


# The shared universality of charged black holes and the many many-body SYK model

Jan C. Louw <sup>1</sup> and Stefan Kehrein<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1, 37077 Göttingen, Germany*

(Dated: April 21, 2022)

We investigate the charged  $q/2$ -body interacting Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model in the grand-canonical ensemble. By treating  $q$  as a large parameter, we are able to analytically study its phase diagram. By varying the chemical potential or temperature, we find that the system undergoes a phase transition between low and high entropies, in the maximally chaotic regime. A similar transition in entropy is seen in charged AdS black holes transitioning between a large and small event horizon. Approaching zero temperature, we find a first-order chaotic-to-integrable quantum phase transition, where the finite extensive entropy drops to zero. This again has a gravitational analogue—the Hawking-Page (HP) transition between a large black hole and thermal radiation.

An analytical study of the critical phenomena associated with the continuous phase transition provides us with two sets of critical exponents. These sets define two separate universality classes, both of which include several charged AdS black hole phase-transitions. Together, these findings indicate a connection between the charged  $q \rightarrow \infty$  SYK model and black holes.

The analysis of condensed matter systems lacking quasi-particles is hindered by the unamenability of Fermi-liquid theory. One successful approach is via a class of disordered Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) models [1, 2], or their related disorder-less planar/tensor matrix models [3, 4]. Despite their non-integrability, one may find exact relations between the self-energy and Green’s function  $\mathcal{G}$  [5]. This reduces the exponential complexity of the problem to a single Dyson equation purely in terms of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Although some analytical results exist in the infrared limit [7], the full solutions are obtained numerically.

There is also a framework in which one may find exact analytical solutions. This is by considering  $q/2$ -body interactions, for large  $q$ , and treating  $1/q$  as an expansion parameter. In this Letter, we present a study of such a model [2, 6]

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{q/2} \leq N \\ 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{q/2} \leq N}} X_{j_1 \dots j_{q/2}}^{i_1 \dots i_{q/2}} c_{i_1}^\dagger \dots c_{i_{q/2}}^\dagger c_{j_{q/2}} \dots c_{j_1}, \quad (1)$$

with a conserved  $U(1)$  charge density  $\hat{Q} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i c_i^\dagger c_i - 1/2$ , with expectation values  $Q \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ . Here  $c^\dagger, c$  are Dirac/complex fermionic creation and annihilation operators, respectively. We will study this model in the grand-canonical ensemble with partition function  $Z = \text{Tr}\{e^{-\beta[\mathcal{H} - \mu N \hat{Q}]}\}$ . The couplings,  $X$ , are complex random variables with zero mean, and a variance  $\overline{|X|^2} = [J/q]^2 [(q/2)!]^2 [2/N]^{q-1}$ . Such models have the advantage of being amenable to analytical solutions. At neutral charge,  $Q = 0$ , its thermodynamics reduces to its Majorana ( $c^\dagger = c$ ) counterpart [7]. The inclusion of non-zero charge brings (1) in closer contact with electronic systems [1, 8, 9]. By varying a chemical potential  $\mu$ , the conjugate to  $Q$ , we find that this model exhibits a phase transition similar to its finite  $q$  equivalents [3, 10]. In contrast to the numerical results in the finite  $q$  case, we are able to analytically study its phase diagram in the large  $q$  limit. This is done by considering suitable polynomial scaling (in  $q$ ) thermodynamic variables such as  $T, \mu$ . The analytical solutions to the equilibrium Green’s functions  $\mathcal{G}$  and a proof that they remain valid for our considered scaling are given in the supplementary material.

The Green’s functions are key to studying the phase diagram.

This is because the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger (KMS) relation,  $\mathcal{G}(\tau + \beta) = -e^{-\beta\mu}\mathcal{G}(\tau)$ , allows one to extract the exact equation of state [6, eq.(43)]

$$\mu(Q) = 2T \tanh^{-1}(2Q) + 4Q\mathcal{J}(Q) \sin(\pi v/2)/q, \quad (2)$$

for large  $q$ , with effective coupling strength

$$\mathcal{J}(Q) \equiv [1 - 4Q^2]^{(q-2)/4} J \quad (3)$$

and Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_L = 2\pi vT$ , found by solving  $\mathcal{J}/T = \pi v \sec(\pi v/2)$  [7]. Note that, for any non-zero  $Q = \mathcal{O}(q^0)$ , the interaction is suppressed for large  $q$ ,  $\mathcal{J} \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

One is able to retain non-zero (constant) effective coupling  $\mathcal{J}$ , by considering  $Q$  dependent coupling  $J(Q) \propto [1 - 4Q^2]^{(2-q)/4}$  [11]. This allows the interactions to remain relevant at all charge densities. Notice, however, that with this scaling, the Hamiltonian inherits a temperature dependence from the charge density [8]. In this case, there is no phase transition.

