

On extracting the positions of multiple unknown cracks that occur on the junction line of two elastic plates

Masaru IKEHATA,* and Hiromichi ITOU†

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the reconstruction issue of an inverse crack problem in a two-dimensional bounded domain which may have a possible application to the nondestructive evaluation of materials. It is assumed that the domain consists of two elastic plates welded together and has some unknown cracks on the junction line and the governing equation of in-plane displacement is Navier's equation. The problem is to extract information about the location of cracks from the observation data which is a single set of a loading surface traction and the resulted in-plane displacement field on the boundary of the domain. It is shown that the enclosure method combined with the Kelvin transform yields explicit extraction formulae of such information from the observation data.

MSC 2010: 35R30, 74B05.

KEY WORDS: inverse crack problem, enclosure method, linearized elasticity.

1 Introduction

We deal with a reconstruction problem for multiple cracks located on a single line in a linearized elastic plate. This happens when using spot welding to join two elastic plates to form a plate. The out of welded part on the faying surface can be considered a set of cracks, e.g. [3]. Developing mathematical methods for estimating such parts from the data observed at the plate boundaries has the potential to be applied to non-destructive evaluation of materials.

As one of mathematically exact methods, in [4, 14] we have already developed a method which employs the enclosure method [6, 7] combined with the idea of using the Kelvin transform back to [8] in the case of electric conductive plate. The aim of this paper is to extend the results in [4, 14] to the linearized elastic plate case. The main parts consist of two theorems for extracting information about the location of the unknown cracks. The one is an extension of Theorem 1 in [14]. The second is an extension of Theorem 2.1 in [4], which already announced in a conference report [15] briefly. However, we will supplement the part that was not described in [15], which is an application of the idea of taking the logarithmic derivative of the indicator function in the enclosure method developed in [9]. See also [10] in which this idea has been applied to an inverse source problem.

It should be noted that, in [5] a novel multi-modality fusing electrical and elasticity imaging is proposed and the possibility to stabilize the inversion process is suggested by complementing information obtained from both modalities each other. We share the idea that better information

*Laboratory of Mathematics, Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Hiroshima University, Higashihiroshima 739-8527, JAPAN. Emeritus Professor at Gunma University, Maebashi 371-8510, JAPAN. E-mail:ikehataprobe@gmail.com

†Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science, Tokyo 162-8601, JAPAN. E-mail:h-itou@rs.tus.ac.jp

can be obtained by utilizing the data obtained by measuring different physical quantities of one material in different methods.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, a formulation of the corresponding forward problem is given and then a crack detection problem which we consider in this paper is described. In Section 3, we introduce mathematical tools in the enclosure method for solving our problem and state our main results, that is, Theorem 3.1 and 3.2. Section 4 is devoted to proving the proof of Theorem 3.1 and 3.2. In Section 5, we describe the proof of a proposition and a lemma which play crucial role in that of those theorems. Finally in Section 6, concluding remarks are given.

2 Formulation

First we describe the geometry of a material made by joining two elastic plates. Choose the two-dimensional Cartesian coordinates (x_1, x_2) in such a way that the region Ω where the material occupied takes the form $\Omega =]0, a[\times]0, b[$ with positive numbers a and b . We suppose all the multiple cracks lie on the segment $[0, a] \times \{c\}$ with a fixed $c \in]0, b[$. This means that Ω is obtained by joining two elastic plates $\Omega^+ = \Omega \cap \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_2 > c\}$ and $\Omega^- = \Omega \cap \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_2 < c\}$ and the junction line which is the one-dimensional version of the faying surface, is given by $x_2 = c$ and $0 \leq x_1 \leq a$. Denote by Σ the set of all cracks in Ω and x_1 -components of crack tips by $c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_{2m+1}$ with $m \geq 1$. Thus the Σ takes the form

$$\Sigma = \bigcup_{j=0}^m [c_{2j}, c_{2j+1}] \times \{c\}.$$

In this paper, same as [4, 14] we assume that $c_0 = 0$ and $c_{2m+1} = a$. This means that the leftmost and rightmost cracks are exposed on the surface. Note that other cases can be treated without any essential change.

Needless to say, our original problem should be formulated in three dimensions such as Figure 1 (left) and thus the junction line becomes the faying surface. However, as the first attempt, we here consider its two-dimensional version such as the cross section illustrated in Figure 1 (right).




Figure 1: (left): an illustration of a joined two elastic plates by spot welding whose parts indicated by filled black ellipses. (right): an example of the domain Ω

Next we introduce the linearized elasticity equation and the corresponding boundary value problem. Let $\mathbf{u} = (u_i)_{i=1,2}$, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_{ij})_{i,j=1,2}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_{ij})_{i,j=1,2}$ be the displacement vector, the linearized strain tensor and the stress tensor, respectively. The relation between $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and \mathbf{u} is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T) \quad (2.1)$$

where the superscript \mathbf{T} denotes matrix transposition. For the linearized elasticity which is a homogeneous isotropic body in the state of a plane strain, the constitutive law, so-called Hooke's law, is described as follows

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \lambda \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\mathbf{I} + 2\mu\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad (2.2)$$

where \mathbf{I} is the identity tensor, λ and μ are *Lamé* constants satisfying $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda + \mu > 0$. From the law of conservation of momentum the static equilibrium equation in the absence of body forces becomes

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (2.3)$$

Substituting (2.2) and (2.1) into (2.3), we arrive at the governing equations for $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)$

$$\mu\Delta\mathbf{u} + (\lambda + \mu)\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (2.4)$$

For given $\mathbf{g} \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ which is the surface force acting on $\partial\Omega$ we consider the following boundary value problem (*)

$$(*) \begin{cases} \mu\Delta\mathbf{u} + (\lambda + \mu)\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Sigma, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}^+\boldsymbol{\nu} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^-\boldsymbol{\nu} = \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \Sigma^\pm, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\nu} = \mathbf{g} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here on the crack Σ the free traction condition is imposed where the upper and lower sides of the stress vector are denoted by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^+\boldsymbol{\nu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^-\boldsymbol{\nu}$ with a fixed normal vector $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (0, 1)$ on Σ . On the boundary of Ω we assume the standard Neumann type boundary condition with the unit outward normal $\boldsymbol{\nu}$. The governing equation (*) means that both Ω^+ and Ω^- consist of the same isotropic homogeneous elastic material.

