A quasispecies continuous contact model in a subcritical regime $*$

Sergey Pirogov† Elena Zhizhina‡

The paper is dedicated to the memory of Robert Adolfovich Minlos, outstanding mathematician and generous person.

Abstract

We study a non-equilibrium dynamical model: a marked continuous contact model in d-dimensional space, $d \geq 1$. In contrast with the continuous contact model in a critical regime, see [\[3\]](#page-13-0), [\[5\]](#page-13-1), the model under consideration is in the subcritical regime and it contains an additional spontaneous spatially homogeneous birth from an external source. We prove that this system has an invariant measure. We prove also that the process starting from any initial distribution converges to this invariant measure.

Keywords: continuous contact model; marked configurations; correlation functions; statistical dynamics

1 Introduction

In this paper we study a marked continuous contact model in d -dimensional space, $d \geq 1$, with a spontaneous birth rate. This model can be considered as a special case of birth-and-death processes in the continuum, [\[3,](#page-13-0) [5,](#page-13-1) [6\]](#page-14-0), and it is inspired by the concept of quasispecies in population genetics, see e.g. [\[1,](#page-13-2) [8\]](#page-14-1). The phase space of such processes is the space $\Gamma = \Gamma(R^d \times S)$ of locally finite marked configurations in R^d with marks $s \in S$ from a compact metric space S. We describe here the stationary regime and specify relations between solutions of the Cauchy problem and this stationary regime in the

[∗]The research was performed at the Institute for Information Transmission Problems.

[†] Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Moscow, Russia (s.a.pirogov@bk.ru).

[‡] Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Moscow, Russia (ejj@iitp.ru).

subcritical case, i.e. when the mortality prevails over reproduction and the deficiency is compensated by the immigration.

The analysis of the model is based on the concept of statistical dynamics. Instead of the construction of the stochastic dynamics as a Markov process on the configuration space, we use here the formal generator of the model for the derivation of the evolution equation for the correlation functions similar to the BBGKY hierarchy for Hamiltonian dynamics. In the general framework of [\[2\]](#page-13-3) we use the hierarchy of equations for time-dependent correlation functions to describe the Markov dynamics of our system, c.f. also [\[3\]](#page-13-0), [\[5\]](#page-13-1).

With biological point of view, the stochastic system under study is a model of an asexual reproduction under mutations and selections, where an individual at the point $u \in R^d$ with the genome $s \in S$ produces an offspring distributed in the coordinate space and in the genome space with the rate $\alpha(u-v)Q(s,s')$. The function $Q(s,s')$ is said to be the mutation kernel. Since mortality exceeds reproduction, the model contains a spontaneous additional birth that can be interpreted as an exogenous flow generated by an external source, or an immigration.

2 The model and the main results

We consider a quasispecies contact model in a sub-critical regime with spontaneous birth on $M = R^d \times S$, $d \geq 1$, S is a compact metric space. A heuristic description of the process is given by a formal generator:

$$
(LF)(\gamma) = \sum_{x \in \gamma} (F(\gamma \backslash x) - F(\gamma)) + \int_M \Big(\sum_{y \in \gamma} \kappa a(x, y) + c(x) \Big) (F(\gamma \cup x) - F(\gamma)) dZ(x),\tag{1}
$$

where $dZ = d\lambda d\nu$ is a product of the Lebesgue measure λ on R^d and a finite Borel measure ν on S with supp $\nu = S$. Below, see [\(17\)](#page-4-0)-[\(19\)](#page-5-0), we will construct the operator L^* describing the evolution of the correlation functions (the BBGKY type hierarchy equations).

Here $b(x, \gamma) = \kappa \sum_{y \in \gamma} a(x, y)$ are birth rates related to the contact model, $c(x)$ is a spontaneous birth rate (immigration), and mortality rate equals 1. We take $a(x, y)$ in the following form:

$$
a(x, y) = \alpha(\tau(x) - \tau(y)) Q(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)), \qquad (2)
$$

 τ and σ are projections of M on R^d and S respectively, $\alpha(u) > 0$ is a function on R^d such that

$$
\int_{R^d} \alpha(u) du = 1, \quad \int_{R^d} |u|^2 \alpha(u) du < \infty,
$$
\n(3)

the covariance matrix C

$$
C_{jk} = \int_{R^d} u_j u_k \alpha(u) du - m_j m_k, \quad m_j = \int_{R^d} u_j \alpha(u) du,
$$
 (4)

is non-degenerate and

$$
\hat{\alpha}(p) = \int_{R^d} e^{i(p,u)} \alpha(u) du \in L^1(R^d). \tag{5}
$$

It follows in particular that $|\hat{\alpha}(p)| < 1$ for all $p \neq 0$.

