Quintom fields from chiral K-essence cosmology

J. Socorro,^{1,[∗](#page-0-0)} S. Pérez-Payán,^{2,[†](#page-0-1)} Rafael Hernández-Jiménez,^{3,[‡](#page-0-2)}

Abraham Espinoza-García.^{2, [§](#page-0-3)} and Luis Rey Díaz-Barrón^{2, [¶](#page-0-4)}

 1 Departamento de Física, DCeI, Universidad de Guanajuato-Campus León, C.P. 37150, León, Guanajuato, México

 2 Unidad Profesional Interdisciplinaria de Ingeniería,

Campus Guanajuato del Instituto Politécnico Nacional.

Av. Mineral de Valenciana #200, Col. Fraccionamiento Industrial Puerto Interior,

C.P. 36275, Silao de la Victoria, Guanajuato, México.

 3 Departamento de Física, Centro Universitario de Ciencias Exactas e Ingeniería, Universidad de Guadalajara.

Av. Revolución 1500, Colonia Olímpica C.P. 44430, Guadalajara, Jalisco, México.

In this paper, we present an analysis of a chiral cosmological scenario from the perspective of K-essence formalism. In this setup, several scalar fields interact within the kinetic and potential sectors. However, we only consider a flat Friedmann–Robertson–Lamaître–Walker universe coupled minimally to two quintom fields: one quintessence and one phantom. We examine a classical cosmological framework, where analytical solutions are obtained. Indeed, we present an explanation of the "big-bang" singularity by means of a "big-bounce". Moreover, having a barotropic fluid description and for a particular set of parameters, the phantom line is in fact crossed. Additionally, for the quantum counterpart, the Wheeler–DeWitt equation is analytically solved for various instances, where the factor-ordering problem has been taken into account (measured by the factor Q). Hence, this approach allows us to compute the probability density of the previous two classical subcases. It turns out that its behavior is in effect damped as the scale factor and the scalar fields evolve. It also tends towards the phantom sector when the factor ordering constant $Q \ll 0$.

Keyword: chiral cosmology; quintom fields; K-essence; exact solutions; bounce cosmology.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decades, various cosmological surveys have suggested that two stages of accelerated expansion have occurred during the evolution of the universe [\[1](#page-15-0)[–6\]](#page-15-1). The first of these epochs, the so-called inflation [\[5,](#page-15-2) [6\]](#page-15-1), would have happened in a very early stage of the expansion of the cosmos, whilst the second one would be taking place at late times. Additionally, the consensus is that this accelerated expansion is caused by dark energy (DE) [\[7](#page-15-3)[–9\]](#page-15-4). To account for these phenomena, several cosmological frameworks incorporate scalar fields into their prescriptions and, in fact, they play a preponderant role. Moreover, one of the most studied scenarios in the literature is the quintessence model, which is a fluctuating, homogeneous scalar field that rolls down its scalar potential $[10-17]$ $[10-17]$. Different avenues have been explored, broadening the spectrum of scalar field models. For instance, the relevant proposals are the phantom $[18–20]$ $[18–20]$, quintom $[21–26]$ $[21–26]$, and Chiral fields $[27–34]$ $[27–34]$, and there are many more $[35–41]$ $[35–41]$.

However, despite many efforts [\[7,](#page-15-3) [42](#page-16-3)[–47\]](#page-16-4), the nature of dark energy has not yet been deciphered, except for its negative pressure. Accordingly, the main characteristic of DE is given by its equation of state (EoS), defined by the ratio of the pressure-to -energy density, that is, $\omega_{DE} \equiv P_{DE}/\rho_{DE}$. This definition allows us to classify the cosmological models mentioned above, according to the behavior of the EoS, namely, quintessence $w_Q \ge -1$ [\[11,](#page-15-12) [48\]](#page-16-5); phantom $w_P \leq -1$ [\[49,](#page-16-6) [50\]](#page-16-7); and quintom [\[51\]](#page-16-8), where the latter is able to evolve across the cosmological constant boundary. In [\[21\]](#page-15-9), the authors have shown that a single scalar field model does not reproduce the quintom scenario, thus opening a window to new paradigms where additional degrees of freedom can be considered (for non conventional approaches into this matter, we refer the reader to [\[52,](#page-16-9) [53\]](#page-16-10)).

Our aim is to study a quintom cosmological model. The most basic construction of a quintom model can be achieved by considering a pair of scalar fields, namely, a canonical one and a phantom one, endowed with their

[∗]Electronic address: socorro@fisica.ugto.mx

[†]Electronic address: saperezp@ipn.mx

[‡]Electronic address: rafaelhernandezjmz@gmail.com

[§]Electronic address: aespinoza@ipn.mx

[¶]Electronic address: lrdiaz@ipn.mx

respective scalar potentials; within this line of research, different schemes have been considered [\[21–](#page-15-9)[26\]](#page-15-10). These multiscalar components bring us additional degrees of freedom; thus, various physical phenomena can be addressed such as primordial, hybrid [\[54–](#page-16-11)[58\]](#page-16-12), or assisted inflation [\[59,](#page-16-13) [60\]](#page-16-14), as well as perturbations analysis [\[61,](#page-16-15) [62\]](#page-16-16).

In this work, we present an analysis of a chiral cosmological scenario from the perspective of K-essence formalism (following the scheme presented in [\[63\]](#page-16-17)). In this prescription, scalar fields interact within the kinetic and potential sectors. We consider a Friedmann–Robertson–Lamaître–Walker (FRLW) universe coupled minimally to two quintom fields: a quintessence and a phantom. We examine a classical cosmological framework, where exact solutions are obtained. In fact, some of them may indicate that the cosmological singularity is resolved via a "big-bounce". Moreover, we show that the phantom line is crossed. Lastly, for the quantum counterpart, the Wheeler–DeWitt (WDW) equation is obtained, where the factor-ordering problem takes into account the introduction of the parameter Q, and analytical solutions are presented employing the same relevant cases that appear in the classical scheme. We show that the probability density is in fact damped as the scale factor and the scalar fields evolve.

The paper is laid out as follows. Section [II](#page-1-0) is devoted to the analysis of the classical multi-scalar field cosmological model, and analytical solutions are obtained considering different cases. In Section [III,](#page-9-0) the quantum counterpart is addressed; in this formalism, different cases are analyzed and their corresponding solutions are presented. Section [IV](#page-14-0) is devoted to the final remarks.

II. CLASSICAL APPROACH

We start by considering the action of the chiral cosmological model from the K-essence perspective, which reads

$$
S = \int \sqrt{-g} \left[R - M^{ab}(\phi_c) \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab}) + C(\phi_c) \right] d^4x,\tag{1}
$$

where R is the Ricci scalar; $M^{ab}(\phi_c)$ is a matrix related to the kinetic energy mixed terms; $C(\phi_c)$ is the scalar potential, which depends on k scalar fields $(c = 1, 2, \dots, k)$; and $\mathcal{G}[\xi_{ab}(\phi_c)]$ is a functional in terms of the chiral kinetic energy $\xi_{ab}(\phi_c, g^{\mu\nu}) = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi_a\nabla_\nu\phi_b$. Note that we are working with the reduced Planck units since $8\pi G = 1$, so this eliminates the $8\pi G$ term from the expression [\(1\)](#page-1-1). An action similar to (1) also appears in modified theories of gravity [\[64\]](#page-16-18), and more recently in [\[65\]](#page-16-19). Making the variation of the action [\(1\)](#page-1-1) with respect to the fields $(g^{\mu\nu}, \phi_c)$, we obtain

$$
\delta S = \int \delta \left[\sqrt{-g} R \right] d^4 x + \int \delta \sqrt{-g} \left[-M^{ab} (\phi_c) \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab}) + C(\phi_c) \right] d^4 x + \int \sqrt{-g} \left[-\delta M^{ab} (\phi_c) \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab}) - M^{ab} (\phi_c) \delta \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab}) + \delta C(\phi_c) \right] d^4 x ,= \int \sqrt{-g} \, G_{\lambda\theta} \delta g^{\lambda\theta} d^4 x - \int \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{2} \left[-M^{ab} (\phi) \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab}) + C(\phi_c) \right] g_{\lambda\theta} \delta g^{\lambda\theta} d^4 x ++ \int \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{\partial M^{ab} (\phi_c)}{\partial \phi_c} \delta \phi_c \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab}) - M^{ab} (\phi_c) \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab})}{\partial \xi_{ab}} \delta \xi_{ab} + \frac{\partial C(\phi_c)}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi_c \right] d^4 x , \tag{2}
$$

where $G_{\lambda\theta} = R_{\lambda\theta} - R_{\theta\lambda\theta}/2$, $R_{\lambda\theta}$, and $g_{\lambda\theta}$ are the Einstein, Ricci, and metric tensors, respectively. The variation of the functional $\mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab})$ is

$$
\delta \xi_{ab}(\phi_c) = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \phi_a \nabla_\nu \phi_b \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \delta \phi_a \nabla_\nu \phi_b - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi_a \nabla_\nu \delta \phi_b,
$$

$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \phi_a \nabla_\nu \phi_b \delta g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \left[g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \phi_b \right] \delta \phi_a + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\nu \left[g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi_a \right] \delta \phi_b
$$

$$
+ \nabla_\mu \left[\frac{1}{2} \delta \phi_a g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \phi_b \right] + \nabla_\nu \left[\frac{1}{2} \delta \phi_b g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \phi_a \right].
$$

Thus, finally we have

$$
\begin{split} \delta S &= \int \sqrt{-g} \left\{ G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} M^{ab} (\phi_c) \left[\nabla_\mu \phi_a \nabla_\nu \phi_b \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab})}{\partial \xi_{ab}} + g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab}) \right] \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} C(\phi_c) \right\} \delta g^{\mu\nu} d^4 x \,, \end{split}
$$

and since δS vanishes $(\delta S = 0)$ for arbitrary variations $\delta g^{\mu\nu}$, we are led to the field equations

$$
G_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} M^{ab} (\phi_c) \left[\nabla_{\mu} \phi_a \nabla_{\nu} \phi_b \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab})}{\partial \xi_{ab}} + g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab}) \right] + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} C(\phi_c) \,. \tag{3}
$$