Let \mathcal{R} be the space of rigid displacements described as

$$\mathcal{R} = \{\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = (k_1 + k_0x_2, k_2 - k_0x_1), k_0, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

We employ the variational formulation of (*) and define the weak solution as follows. For given $\mathbf{g} \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\forall \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{R}, \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho} \, ds_{\mathbf{x}} = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

we call $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{\Sigma})/\mathcal{R}$ a weak solution of the problem (*) if for arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in H^1(\Omega \setminus \overline{\Sigma})/\mathcal{R}$ it holds

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, ds_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the double dot in $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \sum_{i,j=1,2} \sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{u})\varepsilon_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})$ implies the scalar product of matrices. It is well-known that there exists a unique weak solution of the problem (*).

In this paper, we consider the following crack detection problem.

Problem . Apply the surface force $\mathbf{g} \neq \mathbf{0}$ satisfying (2.5) and measure the corresponding displacement field \mathbf{u} on $\partial\Omega$. Extract information about the exact location of Σ from the single set of data \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{u} on $\partial\Omega$.

3 Statement of the main results

First we introduce a special solution of (2.4) in a neighbourhood of $\overline{\Omega}$. Given an arbitrary point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ define ¹

$$\mathbf{v}_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}) := (\mathbf{e}_1 + i\mathbf{e}_2)v_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\},$$

where the function $v_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} v_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}) &:= e^{-\tau z \cdot (\mathbf{e}_2 + i\mathbf{e}_1)} \Big|_{z = \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2}} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{i\tau}{(y_1 - x_1) + i(y_2 - x_2)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{e}_1 := (1, 0)$, $\mathbf{e}_2 := (0, 1)$, $i := \sqrt{-1}$ and τ is a positive (large) parameter. The v_τ is nothing but the Kelvin transformation of the complex geometrical optics solution of the Laplace equation which has been used in [4, 14]. Since v_τ is a *holomorphic* function of the complex variable $y_1 + iy_2$ ($\neq x_1 + ix_2$), the vector valued function \mathbf{v}_τ automatically satisfies the divergence free property and clearly equation (2.4) in the domain $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{x}\}$. Besides, for $s > 0$ we have

$$e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s}} \mathbf{v}_\tau = (\mathbf{e}_1 + i\mathbf{e}_2) \exp \left\{ -\tau \left(\frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{1}{2s} \right) \right\} \exp \left\{ -i\tau \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1 \right\}.$$

Since the real part of the power exponent takes the form

$$\frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{1}{2s} = \frac{2s(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 + |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2}{2s|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2} = \frac{|\mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{x} - s\mathbf{e}_2)|^2 - s^2}{2s|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2}, \quad (3.1)$$

one sees that $e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s}} \mathbf{v}_\tau$ has different asymptotic behaviors as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ whether \mathbf{y} is inside or outside of the circle centred at $\mathbf{x} - s\mathbf{e}_2$ with radius s . Namely, it holds the following facts;

- $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s}} |\mathbf{v}_\tau| = 0$ when $|\mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{x} - s\mathbf{e}_2)| > s$;
- $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s}} |\mathbf{v}_\tau| = \infty$ when $|\mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{x} - s\mathbf{e}_2)| < s$;
- each components of $e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s}} \mathbf{v}_\tau$ is highly oscillating as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ when $|\mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{x} - s\mathbf{e}_2)| = s$.

Next, for fixed $\epsilon > 0$ we put $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ on a line segment $\Gamma_\epsilon := [0, a] \times \{b + \epsilon\}$. Using the function $\mathbf{v}_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x})$, we define a mathematical indicator and its derivative with respect to τ .

Definition 3.1. Let \mathbf{u} be a weak solution of (*). Given $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_\epsilon$ and $\tau > 0$ define

$$\begin{cases} I(\tau; \mathbf{x}) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{v}_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x})) \boldsymbol{\nu} \, ds_{\mathbf{y}}, \\ I'(\tau; \mathbf{x}) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{v}'_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}'_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x})) \boldsymbol{\nu} \, ds_{\mathbf{y}}. \end{cases}$$

Here and hereafter, $\mathbf{v}'_\tau = \mathbf{v}'_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x})$ denotes the derivative of \mathbf{v}_τ with respect to τ .

¹One may choose another one $\mathbf{v}_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{y}}(v_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}))$. This vector valued function also satisfies equation (2.4) and the divergence free property. However, the computation of the asymptotic behaviour of the indicator function defined later shall become complicated.

One of identification procedure for multiple cracks Σ provided in [14] is moving the virtual disc $B_{\tilde{s}}(\mathbf{x} - \tilde{s}\mathbf{e}_2)$ with $\tilde{s} := (b + \epsilon - c)/2$ while \mathbf{x} is varying on the line Γ_ϵ , where we use the notation $B_s(\mathbf{x}) := \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}| < s\}$ with $s > 0$. Now we extend Theorem 1 in [14] to our Problem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{g} \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy (2.5) and the following condition (†):*

(†) $\text{supp}(\mathbf{g}) \subset (\partial\Omega \cap \{|x_2 - c| > \gamma\}) \setminus (B_\gamma(\mathbf{O}) \cup B_\gamma(0, b) \cup B_\gamma(a, b) \cup B_\gamma(a, 0))$ for some $\gamma > 0$ and there exists $\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ such that either

$$\int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{x_2 > c\}} \mathbf{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \, ds_{\mathbf{x}} \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{x_2 < c\}} \mathbf{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \, ds_{\mathbf{x}} \neq 0,$$

Then, the following statements hold.

(S1) if $\Gamma_\epsilon \ni \mathbf{x} = (x_1, b + \epsilon)$ and $x_1 \in \{c_1, \dots, c_{2m}\}$, then there exists an integer $N \geq 1$ and a complex number $\tilde{C} \neq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{\frac{2N-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\tau}{2\tilde{s}}} I(\tau; (x_1, b + \epsilon)) = \tilde{C}.$$

(S2) if $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_\epsilon \setminus (\{c_1, \dots, c_{2m}\} \times \{b + \epsilon\})$, then $e^{-\frac{\tau}{2\tilde{s}}} I(\tau; (x_1, b + \epsilon))$ is exponentially decaying as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus, in principle one can detect the location of all crack tips. However, from numerical point of view, it may not be easy to catch the difference between algebraically and exponentially decaying because of the presence of measurements error and noise. Therefore we consider another method used in [4, 15], namely changing s .

