

BASISNESS AND COMPLETENESS OF FUČÍK EIGENFUNCTIONS FOR THE NEUMANN LAPLACIAN

FALKO BAUSTIAN* AND VLADIMIR BOBKOV

ABSTRACT. We investigate the basis properties of sequences of Fučík eigenfunctions of the one-dimensional Neumann Laplacian. We show that any such sequence is complete in $L^2(0, \pi)$ and a Riesz basis in the subspace of functions with zero mean. Moreover, we provide sufficient assumptions on Fučík eigenvalues which guarantee that the corresponding Fučík eigenfunctions form a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, \pi)$ and we explicitly describe the corresponding biorthogonal system.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -u''(x) = \alpha u^+(x) - \beta u^-(x) & \text{in } (0, \pi), \\ u'(0) = u'(\pi) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ are spectral parameters and $u^\pm := \max\{\pm u, 0\}$ are the positive and negative parts of u so that $u = u^+ - u^-$. This problem is called the *Fučík eigenvalue problem* and it was introduced under Dirichlet boundary conditions by Fučík [11] and Dancer [6] to investigate elliptic equations with “jumping” nonlinearities. The prominence of such equations and problems of the type (1.1) is justified by the fact that they model mechanical systems with asymmetric oscillations. Examples of such systems vary from simple spring-mass systems with two independent springs, to complex models of suspension bridges, see, e.g., [7, 13, 16] and references therein for a detailed discussion. Apart from the importance in mechanics, problems of the type (1.1) are challenging from the mathematical point of view and different aspects have been intensively investigated, see, e.g., a short list [1, 17, 18, 19] for several results and overviews on the Fučík eigenvalue problem (1.1).

The aim of the present paper is to study basis properties of sequences of solutions to the problem (1.1). Namely, we want to know if such systems of so-called Fučík eigenfunctions are complete in the real Hilbert space $L^2(0, \pi)$ or even form a Riesz basis in this space. To the best of our knowledge, basisness for systems of Fučík eigenfunctions has been studied only under Dirichlet boundary conditions, see our previous works [3, 4]. We emphasize that the considered problem is a modification of the form of the standard eigenvalue problem for the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34L10, 34B08, 47A70.

Key words and phrases. Fucik spectrum, Fucik eigenfunctions, Riesz basis, Paley-Wiener stability.

V. Bobkov was supported in the framework of executing the development program of Volga Region Mathematical Center (agreement no. 075-02-2022-888). This work is supported by the German-Russian Interdisciplinary Science Center (G-RISC) funded by the German Federal Foreign Office via the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD), Project F-2021b-8_d.

* Corresponding Author.

Laplacian which it is not in the scope of the classical spectral theorem. In the case of the standard eigenvalue problems, we refer to [14, 20] for an overview on the basis properties of eigenfunctions of more complex linear operators. Moreover, basis properties of eigenfunctions under Neumann boundary conditions were also studied for nonlinear operators, e.g., the p -Laplacian in [5, 9].

1.1 Fučík eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. The *Fučík spectrum* $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of the Fučík eigenvalue problem (1.1) denotes the set of all pairs of parameters (α, β) for which (1.1) possesses a nonzero classical solution. Any element of the Fučík spectrum is called a *Fučík eigenvalue*, and any corresponding nonzero solution is called a *Fučík eigenfunction*.

Let us describe the structure of the Fučík spectrum Σ and the corresponding eigenfunctions, see, e.g., [10]. For $\alpha = \beta =: \mu$, the problem (1.1) becomes the standard eigenvalue problem $-u'' = \mu u$ with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions. Its sequence of eigenvalues $\mu_n = n^2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, has a constant function ϕ_0 and the cosine functions $\phi_n = \cos(nx)$ as corresponding eigenfunctions. Hereinafter, we specify ϕ_0 to be equal to $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ in order to guarantee that $\|\phi_n\| = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence, the lines $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ correspond to the constant Fučík eigenfunctions and form the trivial part of Σ . Any nonconstant Fučík eigenfunction starts with a scaled cosine function at $x = 0$ to its first consecutive zero, followed by a combination of alternating positive and negative “bumps”, and ends at $x = \pi$ with the extrema of a scaled cosine function, see, e.g., Figure 1. The positive bumps consist of translations and multiples of $\cos(\sqrt{\alpha}x)$ and have the length $l_1 = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$, whereas negative bumps have the form of translations and multiples of $\cos(\sqrt{\beta}x)$ with the length $l_2 = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\beta}}$.




FIGURE 1. A normalized Fučík eigenfunction $f_{\alpha,\beta}^n$ (solid) and $\cos(nx)$ (dashed)

Therefore, the nontrivial part of Σ is exhausted by the following hyperbola-type curves, see Figure 2:

$$\Gamma_n = \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \frac{n}{2} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + \frac{n}{2} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\beta}} = \pi \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Each Γ_n contains the point (μ_n, μ_n) corresponding to the cosine eigenfunction ϕ_n . Clearly, we have

$$\alpha = \frac{n^2 \beta}{(2\sqrt{\beta} - n)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{n^2 \alpha}{(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)^2} \quad (1.2)$$

for every Fučík eigenvalue $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_n$.




FIGURE 2. Several curves of the Fučík spectrum

Since each Fučík eigenvalue corresponds to multiple eigenfunctions, we uniquely specify a Fučík eigenfunction for every point of Σ .

Definition 1.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_n$. The *normalized Fučík eigenfunction* $f_{\alpha, \beta}^n$ is the C^2 -solution of the problem (1.1) with $f_{\alpha, \beta}^n(0) > 0$ which is normalized by

$$\|f_{\alpha, \beta}^n\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} |f_{\alpha, \beta}^n(x)| = 1.$$

Without loss of generality, we choose $f_{\alpha, \beta}^0 = \phi_0 \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ for every $(\alpha, \beta) \in (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) \cup (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})$.

The normalized Fučík eigenfunctions and their 2π -periodic extensions on \mathbb{R} can be characterized by the following piecewise definition. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$, we have

$$f_{\alpha, \beta}^n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \cos(\sqrt{\alpha}(x - \frac{2k}{2}l)) & \text{for } \frac{2k}{2}l - \frac{l_1}{2} \leq x < \frac{2k}{2}l + \frac{l_1}{2}, \\ -\cos(\sqrt{\beta}(x - \frac{2k+1}{2}l)) & \text{for } \frac{2k+1}{2}l - \frac{l_2}{2} \leq x < \frac{2k+1}{2}l + \frac{l_2}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

and, for $\beta > n^2 > \alpha$, we have

$$f_{\alpha, \beta}^n(x) = \begin{cases} \cos(\sqrt{\alpha}(x - \frac{2k}{2}l)) & \text{for } \frac{2k}{2}l - \frac{l_1}{2} \leq x < \frac{2k}{2}l + \frac{l_1}{2}, \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\beta}} \cos(\sqrt{\beta}(x - \frac{2k+1}{2}l)) & \text{for } \frac{2k+1}{2}l - \frac{l_2}{2} \leq x < \frac{2k+1}{2}l + \frac{l_2}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $l = l_1 + l_2$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, cf. [10, Note 2]. Notice that $f_{\alpha, \beta}^n \notin C^3[0, \pi]$ provided $\alpha \neq \beta$.

The symmetry of the curves Γ_n of Σ with respect to the diagonal $\alpha = \beta$ is related to certain properties of Fučík eigenfunctions which are not presented in the case of the Dirichlet Laplacian. Namely, we make the following simple yet important observations.

Remark 1.2. Since the distance between two extrema of a Fučík eigenfunction $f_{\alpha, \beta}^n$ is always $\frac{l}{2} = \frac{l_1}{2} + \frac{l_2}{2}$, it must be equal to $\frac{\pi}{n}$ due to the form of $f_{\alpha, \beta}^n$. Hence, the function $f_{\alpha, \beta}^n$ is l -periodic and, thus, $\frac{2\pi}{n}$ -periodic as the cosine function ϕ_n . Furthermore, the extrema of Fučík eigenfunctions are located at the same points as the extrema of the corresponding cosine functions, see Figure 1. The piecewise definitions (1.3) and (1.4) also provide the relations $f_{\alpha, \beta}^n(x) = -f_{\beta, \alpha}^n(x - \frac{\pi}{n})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_{\alpha, \beta}^n(x) = -f_{\beta, \alpha}^n(\pi - x)$ for each odd $n \in \mathbb{N}$. These observations greatly simplify calculations in the proofs of our main results.

We are interested in basis properties of sequences of Fučík eigenfunctions that we introduce in the following natural way.

Definition 1.3. We define a *Fučík system* $F_{\alpha,\beta} = \{f_{\alpha(n),\beta(n)}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ as a sequence of normalized Fučík eigenfunctions with the mappings $\alpha, \beta: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 0$ and $(\alpha(n), \beta(n)) \in \Gamma_n$ for every $n \geq 1$.

This definition is consistent with the one from our previous work [3] on the basis properties of Fučík eigenfunctions for the Dirichlet Laplacian.