Then, the energy-momentum tensor in this setup becomes

$$
T_{\mu\nu}(\phi_c) = +\frac{1}{2} M^{ab}(\phi_c) \left[\nabla_{\mu} \phi_a \nabla_{\nu} \phi_b \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab})}{\partial \xi_{ab}} + g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab}) \right] - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} C(\phi_c). \tag{4}
$$

Moreover, we consider the energy-momentum tensor of a barotropic perfect fluid $T_{\alpha\beta}(\phi_c) = (\rho + P)u_\alpha(\phi_c)u_\beta(\phi_c) +$ $P g_{\alpha\beta}$ (where the four-velocity is given by $u_{\alpha}u_{\beta} = \nabla_{\alpha}\phi_{a}\nabla_{\beta}\phi_{b}/2\xi_{ab}$). Hence, the pressure P and the energy density ρ of the scalar fields take the following form:

$$
P(\phi_c) = \frac{1}{2} M^{ab}(\phi_c) \mathcal{G} - \frac{1}{2} C(\phi_c) , \qquad \rho(\phi_c) = \frac{1}{2} M^{ab} \left[2\xi_{ab} \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial \xi_{ab}} - \mathcal{G} \right] + \frac{1}{2} C(\phi_c) . \tag{5}
$$

Additionally, the barotropic parameter $\omega_{\xi_{ab}}$ becomes

$$
\omega_{\xi_{ab}} = \frac{P(\phi_c)}{\rho(\phi_c)} = \frac{M^{ab}(\phi_c)\mathcal{G} - C(\phi_c)}{M^{ab} \left[2\xi_{ab}\frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial \xi_{ab}} - \mathcal{G}\right] + C(\phi_c)}.
$$
\n
$$
(6)
$$

On the other hand, taking the variation of the action [\(1\)](#page-1-1) with respect to the scalar field ϕ_c , we obtain

$$
\delta S = \int \sqrt{g} \left\{ -\frac{\partial M^{ab}(\phi_c)}{\partial \phi_c} \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab}) - \frac{1}{2} M^{cb}(\phi_c) \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab})}{\partial \xi_{ab}} \nabla_\nu \nabla^\nu \phi_b \right.\left. - \frac{1}{2} M^{ac}(\phi_c) \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab})}{\partial \xi_{ab}} \nabla_\nu \nabla^\nu \phi_a + \frac{\partial C(\phi_c)}{\partial \phi_c} \right\} \delta \phi_c d^4 x ,
$$

where a Klein-Gordon-like equation can be written as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial M^{ab}(\phi_c)}{\partial \phi_c} \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab}) - M^{ac}(\phi_c) \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}(\xi_{ab})}{\partial \xi_{ab}} \nabla_\nu \nabla^\nu \phi_a - \frac{\partial C(\phi_c)}{\partial \phi_c} = 0. \tag{7}
$$

Note that Equations [\(2\)](#page-1-2)-[\(7\)](#page-2-0) represent the general framework; however, we will particularize to the case $\mathcal{G} = \xi$, therefore obtaining the standard chiral Einstein field equations

$$
G_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} M^{ab} (\phi_c) \left[\nabla_{\mu} \phi_a \nabla_{\nu} \phi_b - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} \phi_a \nabla_{\beta} \phi_b \right] + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} C(\phi_c) \,. \tag{8}
$$

Now, if we consider that M^{ab} is a constant matrix, we obtain

$$
M^{ac}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla^{\nu}\phi_{a} - \frac{\partial C(\phi_{c})}{\partial \phi_{c}} = 0.
$$
\n(9)

All of the aforementioned results can be employed to consider a two-field cosmological model: a quintessence and a phantom field, with their corresponding scalar potentials. Setting $M^{ab}(\phi_c) = m^{ab}$ as a constant matrix, in [\(1\)](#page-1-1), we obtain

$$
\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu} m^{ab} \nabla_{\mu} \phi_a \nabla_{\nu} \phi_b + V(\phi_1, \phi_2) \right), \qquad (10)
$$

where $C(\phi_c) = V(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ is the combined scalar field potential; ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are the quintessence and phantom fields, respectively; and m^{ab} is a 2×2 constant matrix of the form $m^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m^{12} \\ m^{12} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ m^{12} -1 $\big)$.

Thus, the Einstein–Klein–Gordon field Equations [\(8\)](#page-2-1) and [\(9\)](#page-2-2) are

$$
G_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}m^{ab}\left(\nabla_{\alpha}\phi_a\nabla_{\beta}\phi_b - \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\phi_a\nabla_{\nu}\phi_b\right) + \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}V(\phi_1, \phi_2),\tag{11}
$$

$$
4
$$

$$
m^{cb}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla^{\nu}\phi_{b} - \frac{\partial C(\phi_{c})}{\partial \phi_{c}} = 0, \qquad (12)
$$

where $a, b, c = 1, 2$. From [\(11\)](#page-2-3), the energy-momentum tensor of the scalar fields (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) is given by

$$
8\pi GT_{\alpha\beta}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = -\frac{1}{2}m^{ab}\left(\nabla_\alpha\phi_a\nabla_\beta\phi_b - \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi_a\nabla_\nu\phi_b\right) + \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}V(\phi_1, \phi_2),\tag{13}
$$

then, using [\(6\)](#page-2-4), the barotropic index ω_{ϕ_a, ϕ_b} is given by

$$
\omega_{\phi_a \phi_b} = \frac{-\frac{1}{2}m^{ab}\nabla^{\mu}\phi_a\nabla_{\mu}\phi_b - C(\phi_c)}{-\frac{1}{2}m^{ab}\nabla^{\mu}\phi_a\nabla_{\mu}\phi_b + C(\phi_c)}.
$$
\n(14)

In our analysis, the background spacetime to be considered is a spatially flat FRLW with line element

$$
ds^{2} = -N(t)^{2}dt^{2} + e^{2\Omega(t)} \left[dr^{2} + r^{2} (d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}) \right],
$$
\n(15)

where N represents the lapse function, $A(t) = e^{\Omega(t)}$ is the scale factor in the Misner parametrization, and Ω is a scalar function whose interval is $(-\infty,\infty)$. Choosing $C(\phi_c) = V_1(\phi_1) + V_2(\phi_2) = V_{01}e^{-\lambda_1\phi_1} + V_{02}e^{-\lambda_2\phi_2}$, the mixed Einstein field equations are

$$
3\frac{\dot{\Omega}^2}{N^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}_1^2}{N^2} + V_1(\phi_1) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}_2^2}{N^2} + V_2(\phi_2) \right] - \frac{m^{12}}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}_1}{N} \frac{\dot{\phi}_2}{N} = 0, \tag{16}
$$

$$
2\frac{\ddot{\Omega}}{N^2} + 3\frac{\dot{\Omega}^2}{N^2} - 2\frac{\dot{\Omega}}{N}\frac{\dot{N}}{N^2} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{\dot{\phi}_1^2}{N^2} - V_1(\phi_1)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\dot{\phi}_2^2}{N^2} - V_2(\phi_2)\right] + \frac{m^{12}\dot{\phi}_1\dot{\phi}_2}{2}\frac{\dot{\phi}_2}{N}\frac{1}{N} = 0\,,\tag{17}
$$

$$
\dot{\phi}_1 \left(-3\frac{\dot{\Omega}}{N} \frac{\dot{\phi}_1}{N} + \frac{\dot{N}}{N^2} \frac{\phi_1}{N} - \frac{\ddot{\phi}_1}{N^2} \right) + m^{12} \dot{\phi}_1 \left(-3\frac{\dot{\Omega}}{N} \frac{\dot{\phi}_2}{N} + \frac{\dot{N}}{N^2} \frac{\phi_2}{N} - \frac{\ddot{\phi}_2}{N^2} \right) - \dot{V}_1(\phi_1) = 0, \tag{18}
$$

$$
m^{12}\dot{\phi}_2 \left(-3\frac{\dot{\Omega}}{N}\frac{\dot{\phi}_1}{N} + \frac{\dot{N}}{N^2}\frac{\phi_1}{N} - \frac{\ddot{\phi}_1}{N^2}\right) + \dot{\phi}_2 \left(3\frac{\dot{\Omega}}{N}\frac{\dot{\phi}_2}{N} - \frac{\dot{N}}{N^2}\frac{\phi_2}{N} + \frac{\ddot{\phi}_2}{N^2}\right) - \dot{V}_2(\phi_2) = 0,
$$
\n(19)

where "." represents a time derivative. By plugging the line element [\(15\)](#page-3-0) into the energy-momentum tensor of the scalar fields [\(13\)](#page-3-1), the energy density, and the pressure, the following form is taken :

$$
8\pi G\rho_{\phi_1\phi_2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_1^2 + N^2 V_1(\phi_1) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_2^2 + N^2 V_2(\phi_2) \right] + \frac{m^{12}}{2} \dot{\phi}_1 \dot{\phi}_2, \tag{20}
$$

$$
8\pi G P_{\phi_1 \phi_2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}_1^2}{N^2} - V_1(\phi_1) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}_2^2}{N^2} - V_2(\phi_2) \right] + \frac{m^{12}}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}_1}{N} \frac{\dot{\phi}_2}{N} , \qquad (21)
$$

having these two quantities at hand, the barotropic parameter will be written as

$$
\omega_{\phi_1 \phi_2} = \frac{P_{\phi_1 \phi_2}}{\rho_{\phi_1 \phi_2}} = \frac{\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{\dot{\phi}_1^2}{N^2} - V_1(\phi_1)\right] + \left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\dot{\phi}_2^2}{N^2} - V_2(\phi_2)\right] + m^{12}\frac{\dot{\phi}_1}{N}\frac{\dot{\phi}_2}{N}}{\left[\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}_1^2 + N^2V_1(\phi_1)\right] + \left[-\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}_2^2 + N^2V_2(\phi_2)\right] + m^{12}\dot{\phi}_1\dot{\phi}_2}.
$$
\n(22)