Next, we define the function of \mathbf{x} given by

$$s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x}) := \sup \{s > 0 \mid B_s(\mathbf{x} - s\mathbf{e}_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Sigma\}.$$

Then one sees that the value $s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})$ at $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_\epsilon$ implies the largest radius of the disc $B_s(\mathbf{x} - s\mathbf{e}_2)$ whose exterior encloses Σ . Now we describe the second result to extract the $s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})$ at $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_\epsilon$ and a quantity specifying the position of the crack tips c_1, \dots, c_{2m} .

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{g} \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy (2.5) and the condition (†). Assume that $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_\epsilon$ satisfies the following condition (‡):*

(‡) $\exists! j \in \{1, \dots, 2m\}$ s.t. $\overline{B_{s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{x} - s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{e}_2)} \cap \Sigma = \{(c_j, c)\}$.

Let the real number $\alpha \in]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ be the unique solution of the equation

$$e^{i\alpha} = (-1)^j \frac{c_j - x_1}{s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})} + i \frac{x_2 - s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x}) - c}{s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then, there exists a positive number τ_0 such that for all $\tau \geq \tau_0$, $|I(\tau; \mathbf{x})| > 0$, and we have the following formulae:

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |I(\tau; \mathbf{x})|}{\tau} = \frac{1}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I'(\tau; \mathbf{x})}{I(\tau; \mathbf{x})} = \frac{1}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})} + i \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \cos \alpha}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})(1 + \sin \alpha)}. \quad (3.4)$$




Figure 2: an example of the angle α in a case of even j

Remark 3.1.

- For the meaning of α , see Figure 2.
- The formulae (3.3) and (3.4) yield the value of $s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})$. Besides, taking the imaginary part of the both sides of formula (3.4), one gets

$$(-1)^{j+1} \frac{\cos \alpha}{1 + \sin \alpha} = (-1)^{j+1} \tan \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha \right).$$

If the imaginary part of (3.4) vanishes, then it means $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ which corresponds to the case of Theorem 3.1, namely $s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{s}$. Otherwise, since $|\alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, this enables us to decide whether j is odd or even and then the value of α itself.

- There are some examples of $\mathbf{g} \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying the conditions (2.5) and (\dagger). In [15], two concrete examples of such \mathbf{g} are provided, that is, for $\beta_1, \beta_2 \neq 0$ and $\gamma' := \min\{c - 2\gamma, b - c - 2\gamma\}$,

$$\mathbf{g}_1 = \begin{cases} \beta_1 \mathbf{e}_2 & \text{on }]\gamma, a - \gamma[\times \{b\}, \\ -\beta_1 \mathbf{e}_2 & \text{on }]\gamma, a - \gamma[\times \{0\}, \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathbf{g}_2 = \begin{cases} \beta_2(a\mathbf{e}_1 - (2\gamma + \gamma')\mathbf{e}_2) & \text{on } \{a\} \times]c - \gamma - \gamma', c - \gamma[, \\ -\beta_2(a\mathbf{e}_1 - (2\gamma + \gamma')\mathbf{e}_2) & \text{on } \{0\} \times]c + \gamma, c + \gamma + \gamma'[, \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- The condition (\ddagger) for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \Gamma_\epsilon$ implies that there exists a $j' \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that $x_1 \in [c_{2j'-1}, c_{2j'}] \setminus \{(c_{2j'-1} + c_{2j'})/2\}$ and $x_2 = b + \epsilon$. In regard to a case that $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_\epsilon$ violates the condition (\ddagger) such as the projection of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \Gamma_\epsilon$ onto the line $x_2 = c$ belongs to $\Sigma \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_{2m}\}$, we should apply Theorem 3.1.

4 Proof of Theorem 3.1 and 3.2

In order to prove Theorem 3.1 and 3.2 it is important to study the asymptotic behaviors of the indicator function and its derivative. Firstly, using the Green formula, we obtain the following representation formulae of the indicator functions.

Proposition 4.1 (Proposition 2 in [11]).

$$I(\tau; \mathbf{x}) = - \int_{\Sigma} (\mathbf{u}^+(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{u}^-(\mathbf{y})) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}))\mathbf{e}_2) \, ds_{\mathbf{y}}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$I'(\tau; \mathbf{x}) = - \int_{\Sigma} (\mathbf{u}^+(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{u}^-(\mathbf{y})) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}'_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}))\mathbf{e}_2) \, ds_{\mathbf{y}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Here \mathbf{u}^\pm denotes the trace of $\mathbf{u}|_{\Omega^\pm}$ onto $]0, a[\times\{c\}$, respectively. By use of (2.1) and (2.2) one has

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}))\mathbf{e}_2 = 2\mu\tau \left(\frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_2 + i\mathbf{e}_1) \right)^2 \mathbf{v}_\tau, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}'_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}))\mathbf{e}_2 = 2\mu \left(1 - \tau \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_2 + i\mathbf{e}_1) \right) \left(\frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_2 + i\mathbf{e}_1) \right)^2 \mathbf{v}_\tau. \quad (4.4)$$

