

Temporal Events Detector for Pregnancy Care (TED-PC): A Rule-based Algorithm to Infer Gestational Age and Delivery Date from Electronic Health Records of Pregnant Women with and without COVID-19

Tianchu Lyu¹, Chen Liang¹, Jihong Liu², Berry Campbell³, Peiyin Hung¹, Yi-Wen Shih¹, Nadia Ghumman¹, Xiaoming Li⁴, on behalf of the National COVID Cohort Collaborative Consortium

¹ Department of Health Services Policy and Management, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina

² Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina

³ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, University of South Carolina

⁴ Department of Health Promotion Education and Behaviors, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina

Correspondence: Chen Liang, PhD, Assistant Professor, cliang@mailbox.sc.edu

Abstract

Objective: To develop a rule-based algorithm that detects temporal information of clinical events during pregnancy care for women with COVID-19 by inferring gestational age in weeks and delivery dates from Electronic Health Records (EHR) from the National COVID Cohort Collaborate (N3C).

Materials and Methods: The EHR are normalized by the Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP) Clinical Data Model (CDM). EHR phenotyping resulted in 270,897 pregnant women (2018-06-01 to 2021-05-31). We developed a rule-based algorithm and performed a multi-level evaluation to test the content validity and clinical validity of the algorithm; and extreme value analysis for testing the algorithm for individuals with <150 or >300 days of gestation.

Results: The algorithm identified 296,194 pregnancies (16,659 COVID-19 174 and 744 without COVID-19 peri-pandemic) in 270,897 pregnant women. For inferring gestational age, 95% of identified cases (n=40) have moderate-high accuracy (overall Cohen's Kappa = 0.62); 100% of identified cases (n=40) have moderate-high granularity of temporal information (overall Cohen's Kappa = 1). For inferring delivery dates, the accuracy is 100% (overall Cohen's Kappa = 1). Accuracy of gestational age detection for extreme length of gestation is 93.3% (overall Cohen's Kappa = 1). There were 104,791 and 191,403 gestations before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Compared with those mothers who were not infected, mothers with COVID-19 record showed higher prevalence in multiple medical conditions such as obesity (35.1% vs. 29.5%), diabetes (17.8% vs. 17.0%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (0.2% vs. 0.1%), respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (1.8% vs. 0.2%).

Discussion: We explored the characteristics of pregnant women by different timing of COVID-19 with our newly developed algorithm: the first algorithm to infer temporal information from complete antenatal care and detect the timing of SARS-CoV-2 infection for pregnant women using N3C.

Conclusion: The algorithm shows excellent validity in inferring gestational age and delivery dates, which supports national EHR cohorts on N3C studying the impact of COVID-19 on pregnancy.

Keywords: Electronic Health Records, information extraction, pregnancy, algorithm, COVID-19

Introduction

Recent findings suggested Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) to be associated with an increased risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes and neonatal complications.^{1,2} However, there has been limited knowledge pertaining to the timing of SARS-CoV-2 infection during the pregnancy (e.g., infection in a specific trimester, gestational age, or labor and delivery) and its association with pregnant women's real-time clinical presentation.³ Timing of viral infection is important because fetuses are more vulnerable to maternal complications and/or viral infection during certain gestations.^{4,5} Nevertheless, detecting the timing of viral infection poses substantial challenges to the quality of data and clinical information extraction methodology.

Pregnancy care consists of antenatal, labor and delivery, and postpartum care.⁶ Because pregnancy care spans up to 21 months, it involves exceedingly rich clinical data. A complete episode of pregnancy care often involves multiple encounters with multiple health providers, clinical sites, and diverse clinical information systems, meaning that a vast number of clinical events are generated at an unprecedented granularity and data quality. Over decades, comprehensive clinical data for pregnancy care have not been widely available until recent advances in the use of normalized multi-system electronic health records (EHR), such as the National COVID Cohort Collaborative (N3C)²³, which provide growing Real-World Evidence (RWE) to support pregnancy research during COVID-19 pandemic.⁷⁻¹⁰

One of the unique characteristics of EHR in pregnancy care is the complex temporal relations of clinical events. To better understand the impacts of COVID-19 infections or COVID-19 pandemic on pregnancy health, it is important to know the length of pregnancy and the timing of pregnancy-related complications or events in relation to the duration of pregnancy (i.e., gestational age). Both mothers and fetuses experience crucial physiological changes and clinical complications during the pregnancy, which generates a substantial number of clinical events in the EHR. Accurate identification of temporal relations of clinical events across the entire episode of pregnancy care is a fundamental step for clinical decision making as well as downstream EHR data mining. Gestational age is also the prerequisite for tracing the timing of SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 vaccination, health care utilization, and causal pathway to adverse clinical outcomes. Although EHR data have the unique advantages in preserving temporal information of these clinical events, clinical information extraction methods tailored for pregnancy care have been scarce.¹²

Challenges in such clinical information extraction are manifold. First, controlled vocabularies (e.g., ICD, SNOMED-CT, LOINC, RxNorm) along with the relational EHR database architecture are designed to preserve some temporal information of clinical events, yet the information suffers from a low level of granularity (e.g., LOINC 95656-5: Gestational age [GA] <30 weeks, LOINC 49085-4: First and Second trimester integrated maternal screen panel), unreliable data entries (e.g., laboratory test results sometimes have delayed time stamps), and incomplete data (e.g., laboratory test results sometime are missing due to many laboratory results are photocopies). Second, approximately 80% of the EHR data consist of unstructured data (i.e., clinical notes), with which a considerable amount of temporal information is in the form of free text that cannot be directly used for quantitative analysis.¹³ Third, EHR data for pregnant women are distinct from most other medical specialties in that pregnancy care has scheduled routine visits that are involved with antenatal care, labor and delivery hospitalization, and postpartum care. Incomplete and/or inconsistent data are common because patients are often engaged with different providers, health care systems, and non-pregnancy clinical visits with important data relevant to pregnancy.¹² For

example, antenatal care and other medical care during the pregnancy could be at locations different from labor and delivery hospitals. Missing critical information from one clinical site would require researchers to infer such information using data from other sites or other visits. Health records of individual visits span inpatient and various outpatient visits but may not contain explicit and consistent temporal information (e.g., last menstrual period [LMP]¹¹, estimated date of delivery [DOD], and GA).

Current informatics methods for extracting and inferring temporal relations of clinical events include rule-based methods, machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), ontology-based methods, and temporal reasoning.¹³⁻²¹ Most of these methods utilized unstructured clinical notes in combination with structured EHR data, which is comprehensive for generic temporal information extraction. However, informatics methods focusing on temporal events among pregnant women's EHR are limited. Among studies that extract or infer DOD and GA, LMP and imaging/lab test results are commonly used data; chart review is a commonly used method.^{15,18,20,21} Using LMP data requires the providers to accurately document LMP in the EHR, yet in the real world, many EHR datasets have a lot of missing values in LMP. The use of ultrasound test results or other laboratory test results requires the EHR to comprehensively document both laboratory orders and testing results, yet testing results are often missing in real-world EHR datasets. Additionally, using laboratory data alone for inferring GA may not be accurate due to the individual physiological variation among pregnant women. A recent study utilized comprehensive ICD codes of diagnoses and procedures to infer delivery dates.²² While the study focused on full-term pregnancy with comprehensive medical records during the labor and delivery hospitalization, comprehensive methods remain needed for early-stage pregnancy (e.g., extreme preterm, very preterm) and those who have part of the pregnancy care data and conflicting data documented in EHR. Particularly, there is no published methods for extracting temporal relations of clinical events for pregnant women with COVID-19, in which temporal relations of clinical events suggestive for exact time of viral infection, acute phase of COVID-19, and vaccinations are unique yet challenging for studying pregnant women with COVID-19.

To identify temporal relations of clinical events imperative for pregnant women with COVID-19, we developed a rule-based algorithm, namely Temporal Events Extractor for Pregnancy Care (TED-PC), that can infer GA and DOD using both structured EHR data and annotated clinical notes. The algorithm is designed to capture any temporal information to be used for inferring GA and DOD so that the complete temporal relations in a pregnancy episode can be replicated and the timing of SARS-CoV-2 infection (in weeks) can be detected. This design is anticipated to be effective for pregnant women with regular labor and delivery hospitalization, without complete hospitalization records, and those who have pre-term delivery, miscarriage, early-stage pregnancy and termination, and multiple births. This algorithm is specialized for EHR from the National COVID Cohort Collaborate (N3C) because N3C has 1) individual-level data linked among multiple health systems nationwide and 2) normalized procedures, laboratory tests/results, and annotated clinical notes, which enable the reasoning of GA and DOD for patients who commonly have missing and conflicting data. These unique characteristics of N3C are critical for detecting the temporal information for pregnant women with COVID-19. The performance and clinical validity of TED-PC are tested systematically on the N3C platform. Presently, this algorithm is used as a critical clinical information extraction tool to identify comprehensive temporal relations of clinical events from multiple COVID-19 pregnant women cohorts on N3C. It provides a high-throughput informatics solution to the urgent need for mining large-scale pregnant women's EHR data for combating COVID-19.

Materials and Methods

Data sources

We used the N3C database, a multi-center clinical data repository that contains de-identified EHR data of individuals with COVID-19 blended with controls (i.e., non-COVID-19).²³ N3C currently has EHR and medical claims data from more than 73 healthcare systems and institutes across 50 states. The EHR data are normalized using the Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP) Clinical Data Model (CDM).^{23,24} In order to find the full clinical course of each pregnancy, the study cohort included women who met the following conditions: (1) have at least one childbirth between 2018-06-01 and 2021-05-31, (2) be aged between 15 to 49 years old at the DOD, and (3) have at least one GA-related record during the pregnancy.

Because the N3C database is normalized by OMOP CDM, we utilized the following resources for EHR phenotyping. The ATHENA vocabulary is used for retrieving OMOP CDM concept IDs and phenotyping patients with the GA and childbirth-related records. The Algorithms section details the design and the procedures for using the ATHENA vocabulary.

Algorithms

To retrieve the full spectrum of each gestation in the EHR data among pregnant women, it is crucial to identify the start date (i.e., pregnancy start) and the end date (i.e., childbirth delivery date) of the pregnancy. The start date can be estimated by the GA-related records that indicate the GA (e.g., in weeks, in a range of weeks, or a particular trimester) and the date of the record. The end date can be estimated by the identification of the DOD. Because some pregnant women's EHR data only have either GA-related records or childbirth delivery records, we first estimated GA and DOD, respectively, which resulted in a cohort of pregnant women with estimated GA, denoted as the GA cohort, and a cohort of pregnant women with estimated DOD, denoted as the DOD cohort. Then we estimated the start date and end date of the pregnancy by consolidating the temporal information from both cohorts. (Figure 1).

