

Chain rules for quantum channels

Mario Berta

Department of Computing, Imperial College London, UK
 AWS Center for Quantum Computing, Pasadena, USA
 California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

Marco Tomamichel

Center for Quantum Technologies &
 Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
 National University of Singapore, Singapore

Abstract—Divergence chain rules for channels relate the divergence of a pair of channel inputs to the divergence of the corresponding channel outputs. An important special case of such a rule is the data-processing inequality, which tells us that if the same channel is applied to both inputs then the divergence cannot increase. Based on direct matrix analysis methods, we derive several Rényi divergence chain rules for channels in the quantum setting. Our results simplify and in some cases generalise previous derivations in the literature.

Index Terms—Quantum information measures, quantum Rényi divergences, quantum entropy inequalities, quantum statistics, quantum channel discrimination.

I. INTRODUCTION

A family of fundamental information measures is given by the Rényi divergences [34], defined for probability distributions P, Q over finite alphabets \mathcal{X} and $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ as

$$D_\alpha(P\|Q) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log Q_\alpha(P\|Q) \quad \text{with} \quad (1)$$

$$Q_\alpha(P\|Q) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) \left(\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \right)^{\alpha-1},$$

if P is absolutely continuous with respect to Q and as $+\infty$ otherwise. This definition extends via the respective limits to $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ (the Kulback-Leibler divergence) and $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ (the max-divergence). They are respectively given as

$$D(P\|Q) = D_1(P\|Q) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) \log \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad (2)$$

$$D_\infty(P\|Q) = \max_x \log \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}. \quad (3)$$

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ we rewrite the sum as $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x)^\alpha Q(x)^{1-\alpha}$, indicating that absolute continuity of P with respect to Q is not necessary to keep D_α finite for $\alpha < 1$.

For distributions over bipartite alphabets $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ the Rényi divergences enjoy the chain rule property

$$D_\alpha(P_{XY}\|Q_{XY}) \leq D_\alpha(P_X\|Q_X) + \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} D_\alpha(P_{Y|X=x}\|Q_{Y|X=x}), \quad (4)$$

displaying how to split up Rényi divergences with respect to multipartite constituents. Eq. (4) is important in statistics because it can be seen as the crucial entropy inequality to quantify the asymptotic error exponents of adaptive channel discrimination [7], [22], [33], [35], [42].

In this report, we give various quantum generalizations of Eq. (4), where probability distributions and conditional probability distributions are replaced with positive operators and positive maps, respectively. Some of these quantum chain rules have been derived previously in the context of adaptive quantum channel discrimination and related tasks [10], [14], [15], [17]. The proofs of these earlier results are based on involved techniques from either complex interpolation theory [10], smooth entropies [15], or convex optimization theory [17]. In contrast, our derivations are based on direct matrix analysis methods.

The remainder of this report is structured as follows. First, we introduce in Section II our notation and state some mathematical facts from quantum information theory. We then state our main result in Section III, after which we sketch applications to quantum channel discrimination in Section IV. We conclude with some open question in Section V.

II. NOTATION AND METHODS

We consider quantum systems described by finite-dimensional inner product spaces labelled by \mathcal{H} . The set $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ of quantum states is given by positive semi-definite trace one operators on \mathcal{H} . For $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, we denote by $\rho \ll \sigma$ that the support of ρ is contained in the support of σ , and inverses of operators are understood as generalized inverses on the support of the operator. Quantum channels are completely positive and trace preserving maps from $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}')$. Bipartite quantum systems are described by tensor product spaces $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ with correspondingly labelled quantum states $\rho_{AB} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$.

An important tool to lift entropy inequalities from the classical to the quantum setting is given by the asymptotic spectral pinching method (see [21]). Namely, the spectral pinching map $P_\sigma(\cdot)$ with respect to $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is given as

$$P_\sigma : \rho \mapsto \sum_\lambda P_\lambda \rho P_\lambda, \quad (5)$$

where $\sigma = \sum_\lambda \lambda P_\lambda$ with eigenvalues $\lambda \in \text{spec}(\sigma)$ in the spectrum of σ and corresponding mutually orthogonal eigenprojectors P_λ . An alternative representation of the pinching map is

$$P_\sigma(\cdot) = \int \mu(dt) \sigma^{it}(\cdot) \sigma^{-it} \quad (6)$$

for some probability measure μ on \mathbb{R} [37, Lemma 2.1]. We have that $P_\sigma(\rho)$ commutes with σ , $\text{Tr}[P_\sigma(\rho)\sigma] = \text{Tr}[\rho\sigma]$, and the pinching inequality [21]

$$P_\sigma(\rho) \succeq |\text{spec}(\sigma)|^{-1} \rho, \quad (7)$$

where here and henceforth \succeq denotes the Löwner order, and $|\text{spec}(\sigma)|$ the number of distinct eigenvalues σ . Eq. (7) becomes asymptotically powerful as $|\text{spec}(\sigma^{\otimes n})| = O(\text{poly}(n))$ by a type-counting argument [12].