4.1 Proof of Theorem 3.1

(S1) can be deduced from a combination of Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 4.1 described later, the same as (S1) of [14, Theorem 1]. Thus, it suffices to prove only (S2). The proof proceeds along the same line as in [14, Section 2.2]. Indeed, we firstly consider the case when $\Gamma_\epsilon \ni \mathbf{x} = (x_1, b + \epsilon)$ and $x_1 \in \bigcup_{j=1}^m]c_{2j-1}, c_{2j}[$. Since $|\mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{s}\mathbf{e}_2)|^2 - \tilde{s}^2 \geq \min_{i=1, \dots, 2m} |x_1 - c_i|^2 > 0$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in \Sigma$, it follows from (3.1), (4.1) and (4.3) that there exists a $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that

$$\left| e^{-\frac{\tau}{2\tilde{s}}} I(\tau; (x_1, b + \epsilon)) \right| \leq \|\mathbf{u}^+ - \mathbf{u}^-\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \cdot \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_\tau)\mathbf{e}_2\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = O(\tau e^{-\alpha_0\tau})$$

as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

Next, we consider the case when $\Gamma_\epsilon \ni \mathbf{x} = (x_1, b + \epsilon)$ and $x_1 \in \bigcup_{j=0}^m]c_{2j}, c_{2j+1}[$. By use of Airy's stress function U , a problem (2.4) in a neighborhood of $\mathbf{x} - 2\tilde{s}\mathbf{e}_2$ and $x_2 > c$ with a traction free condition on $x_2 = c$ can be reduced to a problem for biharmonic equation $\Delta^2 U = 0$ with Dirichlet boundary condition $U = \partial U / \partial \boldsymbol{\nu} = 0$ (cf. [13]). According to an extension formula for U across a straight line, refer to [1, 2], one sees that in a neighborhood of $\mathbf{x} - 2\tilde{s}\mathbf{e}_2$, \mathbf{u}^+ has a continuation $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}^+$ from $x_2 > c$ into $x_2 < c$. Then we have that for a sufficiently small δ_0 such as $\{c_0, \dots, c_{2m+1}\} \times \{c\} \subset \Sigma \setminus \overline{B_{\tilde{s}+\delta_0}(\mathbf{x} - \tilde{s}\mathbf{e}_2)}$

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\frac{\tau}{2\tilde{s}}} \int_{\Sigma \cap B_{\tilde{s}+\delta_0}(\mathbf{x} - \tilde{s}\mathbf{e}_2)} (\mathbf{u}^+(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{u}^-(\mathbf{y})) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}))\mathbf{e}_2) \, ds_{\mathbf{y}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{\tau}{2\tilde{s}}} \int_{\partial B_{\tilde{s}+\delta_0}(\mathbf{x} - \tilde{s}\mathbf{e}_2) \cap \{x_2 < c\}} \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}^+ - \mathbf{u}^- \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_\tau)\boldsymbol{\nu} - \mathbf{v}_\tau \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}^+ - \mathbf{u}^- \right) \boldsymbol{\nu} \, ds_{\mathbf{y}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is the inward normal to $B_{\tilde{s}+\delta_0}(\mathbf{x} - \tilde{s}\mathbf{e}_2)$. There exists a $\alpha_1 > 0$ such that the right-hand side has the bound $O(\tau e^{-\alpha_1\tau})$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. Since $|\mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{s}\mathbf{e}_2)| > \tilde{s} + \delta_0$ for $\mathbf{y} \in \Sigma \setminus \overline{B_{\tilde{s}+\delta_0}(\mathbf{x} - \tilde{s}\mathbf{e}_2)}$, we conclude that

$$e^{-\frac{\tau}{2\tilde{s}}} I(\tau; (x_1, b + \epsilon)) = O(\tau e^{-\alpha_1\tau})$$

as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 3.2

For $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_\epsilon$ satisfying (\ddagger) , (c_j, c) is uniquely determined and then we choose a polar coordinates system with respect to the center (c_j, c) depending on whether j is even or odd. Let η_0 be a positive number such that

$$\eta_0 < \min_{i=1, \dots, 2m+1} \{c_i - c_{i-1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_0 < \min\{b - c, c\}.$$

- If j is odd, then we set $\mathbf{x} = (c_j + r \cos \theta, c + r \sin \theta)$ for $r \in]0, \eta_0[$ and $\theta \in]-\pi, \pi[$, and define

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}^+(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}(r, \theta) := \mathbf{u}(c_j + r \cos \theta, c + r \sin \theta), & r \in]0, \eta_0[, \quad \theta \in]0, \pi[, \\ \mathbf{u}^-(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}(r, \theta) := \mathbf{u}(c_j + r \cos \theta, c + r \sin \theta), & r \in]0, \eta_0[, \quad \theta \in]-\pi, 0[. \end{cases}$$

- If j is even, then we set $\mathbf{x} = (c_j - r \cos \theta, c - r \sin \theta)$ for $r \in]0, \eta_0[$ and $\theta \in]-\pi, \pi[$, and define

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}^+(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}(r, \theta) := \mathbf{u}(c_j - r \cos \theta, c - r \sin \theta), & r \in]0, \eta_0[, \quad \theta \in]-\pi, 0[, \\ \mathbf{u}^-(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}(r, \theta) := \mathbf{u}(c_j - r \cos \theta, c - r \sin \theta), & r \in]0, \eta_0[, \quad \theta \in]0, \pi[. \end{cases}$$

Next, we recall a convergent series expansion of a weak solution of $(*)$ around a tip of crack (c_j, c) .

Proposition 4.2 (Proposition 1 in [11, 12]). *Fix $\eta \in]0, \frac{\eta_0}{2}[$. There exist real numbers $A_k^{(j)}, B_k^{(j)}$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and $\rho_j \in \mathcal{R}$ such that*

$$\mathbf{u}(r, \theta) - \rho_j = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_k^{(j)}}{2\mu} r^{\frac{k}{2}} \varphi_k(\theta) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k^{(j)}}{2\mu} r^{\frac{k}{2}} \psi_k(\theta) \quad \text{in } B_{2\eta}((c_j, c)) \setminus \Sigma, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(\theta) &= \begin{pmatrix} \kappa \cos \frac{k}{2}\theta - \frac{k}{2} \cos \left(\frac{k}{2} - 2\right)\theta + \left\{\frac{k}{2} + (-1)^k\right\} \cos \frac{k}{2}\theta \\ \kappa \sin \frac{k}{2}\theta + \frac{k}{2} \sin \left(\frac{k}{2} - 2\right)\theta - \left\{\frac{k}{2} + (-1)^k\right\} \sin \frac{k}{2}\theta \end{pmatrix}, \\ \psi_k(\theta) &= \begin{pmatrix} \kappa \sin \frac{k}{2}\theta - \frac{k}{2} \sin \left(\frac{k}{2} - 2\right)\theta + \left\{\frac{k}{2} - (-1)^k\right\} \sin \frac{k}{2}\theta \\ -\kappa \cos \frac{k}{2}\theta - \frac{k}{2} \cos \left(\frac{k}{2} - 2\right)\theta + \left\{\frac{k}{2} - (-1)^k\right\} \cos \frac{k}{2}\theta \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

