

ENERGY DECAY ESTIMATES FOR THE WAVE EQUATION WITH SUPERCRITICAL NONLINEAR DAMPING

ALAIN HARAUX

Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions
Sorbonne University, Pierre and Marie Curie Campus
4 Pl. Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

LOUIS TEBOU

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199, USA

ABSTRACT. We consider a damped wave equation in a bounded domain. The damping is nonlinear and is homogeneous with degree $p-1$ with $p > 2$. First, we show that the energy of the strong solution in the supercritical case decays as a negative power of t ; the rate of decay is the same as in the subcritical or critical cases, provided that the space dimension does not exceed ten. Next, relying on a new differential inequality, we show that if the initial displacement is further required to lie in L^p , then the energy of the corresponding weak solution decays logarithmically in the supercritical case. Those new results complement those in the literature and open an important breach in the unknown land of super-critical damping mechanisms.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Some preliminary results	3
3. Main results	4
4. Some technical lemmas	5
5. Proof of Theorem 3.1	6
6. Proof of Theorem 3.2	9
7. Some extensions of the method	11
7.1. A wave equation with two damping mechanisms.	12
7.2. A hinged plate equation with a nonlinear frictional damping.	13
7.3. A plate equation with a nonlinear structural damping.	14
References	15

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a nonempty bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N with boundary Γ of class C^2 . Let $c > 0$ and $p > 2$ be constants.

Consider the nonlinearly damped wave equation

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt} - \Delta y + c|y_t|^{p-2}y_t = 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega \\ y = 0 & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \Gamma \\ y(0) = y^0, \quad y_t(0) = y^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

and let us introduce the energy

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \{|y_t(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla y(t, x)|^2\} dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Then a formal calculation shows that the energy E is a nonincreasing function of the time variable t and its derivative satisfies

$$E'(t) = -c \int_{\Omega} |y_t(t, x)|^p dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (1.3)$$

It has been known for a long time that the energy of all weak solutions tends to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Actually, that property is valid (cf. e.g. [7]) for the more general wave equation

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt} - \Delta y + g(y_t) = 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega \\ y = 0 & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \Gamma \\ y(0) = y^0, \quad y_t(0) = y^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

under the sole hypothesis that $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$ is non-decreasing with $g(0) = 0$ and

$$0 \notin \text{Int}(g^{-1}(0)).$$

It is natural to ask about the rate of decay to 0 of $E(t)$. This question has also been studied for quite a while. It was shown (cf. e.g. [18, 7]) that for equation (1.1), if $(N-2)p \leq 2N$, then we have

$$E(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}} \quad (1.5)$$

where C depends on the initial energy $E(0)$. This rate of decay is natural since it is the exact decay of all non trivial solutions of the ODE

$$u'' + \omega^2 u + c|u'|^{p-2}u' = 0.$$

However, at the present time, it is not known whether the rate of decay obtained for equation (1.1) is optimal for *any* non trivial solution, even very regular. And before the present paper, nothing was known concerning the rate of the solutions of equation (1.1) when $(N-2)p > 2N$. In this paper, we show that under some additional regularity hypotheses on the initial state (y^0, y^1) , the energy of the solution has an explicit rate of decay. More precisely, if $(y^0, y^1) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \times (H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2(p-1)}(\Omega))$, we obtain a decay as a negative power of t even for $N > 2$ and some $p > \frac{2N}{N-2}$, (actually all $p > \frac{2N}{N-2}$ when N lies in $\{3, 4\}$). And under the much less restrictive conditions $y^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega)$ and $y^1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, we obtain a logarithmic decay of the energy for all $p > \frac{2N}{N-2}$.

The methods of this paper are very flexible and can be applied to many dissipative second order evolution equations. In order for the reader to catch easily the essentials of our arguments, we have decided to state and prove the results only for the model equation (1.1), and we did not

try to elaborate a general functional framework. Such a more exhaustive study will be done in a forthcoming paper. However, in the last section of the present paper, we give a few examples of other equations in order for the reader to understand the modifications which occur when generalizing the technique to different situations.

2. SOME PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Before stating our main results in the next section, it is useful to recall the various notions of solutions for equations (1.1) and (1.4).

Definition 2.1. A function $y = y(t, x)$ defined on $[0, \infty) \times \Omega$ is called a **strong solution** of (1.4) if

$$y \in L_{loc}^\infty([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega)) \cap W_{loc}^{1, \infty}([0, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap W_{loc}^{2, \infty}([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \quad (2.1)$$

and y satisfies (1.4) in the obvious sense.

Proposition 2.1. Assume that either Ω is convex, or Ω has a C^2 boundary. Let $(y^0, y^1) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ with $g(y^1) \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then (1.4) has a unique strong solution y . Furthermore, if we set

$$F(t) = \int_{\Omega} \{|\nabla y_t(t, x)|^2 + |\Delta y(t, x)|^2\} dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

then

$$F(t) \leq F(0), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Remark 2.1. The result of existence and uniqueness is well-known, cf. e.g. [2, 3, 11, 12]. For the inequality which is also classical, it can be derived in a more general framework by replacing in the equation the damping term $g(y_t)$ by Yosida's regularization $g_\lambda(y_t)$, then multiplying the equation by $-\Delta y_t$ in the sense of duality between $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and integrating in $s \in [0, t]$. The result then follows by letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 2.2. A function $y \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$ is said to be a **weak solution** of (1.4) if y is the limit of a sequence y_n of strong solutions of (1.4) in the topology of $\mathcal{C}([0, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$.

In the sequel, $|u|_q$ denotes the $L^q(\Omega)$ -norm of u when $q \geq 1$. First, we recall a result which is valid for any damping term:

Proposition 2.2. Let $(y^0, y^1) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$. System (1.4) has a unique weak solution y . Moreover, if z denotes another weak solution of (1.4) corresponding to initial data (z^0, z^1) in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, then one has the uniqueness-stability inequality:

$$E(y - z; t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \{|y_t(t, x) - z_t(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla y(t, x) - \nabla z(t, x)|^2\} dx \leq E(y - z; 0), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

The following new property will turn out to be very useful for our second main result.