The generalization of the Rényi divergences from Eq. (1) to the quantum setting is not unique. Rather, one asks for any quantum Rényi divergence

$$\mathbb{D}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \mathbb{Q}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) \quad \text{on } \rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (8)$$

to satisfy the following properties:

- 1) whenever ρ and σ commute, $\mathbb{Q}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma)$ simplifies to the corresponding classical functions in Eq. (1) and, moreover, for classical quantum states $\rho = \sum_x p_x |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \rho_x$ and $\sigma = \sum_x q_x |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \sigma_x$, we have

$$\mathbb{Q}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) = \sum_x p_x q_x^{1-\alpha} \mathbb{Q}_\alpha(\rho_x\|\sigma_x); \quad (9)$$

- 2) one has the data processing inequality

$$\mathbb{D}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) \geq \mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho)\|\mathcal{E}(\sigma)) \quad (10)$$

for quantum channels $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}')$.

The smallest such quantum divergences for $\alpha > 0$ are the measured Rényi divergence [3], [4], [13], [23]

$$D_\alpha^M(\rho\|\sigma) = \sup_{\mathcal{M}} D_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(\rho)\|\mathcal{M}(\sigma)) \quad (11)$$

for the rank-one projective measurement maps $\mathcal{M}(\cdot) = \sum_x \langle x|\cdot|x\rangle|x\rangle\langle x|$ with the supremum over orthonormal bases $\{|x\rangle\}_x$ of \mathcal{H} .¹ On the other hand, for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ the largest such quantum divergences are the geometric Rényi divergences [14], [29]

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) &= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \widehat{Q}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) \quad \text{with} \\ \widehat{Q}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) &= \text{Tr} \left[\sigma^{1/2} \left(\sigma^{-1/2} \rho \sigma^{-1/2} \right)^\alpha \sigma^{1/2} \right] \quad \text{for } \rho \ll \sigma \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and the respective limit for $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ as [2], [28]

$$\widehat{D}_1(\rho\|\sigma) = \text{Tr} \left[\rho \log \left(\rho^{1/2} \sigma^{-1} \rho^{1/2} \right) \right]. \quad (13)$$

The formal definition from Eq. (12) can be extended to $\alpha > 0$, but only for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ the geometric Rényi divergences have the following operational characterisation.

Lemma 1 (Matsumoto [28], [29]). *Let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2]$. Then, we have*

$$\widehat{D}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) = \inf_{(\Gamma, P, Q)} D_\alpha(P\|Q), \quad (14)$$

¹Including general measurement maps leads to the same quantities [4, Theorem 4].

where the infimum is over probability distributions P, Q over finite alphabets \mathcal{X} , and positive trace preserving maps with $\Gamma(P) = \rho$ and $\Gamma(Q) = \sigma$.

In fact, for the spectral decomposition $\sigma^{-1/2} \rho \sigma^{-1/2} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \lambda_x \Pi_x$ the infimum is achieved as (see [39, Sec. 4.2.3])

$$\Gamma(\cdot) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{\langle x|\cdot|x\rangle}{Q(x)} \sigma^{1/2} \Pi_x \sigma^{1/2}, \quad (15)$$

$$P(x) = \lambda_x Q(x), \quad Q(x) = \text{Tr}[\sigma \Pi_x]. \quad (16)$$

Additionally, for the axiomatic extension of the classical Rényi divergences from Eq. (1), the smallest quantum divergences that are also

- 3) additive on product states²

for $\alpha \in [1/2, \infty]$ are the sandwiched Rényi divergences [31], [43]

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{D}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) &= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \widetilde{Q}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) \quad \text{with} \\ \widetilde{Q}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) &= \text{Tr} \left[\left(\sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \right)^\alpha \right] \quad \text{for } \rho \ll \sigma \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and the respective limits for $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ and $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ as

$$\widetilde{D}_1(\rho\|\sigma) = \text{Tr} [\rho (\log \rho - \log \sigma)] \quad \text{and} \quad (18)$$

$$\widetilde{D}_\infty(\rho\|\sigma) = \inf \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid \rho \preceq 2^\lambda \sigma \}, \quad (19)$$

respectively. We note that $\widetilde{D}_1(\rho\|\sigma) \neq \widehat{D}_1(\rho\|\sigma)$ unless ρ and σ commute. Moreover, the formal definition from Eq. (17) can be extended to $\alpha > 0$, but as showcased in [4, Theorem 7] only for $\alpha \in [1/2, \infty]$ one has the data-processing inequality from Eq. (10).