1.2 Main results. We now provide our main results on basis properties of Fučík systems. Let us mention that a Fučík system $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is called complete in $L^2(0, \pi)$ if for every $f \in L^2(0, \pi)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of constants and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\left\| f - \sum_{n=0}^N c_n f_{\alpha,\beta}^n \right\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } N \geq N_0.$$

A Fučík system $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is called a basis if it is complete and the sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ can be chosen independently of ε . A basis is called a Riesz basis if it is the image of a complete orthonormal system under some linear homeomorphism, see [22].

One of the important tools in the study of basisness of sequences of functions is the concept of ω -linear independence [2]. A Fučík system $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is called ω -linearly independent if the strong convergence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \eta_n f_{\alpha,\beta}^n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^k \eta_n f_{\alpha,\beta}^n \right\| = 0$$

for any sequence of scalars $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ implies $\eta_n = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Although the ω -linear independence is usually a hardly verifiable property for general sequences of functions, we establish the following result.

Proposition 1.4. *Every Fučík system $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is ω -linearly independent.*

Thanks to the ω -linear independence, we obtain basisness for a wide class of Fučík systems.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ be a Fučík system. If the mappings α and β satisfy*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\max(\sqrt{\alpha(n)}, \sqrt{\beta(n)}) - n)^2}{n^2} < \infty, \quad (1.5)$$

then $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, \pi)$.

The assumption (1.5) is optimal in the sense of the method of the proof, see a discussion in Remark 3.2 below. Estimating each term of the sum in (1.5) by $C^2/n^{1+\varepsilon}$ for some fixed $C, \varepsilon > 0$, we derive the following result.

Corollary 1.6. *Let $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ be a Fučík system. Let $C, \varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. If the mappings α and β satisfy*

$$\max(\sqrt{\alpha(n)}, \sqrt{\beta(n)}) \leq n + C n^{(1-\varepsilon)/2}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, \pi)$.

We observe that the assumption (1.5) holds for each Fučík system $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ that has only a finite number of elements with $f_{\alpha,\beta}^n \neq \phi_n$, i.e., $\alpha(n) = n^2 = \beta(n)$ for a.e. $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This is useful when we are interested in the approximation of a function $f \in L^2(0, \pi)$ by a finite sequence of arbitrary Fučík eigenfunctions $\{f_{\alpha,\beta}^n\}_{n=0}^N$, i.e.,

$$f \approx \sum_{n=0}^N c_n f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \quad (1.6)$$

for a sufficient large $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The Fučík system

$$F_{\alpha,\beta} = \{f_{\alpha,\beta}^n\}_{n=0}^N \cup \{\phi_n\}_{n \geq N+1}$$

satisfies (1.5) and, hence, it is a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, \pi)$. We remark that every Fučík system $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ which is a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, \pi)$ possesses a unique complete biorthogonal sequence $\{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ that we describe explicitly in Section 5. Thus, the coefficients of the approximation (1.6) are given by $c_n = \langle f, \psi_n \rangle$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the standard scalar product in $L^2(0, \pi)$.

Without requiring the assumption (1.5), we provide the following general result.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ be an arbitrary Fučík system. Then $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is complete in $L^2(0, \pi)$. Moreover, the system $\{f_{\alpha,\beta}^n(x) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis in the space*

$$L_{\perp}^2(0, \pi) := \{u \in L^2(0, \pi) : \langle u, \phi_0 \rangle = 0\}.$$

The rest of the article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide expressions for the scalar products $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle$ for all combinations of $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and prove Proposition 1.4. In Section 3, we derive expressions for the distances $\|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n\|^2$ and prove Theorem 1.5. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.7 and also provides an alternative proof of the basisness of Fučík systems under the assumption (1.5). In Section 5, we characterize elements of biorthogonal sequences associated with Fučík systems. In Appendix A, we give a detailed proof of Proposition 2.1. Finally, Appendix B contains an auxiliary technical lemma which we employ in the proof of Theorem 1.7.

2. SCALAR PRODUCTS AND PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.4

In this section, we provide formulas for the scalar products of normalized Fučík eigenfunctions $f_{\alpha,\beta}^n$ and cosine functions ϕ_m and, using them, we prove the ω -linear independence of arbitrary Fučík systems stated in Proposition 1.4.

Let us recall the following notations:

$$l_1 = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha}}, \quad l_2 = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\beta}}, \quad l = l_1 + l_2 = \frac{2\pi}{n}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_n$. Then the following assertions hold true:*

- (I) *Let $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$.*
 - (i) *If $m/n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \neq m^2, \beta \neq m^2$, then*

$$\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = (-1)^{m/n} \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta} n}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \cos\left(\frac{ml_2}{2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{4\alpha^2 n^2 (n - \sqrt{\alpha})}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)^2 - n^2\alpha)(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}\right). \quad (2.1)$$

(ii) If $m/n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha = m^2$, $\beta \neq m^2$, then

$$\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{\beta}\pi n}{4m^2} = \frac{\pi n^2 \sqrt{\alpha}}{4m^2(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)} = \frac{\pi n^2}{4m(2m - n)}. \quad (2.2)$$

(iii) If $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$, then $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = 0$.

(II) Let $\beta > n^2 > \alpha$.

(i) If $m/n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \neq m^2$, $\beta \neq m^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle &= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\alpha}n}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \cos\left(\frac{ml_1}{2}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{m/n+1} \frac{4\beta^2 n^2 (n - \sqrt{\beta})}{(m^2 - \beta)(m^2(2\sqrt{\beta} - n)^2 - n^2\beta)(2\sqrt{\beta} - n)} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{2\sqrt{\beta}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

(ii) If $m/n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \neq m^2$, $\beta = m^2$, then

$$\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}\pi n}{4m^2} = (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\pi n^2 \sqrt{\beta}}{4m^2(2\sqrt{\beta} - n)} = (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\pi n^2}{4m(2m - n)}. \quad (2.4)$$

(iii) If $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$, then $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = 0$.

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is technical and presented in Appendix A. The proposition exhausts all nontrivial combinations of $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_n$. The only remaining case is $\alpha = \beta = m^2$, which is possible if and only if $m = n$. In this case, we have $f_{\alpha,\beta}^n = \phi_n$, and hence $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = \frac{\pi}{2}$. We want to explicitly mention that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{\alpha} - n)}{2\sqrt{\alpha} - n} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{\beta} - n)}{n} \quad \text{if } \alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{\alpha} - n)}{n} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{\beta} - n)}{2\sqrt{\beta} - n} \quad \text{if } \beta > n^2 > \alpha. \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^0, \phi_0 \rangle = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^0, \phi_m \rangle = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, thanks to the fact that $f_{\alpha,\beta}^0 = \phi_0$.

Remark 2.2. The expression for the scalar product $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_n \rangle$ can be also derived by the representation

$$\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_n \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n\|^2 + \|\phi_n\|^2 - \|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n\|^2 \right),$$

where expressions for the norms $\|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n\|$ and the distances $\|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n\|$ are given in Section 3 below. Namely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_n \rangle &= \frac{4\alpha^2}{(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)(3\sqrt{\alpha} - n)(\sqrt{\alpha} + n)} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha} - n} \quad \text{if } \alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta, \\ \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_n \rangle &= \frac{4\beta^2}{(2\sqrt{\beta} - n)(3\sqrt{\beta} - n)(\sqrt{\beta} + n)} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2\sqrt{\beta}}\right)}{\sqrt{\beta} - n} \quad \text{if } \beta > n^2 > \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to observe that $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_n \rangle \neq 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Using Proposition 2.1, we can establish the ω -linear independence of arbitrary Fučík systems stated in Proposition 1.4.

Proof of Proposition 1.4. Let $F_{\alpha,\beta} = \{f_{\alpha,\beta}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a Fučík system. We need to prove that if a sequence $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset \mathbb{R}$ yields the strong convergence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^k \eta_n f_{\alpha,\beta}^n \right\| = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

then we have $\eta_n = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For any fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we consider the sum $\sum_{n=0}^k \eta_n \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle$ and apply the Cauchy inequality to obtain

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^k \eta_n \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle \right| = \left| \int_0^\pi \cos(mx) \sum_{n=0}^k \eta_n f_{\alpha,\beta}^n(x) dx \right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^k \eta_n f_{\alpha,\beta}^n \right\|. \quad (2.8)$$

Thanks to (2.7), the estimate (2.8) implies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{n=0}^k \eta_n \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle \right| = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

By Proposition 2.1 - (I) (iii) and (II) (iii) - we have $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = 0$ for every $n > m \geq 1$, and $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^0, \phi_m \rangle = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ since $f_{\alpha,\beta}^0 = \phi_0$. Furthermore, the inequality $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^m, \phi_m \rangle \neq 0$ holds for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, see Remark 2.2.