Proposition 2.3. If we further assume that y^0 lies in $L^p(\Omega)$, then the weak solution of (1.1) satisfies $y \in W_{loc}^{1, p}(0, \infty; L^p(\Omega))$ with

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad |y(t)|_p \leq 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(|y_0|_p + c^{-\frac{1}{p}} t^{\frac{p-1}{p}} E(0)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. To estimate $|y(t)|_p$, first, we note that $y \in C^1([0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)))$ to derive

$$y(t, x) = y(0, x) + \int_0^t y_t(s, x) ds, \quad \forall t > 0, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Consequently, it follows from Young inequality

$$|y(t, x)|^p \leq 2^{p-1} \left(|y_0(x)|^p + \left(\int_0^t |y_t(s, x)| ds \right)^p \right), \quad \forall t > 0, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (2.4)$$

Applying Hölder inequality once more, then integrating over Ω , and invoking Fubini's inequality, we get, as a consequence of (1.3) integrated on $(0, t)$

$$|y(t)|_p^p \leq 2^{p-1} \left(|y_0|_p^p + t^{p-1} \int_0^t |y_t(s)|_p^p ds \right) \leq 2^{p-1} \left(|y_0|_p^p + t^{p-1} \frac{E(0)}{c} \right), \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

□

Remark 2.2. *An estimate similar to (2.3) may be found in [20]. In fact, the authors of [20] consider the system, (the actual system in [20] includes a source term that is dropped for the sake of simplicity)*

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt} - \Delta y - \operatorname{div}(|\nabla y_t|^{p-2} \nabla y_t) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, \infty) \\ y(0) = y^0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad y_t(0) = y^1 \in L^2(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

and, relying on an inequality like (2.3) and an approximation scheme, they obtain that the energy

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \{|y_t(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla y(x, t)|^2\} dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.7)$$

satisfies, for every $p > 2$, the logarithmic decay rate

$$\exists C = C(y^0, y^1, p, \Omega) > 0 : H(t) \leq \frac{C}{(1 + \log t)^{p-1}}, \quad \forall t \geq 1. \quad (2.8)$$

We now turn to the statement of our main results. Henceforth, we assume $N \geq 3$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. (A decay property for strong solutions.) *Assume that either Ω is convex, or Ω has a C^2 boundary. Let $p > 2$. Let $(y^0, y^1) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \times (H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2(p-1)}(\Omega))$. Assume that p further satisfies the inequalities*

$$p(N-4) \leq 2N < p(N-2).$$

Then the energy of the corresponding strong solution of (1.1) satisfies the decay estimate:

$$E(t) \leq K_1 (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\mu_{p,N}}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mu_{p,N} = \frac{(p-2)}{2} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{N-4}{4(p-1)} \right\}$, and $K_1 = K_1(\Omega, N, E(0), p, F(0))$ is a positive constant.

Theorem 3.2. (A logarithmic decay rate under a weak additional assumption on y^0 .) *Let $p > 2$. Let $y^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega)$ and $y^1 \in L^2(\Omega)$. Assuming $(N-2)p > 2N$, the energy of the corresponding weak solution of (1.1) given by Theorem 2.2 satisfies the decay estimate*

$$E(t) \leq K (\log(2+t))^{-\frac{1}{\mu_p}} \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mu_p = \max \left\{ \frac{p-2}{2}, \frac{1}{p-1} \right\}$, and $K = K(\Omega, N, E(0), p, |y^0|_p)$ is a positive constant.

Remark 3.1. *The logarithmic decay estimate of the energy in the super-critical case is new, and will be established by relying on a new differential inequality. It is valid for all weak solutions of System (1.1) under the very light additional assumption that the initial displacement lies in $L^p(\Omega)$.*

Remark 3.2. *As Theorem 3.1 shows, strong solutions of (1.1) will still satisfy the decay rate in (1.5) even if $(N-2)p > 2N$, provided that $(N-4)p \leq 2N$ and $\mu_{p,N} = \frac{(p-2)}{2} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{N-4}{4(p-1)} \right\} = \frac{(p-2)}{2}$. In particular, when $N \geq 5$ and $p = \frac{2N}{N-4}$, we have the energy decay estimates*

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) \leq \begin{cases} K_0(1+t)^{-\frac{N+4}{N-4}}, & \text{if } N \geq 12, \\ K_0(1+t)^{-\frac{N-4}{4}}, & \text{if } N \leq 12. \end{cases}$$

The maximal decay rate of the energy for the maximal value $p = \frac{2N}{N-4}$ is obtained for $N = 12$ and corresponds to

$$E(t) \leq Kt^{-2}$$

More generally, the decay rate of the energy for $N \geq 5$ and $p \leq \frac{2N}{N-4}$ is given by (1.5) provided $\mu_{p,N} = \frac{(p-2)}{2}$ which is equivalent to $p \geq \frac{N}{4}$. And this is valid for all $p \geq \frac{2N}{N-2}$ if $N \in \{3, 4\}$ and all $p \in [\frac{2N}{N-2}, \frac{2N}{N-4}]$ whenever $5 \leq N \leq 10$.