We record the following noteworthy property.

Lemma 2. *Let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} be positive maps from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}')$, and $\alpha \in (0, \infty]$. Then, we have*

$$\widetilde{Q}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho)\|\mathcal{F}(\sigma)) \leq |\text{spec}(\sigma)|^\alpha \widetilde{Q}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho)\|\mathcal{F}(\sigma)). \quad (20)$$

Proof. We follow [39, Eq. (4.52)]. From the pinching inequality in Eq. (7) together with the positivity of \mathcal{E} we get

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) \preceq |\text{spec}(\sigma)| \mathcal{E}(\rho). \quad (21)$$

Multiplying this from the left and the right with the positive operator $\mathcal{F}(\sigma)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}}$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\sigma)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \mathcal{E}(\rho) \mathcal{F}(\sigma)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \\ \preceq |\text{spec}(\sigma)| \mathcal{F}(\sigma)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \mathcal{E}(\rho) \mathcal{F}(\sigma)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The claim then follows by the monotonicity of the trace of the monotone function $t \mapsto t^\alpha$. \square

Finally, other definitions of interest include the Petz Rényi divergences [32], the α - z -divergences [1], and divergences based on convex optimization methods [9], [17]. We do not directly employ these further definitions in our work, but note that [17, Corollary 5.2] proves quantum extensions of the

²Divergences with properties 1)–3) are also termed relative entropies [19], also see [26] for more details.

chain rule from Eq. (4) in terms of divergences based on convex optimization methods.

All of aforementioned quantum Rényi divergences are extended to quantum channels \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} as [11], [27]

$$\mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}) = \sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})} \mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho)||\mathcal{F}(\rho)) \quad (23)$$

or alternatively as the (generally larger) stabilized version [7], [42]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_\alpha^{\text{stab}}(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}) &= \sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})} \mathbb{D}_\alpha((\mathcal{E}_A \otimes \mathcal{I}_R)(\rho_{AR})||(\mathcal{F}_A \otimes \mathcal{I}_R)(\rho_{AR})), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where \mathcal{I}_R denotes the identity channel on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_R)$, and the supremum is over all $\rho_{AR} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_R)$ and finite dimensional \mathcal{H}_R .³ In the general quantum case, the divergences $\mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathbb{D}_\alpha^{\text{stab}}(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F})$ are not additive on product channels (see, e.g., [15], [20]), and thus one defines the corresponding regularized versions

$$\mathbb{D}_\alpha^\infty(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}^{\otimes n}||\mathcal{F}^{\otimes n}) \quad (25)$$

$$\mathbb{D}_\alpha^{\text{stab}, \infty}(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{D}_\alpha^{\text{stab}}(\mathcal{E}^{\otimes n}||\mathcal{F}^{\otimes n}). \quad (26)$$

Note that these limits are well-defined whenever $\tilde{D}_\infty(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F})$ is finite. To see this consider the sequences $f_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}^{\otimes n}||\mathcal{F}^{\otimes n})$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We first note that f_n is bounded from above by $\tilde{D}_\infty(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F})$ for all n since $\mathbb{D}_\alpha(\rho||\sigma) \leq \hat{D}_\alpha(\rho||\sigma) \leq \tilde{D}_\infty(\rho||\sigma)$ for any pair of states (see, e.g., [39]) and, furthermore, $\tilde{D}_\infty(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F})$ is additive for tensor product channels. We further get $f_{n+1} \geq \frac{n}{n+1} f_n$ by choosing product inputs and hence the function is monotonic asymptotically and there can only be a single accumulation point.

III. MAIN RESULTS

Our main result is the following Rényi divergences based simple meta chain rule for quantum channels.

Proposition 3. *Let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} be positive maps from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}')$, and $\alpha \in (0, \infty]$. Then, we have*

$$\mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho)||\mathcal{F}(\sigma)) \leq \hat{D}_\alpha(\rho||\sigma) + \mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}), \quad (27)$$

where \mathbb{D}_α denotes any Rényi divergence of order α .

