

GAUSSIAN FLUCTUATIONS OF A NONLINEAR STOCHASTIC HEAT EQUATION IN DIMENSION TWO

RAN TAO

ABSTRACT. We study the Gaussian fluctuations of a nonlinear stochastic heat equation in spatial dimension two. The equation is driven by a Gaussian multiplicative noise. The noise is white in time, smoothed in space at scale ε , and tuned logarithmically by a factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}}$ in its strength. We prove that, after centering and rescaling, the solution random field converges in distribution to an Edwards-Wilkinson limit as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. The tool we used here is the Malliavin-Stein's method. We also give a functional version of this result.

KEYWORDS: Stochastic heat equation, Gaussian fluctuations, Malliavin calculus, Stein's method.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Main result. Consider the following parameterized two-dimensional nonlinear stochastic heat equation (SHE) with constant initial data on $(t, x) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$:

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t u^\varepsilon(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u^\varepsilon(t, x) + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \sigma(u^\varepsilon(t, x)) dW_{\phi^\varepsilon}(t, x);$$

$$(1.2) \quad u^\varepsilon(0, x) = 1.$$

Here $\beta > 0$ is a constant; $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a globally Lipschitz function satisfying $\sigma(0) = 0$, $\sigma(1) \neq 0$, and $|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| \leq \sigma_{\text{Lip}} |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\sigma_{\text{Lip}} > 0$ fixed. We define

$$(1.3) \quad dW_{\phi^\varepsilon}(t, x) = \phi^\varepsilon * dW(t, x),$$

where $dW(t, x)$ is a space-time white noise on $[0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$, $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a non-negative mollifier with $\int \phi dx = 1$ and $\phi^\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \phi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$, and $*$ denotes convolution in space.

The noise $dW_{\phi^\varepsilon}(t, x)$ is a centered Gaussian noise, white in time and homogeneously colored in space. The spatial correlation length is at scale ε . Formally, the covariance operator of dW_{ϕ^ε} is given by

$$\mathbb{E} \left[dW_{\phi^\varepsilon}(t, x) dW_{\phi^\varepsilon}(t', x') \right] = \delta_0(t - t') \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{x - x'}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Here δ_0 is the Dirac delta measure with unit mass at zero. $R(x)$ is non-negative and non-negative definite, given by

$$R(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi(x+y)\phi(y)dy \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, it is well-known that the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.2) is well-posed and has a mild formulation

$$(1.4) \quad u^\varepsilon(t, x) = 1 + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t-s}(x-y)\sigma(u^\varepsilon(s, y)) dW_{\phi^\varepsilon}(s, y).$$

Here $G_t(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi t} e^{-|x|^2/(2t)}$ denotes the two-dimensional heat kernel. The stochastic integral in (1.4) is interpreted in the Itô-Walsh sense.

Our main result is the following central limit theorem (CLT):

Theorem 1.1. *There exists some $\beta_0 \in (0, \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}})$ such that if $\beta < \beta_0$, for any fixed $T > 0$ and any fixed Schwartz function $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the random variable*

$$(1.5) \quad X^{\varepsilon, T}(g) := \sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [u^\varepsilon(T, x) - 1] g(x) dx$$

converges in law to a Gaussian distribution

$$(1.6) \quad X^T(g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U(T, x) g(x) dx$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Here U is the random distribution that solves the Edwards-Wilkinson equation in dimension two:

$$(1.7) \quad \partial_t U = \frac{1}{2} \Delta U + \beta \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \sigma(\Xi_{1,2}(2))^2} dW(t, x), \quad U(0, x) = 0.$$

$\Xi_{1,2}(\cdot)$ is a random process defined by the following as in [11, p.3]:

Let $\{B(q)\}_{q \geq 0}$ be a 1D standard Brownian motion with the natural filtration $\{\mathcal{G}_q\}_{q \geq 0}$. Then $\Xi_{1,2}(\cdot)$ is the (unique) solution to a forward-backward stochastic differential equation (FBSDE):

$$(1.8) \quad d\Xi_{1,2}(q) = \frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{\pi}} (\mathbb{E}[\sigma^2(\Xi_{1,2}(2)) | \mathcal{G}_q])^{1/2} dB(q), \quad q \in (0, 2];$$

$$(1.9) \quad \Xi_{1,2}(0) = 1.$$

The existence and uniqueness of solutions to (1.8)–(1.9) are given in [11, Theorem 1.1]. To keep the consistency of notations, the subscript $_{1,2}$ denotes that the initial data equals to 1 constantly and the terminal time is at $q = 2$.

From [11, Theorem 1.1], we have that the coefficient in (1.7) satisfies

$$\beta \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \sigma(\Xi_{1,2}(2))^2} = 2\sqrt{\pi} J(2, 1),$$

where $J^2(q, a)$ is a viscosity solution to the quasilinear heat equation

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_q J^2 &= \frac{1}{2} J^2 \partial_{aa} J^2, \\ J^2(0, a) &= \frac{\beta^2}{4\pi} \sigma^2(a).\end{aligned}$$

We also prove the following functional version of the CLT:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\beta < \beta_0$. For any $T > 0$ and $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We have convergence in law in the space of continuous functions $C([0, T])$,*

$$(X^{\varepsilon, t}(g))_{t \in [0, T]} \rightarrow \left(\beta \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \sigma(\Xi_{1,2}(2))^2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t-s} * g(x) dW(s, x) \right)_{t \in [0, T]},$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. As above, dW is a space-time white noise on $[0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

1.2. Context. The Gaussian fluctuations of many SHE and KPZ equations have been widely studied.

If $\sigma(x) = x$, our SHE (1.1)–(1.2) becomes a linear one:

$$(1.10) \quad \partial_t v^\varepsilon(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta v^\varepsilon(t, x) + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} v^\varepsilon(t, x) dW_{\phi^\varepsilon}(t, x);$$

$$(1.11) \quad v^\varepsilon(0, x) = 1.$$

It is known that there is a phase transition at $\beta = \sqrt{2\pi}$ for this multiplicative SHE in dimension two. In [2], Caravenna, Sun, and Zygouras showed that for any fixed $T > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, if $\beta \geq \sqrt{2\pi}$, $v^\varepsilon(T, x)$ converges to 0 in probability as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$; if $\beta < \sqrt{2\pi}$ (known as β in the "subcritical" regime), $v^\varepsilon(T, x)$ converges in law to a log-normal random variable as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. They also proved that (see [2, Theorem 2.17]) if $\beta < \sqrt{2\pi}$, for any fixed time $T > 0$ and any fixed Schwartz function $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the random variable

$$\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [v^\varepsilon(T, x) - 1] g(x) dx$$

converges in law to a Gaussian distribution. In other words, the solution random field to (1.10)–(1.11), after centering and rescaling, converges (in distribution) to the solution to an Edwards-Wilkinson equation.

We are interested in obtaining an analog result for our nonlinear SHE (1.1)–(1.2). In [2], the authors used the Feynman–Kac formula, which is not available for nonlinear case. Therefore, new methods should be used for this problem. In [11], Dunlap and Gu characterized the local statistics for the limiting solution field to nonlinear SHE (1.1)–(1.2) when $\beta \sigma_{\text{Lip}} < \sqrt{2\pi}$. (See Proposition 2.10 below or [11, Theorem 1.2].) They showed that $u^\varepsilon(T, x)$ converges in law to a specific random variable $\Xi_{1,2}(2)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. They also gave the multipoint statistics in their work.

Based on their findings, we want to study the asymptotics of the (centered and rescaled) solution field to the nonlinear SHE as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. One may

conjecture an Edwards-Wilkinson limit as in the linear case. In Theorem 1.1, we gave a proof to this limit for a regime $\beta < \beta_0 < \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}$. Whether or not this result can be extended to the entire "subcritical" regime $\beta \in (0, \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}})$ remains unknown. We will discuss it in more detail below.

We proved Theorem 1.1 by using the mild formulation (1.4) and the Malliavin-Stein's method. The use of the Malliavin-Stein's method in proving the Gaussian fluctuations for SHE is inspired by works [7, 14, 17, 18, 26]. We also use a multivariate version to prove a functional CLT in Theorem 1.2.