4. SOME TECHNICAL LEMMAS

Lemma 4.1. *(New differential inequality). Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a C^1 strictly increasing function with*

$$\varphi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

Let $E : [0, \infty[\rightarrow [0, \infty[$ be a nonincreasing locally absolutely continuous function such that there exist constants $\beta \geq 0$ and $A > 0$ with

$$E'(t) \leq -A\varphi'(t)E(t)^{1+\beta}, \quad \text{a. e. } t \geq 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Then we have for every $t \geq 0$:

$$E(t) \leq \begin{cases} E(0)e^{-A\varphi(t)}, & \text{if } \beta = 0, \\ E(0) \left(1 + A\beta E(0)^\beta \varphi(t) \right)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}, & \text{if } \beta > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

The proof of this lemma is elementary and is left as an easy exercise to the interested reader. The weight function φ is borrowed from Martinez works, e.g. [15, 16], discussing integral inequalities; the Martinez integral inequalities generalize earlier results of Haraux [6] and Komornik [13, Chaps. 8 and 9] established for $\varphi(t) = t$. Several generalizations of those integral inequalities exist in the literature e.g. [1, 5]. Note in particular that (4.2) implies the integral inequality

$$\int_S^\infty \varphi'(t)E(t)^{1+\beta} dt \leq (1/A)E(S), \quad \forall S \geq 0.$$

Lemma 4.2. (*Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality*) [19] *Let $1 \leq q \leq s \leq \infty$, $1 \leq r \leq s$, $0 \leq k < m < \infty$, where k and m are nonnegative integers, and let $\delta \in [0, 1]$. Let $v \in W^{m,q}(\Omega)$. Further assume that those parameters satisfy*

$$k - \frac{N}{s} \leq \delta(m - \frac{N}{q}) - \frac{N}{r}(1 - \delta). \quad (4.4)$$

Then $v \in W^{k,s}(\Omega)$, and there exists a positive constant $C = C(k, m, s, q, r, \Omega)$ such that

$$\|v\|_{W^{k,s}(\Omega)} \leq C \|v\|_{W^{m,q}(\Omega)}^\delta |v|_r^{1-\delta}. \quad (4.5)$$

Applying the lemma with $k = 0$, $m = q = r = 2$ and $s = p$ we find

Corollary 4.1. *Let $p > 2$ be such that $(N - 4)p \leq 2N$. Then $H^2(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ and*

$$\forall v \in H^2(\Omega), \quad |v|_p \leq C \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^\delta |v|_2^{1-\delta} \quad (4.6)$$

with

$$\delta = \frac{N(p-2)}{4p}.$$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

We are going to use the perturbed energy method. For this purpose, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$ be constants to be determined later. Introduce the new energy

$$E_\varepsilon(t) = E(t) + \varepsilon E^\mu \int_\Omega yy_t dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one readily checks

$$\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon E(0)^\mu}{\lambda}\right) E(t) \leq E_\varepsilon(t) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon E(0)^\mu}{\lambda}\right) E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (5.1)$$

where λ^2 is the first eigenvalue of minus Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Thus, provided ε is small enough, the two energies are equivalent. Our task now is to show that E_ε satisfies a classical differential inequality from which we will be able to derive the claimed energy decay estimate. We recall that we are dealing with the super-critical case: $(N - 2)p > 2N$. From now on, we divide the proofs into two steps. In the first step, we are going to derive a first estimate for $E'_\varepsilon(t)$, without completely estimating the term involving the nonlinear damping. In the second step, we shall complete the estimation of the latter term and complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Step 1. Differentiating E_ε , then using the first equation in (1.1), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
E'_\varepsilon(t) &= E'(t) + \varepsilon E^\mu \int_\Omega |y_t|^2 dx - \varepsilon E^\mu \int_\Omega |\nabla y|^2 dx - c\varepsilon E^\mu \int_\Omega |y_t|^{p-2} y_t y dx \\
&\quad + \mu\varepsilon E' E^{\mu-1} \int_\Omega y y_t dx \\
&= E'(t) + 2\varepsilon E^\mu \int_\Omega |y_t|^2 dx - \varepsilon E^\mu \int_\Omega \{|y_t|^2 + |\nabla y|^2\} dx - c\varepsilon E^\mu \int_\Omega |y_t|^{p-2} y_t y dx \\
&\quad + \mu\varepsilon E' E^{\mu-1} \int_\Omega y y_t dx \\
&= E'(t) - 2\varepsilon E^{\mu+1} + 2\varepsilon E^\mu \int_\Omega |y_t|^2 dx - c\varepsilon E^\mu \int_\Omega |y_t|^{p-2} y_t y dx \\
&\quad + \mu\varepsilon E' E^{\mu-1} \int_\Omega y y_t dx. \quad \text{a. e. } t > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Now, it remains to estimate each of the integral terms. Henceforth, C denotes a generic positive constant that may depend on Ω , p or N , but never on the initial data.

We start with the last integral. Thanks to the Cauchy-Schwarz and Poincaré inequalities, we derive

$$\left| \mu\varepsilon E' E^{\mu-1} \int_\Omega y y_t dx \right| \leq C\varepsilon E(0)^\mu |E'(t)|. \tag{5.3}$$

The application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
2\varepsilon E^\mu \int_\Omega |y_t|^2 dx &\leq C\varepsilon E^\mu |y_t|_p^2 \leq C\varepsilon E^\mu |E'(t)|^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&= C\varepsilon E^{\frac{(\mu+1)(p-2)}{p} + \frac{2\mu-(p-2)}{p}} |E'(t)|^{\frac{2}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Using Young's inequality, and assuming $\mu \geq \frac{(p-2)}{2}$, we derive from (5.4):

$$2\varepsilon E^\mu \int_\Omega |y_t|^2 dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon(p-2)}{p} E^{\mu+1} + C\varepsilon E(0)^{\frac{2\mu-(p-2)}{2}} |E'(t)|. \tag{5.5}$$

For the last integral, using Hölder's inequality, we find

$$\left| c\varepsilon E^\mu \int_\Omega |y_t|^{p-2} y_t y dx \right| \leq c\varepsilon E^\mu |y_t(t)|_p^{p-1} |y(t)|_p \leq c\varepsilon E^\mu |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} |y(t)|_p. \tag{5.6}$$

Combining (5.2)-(5.6), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
E'_\varepsilon(t) &\leq \left(1 - C\varepsilon \left(E(0)^\mu + E(0)^{\frac{2\mu-(p-2)}{2}} \right) \right) E'(t) - \frac{\varepsilon(p+2)}{p} E^{\mu+1} \\
&\quad + c\varepsilon E^\mu |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} |y(t)|_p.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

In earlier works, the authors estimated the term $|y(t)|_p$ as:

$$|y|_p \leq C|\nabla y(t)|_2. \tag{5.8}$$

Doing that immediately forced them to work in the subcritical or critical framework.