Using our scaling, we find a van der Waals (vdW)-like phase diagram [12, 25], with a line of first-order phase transition terminating at a critical end-point, where the transition is continuous. Associated with this are multiple power laws, the critical exponents of which we are able to calculate analytically. Comparing exponents, we find that our model shares such a universality class with a wide range of models, including numerous AdS black holes, a non-exhaustive list of which is [12–19]. These similarities between black holes and the *many*-many body SYK model extends even beyond the shared universality class. For instance, over the phase transition, there is a drop in entropy reminiscent of the large-to-small horizon transition in Reissner-Nordström (RN), charged and non-rotating, black holes. Such systems also appear in the study of non-Fermi-liquids, under the name RN metals [20].

*Phase diagram.*— We start our analysis by considering two extremes. At zero charge density we are left with a strongly interacting pure Majorana-like SYK model, while at any finite charge density  $Q = \mathcal{O}(q^0)$  the interaction (3) is trivial  $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow 0$  leaving a Fermi gas. Somewhere in between these two extremes must lie a regime where interactions and density terms


in (2) compete in a non-trivial way. Indeed, such a competition is found for thermodynamic quantities which scale like

$$T = \tilde{T}q^{-1}, \quad \mu = \tilde{\mu}q^{-3/2}, \quad (4)$$

where tilde'd quantities are held fixed as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ . In turn, the charge densities scales like  $Q = \tilde{Q}q^{-1/2}$ , hence yielding a finite effective interaction (3),  $\mathcal{J}(Q) \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\tilde{Q}^2 J}$ . This scaling corresponds to the maximally chaotic regime, where  $v = 1 - 2\tilde{T}/(q\mathcal{J}) + \mathcal{O}(1/q^2)$  saturates the universal (chaos) bound  $\lambda_L \rightarrow 2\pi T$  [21]. Using the scaling (4) thus simplifies equation of state (2) to

$$\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{Q}) = 4\tilde{Q}[\tilde{T} + J e^{-\tilde{Q}^2}] + \mathcal{O}(1/q). \quad (5)$$


Plotting this, as in fig. 1, one notes that there exists a critical temperature,  $\tilde{T}_{\text{crit}} = 2Je^{-3/2}$ , below which three solutions exist instead of one. The solution from b-c has an unphysical negative compressibility. Such behavior is also seen in the vdW liquid-gas transition. Due to the difference in (charge) density, and similarities to the vdW system, we shall refer to the two physical solutions as the gaseous and liquid phase, given in pink and blue respectively.



**FIG. 1:** The three solutions to (5) distinguished by color, for  $\tilde{T} < \tilde{T}_{\text{crit}}$ . The dashed line indicates the thermodynamically favorable solution.

Out of the three, the thermodynamically favorable solution corresponds to the smallest grand potential (per lattice site)  $\Omega = -T \ln Z/N$ . Considering the partition function, we observe the following relations  $J\partial_J\Omega = E$  and  $\partial_{\mu}\Omega = -Q$ , where the energy density is given by  $E = -2(1 - 4Q^2)\mathcal{J}(Q) \sin(\pi v/2)/q^2$  [6], which define a set of differential equations. From the relation  $\Omega = E - \mu Q - TS$ , we observe that the entropy density  $S$  is the only unknown. We may find  $S$  by solving the set of differential equations for the scaling (4), i.e., in the maximal chaotic regime  $v \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\partial_{\tilde{\mu}}q^2\Omega = -\tilde{Q}$  and  $\partial_Jq^2\Omega = -2e^{-\tilde{Q}^2}$ . One may then verify by substitution that  $S = \ln 2 - 2\tilde{Q}^2/q + \mathcal{O}(1/q^2)$ , where the constant is found by using the free fermion solution at  $J = 0$ . The corresponding grand potential is then written as  $q^2\Omega = \tilde{\Omega} - q\tilde{T} \ln 2$ , with

$$\tilde{\Omega} \equiv -2\tilde{Q}^2\tilde{T} - 2[1 + 2\tilde{Q}^2]J e^{-\tilde{Q}^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/q). \quad (6)$$



**FIG. 2:** Phase diagram, for scaling (4), in terms of reduced variables,  $T_r = \tilde{T}/\tilde{T}_{\text{crit}}$ ,  $\mu_r = \tilde{\mu}/\tilde{\mu}_{\text{crit}}$  and  $Q_r = \tilde{Q}/\tilde{Q}_{\text{crit}}$ . The solid and dashed lines denote the coexistence and stability curves respectively. The color corresponds to the thermodynamically favorable charge density.

Considering  $\tilde{\Omega}$  for these three solutions, plotted in fig. 1, we find that the favorable charge density necessarily jumps between  $g$  and  $l$ , missing the unphysical solution. Also note the distinct swallow tail shape, from catastrophe theory, which is also common to RN phase transitions. This shape is indicative of a first-order phase transition, in this case from a low (charge) density gaseous phase to the dense liquid phase. This is induced either by increasing the chemical potential or decreasing the temperature, as seen from the phase diagram in fig. 2. The stability limit curves, enclosing the region where both phases can coexist, coincide at the critical point  $(\tilde{\mu}_{\text{crit}}, \tilde{T}_{\text{crit}})$ , with charge density  $\tilde{Q}_{\text{crit}} = \sqrt{3/2}$ . Here the two turning points b,c merge into an inflection point, where there is a continuous (second-order) phase transition. Above this lies the supercritical phase, identified by a single unique solution.