with $\kappa = \frac{\lambda+3\mu}{\lambda+\mu}$. The series is convergent, absolutely in $H^1(B_\eta((c_j, c)) \cap \Omega^+)$ and $H^1(B_\eta((c_j, c)) \cap \Omega^-)$, and uniformly on compact sets in $B_{2\eta}((c_j, c))$. Moreover, for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, the following estimate is valid uniformly for $r \in]0, \eta[$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbf{u}(r, \pi) - \rho_j - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{A_k^{(j)}}{2\mu} r^{\frac{k}{2}} \varphi_k(\pi) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_k^{(j)}}{2\mu} r^{\frac{k}{2}} \psi_k(\pi) \right) \right| \\ & + \left| \mathbf{u}(r, -\pi) - \rho_j - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{A_k^{(j)}}{2\mu} r^{\frac{k}{2}} \varphi_k(-\pi) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_k^{(j)}}{2\mu} r^{\frac{k}{2}} \psi_k(-\pi) \right) \right| \leq K_n r^{\frac{n+1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where K_n is a positive constant depending on n .

Then, by virtue of Proposition 4.2 one sees that for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\mathbf{y} \in B_\eta((c_j, c)) \cap \Sigma$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}^+(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{u}^-(\mathbf{y}) &= (-1)^j (\mathbf{u}(r, -\pi) - \mathbf{u}(r, \pi)) \\ &= \frac{\kappa + 1}{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} r^{\frac{2k-1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -B_{2k-1}^{(j)} \\ A_{2k-1}^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} + O\left(r^{\frac{2n+1}{2}}\right) \mathbf{k} \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

with a constant vector \mathbf{k} .

From (4.3), (4.4) and (4.6), the following asymptotic expansions for $I(\tau; \mathbf{x})$ and $I'(\tau; \mathbf{x})$ are obtained.

Proposition 4.3. *As $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, for each fixed $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_\epsilon$ satisfying (\ddagger)*

$$e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})}} I(\tau; \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^n C_k e^{\frac{(-1)^{j+1} i \tau \cos \alpha}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})(1+\sin \alpha)}} \tau^{-\frac{2k-1}{2}} + O\left(\tau^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \tau^{\frac{3}{4}}\right), \quad (4.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})}} I'(\tau; \mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n C_k \left(1 + \tau \left(\frac{1}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})} + \frac{(-1)^{j+1} i \cos \alpha}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})(1+\sin \alpha)} \right) \right) e^{\frac{(-1)^{j+1} i \tau \cos \alpha}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})(1+\sin \alpha)}} \tau^{-\frac{2k+1}{2}} \\ &\quad + O\left(\tau^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \tau^{\frac{3}{4}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_k &:= i(\kappa + 1)(-1)^k (s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x}))^{2k-1} 2^{\frac{2k+1}{2}} (1 + \sin \alpha)^{\frac{2k-1}{2}} e^{(-1)^{j+1} i \left(\frac{2k-1}{2}\right) \alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{2k+1}{2}\right) \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} B_{2k-1}^{(j)} \\ -A_{2k-1}^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_1 + i\mathbf{e}_2) \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Proposition 4.3 is given in Section 5, however this is not sufficient to prove Theorem 3.1 and 3.2 because it only shows that $e^{-\tau/(2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x}))} I(\tau; \mathbf{x})$ and $e^{-\tau/(2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x}))} I'(\tau; \mathbf{x})$ are *at most* algebraically decaying as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. In order to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 and 3.2, we need to investigate non-vanishing of a coefficient in the expansion (4.7) and (4.8), noting that $C_n = 0$ if and only if $A_{2n-1}^{(j)} = B_{2n-1}^{(j)} = 0$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathbf{g} satisfy the conditions (2.5) and (\dagger) . Then, there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $\left(A_{2n-1}^{(j)}\right)^2 + \left(B_{2n-1}^{(j)}\right)^2 \neq 0$.*

The proof of Lemma 4.1 is given in Section 5, which is not given a detailed description in [15].

In the consequence we can take $N := \min \left\{ n \geq 1 \mid \left(A_{2n-1}^{(j)}\right)^2 + \left(B_{2n-1}^{(j)}\right)^2 \neq 0 \right\}$. Then, substituting $n = 2N$ in Proposition 4.3, we obtain that for each $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_\epsilon$ satisfying the condition (\ddagger)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{\frac{2N-1}{2}} e^{\frac{(-1)^j i \tau \cos \alpha}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})(1+\sin \alpha)}} e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})}} I(\tau; \mathbf{x}) &= C_N \neq 0, \\ \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{\frac{2N-1}{2}} e^{\frac{(-1)^j i \tau \cos \alpha}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})(1+\sin \alpha)}} e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})}} I'(\tau; \mathbf{x}) &= C_N \left(\frac{1}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})} + i \frac{(-1)^{j+1} \cos \alpha}{2s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})(1+\sin \alpha)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which immediately yield (S1) in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

5 Proof of Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 4.1

5.1 Proof of Proposition 4.3

The proof of (4.7) type formula of Proposition 4.3 in the case of the Laplace equation is given in [4]. However (4.8) type formula is not considered therein. Some calculation such as estimates of oscillating integrals in [4] works also for the present case, therefore we just referred them in this section.

