x_i)^{\pm 1}, (1, w)^{\pm 1} : 1 \leq i \leq m, w \in A\}$ of $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let us set $R_n := \left| \left(1, V_q(x_1^n, \ldots, x_m^n)\right)\right|_{\mathcal{A}}$. There exist $a_1, \ldots, a_{s+1} \in F_m$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_s \in \mathcal{A}^{\pm 1}$:

$$
(1, V_q(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n)) = (a_1, a_1) (1, w_1) \cdots (a_s, a_s) (1, w_s) (a_{s+1}, a_{s+1})
$$
(30)

and also:

(i) for $1 \leq i \leq s$, $a_i \in F_m$ is written as product of at most $\ell(a_i)$ elements in $\{x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_m^{\pm 1}\}$, (ii) $a_1 \cdots a_{s+1} = 1$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_s \in A^{\pm 1}$, (iii) $R_n = \sum_{i=1}^{s+1} \ell(a_i) + s.$

In particular, we may write

$$
V_q(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n) = \prod_{i=1}^s (a_1 \cdots a_i) w_i (a_1 \cdots a_i)^{-1},
$$
\n(31)

and we observe from (iii) that $s+|a_1\cdots a_i|_{F_m} \leq R_n$. Let us set $\beta := rq + r + w(b_2)$ and observe that $V_q(x_1^n,\ldots,x_m^n) \in \gamma_\beta(F_m)$. By applying Lemma [4.5](#page-16-1) for $p = \beta$, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$, depending only on the finite set A and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
R_n \geq C_1 \left| V_q(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n) \gamma_{\beta+1}(F_m) \right|_{A_\beta^m}^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}}.
$$
\n(32)

Now we shall use a technique from $[6]$ to bound the right hand side of (32) . First, by using the commutator identities [\(25\)](#page-15-2) and Fact [4.2](#page-15-4) for the basic commutators $v, b_2 \in F_m$, we may directly check that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
V_q(x_1^n,\ldots,x_m^n)\gamma_{\beta+1}(F_m)=V_q(x_1,\ldots,x_m)^{n^{\beta}}\gamma_{\beta+1}(F_m).
$$

Note also that the element $V_q(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \gamma_\beta(F_m)$ is a basic commutator of weight exactly β since $[v, b_2]$ is a basic commutator, $b_2 < v$ and $v < [v, b_2]$. In particular, the coset $V_q(x_1,\ldots,x_m)\gamma_{\beta+1}(F_m)$ is an infinite order element of the free abelian group $A_\beta^m = \gamma_\beta(F_m)/\gamma_{\beta+1}(F_m)$. Therefore, there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$
\left| V_q\big(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n\big)\gamma_{\beta+1}(F_m) \right|_{A_\beta^m} \geqslant C_2 n^{rq+r+w(b_2)} \tag{33}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By combining [\(32\)](#page-18-0) and [\(33\)](#page-18-1) we conclude that there exists $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$
R_n \geqslant C_3 n^{1 + \frac{1}{rq + r + w(b_2) - 1}}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The lemma follows.

We will also need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem [1.4](#page-2-2) in §[6.](#page-22-2)

Lemma 4.7. Let F_{m+p} (resp. F_m) be the free group on $\mathcal{S}_{m+p} := \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \cup \{y_1, \ldots, y_p\}$ (*resp.* $S_m := \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ *). We consider the following data:*

(a) $v(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = [b_1, b_2]$ is a basic commutator of weight $r \geq 2$, where $b_1, b_2 \in F_m$ are basic *commutators and* $b_2 < b_1$ *.*

(b) $\mathcal{B} = {\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_s}$ is a finite subset of $[F_m, F_m]$ such that the normal subgroup $\langle \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle \rangle$ of F_m *contains the element* $v(x_1^n, \ldots, x_m^n)$ *for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *.*

(c) Γ^B *is a Gromov hyperbolic group with a presentation of the form*

$$
\Gamma_{\mathcal{B}} = \left\langle x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_p \mid \omega_1 R_1, \dots, \omega_s R_s, R_{s+1}, \dots, R_{s+\ell} \right\rangle \tag{34}
$$

where $R_1, \ldots, R_{s+\ell} \in \langle \langle y_1, \ldots, y_p \rangle \rangle$.

Denote by \overline{x}_i , \overline{y}_j *be the image of* x_i , y_j *in* Γ_B *respectively. Let* P_B *be the fiber product of* Γ_B with respect to the normal subgroup $N_B = \langle \langle \overline{y}_1, \ldots, \overline{y}_p \rangle \rangle$,

$$
P_{\mathcal{B}} = \left\langle (\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_1), \ldots, (\overline{x}_m, \overline{x}_m), (1, \overline{y}_1), (\overline{y}_1, 1), \ldots, (1, \overline{y}_p), (\overline{y}_r, 1) \right\rangle
$$

and fix a word length function $|\cdot|_B : P_B \to \mathbb{N}$. Finally, consider the element of N_B :

$$
V_q(\overline{x}_1^n,\ldots,\overline{x}_m^n) := \left[\left[v(\overline{x}_1^n,\ldots,\overline{x}_m^n), b_2(\overline{x}_1^n,\ldots,\overline{x}_m^n) \right], \underbrace{v(\overline{x}_1^n,\ldots,\overline{x}_m^n),\ldots,v(\overline{x}_1^n,\ldots,\overline{x}_m^n)}_{q-\text{times}} \right], \ n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

There exists $C > 0$ *such that for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left| \left(1, V_q \big(\overline{x}_1^n, \dots, \overline{x}_m^n \big) \right) \right|_{\mathcal{B}} \geqslant C n^{1+\varepsilon}
$$

 $where \varepsilon = \frac{1}{rq+r+w(b_2)-1}.$

Proof. Let R be the set of relations in the given presentation of $\Gamma_B = \langle S_{m+p} | R \rangle$ and denote by $\pi : F_{m+p} \to \Gamma_B$ the canonical projection. Let also $\eta : F_{m+p} \to F_m$ be the retract onto $F_m = F(\mathcal{S}_m)$ (i.e. $\eta|_{F_m}$ is the identity homomorphism) and note that $\eta(\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathcal{B}^{\pm 1} \cup \{1\}$. Let us also observe that since $v(x_1^n, \ldots, x_m^n) \in \langle \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle \rangle$ and $\pi(\langle \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle \rangle) \subset N_{\mathcal{B}}$, we verify that $V_q(\overline{x}_1^m, \ldots, \overline{x}_m^n)$ is an element of N . We may also assume that the word metric on $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ is induced by the generating set $\{(\overline{x}_i, \overline{x}_i)^{\pm 1}, (1, \overline{y}_j)^{\pm 1} : x_i, y_j \in \mathcal{S}_{m+p}\}$ and let us set

$$
E_n := \left| \left(1, V_q \big(\overline{x}_1^n, \dots, \overline{x}_m^n \big) \right) \right|_{\mathcal{B}}.
$$

By the definition of the metric on P_B , we may find $\overline{w}_1,\ldots,\overline{w}_T\in\{\overline{y}_1^{\pm 1},\ldots,\overline{y}_p^{\pm 1}\},\overline{z}_1,\ldots,\overline{z}_{T+1}\in\Gamma_B$ and $z_1, \ldots, z_{T+1} \in F_{m+p}$, with $\pi(z_i) = \overline{z}_i$ for every *i*, such that:

\n- (i)
$$
(1, V_q(\overline{x}_1^n, \ldots, \overline{x}_m^n)) = (\overline{z}_1, \overline{z}_1)(1, \overline{w}_1) \cdots (\overline{z}_T, \overline{z}_T)(1, \overline{w}_T)(\overline{z}_{T+1}, \overline{z}_{T+1}),
$$
\n- (ii) $\overline{z}_1 \cdots \overline{z}_{T+1} = 1$,
\n- (iii) for each $1 \leq i \leq T+1$, z_i is a product of at most $\ell(z_i)$ elements in $\mathcal{S}_{m+p}^{\pm 1}$ and also $E_n = \sum_{i=1}^{T+1} \ell(z_i) + T$.
\n