In this section, we use the fact that we are dealing with strong solutions. This enables us to get into the supercritical range. To estimate the term $|y(t)|_p$, we are going to rely on the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality.

Step 2. Estimating $|y(t)|_p$ and completing the proof of Theorem 3.1. Thanks to Corollary 4.1, we find

$$|y(t)|_p \leq C|y(t)|_2^{\frac{2N-p(N-4)}{4p}} \|y(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{N(p-2)}{4p}} \leq CE(t)^{\frac{2N-p(N-4)}{8p}} F(0)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{8p}}, \quad \text{a.e. } t > 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Notice that we can use the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality or Sobolev embedding because we are dealing with strong solutions, and so, we are able to exploit the fact that $y(t)$ lies in $H^2(\Omega)$. Therefore, we now have

$$\begin{aligned} E'_\varepsilon(t) &\leq \left(1 - C\varepsilon \left(E(0)^\mu + E(0)^{\frac{2\mu-(p-2)}{2}}\right)\right) E'(t) - \frac{\varepsilon(p+2)}{p} E^{\mu+1} \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon E^\mu |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} E(t)^{\frac{2N-p(N-4)}{8p}} F(0)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{8p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Now, we proceed by cases.

Case 1: $p(N-4) = 2N$. This makes sense only if $N > 4$. In this case we have automatically $2N < p(N-2)$, then (5.9) and Young's inequality readily yield, under the condition $\mu(p-1) \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} C\varepsilon E^\mu |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} F(0)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{8p}} &= C\varepsilon E^{\frac{\mu+1}{p}} E^{\frac{\mu(p-1)-1}{p}} |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} F(0)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{8p}} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{p} E^{\mu+1} + C\varepsilon E(0)^{\frac{\mu(p-1)-1}{p-1}} F(0)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{8(p-1)}} |E'(t)|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Plugging this in (5.10), choosing ε small enough and $\mu = \mu_p = \max\{(p-2)/2, 1/(p-1)\}$, one finds

$$E'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\frac{\varepsilon(p+1)}{p} E^{\mu+1}(t). \quad (5.12)$$

Case 2: $p(N-4) < 2N < p(N-2)$. Thanks to Young's inequality we have, under the condition $8\mu(p-1) \geq (p-2)(N-4)$:

$$\begin{aligned} C\varepsilon E^\mu |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} E(t)^{\frac{2N-p(N-4)}{8p}} F(0)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{8p}} \\ &= C\varepsilon E^{\frac{\mu+1}{p}} E^{\frac{8\mu(p-1)-(p-2)(N-4)}{8p}} |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} F(0)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{8p}} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{p} E^{\mu+1} + C\varepsilon E(0)^{\frac{8\mu(p-1)-(p-2)(N-4)}{8(p-1)}} F(0)^{\frac{N(p-2)}{8(p-1)}} |E'(t)|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Gathering (5.13) and (5.10), choosing ε small enough and $\mu = \mu_{p,N} = \frac{p-2}{2} \max\left\{1, \frac{N-4}{4(p-1)}\right\}$, one finds

$$E'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\frac{\varepsilon(p+1)}{p} E^{\mu+1}(t). \quad (5.14)$$

Notice that in both cases, we obtain the same differential inequality. Now, thanks to (5.1), we have

$$-\frac{\varepsilon(p+1)}{p} E^{\mu+1}(t) \leq -\frac{\varepsilon(p+1)\lambda^{\mu+1}}{2p(\lambda + \varepsilon E(0)^\mu)^{\mu+1}} E_\varepsilon^{\mu+1}(t). \quad (5.15)$$

The combination of (5.14)-(5.15) yields for some positive constant $\beta = \beta(\Omega, p, N, E(0), F(0))$:

$$E'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\beta E_\varepsilon(t)^{\mu+1}, \quad \text{a.e. } t \geq 0,$$

which is a classical differential inequality.

It then follows from that differential inequality and (5.1), the claimed decay estimate

$$E(t) \leq K_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\mu_{p,N}}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Notice that when $p(N-4) = 2N$, one has $\mu_{p,N} = \mu_p$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2

Here, we are going to use the perturbed energy method as well. Although we are dealing now with weak solutions, the formal computations are in fact fully justified since in [10] it is shown that the energy is absolutely continuous as soon as the damping term is odd, and the formal equality (1.3) is actually satisfied a.e. in t .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$ be constants to be determined later. Let φ be the function in Lemma 4.1, and further assume that φ is of class \mathcal{C}^2 with $\varphi'(t) > 0$ for every t greater than or equal to zero, and φ is concave. Introduce the new energy

$$E_\varepsilon(t) = E(t) + \varepsilon\varphi' E^\mu \int_{\Omega} yy_t dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one readily checks

$$\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon\varphi'(0)E(0)^\mu}{\lambda}\right)E(t) \leq E_\varepsilon(t) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon\varphi'(0)E(0)^\mu}{\lambda}\right)E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (6.1)$$

where λ^2 is, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, the first eigenvalue of minus Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Thus, provided ε is small enough, the two energies are equivalent. Our task now is to show that E_ε satisfies a differential inequality similar to the one in Lemma 4.1 with an appropriate function φ , and then apply the equivalence and Lemma 4.1 to derive the claimed decay estimate. From now on, we divide the proof into two steps as we did for the proof of Theorem 3.1. In the first step, we are going to derive a first estimate for $E'_\varepsilon(t)$, without completely estimating the term involving the nonlinear damping. In the second step, we shall complete the estimation of the latter term and complete the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Step 1. Differentiating E_ε , then using the first equation in (1.1), we find

