

A new particle approximation to the diffusive Dean-Kawasaki equation with colored noise

Hao DING *

April 26, 2022

Abstract

Following the idea of Q -Wiener process on Wasserstein space, we construct a new particle approximation to the regularised martingale solution of the diffusive Dean-Kawasaki equation on 1-D Torus with colored noise, whose spatial correlated intensity is larger than 1.

1 Introduction

Dean-Kawasaki equation is a class of nonlinear SPDEs arising in fluctuating hydrodynamics theory([Kaw98], [Dea96],[Eyi90]). As a prototype, one may consider the following diffusive Dean-Kawasaki equation

$$\partial_t \mu = \alpha \Delta \mu - \nabla \cdot (\sqrt{\mu} \dot{\xi}), \quad (1.1)$$

for space-time white noise $\dot{\xi}$ and $\alpha > 0$. In general, we say a continuous measure-valued process $\{\mu_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is a solution to the diffusive Dean-Kawasaki martingale problem $(MP)_{\mu_0}^\alpha$ of (1.1) with initial condition μ_0 if there exists a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ such that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$,

$$M_t(\phi) := \langle \mu_t, \phi \rangle - \langle \mu_0, \phi \rangle - \alpha \int_0^t \langle \mu_s, \Delta \phi \rangle ds$$

is a \mathcal{F}_t - adapted martingale, whose quadratic variation is given by

$$\langle M_t(\phi) \rangle = \int_0^t \|\nabla \phi\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mu_s)}^2 ds.$$

The well-posedness of (1.1) is challenging. The noise coefficient $\sqrt{\mu}$ causes nonlinearity and possible lack of Lipschitz continuity, also the noise term in the form of a stochastic conservation law causes irregularity. Actually, according to the regularity theory [Hai14], (1.1) is a supercritical equation due to the irregularity of space-time white noise. And in [KLV19], it is proved that a unique measure-valued martingale solution to (1.1) exists if and only if $2\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^+$, and in this case, the solution is trivial, i.e. $\mu_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{W_t^i}$, where $\{W_t^i\}_{i=1, \dots, N}$ are N independent Brownian particles.

In order to get nontrivial solutions, many works gave regularization methods in various settings, along with some particle approximations. Stuem, Von Renesse, Konarovskyi and their collaborators ([vRS09, KvR17, KvR15, AvR10, Kon17]) proved that the Wasserstein

*Email: dinghao16@mails.ucas.ac.cn

diffusion, which can be seen as a infinite dimensional counterpart of Brownian motion in probability measure space equipped with Otto's formal Riemannian metric, is a solution to the Dean-Kawasaki equation with a modified drift term. And they also gave several related particle models in case of 1-D Torus. Cornalba, Shardlow and Zimmer ([CSZ19], [CSZ20]) regularized the model from second order Langevin dynamic derivation and get well-posedness for a regularised undamped equivalent of (1.1). Other works ([Mar10, FG21]) deal with the case when the noise is spatially regularized. For example, Fehrman and Gess proved a general well-posedness result on a class of Dean-Kawasaki type equations in Stratonovich form of multiplicative noise in [FG21]. Besides, Marx ([Mar18]) gave a particle approximation to a diffusion process on $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$, which has similar properties of Wasserstein diffusion but have better regularity.

According to the literature we know, there is existence of nontrivial solutions of (1.1) only when the spatial correlated intensity is larger than $\frac{3}{2}$. Also, only under such conditions on noise, can a particle approximation model, whose limit measure has a good spatial regularity, be constructed. The main contribution of this paper is that, inspired by the idea of Q -Wiener process on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T})$, we give a new particle approximation to the solution to the regularised martingale problem $(RMP)_{1_T dx}^{\alpha, \beta}$ of the diffusive Dean-Kawasaki equation on 1-D Torus in sense of definition 2.1, with colored noise ξ_μ^β (see (2.3)), whose spatial correlated intensity is larger than 1 (see definition 1.1), thus proving the existence of solution in this case. We also prove that such solution $\{\mu_t, t \in [0, T]\}$, approximated by the interacting particle model, is nonatomic for all $t \in [0, T]$ almost surely. Next, we will introduce the motivation of the particle model's construction.

1.1 Insight from Q -Wiener process on Wasserstein space

Generally, let Q be a nonnegative definite symmetric trace-class on a separable Hilbert space K , $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be an O.N.B. in K diagonalizing Q , and the corresponding eigenvalues be $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$. Then, in general, we say the following process

$$W_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j W_t^j$$

is a Q -Wiener process in K . its derivative with respect t in distributional sense, which denoted as \dot{W}_t , are called a colored Guassian noise.

Definition 1.1. *We say the spatial correlated intensity of W_t is larger than β if*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{\beta-1} \lambda_j < \infty.$$

We always realize the 1-D Torus as the interval $[0, 1]$ in this paper. For the case $K = L^2(\mathbb{T})$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} e_k &= \sqrt{2} \sin(2k\pi x), & k &= 1, 2, \dots; \\ e_0 &= 1; \\ e_k &= \sqrt{2} \cos(2k\pi x), & k &= -1, -2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$K_2^\beta = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{2\beta}},$$

where $\beta > 1$ is a constant such that $K_2^\beta < \infty$. Let $\{W^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of independent standard Brownian motions on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$. Then a kind of Q -Wiener process on $L^2([0, 1])$ with spatial correlated intensity β can be defined as

$$\xi^\beta(t, x) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|k|^\beta} e_k(x) W_t^k$$

and it satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi^\beta(t, x)] = 0; \quad \mathbb{E}[\xi^\beta(t, x)\xi^\beta(s, y)] = t \wedge s \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{|k|^{2\beta}} \cos(2k(x - y)) \right).$$

It is obvious that $\xi^\beta(t) \in L^2([0, 1])$, $(t, \omega) - a.s.$. The kernel

$$\bar{Q}^\beta(x, y) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{|k|^{2\beta}} \cos(2k(x - y))$$

determines the distribution of ξ^β , and of course, its spatial correlated intensity. Generally, for a spatially correlated noise with such kernel, we denote it as $(\bar{Q}^\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -Wiener process.

Q -Wiener process can be naturally seen as a infinite dimensional counterpart of Brownian motion in K . On the other hand, it is known (see [vRS09], [AvR10], [Wan21]) that the solution of (1.1) or its regularised form can be seen as a Wasserstein diffusion. To introduce the motivation of the particle model in section 3, we start from the viewpoint of Q -Wiener process on Wasserstein space. Firstly, we will briefly show the connection between Q -Wiener process on Wasserstein space and the solution to the diffusive Dean-Kawasaki equation.