Gestational age cohort (GA cohort)

Phenotyping. The purpose of this step is to find OMOP CDM concepts that can be used to retrieve GA-related information from EHR data. For phenotyping the GA cohort, we used a keyword search strategy followed by a review of retrieved OMOP CDM concepts. Using the ATHENA vocabulary, a set of keywords were reviewed and determined: “trimester”, “gestation”, and “pregnan” (regular expression of “pregnancy” or “pregnant”). These keywords were used in conjunction with three filters in the ATHENA database: (1) “DOMAIN”, which include “Condition”, “Observation”, “Procedure”, “Measurement”, etc.; (2) “CONCEPT”, which include “Standard” and “Non-standard”; (3) “VALIDITY”, which include “Valid” and “Invalid”. The pseudo-query is:

(“trimester” OR “gestation” OR “pregnan”) AND (((DOMAIN = “Condition”) OR (DOMAIN = “Observation”) OR (DOMAIN = “Procedure”) OR (DOMAIN = “Measurement”)) AND (CONCEPT = “Standard”) AND (VALIDITY = “Valid”))

In the review of the returned OMOP CDM concepts, we applied the following three criteria to narrow down the scope step by step to our target concepts: (a) “Whether a record indicates a pregnant patient”; (b) “If yes, whether the record contains GA information of the patient”; (c) “If yes, what is the value of the GA”. Finally, we identified 138 OMOP CDM concepts (See Appendix

1). The researcher (TL) who performed the phenotyping was not involved in the phenotyping evaluation.

Rule-based algorithm. We developed a rule-based algorithm to infer GA from EHR data (Figure 2). A critical feature of the algorithm is that we divided all extracted OMOP CDM concepts into four accuracy levels based on their clinical meanings and granularity of the date: high, moderate-high, moderate-low, and low (Table 1) and prioritized the retrieval of GA-related information based on accuracy levels. Table 2 shows the pseudocode for the algorithm.

Childbirth delivery cohort (DOD cohort)

Phenotyping. For phenotyping the DOD cohort, we started with a list of CDC-recommended ICD, DRG, and CPT codes used for childbirth delivery and followed by exploring the relevant OMOP CDM concepts using the semantic relationships of concepts on the ATHENA vocabulary. First, we used a set of ICD-10, DRG, and CPT codes suggestive of childbirth delivery (see Appendix 2).²⁵ These codes were used to retrieve corresponding OMOP CDM concepts in the ATHENA vocabulary in which the resulting CDM concepts were then used to identify the childbirth delivery records in the EHR. Second, since these codes may not comprehensively capture all the OMOP CDM concepts indicating childbirth delivery, we explored the semantic relationships of the OMOP CDM concepts retrieved by these codes and supplemented them with the newly identified concepts.²⁶ The final concept set contained 105 OMOP CDM standard concepts (See Appendix 3). Researchers (TL and YS) performed the phenotyping and were not involved in the phenotyping evaluation.

Rule-based algorithm. Upon manual chart review of the EHR data, we found the OMOP CDM concepts with a domain type of procedure have the highest accuracy with respect to determining the DOD, followed by domain types of condition and then observation. Thus, we developed a rule-based algorithm to approximate the true DOD by prioritizing the OMOP CDM ‘procedure’ domain over the ‘condition’ domain over the ‘observation’ domain (Figure 3). Table 3 shows the pseudocode for the algorithm.

All data manipulation, phenotyping, and algorithms were implemented using SQL, R, and PySpark on the N3C platform. Source programming codes are available at N3C, project “[RP-2B9622] Assessing and predicting the clinical outcomes of pregnant women with COVID-19 using machine learning approach.”

Evaluation

We performed a multi-level evaluation to test the validity of the algorithm as well as inter-rater reliability. To test the content validity of the OMOP CDM concepts resulting from the phenotyping, two researchers (CL and NG) independently reviewed the concept IDs and their semantic meanings and properties on the ATHENA vocabulary and rated dichotomously on the relevance of all concept IDs. Inter-rater reliability was measured by Cohen’s Kappa. Disagreements were discussed and resolved together with a senior OB/GYN physician (BC).

To test the clinical validity of the algorithm for inferring GA, we randomly selected 30 patients from the final cohort, which resulted in 40 distinct gestations, including multiple gestations. Their comprehensive medical records on GA, excluding laboratory data, were pulled from the EHR. We calculated the start date of pregnancy by subtracting the GA in weeks from the event date for each record. Two clinical experts (CL and NG) independently reviewed the retrieved records and rated them based on two metrics: accuracy (high/moderate/low) and granularity (high/moderate/low).

Accuracy is concerned with the level that the selected OMOP CDM concepts can accurately indicate the GA. For example, GA-related records are typically documented during antenatal care visits. Multiple GA-related records, even when documented at different dates, can suggest a consistent GA. The algorithm-selected concept is most accurate if it is among these records. When there are other GA-related records suggesting a GA different from the algorithm-selected record, the accuracy would not be high. Granularity refers to the extent that the algorithm-selected concept can indicate a specific gestational week. For example, the “gestation period, 38 weeks” has a high granularity level whereas “third-trimester pregnancy” has a low granularity level.

To test the clinical validity of the algorithm for inferring DOD, we randomly selected 30 gestations from the final cohort. Their records consisting of procedures, conditions, observations, measurements were pulled from the EHR within ± 14 days of estimated DOD. Two clinical experts (CL and NG) independently reviewed the charts and labeled whether the DOD was correctly inferred by the algorithm.

Despite the average gestation being around 280 days, this estimation varies among individuals. To represent rare cases such as preterm birth, post-term birth, and early-stage termination, we also performed extreme value analysis, in which two clinical experts (TL and CL) performed chart review for 30 randomly selected samples with <150 or >300 days of gestation.

Characteristics of pregnant women with and without COVID-19

Using TED-PC, we performed descriptive analyses to explore maternal demographics and underlying conditions for pregnant women with (cases) and without COVID-19 (controls) which are characterized by temporal information of the gestational weeks when SARS-CoV-2 infection was identified.

Results

Identified OMOP CDM concepts

We identified 2,773 OMOP CDM concepts from the ATHENA vocabulary, of which 2,370 indicated the patient being pregnant. Among the concepts relating to pregnancy, 336 have GA-related information. We excluded 189 concepts that either indicated the inaccurate time range broader than one trimester (13 weeks) (e.g., concept ID 21493940: US for pregnancy in the second or third trimester) or did not have corresponding records in the N3C database (e.g., concept ID 3025286: Gestational age estimated from foot length on US by Mercer 1987 method). Totally, 138 concepts contained useful gestational week information with a time range from one week to one trimester. Within the selected 138 concepts, 42 were in high accuracy, 9 were in moderate-high accuracy, 5 were in moderate-low accuracy, and 82 were in low accuracy.

Algorithm performance

To evaluate phenotyping results, the content validity of the selected concepts was assessed and rated blindfolded by two independent reviewers who did not participate in the phenotyping. Both reviewers rated all concepts as “valid” (100% agreement).

We evaluated the performance of the GA algorithm in two dimensions: accuracy and granularity. Among the 30 randomly selected mothers, eight of them had two gestations and one of them had three gestations during the study time frame. The mean gestation length was 270.15 days with a maximum of 299 days and a minimum of 159 days. Among the 40 pregnancies, one reviewer rated

34 (85.0%) samples as high accuracy, 4 (10.0%) samples as moderate accuracy, and 2 (5.0%) sample as low accuracy. The other reviewer rated 35 (87.5%) samples as high accuracy, 3 (7.5%) samples as moderate accuracy, and 2 (5.0%) samples as low accuracy. The Cohen's Kappa with linear weighting is 0.62, CI = [0.35, 0.90]. For granularity, both reviewers rated the 39 samples as high granularity and one sample as low granularity (100% agreement, unweighted Cohen's Kappa = 1). See Table 4 for the confusion matrix.

For the DOD algorithm, a total of 30 patients' EHR were reviewed independently. Both reviewers rated the 30 samples to be accurate (100% agreement, unweighted Cohen's Kappa = 1)

Extreme value analysis

We randomly selected 30 gestations with the gestation length either smaller than 150 days or greater than 300 days and extracted their EHR. After chart review, 28 of the 30 gestations were extracted with correct GA information, with an accuracy of 93.3%. For the two error cases, the first one was due to the contradiction between the GA records on different dates. For example, there was a record with the concept name "Gestation period, 38 weeks" on date 1, but other records with the same concept on date 2. The second error case was due to the contradiction between the GA records on the same date. For example, on the same date, one record was with concept name "Gestation period, 36 weeks" and another record was with concept name "Gestation period, 39 weeks". Among the correctly inferred cases, a certain level of inaccuracy existed. Five gestations only had low accuracy level GA records. Among the extreme case, one of them had only one GA record. Inter-rater reliability is 100% (unweighted Cohen's Kappa = 1).

Characteristics of pregnant women with and without COVID-19

Between 2018-06-01 and 2021-05-31, a total of 296,194 gestations in 270,897 pregnant women were identified from the N3C database. The mean and the median ages are 30.31 and 31, respectively. There were 245,892 women who had one pregnancy during the study time, 24,713 and 292 had two and three pregnancies, respectively. The mean gestation length was 274.14 days. The median was 278 days, with a minimum of 140 days and a maximum of 308 days. N3C data retrieval was completed on 02/12/2022.

Using TED-PC, we identified the timing of SARS-CoV-2 infections in gestational weeks. Figure 4 shows the frequency of infections across gestational weeks. More than half of the infections happened during late pregnancy (between 32 and 41 week), which might be related to the increased antenatal visits in late pregnancy. Table 5 provides the selected demographics and underlying conditions of the cohort captured by TED-PC, stratified by trimesters. There were 104,791 and 191,403 gestations before and during the COVID-19 pandemic, respectively, among which there are 16,659 gestations with COVID-19 and 174,744 without COVID-19 peri-pandemic. Age group 30-34 shared the largest proportion across the age groups, followed by the age groups 25-29, 40-44, and 20-24. White people made up the largest percentage of nearly 50% of the total population, followed by Black and Hispanic/Latino races. For mothers who had ever been infected by SARS-CoV-2 before the DOD (before or during the pregnancy), age groups 30-34, 20-24, and 25-29 had the largest percentage. Besides, the percentage of the White was smaller (39.7%) compared with that of pre-pandemic (57.1%). Hispanic/Latino made up the largest proportion across the races in those SARS-CoV-2 infected mothers (31.5%). Compared with the pregnant women without COVID-19, pregnant women with COVID-19 had a higher prevalence in obesity (35.1% vs. 29.5%), diabetes (17.8% vs. 17.0%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (0.2% vs. 0.1%), respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (1.8% vs. 0.2%), myocardial infarction (0.2% vs.