$$\begin{aligned} E'_\varepsilon(t) &= E'(t) + \varepsilon\varphi' E^\mu \int_{\Omega} |y_t|^2 dx - \varepsilon\varphi' E^\mu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y|^2 dx - c\varepsilon\varphi' E^\mu \int_{\Omega} |y_t|^{p-2} y_t y dx \\ &\quad + \varepsilon\varphi'' E^\mu \int_{\Omega} y_t y dx + \mu\varepsilon\varphi' E' E^{\mu-1} \int_{\Omega} yy_t dx \\ &= E'(t) + 2\varepsilon\varphi' E^\mu \int_{\Omega} |y_t|^2 dx - \varepsilon\varphi' E^\mu \int_{\Omega} \{|y_t|^2 + |\nabla y|^2\} dx - c\varepsilon\varphi' E^\mu \int_{\Omega} |y_t|^{p-2} y_t y dx \\ &\quad + \varepsilon\varphi'' E^\mu \int_{\Omega} y_t y dx + \mu\varepsilon\varphi' E' E^{\mu-1} \int_{\Omega} yy_t dx \\ &= E'(t) - 2\varepsilon\varphi' E^{\mu+1} + 2\varepsilon\varphi' E^\mu \int_{\Omega} |y_t|^2 dx - c\varepsilon\varphi' E^\mu \int_{\Omega} |y_t|^{p-2} y_t y dx \\ &\quad + \varepsilon\varphi'' E^\mu \int_{\Omega} y_t y dx + \mu\varepsilon\varphi' E' E^{\mu-1} \int_{\Omega} yy_t dx. \quad \text{a. e. } t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Now, it remains to estimate each of the integral terms. Henceforth, C denotes a generic positive constant that may depend on Ω , p or N , but never on the initial data.

We start with the last integral. Thanks to the Cauchy-Schwarz and Poincaré inequalities, we derive

$$\left| \mu \varepsilon \varphi' E' E^{\mu-1} \int_{\Omega} y y_t dx \right| \leq C \varepsilon E(0)^{\mu} |E'(t)|. \quad (6.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \varepsilon \varphi'' E^{\mu} \int_{\Omega} y_t y dx \right| &\leq (\varepsilon/\lambda) |\varphi''| E^{\mu+1} = -(\varepsilon/\lambda) (\varphi' E^{\mu+1})' + (\varepsilon(\mu+1)/\lambda) \varphi' E' E^{\mu} \\ &\leq -(\varepsilon/\lambda) (\varphi' E^{\mu+1})', \text{ as } |\varphi''(t)| = -\varphi''(t), \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

where in the equality, we use the concavity of φ , and in the last inequality, we use the fact that the energy is nonincreasing.

The application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varepsilon \varphi' E^{\mu} \int_{\Omega} |y_t|^2 dx &\leq C \varepsilon \varphi' E^{\mu} |y_t|_p^2 \leq C \varepsilon \varphi' E^{\mu} |E'(t)|^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &= C \varepsilon \varphi' E^{\frac{(\mu+1)(p-2)}{p} + \frac{2\mu-(p-2)}{p}} |E'(t)|^{\frac{2}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Using Young inequality, and assuming $\mu \geq \frac{(p-2)}{2}$, we derive from (5.4):

$$2\varepsilon \varphi' E^{\mu} \int_{\Omega} |y_t|^2 dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon(p-2)}{p} \varphi' E^{\mu+1} + C \varepsilon E(0)^{\frac{2\mu-(p-2)}{2}} |E'(t)|. \quad (6.6)$$

For the last integral, using Hölder's inequality, we find

$$\left| c \varepsilon \varphi' E^{\mu} \int_{\Omega} |y_t|^{p-2} y_t y dx \right| \leq c \varepsilon \varphi' E^{\mu} |y_t(t)|_p^{p-1} |y(t)|_p \leq c \varepsilon \varphi' E^{\mu} |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} |y(t)|_p. \quad (6.7)$$

Combining (6.2)-(6.7), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{\varepsilon} + (\varepsilon/\lambda) \varphi' E^{\mu+1})'(t) &\leq \left(1 - C \varepsilon \left(E(0)^{\mu} + E(0)^{\frac{2\mu-(p-2)}{2}} \right) \right) E'(t) - \frac{\varepsilon(p+2)}{p} \varphi' E^{\mu+1} \\ &\quad + c \varepsilon \varphi' E^{\mu} |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} |y(t)|_p. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Estimating the term $|y(t)|_p$ now requires a different tool as we can no longer invoke the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality or Sobolev embedding; neither is available as we are dealing with the supercritical setting and weak solutions.

Step 2. It is here that we use Proposition 2.3. The combination of (6.7) and (2.3) yields

$$c \varepsilon \varphi' E^{\mu} |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} |y(t)|_p \leq C(p) \varepsilon |y^0|_p \varphi' E^{\mu} |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + C(p) \varepsilon (1+t)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} E(0)^{\frac{1}{p}} \varphi' E^{\mu} |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}}. \quad (6.9)$$

Noticing that

$$E^{\mu} = E^{\frac{\mu+1}{p} + \frac{\mu(p-1)-1}{p}},$$

and using Young inequality, assuming $\mu(p-1) \geq 1$, we derive from (6.9) :

$$c \varepsilon \varphi' E^{\mu} |E'(t)|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} |y(t)|_p \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{p} \varphi' E^{\mu+1} + C_1 \varepsilon (1+t) \varphi' \left(|y^0|_p^{\frac{p}{p-1}} E(0)^{\frac{\mu(p-1)-1}{p-1}} + E(0)^{\mu} \right) |E'(t)|. \quad (6.10)$$