Proof. Using Matsumoto's construction (Lemma 1), we write

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_x \hat{p}_x \mathcal{E}(\underbrace{\Gamma(|x\rangle\langle x|)}_{=: \rho^x}) \quad \text{and} \quad (28)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma) = \sum_x \hat{q}_x \mathcal{F}(\underbrace{\Gamma(|x\rangle\langle x|)}_{=: \rho^x}). \quad (29)$$

³One might choose $|R| = |A|$ without loss of generality as, e.g., discussed after [27, Definition II.2].

We then use the data-processing inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho)||\mathcal{F}(\sigma)) &\leq \mathbb{D}_\alpha\left(\sum_x \hat{p}_x |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \mathcal{E}(\rho^x) \middle\| \middle\| \sum_x \hat{q}_x |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \mathcal{F}(\rho^x)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \left(\sum_x \hat{p}_x^\alpha \hat{q}_x^{1-\alpha} 2^{(\alpha-1)\mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho^x)||\mathcal{F}(\rho^x))} \right) \quad (31)$$

$$\leq D_\alpha(\hat{p}||\hat{q}) + \max_x \mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho^x)||\mathcal{F}(\rho^x)), \quad (32)$$

which implies the statement we set out to prove. \square

The corresponding geometric chain rules for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ as

$$\hat{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho)||\mathcal{F}(\sigma)) \leq \hat{D}_\alpha(\rho||\sigma) + \hat{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}), \quad (33)$$

generalize the stabilized geometric chain rules from [14, Lemma 7] that were proven with different techniques for completely positive maps $\mathcal{E}_A, \mathcal{F}_A$ and $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}_\alpha((\mathcal{E}_A \otimes \mathcal{I}_R)(\rho_{AR})||(\mathcal{F}_A \otimes \mathcal{I}_R)(\sigma_{AR})) &\leq \hat{D}_\alpha(\rho_{AR}||\sigma_{AR}) + \hat{D}_\alpha^{\text{stab}}(\mathcal{E}_A||\mathcal{F}_A), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

as well as their extension to $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ [25, Proposition 45]. We note that the stabilized version of the channel divergence was required in (34), whereas our Proposition 3 does not require stabilization.

To obtain chain rules without featuring geometric Rényi divergences, we can apply pre-processing maps in terms of rank-one projective measurements $\Lambda(\cdot)$. Namely, for $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ we have that the pre-processed inputs $\Lambda(\rho), \Lambda(\sigma)$ commute and thus we find the chain rule

$$\mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\Lambda(\rho))||\mathcal{F}(\Lambda(\sigma))) \leq D_{\alpha, M}(\rho||\sigma) + \mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}). \quad (35)$$

We can then again eliminate the pre-processing map for the sandwiched Rényi divergences with $\alpha \in (1, \infty]$ by choosing the overall rank-one projective measurement map $\Lambda(\cdot) = \mathcal{M}_\sigma(P_\sigma(\cdot))$ with the pinching map $P_\sigma(\cdot)$ and the projective rank-one measurement map $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(\cdot)$ in the joint eigenbasis of σ and $P_\sigma(\rho)$ —then leaving those states invariant. Applying Lemma 2 for $\alpha \in (1, \infty]$ immediately gives

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\Lambda(\rho))||\mathcal{F}(\Lambda(\sigma))) &\geq \tilde{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho)||\mathcal{F}(\sigma)) \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \log |\text{spec}(\sigma)| \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

and thus we find the following sandwiched chain rule.

Corollary 4. *Let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} be positive maps from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}')$, and $\alpha \in (1, \infty]$. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho)||\mathcal{F}(\sigma)) &\leq D_{\alpha, M}(\rho||\sigma) + \tilde{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \log |\text{spec}(\sigma)|. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

As a special case, applying above corollary to unital maps \mathcal{F} , the identity matrix $\sigma = 1$,⁴ and $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, leads for $\alpha \in [1, \infty]$ to

$$H_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho)) - H_\alpha(\rho) \geq - \inf_{\mathcal{F}: \text{unital}} \tilde{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}), \quad (38)$$

featuring the quantum Rényi entropies $H_\alpha(\rho) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \text{Tr}[\rho^\alpha]$ and in particular the von Neumann entropy $H_1(\rho) = -\text{Tr}[\rho \log \rho]$ in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. This generalizes the well-known monotonicity property $H_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho)) - H_\alpha(\rho) \geq 0$ for unital quantum channels \mathcal{E} to the Eq. (38) for generic positive maps.

Going back to the general case, but restricting our attention to tensor-stable positive maps \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} , such that $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes n}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes n}$ are positive maps. Applying Corollary 4 to $\rho^{\otimes n}$, $\sigma^{\otimes n}$, $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes n}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes n}$, and using that $|\text{spec}(\sigma^{\otimes n})| \leq \text{poly}(n)$, we arrive at the corresponding regularized sandwiched chain rule.