0.1%), and HIV/AIDS (0.6% vs. 0.4%). The characteristics of the proportions shared similar trends when stratified by different trimesters.

Discussion

Using N3C data, we created the first EHR-based cohort of SARS-CoV-2-infected pregnant women with complete temporal information of clinical events spanning the gestation length, which supports urgently needed COVID-19 research for pregnant women in the US. Our algorithm is among the first that can detect temporal information of pregnancy care including early-state pregnancy, preterm birth, early termination, and post-term birth. This algorithm shows the promise to underpin EHR deep phenotyping of pregnancy care as well as machine learning methods that require precise temporal information of clinical events. As a rapid development of clinical information extraction tool for combating COVID-19, our algorithm is currently supporting several EHR-based cohort studies on the N3C to examine the impact of COVID-19 on pregnant women's real-time clinical inflammatory progression and pregnancy complications.

The accuracy of the TED-PC is warranted by a few logic layers. First, compared with the previous studies that focused on the claims data or required labor efforts, our study took advantage of the OMOP CDM to normalize EHR data and categorized normalized concepts into different priority groups.^{15,20-22,27-30} For example, the GA algorithm prioritized the concepts in the "Procedure" domain over "Condition" domain over "Observation" domain, which logically prevented the algorithm from selecting the records that were at a higher risk of semantic ambiguity and low granularity. Second, our algorithm categorized the GA-related concepts into different accuracy levels by indicating time range. This step allows the TED-PC to prioritize the records with the most accurate information. Third, the 270-day interval in our algorithm enabled us to distinguish different gestations of the same mother within the time frame for both the estimations of GA and DOD. Fourth, the merging and matching process of the GA cohort and the DOD cohort can exclude the gestations with untrustworthy or missing values that are due to incomplete EHR data.

Detection of temporal information for pregnant women with COVID-19 is made available by using N3C data for its two major features. First, N3C has multi-system EHR data linked at individual level. This unique feature enables our algorithm to impute a huge amount of missing temporal values and to resolve conflicts of temporal values among health records from different hospital systems. Second, N3C includes annotated clinical notes, procedures, and laboratory tests/results that are normalized with OMOP CDM, which allow the algorithms to leverage multi-source contextual information for inferring temporal information at an adequate level of granularity.

A few limitations of this study warrant to note. First, because several GA-related OMOP CDM concepts do not indicate specific gestational weeks (e.g., "Spontaneous onset of labor between 37 and 39 week gestation with planned cesarean section"), we inferred the gestational weeks using the median time point of the range, which may impair the performance of the algorithm. This impact is mild on the concepts with high or moderate-high accuracy levels since the time range is small, but it could be severe in the concepts with the low accuracy level. Second, our EHR data may not be comprehensive. For example, some examinations or laboratory tests do not have time information, but they are often prescribed to the mothers during a specific time frame of gestation. Our future direction will aim to improve the performance of TED-PC and test the external validity. From error analysis, data incompleteness and inconsistency remain the major sources of error. Well-designed EHR data imputation methods and a hybrid model of rule-based and machine

learning algorithms hold promises for addressing these issues. Although our algorithm is designed for N3C data, it could be potentially repurposed for other OMOP CDM normalized EHR.

Conclusion

We explored and compared the characteristics of pregnant women by different timing of SARS-CoV-2 infection with our newly developed technique: TED-PC, a rule-based algorithm to automatically infer comprehensive temporal information of clinical events from EHR during the pregnancy care. The performance of TED-PC is satisfactory as collectively, accuracy and granularity of temporal information are beyond 90%. TED-PC has been implemented on N3C, supporting multiple national EHR cohorts for desperately needed research on the impact of COVID-19 on pregnancy. TED-PC is designed for N3C data but remains generalizable for OMOP CDM normalized EHR.

Acknowledgment

This study is sponsored by NIH/NIAID under award 3R01AI127203-05S2. We thank Ms. Ashlee Kim for her support of medical coding.

N3C Attribution

The analyses described in this publication were conducted with data or tools accessed through the NCATS N3C Data Enclave <https://covid.cd2h.org> and N3C Attribution & Publication Policy v 1.2-2020-08-25b supported by NCATS U24 TR002306. This research was possible because of the patients whose information is included within the data and the organizations (<https://ncats.nih.gov/n3c/resources/data-contribution/data-transfer-agreement-signatories>) and scientists who have contributed to the on-going development of this community resource (<https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaa196>).

IRB

The N3C data transfer to NCATS is performed under a Johns Hopkins University Reliance Protocol # IRB00249128 or individual site agreements with NIH. The N3C Data Enclave is managed under the authority of the NIH; information can be found at <https://ncats.nih.gov/n3c/resources>.

Individual Acknowledgements for Core Contributors

We gratefully acknowledge contributions from the following N3C core teams (leads designated with asterisks):

- CD2H Principal Investigators and N3C Lead Investigators: Melissa A. Haendel*, Christopher G. Chute*, Anita Walden
- NCATS CD2H and N3C Science Officer: Kenneth R. Gersing
- NCATS CD2H and N3C Program Officer: Leonie Misquitta
- NCATS N3C Leadership Team: Joni L. Rutter*, Kenneth R. Gersing*, Penny Wung Burgoon, Samuel Bozzette, Mariam Deacy, Christopher Dillon, Rebecca Erwin-Cohen, Nicole Garbarini, Valery Gordon, Michael G. Kurilla, Emily Carlson Marti, Sam G. Michael, Leonie Misquitta, Lili Portilla, Clare Schmitt, Meredith Temple-O'Connor

- Workstream, subgroup and administrative leaders: Melissa A. Haendel*, Tellen D. Bennett, Christopher G. Chute, David A. Eichmann, Justin Guinney, Warren A. Kibbe, Hongfang Liu, Philip R.O. Payne, Emily R. Pfaff, Peter N. Robinson, Joel H. Saltz, Heidi Spratt, Justin Starren, Christine Suver, Adam B. Wilcox, Andrew E. Williams, Chunlei Wu
- Key liaisons at data partner sites
- Regulatory staff at data partner sites
- Individuals at the sites who are responsible for creating the datasets and submitting data to N3C
- Data Ingest and Harmonization Team: Christopher G. Chute*, Emily R. Pfaff*, Davera Gabriel, Stephanie S. Hong, Kristin Kostka, Harold P. Lehmann, Richard A. Moffitt, Michele Morris, Matvey B. Palchuk, Xiaohan Tanner Zhang, Richard L. Zhu
- Phenotype Team (Individuals who create the scripts that the sites use to submit their data, based on the COVID and Long COVID definitions): Emily R. Pfaff*, Benjamin Amor, Mark M. Bissell, Marshall Clark, Andrew T. Girvin, Stephanie S. Hong, Kristin Kostka, Adam M. Lee, Robert T. Miller, Michele Morris, Matvey B. Palchuk, Kellie M. Walters
- N3C Community Project Management and Operations Team: Anita Walden*, Will Cooper, Patricia A. Francis, Rafael Fuentes, Alexis Graves, Julie A. McMurry, Andrew J. Neumann, Shawn T. O'Neil, Usman Sheikh, Elizabeth Zampino
- Analytics Team (Individuals who build the Enclave infrastructure, help create codesets, variables, and help Domain Teams and project teams with their datasets): Benjamin Amor*, Mark M. Bissell, Katie Rebecca Bradwell, Andrew T. Girvin, Amin Manna, Nabeel Qureshi
- Publication Committee Team: Mary Morrison Saltz*, Christine Suver*, Christopher G. Chute, Melissa A. Haendel, Julie A. McMurry, Andréa M. Volz, Anita Walden, Carolyn Bramante, Jeremy Richard Harper, Wendy Hernandez, Farrukh M Koraisky, Federico Mariona, Amit Saha, Satyanarayana Vedula

Individual researchers who developed OMOP concept sets that have been used in this study: Daniel Meza, Arti Patel, Richard Zhu, Alfred Anzalone, Arti Patel, and Benjamin Amor.

We acknowledge support from many grants; the content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the N3C Program, the NIH or other funders. In addition, access to N3C Data Enclave resources does not imply endorsement of the research project and/or results by NIH or NCATS.

Data Partners with Released Data

The following institutions whose data is released or pending:

Available: Advocate Health Care Network — UL1TR002389: The Institute for Translational Medicine (ITM) • Boston University Medical Campus — UL1TR001430: Boston University Clinical and Translational Science Institute • Brown University — U54GM115677: Advance Clinical Translational Research (Advance-CTR) • Carilion Clinic — UL1TR003015: iTHRIV Integrated Translational health Research Institute of Virginia • Charleston Area Medical Center — U54GM104942: West Virginia Clinical and Translational Science Institute (WVCTSI) • Children's Hospital Colorado — UL1TR002535: Colorado Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute • Columbia University Irving Medical Center — UL1TR001873: Irving Institute for Clinical and Translational Research • Duke University — UL1TR002553: Duke Clinical and