We assume in what follows that $c(x) = c(\sigma(x)), \sigma(x) \in S$, i.e. we consider the spatially homogeneous spontaneous birth rates. We suppose that the function Q is continuous on $S \times S$ (and so bounded) and strictly positive. We consider the integral operator

$$
(Qh)(s) = \int_{S} Q(s, s')h(s')d\nu(s'), \quad h \in C(S),
$$
 (6)

in the Banach space of continuous function $C(S)$. Then the Krein-Rutman theorem [\[7\]](#page-14-2) implies that there are a positive number $r > 0$ and a strictly positive continuous function $q(s) \in C(S)$, such that $Qq = rq$. The spectrum of Q , except r, which is a discrete spectrum (accumulated to 0, if S is not finite), is contained in the open disk $\{z : |z| < r\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. This "rest spectrum" is the spectrum of Q on the subspace "biorthogonal to q ", i.e. on the subspace of the functions $h(s)$ such that

$$
\int_{S} h(s) \tilde{q}(s) d\nu(s) = 0.
$$
 (7)

Here $\tilde{q}(s)$ is the strictly positive eigenfunction of the adjoint operator $Q^*(s, s') =$ $Q(s', s)$.

We assume in what follows that $\kappa < \kappa_{cr}$, $\kappa_{cr} = r^{-1}$, and now including κ_{cr} in Q we suppose that $r = 1$, i.e. $Qq = q$. So the "renormalized" critical value of κ equals 1. We also normalize the function q by the condition

$$
\int_{S} q(s)d\nu(s) = 1.
$$
\n(8)

Note that the existence problem for Markov processes in Γ for general birth and death rates is an essentially open problem, but for the contact processes it was solved in [\[6\]](#page-14-0). An alternative way of studying the evolution of the system is to consider the corresponding statistical dynamics. The latter

means that instead of a time evolution of configurations we consider a time evolution of initial states (distributions), i.e. solutions of the corresponding forward Kolmogorov (Fokker-Planck) equation, see([\[2,](#page-13-3) [4\]](#page-13-4)) for details.

We should remind basic notations and constructions to derive time evolution equations on correlation functions of the considered model. Let $\mathcal{B}(M)$ be the family of all Borel sets in $M = R^d \times S$, and $\mathcal{B}_b(M) \subset \mathcal{B}(M)$ denotes the family of all bounded sets from $\mathcal{B}(M)$. The configuration space $\Gamma(M)$ consists of all locally finite subsets of M:

$$
\Gamma = \Gamma(M) = \{ \gamma \subset M : |\gamma \cap \Lambda| < \infty \text{ for all } \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_b(M) \}. \tag{9}
$$

Together with the configuration space $\Gamma(M)$ we define the space of finite configurations

$$
\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0(M) = \bigsqcup_{n \in N \cup \{0\}} \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \tag{10}
$$

where $\Gamma_0^{(n)}$ is the space of *n*-point configurations $\Gamma_0^{(n)} = \{ \eta \subset M : |\eta| = n \}.$ The space $\Gamma_0(M)$ is equipped by the Lebesgue-Poisson measure $\exp(dZ)$ = $1 + dZ + \frac{dZ \otimes dZ}{2!} + \ldots$

We denote the set of cylinder functions on Γ by $\mathcal{F}_{\text{cul}}(\Gamma)$. Each $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{cul}}(\Gamma)$ is characterized by the following relation: $F(\gamma) = F(\gamma \cap \Lambda)$ for some $\Lambda \in$ $\mathcal{B}_b(M)$. The notation $B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$ is used for the set of bounded measurable functions with bounded support, i.e. $G \in B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$, if G is a bounded measurable function on Γ_0 , and there exists $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_b(M)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
G|_{\Gamma_0 \setminus \bigsqcup_{n=0}^N \Gamma_{\Lambda}^{(n)}} = 0,\tag{11}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\Lambda}^{(n)} = \{ \eta \subset \Lambda : |\eta| = n \}$ is the space of *n*-point configurations from Λ.