Choosing the function φ such that $(1+t)\varphi'$ is uniformly bounded, setting $\mu = \mu_p$, and gathering (6.8) and (6.10), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & (E_\varepsilon + (\varepsilon/\lambda)\varphi' E^{\mu+1})'(t) \\ & \leq -\varepsilon\varphi' E^{\mu+1}(t) - |E'(t)| \left(1 - C_2\varepsilon \left(E(0)^\mu + E(0)^{\frac{2\mu-(p-2)}{2}} + |y^0|_p^{\frac{p}{p-1}} E(0)^{\frac{\mu(p-1)-1}{p-1}} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

Choosing ε small enough, it follows

$$(E_\varepsilon + (\varepsilon/\lambda)\varphi' E^{\mu+1})'(t) \leq -\varepsilon\varphi' E^{\mu+1}(t). \quad (6.12)$$

Observe that, thanks to (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mu+1}(t) &= \frac{1}{2}E^{\mu+1}(t) + \frac{1}{2}E^{\mu+1}(t) \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda^{\mu+1}}{2(\lambda + \varepsilon\varphi'(0)E(0)^\mu)^{\mu+1}} E_\varepsilon^{\mu+1}(t) + \frac{1}{2}E^{\mu+1}(t) \\ &\geq \delta(\varepsilon) (E_\varepsilon^{\mu+1}(t) + E^{\mu+1}(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

where $\delta(\varepsilon) \geq \delta_0 > 0$ for ε less than 1.

Now, for each $t \geq 0$, set

$$F_{\varepsilon,\mu}(t) = E_\varepsilon(t) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)\varphi' E^{\mu+1}(t).$$

Then, one checks

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\varepsilon,\mu}(t)^{\mu+1} &\leq 2^\mu \left(E_\varepsilon^{\mu+1}(t) + (\varepsilon\varphi'(0)/\lambda)^{\mu+1} E(0)^{\mu(\mu+1)} E^{\mu+1}(t) \right) \\ &\leq 2^\mu \max\{1, (\varepsilon\varphi'(0)/\lambda)^{\mu+1} E(0)^{\mu(\mu+1)}\} (E_\varepsilon^{\mu+1}(t) + E^{\mu+1}(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

The combination of (6.12)-(6.14) yields for some positive constant $\gamma = \gamma(\Omega, p, N, |y^0|_p, E(0), \varphi'(0))$:

$$F'_{\varepsilon,\mu}(t) \leq -\gamma\varphi' F_{\varepsilon,\mu}(t)^{\mu+1}, \quad \text{a.e. } t \geq 0.$$

The application of Lemma 4.1 and (5.1) yield the claimed decay estimate, once we pick, say,

$$\varphi(t) = \log(2+t) - \log(2), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Remark 6.1. *The proof of Theorem 3.2 just provided is valid for all weak solutions for which the initial displacement is further required to lie in $L^p(\Omega)$ with $(N-2)p > 2N$. The logarithmic decay estimate comes from estimating $|y(t)|_p$ in terms of the initial data and p , without any recourse to Sobolev embedding theorems.*

7. SOME EXTENSIONS OF THE METHOD

The technique devised to prove Theorem 3.2 is flexible and can be adapted to other second order evolution systems. In the sequel, we discuss some extensions of our result.

7.1. A wave equation with two damping mechanisms. Let a and b be positive constants. Let $2 < p \leq q$ be constants as well. Consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt} - \Delta y + a|y_t|^{p-2}y_t + b|y_t|^{q-2}y_t = 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega \\ y = 0 & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \Gamma \\ y(0) = y^0, \quad y_t(0) = y^1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

The energy of this system is given as in Section 1 by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \{|y_t(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla y(t, x)|^2\} dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (7.2)$$

The energy E is a nonincreasing function of the time variable t and its derivative satisfies

$$E'(t) = - \int_{\Omega} \{a|y_t(t, x)|^p + b|y_t(t, x)|^q\} dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (7.3)$$

The purpose of considering this new system is to find out which of the two damping mechanisms dictates the long time dynamics of the system. As far as existence and uniqueness of weak or strong solutions are concerned, for this new system we can apply the results of Section 2 with $g(v) := a|v|^{p-2}v + b|v|^{q-2}v$. We also have

Corollary 7.1. *If we further assume that y^0 lies in $L^q(\Omega)$, then the weak solution of (7.1) satisfies $y \in W_{loc}^{1,q}(0, \infty; L^q(\Omega))$ with*

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad |y(t)|_q \leq 2^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \left(|y_0|_q + b^{-\frac{1}{q}} t^{\frac{q-1}{q}} E(0)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right). \quad (7.4)$$

We now turn to the statement of our decay estimate results.

Theorem 7.1. (Energy decay of strong solutions.) *Assume that either Ω is convex, or Ω has a C^2 boundary. Let $q > p > 2$. Let $(y^0, y^1) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \times (H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2(q-1)}(\Omega))$. Assume that q further satisfies the inequalities*

$$q(N-4) \leq 2N < q(N-2). \quad (7.5)$$

The energy of the corresponding strong solution of (7.1) satisfies the decay estimate:

$$E(t) \leq K_1(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\mu_{p,q,N}}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (7.6)$$

where $\mu_{p,q,N} = \max\left\{\frac{p-2}{2}, \frac{(q-2)(N-4)}{8(q-1)}\right\}$, and $K_1 = K_1(\Omega, N, E(0), p, q, F(0))$ is a positive constant.

Theorem 7.2. (Energy decay of weak solutions) *Let $q > p > 2$ with $(N-2)p > 2N$. Let $y^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega)$ and $y^1 \in L^2(\Omega)$. The energy of the corresponding weak solution of (7.1) satisfies the decay estimate*

$$E(t) \leq K(\log(2+t))^{-\frac{1}{\mu_{p,q}}} \text{ if } (N-2)p > 2N \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (7.7)$$

where $\mu_{p,q} = \max\left\{\frac{p-2}{2}, \frac{1}{q-1}\right\}$, $K = K(\Omega, N, E(0), p, q, |y^0|_p, |y^0|_q)$ some positive constant.