Through a Hopf-Cole transformation $h = \log u$, the linear SHE (1.10)–(1.11) is related to a KPZ-type equation in $d = 2$. The Gaussian fluctuations of the KPZ equation in $d = 2$ in the subcritical regime is proved in [4] and [13]. In [23], the authors proved the Gaussian fluctuations of the linear SHE and KPZ equations in dimension two with general initial conditions. One of the reasons why we are interested in the nonlinear SHE is that we hope it will shed lights on the study of more general Hamilton–Jacobi SPDEs:

$$(1.12) \quad \partial_t h(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta h(t, x) + H(\nabla h(t, x)) + \beta dW_\phi(t, x),$$

where the Hamiltonian H is not necessarily quadratic. The only result in this direction that we are aware of is the study of a two-dimensional anisotropic KPZ equation in [1]. While the nonlinear SHE (1.1)–(1.2) is more complicated than the linear one, it is still much more approachable than (1.12) because we can make use of the mild formulation (1.4).

[14] presents analog Gaussian fluctuations of the nonlinear SHE in $d \geq 3$. Gaussian fluctuations of the linear SHE and KPZ equations in $d \geq 3$ are studied in [9, 10, 12, 16] and [21].

There are a few other things we would like to remark before we proceed.

1.2.1. *β -region.* In general, for SHE with multiplicative noise and KPZ equations in $d \geq 2$, the noise-strength parameter β plays a noticeable role.

For linear SHE (1.10)–(1.11), if $v^\varepsilon(t, x)$ converges to 0 in probability as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we say that the system is in the *strong disorder* regime. If $v^\varepsilon(t, x)$ converges in distribution to a non-degenerate random variable as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for any $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we say that the system is in the *weak disorder* or *subcritical* regime.

As stated above, in [2], the authors proved that $\beta_c = \sqrt{2\pi}$ is the exact critical threshold where the departure from weak disorder to strong disorder takes place. They also proved the Edwards-Wilkinson limit for linear SHE in the entire subcritical regime $\beta \in (0, \sqrt{2\pi})$. (Work [13] gives an alternative proof to this limiting result for a smaller regime $\beta < \beta_0$, where $\beta_0 < \sqrt{2\pi}$.) When $\beta \approx \sqrt{2\pi}$, the solution random field $v^\varepsilon(t, x)$ no longer needs any centering and rescaling to obtain a nontrivial limiting structure. We refer to [5, 15, 3] for the study in this direction.

Here we are to prove the Edwards-Wilkinson limit (Theorem 1.1) for nonlinear SHE (1.1)–(1.2) in a regime $\beta < \beta_0 < \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}$ smaller than the entire subcritical regime $\beta \in (0, \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}})$. In fact, our proof below ensures that Theorem 1.1 would hold for $\beta_0 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}$ (see Remark 2.6 for detail). While we don't believe that this is an optimal β -region, whether or not (and how) Theorem 1.1 can be extended to the entire subcritical regime remains open.

For SHE in $d \geq 3$, the readers may refer to [22] and [10] on the weak/strong disorder regions of β . In particular, [10] proved the Edwards-Wilkinson limit for linear SHE in $d \geq 3$ in the entire subcritical regime. For nonlinear SHE in $d \geq 3$, [14] also restricted β in a smaller region.

1.2.2. *General initial condition.* Following the same methods as in [11], we can generate the results from [11, Theorem 1.2] to nonlinear SHE (1.1) with general initial condition

$$(1.13) \quad u^\varepsilon(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

if we assume u_0 satisfies

$$0 < \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} u_0(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} u_0(x) < +\infty.$$

In fact, it can be shown that $u^\varepsilon(T, x) \rightarrow \bar{u}(T, x) \Xi_{1,2}(2)$ in law as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ where $\bar{u}(T, x) = G_T * u_0(x)$ is a deterministic function. One can now go through the exactly same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 here to obtain the Gaussian fluctuations of the SHE (1.1) with initial condition (1.13) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We claim, without proof, that Theorem 1.1 still holds, except that the Edwards-Wilkinson equation (1.7) should be replaced by a new SPDE:

$$\partial_t U = \frac{1}{2} \Delta U + \beta \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \sigma(\Xi_{1,2}(2))^2} \bar{u}(t, x) dW(t, x), \quad U(0, x) = 0.$$

This result aligns with the one in [23, Theorem 1.3, Remark 1.4] for linear SHE in dimension two with general initial condition.

1.3. **Organization of the paper.** In Section 2, we first scale our SHE (1.1)–(1.2) to microscopic variables. Then we introduce the basics of the Malliavin-Stein's method and prove some uniform moment bounds to use in the sequel. We will also state [11, Theorem 1.2] in Proposition 2.10 for convenience. In Section 3 and 4, we prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 respectively.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank her advisor, Yu Gu, for suggesting this problem and providing guidance. She would also like to thank Alex Dunlap for comments and discussion. This work is supported by Yu Gu's NSF grant DMS-2203007.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Scaling. We are going to use the Malliavin calculus for Gaussian spaces. In order to simplify the calculation, we want to fix a specific Gaussian space. For this purpose, we introduce another representation of the SHE (1.1)–(1.2).

We study the following equation in microscopic variables on $(t, x) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$:

$$(2.1) \quad \partial_t \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t, x) + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t, x)) dW_\phi(t, x);$$

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(0, x) = 1.$$

Here $W_\phi(t, x) := W_{\phi^1}(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi(x-y) W(t, y) dy$ is defined as in (1.3) with $\varepsilon = 1$. By the scaling property of the space-time white noise, we have

$$u^\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot) = \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{jointly in law.}$$

Since we are only interested in proving convergence in law, it is sufficient to prove Theorem 1.1 with $u^\varepsilon(T, x)$ substituted by $\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon\left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$. In fact, as the mild formulation of $\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t, x)$ is

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t, x) = 1 + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t-s}(x-y) \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s, y)) dW_\phi(s, y),$$

we have

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} X^{\varepsilon, T}(g) &\stackrel{\text{law}}{=} Y^{\varepsilon, T}(g) := \sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon\left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) - 1 \right] g(x) dx \\ &= \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}-s}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} - y\right) \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s, y)) dW_\phi(s, y) g(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m \leq T$, we have

$$(X^{\varepsilon, t_1}(g), \dots, X^{\varepsilon, t_m}(g)) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (Y^{\varepsilon, t_1}(g), \dots, Y^{\varepsilon, t_m}(g)).$$

From now on, we will study $Y^{\varepsilon, T}(g)$ instead of $X^{\varepsilon, T}(g)$, etc.

Remark 2.1. As discussed in [11, Section 1.1], in the subcritical regime $\beta \sigma_{\text{Lip}} < \sqrt{2\pi}$, the 2-dimensional stochastic heat equation (2.1)–(2.2) evolves on an exponential time scale with respect to the strength of the random noise. The exponential time scale explains why we need the tuning $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}}$ before the noise term in our SHE (1.1)–(1.2).

This scaling is different from the $d \geq 3$ cases. When $d \geq 3$, if the strength of the noise is small enough so that the system is in the weak disorder regime, the SHE (2.1)–(2.2) evolves in an ‘arbitrarily long’ diffusion scale $(\frac{t}{\lambda}, \frac{x}{\lambda^2})$ where λ is independent of the strength of the noise. See e.g. [22].

2.2. The Malliavin calculus. Now we state the Gaussian space in which we will be working with and give some elementary results from the Malliavin calculus theory. A detailed discussion should be referred to the monograph [25].

Consider a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ generated by the Gaussian noise dW_ϕ as defined above. Let \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert space given by the closure of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} := \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(s, x) g(s, y) R(x - y) dx dy ds.$$

Define an operator $W : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ as

$$W(h) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(t, x) dW_\phi(t, x).$$

Then W is a linear isometry and the Gaussian space $\{W(h) : h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is an isonormal Gaussian process over \mathcal{H} .

Following the conventional notations, we denote the Malliavin derivative operator for the isonormal Gaussian process $\{W(h) : h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ by D . Let $\mathbb{D}^{k,p}$, $k, p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ denote the associated Gaussian Sobolev spaces.