We start by setting $m = 1$ in (2.9) and deduce that $\eta_1 = 0$. Next, setting $m = 2$, we deduce that $\eta_2 = 0$, and so on. Arguing by induction, we conclude that $\eta_n = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, the equality $\eta_0 = 0$ follows directly from (2.7). This completes the proof of the ω -linear independence of $F_{\alpha,\beta}$. \square

3. DISTANCES AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

In this section, we derive expressions for the distances $\|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n\|^2$ and use them to prove Theorem 1.5. We also provide some formulas for the norms of Fučík eigenfunctions.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_n$. If $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$, then*

$$\|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n\|^2 = \pi - \pi \frac{n(\sqrt{\alpha} - n)}{(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)^2} - \frac{8\alpha^2}{(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)(3\sqrt{\alpha} - n)(\sqrt{\alpha} + n)} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha} - n}. \quad (3.1)$$

For $\beta > n^2 > \alpha$, we have

$$\|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n\|^2 = \pi - \pi \frac{n(\sqrt{\beta} - n)}{(2\sqrt{\beta} - n)^2} - \frac{8\beta^2}{(2\sqrt{\beta} - n)(3\sqrt{\beta} - n)(\sqrt{\beta} + n)} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2\sqrt{\beta}}\right)}{\sqrt{\beta} - n}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Let us consider the case $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$. Since $f_{\alpha,\beta}^n$ equals ϕ_n for $\alpha = n^2 = \beta$, we assume $\alpha > n^2 > \beta$. We begin by deriving the integral $\int_0^{\pi/n} (f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n)^2 dx$, where the interval of integration represents a single down-slope of $f_{\alpha,\beta}^n$, i.e., the interval between a maximum and a

consecutive minimum. Using the pointwise definition (1.3) and the formulas (A.1) and (A.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\pi/n} (f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n)^2 dx \\
&= \int_0^{l_1/2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \cos(\sqrt{\alpha}x) - \cos(nx) \right)^2 dx + \int_{l_1/2}^{\pi/n} \left(\cos\left(\sqrt{\beta}\left(x - \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right) + \cos(nx) \right)^2 dx \\
&= \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \sin(\sqrt{\alpha}x) \cos(\sqrt{\delta}x) \right) \Big|_0^{l_1/2} \\
&\quad - 2 \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \left(\frac{n}{n^2 - \alpha} \cos(\sqrt{\alpha}x) \sin(nx) - \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{n^2 - \alpha} \sin(\sqrt{\alpha}x) \cos(nx) \right) \Big|_0^{l_1/2} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{n} \sin(nx) \cos(nx) \right) \Big|_0^{l_1/2} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(x - \frac{\pi}{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \sin\left(\sqrt{\beta}\left(x - \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right) \cos\left(\sqrt{\beta}\left(x - \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right) \right) \Big|_{l_1/2}^{\pi/n} \\
&\quad + 2 \left(\frac{n}{n^2 - \beta} \cos\left(\sqrt{\beta}\left(x - \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right) \sin(nx) - \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{n^2 - \beta} \sin\left(\sqrt{\beta}\left(x - \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right) \cos(nx) \right) \Big|_{l_1/2}^{\pi/n} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{n} \sin(nx) \cos(nx) \right) \Big|_{l_1/2}^{\pi/n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Directly rearranging the resulting terms, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\pi/n} (f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n)^2 dx &= \frac{\pi}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} - 1 \right) \frac{l_1}{2} + 2\sqrt{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{n^2 - \alpha} - \frac{1}{n^2 - \beta} \right) \cos\left(n \frac{l_1}{2}\right) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{n} - \pi \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} - n}{(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)^2} - \frac{8\alpha^2}{n(\sqrt{\alpha} + n)(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)(3\sqrt{\alpha} - n)} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha} - n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since both the Fučík eigenfunction $f_{\alpha,\beta}^n$ considered on the whole \mathbb{R} and the cosine function ϕ_n are symmetric with respect to each extrema at $x = \frac{k\pi}{n}$, see Remark 1.2, we get the same formula for the integral over a single up-slope $\int_{\pi/n}^{2\pi/n} (f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n)^2 dx$, i.e, the interval between a minimum and a consecutive maximum. Thanks to the $\frac{2\pi}{n}$ -periodicity of $f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n$, we sum up the integrals over all subintervals $\left[\frac{k\pi}{n}, \frac{(k+1)\pi}{n}\right]$, $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, to obtain the formula (3.1) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For the case $\beta > n^2 > \alpha$, we get the similar formula (3.2) due to the symmetry properties given in Remark 1.2. \square

We can make use of Lemma 3.1 to prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We start by providing an upper bound on $\|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n\|^2$ for the case $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$. We use the lower bound

$$\cos(x) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right) \geq \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right) \left(\sqrt{6} - \frac{\pi}{2} + x\right) \left(\sqrt{6} + \frac{\pi}{2} - x\right), \quad x \leq \frac{\pi}{2},$$

which in our particular case reads as

$$\cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}\right) \geq \frac{\pi(\sqrt{\alpha}-n)}{48\sqrt{\alpha}^3}((2\sqrt{6}-\pi)\sqrt{\alpha}+\pi n)((2\sqrt{6}+\pi)\sqrt{\alpha}-\pi n).$$

We obtain from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n\|^2 &\leq \pi - \pi \frac{n(\sqrt{\alpha}-n)}{(2\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2} - \pi \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}((2\sqrt{6}-\pi)\sqrt{\alpha}+\pi n)((2\sqrt{6}+\pi)\sqrt{\alpha}-\pi n)}{6(\sqrt{\alpha}+n)(2\sqrt{\alpha}-n)(3\sqrt{\alpha}-n)} \\ &= \pi \frac{2(12+\pi^2)\alpha - (30-\pi^2)\sqrt{\alpha}n - 12n^2}{6(\sqrt{\alpha}+n)(2\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2(3\sqrt{\alpha}-n)}(\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2 \\ &\leq \pi \frac{2(12+\pi^2)\alpha - (42-\pi^2)n^2}{6(\sqrt{\alpha}+n)(3\sqrt{\alpha}-n)} \frac{(\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2}{(2\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2} \\ &= \pi \frac{(12+\pi^2)\left(\sqrt{\alpha} + \sqrt{\frac{42-\pi^2}{24+2\pi^2}}n\right)\left(3\sqrt{\alpha} - 3\sqrt{\frac{42-\pi^2}{24+2\pi^2}}n\right)}{9(\sqrt{\alpha}+n)(3\sqrt{\alpha}-n)} \frac{(\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2}{(2\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{(12+\pi^2)\pi}{9} \frac{(\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2}{(2\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2} \leq \frac{(12+\pi^2)\pi}{9} \frac{(\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2}{n^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

In the case $\beta > n^2 > \alpha$, we start with (3.2) and get the same estimate with β instead of α .

Using the obtained upper bounds and the assumption (1.5), we see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n\|^2 \leq \frac{(12+\pi^2)\pi}{9} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\max(\sqrt{\alpha(n)}, \sqrt{\beta(n)}) - n)^2}{n^2} < \infty.$$

Thus, the Fučík system $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is quadratically near to the complete orthogonal system of cosine functions $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Therefore, the rescaled system $\sqrt{2/\pi} F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is quadratically near to the complete *orthonormal* system $\{\sqrt{2/\pi} \phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Together with the ω -linear independence of $\sqrt{2/\pi} F_{\alpha,\beta}$ established in Proposition 1.4, this implies that $\sqrt{2/\pi} F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, \pi)$, see, e.g., [14, Theorem V-2.20]. Hence, $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is also a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, \pi)$. \square

Remark 3.2. We notice that the assumption (1.5) is, in fact, equivalent to the weaker assumption

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n\|^2 < \infty \quad (3.4)$$

which is required in the application of [14, Theorem V-2.20]. Indeed, the distance $\|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n\|^2$ can be estimated from below as follows. For $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$, we get

$$\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{n\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}-n}{\sqrt{\alpha}},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{\alpha,\beta}^n - \phi_n\|^2 &\geq \pi - \pi \frac{n(\sqrt{\alpha}-n)}{(2\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2} - \pi \frac{4\sqrt{\alpha}^3}{(\sqrt{\alpha}+n)(2\sqrt{\alpha}-n)(3\sqrt{\alpha}-n)} \\ &= \pi \frac{(4\alpha + 5\sqrt{\alpha}n - 2n^2)(\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2}{(\sqrt{\alpha}+n)(2\sqrt{\alpha}-n)^2(3\sqrt{\alpha}-n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Comparing (3.5) with the first term in (3.3), we get

$$\frac{(12 + \pi^2)\pi}{9} \frac{(\sqrt{\alpha} - n)^2}{(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)^2} \leq C \cdot \pi \frac{(4\alpha + 5\sqrt{\alpha}n - 2n^2)}{(3\sqrt{\alpha} - n)(\sqrt{\alpha} + n)} \frac{(\sqrt{\alpha} - n)^2}{(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)^2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $C = \frac{12 + \pi^2}{12}$ is a uniform constant. For the case $\beta > n^2 > \alpha$, we can easily derive a lower bound similar to (3.5) and obtain an estimate with the same constant C . On the other hand, it is not hard to observe that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\max(\sqrt{\alpha(n)}, \sqrt{\beta(n)}) - n)^2}{(2 \max(\sqrt{\alpha(n)}, \sqrt{\beta(n)}) - n)^2} < \infty$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\max(\sqrt{\alpha(n)}, \sqrt{\beta(n)}) - n)^2}{n^2} < \infty.$$

This shows the equivalence of the assumptions (1.5) and (3.4).