*Universality.*— Approaching the critical point, i.e., for small shifted variables  $m \equiv \mu_r - 1$ ,  $\rho \equiv Q_r - 1$ , and  $t \equiv T_r - 1$ , we find that various quantities display power laws. Their (critical) exponents are found by studying the equation of state (5) to leading order in these shifted variables

$$m(\rho) = 2t(1 + \rho)/3 + \rho^3 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4). \quad (7)$$

For instance, along the critical isotherm  $t = 0$ , the chemical potential behaves as  $m \propto \rho^\delta$ , with  $\delta = 3$ . The remaining quantities of interest are the specific heat  $C \propto |t|^{-\alpha}$ , the order parameter  $\rho \propto |t|^\beta$ , and its susceptibility to a change in chemical potential  $\rho'(m) \propto |t|^{-\gamma}$ . From the reciprocal  $m' \sim 2t/3 + 3\rho^2 \propto |t|^\gamma$ , one can already read off that  $\gamma$  is either 1 or  $2\beta$ .

We focus on the asymptotic behavior of the quantities as they approach the critical point from either the gaseous or liquid side. The particular power-law depends on the line along which this point is reached. As an example, let us consider the specific heat. For constant  $\mu$ , we have  $C_\mu = T(\partial_T S)_\mu \sim TQ\partial_T Q$ . By differentiating (7) for constant  $m$ , one obtains  $\partial_t \rho \propto 1/m'$ , leaving  $C_\mu \propto \rho'$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_\mu = \gamma_\mu$ . Here we have used subscripts to indicate which quantity is held fixed. In contrast, for constant

$\mathcal{Q}, C_{\mathcal{Q}} = (\partial_T E)_{\mathcal{Q}}$ . In the chaotic regime, the energy behaves as  $E \propto T^2 + \text{const.}$ , leaving  $C_{\mathcal{Q}} \propto T \sim t^0$ . While often associated with Fermi-liquid behavior, such a Sommerfeld, linear in  $T$ , specific heat also appears in RN [20] and cuprate [22–24] strange metals for a range of doping levels.

Lastly, we consider the order parameter  $\rho$ , which has upper and lower bounds  $\rho_{\ell} \in [\rho_c, \rho_d]$ . Here the subscripts a-d, correspond to the points plotted in fig. 1, for instance  $\rho_c = |2t|^{1/2}/3$  is a turning point of (7), while  $\rho_d = 2\rho_b$ , is the solution to  $m(\rho_d) = m(\rho_b)$ . Up to a sign difference, identical bounds are obtained for  $\rho_g$ . These bounds saturate to yield  $\rho \propto |t|^\beta$ , with  $\beta = 1/2$ . The root of  $m(\rho)$ ,  $\rho \sim |2t/3|^{\beta_\mu}$ , yields the final exponent  $\beta_\mu = 1/3$ . The full sets of critical exponents are given in tables I.(a) and I.(b).

**TABLE I:** Tables of critical exponents

(a)	$\begin{array}{cccc} \alpha_\mu & \beta_\mu & \gamma_\mu & \delta \\ 2/3 & 1/3 & 2/3 & 3 \end{array}$	(b)	$\begin{array}{cccc} \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}} & \beta & \gamma_{\mathcal{Q}} & \delta \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1 & 3 \end{array}$
-----	---	-----	---

These sets define universality classes. By comparing order parameters and their conjugates, one can make various analogies between the models listed in table II. These analogies are quantitative, in the sense that all critical exponents match. By this we mean that keeping order parameters fixed, with the obvious exception of  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$ , all five exponents match I.(b). Then, while keeping the conjugates fixed, we again find five exponents matching I.(a). In other words, given the identification of the order parameters, we find that our model, the vdW liquid [12, 25] and multiple RN AdS $_{d+1}$  black holes [12, 14, 26] all share a universality class. We now describe the analogous models in more detail. The RN black holes are defined by a charge  $q_B$ , an event horizon radius  $r$  and electrical potential  $\Phi = q_B r^{2-d}$  [14]. One may also consider such systems in an extended AdS $_{d+1}$  space [12, 26], where the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  and its conjugate quantity, the volume  $V$ , are treated as thermodynamic variables. Here  $V$ , like in the vdW case, is the order parameter, while  $-\Lambda$  acts as the pressure term  $P$ .