Corollary 5. *Let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} be tensor-stable positive maps from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}')$, and $\alpha \in (1, \infty]$. Then, we have*

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho) \parallel \mathcal{F}(\sigma)) \leq \tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho \parallel \sigma) + \tilde{D}_\alpha^\infty(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}). \quad (39)$$

This can be compared to [10, Theorem III.1] of which a special case states for the same range $\alpha \in (1, \infty]$ as in Corollary 5—but for positive trace preserving maps instead of tensor-stable maps—that

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho) \parallel \mathcal{F}(\sigma)) \leq \tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho \parallel \sigma) + \tilde{D}_\alpha^\infty(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}). \quad (40)$$

Applied to quantum channels, this is weaker than Corollary 5 as the sandwiched Rényi divergences are monotone in $\alpha \in [1/2, \infty]$ and one has $\tilde{D}_\alpha^\infty(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}) = \tilde{D}_\alpha^\infty(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F})$ [42, Proposition 10]. Corollary 5 also generalizes the stabilized sandwiched chain rules from [17, Corollary 5.2] that hold for completely positive maps $\mathcal{E}_A, \mathcal{F}_A$, $|R| = |A|$, and $\alpha \in (1, \infty]$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{D}_\alpha((\mathcal{E}_A \otimes \mathcal{I}_R)(\rho_{AR}) \parallel (\mathcal{F}_A \otimes \mathcal{I}_R)(\sigma_{AR})) \\ & \leq \tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho_{AR} \parallel \sigma_{AR}) + \tilde{D}_\alpha^{\text{stab}, \infty}(\mathcal{E}_A \parallel \mathcal{F}_A) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

and were proven with different techniques.

Note that for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ it is unfortunately unclear how to eliminate the pre-processing map and directly regularizing Eq. (35) for general $\alpha \in (0, \infty]$ just leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\Lambda^n} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}^{\otimes n}(\Lambda^n(\rho^{\otimes n})) \parallel \mathcal{F}^{\otimes n}(\Lambda^n(\sigma^{\otimes n}))) \\ & \leq \tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho \parallel \sigma) + \mathbb{D}_\alpha^\infty(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where the supremum is over all rank-one projective measurement maps $\Lambda^n(\cdot)$ acting on n copies. It is then only for $\alpha \in (1, \infty]$ that the left-hand side of Eq. (42) is further lower bounded by $\tilde{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\rho) \parallel \mathcal{F}(\sigma))$ (Lemma 2), whereas this

⁴The definition for $\mathbb{D}_\alpha(\rho \parallel \sigma)$ and its properties straightforwardly apply to general positive operators σ on \mathcal{H} as well.

remains unclear for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.⁵ Notwithstanding, at least for $\alpha = 1$ it is known that [15, Theorem 3.5]

$$\tilde{D}_1(\mathcal{E}(\rho) \parallel \mathcal{F}(\sigma)) \leq \tilde{D}_1(\rho \parallel \sigma) + \tilde{D}_1^\infty(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}). \quad (43)$$

However, this does not directly follow from our Rényi divergences chain rules, as the order of taking the limits in $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ might ultimately matter when regularizing Eq. (37). We briefly get back to this question in Section V and present some conjectures.

IV. APPLICATIONS

Rényi divergences chain rules such as Eq. (4) and its quantum extensions allow to characterize the asymptotic error exponents of adaptive channel discrimination [7], [14], [15], [17], [22], [33], [35], [42]. Namely, the crucial quantities to study are the so-called amortized channel divergences [7], [40], [42]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_\alpha^a(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}) = \sup_{\rho, \sigma} \left\{ \mathbb{D}_\alpha((\mathcal{E}_A \otimes \mathcal{I})(\rho_{AR}) \parallel (\mathcal{F}_A \otimes \mathcal{I}_R)(\sigma_{AR})) \right. \\ \left. - \mathbb{D}_\alpha(\rho_{AR} \parallel \sigma_{AR}) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where the supremum is over all $\rho_{AR}, \sigma_{AR} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_R)$ and finite dimensional \mathcal{H}_R .⁶ For classical [22], [33] and classical-quantum channels [7], [35], [42] it is derived from the chain rules in Eq. (4) and its classical-quantum extensions that for $\alpha > 0$ and all the relevant channel divergences

$$\mathbb{D}_\alpha^a(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}). \quad (45)$$