In [DFL22], they construct a Q -Wiener process on the Wasserstein space on general connected compact Riemannian manifold M . When it applies to the case $M = \mathbb{T}$, we can choose the orthonormal system as the standard Fourier base $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ on $[0, 1]$, then

$$dX_t^Q = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k e_k(X_t^Q) dW_t^k \tag{1.2}$$

induce a stochastic C^1 -diffeomorphic flow when $a_k = \frac{1}{|k|^4}$. Suppose that $\mu_0 = \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]} dx$, let $\mu_t^Q = (X_t^Q)_\# \mu_0$, and denote

$$C = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|k|^8}.$$

By applying Itô formula on $\langle f, \mu_t^Q \rangle$ for $f \in C^2(M)$, we get

$$d\langle f, \mu_t^Q \rangle = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|k|^4} \langle f', e_k \rangle_{\mu_t^Q} dW_t^k + C \langle f'', \mu_t^Q \rangle dt. \tag{1.3}$$

We can rewrite (1.3) in form of SPDE on μ_t^Q :

$$\partial_t \mu^Q = C \partial_x^2 \mu^Q - \partial_x (\mu^Q \dot{\xi}^\beta) \quad (1.4)$$

for $\beta = 4$. We see that the drift term coincides with the drift term in the diffusive Dean-Kawasaki equation. Following this idea, we want to construct a solution as a image measure process induced by a process X_t , which is in form of (1.2). In fact, from the point of fluid dynamic, if we see the diffusive Dean-Kawasaki equation as a Eulerian discription of some stochastically moving fluid, then, our construction can be seen as a corresponding Lagrangian's discription.

However, μ_t^Q can never be a candidate for the solution of martingale problem associated with the diffusive Dean-Kawasaki equation because their quadratic variation process are not consistent. In fact, if we assume $a_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ in (1.2), we can formally write the flow equation as

$$dX_t' = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e_k(X_t') dW_t^k.$$

We denote $\mu_t' = (X_t')_{\#} \mu_0$, and we formally find the quadratic variation of the martingale part of $\langle f, \mu_t' \rangle$ should satisfy

$$d \langle f, \mu_t' \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f', e_i \rangle_{\mu_t'}^2 dt,$$

while for the solution μ_t of $(MP)_{\mu_0}^C$,

$$d \langle f, \mu_t \rangle = \|f'\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mu_t)}^2 dt.$$

This is not surprising because if one wants to construct a Brownian motion on a manifold, the 'velocity' should be stochastically parallel translated along the path, while in (1.2), the vector fields $\{e_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are fixed. Stochastic parallel translation on Wasserstein space is studied in [DFL22]. However, we still do not know the construction of stochastically parallel translation in general case. Here, as an experimental attempt, let

$$dX_t = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e_k(X_t) dW_t^k, \quad (1.5)$$

then formally we have

$$\begin{aligned} d \langle f, \mu_t \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f', e_i(X_t^{-1}) \rangle_{\mu_t}^2 dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle f'(X_t), e_i \rangle_{\mu_0}^2 dt \\ &= \|f'(X_t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mu_0)}^2 dt = \|f'\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mu_t)}^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

Although the computation above is not strict, we still get a direct insight: we can construct a solution to diffusive Dean-Kawasaki equation on Torus by constructing a image process induced by a diffeomorphic, or at least one-to-one continuous flow X_t satisfying

$$dX_t = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i e_i(t, X_t) dW_t^i,$$

where $\{e_i(t, x)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a stochastically moving frame in form of $\{e_i(X_t^{-1}(x))\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. We will construct a new particle approximation in section 3 by following this idea.

1.2 Organization of this paper

In section 2 , we give the definition of the noise term ξ_μ^β and regularised martingale problem $(RMP)_{\mu_0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ for initial measure $\mu_0 = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{T}}dx$. As a remark, we show its consistency with usual martingale problem to (1.1). In section 3, we construct a particle model. Theorem 3.1 shows the well-posedness of this model for any $\beta > 1$. In section 4, we prove that, as the particle number goes to infinity, the distribution of the empirical measure process in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}))$ is tight(see theorem 4.1). so that we can find a limiting process $\{p_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ in some sense, which is a solution to the regularised martingale problem $(RMP)_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{T}}dx}^{K_2^\beta, \beta}$. Therefore, the existence of solution to $(RMP)_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{T}}dx}^{K_2^\beta, \beta}$ can be proved(see theorem 4.2). As a necessary step in the proof, we also find that p_t is non-atomic for all $t \in [0, T]$ almost surely (see lemma 4.3).

2 Introduction of the regularised martingale problem and the noise

we firstly give the definition of regularised martingale problem $(RMP)_{\mu_0}^{\alpha,\beta}$ for $\mu_0(dx) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{T}}dx$:

Definition 2.1. *We say a continuous $\mathbb{P}([0, 1])$ -valued process $\{\mu_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is a solution to the regularised martingale problem $(RMP)_{\mu_0}^{\alpha,\beta}$, if there exists a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ such that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^2([0, 1])$,*

$$M_t(\phi) := \langle \mu_t, \phi \rangle - \langle \mu_0, \phi \rangle - \alpha \int_0^t \langle \mu_s, \phi'' \rangle ds$$

is a \mathcal{F}_t - adapted martingale, whose quadratic variation process is given by

$$\langle M_t(\phi) \rangle = \int_0^t Q_{\mu_s}^\beta(\phi, \phi) ds.$$

The quadratic form $Q_{\mu_s}^\beta(\phi, \phi)$ is defined as

$$Q_{\mu_s}^\beta(\phi, \phi) := \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \phi'(x)\phi'(y) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \cos(2\pi k(F_{\mu_s}(x) - F_{\mu_s}(y))) \right) \mu_s(dx) \mu_s(dy),$$

where F_{μ_s} is the distribution function of μ_s , satisfying $F_{\mu_s}(0) = 0, F_{\mu_s}(1) = 1$ and

$$F_{\mu_s}(x) = \int_0^x \mathbb{1}_{(0,x]}(y) \mu_s(dy), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

In particular, we denote such regularised martingale problem, with initial condition $\mu_0 = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{T}}dx$, as $(RMP)_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{T}}dx}^{\alpha,\beta}$.

Note that, due to $dx = (F)_\# \mu$, we have

$$Q_{\mu_s}^\beta(\phi, \phi) = \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \phi'(G_{\mu_s}(x))\phi'(G_{\mu_s}(y)) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \cos(2\pi k(x - y)) \right) dx dy,$$

where G_{μ_s} is the quantile function of μ_s . Because $|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \cos(2\pi k(x-y))| < 2K_2^\beta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mu_s}^\beta(\phi, \phi) &= \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \phi'(G_{\mu_s}(x))\phi'(G_{\mu_s}(y)) \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} e_k(x)e_k(y) \right) dx dy \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} \int_{[0,1]} \phi'(G_{\mu_s}(x))e_k(x)dx \int_{[0,1]} \phi'(G_{\mu_s}(y))e_k(y)dy \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2\beta}} |\widehat{\phi'(G_{\mu_s})}_k|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where the fourier coefficients are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_k &= 2 \int_0^1 f(x) \sin(2\pi kx) dx, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots; \\ \widehat{f}_0 &= \int_0^1 f(x) dx; \\ \widehat{f}_k &= 2 \int_0^1 f(x) \cos(2\pi kx) dx, \quad k = -1, -2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. In fact, (2.1) shows that the spatial correlated intensity of the noise is β , which we will only require $\beta > 1$ in theorem 4.1. Especially, when $\beta = 0$, the quadratic variation above becomes

$$\langle M_t(\phi) \rangle = \int_0^t \|\phi'(G_{\mu_s}(x))\|_{L^2[0,1]}^2 ds = \int_0^t \|\phi'\|_{L^2(\mu_s)}^2 ds. \quad (2.2)$$

Although this is just a formal computation, since we can not prove the existence of μ_s a priori, it still shows that our definition of regularised martingale problem is consistent with the definition of general martingale problem(see [KLvR19]).