Now let us choose lifts $w_1, \ldots, w_T \in \{y_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, y_p^{\pm}\}\$ of $\overline{w}_1, \ldots, \overline{w}_T \in N_B$ respectively. Note that the following two elements of F_{m+p} ,

$$
W_n := (z_1 w_1 \cdots z_T w_T z_{T+1})^{-1} V_q(x_1^n, \ldots, x_m^n)
$$
 and $W'_n := z_1 \cdots z_{T+1}$,

represent the identity in Γ and observe that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\max\left\{ \left|W_n\right|_{F_{m+p}}, \left|W_n'\right|_{F_{m+p}} \right\} \leqslant E_n + 10^q n \left(\left|v\right|_{F_m} + \left|b_2\right|_{F_m} \right) =: E'_n.
$$

Moreover, since $\Gamma_B = \langle \mathcal{S}_{m+p} | \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is Gromov hyperbolic, by [\[38,](#page-30-9) Thm. 2], the fiber product $F_{m+p} \times_{\langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle} F_{m+p}$ is an undistorted subgroup of $F_{m+p} \times F_{m+p}$. In particular, we may choose

CARTAN PROJECTIONS OF FIBER PRODUCTS AND NON QUASI-ISOMETRIC EMBEDDINGS 21

 $C_1 > 1$ and sequences $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{s_n+1}, \{h_i\}_{i=1}^{d_n+1} \subset F_{m+p}$ and $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{s_n}, \{Y_i\}_{i=1}^{d_n} \subset \mathcal{R}^{\pm 1}$ such that $(1, W_n) = (g_1, g_1) (1, U_1) \cdots (g_{s_n}, g_{s_n}) (1, U_{s_n}) (g_{s_n+1}, g_{s_n+1})$ $(1, W'_n) = (h_1, h_1) (1, Y_1) \cdots (h_{d_n}, h_{d_n}) (1, Y_{d_n}) (h_{d_n+1}, h_{d_n+1})$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{s_n+1} |g_i|_{F_{m+p}} + s_n \leqslant C_1 E'_n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{d_n+1} |h_i|_{F_{m+p}} + s'_n \leqslant C_1 E'_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we may write:

$$
V_q(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n) = z_1 w_1 \cdots z_T w_T z_{T+1} \prod_{i=1}^{s_n} u_i U_i u_i^{-1}, u_i := g_1 \cdots g_i
$$
 (35)

$$
z_1 \cdots z_{T+1} = \prod_{i=1}^{d_n} v_i Y_i v_i^{-1}, \ v_i = h_1 \cdots h_i,
$$
\n(36)

where $V_q(x_1^n, \ldots, x_m^n) \in F_m$ is defined in Lemma [4.6.](#page-17-0) Moreover, observe that

$$
|u_i|_{F_{m+p}} \le C_1 E'_n
$$
, $|v_j|_{F_{m+p}} \le C_1 E'_n$, and $\max\{s_n, d_n\} \le C_1 E'_n$

for every $1 \leq i \leq s_n$ and $1 \leq j \leq d_n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By using [\(35\)](#page-20-1) and applying the retract $\eta: F_{m+p} \to F_m$ and since $w_1, \ldots, w_{T+1} \in \text{ker}\eta$, we may write

$$
V_q(x_1^n,\ldots,x_m^n)=\prod_{i=1}^{d_n}\eta(v_i)\eta(Y_i)\eta(v_i)^{-1}\prod_{i=1}^{s_n}\eta(u_i)\eta(U_i)\eta(u_i)^{-1},
$$

where $\eta(U_i), \eta(Y_j) \in \mathcal{B}^{\pm 1} \cup \{1\}$. Note that the word length of $\eta(u_i)$ and $\eta(v_j)$ in F_m is at most equal to $C_1E'_n$. By applying Lemma [4.5](#page-16-1) for $V_q(x_1^n,\ldots,x_m^n) \in \gamma_\beta(F_m)$, where $\beta = rq + r + w(b_2)$, we conclude that there exists a constant $C_2 > 1$, independent of n, such that

$$
C_1 E'_n \ge \max_{i,j} \left\{ s_n, d_n, |u_i|_{F_{m+p}}, |v_j|_{F_{m+p}} \right\}
$$

$$
\ge \max_{i,j} \left\{ s_n, d_n, |\eta(u_i)|_{F_m}, |\eta(v_j)|_{F_m} \right\} \ge C_2 \left| V_q(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n) \gamma_{\beta+1}(F_m) \right|_{A_\beta^m}^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now by using [\(33\)](#page-18-1) we deduce that there exists $C_3 > 0$ such that $E'_n \geqslant C_3 n^{1 + \frac{1}{\beta - 1}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, there exists $C_4 > 0$ such that

$$
E_n \geq C_4 n^{1 + \frac{1}{rq + r + w(b_2) - 1}}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof of the lemma is complete.

5. The Rips construction

In this section we recall Rips' construction from [\[41\]](#page-30-3). For other generalizations of the Rips construction we refer the reader to $[43]$, $[26, Thm. 1.6]$, $[8]$ and $[3]$. For the definition of the $C'(\lambda), \lambda > 0$, small cancellation condition see [\[35,](#page-30-10) Ch. V]. Rips established the following theorem in order to provide pathological examples of finitely generated subgroups of small cancellation groups.

Theorem 5.1. (Rips [\[41\]](#page-30-3)) *Let* Q *be a finitely presented group with presentation*

$$
Q = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m \mid R_1, \ldots, R_\ell \rangle
$$

where R_1, \ldots, R_ℓ *are* (*possibly empty*) *words of the free group on* $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ *. There exist explicit choices of sequences of integers* $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell}, \{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ and $\{k_{qi}\}_{i=1}^m, \{\lambda_{qi}\}_{i=1}^m, q = 1, \ldots, 4$, *such that the finitely presented group*

$$
\Gamma = \left\langle a_1, \dots, a_m, x, y \mid \begin{array}{c} R_1 xy^{r_1} xy^{r_1+1} \cdots xy^{r_1+s_1}, \dots, R_\ell xy^{r_\ell} xy^{r_\ell+1} \cdots xy^{r_\ell+s_\ell}, \\ a_1 x a_i^{-1} xy^{\lambda_{1i}} xy^{ \lambda_{1i}+1} \cdots xy^{\kappa_{1i}+\lambda_{1i}}, \quad a_i^{-1} x a_i xy^{\lambda_{2i}} xy^{\lambda_{2i}+1} \cdots xy^{\kappa_{2i}+\lambda_{2i}}, \\ a_i y a_i^{-1} xy^{\lambda_{3i}} xy^{ \lambda_{3i}+1} \cdots xy^{\kappa_{3i}+\lambda_{3i}}, \quad a_i^{-1} y a_i xy^{\lambda_{4i}} xy^{\lambda_{4i}+1} \cdots xy^{\kappa_{4i}+\lambda_{4i}} \end{array} \right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
i = 1, \dots, m
$$
\n(37)

has the following properties:

(i) The presentation of Γ satisfies the $C'(\frac{1}{6})$ small cancellation condition, hence Γ is Gromov *hyperbolic.*