Next we define a mapping from $B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$ into $\mathcal{F}_{cyl}(\Gamma)$ as follows:

$$
(K\ G)(\gamma) \ = \ \sum_{\eta \subset \gamma} G(\eta), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, \ \eta \in \Gamma_0,\tag{12}
$$

where the summation is taken over all finite subconfigurations $\eta \in \Gamma_0$ of the infinite configuration $\gamma \in \Gamma$, see i.g. [\[3\]](#page-13-0) for details. Let us remark that this mapping is linear, positivity preserving and injective. It is called K-transform.

In the same way as in [\[3\]](#page-13-0) we conclude that the operator $\hat{L} = K^{-1}LK$ (the image of L under the K-transform) on functions $G \in B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$ has the following form:

$$
(\hat{L}G)(\eta) = -|\eta|G(\eta) + \int_{M} \kappa \sum_{x \in \eta} a(y, x)G((\eta \backslash x) \cup y)dZ(y) + \int_{M} \kappa \sum_{x \in \eta} a(y, x)G(\eta \cup y)dZ(y) + \int_{M} c(y)G(\eta \cup y)dZ(y).
$$
\n(13)

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{fm}^1(\Gamma)$ the set of all probability measures μ which have finite local moments of all orders, i.e.

$$
\int_{\Gamma} |\gamma_{\Lambda}|^n \ \mu(d\gamma) \ < \ \infty \tag{14}
$$

for all $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_b(M)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{fm}^1(\Gamma)$ is locally absolutely continuous with respect to the Poisson measure (associated with the measure dZ), then there exists the corresponding system of the correlation functions $k_{\mu}^{(n)}$ of the measure μ , well known in statistical physics, see e.g. [\[9,](#page-14-3) Ch.4]. The set of correlation functions k_{μ} of the measure μ is defined as

$$
\int_{\Gamma} (KG)(\gamma)\mu(d\gamma) = \langle G, k_{\mu} \rangle, \tag{15}
$$

where \langle , \rangle is the canonical duality between the functions and densities of measures on the space $\Gamma_0(M)$.

Let $\{\mu_t\}_{t\geq 0} \subset \mathcal{M}_{fm}^1(\Gamma)$ be the evolution of states described by the forward Kolmogorov equation with the adjoint operator L^* . Then the generator of the evolution of the corresponding system of correlation functions is defined as

$$
\langle \hat{L}G, k \rangle = \langle G, \hat{L}^*k \rangle, \quad G \in B_{bs}(\Gamma_0), \tag{16}
$$

where the operator $\hat{L} = K^{-1}LK$ is defined by [\(13\)](#page-4-1).

The equations for the correlation functions have the following recurrent form:

$$
\frac{\partial k^{(n)}}{\partial t} = \hat{L}_n^* k^{(n)} + f^{(n)}, \quad n \ge 1; \qquad k^{(0)} \equiv 1. \tag{17}
$$

Here $f^{(n)}$ are functions on M^n , $f^{(1)}(x) = c(x) = c(\sigma(x))$, and $f^{(n)}$ are defined for $n > 2$ as

$$
f^{(n)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n k^{(n-1)}(x_1, \ldots, \check{x}_i, \ldots, x_n) \left(\sum_{j \neq i}^n \kappa a(x_i, x_j) + c(x_i) \right).
$$
\n(18)

These equations are the analogue of BBGKY equations for the considered system.

The operator \hat{L}_n^* , $n \geq 1$, is defined on the space $X_n = C(S^n, L^{\infty}((R^d)^n))$ as:

$$
\hat{L}_n^* k^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = -nk^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \n+ \sum_{i=1}^n \int_M \kappa a(x_i, y) k^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) dZ(y).
$$
\n(19)

We take any initial (for $t = 0$) data

$$
k^{(n)}(0; x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_n. \tag{20}
$$

Invariant measures with finite moments of the contact process (if they exist) are described in terms of the correlation functions $k^{(n)}$ on M^n as a positive solutions of the following system:

$$
\hat{L}_n^* k^{(n)} + f^{(n)} = 0, \quad n \ge 1, \quad k^{(0)} \equiv 1,\tag{21}
$$

where \hat{L}_n^* , $f^{(n)}$ are defined in [\(18\)](#page-4-2) - [\(19\)](#page-5-0). n

In this paper we prove the existence of the solution $k^{(n)} \in X_n$, $n \geq 1$ of the system [\(21\)](#page-5-1), such that $k^{(n)}$ have a specified asymptotics when $|\tau(x_i) \tau(x_i) \to \infty$ for all $i \neq j$. We also prove a strong convergence of the solutions of the Cauchy problem (17) - (20) to the solution of the system (21) of the stationary (time-independent) equations.