We fix $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_\epsilon$ satisfying (\ddagger) and in what follows use the notation for simplicity $s_0 := s_\Sigma(\mathbf{x})$. Choose $\delta > 0$ in such a way that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0\mathbf{e}_2)} \cap \Sigma &\subset [c_{j-1}, c_j] \times \{c\} \quad \text{if } j \text{ is odd,} \\ \overline{B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0\mathbf{e}_2)} \cap \Sigma &\subset [c_j, c_{j+1}] \times \{c\} \quad \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta_\delta := \sqrt{(s_0 + \delta)^2 - s_0^2} < \eta$$

with η fixed in Proposition 4.2. Set

$$\eta'_\delta := \sqrt{(s_0 + \delta)^2 - (x_2 - s_0 - c)^2} - |x_1 - c_j|,$$

and then it is easy to see $\eta_\delta > \eta'_\delta > 0$. Now we divide Σ into two disjoint parts:

$$\Sigma = (\Sigma \setminus B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0\mathbf{e}_2)) \cup (\Sigma \cap B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0\mathbf{e}_2)).$$

For $\mathbf{y} \in \Sigma \setminus B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0\mathbf{e}_2)$, it holds $|\mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{x} - s_0\mathbf{e}_2)| \geq s_0 + \delta$. Consequently, it follows from (3.1), (4.3) and (4.4) that for all $\mathbf{y} \in \Sigma \setminus B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0\mathbf{e}_2)$

$$e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_0}} (|\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}))\mathbf{e}_2| + |\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}'_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}))\mathbf{e}_2|) \leq \alpha_2(1 + \alpha_3\tau)e^{-\alpha_4\eta_\delta^2\tau}$$

with positive constants α_2, α_3 and α_4 . Combining with (4.6), one can see that

$$e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_0}} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0\mathbf{e}_2)} (\mathbf{u}^+(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{u}^-(\mathbf{y})) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}))\mathbf{e}_2) \, ds_{\mathbf{y}} = O(\tau e^{-\alpha_4\eta_\delta^2\tau}), \quad (5.1)$$

$$e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_0}} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0\mathbf{e}_2)} (\mathbf{u}^+(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{u}^-(\mathbf{y})) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}'_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}))\mathbf{e}_2) \, ds_{\mathbf{y}} = O(\tau e^{-\alpha_4\eta_\delta^2\tau}), \quad (5.2)$$

as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

Next, for $\mathbf{y} \in \Sigma \cap B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0\mathbf{e}_2)$, noting (4.6), (4.3) and Lemma 3.3 in [4], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_0}} \int_{\Sigma \cap B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0\mathbf{e}_2)} (\mathbf{u}^+(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{u}^-(\mathbf{y})) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x}))\mathbf{e}_2) \, ds_{\mathbf{y}} \\ &= -e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_0}} \int_{\Sigma \cap B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0\mathbf{e}_2)} \left\{ \frac{\kappa + 1}{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} r^{\frac{2k-1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -B_{2k-1}^{(j)} \\ A_{2k-1}^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} + O\left(r^{\frac{2n+1}{2}}\right) \mathbf{k} \right\} \\ &\quad \cdot \left\{ 2\mu\tau \left(\frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_2 + i\mathbf{e}_1) \right)^2 \exp \left\{ -\tau \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_2 + i\mathbf{e}_1) \right\} (\mathbf{e}_1 + i\mathbf{e}_2) \right\} \, ds_{\mathbf{y}} \\ &= 2(\kappa + 1)\tau e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_0}} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} \begin{pmatrix} B_{2k-1}^{(j)} \\ -A_{2k-1}^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_1 + i\mathbf{e}_2) I_k(\tau) + O\left(\tau^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \tau^{\frac{3}{4}}\right). \quad (5.3) \end{aligned}$$

Here $I_k(\tau)$ depending on j is defined as follows:

$$I_k(\tau) := \begin{cases} \int_0^{\eta'_\delta} \frac{r^{\frac{2k-1}{2}}}{(r - s_0 \bar{z}_\alpha)^2} \exp\left(\frac{i\tau}{r - s_0 \bar{z}_\alpha}\right) dr & \text{if } j \text{ is odd,} \\ \int_0^{\eta'_\delta} \frac{r^{\frac{2k-1}{2}}}{(r - s_0 z_\alpha)^2} \exp\left(\frac{-i\tau}{r - s_0 z_\alpha}\right) dr & \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$z_\alpha := -\left(e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}i} + ie^{-\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\alpha\right)i}\right) = -\cos\alpha + i(1 + \sin\alpha),$$

$\alpha \in]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ is uniquely determined as the solution of (3.2) and \bar{z}_α denotes the complex conjugate of z_α . In this notation for $\mathbf{y} = (c_j + (-1)^j r, c)$ one sees

$$\frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_2 + i\mathbf{e}_1) = \frac{-i}{(-1)^{j+1}r + (x_1 - c_j) + i(x_2 - c)} = \begin{cases} \frac{-i}{r - s_0 \bar{z}_\alpha} & \text{if } j \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{i}{r - s_0 z_\alpha} & \text{if } j \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, for $\mathbf{y} \in \Sigma \cap B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0 \mathbf{e}_2)$, it follows from (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & -e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_0}} \int_{\Sigma \cap B_{s_0+\delta}(\mathbf{x} - s_0 \mathbf{e}_2)} (\mathbf{u}^+(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{u}^-(\mathbf{y})) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}'_\tau(\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{x})) \mathbf{e}_2) ds_{\mathbf{y}} \\ &= 2(\kappa + 1)e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_0}} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} \begin{pmatrix} B_{2k-1}^{(j)} \\ -A_{2k-1}^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_1 + i\mathbf{e}_2) I'_k(\tau) + O\left(\tau^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \tau^{\frac{3}{4}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$I'_k(\tau) := \begin{cases} \int_0^{\eta'_\delta} \left(1 - \tau \frac{-i}{r - s_0 \bar{z}_\alpha}\right) \frac{r^{\frac{2k-1}{2}}}{(r - s_0 \bar{z}_\alpha)^2} \exp\left(\frac{i\tau}{r - s_0 \bar{z}_\alpha}\right) dr & \text{if } j \text{ is odd,} \\ \int_0^{\eta'_\delta} \left(1 - \tau \frac{i}{r - s_0 z_\alpha}\right) \frac{r^{\frac{2k-1}{2}}}{(r - s_0 z_\alpha)^2} \exp\left(\frac{-i\tau}{r - s_0 z_\alpha}\right) dr & \text{if } j \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Now we provide a lemma for asymptotic behavior of $I_k(\tau)$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$;

Lemma 5.1 (Lemma 3.5 in [4], Lemma 3 in [14]). *Let $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. It holds for all $\alpha \in]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_0}} e^{(-1)^j \frac{i\tau \cos\alpha}{2s_0(1+\sin\alpha)}} I_n(\tau) \\ &= (-1)^j i s_0^{2n-1} 2^{\frac{2n-1}{2}} (1 + \sin\alpha)^{\frac{2n-1}{2}} e^{(-1)^{j+1} i \left(\frac{2n-1}{2}\right) \alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This lemma implies that as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_0}} I_n(\tau) \\ & \sim (-1)^j i s_0^{2n-1} 2^{\frac{2n-1}{2}} (1 + \sin\alpha)^{\frac{2n-1}{2}} e^{(-1)^{j+1} i \left(\frac{2n-1}{2}\right) \alpha} e^{\frac{(-1)^{j+1} i \tau \cos\alpha}{2s_0(1+\sin\alpha)}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\right) \tau^{-\frac{2n+1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.1) with (5.3) and applying Lemma 5.1 lead to (4.7).