(ii) $N = \langle x, y \rangle$ *is a normal subgroup of* Γ *and there exists a short exact sequence*

$$
1 \to N \to \Gamma \to Q \to 1.
$$

Remark 5.2. In Rips' construction the 2-generated group N can be chosen to be *perfect*, i.e. $N = [N, N]$. For example, it is possible to choose the first four relations in [\(37\)](#page-21-0) as follows:

$$
xyxy^{2} \cdots xy^{p_{1}} = 1
$$

$$
xy^{p_{2}}xy^{p_{2}+1} \cdots xy^{p_{2}+p_{1}-1} = 1
$$

$$
xy^{p_{4}}xy^{p_{4}+1} \cdots xy^{p_{4}+p_{3}-1} = 1
$$

$$
xy^{p_{5}}xy^{p_{5}+1} \cdots xy^{p_{5}+p_{3}-1} = 1
$$

where $p_1, \ldots, p_5 \in \mathbb{N}$ are large enough, $\gcd(p_1(p_2 - 1), p_3(p_5 - p_4)) = 1, p_2 > p_1, p_4 > p_2 + p_1$ and $p_5 > p_4 + p_3$. Note that the commutator $[N, N]$ contains the words

$$
x^{p_1}y^{r_{p_11}}, x^{p_1}y^{r_{p_1p_2}}, x^{p_3}y^{r_{p_3p_4}}, x^{p_3}y^{r_{p_3p_5}}
$$

where $r_{pq} := pq + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)$. It follows that $y^{p_1(p_2-1)}$, $y^{p_3(p_5-p_4)} \in [N, N]$ and hence $y \in [N, N]$. In particular, $x^{p_1}, x^{p_3} \in [N, N]$ and so $x \in [N, N]$.

Interesting examples of finitely generated subgroups of hyperbolic groups are constructed by applying the Rips construction to a finitely presented group Q with certain pathologies. By following this point of view and using Corollary [3.8](#page-14-0) we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3. *Let* Q *be a finitely presented group whose Dehn function grows faster than any fixed iterated of the exponential* (*e.g.* Q *has unsolvable word problem*). Let Γ *be a* $C'(\frac{1}{6})$ *small cancellation group provided by Theorem [5.1](#page-20-2) such that there exists a short exact sequence*

$$
1\to N\to \Gamma\to Q\to 1
$$

where N *is* 2*-generated and perfect. Let* $P = \Gamma \times_N \Gamma$ *, choose a word length function* $|\cdot|_P : P \to \mathbb{N}$ *and fix* $m \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists an infinite sequence $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements in P with the property: *for every semisimple representation* ρ : $P \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ *we have*

$$
\left| \left| \mu(\rho(w_n)) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leqslant \underbrace{\log \cdots \log}_{m-\text{times}} |w_n|_P
$$

for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *.*

Proof. Fix a left invariant word metric on Γ and equip $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ with the product metric. Since $N = [N, N]$, Corollary [3.8](#page-14-0) implies that there exist $C_{\rho}, c_{\rho} > 0$, depending only on ρ , such that

$$
\left| \left| \mu\big(\rho(g)\big) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leqslant C_{\rho} \big| g \big|_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} + c_{\rho}
$$

for every $g \in P$. By using Proposition [A.1,](#page-27-0) it follows that the distortion of P in $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ grows at least as the Dehn function of the quotient group $Q = \Gamma/N$ and in particular faster than any iterated exponential exp $\circ \cdots \circ$ exp. In other words, we may choose a sequence $(w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with

$$
q-\text{times}
$$
\n
$$
|w_n|_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} \leq \underbrace{\log \cdots \log}_{(m+1)-\text{times}} |w_n|_P
$$

for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This finishes the proof of the conclusion.

6. Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-1-2) and Theorem [1.4](#page-2-2)

We now state and prove a more general version of Theorem [1.3](#page-1-2) which additionally provides an explicit sequence of elements satisfying [\(1\)](#page-1-3) for every linear representation. We still denote by F_m the free group on $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ and by k a local field.

Theorem 6.1. Let $v = [b_1, b_2]$ be a basic commutator of weight $r \ge 2$, where $b_1, b_2 \in F_m$ are *basic commutators with* $b_2 < b_1$ *and* $w(b_1) + w(b_2) = r$. Suppose that A is a finite subset of $[F_m, F_m]$ such that the normal subgroup $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ of F_m contains the element $v(x_1^n, \ldots, x_m^n)$ for *every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the fiber product $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}} = F_m \times_{\langle\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle\rangle} F_m$ and fix a word length function $|\cdot|_A : \Delta_A \to \mathbb{N}$. For every representation $\rho : \Delta_A \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ there exists $C_\rho > 0$ such that for *every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *:*

$$
\left\| \mu(\rho(w(d,n))) \right\|_{\mathbb{E}} \leqslant C_{\rho} |w(d,n)|_{\mathcal{A}}^{1 - \frac{1}{rd + r + w(b_2)}} \tag{38}
$$

where $w(d, n) := (1, V_d(x_1^n, \ldots, x_m^n)) \in \langle \langle A \rangle \rangle$ is defined as in Lemma [4.6.](#page-17-0)

Proof. By applying Lemma [4.6](#page-17-0) for $q = d$, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$
\left| \left(1, V_d(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n) \right) \right|_{\mathcal{A}}^{1 - \frac{1}{rd + r + w(b_2)}} \geqslant C_1 n \tag{39}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) implies that the representation ρ is $(d+1)$ -normal. By using [\(39\)](#page-22-3) there exist constants $C_2 > 0$, independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\left| \left| \mu(\rho(1, V_d(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n))) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leq 2^{d+1} C_2 \left(d \left| v(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n) \right|_{F_m} + \left| \left[v(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n), b_2(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n) \right] \right|_{F_m} \right)
$$

$$
\leq 2^{d+1} C_2 C_d n
$$

$$
\leq \frac{2^{d+1} C_2 C_d}{C_1} \left| \left(1, V_d(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n) \right) \right|_A^{1 - \frac{1}{rd + r + w(b_2)}}
$$

where $C_d := (d+2)|v|_{F_m} + 2|b_2|_{F_m}$.

The following corollary follows immediately from Theorem [6.1.](#page-22-0) Recall that $\gamma_r(F_m)$ denotes the r-th term in the lower central series of F_m .

Corollary 6.2. Let $m, r \geq 2$ be two integers. The fiber product $F_m \times_{\gamma_r(F_m)} F_m$ of F_m with respect $to \gamma_r(F_m)$ does not admit a linear representation over a local field which is a quasi-isometric *embedding.*

In particular, by Proposition [A.2,](#page-28-0) $F_m \times F_m$ contains infinitely many non-commensurable finitely generated subgroups satisfying the conclusion of the previous corollary.

We now state and prove a more general version of Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-2)

Theorem 6.3. Let $v = [b_1, b_2]$ be a basic commutator of weight $r \ge 2$, where $b_1, b_2 \in F_m$ are *basic commutators and* $b_2 < b_1$. Suppose that B is a finite subset of $[F_m, F_m]$ such that the *normal subgroup* $\langle \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle \rangle$ *of* F_m *contains the element* $v(x_1^n, \ldots, x_m^n)$ *for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *. Let* $Q_B =$ $\langle x_1,\ldots,x_m | B \rangle$ and Γ_B be a $C'(\frac{1}{6})$ small cancellation group provided by Theorem [5.1](#page-20-2) such that

$$
1 \to N_{\mathcal{B}} \to \Gamma_{\mathcal{B}} \to Q_{\mathcal{B}} \to 1
$$

is a short exact sequence and N_B *is finitely generated. Consider* $P_B < \Gamma_B \times \Gamma_B$ *the fiber product of* Γ_B *with respect to* N_B *and fix a word length function* $|\cdot|_B : P_B \to \mathbb{N}$ *. For every representation* $\rho: P_{\mathcal{B}} \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ *there exists* $C_\rho > 0$ *such that for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *:*

$$
\left| \left| \mu\big(\rho\big(\delta(d,n)\big)\big)\right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leqslant C_{\rho} \left| \delta(d,n) \right|_{\mathcal{B}}^{1-\frac{1}{rd+r+w(b_2)}}\tag{40}
$$

where $\delta(d, n) := (1, V_d(\overline{x}_1^n, \ldots, \overline{x}_m^n)) \in N_B$ *is defined as in Lemma [4.7.](#page-19-0)*