This then means that adaptive or correlated input strategies are of no asymptotic advantage compared to product strategies and one immediately obtains a full asymptotic characterization of channel discrimination (see aforementioned references for details). In the general quantum case, one only has the stabilized and regularized chain rules as in Corollary 5, and for $\alpha \in [1, \infty]$ one then derives [15], [17]

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha^a(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}) = \tilde{D}_\alpha^{\text{stab}, \infty}(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}), \quad (46)$$

which includes $\alpha = 1$ thanks to [15, Theorem 3.5]. Consequently, this shows that adaptive strategies are of no asymptotic advantage over entangled input strategies—with the regularization reflecting that the latter are still needed compared to product input strategies [15]. Nonetheless, for a full asymptotic characterization of channel discrimination technical question concerning the $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ limit remain [17] (cf. the discussion in Section V). Alternatively, one might resort to single-letter converse bounds as provided by the geometric chain rules Eqs. (33)–(34) giving that

$$\hat{D}_\alpha^a(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}) = \hat{D}_\alpha^{\text{stab}}(\mathcal{E} \parallel \mathcal{F}). \quad (47)$$

We refer to [14] for details. One advantage, of our novel geometric chain rule in Eq. (33) is that it does not require

⁵In this context, Lemma 2 is not useful for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ as one would have to divide the logarithm on both sides of Eq. (20) with the pre-factor $\alpha - 1$, which becomes negative in this range.

⁶No bound on the dimension $|R|$ is known.

a stabilization system and hence one might explore channel discrimination tasks without additional quantum memory as done in the classical case [22] (or bounded quantum memory of fixed dimension).

V. OUTLOOK

We hope that our matrix analysis based techniques will be more broadly useful to derive entropy inequalities for quantum channels. Many fundamental questions remain with respect to the goal of giving for $\alpha > 0$ the most general Rényi divergence chain rules for quantum channels. For example, our main results from Section III immediately raise the question if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\Lambda^n} \frac{1}{n} \tilde{D}_1(\mathcal{E}^{\otimes n}(\Lambda^n(\rho^{\otimes n})) \| \mathcal{F}^{\otimes n}(\Lambda^n(\sigma^{\otimes n}))) \geq \tilde{D}_1(\mathcal{E}(\rho) \| \mathcal{F}(\sigma)), \quad (48)$$

where the supremum is over all rank-one projective measurement maps $\Lambda^n(\cdot)$ acting on n copies. Choosing the standard pinching based measurement map $\Lambda^n(\cdot) = \mathcal{M}_{\sigma^{\otimes n}}(P_{\sigma^{\otimes n}}(\cdot))$ in the form of Eq. (6) only leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sup_{\Lambda^n} \tilde{D}_1(\mathcal{E}^{\otimes n}(\Lambda^n(\rho^{\otimes n})) \| \mathcal{F}^{\otimes n}(\Lambda^n(\sigma^{\otimes n}))) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{n} \tilde{D}_1\left(\mathcal{E}^{\otimes n}\left(\int \mu(dt) (\sigma^{it} \rho \sigma^{-it})^{\otimes n}\right) \| (\mathcal{F}(\sigma))^{\otimes n}\right) \\ & \geq \min_t \tilde{D}_1(\mathcal{E}(\sigma^{it} \rho \sigma^{-it}) \| \mathcal{F}(\sigma)) - \frac{1}{n} \log \text{poly}(n), \quad (50) \end{aligned}$$

where we used in the last inequality that by Carathéodory's theorem the integral $\int \mu(dt) (\cdot)^{\otimes n}$ is discretized with at most $\text{poly}(n)$ terms [6, Theorem D.5] and can then by [5, Lemma 2.5] be taken outside of the divergence at the price of the asymptotically small $\log \text{poly}(n)$ fudge term. The appearance of unitary operators like σ^{it} is reminiscent of previous work on quantum entropy inequalities [5], [8], [18], [24], [36]–[38], [41], but here we would need to eliminate them.

As the $\alpha \in (1, \infty]$ analogue of Eq. (48) holds by Corollary 4, we might also ask more generally what happens for the whole parameter range $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. This is strongly connected with the question if $\tilde{D}_\alpha^{\text{stab}, \infty}(\mathcal{E} \| \mathcal{F})$ is continuous for $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ [17]. Any insight on this question would have widespread applications in quantum information theory [16]—starting from the fundamental statistical task of channel discrimination.

Note added: After submitting our paper, the work [30] on generalised entropy accumulation appeared. A crucial proof step is their complementary Rényi divergence chain rule [30, Theorem 3.1] for quantum channels and it would be interesting to explore the interplay with our purely matrix analysis based techniques.