We conclude this section by providing expressions for the norms of normalized Fučík eigenfunctions which were used in Remark 2.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_n$. If $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$, then*

$$\|f_{\alpha, \beta}^n\|^2 = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi n(\sqrt{\alpha} - n)}{(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)^2}.$$

For $\beta > n^2 > \alpha$, we have

$$\|f_{\alpha, \beta}^n\|^2 = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi n(\sqrt{\beta} - n)}{(2\sqrt{\beta} - n)^2}.$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7

Let α and β be the mappings of an arbitrary Fučík system $F_{\alpha, \beta}$. Let us consider projections of Fučík eigenfunctions into the Hilbert space

$$L_{\perp}^2(0, \pi) = \{u \in L^2(0, \pi) : \langle u, \phi_0 \rangle = 0\},$$

which is the closed linear subspace of $L^2(0, \pi)$ consisting of functions with zero mean. A complete orthogonal system in $L_{\perp}^2(0, \pi)$ is given by $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We define the projections of the elements of $F_{\alpha, \beta}$ into $L_{\perp}^2(0, \pi)$ by

$$g_n(x) := f_{\alpha, \beta}^n(x) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle f_{\alpha, \beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.1)$$

Obviously, we have $\langle g_n, \phi_0 \rangle = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We start by proving that $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis in $L_{\perp}^2(0, \pi)$. For that purpose, we show that there exist constants $C_{n, k}$, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with bounds $|C_{n, k}| \leq c_k$ satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k < 1$, and linear isometries T_k of $L_{\perp}^2(0, \pi)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the following representation holds:

$$g_n(x) = \cos(nx) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{n, k} T_k \cos(nx), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.2)$$

Then, [8, Theorem D] implies that $\{\sqrt{2/\pi} g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ shares the Riesz basis property in $L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$ with the complete orthonormal system $\{\sqrt{2/\pi} \phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. See also [22, Section 10]. Clearly, $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$, as well.

Let us construct the linear isometries $T_k : L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi) \mapsto L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, in such a way that

$$T_k \cos(nx) = \cos(nkx), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.3)$$

We take any $h \in L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$ and define its even 2π -periodic extension h^* as follows:

$$h^*(x) = \begin{cases} h(x - 2\pi\kappa) & \text{for } 2\pi\kappa \leq x \leq \pi(2\kappa + 1), \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ h(\pi(2\kappa + 1) - x) & \text{for } \pi(2\kappa + 1) \leq x \leq 2\pi(\kappa + 1), \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{cases}$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$n \int_0^{\pi} h^*(nx) dx = \int_0^{n\pi} h^*(y) dy = n \int_0^{\pi} h^*(y) dy = 0$$

and hence $h^*(n \cdot) \in L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$. We define T_k by

$$T_k h(x) = h^*(kx).$$

Evidently, (4.3) is satisfied. Arguing as in [5, Lemma 8], it can be shown that each T_k is indeed a linear isometry of $L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$. In particular, T_1 equals the identity operator.

By the property (4.3) of the isometries T_k , the claimed representation formula (4.2) takes the form

$$g_n(x) = \cos(nx) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{n,k} \cos(nkx), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.4)$$

In order to show that such representation exists, we observe, using the pointwise definition (1.3), that each $f_{\alpha,\beta}^n$ has a dilated structure in the sense of

$$f_{\alpha(n),\beta(n)}^n(x) = f_{\gamma_n, \frac{\gamma_n}{(2\sqrt{\gamma_n}-1)^2}}^1(nx), \quad (4.5)$$

for $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$, where $(\gamma_n, \frac{\gamma_n}{(2\sqrt{\gamma_n}-1)^2}) \in \Gamma_1$ is the projection of $(\alpha(n), \beta(n)) \in \Gamma_n$ along the line through the origin to the first non-trivial curve of the Fučík spectrum, i.e., we have

$$\alpha(n) = n^2\gamma_n, \quad \beta(n) = \frac{n^2\gamma_n}{(2\sqrt{\gamma_n}-1)^2}.$$

In view of (4.5), we see that g_n also has a dilated structure:

$$g_n(x) = h_n(nx) := f_{\gamma_n, \frac{\gamma_n}{(2\sqrt{\gamma_n}-1)^2}}^1(nx) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle \quad (4.6)$$

with

$$h_n = f_{\gamma_n, \frac{\gamma_n}{(2\sqrt{\gamma_n}-1)^2}}^1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle = f_{\gamma_n, \frac{\gamma_n}{(2\sqrt{\gamma_n}-1)^2}}^1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle f_{\gamma_n, \frac{\gamma_n}{(2\sqrt{\gamma_n}-1)^2}}^1, \phi_0 \rangle \in L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi).$$

Similarly, if $\beta > n^2 > \alpha$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we again obtain a dilated structure for $f_{\alpha,\beta}^n$:

$$f_{\alpha(n),\beta(n)}^n(x) = f_{\frac{\delta_n}{(2\sqrt{\delta_n}-1)^2}, \delta_n}^1(nx),$$

where $\delta_n > 1$ satisfies

$$\alpha(n) = \frac{n^2\delta_n}{(2\sqrt{\delta_n}-1)^2}, \quad \beta(n) = n^2\delta_n,$$

and we have

$$g_n(x) = \tilde{h}_n(nx) := f^1_{\frac{\delta_n}{(2\sqrt{\delta_n}-1)^2}, \delta_n}(nx) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle f_{\alpha, \beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle \quad (4.7)$$

with $\tilde{h}_n \in L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$.

In view of (4.6) and (4.7), we have

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{n,k} \cos(nkx), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the constants $A_{n,k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are the Fourier coefficients of the functions h_n or \tilde{h}_n , depending on the relation of α to n^2 . Setting $C_{n,1} = A_{n,1} - 1$ and $C_{n,k} = A_{n,k}$ for $k \geq 2$, we get the desired representation formula (4.4).

Let us show that $|C_{n,k}| \leq c_k$, where $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k < 1$. We derive estimates only for the case $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$, since estimates for the case $\beta > n^2 > \alpha$ are identical due to Remark 1.2. Hereinafter, we write γ instead of γ_n , for brevity. If $\gamma = 1$, then $f_{\alpha, \beta}^n = \phi_n$ with $A_{n,1} = 1$ and $A_{n,k} = 0$ for every $k \geq 2$. Assume that $\gamma > 1$. We use the expressions (2.1) and (2.2) to obtain

$$A_{n,k} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} h_n(x) \cos(kx) dx = \frac{8\gamma^2(1 - \sqrt{\gamma}) \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{2\sqrt{\gamma}}\right)}{\pi(k^2 - \gamma)(k^2(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)^2 - \gamma)(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)}, \quad (4.8)$$

provided $\gamma \neq k^2$, and

$$A_{n,k} = \frac{1}{2k(2k - 1)} \quad (4.9)$$

for $\gamma = k^2$, $k \geq 2$. It is not hard to deduce from (4.8) that

$$A_{n,1} - 1 = \frac{8\gamma^2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\gamma}}\right)}{\pi(\gamma - 1)(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)(3\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)} - 1 \leq \frac{4\sqrt{\gamma^3}}{(\sqrt{\gamma} + 1)(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)(3\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)} - 1 < 0,$$

thanks to the upper bound

$$\left| \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{x}}\right) \right| = \left| \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{x}}\right) \right| \leq \left| \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{x}} \right| = \frac{\pi|\sqrt{x} - 1|}{2\sqrt{x}}, \quad x > 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Thus, we estimate $|C_{n,1}|$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{n,1}| = 1 - A_1 &\leq 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}((\sqrt{24} - \pi)\sqrt{\gamma} + \pi)((\sqrt{24} + \pi)\sqrt{\gamma} - \pi)}{6(\sqrt{\gamma} + 1)(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)(3\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)} \\ &= \frac{(\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)((12 + \pi^2)\gamma + (18 - \pi^2)\sqrt{\gamma} - 6)}{6(\sqrt{\gamma} + 1)(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)(3\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where we used the lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\gamma}}\right) &\geq \frac{\pi(\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)}{2\sqrt{\gamma}} - \frac{\pi^3(\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)^3}{48\sqrt{\gamma^3}} \\ &= \frac{\pi(\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)((\sqrt{24} - \pi)\sqrt{\gamma} + \pi)((\sqrt{24} + \pi)\sqrt{\gamma} - \pi)}{48\sqrt{\gamma^3}}, \quad \gamma > 1. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side in (4.11) is strictly increasing with respect to $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, see Lemma B.1. Therefore, we obtain the uniform bound

$$|C_{n,1}| \leq \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)((12 + \pi^2)\gamma + (18 - \pi^2)\sqrt{\gamma} - 6)}{6(\sqrt{\gamma} + 1)(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)(3\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)} = \frac{12 + \pi^2}{36}. \quad (4.12)$$

Next, let us estimate $C_{n,k}$ with $k \geq 2$. Assume first that $\gamma \neq k^2$. Using (4.10), we obtain from (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{n,k}| = |A_{n,k}| &\leq \frac{8\gamma^2(\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)}{\pi|k^2 - \gamma|(k^2(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)^2 - \gamma)(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)} \left| \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{2\sqrt{\gamma}}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{4\sqrt{\gamma^3}(\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)}{(k + \sqrt{\gamma})(k^2(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)^2 - \gamma)(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Thanks to Lemma B.1, the right-hand side in (4.13) is strictly increasing with respect to $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, which yields

$$|C_{n,k}| \leq \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4\sqrt{\gamma^3}(\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)}{(k + \sqrt{\gamma})(k^2(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)^2 - \gamma)(2\sqrt{\gamma} - 1)} = \frac{2}{4k^2 - 1}. \quad (4.14)$$

Assume now that $\gamma = k^2$ for some $k \geq 2$. It is evident from (4.9) that in this case $C_{n,k}$ satisfy the same bound as (4.14):

$$|C_{n,k}| = \frac{1}{2k(2k - 1)} \leq \frac{2}{4k^2 - 1}.$$

Let us recall that the same bounds as (4.12) and (4.14) hold true also in the case $\beta > n^2 > \alpha$, since the expressions (2.1) and (2.2) are identical to (2.3) and (2.4), respectively, up to the sign and the change of α to β .