Next, we introduce the colored noise ξ_μ^β . Note that, given $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^2([0,1])$, the kernel

$$\bar{Q}_\mu^\beta(x, y) := 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \cos(2\pi k(F_\mu(x) - F_\mu(y)))$$

determines a martingale $M_t(\phi)$ in distribution. Although $L^2(\mu)$ may not be separable, we still can define a $(\bar{Q}_\mu^\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -Wiener process in the tangent space $L^2(\mu)$ with orthonormal eigenfunctions $\{e_k(\mu)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L^2(\mu)$. In fact, we define

$$e_k(\mu, x) = e_k(F_\mu(x)), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Because $dx = (F)_\# \mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]} \bar{Q}_\mu^\beta(x, y) e_k(F(y)) \mu(dy) &= \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|i|^{2\beta}} e_i(F(x)) e_i(F(y)) \right) e_k(F(y)) \mu(dy) \\ &= \int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|i|^{2\beta}} e_i(F(x)) e_i(y) \right) e_k(y) dy = \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} e_k(F(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for general $\mu \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T})$, we still can define a generalized $(\bar{Q}_\mu^\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -Wiener process in $L^2(\mu)$ as

$$\xi_\mu^\beta(t, x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|k|^\beta} e_k(F_\mu) W_t^k \quad (2.3)$$

where $\{e_k(\mu, \cdot)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of orthonormal vectors in $L^2(\mu)$. And ξ_μ^β satisfies:

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_\mu^\beta(t, x)] = 0; \quad \mathbb{E}[\xi_\mu^\beta(t, x) \xi_\mu^\beta(s, y)] = (t \wedge s) \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \cos(2\pi k(F_\mu(x) - F_\mu(y))) \right),$$

We denote its time derivative, in distribution, as $\dot{\xi}_\mu^\beta$. Still, it can be proved by Doob's inequality, that $\dot{\xi}_\mu^\beta(t, \cdot) \in L^2(\mu_t) - a.s.$

Remark 2.3. *If the solution μ_t to $(RMP)_{\mu_0}^{\alpha, \beta}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, i.e. $d\mu_t = \rho_t dx$, then it is obvious that $\{\rho_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is a martingale solution to the following SPDE*

$$\partial_t \rho = \alpha \partial_x^2 \rho - \partial_x(\rho \dot{\xi}_\rho^\beta).$$

Comparing with the original form of diffusive Dean-Kawasaki equation (1.1), we actually change the bad term $\sqrt{\mu}$ into μ by transferring nonlinearity to the noise. Luckily, in case of 1-D Torus, the noise ξ_μ^β has the form of (2.3) so that we can analyse it.

3 Construction of the particle model on \mathbb{T}

Following the idea introduced in section 1.1 and the definition of $L^2(\mu)$ -Wiener process ξ_μ^β , we choose $e_k(\mu, x)$ as the stochastically moving frame, and we want to construct a solution to $(RMP)_{\mu_0}^\alpha$ as a image measure process $\mu_t = (X_t)_\# \mu_0$, where the process X_t satisfies

$$dX_t = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|k|^\beta} e_k(X_0) dW_t^k.$$

The main difficulty is we can not guarantee X_t is a diffeomorphism, or even a one-to-one \mathcal{C}^α map, when β is only larger than 1. Although in this paper we will not analyse X_t directly since we only need to construct the particle approximation of X_t , the similar difficulty still appears in the construction of the particle model. In detail, given N particles $\{X_N^i(t)\}_{i=1, \dots, N}$, if we use a direct idea for the construction of a particle approximation to X_t , we usually want $X_N^i(t)$ to satisfy

$$dX_N^i(t) = \sum_{k=-N}^{+N} \frac{1}{|k|^\beta} e_k(X_N^i(0)) dW_t^k, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

However, we can not guarantee that $\{X_N^i(t)\}_{i=1, \dots, N}$ do not collide for $t \in [0, T]$, i.e. $\exists i, j$ and $T > t > 0$ such that $X_N^i(t) = X_N^{j+1}(t)$. This collision phenomenon shows the problem of concentration of mass, which is one of the main obstacle to avoid triviality of the solution to the martingale problem of (1.1). Inspired by mean-field background ([RS93], [LLX20]), We will construct a interacting particle model without collision by adding a repulsive interaction between $\{X_N^i(t)\}$, and make sure that the interaction term is so small that its influence can be neglected when the empirical measure of $\{X_N(t)\}$ weakly converges to a solution to

$(RMP)_{1_T dx}^{\alpha, \beta}$. In this section, we will construct the particle model.

For each $N > 0$, we define the following process

$$dX_N^i(t) = \frac{1}{2N^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \cot(\pi(X_N^i(t) - X_N^j(t))) dt + \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^\beta} e_k(x^i) dW_t^k, \quad (3.1)$$

where the initial value is $X_0^i = x^i$. Note that in this case, the diffusion coefficient is fixed since $e_k(x^i)$ is independent of $\{X_N^i(t)\}_{i=1, \dots, N}$. α is some positive constant which will be chosen later.

Define $\Delta_N = \{(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N} \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N, \text{ and } |x_1 - x_N| < 1\}$ and $X_N(t) = (X_N^i(t))_{1 \leq i \leq N}$. We denote

$$K_1^N = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{4\pi^2}{j^{2\beta-2}}; \quad K_2^N = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{j^{2\beta}}.$$

where $\beta > 1$ is a constant such that $K_2^N < \infty$. It is obvious that $K_1^N \leq O(N^{3-2\beta})$ for $1 < \beta < \frac{3}{2}$, $K_1^N \leq O(\log N)$ for $\beta = \frac{3}{2}$ and $K_1^N \leq C$ for $\beta > \frac{3}{2}$.