Translational Science Institute • George Washington Children's Research Institute — UL1TR001876: Clinical and Translational Science Institute at Children's National (CTSA-CN) • George Washington University — UL1TR001876: Clinical and Translational Science Institute at Children's National (CTSA-CN) • Indiana University School of Medicine — UL1TR002529: Indiana Clinical and Translational Science Institute • Johns Hopkins University — UL1TR003098: Johns Hopkins Institute for Clinical and Translational Research • Loyola Medicine — Loyola University Medical Center • Loyola University Medical Center — UL1TR002389: The Institute for Translational Medicine (ITM) • Maine Medical Center — U54GM115516: Northern New England Clinical & Translational Research (NNE-CTR) Network • Massachusetts General Brigham — UL1TR002541: Harvard Catalyst • Mayo Clinic Rochester — UL1TR002377: Mayo Clinic Center for Clinical and Translational Science (CCaTS) • Medical University of South Carolina — UL1TR001450: South Carolina Clinical & Translational Research Institute (SCTR) • Montefiore Medical Center — UL1TR002556: Institute for Clinical and Translational Research at Einstein and Montefiore • Nemours — U54GM104941: Delaware CTR ACCEL Program • NorthShore University HealthSystem — UL1TR002389: The Institute for Translational Medicine (ITM) • Northwestern University at Chicago — UL1TR001422: Northwestern University Clinical and Translational Science Institute (NUCATS) • OCHIN — INV-018455: Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation grant to Sage Bionetworks • Oregon Health & Science University — UL1TR002369: Oregon Clinical and Translational Research Institute • Penn State Health Milton S. Hershey Medical Center — UL1TR002014: Penn State Clinical and Translational Science Institute • Rush University Medical Center — UL1TR002389: The Institute for Translational Medicine (ITM) • Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey — UL1TR003017: New Jersey Alliance for Clinical and Translational Science • Stony Brook University — U24TR002306 • The Ohio State University — UL1TR002733: Center for Clinical and Translational Science • The State University of New York at Buffalo — UL1TR001412: Clinical and Translational Science Institute • The University of Chicago — UL1TR002389: The Institute for Translational Medicine (ITM) • The University of Iowa — UL1TR002537: Institute for Clinical and Translational Science • The University of Miami Leonard M. Miller School of Medicine — UL1TR002736: University of Miami Clinical and Translational Science Institute • The University of Michigan at Ann Arbor — UL1TR002240: Michigan Institute for Clinical and Health Research • The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston — UL1TR003167: Center for Clinical and Translational Sciences (CCTS) • The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston — UL1TR001439: The Institute for Translational Sciences • The University of Utah — UL1TR002538: Uhealth Center for Clinical and Translational Science • Tufts Medical Center — UL1TR002544: Tufts Clinical and Translational Science Institute • Tulane University — UL1TR003096: Center for Clinical and Translational Science • University Medical Center New Orleans — U54GM104940: Louisiana Clinical and Translational Science (LA CaTS) Center • University of Alabama at Birmingham — UL1TR003096: Center for Clinical and Translational Science • University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences — UL1TR003107: UAMS Translational Research Institute • University of Cincinnati — UL1TR001425: Center for Clinical and Translational Science and Training • University of Colorado Denver, Anschutz Medical Campus — UL1TR002535: Colorado Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute • University of Illinois at Chicago — UL1TR002003: UIC Center for Clinical and Translational Science • University of Kansas Medical Center — UL1TR002366: Frontiers: University of Kansas Clinical and Translational Science Institute • University of Kentucky — UL1TR001998: UK Center for Clinical and Translational Science • University of Massachusetts Medical School Worcester — UL1TR001453: The UMass Center for

Clinical and Translational Science (UMCCTS) • University of Minnesota — UL1TR002494: Clinical and Translational Science Institute • University of Mississippi Medical Center — U54GM115428: Mississippi Center for Clinical and Translational Research (CCTR) • University of Nebraska Medical Center — U54GM115458: Great Plains IDeA-Clinical & Translational Research • University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill — UL1TR002489: North Carolina Translational and Clinical Science Institute • University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center — U54GM104938: Oklahoma Clinical and Translational Science Institute (OCTSI) • University of Rochester — UL1TR002001: UR Clinical & Translational Science Institute • University of Southern California — UL1TR001855: The Southern California Clinical and Translational Science Institute (SC CTSI) • University of Vermont — U54GM115516: Northern New England Clinical & Translational Research (NNE-CTR) Network • University of Virginia — UL1TR003015: iTHRIV Integrated Translational health Research Institute of Virginia • University of Washington — UL1TR002319: Institute of Translational Health Sciences • University of Wisconsin-Madison — UL1TR002373: UW Institute for Clinical and Translational Research • Vanderbilt University Medical Center — UL1TR002243: Vanderbilt Institute for Clinical and Translational Research • Virginia Commonwealth University — UL1TR002649: C. Kenneth and Dianne Wright Center for Clinical and Translational Research • Wake Forest University Health Sciences — UL1TR001420: Wake Forest Clinical and Translational Science Institute • Washington University in St. Louis — UL1TR002345: Institute of Clinical and Translational Sciences • Weill Medical College of Cornell University — UL1TR002384: Weill Cornell Medicine Clinical and Translational Science Center • West Virginia University — U54GM104942: West Virginia Clinical and Translational Science Institute (WVCTSI)

Submitted: Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai — UL1TR001433: ConduITS Institute for Translational Sciences • The University of Texas Health Science Center at Tyler — UL1TR003167: Center for Clinical and Translational Sciences (CCTS) • University of California, Davis — UL1TR001860: UC Davis Health Clinical and Translational Science Center • University of California, Irvine — UL1TR001414: The UC Irvine Institute for Clinical and Translational Science (ICTS) • University of California, Los Angeles — UL1TR001881: UCLA Clinical Translational Science Institute • University of California, San Diego — UL1TR001442: Altman Clinical and Translational Research Institute • University of California, San Francisco — UL1TR001872: UCSF Clinical and Translational Science Institute

Pending: Arkansas Children's Hospital — UL1TR003107: UAMS Translational Research Institute • Baylor College of Medicine — None (Voluntary) • Children's Hospital of Philadelphia — UL1TR001878: Institute for Translational Medicine and Therapeutics • Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center — UL1TR001425: Center for Clinical and Translational Science and Training • Emory University — UL1TR002378: Georgia Clinical and Translational Science Alliance • HonorHealth — None (Voluntary) • Loyola University Chicago — UL1TR002389: The Institute for Translational Medicine (ITM) • Medical College of Wisconsin — UL1TR001436: Clinical and Translational Science Institute of Southeast Wisconsin • MedStar Health Research Institute — UL1TR001409: The Georgetown-Howard Universities Center for Clinical and Translational Science (GHUCCTS) • MetroHealth — None (Voluntary) • Montana State University — U54GM115371: American Indian/Alaska Native CTR • NYU Langone Medical Center — UL1TR001445: Langone Health's Clinical and Translational Science Institute • Ochsner Medical Center — U54GM104940: Louisiana Clinical and Translational Science (LA CaTS) Center • Regenstrief Institute — UL1TR002529: Indiana Clinical and Translational Science Institute • Sanford Research — None (Voluntary) • Stanford University — UL1TR003142:

Spectrum: The Stanford Center for Clinical and Translational Research and Education • The Rockefeller University — UL1TR001866: Center for Clinical and Translational Science • The Scripps Research Institute — UL1TR002550: Scripps Research Translational Institute • University of Florida — UL1TR001427: UF Clinical and Translational Science Institute • University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center — UL1TR001449: University of New Mexico Clinical and Translational Science Center • University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio — UL1TR002645: Institute for Integration of Medicine and Science • Yale New Haven Hospital — UL1TR001863: Yale Center for Clinical Investigation

International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) Statement:

Authorship was determined using ICMJE recommendations.

Authorship Contribution Statement

Conceived study design: TL, CL

Developed methodology: TL, CL

Performed data analysis and interpretation: TL, CL, YS, BC, NG

Drafted manuscript: TL, CL

Reviewed, edited, and approved manuscript: TL, CL, JL, BC, PH, YS, NG, XL

Declaration of Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Allotey J, Stallings E, Bonet M, et al. Clinical manifestations, risk factors, and maternal and perinatal outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019 in pregnancy: living systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2020;370:m3320. doi:10.1136/bmj.m3320
2. Zambrano LD, Ellington S, Strid P, et al. Update: Characteristics of Symptomatic Women of Reproductive Age with Laboratory-Confirmed SARS-CoV-2 Infection by Pregnancy Status - United States, January 22-October 3, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69(44):1641-1647. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6944e3
3. Piekos SN, Roper RT, Hwang YM, et al. The effect of maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection timing on birth outcomes: a retrospective multicentre cohort study. *The Lancet Digital Health*. 2022/02/01/ 2022;4(2):e95-e104. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(21\)00250-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(21)00250-8)
4. Class QA, Lichtenstein P, Långström N, D'Onofrio BM. Timing of prenatal maternal exposure to severe life events and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a population study of 2.6 million pregnancies. *Psychosom Med*. 2011;73(3):234-241. doi:10.1097/PSY.0b013e31820a62ce
5. Racicot K, Mor G. Risks associated with viral infections during pregnancy. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 05/01/ 2017;127(5):1591-1599. doi:10.1172/JCI87490
6. MedlinePlus. Pregnancy care. Mar. 02, 2022. <https://medlineplus.gov/ency/article/007214.htm>
7. Häyrynen K, Saranto K, Nykänen P. Definition, structure, content, use and impacts of electronic health records: A review of the research literature. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 2008/05/01/ 2008;77(5):291-304. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2007.09.001>
8. Weiskopf NG, Weng C. Methods and dimensions of electronic health record data quality assessment: enabling reuse for clinical research. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2013;20(1):144-151. doi:10.1136/amiainl-2011-000681
9. Leon LJ, McCarthy FP, Direk K, et al. Preeclampsia and Cardiovascular Disease in a Large UK Pregnancy Cohort of Linked Electronic Health Records. *Circulation*. 2019;140(13):1050-1060. doi:doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.038080
10. Liyanage H, Williams J, Byford R, de Lusignan S. Ontology to identify pregnant women in electronic health records: primary care sentinel network database study. *BMJ Health Care Inform*. 2019;26(1):e100013. doi:10.1136/bmjhci-2019-100013
11. Spong CY. Defining "Term" Pregnancy: Recommendations From the Defining "Term" Pregnancy Workgroup. *JAMA*. 2013;309(23):2445-2446. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.6235
12. Roser BJ, Rubin SE, Nagarajan N, Wieland DL, Benfield NC. A data extraction algorithm for assessment of contraceptive counseling and provision. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2018/03/01/ 2018;218(3):333.e1-333.e5. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2017.11.578>
13. Sun W, Rumshisky A, Uzuner O. Temporal reasoning over clinical text: the state of the art. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2013;20(5):814-819. doi:10.1136/amiainl-2013-001760
14. Banda JM, Seneviratne M, Hernandez-Boussard T, Shah NH. Advances in Electronic Phenotyping: From Rule-Based Definitions to Machine Learning Models. *Annual Review of Biomedical Data Science*. 2018;1(1):53-68. doi:10.1146/annurev-biodatasci-080917-013315
15. Hornbrook MC, Whitlock EP, Berg CJ, et al. Development of an Algorithm to Identify Pregnancy Episodes in an Integrated Health Care Delivery System. *Health Services Research*. 2007;42(2):908-927. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2006.00635.x>
16. Kovačević A, Dehghan A, Filannino M, Keane JA, Nenadic G. Combining rules and machine learning for extraction of temporal expressions and events from clinical narratives. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2013;20(5):859-866. doi:10.1136/amiainl-2013-001625
17. Li F, Du J, He Y, et al. Time event ontology (TEO): to support semantic representation and reasoning of complex temporal relations of clinical events. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2020;27(7):1046-1056. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocaa058
18. Li Q, Andrade SE, Cooper WO, et al. Validation of an algorithm to estimate gestational age in electronic health plan databases. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*. 2013;22(5):524-532. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3407>