**TABLE II:** Analogies between models with shared universality class.

Model	SYK	vdW	RN-AdS	
	[6]	[12, 25]	[12, 26]	[14]
order parameter	$\mathcal{Q}$	$V$	$V$	$\Phi$
conjugate	$\mu$	$P$	$-\Lambda$	$q_B$

Besides sharing a universality class, these analogous models also have an abundance of qualitative commonalities. This is particularly apparent at low energies where the suppression by large charge densities,  $e^{-\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^2}$ , leaves a relatively weakly interacting liquid phase. The extreme to this is seen by considering a different rescaling

$$T = \bar{T} q^{-2}, \quad \mu = \bar{\mu} q^{-2}, \quad (8)$$

where the system transitions to a finite non-rescaled charge density  $\mathcal{Q} = 0 \rightarrow \sqrt{1 - e^{-4\bar{\beta}J}}/2$ , shown in the supplementary material. This suppresses the effective interaction

$\mathcal{J} = e^{-q\bar{\beta}J} \rightarrow 0$ , yielding a free (integrable) system  $v \rightarrow 0$ . As such, there is a spontaneous symmetry breaking of the U(1) charge, where small perturbations, stemming from  $\bar{\mu}$ , to the  $\mathcal{Q} = 0$  symmetric-Majorana state, induces a jump to a Fermi gas at finite (positive or negative) charge density. This holds for any finite rescaled temperatures and infinitesimal chemical potentials as defined in (8). Such a Fermi gas has an entropy  $S = \beta\mu\mathcal{Q} - \ln\sqrt{1/4 - \mathcal{Q}^2}$ . To leading order in  $\bar{T}$ , this indicates a drastic drop in entropy  $\ln 2 \rightarrow \bar{\beta}J e^{-4\bar{\beta}J}$ . Such an instability is also seen in RN black holes at low temperatures [27, 28]. The RN transition is from a large black hole to a small one. Since the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is proportional to the surface area, this also corresponds to a drop in entropy. Lastly, both RN and SYK transitions also include an unphysical and unstable solution, with negative bulk modulus.

For  $\bar{T} = o(q^0)$ , there is a first-order quantum phase transition from  $\mathcal{Q} = 0$ , to maximum density  $\mathcal{Q} = 1/2$ , at  $\bar{\mu}_0 = 4J$ . If  $\bar{\mu} < \bar{\mu}_0$ , then we are left with a Majorana SYK ground state solution with an extensive entropy. Such a finite entropy, at  $T = 0$ , is also the defining property of RN metals [20]. If  $\bar{\mu} > \bar{\mu}_0$ , we are left with a zero entropy harmonic oscillator vacuum state. This is quantum phase transition is also observed in the finite  $q$  equivalent models [3, 10]. Such a transition is again related to black holes, this time the classical HP transition [29], which has a large black hole to (non-interacting) thermal radiation, with zero entropy, transition. Also of note, is the conjecture of black holes being the fastest scramblers [30], and as such chaotic [7, 31]. Assuming this holds, the black hole analogies extend over to a chaotic-to-chaotic RN transition, as we found in the scaling regime (4). It would further include a chaotic-to-integrable HP transition, where the integrable parts correspond to (non-interacting) thermal radiation, corresponding to our observed low temperature crossover (4).

It is quite remarkable that there are at least two RN models which also qualitatively match our phase diagram [14, 18] by terminating at a first order phase transition at  $(q_B, \beta) = (0, \beta_Z)$ . This is reminiscent of how our coexistence line terminates at  $(\bar{T}, \bar{\mu}) = (0, \bar{\mu}_0)$ . This is in contrast to extended space RN black holes and vdW, with coexistence lines extending to the point (0, 0). At the other end both models terminate at a second order transition. Of note is that [18] has a universality class matching I.(a) [19].

All these similarities are perhaps not so surprising from the perspective of holography. This is because the SYK model is a (0 + 1)-dimensional conformally symmetric theory at low temperatures. As such, from the AdS/CFT correspondence, one would conjecture that it is a CFT on the boundary of some AdS $_{1+1}$  space. Standard (1 + 1) dimensional gravity is topological and display only trivial physics, hence we consider non-standard gravity, the simplest of which are the Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT) black holes. They may be viewed as the dimensional reduction, or the near-horizon theory of near-extremal (minimal mass) higher dimensional black holes [32–34]. One such model [15] even has a phase transition with calculated critical exponents matching that of I.(b).

*Conclusion.*— We presented an analytic study of the large  $q$  SYK model, showing that it displays an RN-like phase tran-

sition. Prior numerical analyses of the finite  $q$  case have observed that the phase diagram scales away at larger values of  $q$  [3, 10]. We showed that if one considers rescaled quantities as described by (4) (8), then the transition in fact still exists at infinite  $q$ .

In contrast to the finite  $q$  case, which has asymmetric (differing over the coexistence line) irrational exponents [3, 10], we found symmetric rational numbers. We collected them into two sets, which define universality classes. By comparing them to other models, we found that the *many*-many body SYK model and many RN black holes find themselves in the same universality class.

Further, in the low reduced temperature regime, we found a jump between chaotic and integrable phases, also observed in generalized/coupled Majorana SYK models [35–37]. The coexistence line dividing the two phases terminates at a first-order quantum phase transition from a Majorana ground state to a Fermi gas, hence a drop from non-zero residual entropy down to zero. This feature is shared with the first-order Hawking-

Page (HP) transition between a large black hole and thermal radiation [29]. As such, the gravitational analogies extend to the low temperature regime.

From the perspective of AdS/CFT, these similarities between our model and charged black holes are perhaps not too surprising. This is because the SYK model is conformally symmetric in the infrared limit [7]. However, the details narrow down the list of possible gravity duals to the SYK model [38]. The analytical expressions derived in this Letter, the critical exponents, equation of state, and grand potential, serve as a guide towards finding this dual.