Theorem 3.1. *For any $\beta > 1$ and initial condition $X_N^i(0) = \frac{i}{N}$, we choose $0 < \alpha < (2\beta - 2) \wedge 1$. Then there exists a unique strong solution $(X_N(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$, which takes value in Δ_N , to SDE (3.1) when N is large enough.*

Proof. We follow the method stated in [LLX20] and [RS93]. We firstly construct the truncated process. Let $\phi_R(x)$ be a $\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$ function which satisfies $\phi_R(x) = \cot(\pi x)$ for $x \in (-1 + \frac{1}{R}, -\frac{1}{R}) \cup (\frac{1}{R}, 1 - \frac{1}{R})$. Then the following SDE

$$dX_{R,N}^i(t) = \frac{1}{2N^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \phi_R(X_{R,N}^i(t) - X_{R,N}^j(t)) dt + \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^\beta} e_k(\frac{i}{N}) dW_t^k,$$

with initial value $X_{R,N}^i(0) = \frac{i}{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$, has a unique strong solution $X_{R,N}(t)$. Let

$$\tau_R := \inf \left\{ t : \min_{l \neq j} |e^{2\pi i X_{R,N}^l(t)} - e^{2\pi i X_{R,N}^j(t)}| \leq R^{-1} \right\}.$$

Then τ_R is monotone increasing in R and $X_{R,N}(t) = X_{R',N}(t)$ for all $t \leq \tau_R$ and $R < R'$. Let $X_N(t) = X_{R,N}(t)$ on $t \in [0, \tau_R)$. Then we need to prove: $(X_N(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ does not explode, never collide and $|X_N(t) - X_1(t)| < 1$. For abbreviation of notation, we denote $X_N^i(t)$ as X_t^i without confusion.

Firstly, we prove non-explosion. Let $R_t^N := \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_t^i)^2$, then by Ito formula,

$$dR_t^N = \left(\frac{N-1}{4N^{1+\alpha}} + K_2^N \right) dt + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_t^i \left(\sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^\beta} e_k(\frac{i}{N}) dW_t^k \right)$$

Computing the quadratic variation process of R_t^N , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \langle R_t^N \rangle &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_t^i e_k\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N (X_t^i)^2 e_k^2\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^N X_t^i X_t^j e_k\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) e_k\left(\frac{j}{N}\right) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{2}{N^2} K_2^N \sum_{i=1}^N (X_t^i)^2 + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^N X_t^i X_t^j \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} e_k\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) e_k\left(\frac{j}{N}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left| \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} e_k\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) e_k\left(\frac{j}{N}\right) \right| = \left| 1 + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \cos\left(\frac{i-j}{N} 2k\pi\right) \right| < 2K_2^\beta,$$

thus,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle R_t^N \rangle < \left(\frac{C_1}{N} + C_2 \right) R_t^N.$$

Then, by B.D.G. inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \max_{s \in [0, t]} R_s^N \right|^2 \right] &\leq Ct^2 + \mathbb{E} \left[\left\langle \int_0^t \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_s^i \left(\sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^\beta} e_k\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) dW_s^k \right) \right\rangle \right] \\
&\leq Ct^2 + C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} [R_s^N] \mathfrak{s} \\
&\leq Ct^2 + C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{q \in [0, s]} R_q^N \right] \mathfrak{s}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, by Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \max_{s \in [0, t]} R_s^N \right|^2 \right] \geq \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{s \in [0, t]} R_s^N \right] \right)^2. \tag{3.3}$$

Denote $r(t) := \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{s \in [0, t]} R_s^N \right] \right)^2$. By (3.2) and (3.3), we finally get

$$r(t) \leq Ct^2 + C \int_0^t \sqrt{r(s)} \mathfrak{s}.$$

According to Gronwall type inequality and monotonicity of $r(t)$, we prove non-explosion of r . It follows that, if we set $\zeta = \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_K$, where

$$\zeta_K := \inf \{ t \geq 0 : |X_t^j| \geq K, \text{ for some } j = 1, \dots, N \}, \tag{3.4}$$

then $\zeta \geq T$ for any T . R_t^N will not explode in finite time almost surely. Thus the process $\{e^{2\pi i X_N^j(t)}\}_{j=1, \dots, N}$ is well defined on $[0, T]$.

We secondly prove the non-collision. Consider the Lyapunov function $F(x_1, \dots, x_N) = -\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{l \neq j} \log |e^{2\pi i x_l} - e^{2\pi i x_j}|$, by Itô formula,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_t F(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^N) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq l}^N \cot(\pi(X_t^l - X_t^j)) dX_t^l + \frac{1}{2N^2} \left(\sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq l}^N \frac{\pi}{\sin^2(\pi(X_t^l - X_t^j))} d_t \langle X_t^l \rangle \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2N^2} \left(\sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq l}^N \frac{\pi}{\sin^2(\pi(X_t^l - X_t^j))} d_t \langle X_t^l, X_t^j \rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

Note that for the above three terms (denoted as **A**, **B** and **C**), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= M_N(t) - \frac{1}{4N^{3+\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \cot^2(\pi(X_t^i - X_t^j)) dt \\ \mathbf{B} &= \frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq l}^N \left(\frac{\pi}{\sin^2(\pi(X_t^l - X_t^j))} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} |e_k(\frac{l}{N})|^2 \right) dt \\ \mathbf{C} &= -\frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq l}^N \left(\frac{\pi}{\sin^2(\pi(X_t^l - X_t^j))} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} e_k(\frac{l}{N}) e_k(\frac{j}{N}) \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_N(t)$ is a local martingale. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_t F(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^N) \\ &= \frac{1}{2N^2} \left(-\frac{1}{2N^{1+\alpha}} \sum_{1 \leq l < j \leq N} \cot^2(\pi(X_t^l - X_t^j)) + \pi \sum_{1 \leq l < j \leq N} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} \frac{|e_k(\frac{l}{N}) - e_k(\frac{j}{N})|^2}{\sin^2(\pi(X_t^l - X_t^j))} \right) dt \\ &+ d_t M_N(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Next, we are going to estimate $\sum_{1 \leq l < j \leq N} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} \frac{|e_k(\frac{l}{N}) - e_k(\frac{j}{N})|^2}{\sin^2(\pi(X_t^l - X_t^j))}$. We divide it into three parts:

$$\begin{aligned} (A) &= \sum_{M=1}^{M_1-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-M} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} \left| \frac{e_k(\frac{i}{N}) - e_k(\frac{i+M}{N})}{\sin(\pi(X_t^i - X_t^{i+M}))} \right|^2 \\ (B) &= \sum_{M=M_1}^{M_2-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-M} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} \left| \frac{e_k(\frac{i}{N}) - e_k(\frac{i+M}{N})}{\sin(\pi(X_t^i - X_t^{i+M}))} \right|^2 \\ (C) &= \sum_{M=M_2}^{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-M} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} \left| \frac{e_k(\frac{i}{N}) - e_k(\frac{i+M}{N})}{\sin(\pi(X_t^i - X_t^{i+M}))} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$\begin{aligned}
a_m &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-m} \left| \frac{1}{\sin(\pi(X_t^i - X_t^{i+m}))} \right|^2; \\
b_m &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-m} \left| \frac{1}{\pi(X_t^i - X_t^{i+m})} \right|^2; \\
c_m &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-m} \left| \frac{1}{\tan(\pi(X_t^i - X_t^{i+m}))} \right|^2; \\
Q_N &= \frac{1}{2N^{1+\alpha}} \sum_{1 \leq l < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|\tan(\pi(X_t^l - X_t^{j+m}))|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (A) , Note that