19. Lin Y-K, Chen H, Brown RA. MedTime: A temporal information extraction system for clinical narratives. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*. 2013/12/01/ 2013;46:S20-S28. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2013.07.012>
20. Margulis AV, Setoguchi S, Mittleman MA, Glynn RJ, Dormuth CR, Hernández-Díaz S. Algorithms to estimate the beginning of pregnancy in administrative databases. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*. 2013;22(1):16-24. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3284>
21. Matcho A, Ryan P, Fife D, Gifkins D, Knoll C, Friedman A. Inferring pregnancy episodes and outcomes within a network of observational databases. *PloS one*. 2018;13(2):e0192033.
22. Canelón SP, Burris HH, Levine LD, Boland MR. Development and evaluation of MADDIE: Method to Acquire Delivery Date Information from Electronic health records. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 2021/01/01/ 2021;145:104339. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2020.104339>
23. Haendel MA, Chute CG, Bennett TD, et al. The National COVID Cohort Collaborative (N3C): Rationale, design, infrastructure, and deployment. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2020;28(3):427-443. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocaa196
24. OHDSI. OMOP Common Data Model. Mar. 01, 2022. Accessed Mar. 01, 2022. <https://www.ohdsi.org/data-standardization/the-common-data-model/>
25. AIM. AIM Data Guide. Mar. 07, 2022. Accessed Jun. 15, 2021. https://safehealthcareforeverywoman.org/wp-content/uploads/AIM_DataGuide_Oct2020.pdf
26. Song YP, Skinner JP, Bynum JMDMPH, Sutherland JP, Wennberg JEMDMPH, Fisher ESMDMPH. Regional Variations in Diagnostic Practices. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2010 Jul 01 2010;363(1):45-53. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa0910881>
27. Blotière P-O, Weill A, Dalichampt M, et al. Development of an algorithm to identify pregnancy episodes and related outcomes in health care claims databases: An application to antiepileptic drug use in 4.9 million pregnant women in France. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*. 2018;27(7):763-770. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4556>
28. MacDonald SC, Cohen JM, Panchaud A, McElrath TF, Huybrechts KF, Hernández-Díaz S. Identifying pregnancies in insurance claims data: Methods and application to retinoid teratogenic surveillance. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*. 2019;28(9):1211-1221. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4794>
29. Manson JM, McFarland B, Weiss S. Use of an Automated Database to Evaluate Markers for Early Detection of Pregnancy. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2001;154(2):180-187. doi:10.1093/aje/154.2.180
30. Naleway AL, Gold R, Kurosky S, et al. Identifying pregnancy episodes, outcomes, and mother–infant pairs in the Vaccine Safety Datalink. *Vaccine*. 2013/06/12/ 2013;31(27):2898-2903. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.03.069>

Table 1. Concepts Categorization by Accuracy Level

Accuracy level	Time Interval	Definition	Example Concept IDs
High	1 week	The concept name specifies the value of GA in week (e.g., Gestation period, 15 weeks).	4337360: Gestation period, 1 week 4097608: Gestation period, 18 weeks 444098: Gestation period, 40 weeks
Moderate - High	2-5 weeks	The concept name does not specify the value of GA in week but specifies the range of GA in week which is larger than 1 week and smaller than 6 weeks (e.g., Gestation 9-13 weeks)	4181468: Gestation 9- 13 weeks 44791171: 9-13 weeks gestational age 45757118: Spontaneous onset of labor between 37 and 39 weeks gestation with planned cesarean section
Moderate - Low	6-10 weeks	The concept name does not specify the value of GA in week but specifies the range of GA in week which is larger than 5 week and smaller than 11 weeks (e.g., Gestation 14-20 weeks)	4180111: Third trimester pregnancy less than 36 weeks 4178165: Gestation 14-20 weeks 44791170: 14-20 weeks gestational age
Low	11-13 weeks	The concept name does not specify the value of GA in week but specifies the trimester (e.g., first trimester)	3657563: First trimester bleeding 4239938: First trimester pregnancy 4112238: Third trimester

Table 2. The Pseudocode for the Algorithm: Estimating the Gestational Age

Algorithm: GA estimation for each gestation

```
1: procedure GA ESTIMATION
2:   Input: GA-related clinical events, the date of GA-related clinical events
3:   Output: Estimated GA for each gestation
4:   pregnancy date <- calculated by the date of GA-related clinical events
5:   if the time range indicated by the GA-related clinical events == 1 week then
6:     accuracy <- 1
7:   else if 2 weeks <= the time range indicated by the GA-related clinical events <= 5 weeks then
8:     accuracy <- 2
9:   else if 6 weeks <= the time range indicated by the GA-related clinical events <= 10 weeks then
10:    accuracy <- 3
11:  else if 11 weeks <= the time range indicated by the GA-related clinical events <= 13 weeks then
12:    accuracy <- 4
13:  endif
14:  for each patient
15:    Sort the GA-related clinical events by event date chronologically
16:    repeat
17:      Select the first pregnancy date with the highest accuracy*
18:      for the GA-related clinical events in ( $\pm 270$  days of the selected pregnancy date)
19:        Remove
20:      endfor
21:      Estimated GA <- the first GA-related clinical event with the highest accuracy*
22:    until the last GA-related clinical event
23:    repeat
24:      if remaining GA-related clinical events exist then
25:        repeat
26:          Select the first pregnancy date with the highest accuracy*
27:          for the GA-related clinical events in ( $\pm 270$  days of the selected pregnancy date)
28:            Remove
29:          endfor
30:          Estimated GA <- the first GA-related clinical event with the highest accuracy*
31:        until the GA-related clinical event
32:      else stop
33:    endif
34:  until the GA-related clinical event
35:  endfor
36: endprocedure
```

* Accuracy level: 1-4, from high to low

Table 3. The Pseudocode for the Algorithm: Estimating the Date of Delivery

Algorithm: DOD estimation for each gestation

```
1: procedure DOD ESTIMATION
2:   Input: childbirth delivery clinical events, the domain and the date of DOD-related clinical events
3:   Output: Estimated DOD for each gestation
4:   Event DOD <- the date of childbirth delivery clinical events
5:   if domain == “procedure” then
6:     accuracy <- 1
7:   else if domain == “condition” then
8:     accuracy <- 2
9:   else if domain == “observation” then
10:    accuracy <- 3
11:  endif
12:  for each patient
13:    Sort the childbirth delivery clinical events by event DOD in reversed chronological order
14:    repeat
15:      Select the first event DOD with the highest accuracy*
16:      for the childbirth delivery clinical event in ( $\pm 270$  days of the selected event DOD)
17:        Remove
18:      endfor
19:      Estimated DOD <- the first event DOD with the highest accuracy*
20:    until the last childbirth delivery clinical event
21:    repeat
22:      if remaining childbirth delivery clinical events exist then
23:        repeat
24:          Select the first event DOD with the highest accuracy*
25:          for the childbirth delivery clinical events in ( $\pm 270$  days of the selected event DOD)
26:            Remove
27:          endfor
28:          Estimated DOD <- the first event DOD with the highest accuracy*
29:        until the last childbirth delivery clinical event
30:      else stop
31:    endif
32:    until the last childbirth delivery clinical event
33:  endfor
34: endprocedure
```

* Accuracy level: 1-3, from high to low

Table 4. The Confusion Matrix of the Accuracy Rating for the Performance of the GA Algorithm

		Reviewer2			
		High	Moderate	Low	Total
Reviewer1	High	33	1	0	34
	Moderate	2	1	1	4
	Low	0	1	1	2
	Total	35	3	2	40

Table 5. Selected Demographics and Underlying Conditions for Pregnant Women With and Without COVID-19 by Gestations During Pre- and Peri-Pandemic