We conclude with the natural question of whether any columns in table II or other mentioned analogies are part of an AdS/CFT dictionary. In other words, is there (asymptotic) equivalence between any of the partition functions?

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - 217133147/SFB 1073, project B03 and the Deutsche akademische Austauschdienst (DAAD, German Academic Exchange Service).

- 
- [1] S. Sachdev, “Bekenstein-hawking entropy and strange metals,” *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 041025 (2015).
- [2] W. Fu, *The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model and matter without quasiparticles*, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University (2018).
- [3] T. Azeyanagi, F. Ferrari, and F. I. Schaposnik Massolo, “Phase Diagram of Planar Matrix Quantum Mechanics, Tensor, and Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Models,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 061602 (2018).
- [4] E. Witten, “An SYK-Like Model Without Disorder,” *arXiv* (2016), 1610.09758.
- [5] S. Sachdev and J. Ye, “Gapless spin-fluid ground state in a random quantum Heisenberg magnet,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 3339 (1993).
- [6] J. C. Louw and S. Kehrein, “Thermalization of many many-body interacting Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models,” *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 075117 (2022).
- [7] J. Maldacena and D. Stanford, “Remarks on the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 106002 (2016).
- [8] C. Zanoci and B. Swingle, “Near-Equilibrium Approach to Transport in Complex Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Models,” *arXiv* (2022), 2204.06019.
- [9] X. Y. Song, C. M. Jian, and L. Balents, “Strongly Correlated Metal Built from Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Models,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 216601 (2017).
- [10] F. Ferrari and F. I. Schaposnik Massolo, “Phases of melonic quantum mechanics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 026007 (2019).
- [11] R. A. Davison, W. Fu, A. Georges, Y. Gu, K. Jensen, and S. Sachdev, “Thermoelectric transport in disordered metals without quasiparticles: The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models and holography,” *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 155131 (2017).
- [12] D. Kubizňák and R. B. Mann, “P - V criticality of charged AdS black holes,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2012**, 033 (2012).
- [13] B. R. Majhi and S. Samanta, “P-V criticality of AdS black holes in a general framework,” *Phys. Lett. B* **773**, 203 (2017).
- [14] B. P. Dolan, “Pressure and Compressibility of Conformal Field Theories from the AdS/CFT Correspondence,” *Entropy* **18**, 169 (2016).
- [15] S. Cao, Y.-C. Rui, and X.-H. Ge, “Thermodynamic phase structure of complex Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model and charged black hole in deformed JT gravity,” *arXiv* 2103.16270.
- [16] A. Dehyadegari, B. R. Majhi, A. Sheykhi, and A. Montakhab, “Universality class of alternative phase space and Van der Waals criticality,” *Phys. Lett. B* **791**, 30 (2019).
- [17] A. Mandal, S. Samanta, and B. R. Majhi, “Phase transition and critical phenomena of black holes: A general approach,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 064069 (2016).
- [18] A. Chamblin, R. Emparan, C. V. Johnson, and R. C. Myers, “Charged AdS black holes and catastrophic holography,” *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 064018 (1999).
- [19] C. Niu, Y. Tian, and X. Wu, “Critical phenomena and thermodynamic geometry of Reissner-Nordström-anti-de Sitter black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 024017 (2012).
- [20] J. Zaanen, Y. Liu, Y.-W. Sun, and K. Schalm, *Holographic Duality in Condensed Matter Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, UK, 2015).
- [21] J. Maldacena, S. H. Shenker, and D. Stanford, “A bound on chaos,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2016**, 106 (2016).
- [22] J. W. Loram, K. A. Mirza, J. R. Cooper, and W. Y. Liang, “Electronic specific heat of  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$  from 1.8 to 300 K,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 1740 (1993).
- [23] B. Michon, C. Girod, S. Badoux, J. Kačmarčík, Q. Ma, M. Dragomir, H. A. Dabkowska, B. D. Gaulin, J.-S. Zhou, S. Pyon, T. Takayama, H. Takagi, S. Verret, N. Doiron-Leyraud, C. Marcenat, L. Taillefer, and T. Klein, “Thermodynamic signatures of quantum criticality in cuprate superconductors,” *Nature* **567**, 218 (2019).
- [24] A. Legros, S. Benhabib, W. Tabis, F. Laliberté, M. Dion, M. Lizaire, B. Vignolle, D. Vignolles, H. Raffy, and Z. Z. Li, *et al.*, “Universal T-linear resistivity and Planckian dissipation in overdoped cuprates - Nature Physics,” *Nat. Phys.* **15**, 142 (2019).
- [25] W. Cho, D. Kim, and J. Park, “Isobaric Critical Exponents: Test of Analyticity Against NIST Reference Data,” *Front. Phys.* **6**, 00112 (2018).
- [26] D. Kubizňák, R. B. Mann, and M. Teo, “Black hole chemistry: thermodynamics with Lambda,” *Classical Quantum Gravity* **34**, 063001 (2017).
- [27] S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog, and G. T. Horowitz, “Holographic