Acknowledgements: This work was completed prior to MB joining the AWS Center for Quantum Computing. This research is supported by the National Research Foundation, Prime Minister's Office, Singapore and the Ministry of Education, Singapore under the Research Centres of Excellence programme. MT is also supported in part by NUS

startup grants (R-263-000-E32-133 and R-263-000-E32-731). We thank David Sutter for discussions.

APPENDIX

We mention the following, alternative proof of the sandwiched chain rule (Corollary 4). This directly lifts the classical chain rule from Eq. (4) to the quantum case.

Proof of Corollary 4. Let $\{|x\rangle\}_x$ be a joint eigenbasis for both σ and $\mathcal{P}_\sigma(\rho)$. We write

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}_\sigma(\rho)) = \sum_x \underbrace{\langle x | \rho | x \rangle}_{=: p_x} \underbrace{\mathcal{E}(|x\rangle\langle x|)}_{=: \rho^x} \quad \text{and} \quad (51)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma) = \sum_x \underbrace{\langle x | \sigma | x \rangle}_{=: q_x} \underbrace{\mathcal{F}(|x\rangle\langle x|)}_{=: \sigma^x} \quad (52)$$

and by the data-processing for the partial trace we find

$$\tilde{Q}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}_\sigma(\rho)) \| \mathcal{F}(\sigma)) \quad (53)$$

$$\leq \tilde{Q}_\alpha\left(\sum_x p_x |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \rho^x \left\| \sum_x q_x |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \sigma^x\right.\right) \quad (54)$$

$$= \sum_x p_x^\alpha q_x^{1-\alpha} \tilde{Q}_\alpha(\rho^x \| \sigma^x) \quad (55)$$

$$\leq \sum_x p_x^\alpha q_x^{1-\alpha} \cdot \max_x \tilde{Q}_\alpha(\rho^x \| \sigma^x) \quad (56)$$

$$\leq 2^{(\alpha-1)D_{\alpha, M}(\rho \| \sigma)} \cdot \max_{\omega \in \mathcal{S}} \tilde{Q}_\alpha(\mathcal{E}(\omega) \| \mathcal{F}(\omega)), \quad (57)$$

where in the last inequality we used that ρ^x and σ^x are channel outputs for the same input. Combining this with Lemma 2 and taking the logarithm as well as multiplying by $\frac{1}{\alpha-1}$, yields the desired result. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Koenraad M. R. Audenaert and Nilanjana Datta. α -z-relative Rényi entropies. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 56:022202, 2015.
- [2] V. P. Belavkin and P. Staszewski. C*-algebraic generalization of relative entropy and entropy. *Annals Henri Poincaré*, 37(1):51–58, 1982.
- [3] M. Berta, O. Fawzi, and M. Tomamichel. Exploiting variational formulas for quantum relative entropy. In *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pages 2844–2848, 2016.
- [4] M. Berta, O. Fawzi, and M. Tomamichel. On variational expressions for quantum relative entropies. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 107(12):2239–2265, 2017.
- [5] Mario Berta, Fernando G. S. L. Brandão, and Christoph Hirche. On composite quantum hypothesis testing. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 385(1):55–77, 2021.
- [6] Mario Berta, Matthias Christandl, and Renato Renner. The quantum reverse Shannon theorem based on one-shot information theory. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 306(3):579–615, 2011.
- [7] Mario Berta, Christoph Hirche, Eneet Kaur, and Mark M. Wilde. Stein's lemma for classical-quantum channels. In *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pages 2564–2568, 2019.
- [8] Fernando G. S. L. Brandão, Aram W. Harrow, Jonathan Oppenheim, and Sergii Strelchuk. Quantum conditional mutual information, reconstructed states, and state redistribution. *Physical Review Letters*, 115(5):050501, 2015.
- [9] Peter Brown, Hamza Fawzi, and Omar Fawzi. Device-independent lower bounds on the conditional von Neumann entropy. 2021.
- [10] Matthias Christandl and Alexander Müller-Hermes. Relative entropy bounds on quantum, private and repeater capacities. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 353(2):821–852, 2017.