Proof. First, let us note that the presentation of Γ_B (see [\(37\)](#page-21-0)) is of the form [\(34\)](#page-19-1). Therefore, by Lemma [4.7](#page-19-0) there exists $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$
\left| \left(1, V_d \left(\overline{x}_1^n, \dots, \overline{x}_m^n \right) \right) \right|_{\mathcal{B}}^{1 - \frac{1}{rd + r + w(b_2)}} \geqslant C_3 n \tag{41}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-0) the representation ρ is $(d+1)$ -normal and by using [\(41\)](#page-23-2), there exist $C_4 > 0$, independent of n, such that for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\left|\left|\mu\big(\rho\big(1, V_d(\overline{x}_1^n, \ldots, \overline{x}_m^n)\big)\big)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leqslant C_4 n \leqslant \frac{C_4}{C_3}\left|\big(1, V_d\big(\overline{x}_1^n, \ldots, \overline{x}_m^n\big)\big)\right|_{\mathcal{B}}^{1-\frac{1}{rd+r+w(b_2)}}.
$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.

The following corollary follows directly from the previous theorem.

Corollary 6.4. Let $m, r \geq 2$ integers and $\Gamma_{m,r}$ a $C'(\frac{1}{6})$ small cancellation group, provided by *Theorem [5.1,](#page-20-2) such that*

$$
1 \to N_{m,r} \to \Gamma_{m,r} \to F_m/\gamma_r(F_m) \to 1
$$

is a short exact sequence with N *finitely generated. The fiber product* $P_{m,r} := \Gamma_{m,r} \times_{N_{m,r}} \Gamma_{m,r}$ *does not admit a linear representation over a local field which is a quasi-isometric embedding.*

Since the free nilpotent group $F_m/\gamma_r(F_m)$ is of finite type, it follows by the the 1-2-3-Theorem in [\[5\]](#page-29-7) that $P_{m,r}$ is a finitely presented group for every $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$. We close this section with the proof of Theorems [1.3](#page-1-2) & [1.4.](#page-2-2)

Proof of Theorems [1.3](#page-1-2) & [1.4](#page-2-2). Note that for any group H and g, $h \in H$, the normal closure $\langle \langle [g, h] \rangle \rangle$ in H contains the element $[g^n, h^n]$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now Theorem [1.3](#page-1-2) and Theorem [1.4](#page-2-2) follow immediately by applying Theorem [6.1](#page-22-0) and Theorem [6.3](#page-22-1) for $r = 2$ and $v(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = [x_j, x_p]$ respectively. \square

7. A finitely presented example

The fiber products provided by Theorem [1.3](#page-1-2) and Theorem [6.1](#page-22-0) are not finitely presented by a result of Grunewald [\[23\]](#page-29-24) (see also [\[12\]](#page-29-3)). However, Theorem [6.3](#page-22-1) provides several finitely presented examples. We provide here the a presentation of the simplest example $P_{2,2}$ from Corollary [6.4.](#page-23-3)

Example 7.1. Let $\mathbb{Z}^2 = \langle a, b | [a, b] \rangle$ be the free abelian group of rank 2 and consider the word

$$
V_r(x,y) := xy^{100r+1}xy^{100r+2} \cdots xy^{100r+100}
$$

 $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, on the letters $\{x, y\}$. By applying the Rips construction for the given presentation of $Q = \mathbb{Z}^2$ we obtain the Gromov hyperbolic group

$$
\Gamma_{2,2} = \left\langle a, b, x, y \left| \begin{array}{lll} [a, b]V_0(x, y) & axa^{-1}V_1(x, y) & a^{-1}xaV_2(x, y) \\ bxb^{-1}V_3(x, y) & b^{-1}xbV_4(x, y) & aya^{-1}V_5(x, y) \\ a^{-1}yaV_6(x, y) & byb^{-1}V_7(x, y) & b^{-1}ybV_8(x, y) \end{array} \right\rangle
$$

$$
\Box
$$

where $N_{2,2} = \langle x, y \rangle$ is normal in $\Gamma_{2,2}$ and $1 \to N_{2,2} \to \Gamma_{2,2} \to \mathbb{Z}^2 \to 1$ is exact. The fiber product $P_{2,2} = \Gamma_{2,2} \times_{N_{2,2}} \Gamma_{2,2}$ is finitely presented and a finite presentation of $P_{2,2}^4$ $P_{2,2}^4$ is obtained by $[5, Thm. 2.2]$ as follows:

$$
P_{2,2} = \langle a, b, x_L, y_L, x_R, y_R \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle
$$

where the set of relations R consists of the following 21 words^{[5](#page-24-2)}:

$$
[a,b]V_0(x_L, y_L)V_0(x_R, y_R) \quad [x_L, x_R], [x_L, y_R] \quad [y_L, x_R], [y_L, y_R]
$$
\n
$$
ax_L a^{-1}V_1(x_L, y_L) \qquad ax_R a^{-1}V_1(x_R, y_R) \quad a^{-1}x_L aV_2(x_L, y_L) \quad a^{-1}x_R aV_2(x_R, y_R)
$$
\n
$$
ay_L a^{-1}V_5(x_L, y_L) \qquad ay_R a^{-1}V_5(x_R, y_R) \quad a^{-1}y_L aV_6(x_L, y_L) \quad a^{-1}y_R aV_6(x_R, y_R)
$$
\n
$$
bx_L b^{-1}V_3(x_L, y_L) \qquad bx_R b^{-1}V_3(x_R, y_R) \quad b^{-1}x_L bV_4(x_L, y_L) \quad b^{-1}x_R bV_4(x_R, y_R)
$$
\n
$$
by_L b^{-1}V_7(x_L, y_L) \qquad by_R b^{-1}V_7(x_R, y_R) \quad b^{-1}y_L bV_8(x_L, y_L) \quad b^{-1}y_R bV_8(x_R, y_R).
$$

The group $P_{2,2}$ is linear (since $\Gamma_{2,2}$ is, see [\[2,](#page-29-8) [44\]](#page-30-5)) and it does not admit a quasi-isometric embedding into any general linear group over a local field.

8. Examples from Grothendieck pairs

In this section we discuss some known constructions of fiber products which also fail to admit quasi-isometric embedding into any general linear group over a local field.

For a discrete group H denote by H its profinite completion. Suppose that H is residually finite group and K is a subgroup of H. Following [\[12\]](#page-29-3), we say that the pair (K, H) is a *Grothendieck pair* if the inclusion $\iota : K \hookrightarrow H$ is not an isomorphism while the induced map $\hat{\iota} : \hat{K} \to \hat{H}$ between profinite completions is.

8.1. Platonov–Tavgen criterion. Fiber products have been previously used for the construction of Grothendieck pairs. Platonov–Tavgen [\[39\]](#page-30-1) constructed the first examples of Grothendieck pairs (K, H) of finitely generated residually finite groups. More precisely, their methods provide the following criterion for the existence of Grothendieck pairs between fiber products, see also [\[4,](#page-29-0) Thm. 6.3] and [\[10,](#page-29-1) Thm. 5.1].

Theorem 8.1. (Platonov–Tavgen [\[39\]](#page-30-1)) Let $1 \to N \to \Gamma \to Q \to 1$ be a short exact sequence of *groups, where* Γ *is finitely generated, and set* $P = \Gamma \times_N \Gamma$ *. Suppose that* Q *has no non-trivial finite quotient and* $H_2(Q, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ *. Then the inclusion* $\iota : P \hookrightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma$ *induces an isomorphism* $\hat{\iota}: \hat{P} \to \hat{\Gamma} \times \hat{\Gamma}$ of profinite groups.