$$|e_k(\frac{i}{N}) - e_k(\frac{i+M}{N})| \leq \frac{1}{|k|^{-1}} \frac{2\sqrt{2}\pi M}{N}. \quad (3.6)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
(A) &\leq \sum_{M=1}^{M_1-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-M} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta-2}} \frac{8\pi^2 M^2}{N^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi(X_t^i - X_t^{i+M}))} \\
&\leq \frac{CK_1^N}{N^2} \sum_{M=1}^{M_1-1} M^2 a_M \\
&< \frac{M_1^2}{N^{2-\epsilon}} \sum_{M=1}^{M_1-1} a_M.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon := (3 - 2\beta) \vee 0$. We pick $\alpha' > \alpha + \epsilon$ and choose M_1 such that

$$M_1^2 \leq N^{1-\alpha'}, \quad (3.7)$$

then we have

$$(A) \leq \frac{1}{N^{1+\alpha'-\epsilon}} \sum_{M=1}^{M_1-1} a_M < \frac{1}{6N^{1+\alpha}} \sum_{1 \leq l < j \leq N} \frac{1}{\sin^2(\pi(X_t^l - X_t^j))} = \frac{1}{3} Q_N + \frac{N-1}{12N^\alpha}.$$

When M is large, (3.6) is not enough to estimate (B) and (C) . Note that

$$\frac{1}{\sin^2 x} = \frac{1}{\tan^2 x} + 1 \leq \frac{1}{x^2} + 1 \leq \frac{1}{\sin^2 x} + 1 = \frac{1}{\tan^2 x} + 2. \quad (3.8)$$

Because of convexity of the function $\frac{1}{x^2}$, we have, for each $1 \leq k \leq [\frac{M}{2}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|X_t^i - X_t^{i+M}|^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{|\sum_{l=0}^{M-k} (X_t^{i+l} - X_t^{i+l+k}) + \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} (-X_t^{i+n} + X_t^{i+M-k+n})|^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{M^3} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{M-k} \frac{1}{|X_t^{i+l} - X_t^{i+l+k}|^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{|X_t^{i+n} - X_t^{i+M-k+n}|^2} \right)
\end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_M &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-M} \frac{1}{\pi^2 \lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{M^3} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{M-k} \frac{1}{|X_t^{i+l} - X_t^{i+l+k}|^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{|X_t^{n+i} - X_t^{i+n+M-k}|^2} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\pi^2 M^4} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{M-k} \sum_{i=1}^{N-M} \frac{1}{|X_t^{i+l} - X_t^{i+l+k}|^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-M} \frac{1}{|X_t^{n+i} - X_t^{i+n+M-k}|^2} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{M}{M^4} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor} (b_k + b_{M-k}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

We denote $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$, then

$$b_M < \frac{1}{M^3} S_{M-1}. \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, we see that, for $m > n$,

$$\frac{S_m}{S_n} < \prod_{j=n}^{m-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{j^3}\right). \tag{3.12}$$

And when N goes to infinity and n is large enough,

$$\log \frac{S_\infty}{S_n} < \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^3} \leq \frac{1}{n^2}. \tag{3.13}$$

For (C), by (3.8), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
(C) &< \sum_{M=M_2}^{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-M} \frac{K_2^\beta}{|\sin(\pi(X_t^i - X_t^{i+M}))|^2} \\
&\leq K_2^\beta \sum_{M=M_2}^{N-1} (b_M + N - M) \\
&= K_2^\beta (S_{N-1} - S_{M_2}) + K_2^\beta \sum_{M=M_2}^{N-1} (N - M).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Combined with (3.12), (3.13) and choose M_2 such that

$$N^2 \gg M_2^2 \geq N^{1+\eta} > N^{1+\alpha}, \tag{3.15}$$

for some constant $\eta > \alpha$, then, using (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(C) &\leq \frac{1}{N^{1+\eta}} S_{M_2} + K_2^\beta \sum_{M=M_2}^{N-1} (N - M) \\
&< \frac{1}{3} Q_N + \sum_{M=1}^{N-1} (N - M) < \frac{1}{3} Q_N + K_2^\beta N^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Based on the estimates above , we deal with the part (B) .

$$\begin{aligned} (B) &\leq \sum_{M=M_1}^{M_2-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-M} \frac{8\pi^2 M^2}{N^2 \sin^2(\pi(X_t^i - X_t^{i+M}))} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta-2}} \\ &\leq CK_1^N \sum_{M=M_1}^{M_2} \frac{M^2}{N^2} (b_M + N - M). \end{aligned}$$

Based on (3.7) and (3.15) and taking use of (3.11), we have, for N is large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} (B) &< \frac{CK_1^N}{N^2} \sum_{M=M_1}^{M_2} \frac{1}{M} S_{M_2} + \frac{K_1^N}{N^2} \sum_{M_1}^{M_2} (N - M) M^2 \\ &< N^\epsilon S_{M_2} \frac{\log M_2 - \log M_1}{N^2} + \frac{K_1^N}{3N} M_2^3. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(B) < S_{M_2} \frac{\log N}{N^{2-\epsilon}} + N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} < \frac{1}{3} Q_N + \frac{\sum_{M=1}^{M_2} (N - M)}{N^{2-\epsilon}} + N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}.$$

We conclude that when N is large enough,

$$(A) + (B) + (C) < Q_N + K_2^\beta N^2.$$

Therefore, $F(X_{t \wedge \tau_R}^1, \dots, X_{t \wedge \tau_R}^N) - K_2^\beta t \wedge \tau_R$ is a super-martingale. Since the diffusion process $\{e^{2\pi i X_N^j(t \wedge \tau_R)}\}_{j=1, \dots, N}$ on the torus is well defined almost surely, then, following the standard argument (see [RS93]) , we denote

$$S = \{\tau_R \leq T\},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_0) + K_2^\beta \tau_R \wedge T &\geq \mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau_R \wedge T})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[F(X_{\tau_R} \mathbb{1}_S) + F(X_T \mathbb{1}_{S^c})] \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{N^2} \log\left(\frac{1}{R}\right) \mathbb{P}(S) - \frac{1}{2N^2} (N^2 - N - 2) \log 2 \cdot \mathbb{P}(S) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2N^2} (N^2 - N) \log 2 \cdot \mathbb{P}(S^c) \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} (\log(2N) + \log 2) \mathbb{P}(S) - \frac{N-1}{2N} \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_R \leq T) \leq \frac{N^2(F(X_0) + K_2^\beta T + \log 2)}{\log R + \log 2}$$

For fixed T , Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\{(e^{2\pi i X_N^j(t \wedge T)})\}_{j=1, \dots, N}$ never collide. Then letting $T \rightarrow \infty$, since $\mathbb{P}(\tau_\infty \leq T) = 0$ always holds, so there is no collision of the particles $\{e^{2\pi i X_N^j(t)}\}_{j=1, \dots, N}$ in torus for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Furthermore, coming back to the original process , this means $\{X_N^j(t)\}_{j=1, \dots, N}$ never collides and $|X_N^1(t) - X_N^N(t)| < 1$.