- [11] Tom Cooney, Milán Mosonyi, and Mark M. Wilde. Strong converse exponents for a quantum channel discrimination problem and quantum-feedback-assisted communication. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 344(3):797–829, 2016.
- [12] Imre Csiszár. The method of types. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 44(6):2505–2523, 1998.
- [13] Matthew J. Donald. On the relative entropy. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 105(1):13–34, 1986.
- [14] Kun Fang and Hamza Fawzi. Geometric Rényi divergence and its applications in quantum channel capacities. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 384(3):1615–1677, 2021.
- [15] Kun Fang, Omar Fawzi, Renato Renner, and David Sutter. Chain rule for the quantum relative entropy. *Physical Review Letters*, 124(10):100501, 2020.
- [16] Kun Fang, Gilad Gour, and Xin Wang. Towards the ultimate limits of quantum channel discrimination. 2021.
- [17] Hamza Fawzi and Omar Fawzi. Defining quantum divergences via convex optimization. *Quantum*, 5:387, 2021.
- [18] Omar Fawzi and Renato Renner. Quantum conditional mutual information and approximate Markov chains. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 340(2):575–611, 2015.
- [19] Gilad Gour and Marco Tomamichel. Optimal extensions of resource measures and their applications. *Physical Review A*, 102(6):062401, 2020.
- [20] M. B. Hastings. Superadditivity of communication capacity using entangled inputs. *Nature Physics*, 5(4):255–257, 2009.
- [21] Masahito Hayashi. Optimal sequence of quantum measurements in the sense of Stein’s lemma in quantum hypothesis testing. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 35(50):10759–10773, 2002.
- [22] Masahito Hayashi. Discrimination of two channels by adaptive methods and its application to quantum system. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 55(8):3807–3820, 2009.
- [23] Fumio Hiai and Dénes Petz. The proper formula for relative entropy and its asymptotics in quantum probability. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 143(1):99–114, 1991.
- [24] Marius Junge, Renato Renner, David Sutter, Mark M. Wilde, and Andreas Winter. Universal recovery maps and approximate sufficiency of quantum relative entropy. *Annales Henri Poincaré*, 19(10):2955–2978, 2018.
- [25] Vishal Katariya and Mark M. Wilde. Geometric distinguishability measures limit quantum channel estimation and discrimination. *Quantum Information Processing*, 20(2):78, 2021.
- [26] Sumeet Khatri and Mark M. Wilde. Principles of quantum communication theory: A modern approach. 2020.
- [27] Felix Leditzky, Eneet Kaur, Nilanjana Datta, and Mark M. Wilde. Approaches for approximate additivity of the Holevo information of quantum channels. *Physical Review A*, 97(1):012332, 2018.
- [28] Keiji Matsumoto. Reverse test and characterization of quantum relative entropy. 2010.
- [29] Keiji Matsumoto. A new quantum version of f-divergence. 2014.
- [30] Tony Metger, Omar Fawzi, David Sutter, and Renato Renner. Generalised entropy accumulation. 2022.
- [31] Martin Müller-Lennert, Frédéric Dupuis, Oleg Szehr, Serge Fehr, and Marco Tomamichel. On quantum Rényi entropies: A new generalization and some properties. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 54(12):122203, 2013.
- [32] Dénes Petz. Quasi-entropies for finite quantum systems. *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, 23(1):57–65, 1986.
- [33] Y. Polyanski and S. Verdú. Binary hypothesis testing with feedback. In *International Conference on Information Theory and Application*, 2011.
- [34] A. Rényi. On measures of information and entropy. In *Proceedings 4th Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, volume 1, pages 547–561. University of California Press, 1961.
- [35] Farzin Salek, Masahito Hayashi, and Andreas Winter. When are adaptive strategies in asymptotic quantum channel discrimination useful? 2021.
- [36] D. Sutter, M. Tomamichel, and A.W. Harrow. Strengthened monotonicity of relative entropy via pinched Petz recovery map. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 62(5):2907–2913, 2016.
- [37] David Sutter, Mario Berta, and Marco Tomamichel. Multivariate trace inequalities. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 352(1):37–58, 2017.
- [38] David Sutter, Mario Berta, and Marco Tomamichel. Quantum Markov chains and logarithmic trace inequalities. In *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pages 1988–1992, 2017.
- [39] Marco Tomamichel. *Quantum Information Processing with Finite Resources — Mathematical Foundations*, volume 5 of *SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics*. Springer International Publishing, 2016.
- [40] Xin Wang and Mark M. Wilde. Resource theory of asymmetric distinguishability for quantum channels. *Physical Review Research*, 1(3):033169, 2019.
- [41] Mark M. Wilde. Recoverability in quantum information theory. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, 471(2182):20150338, 2015.
- [42] Mark M. Wilde, Mario Berta, Christoph Hirche, and Eneet Kaur. Amortized channel divergence for asymptotic quantum channel discrimination. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 110(8):2277–2336, 2020.
- [43] Mark M. Wilde, Andreas Winter, and Dong Yang. Strong converse for the classical capacity of entanglement-breaking and Hadamard channels via a sandwiched Rényi relative entropy. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 331(2):593–622, 2014.