Theorem 1. *1. Let the birth kernel* $a(x, y)$ *of the contact model meet conditions* [\(2\)](#page-1-0)-[\(6\)](#page-2-0), and $\kappa < \kappa_{cr} = r^{-1}$.

Then for any positive continuous immigration rate $c(\sigma(x))$ *there exists a* probability measure μ_c such that its system of correlation functions $\{k_c^{(n)}\}$ is *translation invariant, solves [\(21\)](#page-5-1), satisfies the following condition*

$$
|k_c^{(n)}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) - \prod_{i=1}^n k_c^{(1)}(x_i)| \to 0,
$$
\n(22)

when $|\tau(x_i) - \tau(x_j)| \to \infty$ *for all* $i \neq j$ *, and satisfies the following estimate*

$$
k_c^{(n)}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \le D\ H^n n! \prod_{i=1}^n q(\sigma(x_i)) \quad \text{for any} \ \ x_1,\ldots,x_n,\tag{23}
$$

for some positive constants $H = H(\kappa, Q, \alpha, c)$, $D = D(\kappa, Q, \alpha, c)$ *. Here* $q(s)$ *is the normalized eigenfunction of* Q*,*

$$
k_c^{(1)}(x) = k_c^{(1)}(\sigma(x)) = (1 - \kappa Q)^{-1} c(\sigma(x)). \tag{24}
$$

2. For any $n \geq 1$ the solution $k^{(n)}(t)$ of the Cauchy problem [\(17\)](#page-4-0) - [\(20\)](#page-5-2) *converges to the solution* $k_c^{(n)}$ [\(22\)](#page-5-3) of the system [\(21\)](#page-5-1) of stationary (time*independent)* equations as $t \rightarrow \infty$ *:*

$$
||k^{(n)}(t) - k_c^{(n)}||_{X_n} \to 0,
$$
\n(25)

where $X_n = C(S^n, L^{\infty}((R^d)^n)).$

3 The proof of Theorem [1.](#page-5-4) Stationary problem.

In this section we prove the first part of Theorem 1 using the induction in n . For $n = 1$ in [\(21\)](#page-5-1) we have

$$
c(x) - k^{(1)}(x) + \int_M \kappa a(x, y) k^{(1)}(y) dZ(y) = 0, \text{ where } c(x) = c(\sigma(x)).
$$
 (26)

Since we are constructing a translation invariant field we will look for $k^{(1)}(x)$ in the form

$$
k^{(1)}(x) = k^{(1)}(\sigma(x)). \tag{27}
$$

Then [\(26\)](#page-6-0) can be rewritten as

$$
c(s) - k^{(1)}(s) + \int_{S} \kappa \, Q(s, s') k^{(1)}(s') d\nu(s') = 0, \quad s = \sigma(x), \tag{28}
$$

which means that

$$
k^{(1)}(x) = k^{(1)}(\sigma(x)) = (1 - \kappa Q)^{-1} c(\sigma(x)).
$$
\n(29)

As a warm-up let us solve the equation [\(21\)](#page-5-1) for the special case $n =$ 2, $S = \{0\}$, $Q(0, 0) = 1$, $c(x) \equiv c$. This means that $M = R^d$ and no marks. Then $k^{(1)}(x) = \frac{c}{1-\kappa}$ and the equation for $k^{(2)}(x)$ is written as

$$
\hat{L}_2^* k^{(2)} + f^{(2)} = 0,\t\t(30)
$$

with

$$
f^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \kappa \varrho (\alpha (x_1 - x_2) + \alpha (x_2 - x_1)) + 2\varrho c, \qquad (31)
$$

where $\rho = \frac{c}{1 - c}$ $\frac{c}{1-\kappa}$. Thus $\hat{L}_2^* = L^{(1)} + L^{(2)}$, where

$$
L^{(1)}k^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \int_{R^d} \kappa \,\alpha(x_1 - y)k^{(2)}(y, x_2)dy - k^{(2)}(x_1, x_2), \tag{32}
$$

and analogously

$$
L^{(2)}k^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \int_{R^d} \kappa \,\alpha(x_2 - y) k^{(2)}(x_1, y) dy - k^{(2)}(x_1, x_2). \tag{33}
$$