Finally, by continuity of the trajectories of $X_N(t)$, we have $X_N(t) \in \Delta_N$ for all $t \geq 0$. We finished the proof . \square

Remark 3.2. We give a short comparison between the common noise and the stochastically moving noise above . Generally, if we apply the same computation on the Lyapunov function for the case of the common noise, i.e. $\int_0^t \sum_{k=-N}^N e_k(X_s^l) dW_s^k$, the last term in (3.5) becomes

$$\sum_{1 \leq l < j \leq N} \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} \left| \frac{e_k(X_t^l) - e_k(X_t^j)}{X_t^l - X_t^j} \right|^2. \quad (3.17)$$

We can bound it by $N^2 K_1^N$. It is obvious that β should be larger than $\frac{3}{2}$ in order to get non-collision of particles in the case of common noise. However, if we use the stochastically moving noise, we can prove the non-collision of particles for each $\beta > 1$.

4 Construction of a solution to $(RMP)_{\mathbb{T}dx}^{K_2^\beta, \beta}$

In this section, we will construct a solution to $(RMP)_{\mathbb{T}dx}^{K_2^\beta, \beta}$ as a weakly convergent subsequence limit of the empirical measure process of the interacting particle model introduced in section 3.

Let the integer function $[\cdot] : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be defined as

$$[x] = \begin{cases} x - 1, & x \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} | n < x\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

And $\{x\} := x - [x]$. Then , we define the empirical measure on $[0, 1]$:

$$L_N(t) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\{X_N^i(t)\}}.$$

The distribution function F_t^N of $L_N(t)$, defined on $[0, 1]$, satisfies $F_t^N(0) = 0$ and

$$F_t^N(x) = \int_0^x L_N(t, dy).$$

We also denote the corresponding quantile function $G_t^N(x)$, which satisfies

$$G_t^N(F_t^N(x)) = x, \quad -a.s.$$

We denote P_N as the distribution of $\{L_N(t), t \in [0, T]\}$ in $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}))$.

Theorem 4.1. *Under the assumption in Theorem 3.1, P_N is tight in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}))$*

Proof. For $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, we denote P_N^ϕ as the distribution of $\{\langle L_N(t), \phi \rangle, t \in [0, T]\}$ in $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$. Then, by [Daw93] (theorem 3.7.1), P_N is tight if and only if P_N^ϕ is tight for each $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Here, for $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, we actually means $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1])$ so that we can extend it as a period function on \mathbb{R} . For sake of convenience, we still denote the extended function as ϕ . Note that, by Theorem 3.1, there is no collision and no explosion for the particles

$(X_N^i(t))$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Therefore, we can apply Itô formula to get, $\forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle L_N(t), \phi \rangle &= \langle L_N(0), \phi \rangle + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \phi'(X_s^i) \cdot dX_s^i + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \sum_{k=-N}^{+N} \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} \phi''(X_s^i) e_k^2\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) ds \\
&= \langle L_N(0), \phi \rangle + \frac{1}{2N^{2+\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \phi'(X_s^i) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \cot(\pi(X_N^i(t) - X_N^j(t))) \right) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} \phi''(X_s^i) e_k^2\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) ds + M_N^\phi(t) \\
&= (\mathbb{K}) + (\mathbb{I}) + (\mathbb{J}) + M_N^\phi(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$M_N^\phi(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^\beta} \phi'(X_s^i) e_k\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) dW_s^k$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbb{I}) &= \frac{1}{4N^\alpha} \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{\phi'(X_N^i(s)) - \phi'(X_N^j(s))}{\tan(\pi(X_N^i(s) - X_N^j(s)))} ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4\pi N^\alpha} \int_0^t \int_{[0,1]^2} \frac{\phi'(x) - \phi'(y)}{x - y} L_N(s, dx) L_N(s, dy) ds = O(N^{-\alpha}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Here, the inequality above is because ϕ is a function on torus, we can choose a shorter interval between $X_N^i(s)$ and $X_N^j(s)$ such that we can make sure $X_N^i(s) - X_N^j(s) \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ or $[-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$, then, applying the mean value theorem, we have

$$\left| \frac{\phi'(X_N^i(s)) - \phi'(X_N^j(s))}{\tan(\pi(X_N^i(s) - X_N^j(s)))} \right| \leq \|\phi''\|_\infty \left| \frac{X_N^i(s) - X_N^j(s)}{\tan(\pi(X_N^i(s) - X_N^j(s)))} \right| \leq \frac{\|\phi''\|_\infty}{\pi}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(\mathbb{J}) = \frac{K_2^N}{N} \int_0^t \phi''(X_s^i) \mathfrak{s} = K_2^N \int_0^t \int_{[0,1]} \phi''(x) L_N(s, dx) ds.$$

For the martingale part, by Cauchy inequality and boundness of $|\phi'|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle M_N^\phi(t) \rangle &= \frac{1}{N^2} \int_0^t \sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \phi'(X_s^i) e_k\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) \right)^2 ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^N (\phi'(X_s^i))^2 \left(\sum_{k=-N}^N \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} e_k^2\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) \right) ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \langle (\phi')^2, L_N(s) \rangle ds \leq Ct.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by B.D.G inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\langle L_N(t), \phi \rangle - \langle L_N(s), \phi \rangle|^{2m}] \leq O(N^{-\alpha})|t - s|^m + C'|t - s|^m.$$

Also, $(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \phi dx$. According to ([KS12] p.63 thm 4.10), we have proved tightness of $\{P_N^\phi\}$. Thus, P_N is tight. \square

Due to separability, we can apply Prohorov theorem to get the relative compactness of the distribution \mathbb{P}^N on $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}))$. Therefore, we have a subsequence, still denoted as P_N for convenience, weakly converges to some P on $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}))$. By Skorohod representation theorem, we can find a new probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ and a sequence of random variable $\{p^n\}$, p defined on it, which takes value in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}))$ and satisfies $Law(p^n) = P_N$, $Law(p) = P$. Meanwhile, p^n converges to p weakly almost surely.