Example 8.2. (Platonov–Tavgen [\[40\]](#page-30-11)) Let F_4 be the free group on four generators $\{a, b, c, d\}$. The *Higman group* introduced by Higman in [\[28\]](#page-29-25) is the group with presentation

$$
H = \Big\langle a,b,c,d \ \big| \ a^{-1}bab^{-2},b^{-1}cbc^{-2},c^{-1}dcd^{-2},d^{-1}ada^{-2}\Big\rangle.
$$

The group H does not admit non-trivial finite quotients and $H_2(H,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ (e.g. see [\[10,](#page-29-1) Lem. 4.2]). Consider the associated short exact sequence $1 \to N \to F_4 \to H \to 1$ and $P := F_4 \times_N F_4$. Since H is an infinite group the fiber product P is not finitely presented by [\[23\]](#page-29-24) and hence cannot be isomorphic to $F_4 \times F_4$. Theorem [8.1](#page-24-3) implies that $(P, F_4 \times F_4)$ is a Grothendieck pair.

⁴The generators a, b, x_L, y_L, x_R, y_R of $P_{2,2}$ correspond to the elements $(a, a), (b, b), (x, 1), (y, 1), (1, x), (1, y)$ respectively.

⁵Since second homotopy module of the given presentation of \mathbb{Z}^2 is trivial, there are no relations of the form Z_{σ} given by part (4) of Theorem 2.2. in [\[5\]](#page-29-7).

8.2. Bass–Lubotzky examples. Bass–Lubotzky in [\[4\]](#page-29-0) constructed the first examples of Grothendieck pairs (K, H) of finitely generated linear groups which are representation superrigid and K is not commensurable to a lattice in any product $G_1(k_1) \times \cdots \times G_m(k_m)$, where G_i is a simple algebraic group defined over a local field k_i . Their examples are constructed as the pair $(\Lambda \times_L \Lambda, \Lambda \times \Lambda)^6$ $(\Lambda \times_L \Lambda, \Lambda \times \Lambda)^6$, where Λ is a cocompact lattice in the exceptional simple rank 1 Lie group $F_4^{(-20)}$ and L is a normal infinite index subgroup of Λ such that the quotient Λ/L satisfies the conditions of the Platonov–Tavgen criterion.

8.3. Bridson–Grunewald examples. Bridson–Grunewald in [\[10\]](#page-29-1) used fiber products in order to construct the first examples of Grothendieck pairs of finitely presented residually finite groups. Their examples provide a negative answer to a question of Grothendieck from [\[22\]](#page-29-10) and are constructed as follows. First, they fix a finitely presented group Q with compact $K(Q, 1)$ Eilenberg– MacLane space satisfying the conditions of the Platonov–Tavgen criterion (i.e. $\hat{Q} = 1$ and $H_2(Q, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Then they use the construction from [\[43\]](#page-30-4) to obtain a short exact sequence

$$
1\to N\to \Gamma\to Q\to 1
$$

where N is 3-generated and Γ is a residually finite hyperbolic group satisfying the $C'(\frac{1}{6})$ small cancellation condition. The counterexample to Grothendieck's question is the pair of residually finite groups $(\Gamma \times_N \Gamma, \Gamma \times \Gamma)$. The fact that the fiber product $\Gamma \times_N \Gamma$ is finitely presented follows by the 1-2-3-Theorem in $[5]$.

Let $R \neq 0$ be a commutative ring. For a group H, denote by $\text{Rep}_R(H)$ the category of representations of H into $\text{Aut}_R(M)$ where M is a finitely presented R-module. Grothendieck established a connection between the profinite completion of a finitely generated group and its category of representations. More precisely, given a group homomorphism $u : K \to H$ of finitely generated groups which induces an isomorphism $\hat{u}: K \to \hat{H}$ of profinite groups, Grothendieck proved that the restriction functor $u_R^* : \text{Rep}_R(\mathsf{H}) \to \text{Rep}_R(\mathsf{K})$ is an equivalence of categories (see [\[22,](#page-29-10) Thm. 1.2]). In particular, if (K, H) is a Grothendieck pair and $R = k$ is a local field, every linear representation $\rho : K \to GL_d(k)$ extends uniquely to a representation $\hat{\rho} : H \to GL_d(k)$ (see the discussion in $[22, §3]$).

In the view of Grothedieck's theorem and the Platonov–Tavgen criterion, one deduces the following bound on the Cartan projection of linear representations of a certain class of fiber products.

Theorem 8.3. Let Γ be a Gromov hyperbolic group and fix a word length function $|\cdot|_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \to \mathbb{N}$. *Suppose that* $1 \to N \to \Gamma \to Q \to 1$ *is a short exact sequence of groups where* Q *has no nontrivial finite quotients and* $H_2(Q, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. For every representation $\rho : \Gamma \times_N \Gamma \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ there *exist* C, c > 0 *such that*

$$
\left|\left|\mu\big(\rho(\gamma,\gamma w)\big)\right|\right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leqslant C\Big(\big|\gamma\big|_{\Gamma}+\big|\gamma w\big|_{\Gamma}\Big)+c
$$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ *and* $w \in N$ *.*

Proof. Let us set $P := \Gamma \times_N \Gamma$. Note that by Theorem [8.1](#page-24-3) the inclusion $\iota : P \hookrightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma$ induces an isomorphism between profinite completions. By applying Grothendieck's theorem [\[22,](#page-29-10) Thm. 1.2] we obtain a representation $\hat{\rho}: \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ extending ρ , i.e. $\hat{\rho} \circ \iota = \rho$. Therefore, since $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ is finitely generated, there exist $C, c > 0$ such that

$$
\left| \left| \mu(\rho(\gamma,\gamma,w)) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}} = \left| \left| \mu(\hat{\rho}(\gamma,\gamma w)) \right| \right|_{\mathbb{E}} \leqslant C \left(\left| \gamma \right|_{\Gamma} + \left| \gamma w \right|_{\Gamma} \right) + c
$$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $w \in N$. The conclusion follows.

Theorem [8.3](#page-25-0) immediately applies to the previous fiber product constructions in [\[39,](#page-30-1) [4,](#page-29-0) [10\]](#page-29-1). We also deduce the following corollary.

⁶Lubotzky in [\[34\]](#page-30-12) exhibited similar examples where Λ is a cocompact lattice in $Sp(d, 1), d \geq 2$.

Corollary 8.4. *Let* Γ *be a Gromov hyperbolic group and* N *be a normal subgroup of* Γ*. Suppose that* Q = Γ/N *is a finitely presented group which is not Gromov hyperbolic, has no non-trivial finite quotients and* $H_2(Q,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Then any representation of $P = \Gamma \times_N \Gamma$ over a local field *fails to be a quasi-isometric embedding. Moreover, the group* P *is not commensurable to any of the fiber produts in Theorem [1.3](#page-1-2) or Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-2)*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a representation ρ : $P \to \mathsf{GL}_d(k)$ which is a quasi-isometric embedding. By using Theorem [8.3,](#page-25-0) we deduce that the inclusion $\iota : P \hookrightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma$ is also a quasiisometric embedding (i.e. the distortion of P in $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ is linear). In particular, by Proposition [A.1,](#page-27-0) the Dehn function of the quotient $Q = \Gamma/N$ is linear and hence Q has to be Gromov hyperbolic (see [\[21\]](#page-29-11)). This is a contradiction and hence such representation ρ does not exist.