Now we are in position to construct the corresponding Lagrangian and Hamiltonian densities for this cosmological model. Using Hamilton's approach, classical solutions to EKG [\(16\)](#page-3-2)–[\(19\)](#page-3-3) can be found; additionally, the quantum counterpart can be established and solved. Taking these ideas into consideration, putting back the metric [\(15\)](#page-3-0) into [\(10\)](#page-2-5), the Lagrangian density reads

$$
\mathcal{L} = e^{3\Omega} \left(\frac{6\dot{\Omega}^2}{N} - \frac{\dot{\phi_1}^2}{2N} + \frac{\dot{\phi_2}^2}{2N} - \frac{m^{12}\dot{\phi_1}\dot{\phi_2}}{N} + NV_{01}e^{-\lambda_1\phi_1} + NV_{02}e^{-\lambda_2\phi_2} \right).
$$
 (23)

The resulting momenta are given by

$$
\Pi_{\Omega} = 12 \frac{e^{3\Omega}}{N} \dot{\Omega}, \qquad \dot{\Omega} = \frac{Ne^{-3\Omega}}{12} \Pi_{\Omega}, \n\Pi_{\phi_1} = -\frac{e^{3\Omega}}{N} \left(\dot{\phi}_1 + m^{12} \dot{\phi}_2 \right), \qquad \dot{\phi}_1 = -\frac{Ne^{-3\Omega}}{\Delta} \left(\Pi_{\phi_1} + m^{12} \Pi_{\phi_2} \right), \n\Pi_{\phi_2} = -\frac{e^{3\Omega}}{N} \left(m^{12} \dot{\phi}_1 - \dot{\phi}_2 \right), \qquad \phi_2 = \frac{Ne^{-3\Omega}}{\Delta} \left(m^{12} \Pi_{\phi_1} - \Pi_{\phi_2} \right),
$$
\n(24)

where $\Delta = 1 + (m^{12})^2$. In order to obtain a Hamiltonian density, we write [\(23\)](#page-3-4) in a canonical form, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_{can} =$ $\Pi_q\dot{q} - N\mathcal{H}$; then, we perform the variation with respect to the lapse function N, $\delta\mathcal{L}_{can}/\delta N = 0$, yielding the Hamiltonian constraint $\mathcal{H} = 0$, that is,

$$
\mathcal{H} = \frac{e^{-3\Omega}}{24} \left[\Pi_{\Omega}^2 - \frac{12}{\Delta} \Pi_{\phi_1}^2 + \frac{12}{\Delta} \Pi_{\phi_2}^2 - 24 \frac{m^{12}}{\Delta} \Pi_{\phi_1} \Pi_{\phi_2} - 24 V_1 e^{-\lambda_1 \phi_1 + 6\Omega} - 24 V_2 e^{-\lambda_2 \phi_2 + 6\Omega} \right].
$$
 (25)

The fact that $H = 0$ guarantees us that its solutions are unique and well defined. Putting forward the following canonical transformation on the variables $(\Omega, \phi_1, \phi_2) \leftrightarrow (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ and fixing the gauge $N = 24e^{3\Omega}$, we obtain

$$
\xi_1 = 6\Omega - \lambda_1 \phi_1, \n\xi_2 = 6\Omega - \lambda_2 \phi_2, \n\xi_3 = 6\Omega + \lambda_1 \phi_1 + \lambda_2 \phi_2, \n\phi_2 = \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3}{3\lambda_1}, \n\phi_3 = \frac{\xi_1 - 2\xi_2 + \xi_3}{3\lambda_2},
$$
\n(26)

leading us to obtain a new set of conjugate momenta (P_1, P_2, P_3)

$$
\Pi_{\Omega} = 6P_1 + 6P_2 + 6P_3, \n\Pi_{\phi_1} = \lambda_1 (-P_1 + P_3), \n\Pi_{\phi_2} = \lambda_2 (-P_2 + P_3),
$$
\n(27)

therefore, the Hamiltonian density can be written as

$$
\mathcal{H} = 12 (3 - \Lambda_1) P_1^2 + 12 (3 + \Lambda_2) P_2^2 + 12 (3 - 2\Lambda_{12} + \Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) P_3^2 + 24 [(3 + \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_{12}) P_1 + (3 + \Lambda_{12} - \Lambda_2) P_2] P_3 + 24 (3 - \Lambda_{12}) P_1 P_2 - 24 (V_1 e^{\xi_1} + V_2 e^{\xi_2}),
$$
\n(28)

where $\Lambda_1 = \lambda_1^2/\Delta$, $\Lambda_2 = \lambda_2^2/\Delta$, and $\Lambda_{12} = m^{12}\lambda_1\lambda_2/\Delta$. In the end, even if the Hamiltonian density [\(28\)](#page-4-0) exhibits an intricate form, this configuration will indeed allow us to compute various relevant scenarios. Thus, the Hamilton equations become

$$
\dot{\xi}_1 = 24 (3 - \Lambda_1) P_1 + 24 (3 - \Lambda_{12}) P_2 + 24 (3 + \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_{12}) P_3,\n\dot{\xi}_2 = 24 (3 + \Lambda_2) P_2 + 24 (3 - \Lambda_{12}) P_1 + 24 (3 - \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_{12}) P_3,\n\dot{\xi}_3 = 24 (3 + \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_{12}) P_1 + 24 (3 - \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_{12}) P_2 + 24 (3 + \Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1 - 2\Lambda_{12}) P_3,\n\dot{P}_1 = 24 V_1 e^{\xi_1},\n\dot{P}_2 = 24 V_2 e^{\xi_2},\n\dot{P}_3 = 0.
$$
\n(29)

Right away, we can see that $P_3 = p_3 = constant$. Moreover, the end game of this analysis is to find solutions to the variables (Ω, ϕ_1, ϕ_2) . Hence, we simplify our expression. First, we drop the mixed momenta P₁ and P₂ from ξ_1 and $\dot{\xi}_2$ (Equation [\(29\)](#page-4-1)) by setting their coefficients to zero: $3 - \Lambda_{12} = 0$. Therefore, we can obtain one relation among the parameters $(m^{12}, \lambda_1 \lambda_2)$, where the matrix element m^{12} satisfies the constraint

$$
m^{12} = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{6} \left[1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{6}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}\right)^2} \right].
$$
\n(30)

Additionally, we set the second term inside the square root of [\(30\)](#page-4-2) to be a real number and consider $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, thus yielding the relation $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \geq 6$, ensuring that m^{12} is always positive.

A. Classical Exact Solutions

In this section, we will calculate the exact solutions of (Ω, ϕ_1, ϕ_2) , where different cases will appear due to the parameters (λ_1, λ_2) . Recall the master Hamiltonian density

$$
\mathcal{H} = 12\eta_1 P_1^2 + 12\eta_2 P_2^2 + 12\left(-9 + \eta_1 + \eta_2\right) p_3^2 + 24\left[\left(9 - \eta_1\right)P_1 + \left(9 - \eta_2\right)P_2\right]p_3 - 24\left(V_1 e^{\xi_1} + V_2 e^{\xi_2}\right),
$$
\n(31)

with $\eta_1 = 3 - \Lambda_1$ and $\eta_2 = 3 + \Lambda_2$. Then, Hamilton equations for these new coordinates ξ_i are

$$
\dot{\xi}_1 = 24\eta_1 P_1 + 24 (9 - \eta_1) p_3,\n\dot{\xi}_2 = 24\eta_2 P_2 + 24 (9 - \eta_2) p_3,\n\dot{\xi}_3 = 24 (9 - \eta_1) P_1 + 24 (9 - \eta_2) P_2 + 24 (-9 + \eta_1 + \eta_2) p_3,
$$
\n(32)

and equations for \dot{P}_1 and \dot{P}_2 are still given by [\(29\)](#page-4-1). In the following sections, we will obtain analytical solutions for differents values of λ_1 and λ_2 .

1. Case:
$$
\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \sqrt{6}
$$
.

For these particular values, we have $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 3$ with $\eta_1 = 0$ and $\eta_2 = 6$; then, the Hamilton equations are reduced to

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\dot{\xi}_1 &= 216p_3, \\
\dot{\xi}_2 &= 144P_2 + 72p_3, \\
\dot{\xi}_3 &= 216P_1 + 72P_2 - 72p_3.\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(33)

From the last set of equations, we can see that the solution for ξ_1 will be given by

$$
\xi_1 = a_1 + 216p_3t \,, \tag{34}
$$

where a_1 is an integration constant. Then, taking the time derivative of $\dot{\xi}_2$ results in $\ddot{\xi}_2 = 3456V_2 e^{\xi_2}$, whose solution is

$$
\xi_2 = Ln\left(\frac{\alpha_2^2}{1728 V_2}\right) + Ln\left[Csch^2(\alpha_2 t - \beta_2)\right].\tag{35}
$$

Now we know the functional form of ξ_2 , we can compute the remaining momenta, yielding

$$
P_1(t) = p_1 + \frac{V_1}{9p_3} e^{a_1 + 216p_3 t},
$$

\n
$$
P_2(t) = p_2 - \frac{\alpha_2}{72} \coth(\alpha_2 t - \beta_2).
$$
\n(36)

Plugging back P_1 and P_2 , given by [\(36\)](#page-5-0), into the Hamiltonian constraint $\mathcal{H} = 0$, we found that $p_2 = -p_3/2$ and $3888p_3^2 - 15552p_1p_3 - \alpha_2^2 = 0$; solving for p_3 gives $p_3 = 2p_1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{108}\sqrt{\alpha_2^2 + 15552p_1^2}$.