Finally, we deduce from (4.12) and (4.14) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \leq \frac{12 + \pi^2}{36} + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4k^2 - 1} = \frac{12 + \pi^2}{36} + \frac{1}{3} = 0.9408223 \dots < 1.$$

Therefore, applying [8, Theorem D], we conclude that $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$.

Let us now show that $F_{\alpha, \beta}$ is complete in $L^2(0, \pi)$. Taking arbitrary $\xi \in L^2(0, \pi)$, we have $\xi - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle \xi, \phi_0 \rangle \in L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$. Hence, there exists a sequence $\{b_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following property: for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\| \xi - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle \xi, \phi_0 \rangle - \sum_{n=1}^k b_n g_n \right\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for every $k \geq K$. Recalling the definition (4.1) of g_n , we conclude that

$$\left\| \xi - \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\langle \xi, \phi_0 \rangle - \sum_{n=1}^k \langle f_{\alpha, \beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle b_n \right) f_{\alpha, \beta}^0 - \sum_{n=1}^k b_n f_{\alpha, \beta}^n \right\| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (4.15)$$

That is, $F_{\alpha, \beta}$ is complete in $L^2(0, \pi)$, and the proof of Theorem 1.7 is finished.

Remark 4.1. We emphasize that

$$b_0 = b_0(k) := \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\langle \xi, \phi_0 \rangle - \sum_{n=1}^k \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle b_n \right)$$

is the only coefficient in the approximation (4.15) of ξ by $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ which depends on k . It is not hard to see from (4.15) that if $\{b_0(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges for any $\xi \in L^2(0, \pi)$, then $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a basis in $L^2(0, \pi)$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n g_n$ converges strongly and $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$, we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 < \infty$, see, e.g., [22, Theorem 9]. On the other hand, we obtain from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle^2 = 8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\max(\sqrt{\alpha(n)}, \sqrt{\beta(n)}) - n)^2}{(2 \max(\sqrt{\alpha(n)}, \sqrt{\beta(n)}) - n)^2}. \quad (4.16)$$

In view of Remark 3.2, the convergence of (4.16) is equivalent to the assumption (1.5) of Theorem 1.5. Thus, we conclude by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle b_n| \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty$$

the absolute convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle b_n$ if (1.5) is satisfied. This gives the convergence of $\{b_0(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and provides an alternative proof of the basisness of $F_{\alpha,\beta}$.

5. BIORTHOGONAL SYSTEM

Each Fučík system $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ which is a Riesz basis in the Hilbert space $L^2(0, \pi)$ possesses a complete biorthogonal sequence $\{\psi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, i.e., a sequence with $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \psi_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$ for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, that satisfies

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \langle f, \psi_m \rangle^2 < \infty$$

for any $f \in L^2(0, \pi)$, see, e.g., [22, Chapter I, Theorem 9]. The decomposition of a function $f \in L^2(0, \pi)$ with respect to the Riesz basis $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is then given by

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle f, \psi_n \rangle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n.$$

We want to provide closed form expressions for the biorthogonal sequence $\{\psi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. First, we consider the case $m \geq 1$ and use the following ansatz on the form of ψ_m :

$$\psi_m = \sum_{k=1}^m C_k^m \phi_k.$$

This choice guarantees that $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \psi_m \rangle = 0$ for every $n > m$, see Proposition 2.1. Let us derive the constants C_k^m . We start by considering the scalar product of ψ_m with $f_{\alpha,\beta}^m$ and obtain

$$1 = \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^m, \psi_m \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^m C_k^m \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^m, \phi_k \rangle = C_m^m \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^m, \phi_m \rangle,$$

where we used that $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^m, \phi_k \rangle = 0$ for all $k < m$, see Proposition 2.1. We know from Remark 2.2 that $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^m, \phi_m \rangle \neq 0$ every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which yields $C_m^m = \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^m, \phi_m \rangle^{-1}$. Successively taking the

scalar products of ψ_m with $f_{\alpha,\beta}^{m-1}, f_{\alpha,\beta}^{m-2}, \dots$, we obtain the remaining constants recursively as follows:

$$0 = \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^{m-l}, \psi_m \rangle = \sum_{k=m-l}^m C_k^m \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^{m-l}, \phi_k \rangle = C_{m-l}^m \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^{m-l}, \phi_{m-l} \rangle + \sum_{\substack{k=m-l+1 \\ (m-l)|k}}^m C_k^m \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^{m-l}, \phi_k \rangle,$$

where $1 \leq l \leq m-1$. Hence, we have

$$C_{m-l}^m = -\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^{m-l}, \phi_{m-l} \rangle^{-1} \sum_{\substack{k=m-l+1 \\ (m-l)|k}}^m C_k^m \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^{m-l}, \phi_k \rangle$$

for $1 \leq l \leq m-1$. By an inductive argument, we can further show that $C_k^m = 0$ for all $m/k \notin \mathbb{N}$.

We summarize our observations on the form of ψ_m for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ in the following statement.

Theorem 5.1. *Let a Fučík system $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ be a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, \pi)$. Then for $m \geq 1$ the elements ψ_m of the corresponding biorthogonal system $\{\psi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ have the form*

$$\psi_m = \sum_{k|m} C_k^m \phi_k \tag{5.1}$$

with $C_m^m = \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^m, \phi_m \rangle^{-1}$ and

$$C_k^m = -\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^k, \phi_k \rangle^{-1} \sum_{l \in d(k,m)} C_l^m \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^k, \phi_l \rangle$$

for each $k < m$ such that $k|m$, where $d(k, m) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n|m \wedge k|n \wedge n > k\}$.

Second, we characterize the remaining element ψ_0 . Let

$$g_n(x) := f_{\alpha,\beta}^n(x) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle.$$

We know from Theorem 1.7 that $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$. Consequently, there is a corresponding unique biorthogonal system. This system is given by the sequence $\{\psi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ described by Theorem 5.1. Indeed, we notice that $\psi_m \in L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$ for $m \geq 1$ by the form (5.1), and hence

$$\langle g_n, \psi_m \rangle = \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \psi_m \rangle - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle \langle 1, \psi_m \rangle = \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \psi_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$$

for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Taking now any $\xi \in L^2(0, \pi)$, we have $\xi - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle \xi, \phi_0 \rangle \in L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$. Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\| \xi - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle \xi, \phi_0 \rangle - \sum_{n=1}^k \langle \xi - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle \xi, \phi_0 \rangle, \psi_n \rangle g_n \right\| = \left\| \xi - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle \xi, \phi_0 \rangle - \sum_{n=1}^k \langle \xi, \psi_n \rangle g_n \right\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for every $k \geq K$. Recalling the definition of g_n , we conclude that

$$\left\| \xi - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \left(\langle \xi, \phi_0 \rangle - \sum_{n=1}^k \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle \langle \xi, \psi_n \rangle \right) - \sum_{n=1}^k \langle \xi, \psi_n \rangle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n \right\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, since we assume that $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, \pi)$, there exists $K_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k \geq K_1$ we have

$$\left\| \xi - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \langle \xi, \psi_0 \rangle - \sum_{n=1}^k \langle \xi, \psi_n \rangle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n \right\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, by the triangle inequality, we get for any $k \geq \max\{K, K_1\}$ that

$$\left\| \left(\langle \xi, \phi_0 \rangle - \sum_{n=1}^k \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle \langle \xi, \psi_n \rangle \right) - \langle \xi, \psi_0 \rangle \right\| \leq \frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Since $\xi \in L^2(0, \pi)$ is arbitrary, this establishes the weak convergence in $L^2(0, \pi)$:

$$\phi_0 - \sum_{n=1}^k \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle \psi_n \rightharpoonup \psi_0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

Let us find assumptions which guarantee the strong convergence in $L^2(0, \pi)$. Since $\{\psi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a biorthogonal system of the Riesz basis $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$, $\{\psi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$ by itself, see, e.g., [22, Theorem 8]. Hence, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle \psi_n$ converges strongly in $L^2_{\perp}(0, \pi)$ and, thus, in $L^2(0, \pi)$ if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle^2 < \infty$, see [21, Corollary 11.2] and [22, Theorem 9]. Therefore, we conclude from the weak convergence (5.2) that the strong convergence

$$\phi_0 - \sum_{n=1}^k \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle \psi_n \rightarrow \psi_0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

in $L^2(0, \pi)$ holds if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle^2 < \infty$.