Using the translation invariance we have:

$$
k^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = k^{(2)}(x_1 - x_2). \tag{34}
$$

After the Fourier transform we can rewrite (30) - (33) as

$$
(\kappa \hat{\alpha}(p) + \kappa \hat{\alpha}(-p) - 2) \hat{k}(p) = -\kappa \varrho (\hat{\alpha}(p) + \hat{\alpha}(-p)) - 2c\varrho \delta(p). \quad (35)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\hat{k}(p) = \frac{\varrho \,\kappa(\hat{\alpha}(p) + \hat{\alpha}(-p))}{2 - \kappa(\hat{\alpha}(p) + \hat{\alpha}(-p))} + \hat{A}\delta(p), \text{ where } \hat{A} = \varrho^2. \tag{36}
$$

Now let us turn to the general case. If for any $n > 1$ we succeeded to solve the equation [\(21\)](#page-5-1) and express $k^{(n)}$ through $f^{(n)}$, then knowing the expression of $f^{(n)}$ through $k^{(n-1)}$ via [\(18\)](#page-4-2), we would get the solution to the full system [\(21\)](#page-5-1). So we have to invert the operator \hat{L}_n^* .

Remind that

$$
\hat{L}_n^* \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^n L^i,\tag{37}
$$

where

$$
L^ik^{(n)}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = (38)
$$

$$
\int_M \kappa a(x_i, y) k^{(n)}(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) dZ(y) - k^{(n)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \tag{39}
$$

are bounded operators in X_n .

Lemma 1. The operator $e^{t\hat{L}_n^*}$ is monotone.

Proof. The monotonicity of the operator $e^{t\hat{L}_n^*}$ follows from [\(37\)](#page-7-1) - [\(38\)](#page-7-2):

$$
e^{t\hat{L}_n^*} = \otimes_{i=1}^n e^{tL^i}, \quad e^{tL^i} = e^{-t}e^{tA_i}, \tag{40}
$$

 \Box

and the positivity of operators

$$
A_i k^{(n)} = \int_M \kappa a(x_i, y) k^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) dZ(y).
$$
 (41)

Lemma 2. If the function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is bounded and satisfies the condition

 $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \to 0$ when $|\tau(x_i) - \tau(x_j)| \to \infty$ for all $i \neq j$ uniformly in $\sigma(x_1), \ldots, \sigma(x_n)$, (42)

then the function

$$
g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \left(\int_0^\infty e^{t \hat{L}_n^*} f \, dt \right) (x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \left(-\hat{L}_n^* \right)^{-1} f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \tag{43}
$$

exists and satisfies the same condition.

Proof. First consider the restriction of \hat{L}_n^* to the invariant subspace Λ consisting of the functions of the form

$$
G_{\varphi,q}(x) = \varphi(\tau(x_1), \dots, \tau(x_n)) \prod_{i=1}^n q(\sigma(x_i)), \qquad (44)
$$

where $\varphi(w_1,\ldots,w_n) \in L^{\infty}((R^d)^n)$, $Qq = q$.

The operator \hat{L}_n^* acts on these functions as

$$
L_{n,max} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{max}^i,
$$
\n(45)

where

$$
L_{max}^i G_{\varphi,q}(x) = (46)
$$

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n} q(\sigma(x_i)) \left(\int_{R^d} \kappa \, \alpha(w_i - u) \varphi(w_1, \dots, w_{i-1}, u, w_{i+1}, \dots, w_n) du - \varphi(w_1, \dots, w_n) \right)
$$
\n
$$
(47)
$$

due to the equality $Qq = q$. Remind that κ_{cr} is "absorbed" in Q and $\kappa < 1$. Formula [\(46\)](#page-8-0) means that in this case we have only spatial convolutions and no integration over S.

Using that $\hat{L}_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i - n$ and the spectral radii of operators $A_i, i =$ $1, \ldots, n$, equal to $\kappa < 1$, we have

$$
\left(-\hat{L}_n^*\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n A_i\right)^{-1}.\tag{48}
$$

Notice that this formula again implies the monotonicity of the operator $($ – $(\hat{L}_n^*)^{-1}$. Denoting $A = \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i$ we get

$$
\left(-\hat{L}_n^*\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A^m.
$$
 (49)

On the invariant subspace Λ the operator A is a convolution operator with the distribution

$$
\beta(u) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa \alpha(u_i) \prod_{j \neq i} \delta(u_j), \ u = (u_1, \dots, u_n), \ u_i \in R^d.
$$
 (50)