With these results, the variable ξ_3 becomes

$$
\xi_3 = a_3 + (216p_1 - 108p_3)t + \frac{V_1}{9p_3^2}e^{a_1 + 216p_3t} + Ln\left[Csch\left(\alpha_2 t - \beta_2\right)\right].\tag{37}
$$

where a_3 is an integration constant. Having found ξ_1 , ξ_2 , and ξ_3 and then applying the inverse transformation [\(26\)](#page-4-3),

we can present the solutions in the original variables

$$
\Omega(t) = \frac{a_1 + a_3}{18} + Ln \left[\frac{\alpha_2}{24\sqrt{3 V_2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{9}} \n+ Ln \left[Csch^{\frac{1}{6}} \left(\alpha_2 t - \beta_2 \right) \right] + (12p_1 + 6p_3)t + \frac{V_1}{162p_3^2} e^{a_1 + 216p_3 t} ,
$$
\n(38)

$$
\phi_1(t) = \frac{-2a_1 + a_3}{3\lambda_1} + Ln\left(\frac{\alpha_2^2}{1728 V_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3\lambda_1}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left[(72p_1 - 180p_3) t + \frac{V_1}{27p_3^2} e^{a_1 + 216p_3 t} + Ln\left[Csch\left(\alpha_2 t - \beta_2\right)\right] \right],
$$
\n(39)

$$
\phi_2(t) = \frac{a_1 + a_3}{3\lambda_2} + Ln\left(\frac{\alpha_2^2}{1728 V_2}\right)^{-\frac{2}{3\lambda_2}} \n+ \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \left[(72p_1 + 36p_3) t + \frac{V_1}{27p_3^2} e^{a_1 + 216p_3 t} + Ln\left[Sinh\left(\alpha_2 t - \beta_2\right) \right] \right],
$$
\n(40)

Recalling that the scale factor is given by $A(t) = e^{\Omega(t)}$, we have

$$
A(t) = e^{\frac{a_1 + a_3}{18}} \left[\frac{\alpha_2}{24\sqrt{3V_2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{9}} \operatorname{Csch}^{\frac{1}{6}}(\alpha_2 t - \beta_2) \operatorname{Exp} \left[\frac{V_1}{162p_3^2} e^{a_1 + 216p_3 t} \right] e^{(12p_1 + 6p_3)t} . \tag{41}
$$

In Figure [1,](#page-6-0) we present the behaviour of the scale factor $A = A(t)$, the Hubble parameter $H = H(t)$, and the barotropic parameter $\omega_{\phi_1\phi_2} = \omega_{\phi_1\phi_2}(t)$. From the upper left graph, we can see that A grows very rapidly as time goes by; it can also be seen that this solution avoids the singularity by means of a bounce, where H does cross the horizontal axis. In the panel at the bottom, the barotropic parameter $\omega_{\phi_1\phi_2}$ is presented, and it can be seen that the EoS parameter crosses the "−1" boundary, which is in fact a characteristic of the quintom models.

Figure 1: This figure shows the time $(0.3 \le t \le 1.0)$ evolution of the scale factor $A(t)$, the Hubble parameter $H(t)$, and the barotropic parameter $\omega_{\phi_1 \phi_2}(t)$. We use arbitrary units, namely, $V_1 = 6.0$, $V_2 = 0.1$, $\alpha_2 = 3.0$, $a_1 = -6.548$, $a_3 = -2.0$, and $p_1 = 0.001$. Recall that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \sqrt{6}$; the remaining constants can be obtained from the aforementioned values. Note that time is measured in reduced Planck units since $8\pi G = 1$.

2. Case: $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 6$.

Now, we have $m^{12} = 1$, and for $\lambda_2 = \sqrt{ }$ for $\lambda_2 = \sqrt{6}$ we obtain the previous case; therefore, we devote this section to carrying out an analysis of values $\lambda_2 \neq \sqrt{6}$ and explore whether the phantom or quintessence scheme prevails under the domain of the scalar potential. On the one hand, when $\lambda_2 \ll \lambda_1$ the phantom sector dominates. On the other hand, when $\lambda_2 \gg \lambda_1$, the quintessence counterpart becomes the relevant scenario. Then, we consider the Hamilton Equations [\(32\)](#page-5-1) and take the time derivative of ξ_1 , which reads

$$
\ddot{\xi}_1 = 576\eta_1 V_1 e^{\xi_1},\tag{42}
$$

where we also resort to the equation for \dot{P}_1 . Solutions of [\(42\)](#page-6-1) strongly depend on λ_1 , which has the form

$$
e^{\xi_1} = \frac{r_1^2}{288|\eta_1| V_1} \begin{cases} Sech^2 (r_1 t - q_1) \ \lambda_1 > \sqrt{6} \ \text{corresponding at } \eta_1 < 0\\ Csch^2 (r_1 t - q_1) \ \lambda_1 < \sqrt{6} \ \text{corresponding at } \eta_1 > 0 \end{cases}
$$
(43)

From [\(32\)](#page-5-1), we can see that both $\dot{\xi}_2$ and $\dot{\xi}_1$ have the same functional structure when $\eta_1 > 0$, and since $\eta_2 > 0$ for all values of λ_2 , the solution of ξ_2 is

$$
e^{\xi_2} = \frac{r_2^2}{288\eta_2 V_2} Csch^2 (r_2 t - q_2) , \qquad (44)
$$

where in [\(43\)](#page-6-2) and [\(44\)](#page-7-0), r_i and q_i (with $i = 1, 2$) are integration constants. In the next segments, we will examine the two cases: $\lambda_1 > \sqrt{6}$ and $\lambda_1 < \sqrt{6}$.

3. Phantom Domination: $\lambda_1 > \sqrt{6}$ and $\lambda_2 < \sqrt{6}$.

Considering this setup, we start by reinserting the solutions for λ_1 > √ 6 (η_1 < 0) and for λ_2 < √ 6 into the Hamilton equations for the momenta, obtaining

$$
P_1 = p_1 + \frac{r_1}{12|\eta_1|} \operatorname{Tanh}(r_1 t - q_1) , \qquad (45)
$$

$$
P_2 = p_2 - \frac{r_2}{12\eta_2} \coth(r_2 t - q_2) , \qquad (46)
$$

where p_1 and p_2 are integration constants. Now, with the aid of Equations [\(45\)](#page-7-1) and [\(46\)](#page-7-1), the Hamiltonian is identically zero when

$$
p_1 = \frac{|\eta_1| + 9}{|\eta_1|} p_3, \qquad p_2 = \frac{\eta_2 - 9}{\eta_2} p_3, \qquad p_3 = +\frac{1}{36} \sqrt{\frac{\eta_2 r_1^2 - |\eta_1| r_2^2}{3 \left[|\eta_1| \eta_2 - 3 |\eta_1| + 3 \eta_2 \right]}}. \tag{47}
$$

Consequently, the solutions of ξ_i become

$$
\xi_1 = Ln\left(\frac{r_1^2}{288|\eta_1| V_1}\right) + Ln\left[Sech^2\left(r_1 t - q_1\right)\right],\tag{48}
$$

$$
\xi_2 = Ln\left(\frac{r_2^2}{288\eta_2 V_2}\right) + Ln\left[Csch^2\left(r_2 t - q_2\right)\right],\tag{49}
$$

$$
\xi_3 = a_3 + 648 \frac{|\eta_1| \eta_2 - 3|\eta_1| + 3\eta_2}{|\eta_1| \eta_2} p_3 t + \frac{9 + |\eta_1|}{|\eta_1|} Ln \left[Cosh^2 (r_1 t - q_1) \right] + \frac{\eta_2 - 9}{\eta_2} Ln \left[Sinh^2 (r_2 t - q_2) \right],
$$
\n(50)

where a_3 is an integration constant. To arrive at the solutions in terms of the original variables (Ω, ϕ_1, ϕ_2) , we apply the inverse canonical transformation [\(26\)](#page-4-3), obtaining the following:

$$
\Omega = \Omega_0 + Ln \left[\frac{Cosh^{\beta_1} (r_1 t - q_1) \, Csch^{\beta_2} (r_2 t - q_2) \right] + 36 \frac{|\eta_1| \, \eta_2 - 3|\eta_1| + 3\eta_2}{|\eta_1| \, \eta_2} p_3 t \,, \tag{51}
$$

$$
\phi_1 = \phi_{10} + Ln \left[\cosh^{\frac{2(\vert \eta_1 \vert + 3)}{\lambda_1 \vert \eta_1 \vert}} (r_1 t - q_1) \, Csch^{\frac{6}{\lambda_1 \eta_2}} (r_2 t - q_2) \right] + 216 \frac{\vert \eta_1 \vert \, \eta_2 - 3 \vert \eta_1 \vert + 3\eta_2}{\lambda_1 \vert \eta_1 \vert \eta_2} p_3 t \,, \tag{52}
$$

$$
\phi_2 = \phi_{20} + Ln \left[Cosh^{\frac{6}{\lambda_2 |\eta_1|}} (r_1 t - q_1) \sinh^{\frac{2(\eta_2 - 3)}{\lambda_2 \eta_2}} (r_2 t - q_2) \right] + 216 \frac{|\eta_1| \eta_2 - 3|\eta_1| + 3\eta_2}{\lambda_2 |\eta_1| \eta_2} p_3 t,
$$
\n
$$
(53)
$$

where $\beta_1 = 1/|\eta_1|$, $\beta_2 = 1/\eta_2$, and the constants Ω_0 , ϕ_{10} and ϕ_{20} are given by

$$
\Omega_0 = Ln \left[\frac{r_1 r_2}{288 \sqrt{|\eta_1| \eta_2 V_1 V_2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{9}} + \frac{a_3}{18},
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{10} = Ln \left[\frac{12 \sqrt{2} r_2 |\eta_1| V_1}{r_1^2 \sqrt{\eta_2 V_2}} \right]^{\frac{2}{3\lambda_1}} + \frac{a_3}{3\lambda_1},
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{20} = Ln \left[\frac{12 \sqrt{2} r_1 \eta_2 V_2}{r_2^2 \sqrt{|\eta_1| V_1}} \right]^{\frac{2}{3\lambda_2}} + \frac{a_3}{3\lambda_2}.
$$
\n(54)

For this case, the scale factor becomes

$$
A(t) = \left[\frac{r_1 r_2}{288\sqrt{|\eta_1|\eta_2 V_1 V_2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{9}} e^{\frac{a_3}{18}} \text{Cosh}^{\beta_1} (r_1 t - q_1) \text{Csch}^{\beta_2} (r_2 t - q_2) \times \text{Exp}\left[36\frac{|\eta_1|\eta_2 - 3|\eta_1| + 3\eta_2}{|\eta_1|\eta_2} p_3 t\right].
$$
\n
$$
(55)
$$

In Figure 2, we can appreciate the evolution of the scale factor, the Hubble parameter, and the barotropic parameter, with respect to time. First, we can once again observe a bouncing A , which consolidates our previous outcome. In fact, this behavior was claimed recently in [66], using a dynamical system approach. Additionally, in the upper right plot, H crosses the horizontal axis (at the bounce of A). Then, in the panel at the bottom, once again $\omega_{\phi_1\phi_2}$ traverses the phantom divide line " -1 ", an upshot consistent with the quintom description.