For any $t \geq 0$, let \mathcal{F}_t be the σ -algebra generated by $\{W_\phi([0, s] \times A) : 0 \leq s \leq t, A \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^2)\}$ and the null sets of \mathcal{F} . Here $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denotes the bounded Borel subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 . A random field $v = \{v(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ is adapted if it is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable for each $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Let δ denote the divergence operator. δ is the adjoint operator of D . If $v(t, x)$ is an adapted and measurable random field on $[0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E}(v(t, x)v(t, y)) R(x - y) dx dy dt < +\infty,$$

then $v \in \text{Dom}(\delta)$ and

$$\delta(v) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v(t, x) dW_\phi(t, x),$$

where the last integral is well-defined in the Itô-Walsh sense with the isometry

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta(v))^2 = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E}(v(t, x)v(t, y)) R(x - y) dx dy dt$$

By [25, Proposition 1.3.8], if $v \in \text{Dom}(\delta)$ and is an adapted process in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, then

$$D_{r,z}\delta(v) = v(r, z) + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} D_{r,z}v(s, y) dW_\phi(s, y).$$

A standard Picard's iteration scheme shows that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $(t, x) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t, x) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ for all $p \geq 1$. Since $\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t, x)$ has the mild

formulation (2.3), the Malliavin derivative $D_{r,z}\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t,x)$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{r,z}\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t,x) &= \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) G_{t-r}(x-z) \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r,z)) \\
&\quad + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t-s}(x-y) D_{r,z} \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s,y)) dW_\phi(s,y) \\
&= \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) G_{t-r}(x-z) \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r,z)) \\
(2.5) \quad &\quad + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t-s}(x-y) \Sigma(s,y) D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s,y) dW_\phi(s,y).
\end{aligned}$$

Here Σ is an adapted process bounded by the Lipschitz constant σ_{Lip} . If we further assume that $\sigma \in C^1([0, +\infty))$, then $\Sigma(s,y) = \sigma'(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s,y))$.

In addition, we will need the following version of the Clark-Ocone formula in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.2 (Clark-Ocone Formula). *For $X \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$,*

$$X = \mathbb{E}X + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E}(D_{r,z}X | \mathcal{F}_r) dW_\phi(r,z) \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{almost surely.}$$

Proof. See [8, Proposition 6.3]. \square

2.3. Moment bounds. We will use the following two uniform moment bounds in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Note that in both lemmas we are not able to cover the entire subcritical regime $0 < \beta < \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}$. In fact, the extension of Lemma 2.3 to the entire subcritical regime should be true and its counterpart in the 2D directed polymer environment is proved in [20]. However, it remains unknown whether Lemma 2.5 can be extended to the entire subcritical regime.

We first give a uniform moment bound on the mild solution to the SHE.

Lemma 2.3. *For all $p \geq 2$, there exists $0 < \beta_0(p) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}$ depending only on p , such that for all $T > 0$ and $\beta < \beta_0(p)$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ (depending on $\phi, T, \beta\sigma_{\text{Lip}}$ and p) and $C > 0$ (depending on $\beta\sigma_{\text{Lip}}$ and p) such that*

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E} |u^\varepsilon(t, x)|^p \leq C^p,$$

for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$.

Proof. First we notice that, for any $\varepsilon, t > 0$, $u^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$ is stationary in the x -variable, so we only need to prove for $u^\varepsilon(t, 0)$.

By the mild formulation (1.4), the triangle inequality and the inequality $2ab \leq (ca)^2 + (\frac{b}{c})^2$ for all $c > 1$, we have that for any arbitrary $\alpha > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{E} |u^\varepsilon(t, 0)|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} + \frac{\alpha\beta^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t-s}(y) \sigma(u^\varepsilon(s, y)) dW_{\phi^\varepsilon}(s, y) \right)^p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Apply the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we have that with $c_p = \frac{p(p-1)}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{E} |u^\varepsilon(t, 0)|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} + c_p \frac{\alpha\beta^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \\ & \cdot \int_0^t \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \prod_{i=1}^2 G_{t-s}(y_i) \sigma(u^\varepsilon(s, y_i)) \right| \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon}\right) dy_1 dy_2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \end{aligned}$$

The Hölder's inequality gives that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad & \left(\mathbb{E} |\sigma(u^\varepsilon(t, x)) \sigma(u^\varepsilon(t, y))|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq (\mathbb{E} |\sigma(u^\varepsilon(t, x))|^p \mathbb{E} |\sigma(u^\varepsilon(t, y))|^p)^{1/p} \\ & = (\mathbb{E} |\sigma(u^\varepsilon(t, x))|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2 (\mathbb{E} |u^\varepsilon(t, 0)|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Combined the above results. Use the Minkowski inequality and a change of variable $y_1 - y_2 \mapsto y$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{E} |u^\varepsilon(t, 0)|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} + c_p \frac{\alpha\beta^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \prod_{i=1}^2 G_{t-s}(y_i) \sigma(u^\varepsilon(s, y_i)) \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon}\right) dy_1 dy_2 ds \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} + c_p \frac{\alpha\beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t-s}(y_1) G_{t-s}(y_2) (\mathbb{E} |u^\varepsilon(t, 0)|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon}\right) dy_1 dy_2 ds \\ & = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} + c_p \frac{\alpha\beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{2(t-s)}(y) (\mathbb{E} |u^\varepsilon(s, 0)|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that with $R(\cdot) \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) dy = 1$, we have the elementary inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{2(t-s)}(y) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) dy \leq \frac{1}{4\pi(t-s)} \wedge \frac{\|\phi\|_\infty}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

By the Lemma 2.4 below, if for some $\alpha > 1$,

$$(2.8) \quad c_p \alpha \beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2 < 2\pi,$$

there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C_0 = C_0(c_p, \alpha, \beta\sigma_{\text{Lip}}) > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$

$$(\mathbb{E}|u^\varepsilon(t, 0)|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} C_0.$$

Since $\alpha > 1$ is arbitrary, condition (2.8) is valid if and only if

$$\beta < \beta_0(p) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{c_p}\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}.$$

In particular, $\beta_0(2) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}$ and $\beta_0(4) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}$. We can choose an appropriate α according to p and $\beta\sigma_{\text{Lip}}$. \square

As an immediate corollary, we have that under the same condition as in Lemma 2.3,

$$(2.9) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right|^p \leq C^p \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Fix constants $0 < b < \sqrt{2\pi}$ and $a, c, T > 0$. There exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ (depending on b, c and T), such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ the following holds:*

If $f^\varepsilon : [0, T] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function such that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$f^\varepsilon(t) \leq a + \frac{b^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_0^t f^\varepsilon(s) \left(\frac{1}{4\pi(t-s)} \wedge \frac{c}{\varepsilon^2} \right) ds,$$

then for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$(2.10) \quad f^\varepsilon(t) \leq aC,$$

for some uniform constant $C > 0$ depending only on b .

Proof. Define $[0, t]_<^j = \{(s_1, \dots, s_j) \in [0, t]^j \mid s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_j\}$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} f^\varepsilon(t) &\leq a \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^{2j}}{(4\pi \log \varepsilon^{-1})^j} \int_{[0, t]_<^j} \prod_{k=1}^j \left(\frac{1}{s_{k+1} - s_k} \wedge \frac{4\pi c}{\varepsilon^2} \right) ds_1 \cdots ds_j \\ &\leq a \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^{2j}}{(4\pi \log \varepsilon^{-1})^j} \int_{[0, t]^j} \prod_{k=1}^j \left(\frac{1}{r_j} \wedge \frac{4\pi c}{\varepsilon^2} \right) dr_1 \cdots dr_j \\ &= a \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^{2j}}{(4\pi \log \varepsilon^{-1})^j} \left[\int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{s} \wedge \frac{4\pi c}{\varepsilon^2} \right) ds \right]^j \end{aligned}$$

Notice that when $t > 0$,

$$\int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{s} \wedge \frac{4\pi c}{\varepsilon^2} \right) ds = \int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4\pi c}} \frac{4\pi c}{\varepsilon^2} ds + \int_{\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4\pi c}}^t \frac{1}{s} ds = \log \frac{4\pi ct}{\varepsilon^2} + 1,$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{b^2}{4\pi \log \varepsilon^{-1}} \left(\log \frac{4\pi cT}{\varepsilon^2} + 1 \right) = \frac{b^2}{2\pi}.$$

Therefore, if $b < \sqrt{2\pi}$, there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending on b, c and T , such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, the sum of the series in (2.11) converges for all $t \in [0, T]$ and is uniformly bounded by some constant C depending only on b . \square

Next we give the uniform moment bounds for the Malliavin derivatives.