As regards $I'_n(\tau)$, a similar method used in the proof of Lemma 5.1 provided in [4, 14] is applicable. In consequence, as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, it holds for all $\alpha \in]-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}[$

$$e^{-\frac{\tau}{2s_0}} I'_n(\tau) \sim \left(1 + \tau \left(\frac{1}{2s_0} - (-1)^j \frac{i}{2} \frac{\cos \alpha}{s_0(1 + \sin \alpha)} \right) \right) \times \\ \times (-1)^j i s_0^{2n-1} 2^{\frac{2n-1}{2}} (1 + \sin \alpha)^{\frac{2n-1}{2}} e^{(-1)^{j+1} i \left(\frac{2n-1}{2} \right) \alpha} e^{\frac{(-1)^{j+1} i \tau \cos \alpha}{2s_0(1 + \sin \alpha)}} \Gamma \left(\frac{2n+1}{2} \right) \tau^{-\frac{2n+1}{2}}.$$

From (5.2) and (5.4), we obtain (4.8).

5.2 Proof of Lemma 4.1

The proof basically proceeds along the same line as that of Lemma 2 in [11], see also the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [4] in the case of Laplace equation. However, due to multiple cracks in elasticity, we employ analytic continuation arguments on complex stress functions.

Now we assume that $A_{2n-1}^{(j)} = B_{2n-1}^{(j)} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then, (4.5) can be reduced to

$$\mathbf{u}(r, \theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_{2k}^{(j)}}{2\mu} r^k \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{2k}(\theta) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}^{(j)}}{2\mu} r^k \boldsymbol{\psi}_{2k}(\theta), \quad (5.5)$$

which means that $\mathbf{u}(r, \theta)$ is real analytic near the crack tip (c_j, c) . Moreover, it is easy to see that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ on $\{r \in]0, \epsilon_0[, \theta = 0\}$ for a sufficiently small $\epsilon_0 > 0$.

Next, we construct the Goursat-Kolosov-Muskhelishvili stress functions $\phi_{\pm}(z)$ and $\omega_{\pm}(z)$, see [19, 16], in each B_{\pm} , respectively. Here we use notations $z = z_1 + iz_2 := x_1 + i(x_2 - c)$,

$$B_+ := \{z \mid z_1 \in]0, a[, z_2 \in]0, \min\{c, b - c\}]\}, \\ B_- := \{z \mid z_1 \in]0, a[, z_2 \in]-\min\{c, b - c\}, 0]\}.$$

Then $\phi_{\pm}(z)$ and $\omega_{\pm}(z)$ are holomorphic functions in B_{\pm} of the complex variable z due to the interior and boundary regularity results of the solution of (*). Moreover, it follows from the generalized Poincaré lemma (e.g. [17]) and an argument in [11, 12, 13] that $\phi_{\pm}(z), \omega_{\pm}(z) \in H^1(B_{\pm})$, respectively. The displacement and the stress fields are given by the stress functions as follows

$$2\mu(u_1 + iu_2) = \kappa\phi_{\pm}(z) - \overline{\omega_{\pm}(z)} + (\bar{z} - z)\overline{\dot{\phi}_{\pm}(z)}, \\ \sigma_{22} - i\sigma_{12} = \dot{\phi}'_{\pm}(z) + \overline{\dot{\omega}_{\pm}(z)} + (z - \bar{z})\overline{\dot{\phi}_{\pm}(z)},$$

where $\dot{\phi}(z) := d\phi/dz$. The condition on Σ , $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{22} = 0$, implies

$$\dot{\phi}_+(z_1) + \overline{\dot{\omega}_+(z_1)} = 0, \quad \dot{\phi}_-(z_1) + \overline{\dot{\omega}_-(z_1)} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma.$$

From this we define sectionally holomorphic functions $\Psi_1(z)$ and $\Psi_2(z)$ cut along $[0, a] \times \{c\} \setminus \Sigma$ in this way

$$\Psi_1(z) := \begin{cases} \dot{\phi}_+(z) & \text{in } B_+, \\ -\overline{\dot{\omega}_+(\bar{z})} & \text{in } B_-, \end{cases} \quad \Psi_2(z) := \begin{cases} -\overline{\dot{\omega}_-(\bar{z})} & \text{in } B_+, \\ \dot{\phi}_-(z) & \text{in } B_-. \end{cases}$$

Meanwhile, $\mathbf{u}^+ = \mathbf{u}^-$ holds on $[0, a] \times \{c\} \setminus \Sigma$ and then we have

$$\frac{\kappa}{\mu} \dot{\phi}_+(z_1) - \frac{1}{\mu} \overline{\dot{\omega}_+(z_1)} = \frac{\kappa}{\mu} \dot{\phi}_-(z_1) - \frac{1}{\mu} \overline{\dot{\omega}_-(z_1)} \quad \text{on } [0, a] \times \{c\} \setminus \Sigma.$$

Since both $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{22} = 0$ and $\mathbf{u}^+ = \mathbf{u}^-$ holds on $]c_j, c_j + \epsilon_0[\times \{c\}$ for a odd j or $]c_j - \epsilon_0, c_j[\times \{c\}$ for an even j , one sees

$$\dot{\phi}_+(z_1) = \dot{\phi}_-(z_1), \quad \dot{\omega}_+(z_1) = \dot{\omega}_-(z_1), \quad \dot{\phi}_\pm(z_1) = -\overline{\dot{\omega}_\mp(z_1)}.$$