- superconductors,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2008**, 015 (2008).
- [28] U. Karahasanovic, F. Krüger, and A. G. Green, “Quantum order-by-disorder driven phase reconstruction in the vicinity of ferromagnetic quantum critical points,” *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 165111 (2012).
- [29] S. W. Hawking and D. N. Page, “Thermodynamics of black holes in anti-de Sitter space,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87**, 577 (1982).
- [30] Y. Sekino and L. Susskind, “Fast scramblers,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2008**, 065 (2008).
- [31] S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, “Black holes and the butterfly effect,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **03**, 067 (2014).
- [32] P. Nayak, A. Shukla, R. M. Soni, S. P. Trivedi, and V. Vishal, “On the dynamics of near-extremal black holes,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2018**, 048 (2018).
- [33] U. Moitra, S. K. Sake, S. P. Trivedi, and V. Vishal, “Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity and rotating black holes,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2019**, 047 (2019).
- [34] U. Moitra, S. P. Trivedi, and V. Vishal, “Extremal and near-extremal black holes and near-CFT1,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2019**, 055 (2019).
- [35] Z. Luo, Y-Z. You, J. Li, C.-M. Jian, D. Lu, C. Xu, B. Zeng, and R. Laflamme, “Quantum simulation of the non-fermi-liquid state of Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model,” *npj Quantum Inf.* **5**, 1–6 (2019).
- [36] J. Maldacena and X. Qi, “Eternal traversable wormhole,” *arXiv* (2018), 1804.00491.
- [37] A. M. García-García, B. Loureiro, A. Romero-Bermúdez, and M. Tezuka, “Chaotic-Integrable Transition in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Model,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 241603 (2018).
- [38] V. Rosenhaus, “An introduction to the SYK model,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **52**, 323001 (2019).

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

### Additional mathematical detail to “The shared universality of charged black holes and the many many-body SYK model

The thermal Green’s function  $\mathcal{G}(\tau - \tau') \equiv \mathcal{G}(\tau, \tau') = -\mathcal{T}\langle c(\tau)c^\dagger(\tau') \rangle$  is the solution to Dyson’s equation

$$[\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G}_0](\tau, \tau') = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 dt \mathcal{G}(\tau, t) \Sigma(t, \tau_1) \mathcal{G}_0(\tau_1, \tau'), \quad (\text{S1})$$

with non-interacting Green’s function  $\mathcal{G}_0(\tau) = \langle c^\dagger c \rangle_0 - \Theta(\tau)$  and self energy  $\Sigma$ . For the  $q/2$ -body interacting SYK model  $\Sigma(t) = \mathcal{G}(t) 2J^2 [-4\mathcal{G}(t)\mathcal{G}(-t)]^{q/2-1}/q$  [2]. We write  $\mathcal{G}(\tau) = [\mathcal{Q} - \text{sgn}(\tau)/2] e^{\Delta\mathcal{G}(\tau)}$ , with  $\Delta \equiv 1/q$ , leaving  $q\Sigma = 2J^2 e^{(1-2\Delta)\mathcal{G}_+} \mathcal{G}$ . Here we have split  $\mathcal{G}$  into symmetric/asymmetric parts  $\mathcal{G}_\pm(-\tau) = \pm\mathcal{G}_\pm(\tau)$  and defined the charge density  $\mathcal{Q} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle - 1/2$ .

**Claim:** 1 In the large  $q$  limit, we *claim* that, for any thermodynamic variables which scale sub-exponentially in  $q$  the solution to (S1) is given by  $\mathcal{G}_-(\tau) = 2\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{G}_+(0)\tau$  and

$$e^{[1-2\Delta]\mathcal{G}_+(\tau)} = \frac{[\pi v/\lambda]^2}{\cos^2[\pi v(1/2 - |\tau|/\beta)]}, \quad \frac{\pi v/\lambda}{\cos[\pi v/2]} = 1, \quad (\text{S2})$$

where  $\lambda = \beta J \sqrt{1 - 2\Delta}$  and  $\mathcal{J} \equiv [1 - 4\mathcal{Q}^2]^{(q-2)/4} J$ . Note that for non-rescaled variables, they take on the standard known

forms given for neutral charge  $\mathcal{Q} = 0$  in [7] or at finite charge in [6].

*Proof:* We take the approach of substituting the claimed solutions  $\mathcal{G}_\pm$  into the full Dyson equation. We then gather the non-zero (error) terms  $\Delta R_i$  and show that, given sub-exponentially scaling,  $\Delta R_i \xrightarrow{\Delta \rightarrow 0} 0$ . Using (S1),  $q\partial_\tau \ln \mathcal{G}(\tau, \tau')|_{\tau'=0}$ , for  $\tau \geq 0$ , reduces to

$$\dot{\mathcal{G}}(\tau) - \mathcal{J}^2 \int_0^\beta dt [\text{sgn}(\tau - t) - 2\mathcal{Q}] e^{\mathcal{G}_+(t) + \Delta\varphi_\tau(t)} = 0 \quad (\text{S3})$$