Next, we will show that the limiting process $\{p_t(\omega, x), t \in [0, T]\}$, associated with $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, is a solution to $(RMP)_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{T}}dx}^{K_2^\beta, \beta}$ so that we have the existence of solution to the regularised martingale problem of the diffusive Dean-Kawasaki equation on 1-D torus.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that $\beta > 1$ and $\mu_0 = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{T}}dx$, then there exists a nontrivial solution to the regularised martingale problem $(RMP)_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{T}}dx}^{K_2^\beta, \beta}$.*

Proof. Note that, for a solution μ_t to $(RMP)_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{T}}dx}^{K_2^\beta, \beta}$, the generator \mathbb{L} associated with $\langle \mu_t, \phi \rangle$ is

$$\mathbb{L}f = K_2^\beta \langle \mu_t, \phi \rangle f' + \frac{1}{2} Q_{\mu_t}(\phi) f'', \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, according to the equivalent description of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T})$ -valued process (see [Daw93] lemma 7.2.1), we only need to prove that, for $\forall G \in \mathcal{D} := \{G : G(\mu) = g(\langle \mu, \phi \rangle), \phi \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{T}), g \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})\}$,

$$M_t^G(p) := G(p_t) - G(p_0) - \int_0^t DG(p_s) ds$$

is a $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -local martingale, where $DG(\mu) = K_2^\beta g'(\langle \phi, \mu \rangle) \langle \mu, \phi'' \rangle + \frac{1}{2} g''(\langle \mu, \phi \rangle) Q_\mu(\phi)$. This suffices to prove that, for every $s < t \in [0, T]$, and any continuous function $H : \mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T})) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(G(p_t) - G(p_s) - \int_s^t DG(p_r) dr) \cdot H(p|_{[0, s]})] = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

On the other hand, when $k > 0$, $e_k(x) = -e_k(-x)$, which implies

$$Q_\mu(\phi) = \int_{[0, 1]} \int_{[0, 1]} \phi'(x) \phi'(y) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \cos(2\pi k \int_{[0, 1]} \mathbb{1}_{(x \wedge y, x \vee y)}(z) \mu(dz)) \right) \mu(dx) \mu(dy).$$

Since we can prove that, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -almost surely, $p_t(\omega)$ is non-atomic for all $t \in [0, T]$ (lemma 4.3 below), thus, for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.,

$$\int_{[0, 1]} \mathbb{1}_{(a, b]} dp_t^n \rightarrow \int_{[0, 1]} \mathbb{1}_{(a, b]} dp_t, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

It follows that $M_t^G(p^n) H(p^n|_{[0, s]})$ converges to $M_t^G(p) H(p|_{[0, s]})$ almost surely. Note that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[|M_t^G(p^n) - M_s^G(p^n)| \cdot |H(p|_{[0, s]})|] < \infty,$$

then, by dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(G(p_t) - G(p_s) - \int_s^t DG(p_r) dr) \cdot H(p|_{[0, s]})] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(G(p_t^n) - G(p_s^n) - \int_s^t DG(p_r^n) dr) \cdot H(p^n|_{[0, s]})]. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we define

$$Q_\mu^n(\phi) = \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \phi'(x)\phi'(y) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \cos(2\pi k \left(\int_{[0,1]} \mathbb{1}_{(x \wedge y, x \vee y)}(z) \mu(dz) \right)) \right) \mu(dx) \mu(dy).$$

Since

$$\left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \cos(2\pi k \left(\int_{[0,1]} \mathbb{1}_{(x \wedge y, x \vee y)}(z) \mu(dz) \right)) \right| < \sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-n} \right) \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

thus, by denoting $D^n G(\mu) = K_2^n g'(\langle \phi, \mu \rangle) \langle \mu, \phi'' \rangle + g''(\langle \mu, \phi \rangle) Q_\mu^n(\phi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[(G(p_t) - G(p_s) - \int_s^t DG(p_r) dr) \cdot H(p|_{[0,s]}) \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[(G(p_t^n) - G(p_s^n) - \int_s^t D^n G(p_r^n) dr) \cdot H(p^n|_{[0,s]}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Because $Law(p^n) = P_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[(G(p_t^n) - G(p_s^n) - \int_s^t D^n G(p_r^n) dr) \cdot H(p^n|_{[0,s]}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[(G(L_n(t)) - G(L_n(s)) - \int_s^t D^n G(L_n(r)) dr) \cdot H(L_n|_{[0,s]}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to prove (4.3), we only need to prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[G(L_n(t)) - G(L_n(0)) - \int_0^t D^n G(L_n(s)) ds \right] = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

In fact, we know that

$$G(L_n(t)) = g\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(X_n^i(t))\right).$$

Then, by Itô formula,

$$\begin{aligned} & dG(L_n(t)) \\ &= g'(\langle \phi, L_n(t) \rangle) \frac{1}{2n^{2+\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi'(X_n^i(t)) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \cot(\pi(X_n^i(t) - X_n^j(t))) \right) dt \\ &+ g'(\langle \phi, L_n(t) \rangle) \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi''(X_n^i(t)) \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{|k|^{2\beta}} e_k^2\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) dt \\ &+ g''(\langle \phi, L_n(t) \rangle) \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \phi'(X_n^i(t)) \phi'(X_n^j(t)) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \cos(2\pi k \frac{i-j}{n}) \right) dt + dM_n^{g,\phi}(t) \\ &= (I)dt + (J)dt + (K)dt + dM_n^{g,\phi}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $M_n^{g,\phi}(t)$ is a \mathbb{P} -local martingale .
Note that

$$(J) = K_2^n g'(\langle \phi, L_n(t) \rangle) \langle L_n(t), \phi'' \rangle, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (K) &= g''(\langle L_n(t), \phi \rangle) \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \phi'(x) \phi'(y) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{2}{k^{2\beta}} \cos(2\pi k(F_t^n(x) - F_t^n(y))) L_n(t, dx) L_n(t, dy) \\ &= g''(\langle L_n(t), \phi \rangle) Q_{L_n(t)}^n(\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

For the last part (I) , we have

$$|(I)| \leq \frac{C}{n^\alpha} \|g'\|_\infty \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{n^2} \|\phi''\|_\infty \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.7)$$

So, combining (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) , we proved (4.4) . The proof is finished. \square

Lemma 4.3. For $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s., p_t is non-atomic for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. Let $U = \{\omega : \exists t, \text{ such that } p_t(\omega) \text{ is atomic}\}$. If the measurable set U has positive measure, i.e. $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(U) = C > 0$. We define

$$U_i = \{\omega \in U : \exists t, x, \text{ such that } p_t(\omega, dx) = \eta \delta_x \text{ with } \eta > \frac{1}{i}\},$$

then it is obvious that $U_i \subset U_{i+1}$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i = U$. Thus , we can find some U_k such that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(U_k) > \frac{C}{2}$. We define $E_x^N = (x - \frac{1}{2N}, x + \frac{1}{2N})$. Note that, for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. ω , $p_t^n(\omega, \cdot)$ weakly converges to $p_t(\omega, \cdot)$ uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$. If $p_t(\omega, dx) = \eta \delta_x dx$, then, for each N , there exists $n(N, \omega, t, x)$ such that $\forall n \geq n(N, \omega, t, x)$,

$$\int_{E_x^N} p_t^n(\omega, dy) > \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

Based on this observation, we define

$$U_k^{n,N} = \{\omega : \exists (t, x), \text{ such that for } \forall j \geq n, \int_{E_x^N} p_t^j(\omega, dy) > \frac{1}{2k}\},$$

then we must have $U_k^{n,N} \subset U_k^{n+1,N}$ and $U_k \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_k^{n,N}$. Therefore, for each N , we can find m_N such that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(U_k^{m_N, N}) > \frac{C}{3} = C'$. Now, let

$$\bar{U}_k^{m_N, N} = \{\omega : \exists (t, x), \text{ such that for } \forall j \geq m_N, \int_{E_x^N} L_j(\omega, t, y) dy > \frac{1}{2k}\}.$$