Lemma 2.5. *For all $p \geq 2$, there exists $0 < \beta_0(p) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}$ depending only on p , such that for all $T > 0$ and $\beta < \beta_0(p)$, there exists $0 < \varepsilon'_0 \leq \varepsilon_0$ (depending on $\phi, T, \beta\sigma_{\text{Lip}}$ and p) and $C' > 0$ (depending on $\beta\sigma_{\text{Lip}}$ and p) such that for all $(t, x) \in (0, \frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and all $(r, z) \in [0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^2$,*

$$(\mathbb{E} |D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t, x)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{C'}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} G_{t-r}(x-z), \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon < \varepsilon'_0.$$

Proof. We follow the idea from [14, Lemma 2.2].

Let $\alpha > 1$ be arbitrary. By (2.5), the triangle inequality and the inequality $2ab \leq (ca)^2 + (\frac{b}{c})^2$ for all $c > 0$, for all $0 \leq r < t \leq \frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}$, $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{E} |D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t, x)|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \frac{\beta^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} G_{t-r}(x-z)^2 (\mathbb{E} |\sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r, z))|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & \quad + \frac{\alpha\beta^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t-s}(x-y) \Sigma(s, y) D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s, y) dW_\phi(s, y) \right|^p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality with $c_p = \frac{p(p-1)}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{E} |D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t, x)|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \frac{\beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} G_{t-r}(x-z)^2 (\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r, z)|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & \quad + c_p \frac{\alpha\beta^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_r^t \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t-s}(x-y_1) G_{t-s}(x-y_2) \Sigma(s, y_1) \Sigma(s, y_2) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \cdot D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s, y_1) D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s, y_2) R(y_1 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right]^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \end{aligned}$$

Using the Minkowski inequality, (2.9) and the boundness of Σ , we further obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{E} |D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t, x)|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \frac{\beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2 C^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} G_{t-r}(x-z)^2 + c_p \frac{\alpha\beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} R(y_1 - y_2) \\ & \quad \cdot G_{t-s}(x-y_1) G_{t-s}(x-y_2) [\mathbb{E} |D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s, y_1)|^p]^{\frac{1}{p}} [\mathbb{E} |D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s, y_2)|^p]^{\frac{1}{p}} dy_1 dy_2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

If we set $t = \theta + r$ and $x = \eta + z$, let

$$\mathcal{K}(\theta, \eta) := (\mathbb{E} |D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(\theta + r, \eta + z)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = (\mathbb{E} |D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(t, x)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

then we can rewrite the above inequality as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(\theta, \eta)^2 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \frac{\beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2 C^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} G_\theta(\eta)^2 + c_p \frac{\alpha \beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_0^\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} R(y_1 - y_2) \\ &\quad \cdot G_{\theta-s}(\eta - y_1) G_{\theta-s}(\eta - y_2) \mathcal{K}(s, y_1) \mathcal{K}(s, y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying [6, Lemma 2.2], we have

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{K}(\theta, \eta) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \frac{\beta \sigma_{\text{Lip}} C}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} G_\theta(\eta) \cdot H \left(\theta, 2c_p \frac{\alpha \beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $H(t, \gamma)$ is defined for all $\gamma \geq 0$ as

$$H(t, \gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma^n h_n(t).$$

Here $h_0(t) := 1$ and for $n \geq 1$,

$$h_n(t) := \int_0^t h_{n-1}(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t-s}(z) R(z) dz ds.$$

It is easy to see that with $R(\cdot) \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R(y) dy = 1$, we have the elementary inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t-s}(z) R(z) dz \leq \frac{1}{2\pi(t-s)} \wedge \|\phi\|_\infty \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq s \leq t.$$

Since $0 \leq \theta \leq t \leq \frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(\theta) &= \int_0^\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\theta-s}(z) R(z) dz ds \leq \int_0^\theta \left(\frac{1}{2\pi(\theta-s)} \wedge \|\phi\|_\infty \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^\theta \left(\frac{1}{2\pi s} \wedge \|\phi\|_\infty \right) ds \leq \int_0^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi s} \wedge \|\phi\|_\infty \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{2\pi s} \wedge \frac{\|\phi\|_\infty}{\varepsilon^2} \right) ds = \int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\pi\|\phi\|_\infty}} \frac{\|\phi\|_\infty}{\varepsilon^2} ds + \int_{\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\pi\|\phi\|_\infty}}^T \frac{1}{2\pi s} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{2\pi\|\phi\|_\infty T}{\varepsilon^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for all $n \geq 1$,

$$h_n(\theta) \leq \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{2\pi\|\phi\|_\infty T}{\varepsilon^2} \right]^n.$$

Notice that we have

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(2c_p \frac{\alpha \beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{2\pi\|\phi\|_\infty T}{\varepsilon^2} \right) = \frac{2c_p \alpha \beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\pi}.$$

The limit is independent of ϕ and T . Therefore, if for some $\alpha > 1$,

$$(2.14) \quad 2c_p \alpha \beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2 < \pi,$$

then there exists an $\varepsilon'_0 > 0$, such that when $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'_0$,

$$H\left(\theta, 2c_p \frac{\alpha\beta^2\sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}\right) \leq C'_0$$

for some $C'_0 = C'_0(\alpha, c_p, \beta\sigma_{\text{Lip}}) > 0$. By (2.12), there exists a uniform $C' = C'(\alpha, c_p, \beta\sigma_{\text{Lip}}) > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{K}(\theta, \eta) \leq \frac{C'}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} G_\theta(\eta).$$

We may choose $\alpha > 1$ according to p and $\beta\sigma_{\text{Lip}}$. Condition (2.14) is valid if and only if

$$\beta < \beta_0(p) := \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2\sqrt{c_p}\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}.$$

In particular, $\beta_0(2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}$ and $\beta_0(4) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}$. \square

Remark 2.6. In Section 3 below, we only need the results of Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5 for $p = 2, 4$. As a result, we can let $\beta_0 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_{\text{Lip}}}$ in Theorem 1.1. Compared with the linear SHE case, we don't believe that it is an optimal value. The main difficulty of extension came from the restriction of β in Lemma 2.5. The coefficient $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ came from the BDG-inequality and $\frac{1}{2}$ came from (2.12). One may find elementary ways to improve the BDG-inequality here, but while we believe that (2.12) is not sharp, it remains unclear how we can improve it.

2.4. Stein's method. The following propositions are key ingredients in our proof of the central limit theorems Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. They are derived from the Stein's method for normal approximations and are also used in [14] and [17]. A more detailed discussion on the Stein's method with Malliavin calculus should be referred to the monograph [24].

Proposition 2.7. *Let X be a random variable such that $X = \delta(v)$ for $v \in \text{Dom}\delta$. Assume $X \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$. Let Z be a centered Gaussian random variable with variance Σ . For any C^2 -function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with a bounded second order derivative,*

$$|\mathbb{E}h(X) - \mathbb{E}h(Z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|h''\|_\infty \sqrt{\mathbb{E}|\Sigma - \langle DX, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2}.$$

Proof. This is a special case of the Proposition 2.9 below with $m = 1$. \square

Remark 2.8. The class of C^2 functions with bounded second order derivatives is a sufficient class of test functions $h(\cdot)$ to show convergence in law. With Proposition 2.7, if we can prove that $\mathbb{E}|\Sigma - \langle DX_n, v_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a series of random variables $X_n \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ with $X_n = \delta(v_n)$, then we have $X_n \Rightarrow Z$ in law.