Its integral equals to $\kappa < 1$. Analogously the operator A^m is a convolution with the distribution β^{*m} whose integral is equal to κ^m . Consequently (- $L_{n,max}$ ⁻¹ on Λ is also the convolution with the distribution $\beta_{max}(u)$ whose integral is equal to $\frac{1}{n(1-\kappa)}$. For $G_{\varphi,q}(x)$ we have

$$
\left(-L_{n,max}\right)^{-1}G_{\varphi,q}(x) = \frac{1}{n(1-\kappa)}\prod_{i=1}^{n}q(\sigma(x_i))\mathbb{E}\varphi(\tau(x)+\xi),\tag{51}
$$

where $\tau(x) = (\tau(x_1), \ldots, \tau(x_n))$ and $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)$ is a random vector with the probability distribution $n(1 - \kappa) \beta_{max}(u)$.

Thus if $f \in \Lambda$ satisfies condition [\(42\)](#page-8-1) of the Lemma, then the function $\mathbb{E}\varphi(\tau(x)+\xi)$ satisfies the same condition by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.

To prove the general case of the Lemma we notice that any function satisfying condition [\(42\)](#page-8-1) can be estimated in the absolute value by a function from Λ satisfying the same condition. Then the conclusion of Lemma [2](#page-8-2) follows from monotonicity of $(-\hat{L}_n^*)^{-1}$. \Box

From the proof of Lemma [2](#page-8-2) we obtain the following estimate.

Corollary 1. *If a function* $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ *is estimated in the absolute value by a function from the invariant subspace* Λ *with* $|\varphi(w)| \leq C$ *, then for the funca function from the invariant subspace* Λ *with* $|\varphi(w)| \leq C$ *, then for the func-* $\int \tan \left(-\hat{L}_n^*\right)^{-1} f$ *the same estimate in the absolute value holds with constant* \overline{C} $\frac{C}{n(1-\kappa)}$.

Next we will construct a solution $k^{(n)} = (-\hat{L}_n^*)^{-1} f^{(n)}$ of the system [\(21\)](#page-5-1) satisfying [\(22\)](#page-5-3) and meeting the estimate

$$
k^{(n)}(x_1, ..., x_n) \leq K_n \prod_{i=1}^n q(\sigma(x_i))
$$
 (52)

where $K_n = H^n n!$, H is a constant. We suppose by induction that

$$
k^{(n-1)}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}) \leq K_{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} q(\sigma(x_i)). \tag{53}
$$

Using [\(18\)](#page-4-2) we conclude that the function $f^{(n)}$ can be estimated as

$$
f^{(n)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \leq K_{n-1} C n^2 \prod_{i=1}^n q(\sigma(x_i)) \tag{54}
$$

with a constant $C > 0$.

From Corollary [1](#page-9-0) it follows that $k^{(n)} = (-\hat{L}_n^*)^{-1} f^{(n)}$ satisfies the same estimate [\(53\)](#page-9-1) with $K_n = \frac{C_n}{1-\kappa} K_{n-1}$ and so

$$
K_n = \frac{C^n n!}{(1 - \kappa)^n}.\tag{55}
$$

Thus

$$
k^{(n)}(x_1, ..., x_n) \le H^n n! \prod_{i=1}^n q(\sigma(x_i)) \text{ with } H = \frac{C}{1 - \kappa}.
$$
 (56)

To complete the first (stationary) part of Theorem [1](#page-5-4) we need to verify [\(22\)](#page-5-3). We apply again the induction in n. For $n = 1$, it is trivial. If [\(22\)](#page-5-3) is valid for $k^{(n-1)}$, then from [\(18\)](#page-4-2) we obtain the following relation for $f^{(n)}$:

$$
f^{(n)}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n c(x_i) \prod_{i \neq j} k^{(1)}(x_j) \to 0, \qquad (57)
$$

when $|\tau(x_i) - \tau(x_j)| \to \infty$ for all $i \neq j$ uniformly in $\sigma(x_1), \ldots, \sigma(x_n)$. Thus by Lemma [2](#page-8-2) we get

$$
\left(-\hat{L}_n^*\right)^{-1} f^{(n)}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) - \left(-\hat{L}_n^*\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c(x_i) \prod_{i \neq j} k^{(1)}(x_j) \to 0 \quad (58)
$$