Characteristics	Pre-Pandemic*		Peri-Pandemic†													
	Total		Total		COVID-19 Before the DOD				COVID-19 During the First or Second Trimester (before 27 weeks)				COVID-19 During the Third Trimester (after 28 weeks)‡			
	n=104,791		n=191,403		No (n=174,744)		Yes (n=16,659)		No (n=187,351)		Yes (n=4,052)		No (n=178,449)		Yes (n=9,327)	
Age group																
15-19	3,296	3.1%	5,366	2.8%	4,778	2.7%	588	3.5%	5,268	2.8%	98	2.4%	4,918	2.8%	354	3.8%
20-24	17,076	16.3%	28,000	14.6%	25,051	14.3%	2,949	17.7%	27,345	14.6%	655	16.2%	25,796	14.5%	1,663	17.8%
25-29	27,337	26.1%	46,867	24.5%	42,406	24.3%	4,461	26.8%	45,783	24.4%	1,084	26.8%	43,487	24.4%	2,522	27.0%
30-34	33,590	32.1%	60,815	31.8%	56,057	32.1%	4,758	28.6%	59,606	31.8%	1,209	29.8%	57,105	32.0%	2,671	28.6%
35-39	18,843	18.0%	39,162	20.5%	36,173	20.7%	2,989	17.9%	38,395	20.5%	767	18.9%	36,713	20.6%	1,621	17.4%
40-44	4,326	4.1%	10,402	5.4%	9,553	5.5%	849	5.1%	10,179	5.4%	223	5.5%	9,698	5.4%	458	4.9%
45-49	323	0.3%	791	0.4%	726	0.4%	65	0.4%	775	0.4%	-	-	732	0.4%	38	0.4%
Race																
White	59,842	57.1%	95,517	49.9%	88,898	50.9%	6,619	39.7%	93,736	50.0%	1,781	44.0%	90,397	50.7%	3,660	39.2%
Black	18,536	17.7%	33,144	17.3%	30,567	17.5%	2,577	15.5%	32,511	17.4%	633	15.6%	30,740	17.2%	1,412	15.1%
Hispanic/Latino	15,961	15.2%	37,095	19.4%	31,841	18.2%	5,254	31.5%	35,964	19.2%	1,131	27.9%	33,249	18.6%	3,149	33.8%
Asian	4,219	4.0%	9,952	5.2%	9,289	5.3%	663	4.0%	9,784	5.2%	168	4.1%	9,447	5.3%	348	3.7%
NHOPI	182	0.2%	375	0.2%	325	0.2%	50	0.3%	361	0.2%	-	-	329	0.2%	29	0.3%
Other/unknown	5,477	5.2%	13,996	7.3%	12,643	7.2%	1,353	8.1%	13,703	7.3%	293	7.2%	13,051	7.3%	673	7.2%
Multiracial	574	0.5%	1,324	0.7%	1,181	0.7%	143	0.9%	1,292	0.7%	32	0.8%	1,236	0.7%	56	0.6%
Obesity																
No	77,992	74.4%	133,988	70.0%	123,181	70.5%	10,807	64.9%	131,423	70.1%	2,565	63.3%	125,945	70.6%	5,611	60.2%
Yes	26,799	25.6%	57,415	30.0%	51,563	29.5%	5,852	35.1%	55,928	29.9%	1,487	36.7%	52,504	29.4%	3,716	39.8%
Hypertensive disorders (any)§																
No	85,308	81.4%	150,308	78.5%	137,226	78.5%	13,082	78.5%	147,156	78.5%	3,152	77.8%	140,521	78.7%	7,020	75.3%
Yes	19,483	18.6%	41,095	21.5%	37,518	21.5%	3,577	21.5%	40,195	21.5%	900	22.2%	37,928	21.3%	2,307	24.7%
Diabetes (any)¶																
No	90,059	85.9%	158,651	82.9%	144,962	83.0%	13,689	82.2%	155,379	82.9%	3,272	80.8%	148,036	83.0%	7,509	80.5%
Yes	14,732	14.1%	32,752	17.1%	29,782	17.0%	2,970	17.8%	31,972	17.1%	780	19.2%	30,413	17.0%	1,818	19.5%
COPD																
No	104,683	99.9%	191,120	99.9%	174,499	99.9%	16,621	99.8%	187,077	99.9%	4,043	99.8%	178,200	99.9%	9,301	99.7%
Yes	108	0.1%	283	0.1%	245	0.1%	38	0.2%	274	0.1%	-	-	249	0.1%	26	0.3%
ARDS																
No	104,634	99.9%	190,669	99.6%	174,316	99.8%	16,353	98.2%	186,685	99.6%	3,984	98.3%	177,995	99.7%	9,092	97.5%
Yes	157	0.1%	734	0.4%	428	0.2%	306	1.8%	666	0.4%	68	1.7%	454	0.3%	235	2.5%

Myocardial Infarction																	
No	104,657	99.9%	191,119	99.9%	174,485	99.9%	16,634	99.8%	187,073	99.9%	4,046	99.9%	174,485	97.8%	16,634	178.3%	
Yes	134	0.1%	284	0.1%	259	0.1%	25	0.2%	278	0.1%	-	-	259	0.1%	25	0.3%	
Congestive Heart Failure																	
No	104,395	99.6%	190,634	99.6%	174,045	99.6%	16,589	99.6%	186,600	99.6%	4,034	99.6%	178,195	99.9%	9,309	99.8%	
Yes	396	0.4%	769	0.4%	699	0.4%	70	0.4%	751	0.4%	-	-	254	0.1%	-	0.2%	
HIV/AIDS																	
No	104,406	99.6%	190,583	99.6%	174,021	99.6%	16,562	99.4%	186,556	99.6%	4,027	99.4%	177,706	99.6%	9,272	99.4%	
Yes	385	0.4%	820	0.4%	723	0.4%	97	0.6%	795	0.4%	25	0.6%	743	0.4%	55	0.6%	
Placental Abruption																	
No	103,872	99.1%	189,319	98.9%	172,832	98.9%	16,487	99.0%	185,316	98.9%	4,003	98.8%	176,672	99.0%	9,218	98.8%	
Yes	919	0.9%	2,084	1.1%	1,912	1.1%	172	1.0%	2,035	1.1%	49	1.2%	1,777	1.0%	109	1.2%	

* Before the COVID-19 pandemic: June 01, 2018 to February 29, 2020

† During the COVID-19 pandemic: May 01, 2020 to May 31, 2021

‡: among those pregnancies with gestational length greater than 27 weeks

§: includes chronic hypertension and gestational hypertension

¶: includes chronic diabetes and gestational diabetes

DOD: date of delivery

NHOPI: Native Hawaiians and other Pacific Islanders

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

ARDS: respiratory distress syndrome

-: Patient numbers < 20 were not reported

Figure 1. The Pipeline of TED-PC for Extracting Information and Building Cohorts




Figure 2. The Flowchart for Estimating Gestational Age and Pregnancy Date




Figure 3. The Flowchart for Estimating Date of Delivery





Figure 4. The Distribution of the SARS-CoV-2 Infection Cases by Gestational Week



* pre-pregnancy

Appendix 1. OMOP CDM concepts for gestational age-related EHR records.

Concept ID	Concept Name	Class	Domain	Vocabulary
2793352	Ultrasonography of Third Trimester, Multiple Gestation	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2825091	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ Third Trimester, Multiple Gestation	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2871670	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ Third Trimester, Multiple Gestation @ None	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2898834	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ Third Trimester, Multiple Gestation @ None @ None	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
4112238	Third trimester	Qualifier Value	Observation	SNOMED
4218813	Third trimester pregnancy	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4142581	Third trimester bleeding	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4167685	Miscarriage in third trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
40487413	Ultrasonography in third trimester	Procedure	Procedure	SNOMED
4032055	Threatened miscarriage in third trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
45757176	Preterm labor in third trimester with preterm delivery in third trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4029320	Prenatal state of fetus, 3rd trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
35625971	Vomiting during third trimester of pregnancy	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
36713272	Three dimensional obstetric ultrasonography in third trimester	Procedure	Procedure	SNOMED
2793351	Ultrasonography of Third Trimester, Single Fetus	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
3032525	Fetal Narrative [Interpretation] Study observation.general 3rd trimester US	Clinical Observation	Measurement	LOINC
3032291	Fetal Narrative [Interpretation] Study observation.general 3rd trimester, multiple fetuses US	Clinical Observation	Measurement	LOINC

2898832	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ Third Trimester, Single Fetus	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2898833	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ Third Trimester, Single Fetus @ None	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2807693	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ Third Trimester, Single Fetus @ None @ None	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2793350	Ultrasonography of Second Trimester, Multiple Gestation	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2832899	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ Second Trimester, Multiple Gestation	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2871669	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ Second Trimester, Multiple Gestation @ None	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2879607	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ Second Trimester, Multiple Gestation @ None @ None	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
4113140	Second trimester	Qualifier Value	Observation	SNOMED
4327745	Second trimester bleeding	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4244438	Second trimester pregnancy	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
40486918	Ultrasonography in second trimester	Procedure	Procedure	SNOMED
4224646	Miscarriage in second trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4294259	Threatened miscarriage in second trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
45757175	Preterm labor in second trimester with preterm delivery in second trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4240362	Prenatal state of fetus, 2nd trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
45763591	Induced termination of pregnancy in second trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
40483600	Measurement of alpha fetoprotein in second trimester	Procedure	Measurement	SNOMED
4202200	Surgical treatment of missed miscarriage of second trimester	Procedure	Procedure	SNOMED

4290406	Surgical treatment of missed miscarriage of third trimester	Procedure	Procedure	SNOMED
2110327	Treatment of missed abortion, completed surgically; second trimester	CPT4	Procedure	CPT4
36305399	Cigarettes smoked per day by Mother--2nd trimester	Survey	Observation	LOINC
2793349	Ultrasonography of Second Trimester, Single Fetus	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
3037974	Fetal Narrative [Interpretation] Study observation.general 2nd trimester US	Clinical Observation	Measurement	LOINC
3031374	Fetal Narrative [Interpretation] Study observation.general 2nd trimester, multiple fetuses US	Clinical Observation	Measurement	LOINC
2884808	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ Second Trimester, Single Fetus	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2840219	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ Second Trimester, Single Fetus @ None	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2852789	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ Second Trimester, Single Fetus @ None @ None	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2211747	Ultrasound, pregnant uterus, real time with image documentation, fetal and maternal evaluation, first trimester (< 14 weeks 0 days), transabdominal approach; single or first gestation	CPT4	Procedure	CPT4
2211748	Ultrasound, pregnant uterus, real time with image documentation, fetal and maternal evaluation, first trimester (< 14 weeks 0 days), transabdominal approach; each additional gestation (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)	CPT4	Procedure	CPT4
2211753	Ultrasound, pregnant uterus, real time with image documentation, first trimester fetal nuchal translucency measurement, transabdominal or transvaginal approach; single or first gestation	CPT4	Procedure	CPT4

2211754	Ultrasound, pregnant uterus, real time with image documentation, first trimester fetal nuchal translucency measurement, transabdominal or transvaginal approach; each additional gestation (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)	CPT4	Procedure	CPT4
2793348	Ultrasonography of First Trimester, Multiple Gestation	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
21493908	US for multiple gestation pregnancy in first trimester	Clinical Observation	Measurement	LOINC
2879606	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ First Trimester, Multiple Gestation	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2898831	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ First Trimester, Multiple Gestation @ None	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
21493909	US transabdominal and transvaginal for multiple gestation pregnancy in first trimester	Clinical Observation	Measurement	LOINC
2840218	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ First Trimester, Multiple Gestation @ None @ None	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
21494044	US for pregnancy in first trimester	Clinical Observation	Measurement	LOINC
21494042	US transabdominal and transvaginal for pregnancy in first trimester	Clinical Observation	Measurement	LOINC
36203523	Traveled outside the U.S. during first trimester of pregnancy of Mother	Clinical Observation	Measurement	LOINC
3050129	First trimester maternal screen panel - Serum or Plasma	Lab Test	Measurement	LOINC
3030256	First trimester maternal screen with nuchal translucency [Interpretation]	Clinical Observation	Observation	LOINC
3031648	First trimester maternal screen with nuchal translucency [Interpretation] Narrative	Clinical Observation	Observation	LOINC
3050402	First trimester maternal screen with nuchal translucency panel	Lab Test	Measurement	LOINC