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following multivariate version.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $F = (F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(m)})$ be a random vector such that $F^{(i)} = \delta(v_i)$ for $v_i \in \text{Dom}\delta$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Assume $F^{(i)} \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let Z be an m -dimensional centered Gaussian vector with covariance matrix $(C_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m}$. For any C^2 -function $h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with bounded second order derivatives,*

$$|\mathbb{E}h(F) - \mathbb{E}h(Z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|h''\|_\infty \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^m \mathbb{E} |C_{i,j} - \langle DF^{(i)}, v^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2},$$

with

$$\|h''\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left| \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) \right|.$$

Proof. See [17, Proposition 2.3]. \square

2.5. Local statistics of the limit. We need the following local statistics of the limiting random field $u^\varepsilon(t, x)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 2.10. *If $\beta\sigma_{\text{Lip}} < \sqrt{2\pi}$, for any $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have*

$$u^\varepsilon(t, x) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \Xi_{1,2}(2) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

The random process $\Xi_{1,2}(\cdot)$ is defined as in (1.8)–(1.9).

Proof. See [11, Theorem 1.2]. \square

We also need the following spatial regularity statement for $u^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$.

Proposition 2.11. *For fixed $T > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{t \in [0, T] \\ x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2}} \frac{(\mathbb{E}(u^\varepsilon(t, x_1) - u^\varepsilon(t, x_2))^2)^{1/2}}{1 + \varepsilon^{-1}|x_1 - x_2|} = 0.$$

Proof. See [11, Corollary 7.2]. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

For fixed $T > 0$ and $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, let

$$v_{\varepsilon, T}(g)(s, y) = \beta \mathbb{1}_{[0, \frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}]}(s) \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s, y)) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2} - s}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} - y) g(x) dx.$$

By the formulation of $Y^{\varepsilon, T}$ in (2.4) and [25, Proposition 1.3.8], we have

$$Y^{\varepsilon, T}(g) = \delta(v_{\varepsilon, T}(g)) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad & D_{s,y}(Y^{\varepsilon,T}(g)) \\
&= v_{\varepsilon,T}(g)(s,y) \\
&+ \beta \int_s^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}-r}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} - z\right) g(x) dx D_{s,y} \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r,z)) dW_\phi(r,z) \\
&= v_{\varepsilon,T}(g)(s,y) \\
&+ \beta \int_s^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}-r}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} - z\right) g(x) dx \Sigma(r,z) D_{s,y} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r,z) dW_\phi(r,z).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\Sigma(r,z)$ is the same random process as in (2.5).

Our goal is to prove that $Y^{\varepsilon,T}(g)$ converges in law to $X^T(g)$ as defined in (1.6) when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Since U is the random distribution that solves the Edwards-Wilkinson equation (1.7), we have that $X^T(g)$ is of normal distribution $N(0, \Sigma_g^T)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad & \Sigma_g^T := \text{Var} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U(T,x) g(x) dx \right) \\
&= \beta^2 \mathbb{E} \sigma(\Xi_{1,2}(2))^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 3}} G_{T-s}(x-z) G_{T-s}(y-z) g(x) g(y) dx dy dz ds \\
&= \beta^2 \mathbb{E} \sigma(\Xi_{1,2}(2))^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{2(T-s)}(x-y) g(x) g(y) dx dy ds \\
&= \beta^2 \mathbb{E} \sigma(\Xi_{1,2}(2))^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G_{T-s} * g(y)|^2 dy ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the last integral is finite for any $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, but is not finite if $g = \delta_0$.

By Proposition 2.7, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is reduced to showing that

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbb{E} \left| \Sigma_g^T - \langle DY^{\varepsilon,T}(g), v_{\varepsilon,T}(g) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

We follow the procedure in [14, Section 4]. From (3.1), we have

$$\langle DY^{\varepsilon,T}(g), v_{\varepsilon,T}(g) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = A_{1,\varepsilon} + A_{2,\varepsilon},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad & A_{1,\varepsilon} = \langle v_{\varepsilon,T}(g), v_{\varepsilon,T}(g) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&= \beta^2 \int_0^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s,y_1)) \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s,y_2)) R(y_1 - y_2) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}-s}\left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon} - y_1\right) g(x_1) dx_1 \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}-s}\left(\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon} - y_2\right) g(x_2) dx_2 \right) dy_1 dy_2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

and

(3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2,\varepsilon} &= \langle DY^{\varepsilon,T}(g) - v_{\varepsilon,T}(g), v_{\varepsilon,T}(g) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&= \beta^2 \int_0^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}} \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s, y_1)) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}-s} \left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon} - y_1 \right) g(x_1) dx_1 \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\int_s^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}-r} \left(\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon} - z \right) g(x_2) dx_2 \right) \Sigma(r, z) D_{s,y_2} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r, z) dW_\phi(r, z) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot R(y_1 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

We notice that

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbb{E} \left| \Sigma_g^T - \langle DY^{\varepsilon,T}(g), v_{\varepsilon,T}(g) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right|^2 \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left| \Sigma_g^T - A_{1,\varepsilon} \right|^2 + 2\mathbb{E} |A_{2,\varepsilon}|^2.$$

Thus it is sufficient to prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\beta < \beta_0$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \Sigma_g^T - A_{1,\varepsilon} \right|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 3.2. *If $\beta < \beta_0$,*

$$\mathbb{E} |A_{2,\varepsilon}|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

To simplify the equations, we use the convolution notation

$$G_t * g(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_t(x-y)g(y)dy, \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then by the properties of heat kernel, we have for all $t > 0$,

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} G_t * g &\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2); \\ \|G_t * g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.8) \quad \|G_t * g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)};$$

and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} G_t * g(x) = g(x).$$

We may rewrite

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}-s} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} - y \right) g(x) dx = \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{T-\varepsilon^2 s} (x - \varepsilon y) g(x) dx = \varepsilon^2 G_{T-\varepsilon^2 s} * g(\varepsilon y).$$

By a change of variable $\varepsilon^2 s \mapsto s$, $\varepsilon y_1 \mapsto y_1$, $y_2 - y_1 \mapsto y_2$, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{1,\varepsilon} &= \beta^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sigma \left(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \sigma \left(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2 \right) \right) R(y_2) \\ &\quad \cdot G_{T-s} * g(y_1) G_{T-s} * g(y_1 + \varepsilon y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad A_{2,\varepsilon} = \beta^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}} \sigma \left(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \cdot \left(\int_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}}^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{T-s} * g(y_1) R(y_2) \right. \\ \left. G_{T-\varepsilon^2 r} * g(\varepsilon z) \Sigma(r, z) D_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r, z) dW_\phi(r, z) \right) dy_1 dy_2 ds.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $g \geq 0$ when we estimate the integrals involving g in the part (ii) of the proof of Lemma 3.1 and the proof of Lemma 3.2. Observing that $|G_t * g(x)| \leq G_t * |g|(x)$ for any $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, one can easily check for general $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. To prove $\mathbb{E} |\Sigma_g^T - A_{1,\varepsilon}|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we show that (i) $\mathbb{E}(A_{1,\varepsilon}) \rightarrow \Sigma_g^T$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and (ii) $\text{Var}(A_{1,\varepsilon}) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Part (i): By (3.9),

$$(3.11) \quad \mathbb{E}(A_{1,\varepsilon}) = \beta^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E} \left(\sigma \left(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \sigma \left(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2 \right) \right) \right) R(y_2) \\ \cdot G_{T-s} * g(y_1) G_{T-s} * g(y_1 + \varepsilon y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds.$$

By Proposition 2.10, 2.11 and Lemma 2.3, we have that, for any $s \in (0, T)$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sigma \left(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \sigma \left(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2 \right) \right) \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \sigma(\Xi_{1,2}(2))^2, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

The Lipschitz condition and Lemma 2.3 gives the uniform integrability to pass to the limit in (3.11) and conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}(A_{1,\varepsilon}) \rightarrow \beta^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathbb{E} \sigma(\Xi_{1,2}(2))^2 R(y_2) \cdot |G_{T-s} * g(y_1)|^2 dy_1 dy_2 ds \\ = \beta^2 \mathbb{E} \sigma(\Xi_{1,2}(2))^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G_{T-s} * g(y_1)|^2 dy_1 ds \\ = \Sigma_g^T, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Part (ii): As in [14, Lemma 4.1], let