Now observe that if $P_0 := \Gamma_0 \times_{L_0} \Gamma_0$ is a fiber product from Theorem [1.3](#page-1-2) or Theorem [1.4,](#page-2-2) then any finite extension of a finite-index subgroup of Γ_0/L_0 surjects onto a non-trivial free abelian group. On the other hand, every finite extension of the group Γ/N has finitely many subgroups of finite index. It follows by Proposition [A.2](#page-28-0) that P_0 cannot be commensurable to P. \Box

In particular, the previous corollary shows that fiber product in Example [8.2](#page-24-4) and the Bridson– Grunewald fiber products in [\[10,](#page-29-1) §7, §8] do not admit quasi-isometric embedding into any general linear group over a local field.

Remark 8.5. Let Λ be a superrigid cocompact lattice in either $\mathsf{Sp}(d,1), d \geqslant 2$, or the exceptional rank 1 Lie group $F_4^{(-20)}$. In contrast to Theorems [6.1](#page-22-0) & [6.3](#page-22-1) and Corollary [8.3,](#page-25-0) by using Corlette's Archimedean superrigidity [\[17\]](#page-29-26), we exhibited examples of Zariski dense infinite index subgroups H of $\Lambda \times \Lambda$ all of whose discrete faithful representations into any real semisimple Lie group are quasi-isometric embeddings. More precisely, the group H is constructed as the fiber product $\Lambda \times_N \Lambda$, where Λ/N is Gromov hyperbolic (see [\[42,](#page-30-13) Thm 1.1 & Prop. 4.1]).

Let us remark that when $(\Gamma \times_N \Gamma, \Gamma \times \Gamma)$ fails to be a Grothendieck pair, then, linear representations of $\Gamma \times_N \Gamma$ might not extend to the product $\Gamma \times \Gamma$. We close this section with such an example where Theorem [1.4](#page-2-2) applies but Theorem [8.3](#page-25-0) does not.

Example 8.6. Let F_2 be the free group on $\{x_1, x_2\}$ and let $\gamma_2(F_2) = [F_2, F_2]$ and $\gamma_3(F_2) =$ $[F_2, \gamma_2(F_2)]$. Observe that $\Delta_2 = F_2 \times_{\gamma_2(F_2)} F_2$ is a normal subgroup of $F_2 \times F_2$ and $\Delta_3 :=$ $F_2 \times_{\gamma_3(F_2)} F_2$ is a normal subgroup of Δ_2 with $\Delta_2/\Delta_3 \cong \gamma_2(F_2)/\gamma_3(F_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\pi : \Delta_2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the surjective homomorphism

$$
\pi(\delta, \delta w) = w\gamma_3(F_2), \ \delta \in F_2, w \in \gamma_2(F_2)
$$

with kernel Δ_3 . Consider the representation $\pi_{\lambda} : \Delta_2 \to SL_2(k)$ obtained by postcomposing π with the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle \to SL_2(k), t \mapsto \text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}), \lambda \in k^*$. Let us set $w_{12} :=$ $(1,[x_1,x_2])$ so that $\pi(w_{12})=t$ and fix a representation $\rho : \Delta_2 \to SL_d(k)$. We claim that if $|\lambda|$ > max $\{\ell_1(\rho(w_{12})), \ell_1(\rho(w_{12}^{-1})\}$ then the product representation

$$
\pi_{\lambda} \times \rho \times \rho : \Delta_2 \to SL(k^2 \oplus k^{2d}), \ \gamma \mapsto \text{diag}(\pi_{\lambda}(\gamma), \rho(\gamma), \rho(\gamma))
$$

does not extend to a representation $\psi : F_2 \times F_2 \to SL(k^2 \oplus k^{2d}).$

Suppose that such an extension
$$
\psi
$$
 exists. Let us observe that

$$
\frac{\ell_1(\psi(\gamma))}{\ell_2(\psi(\gamma))} = \max\left\{1, \frac{\ell_1(\pi_\lambda(\gamma))}{\ell_1(\rho(\gamma))}\right\}
$$

so if $\psi(\gamma)$ is 1-proximal then $\ell_1(\pi_\lambda(\gamma)) > \ell_1(\rho(\gamma))$ and its attracting fixed point is in $\mathbb{P}(k^2 \oplus 0_{2d})$. By the choice of $|\lambda| > 1$ it follows that the proximal limit set of the image of $\pi_{\lambda} \times \rho \times \rho$ in $\mathbb{P}(k^2 \oplus k^{2d})$ contains two elements, namely the two lines $[e_1]$ and $[e_2]$ (where $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is the canonical basis of k^2). Since Δ_2 is normal in $F_2 \times F_2$, $\psi(F_2 \times F_2)$ has to preserve the limit set of $\psi|_{\Delta_2} = \pi_{\lambda} \times \rho \times \rho$. In particular, there exists a normal finite index subgroup L of $F_2 \times F_2$ such that $\psi(L)$ fixes the lines ke_1 and ke_2 . Note that there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(1, x_1^r), (1, x_2^r) \in L$ and hence $\psi(1,[x_1^r,x_2^r])$ acts trivially on $k^2 = ke_1 \oplus ke_2$. On the other hand, we have that

$$
\pi_{\lambda}\big((1,[x_1^r,x_2^r])\big)=\pi_{\lambda}\big((1,[x_1,x_2]^{r^2})\big)=\pi_{\lambda}(w_{12})^{r^2}
$$

since $[x_1^r, x_2^r][x_1, x_2]^{-r^2} \in \gamma_3(F_m)$ and $\{1\} \times \gamma_3(F_2) \subset \text{ker}\pi$. In particular, the restriction of $\psi(1,[x_1^r,x_2^r])=(\pi_\lambda\times\rho\times\rho)(1,[x_1^r,x_2^r])$ on the subspace $k^2\oplus 0_{2d}$ is non-trivial. This is a contradiction, hence an extension $\psi : F_2 \times F_2 \to SL(k^2 \oplus k^{2d})$ of $\pi_\lambda \times \rho \times \rho$ cannot exist. \square

Appendix A. Distortion of fiber products into direct products and commensurability

A.1. Distortion of fiber products into products. We review here a fact for the distortion of a fiber product into the ambient direct product.

Suppose that Γ is a finitely presented group, S is a finite generating subset of Γ and F is a finite subset of Γ. Let us set $P := \Gamma \times_N \Gamma$, where $N = \langle\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle\rangle$, and fix the word length function $|\cdot|_P : P \to \mathbb{N}$ with respect to the finite generating subset $\{(g, g) : g \in S\} \cup \{(1, w) : w \in \mathcal{F}\}\$ of P. The *distortion function* $Dist_P^{\Gamma \times \Gamma} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ of P in $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ is defined as follows:

$$
\mathrm{Dist}_P^{\Gamma\times \Gamma}(q):=\max\Big\{\big|(\gamma,\gamma w)\big|_P\,\colon \big|\gamma\big|_\Gamma+\big|\gamma w\big|_\Gamma\leqslant q\Big\}.
$$

Observe that a different choice of left invariant word metric on P gives a different distortion function equivalent to $\text{Dist}_{P}^{\Gamma\times\Gamma}$.

Let F_m be the free group on $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}, |\cdot|_{F_m}$ be the standard word metric on F_m and let us fix a presentation $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma} := \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m | \mathcal{R} \rangle$ of Γ . If $w \in F_m$ is a word of the form $w = x_{i_1}^{\pm 1} \cdots x_{i_s}^{\pm 1}$, $x_{i_1},\ldots,x_{i_m}\in\{a_1,\ldots,a_m\}$, we declare its length as $\ell(w)=s.$ For $w\in\langle\langle \mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle$ define its area

Area(w) := min
$$
\left\{ r : w = \prod_{i=1}^r g_i R_i g_i^{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_r \in F_m, R_1, \dots, R_r \in \mathcal{R}^{\pm 1} \right\}.
$$

The Dehn function $\delta_{\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ of the presentation \mathcal{P}_{Γ} of Γ is defined as follows

$$
\delta_{\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}}(q):=\max\Big\{\operatorname{Area}(w): w\in\langle\langle\mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle, \ell(w)\leqslant q\Big\}.
$$

For two functions $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ and $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ we write $f \preceq g$ if there exist constants $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$ such that $g(n) \leq c_1 f(c_2 n) + c_3 n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given two isomorphic finite presentations P_1 and P_2 , then $\delta_{P_1} \preceq \delta_{P_2}$ and $\delta_{P_2} \preceq \delta_{P_1}$. We refer the reader to [\[20\]](#page-29-27) and the references therein for more background on Dehn functions.