Figure 2: Phantom domination. This figure shows the time $(0.1 \le t \le 2.5)$ evolution of the scale factor $A(t)$, the Hubble parameter $H(t)$, and the barotropic parameter $\omega_{\phi_1 \phi_2}(t)$. We use arbitrary units, namely, $V_1 = V_2 = 1.0$, $r_1 = 1.5$, $r_2 = 0.9$, $q_1 = q_2 = 0.1$, $a_3 = -6.0$, $\lambda_2 = \sqrt{2}$, and $\lambda_1 = 6/\lambda_2$. The remaining constants Note that time is measured in reduced Planck units since $8\pi G = 1$.

4. Quintessence Domination: $\lambda_1 < \sqrt{6}$ and $\lambda_2 > \sqrt{6}$.

We reinsert the solutions of λ_1 < √ 6 ($\eta_1 > 0$) and $\lambda_2 >$ √ 6 into the Hamilton equations for the momenta, leading to

$$
P_1 = p_1 - \frac{r_1}{12\eta_1} \coth(r_1 t - q_1) \,, \tag{56}
$$

$$
P_2 = p_2 - \frac{r_2}{12\eta_2} \coth(r_2 t - q_2) , \qquad (57)
$$

where p_1 and p_2 are integration constants. We use [\(56\)](#page-9-1) and [\(57\)](#page-9-1) to obtain a null Hamiltonian when

$$
p_1 = \frac{\eta_1 - 9}{\eta_1} p_3, \qquad p_2 = \frac{\eta_2 - 9}{\eta_2} p_3, \qquad p_3 = \pm \frac{1}{36} \sqrt{\frac{\eta_2 r_1^2 + \eta_1 r_2^2}{3 \left[3\eta_1 + 3\eta_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2 \right]}}.
$$
\n
$$
(58)
$$

As a consequence, the solutions of ξ_i take the following form:

$$
\xi_1 = Ln\left(\frac{r_1^2}{288\eta_1 V_1}\right) + Ln\left[Csch^2\left(r_1 t - q_1\right)\right],\tag{59}
$$

$$
\xi_2 = Ln\left(\frac{r_2^2}{288\eta_2 V_2}\right) + Ln\left[Csch^2\left(r_2 t - q_2\right)\right],\tag{60}
$$

$$
\xi_3 = a_3 - 648 \frac{-\eta_1 \eta_2 + 3\eta_1 + 3\eta_2}{\eta_1 \eta_2} p_3 t + \frac{\eta_1 - 9}{\eta_1} Ln \left[Sinh^2 \left(r_1 t - q_1 \right) \right] + \frac{\eta_2 - 9}{\eta_2} Ln \left[Sinh^2 \left(r_2 t - q_2 \right) \right],
$$
\n(61)

with an integration constant a_3 . Then, we apply the inverse transformation [\(26\)](#page-4-3) to arrive at the solutions in terms of the original variables, which read

$$
\begin{split} \Omega &=Ln\left[\frac{r_{1}r_{2}}{288\sqrt{\eta_{1}\eta_{2}V_{1}V_{2}}}\right]^{\frac{1}{9}}+\frac{a_{3}}{18}+Ln\left[Csch^{\beta_{1}}\left(r_{1}\,t-q_{1}\right)Csch^{\beta_{2}}\left(r_{2}\,t-q_{2}\right)\right] \\ &-36\frac{3\eta_{1}+3\eta_{2}-\eta_{1}\,\eta_{2}}{\eta_{1}\,\eta_{2}}p_{3}t\,,\\ \phi_{1} &=\phi_{10}+Ln\left[Csch^{\frac{2\left(\mid\eta_{1}\mid+3\right)}{\lambda_{1}\,\mid\eta_{1}\mid}}\left(r_{1}\,t-q_{1}\right)Csch^{\frac{6}{\lambda_{1}\,\eta_{2}}}\left(r_{2}\,t-q_{2}\right)\right]+216\frac{|\eta_{1}|\,\eta_{2}-3|\eta_{1}|+3\eta_{2}}{\lambda_{1}\,|\eta_{1}|\,\eta_{2}}p_{3}t\,,\\ \phi_{2} &=\phi_{20}+Ln\left[Csch^{\frac{6}{\lambda_{2}\mid\eta_{1}\mid}}\left(r_{1}\,t-q_{1}\right)Sinh^{\frac{2\left(\eta_{2}-3\right)}{\lambda_{2}\,\eta_{2}}}\left(r_{2}\,t-q_{2}\right)\right] \\ &+216\frac{|\eta_{1}|\,\eta_{2}-3|\eta_{1}|+3\eta_{2}}{\lambda_{2}\,|\eta_{1}|\,\eta_{2}}p_{3}t\,,\\ \end{split}
$$

where $\beta_1 = 1/\eta_1$, $\beta_2 = 1/\eta_2$, and the constants Ω_0 , ϕ_{10} , and ϕ_{20} are those in [\(54\)](#page-8-1). With these solutions, we can write the scale factor in the following form:

$$
A(t) = \left[\frac{r_1 r_2}{288\sqrt{\eta_1 \eta_2 V_1 V_2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{9}} e^{\frac{a_3}{18}} Csch^{\beta_1} (r_1 t - q_1) Csch^{\beta_2} (r_2 t - q_2) \times Exp \left[-36 \frac{3\eta_1 + 3\eta_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2}{\eta_1 \eta_2} p_3 t\right].
$$
\n(62)

Immediately, one can observe that to obtain an increasing scale factor with respect to time, the constant p_3 must be negative. However, none of the parameters considered in this scenario lead to $p_3 < 0$; therefore, this solution is not physically relevant.

III. QUANTUM FORMALISM

To present the quantum mechanical version of the classical model, in [\(25\)](#page-4-4) we promote the classical momenta to operators making the replacement $\Pi_{q^{\mu}} = -i\hbar\partial_{q^{\mu}}$, obtaining the following Hamiltonian density:

$$
\mathcal{H} = \Pi_{\Omega}^2 + Qi\hbar\Pi_{\Omega} - 12\Lambda_2\Pi_{\phi_1}^2 + 12\Lambda_1\Pi_{\phi_2}^2 - 24\Lambda_0\Pi_{\phi_1}\Pi_{\phi_2} - 24V_1e^{-\lambda_1\phi_1 + 6\Omega} - 24V_2e^{-\lambda_2\phi_2 + 6\Omega}.\tag{63}
$$

To obtain Equation [\(63\)](#page-10-0), we have substituted $e^{-3\Omega} \Pi_{\Omega}^2 \to e^{-3\Omega} \left[\Pi_{\Omega}^2 + Q i \hbar \Pi_{\Omega} \right]$ since one has to take into account the factor-ordering problem between the $e^{-3\Omega}$ and its momentum Π_{Ω} ; hence, Q is a number that measures such ambiguity. In order to have a more manageable functional form of [\(63\)](#page-10-0), we take the constraint of the matrix element m^{12} (Equation [\(30\)](#page-4-2)); then, we apply the canonical transformation on variables $(\Omega, \phi_1, \phi_2) \leftrightarrow (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ (Equations [\(26\)](#page-4-3) and [\(27\)](#page-4-5)), as well as the gauge $N = 24e^{3\Omega}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{H} = 12\eta_1 P_1^2 + 12\eta_2 P_2^2 + 12\left(-9 + \eta_1 + \eta_2\right) P_3^2 + 24P_3\left[\left(9 - \eta_1\right)P_1 + \left(9 - \eta_2\right)P_2\right] + 6Qi\hbar\left(P_1 + P_2 + P_3\right) \quad - 24\left(V_1e^{\xi_1} + V_2e^{\xi_2}\right),
$$
\n(64)

with $\eta_1 = 3 - \Lambda_1$ and $\eta_2 = 3 + \Lambda_2$. Recall that the Hamiltonian density is identically zero $\mathcal{H} = 0$; hence, the quantum counterpart of [\(64\)](#page-10-1) is obtained by applying the same prescription used to obtain [\(63\)](#page-10-0). Having this at hand, we can write down the Wheeler–DeWitt (WDW) equation, which reads

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}}\Psi(\xi_i) = -12\hbar^2 \eta_1 \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \xi_1^2} - 12\hbar^2 \eta_2 \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \xi_2^2} - 12\hbar^2 (-9 + \eta_1 + \eta_2) \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \xi_3^2} \n+ 6Q\hbar^2 \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \xi_2} + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \xi_3}\right) - \hbar^2 24 \left[(9 - \eta_1) \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_3} + (9 - \eta_2) \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \xi_2 \partial \xi_3} \right] \n- 24 \left(V_1 e^{\xi_1} + V_2 e^{\xi_2}\right) \Psi = 0.
$$
\n(65)

In order to solve the WDW equation, we propose the following solution for the wave function $\Psi(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ $e^{p_3\xi_3}\mathcal{G}(\xi_1,\xi_2)$ with $p_3 = constant$. Additionally, we take as an ansatz $\mathcal{G}(\xi_1,\xi_2) = G_1(\xi_1)G_2(\xi_2)$; upon substitution in [\(65\)](#page-10-2), we obtain the following.

$$
-12\eta_1 G_2 \frac{\partial^2 G_1}{\partial \xi_1^2} + 6 (Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_1)) G_2 \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial \xi_1} + 3 \left[p_3 (Q - 2p_3(9 - \eta_1 + \eta_2)) - 8 \frac{V_1}{\hbar^2} e^{\xi_1} \right] G_1 G_2 + - 12\eta_2 G_1 \frac{\partial^2 G_2}{\partial \xi_2^2} + 6 (Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_2)) G_1 \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial \xi_2} + 3 \left[p_3 (Q - 2p_3(9 - \eta_1 + \eta_2)) - 8 \frac{V_2}{\hbar^2} e^{\xi_2} \right] G_1 G_2 = 0,
$$
 (66)

finally, we factorize G_1G_2 . Thus, two ordinary differential equations for the functions G_1 and G_2 emerge

$$
-12\frac{\eta_1}{G_1}\frac{\partial^2 G_1}{\partial \xi_1^2} + 6(Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_1))\frac{1}{G_1}\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial \xi_1} + 3\left[p_3(Q - 2p_3(9 - \eta_1 + \eta_2)) - 8\frac{V_1}{\hbar^2}e^{\xi_1}\right] - \nu^2 = 0, \quad (67)
$$

$$
-12\frac{\eta_2}{G_2}\frac{\partial^2 G_2}{\partial \xi_2^2} + 6\left[Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_2)\right]\frac{1}{G_2}\frac{\partial G_2}{\partial \xi_2} + 3\left[p_3\left(Q - 2p_3(9 - \eta_1 + \eta_2)\right) - 8\frac{V_2}{\hbar^2}e^{\xi_2}\right] + \nu^2 = 0,\tag{68}
$$

where ν^2 is an arbitrary constant. These last two equations can be written as $y'' + ay' + (be^{\kappa x} + c)y = 0$, and their solutions are of the form [\[67\]](#page-16-21)

$$
Y(x) = Exp\left(-\frac{ax}{2}\right) Z_{\rho} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{b}}{\kappa} e^{\frac{\kappa x}{2}}\right),\tag{69}
$$

here, Z_{ρ} are the generic Bessel functions with the order $\rho =$ $a^2 - 4c/\kappa$. If \sqrt{b} is real, Z_ρ becomes the ordinary Bessel function; otherwise, the solutions will be given in terms of the modified Bessel functions. In the next sections, we will show quantum solutions separated into two classes, according to η_1 and $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 6$.