4113139	First trimester	Qualifier Value	Observation	SNOMED
3657563	First trimester bleeding	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4239938	First trimester pregnancy	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4078393	Miscarriage in first trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
40488298	Ultrasonography in first trimester	Procedure	Procedure	SNOMED
4252252	Threatened miscarriage in first trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4034340	Prenatal state of fetus, 1st trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
45763590	Induced termination of pregnancy in first trimester	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
42538969	First trimester Down screening blood test abnormal	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
40480885	Education about folic acid in first trimester	Procedure	Procedure	SNOMED
43020954	Termination of pregnancy after first trimester	Procedure	Procedure	SNOMED
4087135	Surgical treatment of missed miscarriage of first trimester	Procedure	Procedure	SNOMED
2110326	Treatment of missed abortion, completed surgically; first trimester	CPT4	Procedure	CPT4
36304648	Cigarettes smoked per day by Mother--1st trimester	Survey	Observation	LOINC
2793347	Ultrasonography of First Trimester, Single Fetus	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
3034062	Fetal Narrative [Interpretation] Study observation.general 1st trimester US	Clinical Observation	Measurement	LOINC
3037993	Fetal Narrative [Interpretation] Study observation.general transvaginal 1st trimester US	Clinical Observation	Measurement	LOINC
3034647	Fetal Narrative [Interpretation] Study observation.general 1st trimester, multiple fetuses US	Clinical Observation	Measurement	LOINC
2892608	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ First Trimester, Single Fetus	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2892609	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ First Trimester, Single Fetus @ None	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS

2807692	Imaging @ Fetus and Obstetrical @ Ultrasonography @ First Trimester, Single Fetus @ None @ None	ICD10PCS Hierarchy	Procedure	ICD10PCS
4181468	Gestation 9- 13 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
44791171	9 - 13 weeks gestational age	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4245908	Gestation period, 9 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4270513	Gestation period, 7 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4313026	Gestation period, 6 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4290009	Gestation period, 5 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
444067	Gestation period, 42 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
442769	Gestation period, 41 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
45773507	Post-term pregnancy of 40 to 42 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
444098	Gestation period, 40 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
435655	Gestation period, 39 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
443871	Gestation period, 38 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
45757118	Spontaneous onset of labor between 37 and 39 weeks gestation with planned cesarean section	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
442355	Gestation period, 37 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
40757033	Group B Streptococcus (GBS) screening documented as performed during week 35-37 gestation (Pre-Cr)	CPT4	Observation	CPT4
444267	Gestation period, 35 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
443874	Gestation period, 34 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
441678	Gestation period, 33 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
433864	Gestation period, 31 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED

434484	Gestation period, 30 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4326232	Gestation period, 3 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
444417	Gestation period, 29 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
44817054	Mother's Non-treponemal or treponemal test was performed at 28-32 weeks gestation [CDC.CS]	Survey	Observation	LOINC
4180111	Third trimester pregnancy less than 36 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
432430	Gestation period, 27 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
444023	Gestation period, 26 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
435640	Gestation period, 25 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4336226	Gestation period, 23 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4274955	Gestation period, 22 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4185780	Gestation period, 21 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4220085	Gestation period, 2 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4181751	Gestation period, 19 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
44790206	Mid trimester scan	Procedure	Procedure	SNOMED
4097608	Gestation period, 18 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4277749	Gestation period, 17 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4283690	Gestation period, 15 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4178165	Gestation 14 - 20 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
44791170	14 - 20 weeks gestational age	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4248725	Gestation period, 14 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4266517	Gestation period, 13 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4174506	Gestation period, 11 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED

4242241	Gestation period, 10 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4337360	Gestation period, 1 week	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
762907	Gestation period greater than or equal to 37 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4062558	False labor at or after 37 completed weeks of gestation	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4322726	Gestation less than 9 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
44791172	Under 9 weeks gestational age	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
438543	Gestation period, 36 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
442558	Gestation period, 32 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
444461	Gestation period, 28 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
439922	Gestation period, 24 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4051642	Gestation period, 20 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4049621	Gestation period, 16 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4197245	Gestation period, 12 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4132434	Gestation period, 8 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED
4195157	Gestation period, 4 weeks	Clinical Finding	Condition	SNOMED

Appendix 2. ICD, CPT, and DRG codes suggestive of childbirth delivery dates.

Medical Language System	Code	Description
ICD-10_DX	Z37.0	Single live birth
ICD-10_DX	Z37.1	Single stillbirth
ICD-10_DX	Z37.2	Twins, both liveborn
ICD-10_DX	Z37.3	Twins, one liveborn and one stillborn
ICD-10_DX	Z37.4	Twins, both stillborn
ICD-10_DX	Z37.5	Other multiple births, all liveborn
ICD-10_DX	Z37.6	Other multiple births, some liveborn
ICD-10_DX	Z37.7	Other multiple births, all stillborn
ICD-10_DX	Z37.9	Outcome of delivery, unspecified
ICD-10_DX	O80	Encounter for full-term uncomplicated delivery
ICD-10_DX	O82	Encounter for cesarean delivery without indication
ICD-10_DX	O7582	Onset (spontaneous) of labor after 37 completed weeks of gestation but before 39 completed weeks gestation, with delivery by (planned) cesarean section
ICD_10_PCS	10D07Z3	Extraction of Products of Conception, Low Forceps, Via Natural or Artificial Opening
ICD_10_PCS	10D07Z4	Extraction of Products of Conception, Mid Forceps, Via Natural or Artificial Opening
ICD_10_PCS	10D07Z5	Extraction of Products of Conception, High Forceps, Via Natural or Artificial Opening
ICD_10_PCS	10D07Z6	Extraction of Products of Conception, Vacuum, Via Natural or Artificial Opening
ICD_10_PCS	10D07Z7	Extraction of Products of Conception, Internal Version, Via Natural or Artificial Opening
ICD_10_PCS	10D07Z8	Extraction of Products of Conception, Other, Via Natural or Artificial Opening
ICD_10_PCS	10E0XZZ	Delivery of Products of Conception, External Approach
ICD_10_PCS	10D00Z0	Extraction of Products of Conception, High, Open Approach
ICD_10_PCS	10D00Z1	Extraction of Products of Conception, Low, Open Approach
ICD_10_PCS	10D00Z2	Extraction of Products of Conception, Extraperitoneal, Open Approach
DRG	765	CESAREAN SECTION WITH CC/MCC
DRG	766	CESAREAN SECTION WITHOUT CC/MCC
DRG	767	VAGINAL DELIVERY WITH STERILIZATION AND/OR D&C
DRG	768	VAGINAL DELIVERY WITH O.R. PROCEDURES EXCEPT STERILIZATION AND/OR D&C

DRG	774	VAGINAL DELIVERY WITH COMPLICATING DIAGNOSES
DRG	775	VAGINAL DELIVERY WITHOUT COMPLICATING DIAGNOSES
DRG	783	<u>CESAREAN SECTION WITH STERILIZATION WITH MCC</u>
DRG	784	CESAREAN SECTION WITH STERILIZATION WITH CC
DRG	785	CESAREAN SECTION WITH STERILIZATION WITHOUT CC/MCC
DRG	786	CESAREAN SECTION WITHOUT STERILIZATION WITH MCC
DRG	787	CESAREAN SECTION WITHOUT STERILIZATION WITH CC
DRG	788	CESAREAN SECTION WITHOUT STERILIZATION WITHOUT CC/MCC
DRG	796	VAGINAL DELIVERY WITH STERILIZATION/D&C WITH MCC
DRG	797	VAGINAL DELIVERY WITH STERILIZATION AND/OR D&C WITH CC
DRG	798	VAGINAL DELIVERY WITH STERILIZATION/D&C WITHOUT CC/MCC
DRG	805	VAGINAL DELIVERY WITHOUT STERILIZATION/D&C WITH MCC
DRG	806	VAGINAL DELIVERY WITHOUT STERILIZATION/D&C WITH CC
DRG	807	VAGINAL DELIVERY WITHOUT STERILIZATION OR D&C WITHOUT CC/MCC
CPT	59400	Vaginal Delivery, Antepartum and Postpartum Care Procedures
CPT	59409	Vaginal delivery only (with or without episiotomy and/or forceps)
CPT	59410	Vaginal delivery only (with or without episiotomy and/or forceps)
CPT	59514	Cesarean delivery only
CPT	59610	Delivery Procedures After Previous Cesarean Delivery
CPT	59612	Vaginal delivery only, after previous cesarean delivery (with or without episiotomy and/or forceps)
CPT	59614	Vaginal delivery only, after previous cesarean delivery (with or without episiotomy and/or forceps)
CPT	59620	Cesarean delivery only, following attempted vaginal delivery after previous cesarean delivery

Appendix 3. OMOP CDM concepts for delivery date-related EHR records.

Concept ID	Concept Name	Class	Domain	Vocabulary
443445	Outcome of delivery - finding	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4145318	Outcome of delivery	clinical finding	Observation	SNOMED
4163851	Multiple birth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4014456	Triplets - all live born	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45757166	Quadruplets, all live born	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45757167	Quintuplets, all live born	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45772082	Sextuplets, all live born	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4094046	Triplet birth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45765500	Quadruplet birth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45765501	Quintuplet birth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45765502	Sextuplet birth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4014295	Single live birth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4014454	Single stillbirth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4014296	Twins - both live born	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4014455	Twins - one still and one live born	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4015162	Twins - both stillborn	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
441641	Delivery normal	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4205240	Spontaneous vertex delivery	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4073422	Spontaneous breech delivery	procedure	Procedure	SNOMED
193277	Deliveries by cesarean	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4061457	Delivery by elective cesarean section	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED

4066112	Delivery by emergency cesarean section	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4061458	Delivery by cesarean hysterectomy	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45757118	Spontaneous onset of labor between 37 and 39 weeks gestation with planned cesarean section	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
2784567	Extraction of Products of Conception, Low Forceps, Via Natural or Artificial Opening	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784568	Extraction of Products of Conception, Mid Forceps, Via Natural or Artificial Opening	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784569	Extraction of Products of Conception, High Forceps, Via Natural or Artificial Opening	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784570	Extraction of Products of Conception, Vacuum, Via Natural or Artificial Opening	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784571	Extraction of Products of Conception, Internal Version, Via Natural or Artificial Opening	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784572	Extraction of Products of Conception, Other, Via Natural or Artificial Opening	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784578	Delivery of Products of Conception, External Approach	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784564	Extraction of Products of Conception, High, Open Approach	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784565	Extraction of Products of Conception, Low, Open Approach	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784566	Extraction of Products of Conception, Extraperitoneal, Open Approach	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
38001485	Cesarean section w CC/MCC	MS-DRG (Domain Observation)	Observation	DRG
38001486	Cesarean section w/o CC/MCC	MS-DRG (Domain Observation)	Observation	DRG
38001487	Vaginal delivery w sterilization &/or D&C	MS-DRG (Domain Observation)	Observation	DRG