The following proposition provides a lower bound on the distortion of the fiber product P in $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ in terms of the Dehn function of a presentation of the quotient group Γ/N . It is a consequence of a more general result in [\[29,](#page-29-28) Prop. 3.2]. I would like to thank Claudio Llosa Isenrich for referring me to [\[29,](#page-29-28) Prop. 3.2] which implies Proposition [A.1.](#page-27-0) In the case where \mathcal{R} is empty (i.e. Γ is a free group) the following proposition follows by [\[38,](#page-30-9) Thm. 2].

Proposition A.1. Let Γ be a finitely presented group and $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma} = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m | \mathcal{R} \rangle$ be a finite pre*sentation of* Γ*. Let also* F *be a finite subset of* Γ, *let* $N = \langle \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle \rangle$ *and fix a presentation* $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma/N}$ *of the quotient group* Γ/N *. Then for* $q \in \mathbb{N}$ *we have*

$$
\delta_{\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}}\big(\mathrm{Dist}_{P}^{\Gamma\times \Gamma}(q)\big) \succeq \delta_{\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma/N}}(q).
$$

For the reader's convenience we provide a proof of the previous proposition.

Proof. Let π : $F_m \to \Gamma$ be the projection of F_m onto Γ and S be a subset of F_m such that $\pi(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{F}$. We may assume that $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma/N} = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m | \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{S} \rangle$ since the Dehn functions of two presentations of the same group are equivalent. We want to obtain an upper bound for the Dehn function $\delta_{\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma/N}}$. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in \langle \langle \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{S} \rangle \rangle$ be an element with $\ell(w) \leqslant q$. We may find $w_1, \ldots, w_{d+1} \in F_m$ and $s_1, \ldots, s_d \in S^{\pm 1}$ such that

$$
(1, w)\big(\langle\langle \mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle \times \langle\langle \mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle\big) = (w_1, w_1)(1, s_1) \cdots (w_d, w_d)(1, s_d)(w_{d+1}, w_{d+1})\big(\langle\langle \mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle \times \langle\langle \mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle\big),
$$

 $w_1 \cdots w_{d+1} \in \langle \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle \rangle$ and $|(1, \pi(w))|_P = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \ell_i + d$, where each $w_i \in F_m$ is a product of ℓ_i elements in $\{a_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, a_m^{\pm 1}\}$. Now observe that $W_d := (w_1 s_1 \cdots w_d s_d w_{d+1})^{-1} w \in \langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle$ and

$$
|W_d|_{F_m} \leq \sum_{i=1}^d |s_i|_{F_m} + \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \ell_i + q \leq \max_{s \in S^{\pm 1}} |s|_{F_m} (|(1, \pi(w))|_P + q)
$$

$$
\leq \max_{s \in S^{\pm 1}} |s|_{F_m} (Dist_P^{\Gamma \times \Gamma}(q) + q).
$$

By the definition of the Dehn function $\delta_{\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}}$, we may write

$$
W_d = z_1 R_i z_1^{-1} \cdots z_\ell R_\ell z_\ell^{-1}
$$

where $\ell \leq \delta_{\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}}(|W_d|_{F_m})$ and $R_1, \ldots, R_{\ell} \in \mathcal{R}^{\pm 1}$. Similarly, since the element $w_1 \cdots w_{d+1} \in \langle \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle \rangle$ is a product of at most $|(1, \pi(w))|_P$ elements in $\{a_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, a_m^{\pm 1}\}\$ and $|(1, \pi(w))|_P \leqslant \text{Dist}_P^{\Gamma \times \Gamma}(q)$, we may write

$$
w_1 \cdots w_{d+1} = v_1 R_1 v_1^{-1} \cdots v_s R_s v_s^{-1}
$$

for some $s \leq \delta_{\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}}(\text{Dist}_{P}^{\Gamma \times \Gamma}(q))$. Therefore, we can write

$$
w = \prod_{i=1}^d (w_1 \cdots w_i) s_i (w_1 \cdots w_i)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s v_i R_i v_i^{-1} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^\ell z_j R_j z_j^{-1}
$$

as product of at most $d + s + \ell \preceq \delta_{\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}}(\text{Dist}_{P}^{\Gamma \times \Gamma}(q))$ conjugates of elements in $S^{\pm 1} \cup \mathcal{R}^{\pm 1}$. It follows that the Dehn function of $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_m | \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{S} \rangle$ is dominated by $\delta_{\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}}(\text{Dist}_{P}^{\Gamma \times \Gamma}(q))$ \Box

A.2. Commensurable fiber products. We also prove the following standard fact concerning commensurability of fiber products of hyperbolic groups.

Proposition A.2. Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be two non-elementary torsion-free hyperbolic groups and N_1, N_2 *two normal finite-index subgroups of* Γ_1, Γ_2 *respectively. Suppose the fiber products* $\Gamma_1 \times_{N_1} \Gamma_1$ *and* $\Gamma_2 \times_{N_2} \Gamma_2$ *are commensurable. There exist groups* G_1 , G_2 *and* G_3 *with the following properties:* (i) G_1 *is a finite extension of a finite-index subgroup of* Γ_1/N_1 .

(ii) G_2 *is a finite extension of* G_1 *.*

(iii) G_3 *is a finite extension of* Γ_2/N_2 *and* G_2 *has finite index in* G_3 *.*

Proof. We may assume that there exists a normal finite-index subgroup Q_1 of $\Gamma_1 \times_{N_1} \Gamma_1$ which is isomorphic to a finite-index subgroup of $\Gamma_2 \times_{N_2} \Gamma_2$. There exist normal finite-index subgroups $\Gamma'_1 \subset \Gamma_1$ and $N'_1 \subset N_1$ such that $Q_1 \cap \text{diag}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_1) = \text{diag}(\Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma'_1)$ and $Q \cap (\{1\} \times N_1) = \{1\} \times N'_1$. Note that since $\Gamma'_1 \cap N_1$ has finite index in N_1 , we may choose a characteristic finite-index subgroup N''_1 of N contained in $\Gamma'_1 \cap N_1$. In particular, N''_1 is normal in Γ'_1 and $\Gamma'_1 \times_{N''_1} \Gamma'_1$ is a finite index subgroup of Q_1 . We set $G_1 := \Gamma'_1/N''_1$ and observe that G_1 maps onto the finite-index subgroup $\Gamma'_1/\Gamma'_1 \cap N_1 = \Gamma'_1 N_1/N_1$ of Γ_1/N_1 with finite kernel, so (i) is satisfied.