A. Quantum Solution for $\eta_1 > 0$ and $\lambda_1 < \sqrt{6}$

First, we identify the following expressions for Equation [\(67\)](#page-10-3):

$$
\kappa = 1, \qquad a = -\frac{Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_1)}{2\eta_1},
$$

\n
$$
b = \frac{2V_2}{\eta_1 \hbar^2}, \qquad c = -\frac{p_3\left[Q - 2p_3(9 - \eta_1 + \eta_2)\right]}{4\eta_1} + \frac{\nu^2}{12\eta_1},
$$
\n(70)

and for [\(68\)](#page-10-4)

$$
\kappa = 1, \qquad a = -\frac{Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_2)}{2\eta_2},
$$

\n
$$
b = \frac{2V_1}{\eta_2 \hbar^2}, \qquad c = -\frac{p_3\left[Q - 2p_3(9 - \eta_1 + \eta_2)\right]}{4\eta_2} - \frac{\nu^2}{12\eta_2}.
$$
\n(71)

Note that in both cases, \sqrt{b} is real; then, the solutions are written in terms of the ordinary Bessel functions $Z_{\rho_i} = J_{\rho_i}$. Thus, the wave function becomes the following:

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\rho_1 \rho_2} = \mathcal{B}_0 J_{\rho_1} \left[\frac{2}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2V_1}{\eta_1}} e^{\frac{\xi_1}{2}} \right] J_{\rho_2} \left[\frac{2}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2V_2}{\eta_2}} e^{\frac{\xi_2}{2}} \right] e^{\theta},
$$

where

$$
\theta = \frac{Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_1)}{4\eta_1} \xi_1 + \frac{Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_2)}{4\eta_2} \xi_2,
$$

and \mathcal{B}_0 is an integration constant. Additionally, the order of the two Bessel functions are

$$
\rho_1 = \sqrt{\left(-\frac{Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_1)}{2\eta_1}\right)^2 + \frac{p_3(Q - 2p_3(9 - \eta_1 + \eta_2))}{\eta_1} - \frac{\nu^2}{3\eta_1}},\tag{72}
$$

$$
\rho_2 = \sqrt{\left(-\frac{Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_2)}{2\eta_2}\right)^2 + \frac{p_3(Q - 2p_3(9 - \eta_1 + \eta_2))}{\eta_2} + \frac{\nu^2}{3\eta_2}}.
$$
\n(73)

Hence, the wave function Ψ in the original variables becomes

$$
\Psi_{\rho_1 \rho_2} = \Psi_0 A^{6\alpha} Exp\left[\alpha_1 \lambda_1 \phi_1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2 \phi_2\right] J_{\rho_1} \left[\frac{2}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2V_1}{\eta_1}} A^3 e^{\frac{-\lambda_1 \phi_1}{2}}\right] J_{\rho_2} \left[\frac{2}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2V_2}{\eta_2}} A^3 e^{-\frac{\lambda_2 \phi_2}{2}}\right],\tag{74}
$$

where Ψ_0 is a normalization constant, and

$$
\alpha = \frac{Q(\eta_2 + \eta_1)}{4\eta_1\eta_2} - \frac{p_3(9 - \eta_1)}{\eta_1} - \frac{p_3(9 - \eta_2)}{\eta_2} + p_3,
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_1 = -\frac{Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_1)}{4\eta_1} + p_3, \quad \alpha_2 = -\frac{Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_2)}{4\eta_2} + p_3.
$$
\n(75)

By analyzing solution [\(74\)](#page-11-0), we could not find any set of parameter values for which the probability density function (defined by the wave function [\(74\)](#page-11-0)) is bounded. This unwanted behavior prevents us from directly implementing the standard interpretation of quantum mechanics in order to draw meaningful physical conclusions. This setback is tempered by the fact that the corresponding classical solution (given essentially by [\(62\)](#page-9-2)) is not of physical relevance, and so no further analysis will be performed regarding this case.

B. Quantum solution when $\eta_1 < 0$ and $\lambda_1 > \sqrt{6}$.

We set up the corresponding parameters for Equation [\(67\)](#page-10-3)

$$
\kappa = 1 \qquad a = \frac{Q - 4p_3(9 + |\eta_1|)}{2|\eta_1|},
$$

\n
$$
b = -\frac{2V_2}{|\eta_1|\hbar^2} \qquad c = \frac{p_3\left[Q - 2p_3(9 + |\eta_1| + \eta_2)\right]}{4|\eta_1|} - \frac{\nu^2}{12|\eta_1|},
$$
\n(76)

and for [\(68\)](#page-10-4)

$$
\kappa = 1 \qquad a = -\frac{Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_2)}{2\eta_2},
$$

\n
$$
b = \frac{2V_1}{\eta_2 \hbar^2}, \qquad c = -\frac{p_3\left[Q - 2p_3(9 + |\eta_1| + \eta_2)\right]}{4\eta_2} - \frac{\nu^2}{12\eta_2},
$$
\n(77)

note that we have inverted the sign of the previous formulas. Hereby, we introduce $|\eta_1|$. Then, the first case [\(76\)](#page-11-1) where the use inverted the sign of the previous formulas. Hereby, we introduce $|\eta_1|$. Then, the first case (10) yields an imaginary \sqrt{b} ; therefore, its solution must be in terms of the modified Bessel function Z_{ρ to the second case, where the proper function is $Z_{\rho_2} = J_{\rho_2}$. Hence, we have

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\rho_1 \rho_2} = \mathcal{B}_0 \, K_{\rho_1} \left[\frac{2}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2V_1}{|\eta_1|}} e^{\frac{\xi_1}{2}} \right] J_{\rho_2} \left[\frac{2}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2V_2}{\eta_2}} e^{\frac{\xi_2}{2}} \right] e^{\theta_2},\tag{78}
$$

here

$$
\theta_2 = -\frac{Q - 4p_3(9 + |\eta_1|)}{4|\eta_1|} \xi_1 + \frac{Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_2)}{4\eta_2} \xi_2, \qquad (79)
$$

and the order of both Bessel functions are

$$
\rho_1 = \sqrt{\left(\frac{Q - 4p_3(9 + |\eta_1|)}{2|\eta_1|}\right)^2 - \frac{p_3(Q - 2p_3(9 + |\eta_1| + \eta_2))}{|\eta_1|} + \frac{\nu^2}{3|\eta_1|}},\tag{80}
$$

$$
\rho_2 = \sqrt{\left(-\frac{Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_2)}{2\eta_2}\right)^2 + \frac{p_3(Q - 2p_3(9 + |\eta_1| + \eta_2))}{\eta_2} + \frac{\nu^2}{3\eta_2}}.
$$
\n(81)

Finally, the wave function in the original variables is given by

$$
\Psi_{\rho_1 \rho_2} = \Psi_0 A^{6\beta} Exp \left[\alpha_1 \lambda_1 \phi_1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2 \phi_2 \right] K_{\rho_1} \left[\frac{2}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2V_1}{|\eta_1|}} A^3 e^{\frac{-\lambda_1 \phi_1}{2}} \right] J_{\rho_2} \left[\frac{2}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2V_2}{\eta_2}} A^3 e^{-\frac{\lambda_2 \phi_2}{2}} \right],
$$
\n(82)

where

$$
\beta = -\frac{Q(\eta_2 - |\eta_1|)}{4|\eta_1|\eta_2} + \frac{p_3(9 + |\eta_1|)}{|\eta_1|} - \frac{p_3(9 - \eta_2)}{\eta_2} + p_3,
$$
\n(83)

$$
\alpha_1 = \frac{Q - 4p_3(9 + |\eta_1|)}{4|\eta_1|} + p_3, \quad \alpha_2 = -\frac{Q - 4p_3(9 - \eta_2)}{2\eta_2} + p_3,
$$
\n(84)

and a normalization constant Ψ_0 . The behaviour of the probability density can be seen in Figure [3.](#page-13-0) Observe that in all panels, the probability density dies away as the scale factor and scalar field evolve, an expected outcome already reported in [\[68–](#page-16-22)[70\]](#page-16-23). On the other hand, we vary the factor ordering constant Q, in order to show how $|\Psi|^2$ behaves. We can see that whilst $Q \ll 0$, the probability density tends to the phantom sector. In [\[68\]](#page-16-22), the authors showed that the parameter Q acts a retarder of the wave function and compresses the length on the axis where the field evolves; however, they analysed the case of two quintessence fields.

C. Quantum Solution when $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \sqrt{6}$, Therefore $\eta_1 = 0$ and $\eta_2 = 6$.