with  $\varphi_\tau(t) \equiv \mathcal{G}(\tau - t) - \mathcal{G}(\tau) - \mathcal{G}(-t)$ . By differentiating again we obtain the two equations

$$\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_+ = 2\mathcal{J}^2 e^{[1-2\Delta]\mathcal{G}_+} [1 + \Delta R], \quad \ddot{\mathcal{G}}_- = \Delta 2\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{J}^2 R_3,$$

with  $R \equiv R_1 + R_2$ . Ignoring the error terms, we have  $\mathcal{G}_-(\tau) \sim \epsilon\tau$ , while  $\dot{\mathcal{G}}_+$  reduces to a Liouville equation with solution (S2). The boundary condition in (S2) enforces  $\mathcal{G}(0^+) = \mathcal{Q} - 1/2$ . Substituting the solutions back into (S3) one finds error terms

$$R_1(\tau) = -[e^{-(1/2-\Delta)\mathcal{G}_+(\tau)} \dot{\mathcal{G}}_+(\tau)/\mathcal{J}]^2/2 \quad (\text{S4})$$

$$R_2(\tau) = e^{-(1+\Delta)\mathcal{G}_+(\tau)} \frac{\mathcal{I}_\tau(t)|_0^\tau - \mathcal{I}_{\tau+\beta}(t)|_\tau^\beta}{2} \quad (\text{S5})$$

$$R_3(\tau) = -[\mathcal{I}_\tau(t)|_0^\tau + \mathcal{I}_{\tau+\beta}(t)|_\tau^\beta] - \frac{\dot{\mathcal{G}}_+(\tau) \dot{\mathcal{G}}_-(\tau)}{\mathcal{J} 2\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{J}}. \quad (\text{S6})$$

where we have defined the indefinite integral

$$\mathcal{I}_\tau(t) \equiv \int dt \dot{\mathcal{G}}_+(\tau - t) e^{\Delta\mathcal{G}_+(\tau-t) + (1-\Delta)\mathcal{G}_+(t)}. \quad (\text{S7})$$

We would next like to find the  $q$ -dependent scaling conditions on  $\lambda$  for which all  $\Delta R_i \xrightarrow{\Delta \rightarrow 0} 0$ . Using (S2), the bound  $|R_1| \lesssim 2$  follows from


$$\frac{\dot{\mathcal{G}}_+(\tau)}{\mathcal{J}} = -\frac{2 \sin(\pi v(1/2 - \tau/\beta))}{\sqrt{1 - 2\Delta}} e^{(1/2-\Delta)\mathcal{G}_+(\tau)}.$$

To bound (S5) and (S6) we first evaluate the integral (S7). To simplify the analysis we would like to replace exponentials like  $e^{(1+\Delta)\mathcal{G}_+}$  with  $e^{\mathcal{G}_+}$ , under the integral, which is justified if the corresponding function, e.g.,  $e^{\Delta\mathcal{G}_+}$ , remains differentiable under said limit. To see when this holds, we note that  $(\pi v/\lambda)^{2\Delta} \leq e^{\Delta(1-2\Delta)\mathcal{G}_+(t)} \leq 1$  saturates for  $|\ln[v/\lambda]| \lesssim q$ . In this case, (S7) evaluates to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\tau(t) &= \int dy \tan[\pi v/2 - (x - y)] \frac{-2 \cos^2(\pi v/2)}{\cos^2[\pi v/2 - y]} \\ &= 2 \cot[\pi v - x] \sin y \frac{\cos[\pi v/2]}{\cos[\pi v/2 - y]} \\ &\quad + 2 \left[ \frac{\cos(\pi v/2)}{\sin(\pi v - x)} \right]^2 \ln \frac{\cos[\pi v/2 - (x - y)]}{\cos(\pi v/2 - y)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x = \pi v\tau/\beta$  and  $y = \pi vt/\beta$ . This yields the error (S5)

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(\tau) &\sim \left[ \frac{\csc^2[\pi v - x] + \csc^2 x}{2} \mathcal{G}_+(\tau) - 1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2 \sin^2[\pi v/2]}{\sin[\pi v - x] \sin x} \right] 2 \cos^2[\pi v/2 - x]. \end{aligned}$$



**FIG. S1:** The error plotted for various values of  $v$ .

which, seen in fig. S1, has a maximum at  $\tau = \beta/2$  given by

$$R_2(\beta/2) = 2 + 2 \frac{\ln[\pi v/\lambda]^2}{1 - [\pi v/\lambda]^2}.$$

The final error term (S6) is bounded by using (S3) to write

$$\dot{g}_-(\tau) \xrightarrow{\Delta \rightarrow 0} -2\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{J}^2 \int_0^\beta dt e^{\mathcal{Q}t} = -\mathcal{Q} \int_0^\beta dt \ddot{g}_+(t),$$

which integrates to  $\dot{g}_-(\tau) = 2\mathcal{Q}\dot{g}_+(0)$ , hence matching the postulated solution. This leaves an error with maximum magnitude of  $4 \sin^2(\pi v/2)$  at  $\tau = 0$ .