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y_1, y_2) = \sigma \left(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \sigma \left(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2 \right) \right).$$

Then by the chain rule, for all $0 \leq r < \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$D_{r,z} \Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y_1, y_2) = \Sigma \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} \right) D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} \right) \sigma \left(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2 \right) \right) \\ + \sigma \left(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \Sigma \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2 \right) D_{r,z} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon \left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2 \right).$$

Use Hölder inequality and apply the uniform moment bounds from Lemma 2.3 and 2.5 (with $p = 4$). We obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbb{E} [D_{r,z} \Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y_1, y_2)]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \left(G_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}-r} \left(\frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} - z \right) + G_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}-r} \left(\frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2 - z \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (3.9) and using that $\sqrt{\text{Var} \left(\int_0^t \Phi_s ds \right)} \leq \int_0^t \sqrt{\text{Var}(\Phi_s)} ds$ for any process $\Phi = \{\Phi(s), s \in [0, t]\}$ with $\sqrt{\text{Var}(\Phi_s)}$ being integrable on $[0, t]$, we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sqrt{\text{Var}(A_{1,\varepsilon})} & \leq \beta^2 \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^8} \text{Cov} [\Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y_1, y_2), \Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y'_1, y'_2)] R(y_2) R(y'_2) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot G_{T-s} * g(y_1) G_{T-s} * g(y_1 + \varepsilon y_2) G_{T-s} * g(y'_1) G_{T-s} * g(y'_1 + \varepsilon y'_2) \\ & \quad \left. dy_1 dy'_1 dy_2 dy'_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the Clark-Ocone formula (Proposition 2.2),

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y_1, y_2) = \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y_1, y_2)) + \int_0^{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{E}(D_{r,z} \Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y_1, y_2) | \mathcal{F}_r) dW_\phi(r, z).$$

Using the Hölder's inequality and the Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Cov} [\Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y_1, y_2), \Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y'_1, y'_2)] \right| \\ & = \int_0^{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E}(D_{r,z} \Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y_1, y_2) | \mathcal{F}_r) \mathbb{E}(D_{r,z'} \Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y'_1, y'_2) | \mathcal{F}_r) \right| \\ & \quad \cdot R(z - z') dz dz' dr \\ & \leq \int_0^{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(\mathbb{E} [D_{r,z} \Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y_1, y_2)]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} [D_{r,z'} \Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y'_1, y'_2)]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \cdot R(z - z') dz dz' dr \\ & \leq \frac{C^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_0^{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left(G_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}-r} \left(\frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} - z \right) + G_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}-r} \left(\frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2 - z \right) \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \left(G_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}-r} \left(\frac{y'_1}{\varepsilon} - z' \right) + G_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}-r} \left(\frac{y'_1}{\varepsilon} + y'_2 - z' \right) \right) R(z - z') dz dz' dr. \\ & = \frac{C^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (G_{s-r}(y_1 - z) + G_{s-r}(y_1 + \varepsilon y_2 - z)) \\ & \quad \cdot (G_{s-r}(y'_1 - z') + G_{s-r}(y'_1 + \varepsilon y'_2 - z')) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{z - z'}{\varepsilon}\right) dz dz' dr. \end{aligned}$$

We applied (3.12) and do a change of variable in the last two steps.

If we do a change of variable $z - z' \mapsto z$ and integrate in z' , the above expression equals

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{C^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{2s-2r}(y_1 - y'_1 - z) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + G_{2s-2r}(y_1 + \varepsilon y_2 - y'_1 - z) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ & + G_{2s-2r}(y_1 - y'_1 - \varepsilon y'_2 - z) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + G_{2s-2r}(y_1 + \varepsilon y_2 - y'_1 - \varepsilon y'_2 - z) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) dz dr. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $R^\varepsilon(\cdot) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)$. We have $\|R^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 1$ and for all $t > 0$, it holds that

$$(3.15) \quad \|G_t * g * R^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \|g * R^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad \|G_t * g * R^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \|g * R^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Go back to (3.13) with a change of variable $\varepsilon y_2 \mapsto y_2$ and $\varepsilon y'_2 \mapsto y'_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\text{Var}(A_{1,\varepsilon})} \\ & \leq \frac{\beta^2 C}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^8} \int_0^s (G_{2s-2r} * R^\varepsilon(y_1 - y'_1) \right. \\ & + G_{2s-2r} * R^\varepsilon(y_1 + y_2 - y'_1) + G_{2s-2r} * R^\varepsilon(y_1 - y'_1 - y'_2) \\ & + G_{2s-2r} * R^\varepsilon(y_1 + y_2 - y'_1 - y'_2)) G_{T-s} * g(y_1) G_{T-s} * g(y_1 + y_2) \\ & \cdot G_{T-s} * g(y'_1) G_{T-s} * g(y'_1 + y'_2) R^\varepsilon(y_2) R^\varepsilon(y'_2) dr dy_1 dy'_1 dy_2 dy'_2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ & \leq \frac{\beta^2 C}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \int_0^T \left(\int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^8} (G_{2s-2r} * R^\varepsilon(y_1 - y'_1) \right. \\ & + G_{2s-2r} * R^\varepsilon(y_1 + y_2 - y'_1) + G_{2s-2r} * R^\varepsilon(y_1 - y'_1 - y'_2) \\ & + G_{2s-2r} * R^\varepsilon(y_1 + y_2 - y'_1 - y'_2)) G_{T-s} * g(y_1) \cdot R^\varepsilon(y_2) R^\varepsilon(y'_2) dy_1 dy'_1 dy_2 dy'_2 dr \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ & = \frac{\beta^2 C}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \int_0^T \left(\int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} (G_{T+s-2r} * R^\varepsilon * g(y'_1) + G_{T+s-2r} * R^\varepsilon * g(y'_1 - y_2) \right. \\ & + G_{T+s-2r} * R^\varepsilon * g(y'_1 + y'_2) + G_{T+s-2r} * R^\varepsilon * g(y'_1 + y'_2 - y_2)) \\ & \cdot R^\varepsilon(y_2) R^\varepsilon(y'_2) dy_1 dy_2 dy'_2 dr \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \end{aligned}$$

If we integrate each term in the order of y_1, y'_1, y_2, y'_2 and use the inequalities (3.7) and (3.16), the above is bounded by

$$\frac{\beta^2 C}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \int_0^T \left(\int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} R^\varepsilon(y_2) R^\varepsilon(y'_2) dy_2 dy'_2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \leq \frac{\beta^2 C}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}}$$

The constant C may vary at each occurrence. The last C depend on T and $\beta\sigma_{\text{Lip}}$. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.2. By Minkowski inequality and (3.10),

(3.17)

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbb{E}|A_{2,\varepsilon}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \beta^2 \int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}} \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon})) G_{T-s} * g(y_1) R(y_2) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \left. \int_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}}^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{T-\varepsilon^2 r} * g(\varepsilon z) \Sigma(r, z) D_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r, z) dW_\phi(r, z) dy_1 dy_2 \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \\
& = \beta^2 \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}} G_{T-s} * g(y_1) R(y_2) G_{T-s} * g(y'_1) R(y'_2) \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon})) \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y'_1}{\varepsilon})) \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \int_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}}^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{T-\varepsilon^2 r} * g(\varepsilon z) \Sigma(r, z) D_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r, z) dW_\phi(r, z) \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \left. \int_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}}^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{T-\varepsilon^2 r'} * g(\varepsilon z') \Sigma(r', z') D_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y'_1}{\varepsilon} + y'_2} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r', z') dW_\phi(r', z') \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. dy_1 dy'_1 dy_2 dy'_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Itô isometry, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon})) \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y'_1}{\varepsilon})) \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \int_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}}^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{T-\varepsilon^2 r} * g(\varepsilon z) \Sigma(r, z) D_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r, z) dW_\phi(r, z) \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \left. \int_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}}^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{T-\varepsilon^2 r'} * g(\varepsilon z') \Sigma(r', z') D_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y'_1}{\varepsilon} + y'_2} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r', z') dW_\phi(r', z') \right] \right. \\
& = \int_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}}^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R(z - z') G_{T-\varepsilon^2 r} * g(\varepsilon z) G_{T-\varepsilon^2 r'} * g(\varepsilon z') \mathbb{E} \left[\sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon})) \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y'_1}{\varepsilon})) \Sigma(r, z) D_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r, z) \Sigma(r, z') D_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y'_1}{\varepsilon} + y'_2} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r, z') \right] dz dz' dr.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying (2.9), Lemma 2.5 (with $p = 4$) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, there exists a uniform $C > 0$ such that when ε is small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon})) \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y'_1}{\varepsilon})) \Sigma(r, z) D_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} + y_2} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r, z) \Sigma(r, z') D_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y'_1}{\varepsilon} + y'_2} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r, z') \right] \\ & \leq \frac{C^2}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} G_{r - \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}}(z - \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} - y_2) G_{r - \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}}(z' - \frac{y'_1}{\varepsilon} - y'_2). \end{aligned}$$