By assumption, there exists a monomorphism $\varphi : \Gamma'_1 \times_{N''_1} \Gamma'_1 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2 \times_{N_2} \Gamma_2$ with finiteindex image, say Q_2 . Observe that $\varphi(\{1\} \times N_1'')$ and $\varphi(N_1'' \times \{1\})$ (resp. $\varphi^{-1}(Q_1 \cap (\{1\} \times N_2))$) and $\varphi^{-1}(Q_1 \cap (\{1\} \times N_2))$ commute and are non-abelian. By using the fact that centralizers of non-trivial elements in Γ_1 and Γ_2 are cyclic, it follows that $\varphi(N''_1 \times N''_1) \subset N_2 \times N_2$ and $\varphi^{-1}(Q_2 \cap (N_2 \times N_2)) \subset N_1'' \times N_1''$. In particular, $\varphi(N_1'' \times N_1'')$ has finite index in $N_2 \times N_2$. We may choose a characteristic finite-index subgroup R_2 of $N_2 \times N_2$ contained in $\varphi(N_1'' \times N_1'')$. The group R_2 is normal in $\Gamma_2 \times_{N_2} \Gamma_2$, hence we set $G_2 := Q_2/R_2$ and $G_3 := (\Gamma_2 \times_{N_2} \Gamma_2)/R_2$. Note that G_2 maps onto $Q_2/\varphi(N_1'' \times N_1'') = \Gamma_1'/N_1'' = G_1$ with finite kernel, so (ii) is satisfied.

30 KONSTANTINOS TSOUVALAS

Moreover, G_2 has finite index in G_3 which in turn maps onto $\Gamma_2 \times_{N_2} \Gamma_2/N_2 \times N_2 = \Gamma_2/N_2$ with finite kernel.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abels, G. Margulis and G. Soifer, *Semigroups containing proximal linear maps*, Israel J. Math. 91 (1995), 1–30.
- [2] I. Agol, with an appendix by I. Agol, D. Groves, and J. Manning, *The virtual Haken Conjecture*, Doc. Math. 18 (2013), 1045-1087.
- [3] M. Arenas, *A cubical Rips construction*, preprint: [arXiv:2202.01048,](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.01048) 2022.
- [4] H. Bass and A. Lubotzky, *Nonarithmetic superrigid groups: Counterexamples to Platonov's conjecture*, Annals of Math. 151 (2000), 1151-1173.
- [5] G. Baumslag, M. R. Bridson, C. F. Miller III, and H. Short, *Fibre products, nonpositive curvature, and decision problems*, Comment. Math. Helv. 75 (2000), 457–477.
- [6] G. Baumslag, C. F. Miller III, and H. Short, *Isoperimetric inequalities and the homology of groups*, Invent. Math. 113 (1993), 531–560.
- [7] G. Baumslag and J. E. Roseblade, *Subgroups of direct products of free groups*, J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1984), 44-52.
- [8] I. Belegradek and D. Osin, *Rips construction and Kazhdan property* (T), Groups, Geom. Dyn. 2 (2008), 1-12.
- [9] Y. Benoist, *Propriétés asymptotiques des groupes linéaires*, Geom. and Funct. Anal. 7 (1997), 1–47.
- [10] M. R. Bridson and F. J. Grunewald, *Grothendieck's problems concerning profinite completions and representations of groups*, Annals of Math. 160 (2004), 359-373.
- [11] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, Metric spaces of non-positive curvature, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 319. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [12] M. R. Bridson and A. W. Reid, *Nilpotent completions of groups, Grothendieck pairs, and four problems of Baumslag*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 8 (2015), 2111-2140.
- [13] M. R. Bridson, *The strong profinite genus of a finitely presented group can be infinite*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 18 (2016), 1909-1918.
- [14] F. Bruhat and J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local: I. Données radicielles valuées*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. 41 (1972), 5–251.
- [15] A. E. Clement, S. Majewicz S. and M. Zyman, The theory of nilpotent groups, Vol. 43. Springer International Publishing, 2017.
- [16] M. Coornaert, T. Delzant, and A. Papadopoulos, Géométrie et théorie des groupes: les groupes hyperboliques de Gromov, Vol. 1441. Springer, 2006.
- [17] K. Corlette, *Archimedean superrigidity and hyperbolic geometry*, Annals of Math. 135 (1992), 165–182.
- [18] J. Danciger, F. Guéritaud, and F. Kassel, *Convex cocompact actions in real projective geometry*, preprint, [arXiv:1704.08711,](https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.08711) 2017.
- [19] S. Douba, B. Flechelles, T. Weisman and F. Zhu, *Cubulated hyperbolic groups admit Anosov representations*, preprint: [arXiv:2309.03695,](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.03695) 2023.
- [20] S. M. Gersten, *Isoperimetric and isodiametric functions of finite presentations*, Geometric group theory 1 (1993), 79-96.
- [21] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic groups, in *Essays in Group Theory*, Ed. M. Gersten, MSRI publications, p. 75-263 Springer Verlag, 1987.
- [22] A. Grothendieck, *Représentations linéaires et compactification profinie des groupes discrets*, Manuscripta Math. 2 (1970), 375–396.
- [23] F. J. Grunewald, *On some groups which cannot be finitely presented*, J. London Math. Soc. 17 (1978), 427–436.
- [24] F. Guéritaud, O. Guichard, F. Kassel and A. Wienhard, *Anosov representations and proper actions*, Geom. Top. 21 (2017), 485–584.
- [25] O. Guichard and A. Wienhard, *Anosov representations: Domains of discontinuity and applications*, Invent. Math. 190 (2012), 357–438.
- [26] Haglund F. and D.T. Wise, *Special cube complexes,* Geom. Funct. Anal. 17 (2008), 1551-1620.
- [27] C. Hidber, *Isoperimetric functions of finitely generated nilpotent groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 144 (1999), 229–242.
- [28] G. Higman, *A finitely generated infinite simple group*, J. London Math. Soc. 26 (1951), 61–64.
- [29] C. Llosa Isenrich and R. Tessera, *Residually free groups do not admit a uniform polynomial isoperimetric function*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 148, 4203–4212.
- [30] F. Labourie, *Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective space*, Invent. Math. 165 (2006), 51–114.
- [31] V. Lomonosov and P. Rosenthal, *The simplest proof of Burnside's theorem on matrix algebras*, Linear algebra and its applications 383 (2004), 45-47.
- [32] F. Lorenz, Algebra: Volume ii: Fields with structure, algebras and advanced topics. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [33] A. Lubotzky, S. Mozes and M. S. Raghunathan, The word and Riemannian metrics on lattices of semisimple groups, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. ´* 91 (2000), 5–53.
- [34] A. Lubotzky, *Some more Non-arithmetic Rigid groups*, Geometry, Graphs and Dynamics: Proceedings in Memory of Robert Brooks, Israel Mathematical Conference Proceeding (IMCP), Contemporary Mathematics 387 (2005), 237–244, AMS, Providence, RI.
- [35] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Vol. 188. Berlin: Springer, 1977.
- [36] W. Magnus, *Beziehungen zwischen Gruppen und Idealen in einem speziellen Ring*, Math. Ann. 111 (1935), 259–280.
- [37] K. A. Mihailova, *The occurrence problem for free products of groups*, Math. USSR Sb. 4, p.181.
- [38] A. Yu Olshanskii and M. V. Sapir, *Length and Area Functions on Groups and Quasi-Isometric Higman Embeddings*, Int. J. Alg. Comp. 11 (2001), 137–170.
- [39] V. P. Platonov and O. I. Tavgen, *Grothendieck's problem on profinite completions of groups*, Soviet Math. Doklady 33 (1986), 822-825.
- [40] V. P. Platonov and O. I. Tavgen, *Grothendieck's problem on profinite completions and representations of groups*, K-theory 4 (1990), 89-101.
- [41] E. Rips, *Subgroups of small cancellation groups*, Bull. London Math Soc. 14 (1982), 45–47.
- [42] K. Tsouvalas, *Fiber products of rank* 1 *superrigid lattices and quasi-isometric embeddings*, preprint: [arXiv:2111.11586,](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.11586) 2021.
- [43] D. T. Wise, *A residually finite version of Rips's construction*, Bull. London Math Soc. 35 (2003), 23–29.
- [44] D. T. Wise, *Cubulating small cancellation groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 14 (2004), 150-214.

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Inselstraße 22, 04103 Leipzig, Germany *E-mail address*: konstantinos.tsouvalas@mis.mpg.de