With all three of these error terms, one notices that  $\Delta R_i \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow \infty} 0$  as long as large  $|\ln[v/\lambda]| \lesssim q$ . For large  $\lambda$ , the relation (S2) implies that  $v \sim 1$ . This means our solutions remains valid for any  $\ln \beta \mathcal{J} \ll q$ , which includes the polynomial scalings  $\beta \mathcal{J} \sim q^\alpha$  considered in the Letter, i.e.,  $T = \bar{T} q^{-1}$  and  $T = \bar{T} q^{-2}$ , with  $J = \mathcal{O}(q^0)$ .

**Claim:** 2 At small reduced temperature  $T_r = \tilde{T}/\tilde{T}_c$ , where  $\tilde{T}_c = 2e^{-3/2}J$ , the charge density jumps as

$$\mathcal{Q}_g = \frac{\cosh^{-1}[e^{2\bar{\beta}J}]}{q2\bar{\beta}J} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\ell = \frac{\sqrt{1 - e^{-4\bar{\beta}J}}}{2}. \quad (\text{S8})$$

For convenience, we have defined  $\tilde{T} = q^{-1}\bar{T}$ ,  $\tilde{\mu} = q^{-1/2}\bar{\mu}$ . If we do however consider  $\bar{T}$ ,  $\bar{\mu}$  fixed in the large  $q$  limit, we find a transition from  $\mathcal{Q} = 0 \rightarrow \sqrt{1 - e^{-4\bar{\beta}J}}/2$ , i.e., a transition to a finite non-rescaled charge density as discussed in the Letter.

*Proof:* The charge densities over the coexistence line satisfy the inequalities  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g < \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_b$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_c < \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\ell$ . The bounds are the

turning points, depicted in fig.1 from the Letter, of  $\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_b = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - w_0 \left[ \frac{-T_r}{e} \right]}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_c = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - w_{-1} \left[ \frac{-T_r}{e} \right]}, \quad (\text{S9})$$

where  $w(x)$  is the product log satisfying  $w e^w = x$ . Here the subscripts indicate the various branches, with  $w_0$  corresponding to the principal branch. Asymptotically, the turning points behave as  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_b = \sqrt{1/2} + \mathcal{O}(T_r)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_c \sim \sqrt{3/2 + \ln T_r + \ln(1 + \ln T_r)}$ . This yields the two different expansions to

$$\tilde{\Omega} \equiv -2\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^2\tilde{T} - 2[1 + 2\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^2]J e^{-\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^2}, \quad \tilde{\mu} = 4\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}[\tilde{T} + J e^{-\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^2}], \quad (\text{S10})$$

given in the Letter, namely

$$\tilde{\Omega}_g = -2\mathcal{J}_g \frac{1 + 2\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g^2}{1 + \mathcal{O}(T_r)}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_g = \frac{4\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g\mathcal{J}_g}{1 + \mathcal{O}(T_r)}, \quad (\text{S11})$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_\ell = -\frac{2\tilde{T}\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\ell^2}{1 + \mathcal{O}^{-1}(\ln T_r)}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_\ell = \frac{4\tilde{T}\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\ell}{1 + \mathcal{O}^{-1}(\ln T_r)}, \quad (\text{S12})$$

where  $\mathcal{J}_g = J e^{-\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g^2}$ . Here, the weakly interacting phase (S12) is in fact the leading order solution to free fermions

$$\tilde{\Omega}_\ell = \frac{\bar{T}}{2} \ln[1 - 4\mathcal{Q}_\ell^2], \quad \tilde{\mu}_\ell = 2 \frac{\bar{T}}{\sqrt{q}} \tanh^{-1}[2\mathcal{Q}_\ell], \quad (\text{S13})$$

which are the full solutions at large charge densities (small effective interaction). An analysis using (S12), while valid at infinite  $q$ , for fixed tilde'd variables, yields the incorrect zero temperature limit expressions for large finite  $q$ . As such, we focus on phase transitions from (S11) to (S13), which includes the previous analysis as a solution under the appropriate limit. The phase transition occurs at the point of equal grand and chemical potential. Equating the expressions in (S11) and (S13), yields the equations

$$\ln(1 - 4\mathcal{Q}_\ell^2) = -4\bar{\beta}\mathcal{J}_g[1 + 2\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g^2], \quad 2\mathcal{Q}_\ell = \tanh[2\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g\bar{\beta}\mathcal{J}_g],$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}} \equiv q^{-1/2}\mathcal{Q}$ . The solution to these two are the roots of

$$f(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g) = 1 + 2\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g^2 - \frac{\ln \cosh [2\bar{\beta}\mathcal{J}_g\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g]}{2\bar{\beta}\mathcal{J}_g}. \quad (\text{S14})$$

As  $\bar{T} \rightarrow 0$ , the root is at  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g = 1 + 2\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g^2 \sim 1$ . Together with (S11), this suggests that there is a first-order transition at  $\tilde{\mu}^* = 4J$ . Above zero temperature, for any  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g = o(q^0)$ , we simply have  $\mathcal{J}_g \sim J$  and as such the root of  $f$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_g$  and the corresponding liquid charge density are as given in (S8).