Substitute back into (3.17) and integrate in y_1, y'_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.18) \quad & (\mathbb{E}|A_{2,\varepsilon}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\beta^2 C}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}} G_{T-s} * g(y_1) R(y_2) G_{T-s} * g(y'_1) R(y'_2) \right. \\ & \int_{\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}}^{\frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R(z - z') G_{T-\varepsilon^2 r} * g(\varepsilon z) G_{T-\varepsilon^2 r} * g(\varepsilon z') \\ & \cdot G_{r - \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}}(z - \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} - y_2) G_{r - \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}}(z' - \frac{y'_1}{\varepsilon} - y'_2) dz dz' dr dy_1 dy'_1 dy_2 dy'_2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ & = \frac{\beta^2 C}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \int_0^T \left(\varepsilon^2 \int_s^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^8} R(y_2) R(y'_2) R(z - z') G_{T-r} * g(\varepsilon z) G_{T-r} * g(\varepsilon z') \right. \\ & \cdot G_{T+r-2s} * g(\varepsilon z - \varepsilon y_2) G_{T+r-2s} * g(\varepsilon z' - \varepsilon y'_2) dr dz dz' dy_2 dy'_2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ & = \frac{\beta^2 C}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \int_0^T \left(\int_s^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^8} R^\varepsilon(y_2) R^\varepsilon(y'_2) R^\varepsilon(z - z') G_{T-r} * g(z) G_{T-r} * g(z') \right. \\ & \cdot G_{T+r-2s} * g(z - y_2) G_{T+r-2s} * g(z' - y'_2) dr dz dz' dy_2 dy'_2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Here we do a change of variable $\varepsilon y_2 \mapsto y_2$, $\varepsilon y'_2 \mapsto y'_2$, $\varepsilon z \mapsto z$ and $\varepsilon z' \mapsto z'$. We integrate the last integral of (3.18) in the order of y_2, y'_2, z, z' . Together with (3.8) and (3.15), we obtain that the last expression is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta^2 C}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}} \int_0^T \left(\int_s^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} R^\varepsilon(z - z') G_{T-r} * g(z) G_{T-r} * g(z') dr dz dz' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ & \leq \frac{\beta^2 C}{\sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}}, \end{aligned}$$

The constant C may vary at each occurrence. The last C would depend on T and $\beta \sigma_{\text{Lip}}$. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

It suffices to prove the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions and the tightness.

To give the tightness, we use the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *For $p \geq 2$, $T > 0$, $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, let $\beta_0(p)$ and ε_0 be defined as in Lemma 2.3. If $\beta < \beta_0(p)$, there exists a uniform constant $C > 0$ (depending only on $\beta\sigma_{\text{Lip}}$, p and g), such that for any $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ and $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, $\mathbb{E}|X^{\varepsilon,t}(g) - X^{\varepsilon,s}(g)|^p \leq C(t-s)^{\frac{p}{2}}$.*

Proof. By the mild formulation of u^ε in (1.4) and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, let $c_p = \frac{p(p-1)}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbb{E} |X^{\varepsilon,t}(g) - X^{\varepsilon,s}(g)|^p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&= \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sqrt{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [u^\varepsilon(t, x) - u^\varepsilon(s, x)] g(x) dx \right|^p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&= \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t-r}(x-y) \sigma(u^\varepsilon(r, y)) dW_{\phi^\varepsilon}(r, y) \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. - \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{s-r}(x-y) \sigma(u^\varepsilon(r, y)) dW_{\phi^\varepsilon}(r, y) \right] g(x) dx \right|^p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&= \beta^2 \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (G_{t-r} * g(y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) - G_{s-r} * g(y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r)) \sigma(u^\varepsilon(r, y)) dW_{\phi^\varepsilon}(r, y) \right|^p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&\leq c_p \beta^2 \int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (G_{t-r} * g(y_1) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) - G_{s-r} * g(y_1) \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r)) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \cdot (G_{t-r} * g(y_2) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) - G_{s-r} * g(y_2) \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r)) \\
&\quad \left. \left. \cdot (\sigma(u^\varepsilon(r, y_1)) \sigma(u^\varepsilon(r, y_2))) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon}\right) dy_1 dy_2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Apply the Minkowski inequality, inequality (2.7) and Lemma 2.3, the above is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_p \beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (G_{t-r} * g(y_1) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) - G_{s-r} * g(y_1) \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r)) \\
&\quad \cdot (G_{t-r} * g(y_2) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) - G_{s-r} * g(y_2) \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r)) (\mathbb{E}|u^\varepsilon(r, 0)|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon}\right) dy_1 dy_2 dr \\
&\leq C \beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (G_{t-r} * g(y_1) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) - G_{s-r} * g(y_1) \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r)) \\
&\quad \cdot (G_{t-r} * g(y_2) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) - G_{s-r} * g(y_2) \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r)) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon}\right) dy_1 dy_2 dr \\
&\leq C \beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2 \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (G_{t-r} * g(y_1) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) - G_{s-r} * g(y_1) \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r))^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon}\right) dy_1 dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (G_{t-r} * g(y_2) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) - G_{s-r} * g(y_2) \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r)) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R\left(\frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon}\right) dy_1 dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dr \\
&= C \beta^2 \sigma_{\text{Lip}}^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (G_{t-r} * g(y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) - G_{s-r} * g(y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r))^2 dy dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the Hölder inequality and the fact that $\|R^\varepsilon\|_{L^1} = 1$ in the last two steps. Denote the Fourier transform of g by \hat{g} . Adopt a similar procedure as in [17, Proposition 4.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (G_{t-r} * g(y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) - G_{s-r} * g(y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r))^2 dy dr \\
&= C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(e^{-\frac{t-r}{2}|\xi|^2} \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) - e^{-\frac{s-r}{2}|\xi|^2} \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r) \right)^2 \hat{g}(\xi)^2 d\xi dr \\
&= C \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(s-r)|\xi|^2} \left(e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}|\xi|^2} - 1 \right)^2 \hat{g}(\xi)^2 d\xi dr + C \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(t-r)|\xi|^2} \hat{g}(\xi)^2 d\xi dr \\
&= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \left(1 - e^{-s|\xi|^2} \right) \left(e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}|\xi|^2} - 1 \right)^2 \hat{g}(\xi)^2 d\xi + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \left(1 - e^{-(t-s)|\xi|^2} \right) \hat{g}(\xi)^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \left(1 - e^{-s|\xi|^2} \right) \frac{t-s}{2} |\xi|^2 \hat{g}(\xi)^2 d\xi + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} (t-s) |\xi|^2 \hat{g}(\xi)^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C(t-s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{g}(\xi)^2 d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last steps, we applied inequalities $|1 - e^{-a}| \leq \sqrt{a}$ and $(1 - e^{-a}) \leq a$ for all $a \geq 0$. The constant C may vary at each occurrence.

In the proof, the conditions $\beta < \beta_0$ and $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ are given only to validate the use of Lemma 2.3. Therefore, we can choose $\beta_0(p)$ and ε_0 to be the same value as in Lemma 2.3. \square

Choose $p = 4$. By [19, Problem 4.11], the measure induced by $X^\varepsilon(g) = \{X^{\varepsilon,t}(g); 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ on $(C([0, T]), \mathcal{B}(C([0, T])))$ is tight. By [19, Theorem 4.15], to prove Theorem 1.2, we only need to show the convergence of the finite-dimensional distribution.