In this final case, we take $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 =$ √ 6; therefore, $\eta_1 = 0$ and $\eta_2 = 6$. Hence, the Equations [\(67\)](#page-10-3) and [\(68\)](#page-10-4) can be reduced to

$$
6(Q - 36p_3)\frac{1}{G_1}\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial \xi_1} + 3\left[p_3(Q - 30p_3) - 8\frac{V_1}{\hbar^2}e^{\xi_1}\right] - \nu^2 = 0,
$$
\n(85)

$$
-\frac{72}{G_2}\frac{\partial^2 G_2}{\partial \xi_2^2} + 6\left[Q - 12p_3\right]\frac{1}{G_2}\frac{\partial G_2}{\partial \xi_2} + 3\left[p_3\left(Q - 30p_3\right) - 8\frac{V_2}{\hbar^2}e^{\xi_2}\right] + \nu^2 = 0.
$$
\n(86)

Figure 3: Phantom scenario. These figures show the probability density of the wave function [\(82\)](#page-12-0) for the values of $Q = 2$ and $Q = 0$ (top panels from left to right, respectivley), and $Q = -2$ and $Q = -4$ (bottom panels from left to right, respectively). We use arbitrary units, namely, $\nu = 10$, $\lambda_1 = 10.5$, $\lambda_2 = 6/\lambda_1$, $V_1 = 0.1$, $V_2 = 10^{-5}$, $r_1 = 49$, $r_2 = 1.5$, $a_3 = -2.3$, and $p_3 = 0.326878$, and the bounce in the quintessence field $\phi_1 = 1.455$. The remaining constants can be obtained from the aforementioned values. Additionally, for $Q = 2, 0$ we take $\Psi_0 = 10^{-3}, 10^{-2}$ respectively; then, for $Q = -2, -4$ we chose $\Psi_0 = 10^{-1}, 1/\sqrt{10}$ respectively. Note that the probability density tends toward the phantom sector when the factor ordering constant $Q \ll 0$.

The solution of [\(85\)](#page-12-1) is given by

$$
G_1 = G_0 Exp \left[\frac{\frac{\nu^2}{3} - p_3(Q - 30p_3)}{2(Q - 36p_3)} \xi_1 + \frac{4V_1}{\hbar^2(Q - 36p_3)} e^{\xi_1} \right],
$$
\n(87)

where G_0 in an integration constant. Then, for G_2 we have the following ordinary Bessel function:

$$
G_2 = Exp\left[\frac{Q - 12p_3}{24}\xi_2\right]J_{\rho_2}\left[\frac{\sqrt{V_2}}{\hbar}e^{\frac{\xi_2}{2}}\right],\tag{88}
$$

here, the order is

$$
\rho_2 = \sqrt{\left(\frac{Q - 12p_3}{12}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{6}\left[\frac{\nu^2}{3} + p_3(Q - 30p_3)\right]}.
$$
\n(89)

Remarkably for this case, we can obtain a parameter space of Q , ν , and p_3 where the order can be real or imaginary. Hence, we have

$$
\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0 J_{\rho_2} \left[\frac{\sqrt{V_2}}{\hbar} e^{\frac{\xi_2}{2}} \right] e^{\theta_3},\tag{90}
$$

,

with

$$
\theta_3 = \frac{\frac{\nu^2}{3} - p_3(Q - 30p_3)}{2(Q - 36p_3)}\xi_1 + \frac{Q - 12p_3}{24}\xi_2 + \frac{4V_1}{\hbar^2(Q - 36p_3)}e^{\xi_1}
$$

Finally, in the original variables the wave function is

$$
\Psi = \psi_0 A^{6\eta} J_{\rho_2} \left[\frac{\sqrt{V_2}}{\hbar} A^3 e^{\frac{-\lambda_2 \phi_2}{2}} \right] e^{\theta_1}, \qquad (91)
$$

where

$$
\theta_1 = \frac{4V_1}{\hbar^2(Q - 36p_3)} A^6 e^{-\lambda_1 \phi_1} + \alpha_1 \lambda_1 \phi_1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2 \phi_2, \qquad (92)
$$

and

$$
\eta = \frac{\frac{\nu^2}{3} - p_3(Q - 30p_3)}{2(Q - 36p_3)} + \frac{Q - 12p_3}{24} + p_3
$$

$$
\alpha_1 = -\frac{\frac{\nu^2}{3} - p_3(Q - 30p_3)}{2(Q - 36p_3)} + p_3, \quad \alpha_2 = -\frac{Q - 12p_3}{24} + p_3,
$$
 (93)

and a normalization constant ψ_0 . For completeness of the above classical solutions, we include this case; however, once more the probability density function is not bounded since $|\Psi|^2$ does not fade as the scale factor and scalar field evolve. We recall that the standard interpretation of quantum mechanics becomes troublesome to realize due to this nuisance behavior. Therefore, the wave function [\(91\)](#page-13-1) is not physically relevant.

IV. FINAL REMARKS

In this work, we have studied a chiral cosmological model from the point of view of a K-essence formalism. The background geometry was a flat FLRW universe minimally coupled to quintom fields: one quintessence and one phantom. In this approach, the scalar fields interact within the kinetic and potential sectors.

In the classical framework, we established the Hamiltonian density (31) , which in turn allows one to find exact solutions for different sets of values of the free parameters. We highlight two cases: the first when $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \sqrt{6}$, and the second where phantom domination is the relevant factor, namely, λ_1 > √ 6 and λ_2 < √ 6. In the two scenarios, the scale factor grows very rapidly and the big-bang singularity is avoided via a bounce. We call it the "big bounce". In fact, this claim is also supported by the behavior of both the scale factor and the Hubble parameter. Finally, we show that the barotropic parameter is capable of transiting from a quintessence phase to a phantom one, i.e., it crosses the phantom divide line. In Figures [1](#page-6-0) and [2,](#page-8-0) we show the behavior of these quantities as a function of time.

On the other hand, using the canonical quantization procedure, we were able to establish the quantum counterpart of the classical model and compute the Wheeler–DeWitt equation. Once again, we solve it for various scenarios given by different sets of values of the free parameters. In particular, we found exact solutions for three distinct cases: $\eta_1 > 0$ and $\lambda_1 < \sqrt{6}$, $\eta_1 < 0$ and $\lambda_1 > \sqrt{6}$, and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \sqrt{6}$; therefore, $\eta_1 = 0$ and $\eta_2 = 6$. Figure [3](#page-13-0) shows the behavior of the probability density as a function of the scale factor and scalar field, for the phantom case, i.e., $\eta_1 < 0$ and $\lambda_1 > \sqrt{6}$. The probability density exhibits a damped behavior as the scale factor and scalar fields evolve. An expected result has already been reported in [\[68–](#page-16-22)[70\]](#page-16-23). Lastly, we note that by varying Q, specifically when $Q \ll 0$, the probability density evolves towards the phantom sector. This outcome contrasts with that reported in [\[68\]](#page-16-22), where the authors showed that the parameter Q delays the evolution of the wave function and compresses the length on the axis where the field evolves; however, they analyzed the case of two quintessence fields.

author contributions: Conceptualization, J. Socorro, Sinuhe Pérez Payán, Rafael Hernández-Jiménez, Abraham Espinoza García, and Luis Rey Dáz Barrón; Methodology, J. Socorro, Sinuhe Pérez Payán, Rafael Hernández-Jiménez, Abraham Espinoza García, and Luis Rey Díaz Barrón; Writing - Original Draft, J. Socorro, Sinuhe Pérez Payán, Rafael Hernández-Jiménez, Abraham Espinoza García, and Luis Rey Díaz Barrón; Writing - Review and Editing, J. Socorro, Sinuhe Pérez Payán, Rafael Hernández-Jiménez, Abraham Espinoza García, and Luis Rey Díaz Barrón; Visualization, J. Socorro, Sinuhe Pérez Payán. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

funding: This work was partially supported by PROMEP grants UGTO-CA-3. J.S. and L. R. D. B. were partially supported SNI-CONACyT. R.H.J is supported by CONACyT Estancias posdoctorales por México, Modalidad 1: Estancia Posdoctoral Académica.

data availability: Not applicable .

conflicts of interest:The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work is part of the collaboration within the Instituto Avanzado de Cosmología and Red PROMEP: Gravitation and Mathematical Physics, under project Quantum aspects of gravity in cosmological models, phenomenology, and geometry of space-time. Many calculations where done by Symbolic Program REDUCE 3.8.