38001488	Vaginal delivery w O.R. proc except steril &/or D&C	MS-DRG (Domain Observation)	Observation	DRG
38001491	Vaginal delivery w complicating diagnoses	MS-DRG (Domain Observation)	Observation	DRG
38001492	Vaginal delivery w/o complicating diagnoses	MS-DRG (Domain Observation)	Observation	DRG
2110307	Routine obstetric care including antepartum care, vaginal delivery (with or without episiotomy, and/or forceps) and postpartum care	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110308	Vaginal delivery only (with or without episiotomy and/or forceps)	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110309	Vaginal delivery only (with or without episiotomy and/or forceps); including postpartum care	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110319	Routine obstetric care including antepartum care, vaginal delivery (with or without episiotomy, and/or forceps) and postpartum care, after previous cesarean delivery	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110320	Vaginal delivery only, after previous cesarean delivery (with or without episiotomy and/or forceps)	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110321	Vaginal delivery only, after previous cesarean delivery (with or without episiotomy and/or forceps); including postpartum care	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110316	Cesarean delivery only	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110323	Cesarean delivery only, following attempted vaginal delivery after previous cesarean delivery	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
4264823	Birth outcome (observable entity)	Observable Entity	Observation	SNOMED
4015270	Birth of child (finding)	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED

44793347	Total number of registerable births at delivery	Observable Entity	observation	SNOMED
4063163	Multiple delivery, all by cesarean section	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4063162	Multiple delivery, all by forceps and vacuum extractor	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4059751	Multiple delivery, all spontaneous	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4069200	Premature birth of multiple newborns	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4066292	Term birth of multiple newborns	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4101844	Twin birth	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
40482735	Liveborn born in hospital (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
40483126	Liveborn born in hospital by cesarean section (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
42539267	Multiple liveborn in hospital by vaginal delivery (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
36713468	Multiple liveborn other than twins born in hospital (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
40483521	Single liveborn born in hospital by cesarean section (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
36713074	Single liveborn born in hospital by vaginal delivery (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
36713465	Singleton liveborn born in hospital (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
42539210	Triplet liveborn in hospital by cesarean section (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
40483084	Twin liveborn born in hospital (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
40483101	Twin liveborn born in hospital by cesarean section (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4014719	Labor details (finding)	drug product	Drug	VANDF
4262313	Time of delivery (observable entity)	Observable Entity	observation	SNOMED
4014291	Birth detail (observable entity)	drug product	Drug	VANDF
4250009	Born by breech delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4192676	Born by cesarean section	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4212794	Born by elective cesarean section	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED

4250010	Born by emergency cesarean section	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4217586	Born by forceps delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
37310369	Born by high forceps delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
37310393	Born by low forceps delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
37310404	Born by mid-cavity forceps delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4216797	Born by normal vaginal delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4236293	Born by ventouse delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
44813089	Midwife in attendance at birth	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
44802498	Midwife not in attendance at birth	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4088584	Caul membrane over baby's head at delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4128845	Delivery problem	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4200201	Finding of birth outcome	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4126390	Finding of pattern of delivery	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4122720	Finding of second stage of labor	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4096383	Finding of speed of delivery	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4125602	Finding of third stage of labor	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4009879	Good neonatal condition at birth	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
433260	Mother delivered	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
3174660	Traumatic birth	clinical finding	condition	Nebraska Lexicon
4014720	Normal birth	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4118903	Normal delivery - occipitoanterior	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4063160	Normal delivery but ante- or post-natal conditions present	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
442069	Vacuum extractor delivery - delivered	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED

4065737	Delivery by combination of forceps and vacuum extractor	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
45757174	Vacuum assisted vaginal delivery	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4216316	Birth	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4009589	Post-term delivery	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4086393	Premature delivery	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4092289	Livebirth	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4272248	Premature birth of newborn	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
443213	Stillbirth	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4054968	Term birth of newborn	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
443445	Outcome of delivery - finding	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4145318	Outcome of delivery	clinical finding	Observation	SNOMED
4163851	Multiple birth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4014456	Triples - all live born	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45757166	Quadruplets, all live born	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45757167	Quintuplets, all live born	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45772082	Sextuplets, all live born	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4094046	Triplet birth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45765500	Quadruplet birth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45765501	Quintuplet birth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45765502	Sextuplet birth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4014295	Single live birth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4014454	Single stillbirth	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4014296	Twins - both live born	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED

4014455	Twins - one still and one live born	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4015162	Twins - both stillborn	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
441641	Delivery normal	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4205240	Spontaneous vertex delivery	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4073422	Spontaneous breech delivery	procedure	Procedure	SNOMED
193277	Deliveries by cesarean	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4061457	Delivery by elective cesarean section	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4066112	Delivery by emergency cesarean section	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4061458	Delivery by cesarean hysterectomy	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
45757118	Spontaneous onset of labor between 37 and 39 weeks gestation with planned cesarean section	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
2784567	Extraction of Products of Conception, Low Forceps, Via Natural or Artificial Opening	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784568	Extraction of Products of Conception, Mid Forceps, Via Natural or Artificial Opening	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784569	Extraction of Products of Conception, High Forceps, Via Natural or Artificial Opening	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784570	Extraction of Products of Conception, Vacuum, Via Natural or Artificial Opening	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784571	Extraction of Products of Conception, Internal Version, Via Natural or Artificial Opening	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784572	Extraction of Products of Conception, Other, Via Natural or Artificial Opening	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784578	Delivery of Products of Conception, External Approach	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784564	Extraction of Products of Conception, High, Open Approach	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS

2784565	Extraction of Products of Conception, Low, Open Approach	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
2784566	Extraction of Products of Conception, Extraperitoneal, Open Approach	ICD10PCS	Procedure	ICD10PCS
38001485	Cesarean section w CC/MCC	MS-DRG (Domain Observation)	Observation	DRG
38001486	Cesarean section w/o CC/MCC	MS-DRG (Domain Observation)	Observation	DRG
38001487	Vaginal delivery w sterilization &/or D&C	MS-DRG (Domain Observation)	Observation	DRG
38001488	Vaginal delivery w O.R. proc except steril &/or D&C	MS-DRG (Domain Observation)	Observation	DRG
38001491	Vaginal delivery w complicating diagnoses	MS-DRG (Domain Observation)	Observation	DRG
38001492	Vaginal delivery w/o complicating diagnoses	MS-DRG (Domain Observation)	Observation	DRG
2110307	Routine obstetric care including antepartum care, vaginal delivery (with or without episiotomy, and/or forceps) and postpartum care	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110308	Vaginal delivery only (with or without episiotomy and/or forceps)	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110309	Vaginal delivery only (with or without episiotomy and/or forceps); including postpartum care	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110319	Routine obstetric care including antepartum care, vaginal delivery (with or without episiotomy, and/or forceps) and postpartum care, after previous cesarean delivery	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110320	Vaginal delivery only, after previous cesarean delivery (with or without episiotomy and/or forceps)	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4

2110321	Vaginal delivery only, after previous cesarean delivery (with or without episiotomy and/or forceps); including postpartum care	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110316	Cesarean delivery only	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
2110323	Cesarean delivery only, following attempted vaginal delivery after previous cesarean delivery	CPT4(Domain Procedure)	Procedure	CPT4
4264823	Birth outcome (observable entity)	Observable Entity	Observation	SNOMED
4015270	Birth of child (finding)	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
44793347	Total number of registerable births at delivery	Observable Entity	observation	SNOMED
4063163	Multiple delivery, all by cesarean section	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4063162	Multiple delivery, all by forceps and vacuum extractor	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4059751	Multiple delivery, all spontaneous	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4069200	Premature birth of multiple newborns	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4066292	Term birth of multiple newborns	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4101844	Twin birth	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
40482735	Liveborn born in hospital (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
40483126	Liveborn born in hospital by cesarean section (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
42539267	Multiple liveborn in hospital by vaginal delivery (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
36713468	Multiple liveborn other than twins born in hospital (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
40483521	Single liveborn born in hospital by cesarean section (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
36713074	Single liveborn born in hospital by vaginal delivery (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
36713465	Singleton liveborn born in hospital (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
42539210	Triplet liveborn in hospital by cesarean section (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
40483084	Twin liveborn born in hospital (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED

40483101	Twin liveborn born in hospital by cesarean section (situation)	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4014719	Labor details (finding)	drug product	Drug	VANDF
4262313	Time of delivery (observable entity)	Observable Entity	observation	SNOMED
4014291	Birth detail (observable entity)	drug product	Drug	VANDF
4250009	Born by breech delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4192676	Born by cesarean section	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4212794	Born by elective cesarean section	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4250010	Born by emergency cesarean section	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4217586	Born by forceps delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
37310369	Born by high forceps delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
37310393	Born by low forceps delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
37310404	Born by mid-cavity forceps delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4216797	Born by normal vaginal delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4236293	Born by ventouse delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
44813089	Midwife in attendance at birth	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
44802498	Midwife not in attendance at birth	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4088584	Caul membrane over baby's head at delivery	context-dependent	observation	SNOMED
4128845	Delivery problem	clinical finding	Condition	SNOMED
4200201	Finding of birth outcome	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4126390	Finding of pattern of delivery	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4122720	Finding of second stage of labor	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4096383	Finding of speed of delivery	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4125602	Finding of third stage of labor	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED

4009879	Good neonatal condition at birth	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
433260	Mother delivered	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
3174660	Traumatic birth	clinical finding	condition	Nebraska Lexicon
4014720	Normal birth	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4118903	Normal delivery - occipitoanterior	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4063160	Normal delivery but ante- or post- natal conditions present	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
442069	Vacuum extractor delivery - delivered	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4065737	Delivery by combination of forceps and vacuum extractor	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
45757174	Vacuum assisted vaginal delivery	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4216316	Birth	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4009589	Post-term delivery	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4086393	Premature delivery	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4092289	Livebirth	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4272248	Premature birth of newborn	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
443213	Stillbirth	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED
4054968	Term birth of newborn	clinical finding	condition	SNOMED