By virtue of analytic continuation, we can define holomorphic functions $B_+ \cup B_-$

$$\dot{\phi}(z) := \begin{cases} \dot{\phi}_+(z) & \text{in } B_+, \\ \dot{\phi}_-(z) & \text{in } B_-, \end{cases} \quad \dot{\omega}(z) := \begin{cases} \dot{\omega}_+(z) & \text{in } B_+, \\ \dot{\omega}_-(z) & \text{in } B_-. \end{cases}$$

In the consequence it yields $\dot{\phi}(z) = -\overline{\dot{\omega}(\bar{z})}$, that is, $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2$. Then we sees $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ on $]0, a[\times \{c\}$. Moreover, from the assumption (\dagger) for \mathbf{g} , \mathbf{u} has at least H^2 regularity near all the corner points \mathbf{O} , $(a, 0)$, $(0, c)$, (a, c) , $(0, b)$ and (a, b) , e.g. [18, 13]. Therefore, one sees that the restriction of \mathbf{u} to Ω^- is in $H^2(\Omega^-)$ and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } \Omega^-, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{u})\boldsymbol{\nu} = \mathbf{0} & \text{on }]0, a[\times \{c\}, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{u})\boldsymbol{\nu} = \mathbf{g} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \cap \{x_2 < c\}. \end{cases}$$

Then, the divergence theorem yields that for an arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathcal{R}$

$$0 = \int_{\Omega^-} \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot (\mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{x_2 < c\}} \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \mathbf{g} \, ds_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Since \mathbf{g} satisfies the condition (\dagger), it is a contradiction.

Similarly, the above argument is valid for the case of Ω^+ . The proof of Lemma 4.1 is completed.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, an inverse crack problem in a two dimensional linearized elasticity is considered. Applying the enclosure method combined with the Kelvin transform, we established two types of extraction formulae (3.3) and (3.4) which enable us to detect multiple linear cracks located on a line by processing mathematically a single set of observation data. Formula (3.3) including (S1) in Theorem 3.1 is commonly used and is extended the result of the case of electric conductive body [4, 14]. Although outline of the proof of (3.3) is given in [15], in this paper we provided the proof of key lemma to show (3.3). Formula (3.4) is derived by applying the idea of taking logarithmic derivative of the indicator function [9], which makes us possible to obtain more information of unknown cracks. However, these are only theoretical results, therefore it is important to demonstrate the feasibility of the method. Computational implementation of the method is expected as our next problem.

Acknowledgments

MI was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 17K05331) and (B)(No. 18H01126) of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). HI was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 18K03380) of JSPS. This work is supported by JSPS and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR) under the Japan - Russia Research Cooperative Program (project No. JPJSBP120194824).

References

- [1] Duffin RJ. 1956 Analytic Continuation in Elasticity. *J. Rational Mech. Anal.* **5**, 939–949.
- [2] Farwig R. 1994 A note on the reflection principle for the biharmonic equation and the Stokes system. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* **37**, 41–51.
- [3] Jeffus LF. 2002 *Welding: Principles and Applications*. Cengage Learning: Clifton Park.
- [4] Hauptmann A, Ikehata M, Itou H, Siltanen S. 2019 Revealing cracks inside conductive bodies by electric surface measurements. *Inverse Problems* **35**, 025004 (24pp).
- [5] Hauptmann A, Smyl D. 2021 Fusing electrical and elasticity imaging. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A.* **379**, 20200194.
- [6] Ikehata M. 1999 Enclosing a polygonal cavity in a two-dimensional bounded domain from Cauchy data. *Inverse Problems* **15**, 1231–1241.
- [7] Ikehata M. 2003 Complex geometrical optics solutions and inverse crack problems. *Inverse Problems* **19**, 1385–1405.
- [8] Ikehata M. 2005 A new formulation of the probe method and related problems. *Inverse Problems* **21**, 413–426.
- [9] Ikehata M. 2011 Inverse obstacle scattering problems with a single incident wave and the logarithmic differential of the indicator function in the enclosure method. *Inverse Problems* **27**, 085006 (23pp).
- [10] Ikehata M. 2021 Reconstruction of a source domain from the Cauchy data: II. Three-dimensional case. *Inverse Problems* **37**, 125004 (29pp).
- [11] Ikehata M, Itou H. 2007 Reconstruction of a linear crack in an isotropic elastic body from a single set of measured data. *Inverse Problems* **23**, 589–607.
- [12] Ikehata M, Itou H. 2008 An inverse problem for a linear crack in an anisotropic elastic body and the enclosure method. *Inverse Problems* **24**, 025005 (21pp).
- [13] Ikehata M, Itou H. 2009 Extracting the support function of a cavity in an isotropic elastic body from a single set of boundary data. *Inverse Problems* **25**, 105005 (21pp).
- [14] Ikehata M, Itou H, Sasamoto A. 2016 The enclosure method for an inverse problem arising from a spot welding. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **39**, 3565–3575.

- [15] Itou H. 2020 On an inverse crack problem in a linearized elasticity by the enclosure method, in Proceedings of the 45th Sapporo Symposium on Partial Differential Equations (Ei, S.-I.; Giga, Y.; Hamamuki, N.; Jimbo, S.; Kubo, H.; Kuroda, H.; Ozawa, T.; Sakajo, T.; Tsutaya, K., eds.), *Hokkaido Univ. Tech. Report Ser. in Math.* **179**, 89–98.
- [16] Itou H, Kovtunenکو VA, Tani A. 2011 The interface crack with Coulomb friction between two bonded dissimilar elastic media. *Appl. Math.* **56**, 69–97.
- [17] Kesavan S. 2005 On Poincaré’s and J.L. Lions’ lemmas. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* **340**, 27–30.
- [18] Kozlov VA, Maz’ya VG, Rossmann J. 2001 *Spectral Problems Associated with Corner Singularities of Solutions to Elliptic Equations*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Vol. **85**, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.
- [19] Muskhelishvili NI. 1977 *Some Basic Problems of the Mathematical Theory of Elasticity*. Dordrecht: Springer.