Lemma 4.2. *Fix $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m \leq T$. As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the sequence of random vectors $(X^{\varepsilon,t_1}(g), \dots, X^{\varepsilon,t_m}(g))$ converges in law to the m -dimensional Gaussian centered vector $Z = (Z^1, \dots, Z^m)$ with covariance*

$$C_{i,j} := \mathbb{E}(Z^i Z^j) = \beta^2 \mathbb{E}\sigma(\Xi_{1,2}(2))^2 \int_0^{t_i \wedge t_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{t_i-s} * g(y) G_{t_j-s} * g(y) dy ds.$$

Proof. Recall that we have shown

$$(X^{\varepsilon,t_1}(g), \dots, X^{\varepsilon,t_m}(g)) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (Y^{\varepsilon,t_1}(g), \dots, Y^{\varepsilon,t_m}(g)),$$

and

$$Y^{\varepsilon,t_i}(g) = \delta(v_{\varepsilon,t_i}(g)) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}.$$

By Proposition 2.9, it suffices to show that for each i, j ,

$$\mathbb{E}|C_{i,j} - \langle DY^{\varepsilon,t_i}(g), v_{\varepsilon,t_j}(g) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Use (3.1), we have

$$\langle DY^{\varepsilon,t_i}(g), v_{\varepsilon,t_j}(g) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = A_{1,\varepsilon}^{i,j} + A_{2,\varepsilon}^{i,j},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{1,\varepsilon}^{i,j} &= \langle v_{\varepsilon,t_i}(g), v_{\varepsilon,t_j}(g) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&= \beta^2 \int_0^{\frac{t_i \wedge t_j}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s, y_1)) \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s, y_2)) R(y_1 - y_2) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{t_i}{\varepsilon^2} - s} \left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon} - y_1 \right) g(x_1) dx_1 \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{t_j}{\varepsilon^2} - s} \left(\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon} - y_2 \right) g(x_2) dx_2 \right) dy_1 dy_2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2,\varepsilon}^{i,j} &= \langle DY^{\varepsilon,t_i}(g) - v_{\varepsilon,t_i}(g), v_{\varepsilon,t_j}(g) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&= \beta^2 \int_0^{\frac{t_i \wedge t_j}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}} \sigma(\mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(s, y_1)) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{t_j}{\varepsilon^2} - s} \left(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon} - y_1 \right) g(x_1) dx_1 \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\int_s^{\frac{t_i}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G_{\frac{t_i}{\varepsilon^2} - r} \left(\frac{x_2}{\varepsilon} - z \right) g(x_2) dx_2 \right) \Sigma(r, z) D_{s,y_2} \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(r, z) dW_\phi(r, z) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot R(y_1 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Following the same arguments as in Section 3, we can show

$$\mathbb{E} \left| C_{i,j} - A_{1,\varepsilon}^{i,j} \right|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left| A_{2,\varepsilon}^{i,j} \right|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Giuseppe Cannizzaro, Dirk Erhard, and Philipp Schönbauer. 2d anisotropic kpz at stationarity: Scaling, tightness and nontriviality. *The Annals of Probability*, 49(1):122–156, 2021. [4](#)
- [2] Francesco Caravenna, Rongfeng Sun, and Nikos Zygouras. Universality in marginally relevant disordered systems. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 27(5):3050–3112, 2017. [3](#), [4](#)
- [3] Francesco Caravenna, Rongfeng Sun, and Nikos Zygouras. On the moments of the $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional directed polymer and stochastic heat equation in the critical window. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 372(2):385–440, 2019. [4](#)
- [4] Francesco Caravenna, Rongfeng Sun, and Nikos Zygouras. The two-dimensional kpz equation in the entire subcritical regime. *The Annals of Probability*, 48(3):1086–1127, 2020. [4](#)
- [5] Francesco Caravenna, Rongfeng Sun, and Nikos Zygouras. The critical 2d stochastic heat flow. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.03766*, 2021. [4](#)
- [6] Le Chen and Jingyu Huang. Comparison principle for stochastic heat equation on \mathbb{R}^d . *The Annals of Probability*, 47(2):989–1035, 2019. [12](#)
- [7] Le Chen, Davar Khoshnevisan, David Nualart, and Fei Pu. Central limit theorems for spatial averages of the stochastic heat equation via malliavin–stein’s method. *Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, pages 1–55, 2021. [4](#)
- [8] Le Chen, Davar Khoshnevisan, David Nualart, and Fei Pu. Spatial ergodicity for spdes via poincaré-type inequalities. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 26:1–37, 2021. [8](#)
- [9] Francis Comets, Clément Cosco, and Chiranjib Mukherjee. Space-time fluctuation of the kardar-parisi-zhang equation in $d \geq 3$ and the gaussian free field. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.03200*, 2019. [4](#)
- [10] Clément Cosco, Shuta Nakajima, and Makoto Nakashima. Law of large numbers and fluctuations in the sub-critical and L^2 regions for she and kpz equation in dimension $d \geq 3$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.12689*, 2020. [4](#), [5](#)
- [11] Alexander Dunlap and Yu Gu. A forward-backward sde from the 2d nonlinear stochastic heat equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.03541*, 2020. [2](#), [3](#), [5](#), [6](#), [14](#)
- [12] Alexander Dunlap, Yu Gu, Lenya Ryzhik, and Ofer Zeitouni. Fluctuations of the solutions to the kpz equation in dimensions three and higher. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 176(3):1217–1258, 2020. [4](#)
- [13] Yu Gu. Gaussian fluctuations from the 2d kpz equation. *Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, 8(1):150–185, 2020. [4](#)
- [14] Yu Gu and Jiawei Li. Fluctuations of a nonlinear stochastic heat equation in dimensions three and higher. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 52(6):5422–5440, 2020. [4](#), [5](#), [11](#), [13](#), [15](#), [17](#)
- [15] Yu Gu, Jeremy Quastel, and Li-Cheng Tsai. Moments of the 2d she at criticality. *Probability and Mathematical Physics*, 2(1):179–219, 2021. [4](#)
- [16] Yu Gu, Lenya Ryzhik, and Ofer Zeitouni. The edwards–wilkinson limit of the random heat equation in dimensions three and higher. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 363(2):351–388, 2018. [4](#)
- [17] Jingyu Huang, David Nualart, and Lauri Viitasaari. A central limit theorem for the stochastic heat equation. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications*, 130(12):7170–7184, 2020. [4](#), [13](#), [14](#), [23](#)
- [18] Jingyu Huang, David Nualart, Lauri Viitasaari, and Guangqu Zheng. Gaussian fluctuations for the stochastic heat equation with colored noise. *Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, 8(2):402–421, 2020. [4](#)
- [19] Ioannis Karatzas and Steven Shreve. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, volume 113. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012. [23](#)

- [20] Dimitris Lygkonis and Nikos Zygouras. Moments of the 2d directed polymer in the subcritical regime and a generalisation of the erdős-taylor theorem, 2021. [8](#)
- [21] Jacques Magnen and Jérémie Unterberger. The scaling limit of the kpz equation in space dimension 3 and higher. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 171(4):543–598, 2018. [4](#)
- [22] Chiranjib Mukherjee, Alexander Shamov, and Ofer Zeitouni. Weak and strong disorder for the stochastic heat equation and continuous directed polymers in $d \geq 3$. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 21:1–12, 2016. [5](#), [6](#)
- [23] Shuta Nakajima and Makoto Nakashima. Fluctuations of two-dimensional stochastic heat equation and kpz equation in subcritical regime for general initial conditions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.07243*, 2021. [4](#), [5](#)
- [24] Ivan Nourdin and Giovanni Peccati. *Normal approximations with Malliavin calculus: from Stein's method to universality*. Number 192. Cambridge University Press, 2012. [13](#)
- [25] David Nualart. *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*, volume 1995. Springer, 2006. [7](#), [14](#)
- [26] David Nualart and Guangqu Zheng. Averaging gaussian functionals. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 25:1–54, 2020. [4](#)

(Ran Tao) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD, 20740. RANTAO16@UMD.EDU