when $|\tau(x_i) - \tau(x_j)| \to \infty$ uniformly in $\sigma(x_1), \ldots, \sigma(x_n)$. We define

$$
k_{as}(\sigma(x_1),\ldots,\sigma(x_n)) := \prod_{i=1}^n k^{(1)}(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \kappa Q_i)^{-1} c(\sigma(x_i)),
$$
 (59)

where $k^{(1)}(x)$ was defined by [\(29\)](#page-6-2) and Q_i is the operator Q acting in the space of functions of $\sigma(x_i)$. Using [\(19\)](#page-5-0), [\(2\)](#page-1-0) - [\(3\)](#page-1-1) and the fact that k_{as} is a function of variables $\sigma(x_i)$ we conclude

$$
(-\hat{L}_n^*)k_{as}(\sigma(x_1),\ldots,\sigma(x_n)) = nk_{as}(\sigma(x_1),\ldots,\sigma(x_n)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa Q_i k_{as}(\sigma(x_1),\ldots,\sigma(x_n))
$$
\n(60)

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \kappa Q_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - \kappa Q_j)^{-1} c(\sigma(x_j)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c(\sigma(x_i)) \prod_{j \neq i} k^{(1)}(x_j).
$$
 (61)

Consequently,

$$
(-\hat{L}_n^*)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c(\sigma(x_i)) \prod_{j \neq i} k^{(1)}(x_j) = k_{as}(\sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_n)) = \prod_{i=1}^n k^{(1)}(x_i) \tag{62}
$$

and by (21)

$$
(-\hat{L}_n^*)^{-1} f^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = k^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n). \tag{63}
$$

Thus the convergence in [\(58\)](#page-10-0) implies [\(22\)](#page-5-3) for $k^{(n)}$.

Thus we proved the existence of solutions $\{k_c^{(n)}\}$ of the system [\(21\)](#page-5-1) corresponding to the stationary problem. To verify that this system of correlation function is associated with a measure μ_c on the configuration space, we will prove in the next section that the measure μ_c can be constructed as a limit of an evolution of measures $\mu(t)$ associated with the solutions of the Cauchy problem [\(17\)](#page-4-0) with corresponding initial data [\(20\)](#page-5-2).

4 The proof of Theorem [1.](#page-5-4) The Cauchy problem.

In this section we find the solution of the Cauchy problem (17) - (20) and prove the convergence [\(25\)](#page-6-3). Using Duhamel formula we have

$$
k^{(n)}(t) = e^{t\hat{L}_n^*}k^{(n)}(0) + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\hat{L}_n^*}f^{(n)}(s) ds,
$$
\n(64)

where $f^{(n)}(s)$ is expressed through $k^{(n-1)}(s)$ via [\(18\)](#page-4-2).

We have

$$
k^{(n)}(t) - k_c^{(n)} = e^{t\hat{L}_n^*} \left(k^{(n)}(0) - k_c^{(n)} \right) + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\hat{L}_n^*} \left(f^{(n)}(s) - f_c^{(n)} \right) ds. \tag{65}
$$

Here $f_c^{(n)}$ is expressed in terms of $k_c^{(n-1)}$ by [\(18\)](#page-4-2), and the equation $\hat{L}_n^* k_c^{(n)} =$ $-f_c^{(n)}$ implies that

$$
\left(e^{t\hat{L}_n^*} - 1\right)k_c^{(n)} = -\int_0^t \frac{d}{ds}e^{(t-s)\hat{L}_n^*}k_c^{(n)}ds = -\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\hat{L}_n^*}f_c^{(n)}ds \quad (66)
$$

We shall prove now that both terms in [\(65\)](#page-11-0) converge to 0 in sup-norm of X_n .

First we remind that $k^{(n)}(0) - k_c^{(n)}$ is the element of the space X_n , and thus it can be estimated in absolute value as

$$
|k^{(n)}(0) - k_c^{(n)}| \le A \prod_{i=1}^n q(\sigma(x_i)).
$$
\n(67)

On the right-hand side of this inequality there is the eigenfunction of the monotone operator $e^{t\hat{L}_n^*}$. Therefore,

$$
\left| e^{t\hat{L}_n^*}(k^{(n)}(0) - k_c^{(n)}) \right| \le e^{-n(1-\kappa)t} A \prod_{i=1}^n q(\sigma(x_i)) \tag{68}
$$

which tends to 0 as $t \to \infty$.