Let us summarize the observations on ψ_0 in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let a Fučík system $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ be a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, \pi)$. Then the first element ψ_0 of the corresponding biorthogonal system $\{\psi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ can be characterized through the following weak convergence in $L^2(0, \pi)$:*

$$\phi_0 - \sum_{n=1}^k \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle \psi_n \rightharpoonup \psi_0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\psi_0 = \phi_0 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle \psi_n$$

in the sense of the strong convergence in $L^2(0, \pi)$ if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle^2 < \infty$.

We obtain from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_0 \rangle^2 = 8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\max\{\sqrt{\alpha(n)}, \sqrt{\beta(n)}\} - n)^2}{(2 \max\{\sqrt{\alpha(n)}, \sqrt{\beta(n)}\} - n)^2}. \quad (5.3)$$

Notice that in view of Remark 3.2 the convergence of (5.3) is equivalent to the assumption (1.5) of Theorem 1.5.

APPENDIX A.

In order to prove Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.1, we evaluate the following integrals in the general form:

$$\int \cos^2(\sqrt{\delta}(x - x_0)) dx = \frac{1}{2} \left(x - x_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sin(\sqrt{\delta}(x - x_0)) \cos(\sqrt{\delta}(x - x_0)) \right) + C, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\int \cos(n(x - x_0)) \cos(nx) dx = \frac{1}{4n} \sin(n(2x - x_0)) + \frac{x}{2} \cos(nx_0) + C, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

for $\delta \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, if $\delta \neq n^2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \cos(\sqrt{\delta}(x - x_0)) \cos(nx) dx &= \frac{n}{n^2 - \delta} \cos(\sqrt{\delta}(x - x_0)) \sin(nx) \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{n^2 - \delta} \sin(\sqrt{\delta}(x - x_0)) \cos(nx) + C. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

We also employ the following summation formulas. Let $d \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\sin(d/2) \neq 0$. Then for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \cos(a + kd) = \frac{\sin(nd/2)}{\sin(d/2)} \cos\left(a + \frac{(n-1)d}{2}\right), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

see, e.g., [15]. On the other hand, if $d \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\sin(d/2) = 0$, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \cos(a + kd) = n \cos(a). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Finally, we use the following standard equalities for arbitrary $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\sin(a - b) + \sin(a + b) + \sin(c - d) + \sin(c + d) = 2 \sin(a) \cos(b) + 2 \sin(c) \cos(d), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$2 \sin(a + b) \cos(a - b) = \sin(2a) + \sin(2b). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Recall that

$$l_1 = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha}}, \quad l_2 = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\beta}}, \quad l = l_1 + l_2 = \frac{2\pi}{n}.$$

(I) Let $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$. We start with the case that n is *even*. For the calculation of the scalar product, we use the fact that the Fučík eigenfunction $f_{\alpha, \beta}^n$ is $2\pi/n$ -periodic and split the integration range into $n/2$ equidistant intervals, see Remark 1.2. We use the piecewise definition (1.3) of $f_{\alpha, \beta}^n$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{\alpha, \beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \int_{kl}^{kl + \frac{l_1}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \cos(\sqrt{\alpha}(x - kl)) \cos(mx) dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \int_{kl + \frac{l_1}{2}}^{(k + \frac{1}{2})l + \frac{l_2}{2}} \cos\left(\sqrt{\beta}\left(x - \frac{2k+1}{2}l\right)\right) \cos(mx) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \int_{(k+\frac{1}{2})l+\frac{l_2}{2}}^{(k+1)l} \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \cos(\sqrt{\alpha}(x - (k+1)l)) \cos(mx) dx. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Assume first that $\alpha \neq m^2$ and $\beta \neq m^2$. Applying the formula (A.3), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[-\frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{m^2 - \alpha} \cos\left(m\left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2}\right)\right) - \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \frac{m}{m^2 - \alpha} \sin(mkl) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[\frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{m^2 - \beta} \cos\left(m\left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)l + \frac{l_2}{2}\right)\right) + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{m^2 - \beta} \cos\left(m\left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2}\right)\right) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[\frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \frac{m}{m^2 - \alpha} \sin(m(k+1)l) - \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{m^2 - \alpha} \cos\left(m\left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)l + \frac{l_2}{2}\right)\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta}}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[\cos\left(m\left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2}\right)\right) + \cos\left(m\left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2} + l_2\right)\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

If $m/n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we can easily apply (A.5) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle &= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta}}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \frac{n}{2} \left[\cos\left(\frac{ml_1}{2}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{ml_1}{2} + ml_2\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta}}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \frac{n}{2} \left[\cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{n} - \frac{ml_2}{2}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{n} + \frac{ml_2}{2}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta} n}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{n} + \frac{ml_2}{2}\right) = (-1)^{m/n} \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta} n}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \cos\left(\frac{ml_2}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the symmetry of the cosine with respect to its extrema at $m\pi/n$. We rewrite this expression in dependence on α by the relation (1.2) and get

$$\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = \frac{4\alpha^2 n^2 (n - \sqrt{\alpha})}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)^2 - n^2\alpha)(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}\right). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

For the case $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$, we use (A.4) to obtain from (A.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle &= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta}}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{nml}{4}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{ml}{2}\right)} \\ &\times \left[\cos\left(\frac{ml_1}{2} + \frac{(n-2)ml}{4}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{ml_1}{2} + \frac{(n-2)ml}{4} + ml_2\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta}}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{n}\right)} \left[\cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} - \frac{ml_2}{2}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} + \frac{ml_2}{2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For even m the term $\sin(m\pi/2)$ vanishes and for odd m the sum of cosines cancels. Thus, if $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$, then $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = 0$.

Assume now that $\alpha = m^2$ and $\beta \neq m^2$. In this case, we apply the formulas (A.2) and (A.3) to calculate the integrals in (A.8) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[\frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{4m^2} \sin(m(kl + l_1)) - \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{4m^2} \sin(mkl) + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}l_1}{4m} \cos(mkl) \right] \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[\frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{m^2 - \beta} \cos \left(m \left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2} \right) l + \frac{l_2}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{m^2 - \beta} \cos \left(m \left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2} \right) \right) \right] \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[\frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{4m^2} \sin(m(k+1)l) - \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{4m^2} \sin(m(kl + l_2)) + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}l_1}{4m} \cos(m(k+1)l) \right] \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{4m^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} [\sin(m(kl + l_1)) - \sin(m(kl + l_2))] \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{m^2 - \beta} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[\cos \left(m \left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2} \right) l + \frac{l_2}{2} \right) \right) + \cos \left(m \left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2} \right) \right) \right] \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{\beta}\pi}{4m^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} [\cos(mkl) + \cos(m(k+1)l)]. \tag{A.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha = m^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sin(m(kl + l_1)) - \sin(m(kl + l_2)) &= \sin(mkl + \pi) - \sin(m(k+1)l - \pi) \\
&= \sin(m(k+1)l) - \sin(mkl), \tag{A.12}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, recalling that $l = 2\pi/n$, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} [\sin(m(kl + l_1)) - \sin(m(kl + l_2))] = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} [\sin(m(k+1)l) - \sin(mkl)] = 0. \tag{A.13}$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos \left(m \left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2} \right) l + \frac{l_2}{2} \right) \right) + \cos \left(m \left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2} \right) \right) &= \cos \left(m(k+1)l - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + \cos \left(mkl + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \\
&= \sin(m(k+1)l) - \sin(mkl), \tag{A.14}
\end{aligned}$$

and we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[\cos \left(m \left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2} \right) l + \frac{l_2}{2} \right) \right) + \cos \left(m \left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2} \right) \right) \right] = 0. \tag{A.15}$$

Finally, we observe that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} [\cos(mkl) + \cos(m(k+1)l)] = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \cos(mkl) + (-1)^m - 1.$$

If $m/n \in \mathbb{N}$, then m must be even since n is even, and hence (A.5) implies

$$2 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \cos(mkl) + (-1)^m - 1 = n. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

On the other hand, if $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$, then we apply (A.4) to deduce that

$$2 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \cos(mkl) + (-1)^m - 1 = \frac{2 \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{n}\right)} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{2} - \frac{m\pi}{n}\right) + (-1)^m - 1 = 0. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Thus, substituting (A.13), (A.15), and either (A.16) or (A.17) into (A.11), we conclude that if $m/n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{\beta}\pi n}{4m^2} = \frac{\pi n^2 \alpha}{8m^2(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)}, \quad (\text{A.18})$$

while if $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$, then $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = 0$.