Remark 7.1. *The proofs of Theorems 7.1 and 7.2 go along the lines of the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 respectively. We want to draw the reader's attention to the fact that the decay rate in Theorem 7.1 is $O((1+t)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}})$ provided that*

$$(q-2)(N-4) \leq 4(p-2)(q-1). \quad (7.8)$$

In this case, the long time dynamics of the system is dictated by the damping involving the exponent p . When (7.8) fails, the long time dynamics of the system is dictated by the damping

involving the exponent q . Notice that if we allow the parameter p to be equal to 2 while keeping $q > 2$ with $q(N-2) \leq 2N$, then all weak solutions will decay exponentially.

Remark 7.2. We find it helpful to include some details about how we got the constants $\mu_{p,q,N}$ and $\mu_{p,q}$ in Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 7.2 respectively. Notice that the restrictions on μ comes from estimating the terms $|y_t(t)|_2^2$ and $\int_{\Omega} (a|y_t|^{p-2}y_t y + b|y_t|^{q-2}y_t y) dx$.

We estimate $|y_t(t)|_2$ in terms of $|y_t(t)|_p$. Using Hölder's inequality, it follows:

$$|y_t(t)|_2^2 \leq C|y_t(t)|_p^2 \leq C|E'(t)|_p^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

This leads to the restriction $\mu \geq \frac{p-2}{2}$, as the proofs given above show.

As for the other term, applying Hölder's inequality once more, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} (a|y_t|^{p-2}y_t y + b|y_t|^{q-2}y_t y) dx \right| &\leq C (|y_t(t)|_p^{p-1}|y(t)|_p + |y_t(t)|_q^{q-1}|y(t)|_q) \\ &\leq C \left(|E'(t)|_p^{\frac{p-1}{p}} |y(t)|_p + |E'(t)|_q^{\frac{q-1}{q}} |y(t)|_q \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

We estimate $|y(t)|_q$ as we did in the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 respectively. When estimating $|y|_p$, we use two different approaches; for Theorem 7.1, we estimate $|y(t)|_p$ as we did in the proof of Theorems 3.1, and for Theorem 7.2, we estimate $|y(t)|_p$ by interpolation as follows

$$|y(t)|_p \leq |y(t)|_2^{\frac{2(q-p)}{p(q-2)}} |y(t)|_q^{\frac{q(p-2)}{p(q-2)}}.$$

The interpolation inequality is established by starting with

$$\int_{\Omega} |y(t, x)|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} |y(t, x)|^{\tau p} |y(t, x)|^{(1-\tau)p} dx$$

for some τ in $(0, 1)$ to be determined. Next, using Hölder's inequality, we find

$$\int_{\Omega} |y(t, x)|^{\tau p} |y(t, x)|^{(1-\tau)p} dx \leq |y(t)|_2^{\tau p} |y(t)|_q^{\frac{p(1-\tau)}{2(1-\tau)p}},$$

assuming $\tau < 2/p$. Now, setting $\frac{2(1-\tau)p}{2-\tau p} = q$, we readily derive $\tau = 2(q-p)/p(q-2)$. Hence the claimed estimate.

This interpolation leads to the restriction $\mu \geq \frac{p-2}{(q-2)(p-1)}$: indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} E^\mu |y|_p |E'|_p^{\frac{p-1}{p}} &\leq C E^{\frac{\mu+1}{p} + \frac{q-p+\mu p(q-2)-(\mu+1)(q-2)}{p(q-2)}} |y|_q^{\frac{q(p-2)}{p(q-2)}} |E'|_p^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &= C E^{\frac{\mu+1}{p}} E^{\frac{\mu(q-2)(p-1)-(p-2)}{p(q-2)}} |y|_q^{\frac{q(p-2)}{p(q-2)}} |E'|_p^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

$$\leq C E^{\frac{\mu+1}{p}} E(0)^{\frac{\mu(q-2)(p-1)-(p-2)}{p(q-2)}} |y|_q^{\frac{q(p-2)}{p(q-2)}} |E'|_p^{\frac{p-1}{p}}, \quad (7.11)$$

where the last inequality holds under the stated restriction on μ .

Notice that estimating $|y|_q$ in Theorem 7.2 leads to $\mu \geq \frac{1}{q-1}$. Hence the claimed expression of $\mu_{p,q}$.

7.2. A hinged plate equation with a nonlinear frictional damping. Let $c > 0$ be a constant and consider the following damped Euler-Bernoulli equation:

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt} + \Delta^2 y + c|y_t|^{p-2}y_t = 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega \\ y = \Delta y = 0 & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \Gamma \\ y(0) = y^0, \quad y_t(0) = y^1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (7.12)$$

The energy of this system is given by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \{|y_t(t, x)|^2 + |\Delta y(t, x)|^2\} dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

and it is a nonincreasing function of the time variable t as we have

$$E'(t) = -c \int_{\Omega} |y_t(t, x)|^p dx, \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0.$$

For this new system, strong and weak solutions are defined in an analogous way as above. We have the following energy decay estimate results:

Theorem 7.3. (A decay property for strong solutions.) *Assume that either Ω is convex, or Ω has a C^4 boundary. Let $p > 2$. Let $(y^0, y^1) \in (H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \times (H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2(p-1)}(\Omega))$. Assume that p further satisfies the inequalities*

$$p(N-6) \leq 2N < p(N-4).$$

Then the energy of the corresponding strong solution of (7.12) satisfies the decay estimate:

$$E(t) \leq K_1(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{\mu_{p,N}}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (7.13)$$

where $\mu_{p,N} = \frac{(p-2)}{2} \max\left\{1, \frac{N-6}{6(p-1)}\right\}$, and $K_1 = K_1(\Omega, N, E(0), p, F(0))$ is a positive constant. The function F is defined by

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \{|\nabla y_t(t, x)|^2 + |\nabla \Delta y(t, x)|^2\} dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

and it can be shown that it is nonincreasing.