Remember that L_n has the same distribution with p^n . We must have

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{U}_k^{m_N, N}) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(U_k^{m_N, N}) = C' > 0.$$

On the other hand, we define a stopping time

$$\tau_{m_N}^k := \inf\{t : \min_j |e^{2\pi i X_{m_N}^j(t)} - e^{2\pi i X_{m_N}^{j+\frac{m_N}{2k}}(t)}| \leq \frac{1}{2N}\}.$$

We have proved $F(X_{m_N}(t)) + K_2^\beta t$ is a super-martingale. Denote

$$A = \{\tau_{m_N}^k \leq T\}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F(X_{m_N}(0)) + K_2^\beta \tau_{m_N}^k \wedge T \\ & \geq \mathbb{E}[F(X_{m_N}(\tau_{m_N}^k \wedge T))] \\ & = \mathbb{E}[F(X_{m_N}(\tau_{m_N}^k) \mathbb{1}_A) + \mathbb{E}[F(X_{m_N}(T) \mathbb{1}_{A^c})] \\ & \geq -\frac{1}{2m_N^2} \frac{m_N}{2k} \left(\frac{m_N}{2k} - 1\right) \log\left(\frac{1}{2N}\right) \mathbb{P}(A) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2m_N^2} (m_N^2 - m_N - \frac{m_N}{2k} (\frac{m_N}{2k} - 1)) \log 2 \mathbb{P}(A) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2m_N^2} (m_N^2 - m_N) \log 2 \mathbb{P}(A^c) \\ & = \frac{1}{2m_N^2} \frac{m_N}{2k} \left(\frac{m_N}{2k} - 1\right) (\log(2N) + \log 2) \mathbb{P}(A) - \frac{m_N - 1}{2m_N} \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{m_N}^k \leq T) \leq \frac{F(X_{m_N}(0)) + K_2^\beta T + \log 2}{\frac{\frac{m_N}{2k} - 1}{4km_N} (\log(2N) + \log 2)} \leq \frac{10k^2(C + 2F(X_{m_N}(0)))}{\log(2N) + \log 2}. \quad (4.8)$$

Note that

$$-\int_{[0,1] \times [0,1]} \log|x-y| dx dy = \frac{3}{2},$$

thus, when N is large enough,

$$F(X_{m_N}(0)) = -\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i \neq j} \log\left(\frac{|i-j|}{N}\right) < 2.$$

Now, by (4.8), we can choose N so that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{m_N}^k \leq T) < \frac{1}{2}C'$. However, for each $\omega \in \bar{U}_k^{m_N, N}$, there must be at least $\frac{m_N}{2k}$ particles included in some interval $(x - \frac{1}{2N}, x + \frac{1}{2N})$, which means $\tau_{m_N}^k(\omega) \leq T$. Therefore, $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{m_N}^k \leq T) \geq \mathbb{P}(\bar{U}_k^{m_N, N}) = C'$. Contradiction! We finished the proof. \square

Remark 4.4. *Actually, we can extend the initial measure into any absolutely continuous measure on \mathbb{T} because the only difference is that when we construct the particle model, we need to set the initial distribution as*

$$\mu_0^N(x) = \int_{\frac{i-1}{N}}^{\frac{i}{N}} \mu_0(dx) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(\frac{i-1}{N}, \frac{i}{N}]}(x)$$

and the O.N.B of $L^2(\mu_0)$, $\{\bar{e}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, should be

$$\bar{e}_k = e_k \circ F_{\mu_0}. \quad (4.9)$$

The proof is the similar.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank Professor Xiangdong Li for helpful advice and financial supports. The author also would like to thank Professor Shizan Fang for helpful comments on modification.

References

- [AvR10] Sebastian Andres and Max-K von Renesse. Particle approximation of the wasserstein diffusion. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 258(11):3879–3905, 2010.
- [CSZ19] Federico Cornalba, Tony Shardlow, and Johannes Zimmer. A regularized dean–kawasaki model: Derivation and analysis. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 51(2):1137–1187, 2019.
- [CSZ20] Federico Cornalba, Tony Shardlow, and Johannes Zimmer. From weakly interacting particles to a regularised dean–kawasaki model. *Nonlinearity*, 33(2):864, 2020.
- [Daw93] Donald Dawson. Measure-valued markov processes. In *École d’été de probabilités de Saint-Flour XXI-1991*, pages 1–260. Springer, 1993.
- [Dea96] David S Dean. Langevin equation for the density of a system of interacting langevin processes. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 29(24):L613, 1996.
- [DFL22] Hao Ding, shizan Fang, and Xiangdong Li. Towards stochastic analysis in the wasserstein space. *preprint*, 2022.
- [Eyi90] Gregory L Eyink. Dissipation and large thermodynamic fluctuations. *Journal of statistical physics*, 61(3):533–572, 1990.
- [FG21] Benjamin Fehrman and Benjamin Gess. Well-posedness of the dean-kawasaki and the nonlinear dawson-watanabe equation with correlated noise. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.08858*, 2021.
- [Hai14] Martin Hairer. A theory of regularity structures. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 198(2):269–504, 2014.
- [Kaw98] Kyozi Kawasaki. Microscopic analyses of the dynamical density functional equation of dense fluids. *Journal of statistical physics*, 93(3):527–546, 1998.
- [KLvR19] Vitalii Konarovskiy, Tobias Lehmann, and Max-K von Renesse. Dean-kawasaki dynamics: ill-posedness vs. triviality. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 24:1–9, 2019.
- [Kon17] Vitalii Konarovskiy. A system of coalescing heavy diffusion particles on the real line. *The Annals of Probability*, 45(5):3293–3335, 2017.
- [KS12] Ioannis Karatzas and Steven Shreve. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, volume 113. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [KvR15] Vitalii Konarovskiy and Max von Renesse. Modified arratia flow and wasserstein diffusion. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 2015.
- [KvR17] Vitalii Konarovskiy and Max von Renesse. Reversible coalescing-fragmentating wasserstein dynamics on the real line. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.02839*, 2017.

- [LLX20] Songzi Li, Xiang-Dong Li, and Yong-Xiao Xie. On the law of large numbers for the empirical measure process of generalized dyson brownian motion. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 181(4):1277–1305, 2020.
- [Mar10] Mauro Mariani. Large deviations principles for stochastic scalar conservation laws. *Probability theory and related fields*, 147(3):607–648, 2010.
- [Mar18] Victor Marx. A new approach for the construction of a wasserstein diffusion. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 23:1–54, 2018.
- [RS93] Leonard CG Rogers and Zhan Shi. Interacting brownian particles and the wigner law. *Probability theory and related fields*, 95(4):555–570, 1993.
- [vRS09] Max-K von Renesse and Karl-Theodor Sturm. Entropic measure and wasserstein diffusion. *The Annals of Probability*, 37(3):1114–1191, 2009.
- [Wan21] Feng-Yu Wang. Image-dependent conditional mckean–vlasov sdes for measure-valued diffusion processes. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 21(2):2009–2045, 2021.