- [1] Perlmutter, S. Aldering, G.; Goldhaber, G.; Knop, R.A.; Nugent, P.; Castro, P. G.; Couch, W.J. Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 high redshift supernovae. Astrophys. J. 1999, 517, 565–586.
- [2] Riess, A. G. Filippenko, A.V.; Challis, P.; Clocchiatti, A.; Diercks, A.; Garnavich, P. M.; Tonry, J. Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant. Astron. J. 1998, 116, 1009–1038.
- [3] Garnavich, P. M. Kirshner, R.P.; Challis, P.; Tonry, J.; Gilliland, R.L.; Smith, R.C.; Wells, L. Constraints on cosmological models from Hubble Space Telescope observations of high z supernovae. Astrophys. J. Lett. 1998, 493, L53–L57.
- [4] Komatsu, E. Dunkley, J.; Nolta, M.R.; Bennett, C.L.; Gold, B.; Hinshaw, G.; Wright, E.L. Five-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Interpretation. Astrophys. J. Suppl. 2009, 180, 330–376.
- [5] Guth, A.H. The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems. Phys. Rev. D 1981, 23, 347–356.
- [6] Linde, A.D. A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems. Phys. Lett. B 1982, 108, 389–393.
- [7] Copeland, E.J.; Sami, M.; Tsujikawa, S. Dynamics of dark energy Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 2006, 15, 1753–1936.
- [8] Clifton, T.; Ferreira, P. G.; Padilla, A.; Skordis, C. Modified Gravity and Cosmology. Phys. Rept. 2012, 513, 1–189.
- [9] Nojiri, S.; Odintsov, S.D.; Oikonomou, V.K. Modified Gravity Theories on a Nutshell: Inflation, Bounce and Late-time Evolution Phys. Rept. 2017, 692, 1–104.
- [10] Urena-Lopez, L.A.; Matos, T. A New cosmological tracker solution for quintessence. Phys. Rev. D 2000, 62, 081302.
- [11] Ratra, B.; Peebles, P.J.E. Cosmological Consequences of a Rolling Homogeneous Scalar Field. Phys. Rev. D 1988, 37, 3406.
- [12] Harko, T.; Lobo, F.S.N.; Mak, M.K. Arbitrary scalar field and quintessence cosmological models. Eur. Phys. J. C 2014, 74, 2784.
- [13] Rubano, C.; Barrow, J.D. Scaling solutions and reconstruction of scalar field potentials. Phys. Rev. D 2001, 64, 127301.
- [14] Sahni, V.; Starobinsky, A. The Case for a positive cosmological Lambda term. Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 2000, 9, 373-444.
- [15] Sahni, V.; Wang, L.M. A New cosmological model of quintessence and dark matter. Phys. Rev. D 2000, 62, 103517.
- [16] Paliathanasis, A.; Tsamparlis, M.; Basilakos, S.; Barrow, J.D. Dynamical analysis in scalar field cosmology. Phys. Rev. D 2015, 91, 123535.
- [17] Dimakis, N.; Karagiorgos, A.; Zampeli, A.; Paliathanasis, A.; Christodoulakis, T.; Terzis, P. A. General Analytic Solutions of Scalar Field Cosmology with Arbitrary Potential. Phys. Rev. D 2016, 93, 123518.
- [18] Fang, W.; Lu, H.Q.; Huang, Z.G.; Zhang, K.G. The evolution of the universe with the B-I type phantom scalar field. Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 2006, 15, 199-214.
- [19] Cataldo, M,; Arevalo, F.; Mella, P. Canonical and phantom scalar fields as an interaction of two perfect fluids. Astrophys. $Space\, Sci.$ 2013, $344, 495-503.$
- [20] Nojiri, S.; Odintsov, S.D.; Oikonomou, V.K.; Saridakis, E. N. Singular cosmological evolution using canonical and ghost scalar fields. JCAP 2015, 9, 044.
- [21] Cai, Y.F.; Saridakis, E.N.; Setare, M.R.; Xia, J. Q. Quintom Cosmology: Theoretical implications and observations Phys. Rept. 2010, 493, 1–60.
- [22] Setare, M.R.; Saridakis, E.N. Quintom Cosmology with General Potentials. Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 2009, 18, 549-557.
- [23] Lazkoz, R.; Leon, G.; Quiros, I. Quintom cosmologies with arbitrary potentials. Phys. Lett. B 2007, 649 , 103-110.
- [24] Leon, G.; Paliathanasis, A.; Morales-Martínez, J. L. The past and future dynamics of quintom dark energy models Eur. Phys. J. C 2018, 78, 753.
- [25] Dimakis, N.; Paliathanasis, A. Crossing the phantom divide line as an effect of quantum transitions Class. Quant. Grav. 2021, 38, 075016.
- [26] Elizalde, E.; Nojiri, S.; Odintsov, S.D.; Saez-Gomez, D.; Faraoni, V. Reconstructing the universe history, from inflation to acceleration, with phantom and canonical scalar fields. Phys. Rev. D 2008, 77, 106005.
- [27] Chervon, S.V. On the chiral model of cosmological inflation. Russ. Phys. J. 1995 , 38 , $539-543$.
- [28] Chervon, S.V. Chiral Cosmological Models: Dark Sector Fields Description. Quant. Matt. 2013, 2, 71–82.
- [29] Christodoulidis, P.; Roest, D.; Sfakianakis, E.I. Scaling attractors in multi-field inflation. JCAP 2019, 12, 059.
- [30] Beesham, A.; Chervon, S.V.; Maharaj, S.D.; Kubasov, A. S. An Emergent Universe with Dark Sector Fields in a Chiral Cosmological Model. Quant. Matt. 2013, 2, 388–395.
- [31] Chervon, S.V.; Abbyazov, R.R.; Kryukov, S.V. Dynamics of Chiral Cosmological Fields in the Phantom-Canonical Model. Russ. Phys. J. 2015, 58, 597-605.
- [32] Fomin, I.V. The chiral cosmological models with two components. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 2017, 918, 012009.
- [33] Fomin, I.V. Two-Field Cosmological Models with a Second Accelerated Expansion of the Universe. Moscow Univ. Phys. Bull. 2018, 73, 696–701.
- [34] Paliathanasis, A.; Leon, G.; Pan, S. Exact Solutions in Chiral Cosmology. Gen. Rel. Grav. 2019, 51, 106.
- [35] Scherrer, R.J. Purely kinetic k-essence as unified dark matter. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2004, 93, 011301.
- [36] Bandyopadhyay, A.; Gangopadhyay, D.; Moulik, A. The k-essence scalar field in the context of Supernova Ia Observations. Eur. Phys. J. C 2012, 72, 1943.
- Armendariz-Picon, C.; Damour, T.; Mukhanov, V. F. K-inflation. Phys. Lett. B 1999, 458, 209–218.
- [38] Damour, T.; Esposito-Farese, G. Tensor multiscalar theories of gravitation. Class. Quant. Grav. 1992, 9, 2093–2176.
- [39] Horndeski, G.W. Second-order scalar-tensor field equations in a four-dimensional space. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 1974, 10, 363–384.
- [40] Deffayet, C.; Esposito-Farese, G.; Vikman, A. Covariant Galileon. Phys. Rev. D 2009, 79, 084003.
- [41] Coley, A.A.; van den Hoogen, R.J. The Dynamics of multiscalar field cosmological models and assisted inflation. Phys. Rev. D 2000, 62, 023517.
- [42] Peebles, P.J.E.; Ratra, B. The Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy Rev. Mod. Phys. 2003, 75, 559–606.
- [43] Padmanabhan, T. Cosmological constant: The Weight of the vacuum. Phys. Rept. 2003, 380, 235–320.
- [44] Albrecht, A.; Bernstein, G.; Cahn, R.; Freedman, W.L.; Hewitt, J.; Hu, W.; Huth, J.; Kamionkowski, M.; Kolb, E.W.; Knox, L. Report of the Dark Energy Task Force. $arXiv$ 2003, [arXiv:astro-ph/0609591.](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0609591)
- [45] Linder, E.V. Mapping the Cosmological Expansion. Rept. Prog. Phys. 2008, 71, 056901.
- [46] Frieman, J.; Turner, M.; Huterer, D. Dark Energy and the Accelerating Universe. Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 2008, 46, 385–432.
- [47] Caldwell, R.R.; Kamionkowski, M. The Physics of Cosmic Acceleration. Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 2009, 59, 397-429.
- [48] Wetterich, C. Cosmology and the Fate of Dilatation Symmetry. Nucl. Phys. B 1988, 302, 668–696.
- [49] Caldwell, R.R. A Phantom menace? *Phys. Lett. B* 2002, 545 , 23-29.
- [50] Caldwell, R.R.; Kamionkowski, M.; Weinberg, N.N. Phantom energy and cosmic doomsday. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2003, 91, 071301.
- [51] Feng, B.; Wang, X.L.; Zhang, X.M. Dark energy constraints from the cosmic age and supernova. Phys. Lett. B 2005, 607, 35–41.
- [52] Vikman, A. Can dark energy evolve to the phantom? Phys. Rev. D 2005, 71, 023515.
- [53] Deffayet, C.; Pujolas, O.; Sawicki, I.; Vikman, A. Imperfect Dark Energy from Kinetic Gravity Braiding. JCAP 2010, 10, 26.
- [54] Chimento, L.P.; Forte, M.I.; Lazkoz, R.; Richarte, M.G. Internal space structure generalization of the quintom cosmological scenario. Phys. Rev. D 2009, 79, 043502.
- [55] Lindle, A.D. Hybrid inflation. Phys. Rev. D 1994, 49, 784.
- [56] Copeland, E.J.; Liddle, A.R.; Lyth, D.H.; Stewart, E.D.; Wands, D. False vacuum inflation with Einstein gravity. Phys. Rev. D 1994, 49, 6410–6433.
- [57] Kim, S.A.; Liddle, A.R. Nflation: Multi-field inflationary dynamics and perturbations. Phys. Rev. D 2006, 74, 023513.
- [58] Socorro, J.; Núñez, O.E. Scalar potentials with Multi-scalar fields from quantum cosmology and supersymmetric quantum mechanics. Eur. Phys. J. Plus 2017, 132, 168.
- [59] Liddle, A.R.; Mazumdar, A.; Schunck, F.E. Assisted inflation. Phys. Rev. D 1998, 58, 061301.
- [60] Copeland, E.J.; Mazumdar, A.; Nunes, N.J. Generalized assisted inflation. Phys. Rev. D 1999, 60, 083506.
- [61] Yokoyama, S.; Suyama, T.; Tanaka, T. Primordial Non-Gaussianity in Multi-Scalar Inflation Phys. Rev. D 2008, 77, 083511.
- [62] Chiba, T.; Yamaguchi, M. Extended Slow-Roll Conditions and Primordial Fluctuations: Multiple Scalar Fields and Generalized Gravity. $JCAP$ 2009, 901, 19.
- [63] Socorro, J.; Pimentel, L.O.; Espinoza-García, A. Classical Bianchi type I cosmology in K-essence theory. Adv. High Energy Phys. 2014, 2014, 805164.
- [64] Chervon, S.V.; Fomin, I.V.; Pozdeeva, E.O.; Sami, M.; Vernov, S.Y. Superpotential method for chiral cosmological models connected with modified gravity. Phys. Rev. D 2019, 100, 063522.
- [65] Fomin, I.V.; Chervon, S.V. New method of exponential potentials reconstruction based on given scale factor in phantonical two-field models. arXiv 2021, [arXiv:2112.09359.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2112.09359)
- [66] Tot, J.; Yildirim, B.; Coley, A.; Leon, G. The dynamics of scalar-field quintom cosmological models. $arXiv$ 2022, [arXiv:2204.06538.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2204.06538)
- [67] Zaitsev, V.F.; Polyanin, A.D. Handbook of Exact Solutions for Ordinary Differential Equations; Second edition, Chapman $& Hall/CRC. (2003).$
- [68] Socorro, J.; Pérez-Payán, S.; Hernández-Jiménez, R.; Espinoza-García, A.; Díaz-Barrón, L.R. Classical and quantum exact solutions for a FRW in chiral like cosmology. Class. Quant. Grav. 2021, 38, 135027.
- [69] Socorro, J.; Núñez, O.E.; Hernández-Jiménez, R. Classical and quantum exact solutions for the anisotropic Bianchi type I in multi-scalar field cosmology with an exponential potential driven inflation. Phys. Lett. B 2020, 809, 135667.
- [70] Socorro, J.; Núñez, O. E.; Hernández-Jiménez, R. Classical and Quantum Exact Solutions for a FRW Multiscalar Field Cosmology with an Exponential Potential Driven Inflation. Adv. Math. Phys. 2018, 2018, 3468381.