Theorem 7.4. (A logarithmic decay rate under a weak additional assumption on y^0 .) *Let $p > 2$. Let $y^0 \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega))$ and $y^1 \in L^2(\Omega)$. Assuming $(N-4)p > 2N$, the energy of the corresponding weak solution of (7.12) satisfies the decay estimate*

$$E(t) \leq K(\log(2+t))^{-\frac{1}{\mu_p}} \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (7.14)$$

where $\mu_p = \max\left\{\frac{p-2}{2}, \frac{1}{p-1}\right\}$, and $K = K(\Omega, N, E(0), p, |y^0|_p)$ is a positive constant.

7.3. A plate equation with a nonlinear structural damping. A few years ago the second author of this work considered the following damped Euler-Bernoulli equation [21]:

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt} + \Delta^2 y - \operatorname{div}(a|\nabla y_t|^{p-2}\nabla y_t) = 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega \\ y = \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \Gamma \\ y(0) = y^0, \quad y'(0) = y^1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (7.15)$$

System (7.15) corresponds to the clamped plate equation with structural damping [4] when $a \equiv 1$, $p = 2$, and $N = 2$; thus (7.15) is a nonlinear generalization of the mathematical model proposed by Russell and Chen in their work.

The energy of this system is given by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \{|y_t(t, x)|^2 + |\Delta y(t, x)|^2\} dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

and it is a nonincreasing function of the time variable t as we have

$$E'(t) = - \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla y_t(t, x)|^p dx, \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0.$$

The damping was then localized in a convenient subdomain, and several stabilization results were established, [21]. The following result was also established in the case of a globally distributed damping:

Theorem 7.5. [21] *Suppose that $a \equiv 1$ in Ω . Let $p > 2$ further satisfy:*

$$(N - 2)p < 3N - 4, \text{ and } (N - 2)p \leq 2N.$$

Then every weak solution of (7.15) satisfies

$$E(t) \leq K(E(0))(1 + t)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where K is a positive constant depending on the initial data as indicated, and also depends on the parameters of the system.

For this new system, if one drops the restrictions on the parameter p , namely choosing p with $p(N - 2) > 2N$, and $y^0 \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $y^1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, then using the technique devised to prove Theorem 3.2, one can show that the energy of the corresponding weak solution of (7.15) satisfies

$$E(t) \leq K(\log(2 + t))^{-\frac{1}{\mu_p}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (7.16)$$

where $\mu_p = \max\{\frac{p-2}{2}, \frac{1}{p-1}\}$, and $K = K(\Omega, N, E(0), p, |y^0|_p)$ is a positive constant.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Alabau-Boussouira, A unified approach via convexity for optimal energy decay rates of finite and infinite dimensional vibrating damped systems with applications to semi-discretized vibrating damped systems. *J. Differential Equations* **248** (2010), 1473-1517.
- [2] H. Brezis, *Operateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert* North-Holland, 1973.
- [3] H. Brezis, *Problèmes unilatéraux*, Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1972.
- [4] G. Chen, D.L. Russell, A mathematical model for linear elastic systems with structural damping. *Quart. Appl. Math.* **39** (1981/82), 433-454.
- [5] A. Guesmia, A new approach of stabilization of nondissipative distributed systems. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **42** (2003), 24-52.
- [6] A. Haraux, *Oscillations forcées pour certains systèmes dissipatifs non linéaires*, Publications du Laboratoire d'Analyse Numérique, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris (1978), No. 78010.
- [7] A. Haraux, Comportement à l'infini pour une équation des ondes non linéaire dissipative, *C.R.A.S. Paris Ser.A* **287** (1978), 507-509.
- [8] A. Haraux, Comportement à l'infini pour certains systèmes dissipatifs non linéaires, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **84** (1979), 213-234.
- [9] A. Haraux, Stabilization of trajectories for some weakly damped hyperbolic equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **59** (1985), 145-154.
- [10] A. Haraux, A new characterization of weak solutions to the damped wave equation, *Funcialaj Ekvacioj* **31** (1988), 471-482.
- [11] A. Haraux, Semi-linear hyperbolic problems in bounded domains. *Math. Rep.* **3** (1987), no. 1, i-xxiv and 1-281.
- [12] A. Haraux, *Nonlinear vibrations and the wave equation. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. BCAM SpringerBriefs. Springer, Cham, 2018.*
- [13] V. Komornik, *Exact controllability and stabilization. The multiplier method*, RAM, Masson & John Wiley, Paris, 1994.
- [14] J.L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod-Gauthier-villars, Paris, 1969.
- [15] P. Martinez, Stabilisation de systèmes distribués semi-linéaires: domaines presque étoilés et inégalités intégrales généralisées. PhD Thesis. University of Strasbourg, France, 1998.

- [16] P. Martinez, A new method to obtain decay rate estimates for dissipative systems. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **4** (1999), 419-444.
- [17] P. Martinez, Stabilization for the wave equation with Neumann boundary condition by a locally distributed damping. *Contrôle des systèmes gouvernés par des équations aux dérivées partielles* (Nancy, 1999), 119-136 (electronic), *ESAIM Proc.*, 8, Soc. Math. Appl. Indust., Paris, 2000.
- [18] M. Nakao, Asymptotic stability for some nonlinear evolution equations of second order with unbounded dissipative terms. *J. Differential Equations* **30** (1978), 54-63.
- [19] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze, Ser.* **13** (1959), 115-162.
- [20] M. Rammaha, D.Toundykov, and Z. Wilstein, Global existence and decay of energy for a nonlinear wave equation with p -Laplacian damping. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **32** (2012), 4361-4390.
- [21] L. Tebou, Well-posedness and stabilization of an Euler-Bernoulli equation with a localized nonlinear dissipation involving the p -Laplacian. *DCDS A*, **32** (2012), 2315-2337.