For estimation of the second term in [\(65\)](#page-11-0) we use the induction. For $n = 1$ we have $f^{(1)} = f_c^{(1)} = c(x)$ and hence

$$
k^{(1)}(t) - k_c^{(1)} = e^{t\hat{L}_n^*}(k^{(1)}(0) - k_c^{(1)}).
$$
\n(69)

As we have seen this function tends to 0 in X_n -norm and

$$
|k^{(1)}(t) - k_c^{(1)}| \le \gamma_1(t) q(\sigma(x)),\tag{70}
$$

where $\gamma_1(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

Suppose that

$$
|k^{(n-1)}(t) - k_c^{(n-1)}| \le \gamma_{n-1}(t) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} q(\sigma(x_i)), \tag{71}
$$

where $\gamma_{n-1}(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Then relation [\(18\)](#page-4-2) implies that the similar estimate holds for the difference $f^{(n)}(t) - f_c^{(n)}$:

$$
|f^{(n)}(t) - f_c^{(n)}| \le \tilde{\gamma}_{n-1}(t) \prod_{i=1}^n q(\sigma(x_i)),
$$
\n(72)

with $\tilde{\gamma}_{n-1}(t) \to 0$. Using the monotonicity of the operators $e^{s\hat{L}_n^*}$ we conclude that the second term in [\(65\)](#page-11-0) can be estimated from above in the absolute value by

$$
\int_0^t e^{-n(1-\kappa)(t-s)} \tilde{\gamma}_{n-1}(s) ds \prod_{i=1}^n q(\sigma(x_i)). \tag{73}
$$

We put

$$
\gamma_n(t) = \int_0^t e^{-n(1-\kappa)(t-s)} \tilde{\gamma}_{n-1}(s) ds.
$$
 (74)

It is easy to see that $\gamma_n(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, hence estimate [\(71\)](#page-12-0) is valid for $k^{(n)}(t)$.

Thus we proved the strong convergence [\(25\)](#page-6-3). Using results from [\[6\]](#page-14-0) we can conclude that the solution $\{k^{(n)}(t)\}\;$ of the Cauchy problem [\(17\)](#page-4-0) is a system of correlation functions corresponding to the evolution of states $\{\mu_t\}.$ The construction of the measure μ_c from the family of correlations functions

$$
k_c^{(n)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} k^{(n)}(t) \tag{75}
$$

is based on the Lenard positivity of this family, see Remark 4.2 in [\[3\]](#page-13-0) about the reconstruction of probability measures by correlation functions.

Conclusions. 1. In the subcritical regime with an immigration the contact model has a stationary measure depending on the immigration rate $c(s)$ and the birth rate $\kappa a(x, y)$ and not depending on the initial state.

2. The stationary correlation functions $k_c^{(n)}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ of this model for large n are estimated from above by $n!$ in contrast with the correlation functions of the critical contact model that can grow as $(n!)^2$, see [\[3,](#page-13-0) [5\]](#page-13-1).

References

- [1] Eigen M., Schuster P., Hypercycle Principle of natural selforganization, Naturwissenschaften 64, 541-565 (1977)
- [2] D. Finkelshtein, Yu. Kondratiev, O. Kutoviy, Semigroup approach to non-equilibrium birth-and-death stochastic dynamics in continuum, J. Funct. Anal. 262, 1274-1308 (2012)
- [3] Yu. Kondratiev, O. Kutoviy, S. Pirogov, Correlation functions and invariant measures in continuous contact model, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics Vol. 11, No. 2, 231- 258 (2008)
- [4] Yu. Kondratiev, O. Kutoviy, E. Zhizhina, Nonequilibrium Glauber-type dynamics in continuum, J. Math. Phys. 47, 113501 (2006)
- [5] Yu. Kondratiev, S. Pirogov, E. Zhizhina, A quasispecies continuous contact model in a sub-critical regime, Journal of Statistical Physics, 163(2), 357-373 (2016)
- [6] Yu. G. Kondratiev and A. Skorokhod, On contact processes in continuum, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics Vol. 9, 187-198 (2006)
- [7] Krein, M.G.; Rutman, M.A., Linear operators leaving invariant a cone in a Banach space, Uspehi Matem. Nauk (in Russian) 3, p. 3-95 (1948). English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Translation 26 (1950).
- [8] Nowak M., What is a quasispecies? Trends Ecol. Evol. 7, 118-121 (1992)
- [9] D. Ruelle, Statistical Mechanics, Benjamin (1969).