Assume finally that $\alpha \neq m^2$ and $\beta = m^2$. Notice that $n > m$ and $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$, since $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$. As above, we apply the formulas (A.2) and (A.3) to calculate the integrals in (A.8) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[-\frac{m}{m^2 - \alpha} \cos\left(m\left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2}\right)\right) - \frac{m^2}{\sqrt{\alpha}(m^2 - \alpha)} \sin(mkl) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[-\frac{1}{4m} \sin\left(m\left(\frac{2k+1}{2}l + l_2\right)\right) + \frac{1}{4m} \sin\left(m\left(\frac{2k-1}{2}l + l_1\right)\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{l_2}{2} \cos\left(m\frac{2k+1}{2}l\right) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[\frac{m^2}{\sqrt{\alpha}(m^2 - \alpha)} \sin(m(k+1)l) - \frac{m}{m^2 - \alpha} \cos\left(m\left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)l + \frac{l_2}{2}\right)\right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{m}{m^2 - \alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[\cos\left(m\left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2}\right)\right) + \cos\left(m\left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)l + \frac{l_2}{2}\right)\right) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{4m} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \left[\sin\left(m\left(\frac{2k+1}{2}l + l_2\right)\right) - \sin\left(m\left(\frac{2k-1}{2}l + l_1\right)\right) \right] \\ &- \frac{l_2}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \cos\left(m\frac{2k+1}{2}l\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Noting that $ml_2 = \pi$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\cos\left(m\left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2}\right)\right) + \cos\left(m\left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)l + \frac{l_2}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= \cos\left(mkl + \frac{ml_1}{2}\right) - \cos\left(mkl + \frac{ml_1}{2}\right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sin\left(m\left(\frac{2k+1}{2}l+l_2\right)\right) - \sin\left(m\left(\frac{2k-1}{2}l+l_1\right)\right) \\ &= \sin\left(mkl - \frac{ml}{2} + ml_1 + 2ml_2\right) - \sin\left(mkl - \frac{ml}{2} + ml_1\right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

Moreover, recalling $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$ and applying (A.4), we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \cos\left(m\frac{2k+1}{2}l\right) = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{n}\right)} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{2}\right) = 0. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Substituting (A.20), (A.21), and (A.22) into (A.19), we conclude that $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = 0$.

Let us now suppose that n is *odd*. In this case, the scalar product takes the following form (cf. (A.8)):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \int_{kl}^{kl+\frac{l_1}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \cos(\sqrt{\alpha}(x-kl)) \cos(mx) dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \int_{kl+\frac{l_1}{2}}^{(k+\frac{1}{2})l+\frac{l_2}{2}} \cos\left(\sqrt{\beta}\left(x-\frac{2k+1}{2}l\right)\right) \cos(mx) dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \int_{(k+\frac{1}{2})l+\frac{l_2}{2}}^{(k+1)l} \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \cos(\sqrt{\alpha}(x-(k+1)l)) \cos(mx) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{n-1}{2}l}^{\frac{n-1}{2}l+\frac{l_1}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \cos\left(\sqrt{\alpha}\left(x-\frac{n-1}{2}l\right)\right) \cos(mx) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\frac{n-1}{2}l+\frac{l_1}{2}}^{\pi} \cos\left(\sqrt{\beta}\left(x-\frac{n}{2}l\right)\right) \cos(mx) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

Assume first that $\alpha \neq m^2$ and $\beta \neq m^2$. Reusing most of the calculations from (A.9) and noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{n-1}{2}l+\frac{l_1}{2}}^{\pi} \cos\left(\sqrt{\beta}\left(x-\frac{n}{2}l\right)\right) \cos(mx) dx &= -\frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{m^2-\beta} \cos\left(m\left(\frac{n-1}{2}l+\frac{l_1}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{m^2-\beta} \cos\left(m\left(\pi-\frac{l_2}{2}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

by (A.3), we obtain

$$\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = \frac{(\beta-\alpha)\sqrt{\beta}}{(m^2-\alpha)(m^2-\beta)} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cos\left(m\left(kl+\frac{l_1}{2}\right)\right) + \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \cos\left(m\left(kl+\frac{l_1}{2}+l_2\right)\right) \right]. \quad (\text{A.25})$$

For $m/n \in \mathbb{N}$, we use (A.5) and the symmetry of the cosine with respect to its extrema at $m\pi/n$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle &= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta}}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \left[\frac{n+1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{ml_1}{2}\right) + \frac{n-1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{ml_1}{2} + ml_2\right) \right] \\
&= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta}}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \left[\frac{n+1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{n} - \frac{ml_2}{2}\right) + \frac{n-1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{n} + \frac{ml_2}{2}\right) \right] \\
&= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta}n}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{n} + \frac{ml_2}{2}\right) = (-1)^{m/n} \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta}n}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \cos\left(\frac{ml_2}{2}\right) \\
&= \frac{4\alpha^2 n^2 (n - \sqrt{\alpha})}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 (2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)^2 - n^2 \alpha)(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}\right). \tag{A.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that (A.26) coincides with (A.10). This establishes the claimed formula (2.1) and hence completes the proof of the assertion (i). In the case of $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$, we apply (A.4) to (A.25) and get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle &= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta}}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \frac{1}{\sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{n}\right)} \sin\left(\frac{(n+1)ml}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{ml_1}{2} + \frac{(n-1)ml}{4}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta}}{(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \frac{1}{\sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{n}\right)} \sin\left(\frac{(n-1)ml}{4}\right) \cos\left(\frac{ml_1}{2} + ml_2 + \frac{(n-3)ml}{4}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By making use of (A.6) and the antisymmetry of the sine with respect to its zero at $m\pi$, we see that

$$\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = \frac{(\beta - \alpha)\sqrt{\beta}}{2(m^2 - \alpha)(m^2 - \beta)} \frac{1}{\sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{n}\right)} \left[\sin\left(m\pi + \frac{ml_1}{2}\right) + \sin\left(m\pi - \frac{ml_1}{2}\right) \right] = 0.$$

Assume now that $\alpha = m^2$ and $\beta \neq m^2$. In this case, we argue in much the same way as in the derivation of (A.11). By applying (A.24), the integrals in (A.23) are calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle &= \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{4m^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} [\sin(m(kl + l_1)) - \sin(m(kl + l_2))] \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{m^2 - \beta} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \left[\cos\left(m\left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)l + \frac{l_2}{2}\right)\right) + \cos\left(m\left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2}\right)\right) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}\pi}{4m^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} [\cos(mkl) + \cos(m(k+1)l)] + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{4m^2} \sin\left(\frac{ml(n-1)}{2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{4m^2} \sin\left(m\left(\frac{n-1}{2}l + l_1\right)\right) - \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{4m^2} \sin\left(\frac{ml(n-1)}{2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}\pi}{4m^2} \cos\left(\frac{ml(n-1)}{2}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{m^2 - \beta} \cos\left(m\left(\frac{n-1}{2}l + \frac{l_1}{2}\right)\right). \tag{A.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.12), we see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} [\sin(m(kl + l_1)) - \sin(m(kl + l_2))] + \sin\left(m\left(\frac{n-1}{2}l + l_1\right)\right) = 0. \tag{A.28}$$

Similarly, with (A.14), we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \left[\cos \left(m \left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2} \right) l + \frac{l_2}{2} \right) \right) + \cos \left(m \left(kl + \frac{l_1}{2} \right) \right) \right] + \cos \left(m \left(\frac{n-1}{2} l + \frac{l_1}{2} \right) \right) = 0. \quad (\text{A.29})$$

Finally, we observe

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} [\cos(mkl) + \cos(m(k+1)l)] + \cos \left(\frac{ml(n-1)}{2} \right) = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cos(mkl) - 1.$$

If $m/n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we apply (A.5) to obtain

$$2 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cos(mkl) - 1 = n. \quad (\text{A.30})$$

On the other hand, if $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$, then we use (A.4) and (A.7) to deduce that

$$2 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cos(mkl) - 1 = \frac{2 \sin \left(\frac{(n+1)ml}{4} \right) \cos \left(\frac{(n-1)ml}{4} \right)}{\sin \left(\frac{ml}{2} \right)} - 1 = \frac{\sin \left(\frac{m\pi}{n} \right) + \sin(m\pi)}{\sin \left(\frac{m\pi}{n} \right)} - 1 = 0. \quad (\text{A.31})$$

Therefore, substituting (A.28), (A.29), and either (A.30) or (A.31) into (A.27), we conclude that if $m/n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{\beta}\pi n}{4m^2} = \frac{\pi n^2 \alpha}{8m^2(2\sqrt{\alpha} - n)}, \quad (\text{A.32})$$

while if $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$, then $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = 0$. Noting that (A.32) coincides with (A.18), we complete the proof of the claimed equality (2.2). That is, the proof of the assertion (ii) is finished.

Assume finally that $\alpha \neq m^2$ and $\beta = m^2$. As in the derivation of (A.19), we apply the formulas (A.2) and (A.3) together with (A.20) and (A.21) to calculate the integrals in (A.23) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle &= -\frac{l_2}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \cos \left(m \frac{2k+1}{2} l \right) + \frac{m^2}{\sqrt{\alpha}(m^2 - \alpha)} \sin \left(m \frac{n-1}{2} l \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{m}{m^2 - \alpha} \cos \left(m \left(\frac{n-1}{2} l + \frac{l_1}{2} \right) \right) - \frac{m^2}{\sqrt{\alpha}(m^2 - \alpha)} \sin \left(m \frac{n-1}{2} l \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4m} \sin \left(m \left(2\pi - \frac{nl}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{4m} \sin \left(m \left(\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) l + l_1 \right) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\pi - \frac{n-1}{2} l - \frac{l_1}{2} \right) \cos \left(\frac{mnl}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.33})$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \left(m \left(\frac{n-1}{2} l + \frac{l_1}{2} \right) \right) &= \cos \left(m\pi - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = 0, \\ \sin \left(m \left(2\pi - \frac{nl}{2} \right) \right) &= 0, \\ \sin \left(m \left(\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) l + l_1 \right) \right) &= \sin(m\pi - \pi) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\pi - \frac{n-1}{2}l - \frac{l_1}{2} \right) \cos \left(\frac{mnl}{2} \right) = \frac{l_2}{4} \cos(m\pi) = \frac{l_2}{4} (-1)^m.$$

Since $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$, we get $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we can use (A.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{l_2}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \cos \left(m \frac{2k+1}{2} l \right) = -\frac{l_2}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \cos \left(\frac{m\pi}{n} + mkl \right) \\ & = -\frac{l_2}{2} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \frac{m\pi}{n} \right)}{\sin \left(\frac{m\pi}{n} \right)} \cos \left(\frac{m\pi}{n} + \frac{n-3}{2} \frac{m\pi}{n} \right) = -\frac{l_2}{2} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \frac{m\pi}{n} \right) \cos \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \frac{m\pi}{n} \right)}{\sin \left(\frac{m\pi}{n} \right)} \\ & = -\frac{l_2}{4} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{(n-1)m\pi}{n} \right)}{\sin \left(\frac{m\pi}{n} \right)} = \frac{l_2}{4} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{m\pi}{n} - m\pi \right)}{\sin \left(\frac{m\pi}{n} \right)} = \frac{l_2}{4} (-1)^m. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above equalities into (A.33), we conclude that $\langle f_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \phi_m \rangle = 0$. Finally, we have covered all cases for the assumption $m/n \notin \mathbb{N}$ to justify the assertion (iii).

(II) Let $\beta > n^2 > \alpha$. The formulas (2.3) and (2.4), as well as the statement (iii), can be established either in a similar way as their counterparts in the case $\alpha \geq n^2 \geq \beta$ above, or by using the relations in Remark 1.2. We omit details. \square

APPENDIX B.

We provide an auxiliary monotonicity result needed in the proof of Theorem 1.7.

Lemma B.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following two functions are strictly increasing with respect to $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$:*

$$B_1(\gamma) = \frac{(\sqrt{\gamma}-1)((12+\pi^2)\gamma + (18-\pi^2)\sqrt{\gamma}-6)}{(\sqrt{\gamma}+1)(2\sqrt{\gamma}-1)(3\sqrt{\gamma}-1)}$$

and

$$B_2(\gamma) = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma^3}(\sqrt{\gamma}-1)}{(k+\sqrt{\gamma})(k^2(2\sqrt{\gamma}-1)^2-\gamma)(2\sqrt{\gamma}-1)}.$$

Proof. We start by considering B_1 . Let us make the substitution $\sqrt{\gamma} = x + 1$ to obtain

$$B_1(x) = \frac{24x + (42 + \pi^2)x^2 + (12 + \pi^2)x^3}{2 + 11x + 17x^2 + 6x^3}.$$

Since the ratios of coefficients at the powers of x satisfy the chain of inequalities

$$\frac{0}{2} < \frac{24}{11} < \frac{42 + \pi^2}{17} < \frac{12 + \pi^2}{6},$$

we can apply [12, Theorem 4.4] to conclude that B_1 is increasing with respect to $x \in (0, \infty)$, and hence with respect to $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$.

For B_2 we use the same substitution $\sqrt{\gamma} = x + 1$. We perform a standard comparison of polynomials to see that the ratios of coefficients at the powers of x satisfy the following chain of inequalities:

$$\frac{0}{(k-1)(k+1)^2} < \frac{1}{6k^3 + 7k^2 - 4k - 5} < \frac{3}{12k^3 + 18k^2 - 5k - 9}$$

$$< \frac{3}{8k^3 + 20k^2 - 2k - 7} < \frac{1}{8k^2 - 2}.$$

Thus, by applying [12, Theorem 4.4] again, we deduce that B_2 is increasing with respect to $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Arias, M., Campos, J., Cuesta, M., & Gossez, J. P. (2008). An asymmetric Neumann problem with weights. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire*, 25(2), 267-280. DOI:10.1016/j.anihpc.2006.07.006 1
- [2] Bary, N. (1944). Sur le systèmes complets de fonctions orthogonales. *Recueil mathématique de la Société mathématique de Moscou [Matematicheskii Sbornik]*, 14(56), 51-108. <http://www.mathnet.ru/php/archive.phtml?wshow=paper&jrnid=sm&paperid=6184> 4
- [3] Baustian, F., & Bobkov, V. (2022). Basis properties of Fučík eigenfunctions. *Analysis Mathematica, online first*. DOI:10.1007/s10476-022-0127-9 1, 4
- [4] Baustian, F., & Bobkov, V. (2021). Basisness of Fučík eigenfunctions for the Dirichlet Laplacian. *arXiv:2111.08329*. 1
- [5] Boulton, L., & Melkonian, H. (2016). Generalised cosine functions, basis and regularity properties. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 444(1), 25-46. DOI:10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.06.024 2, 11
- [6] Dancer, E. N. (1977). On the Dirichlet problem for weakly non-linear elliptic partial differential equations. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*, 76(4), 283-300. DOI:10.1017/S0308210500019648 1
- [7] Drábek, P., Holubová, G., Matas, A., & Nečesal, P. (2003). Nonlinear models of suspension bridges: discussion of the results. *Applications of Mathematics*, 48(6), 497-514. DOI:10.1023/B:APOM.0000024489.96314.7f 1
- [8] Duffin, R. J., & Eachus, J. J. (1942). Some notes on an expansion theorem of Paley and Wiener. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 48(12), 850-855. <https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.bams/1183504861> 11, 13
- [9] Edmunds, D. E., Gurka, P., & Lang, J. (2014). Basis properties of generalized trigonometric functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 420(2), 1680-1692. DOI:10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.06.015 2
- [10] Exnerová, V. H. (2012). Notes on the Fučík spectrum and the mixed boundary value problem. *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, 53(4), 615-627. <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143194> 2, 3
- [11] Fučík, S. (1976). Boundary value problems with jumping nonlinearities. *Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, 101(1), 69-87. <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108683> 1
- [12] Heikkala, V., Vamanamurthy, M. K., & Vuorinen, M. (2009). Generalized elliptic integrals. *Computational Methods and Function Theory*, 9(1), 75-109. DOI:10.1007/BF03321716 24, 25
- [13] Gazzola, F. (2015). *Mathematical models for suspension bridges*. Springer. DOI:10.1007/978-3-319-15434-3 1
- [14] Kato, T. (1980). *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Springer. DOI:10.1007/978-3-642-66282-9 2, 9
- [15] Knapp, M. P. (2009). Sines and cosines of angles in arithmetic progression. *Mathematics Magazine*, 82(5), 371-372. DOI:10.4169/002557009X478436 17
- [16] Lazer, A. C., & McKenna, P. J. (1990). Large-amplitude periodic oscillations in suspension bridges: some new connections with nonlinear analysis. *SIAM Review*, 32(4), 537-578. DOI:10.1137/1032120 1
- [17] Massa, E. (2004). On a variational characterization of a part of the Fucik spectrum and a superlinear equation for the Neumann p -Laplacian in dimension one. *Advances in Differential Equations*, 9(5-6), 699. <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ade/1355867941> 1

- [18] Motreanu, D., & Winkert, P. (2012). The Fučík spectrum for the negative p -Laplacian with different boundary conditions. In *Nonlinear Analysis* (pp. 471-485). Springer, New York, NY. DOI:10.1007/978-1-4614-3498-6_28 1
- [19] Rynne, B. P. (2000). The Fucik spectrum of general Sturm–Liouville problems. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 161(1), 87-109. DOI:10.1006/jdeq.1999.3661 1
- [20] Shkalikov, A. A. (2016). Perturbations of self-adjoint and normal operators with discrete spectrum. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 71(5), 907. DOI:10.1070/RM9740 2
- [21] Singer, I. *Bases in Banach Spaces I*. Springer, 1970. <https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783642516351> 16
- [22] Young, R. M. (1980). *An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series*. Academic Press. 4, 11, 14, 16

(F. Baustian)
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ROSTOCK,
ULMENSTRASSE 69, 18057 ROSTOCK, GERMANY
Email address: falko.baustian@uni-rostock.de

(V. Bobkov)
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UFA FEDERAL RESEARCH CENTRE, RAS,
CHERNYSHEVSKY STR. 112, 450008 UFA, RUSSIA
Email address: bobkov@matem.anrb.ru