

The least singular value of the general deformed Ginibre ensemble

Mariya Shcherbina *

Tatyana Shcherbina †

Abstract

We study the least singular value of the $n \times n$ matrix $H - z$ with $H = A_0 + H_0$, where H_0 is drawn from the complex Ginibre ensemble of matrices with iid Gaussian entries, and A_0 is some general $n \times n$ matrix with complex entries (it can be random and in this case it is independent of H_0). Assuming some rather general assumptions on A_0 , we prove an optimal tail estimate on the least singular value in the regime where z is around the spectral edge of H thus generalize the recent result of Cipolloni, Erdős, Schröder [8] to the case $A_0 \neq 0$. The result improves the classical bound by Sankar, Spielman and Teng [21].

1 Introduction

Consider random $n \times n$ matrices

$$H = A + H_0, \quad (1.1)$$

where A is some general $n \times n$ matrix with complex entries, and H_0 is drawn from the complex Ginibre ensemble, i.e. H_0 has i.i.d. complex Gaussian entries $\{h_{ij}^{(0)}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[h_{ij}^{(0)}] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[|h_{ij}^{(0)}|^2] = 1/n, \quad \mathbb{E}[(h_{ij}^{(0)})^2] = 0.$$

Deformation A can be deterministic or random (but in this case it is independent of H_0).

Such matrices are important in communication theory, where A is considered as a *signal*, and H_0 as a *noise* matrix. In particular, one is interested in effective numerical solvability of a large system of linear equations $Hx = b$ which is determined by the behaviour of the smallest singular value $\sigma_1(H)$ of H .

The classical bound of Sankar, Spielman and Teng [21] states that the smallest singular value $\sigma_1(H)$ is of order not smaller than n^{-1} (equivalently, the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_1(HH^*)$ of HH^* is of order not smaller than n^{-2}), i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\lambda_1(HH^*) = (\sigma_1(H))^2 \leq x/n^2\right) \lesssim x, \quad x > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

up to logarithmic corrections, uniformly in A . If H_0 is a real Ginibre matrix, then the bound is \sqrt{x} instead of x .

The bound is proved to be optimal for the case of pure complex Ginibre ensemble (i.e. $A = 0$), see [15]. Similar results for matrices with iid entries (i.e. $A = 0$, but H_0 is not necessary Gaussian) are also known (see, e.g., [20], [29] and references therein).

The matrix HH^* can be considered as the so-called deformed Laguerre ensemble, and its limiting eigenvalue distribution is well-known, see [12]. Moreover, according to [2], almost surely

*Institute for Low Temperature Physics, Kharkiv, Ukraine, e-mail: shcherbi@ilt.kharkov.ua

†Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin - Madison, USA, e-mail: tshcherbina@wisc.edu.

no eigenvalues lie outside any finite support neighbourhood of the limiting measure. Therefore, we can have three possible situations: 0 is away of the support of limiting spectral measure, and then $\lambda_1(HH^*)$ has a positive constant lower bound; 0 lies in the bulk of the spectrum, and then we get (1.2); the intermediate regime when 0 is near the edge of the spectrum.

In the bulk regime the lower bounds on $\lambda_1(HH^*)$ with quite general A and even with the non-Gaussian H_0 (with iid elements) have been obtained in [31],[30] (but not uniformly in A); see also [11], [34] beyond the i.i.d. case.

The edge regime is much less studied. However, as it was shown in [8] for the case of the constant diagonal shift of the Ginibre ensemble, i.e. $A = -zI$, the bound (1.2) can be improved in the edge regime $|z| \sim 1$. The aim of the current paper is to extend the result of [8] to the case $A = A_0 - zI$ with a rather general complex deformation A_0 .

Another important source of motivation is that an effective lower tail bound on the least singular value of $H - z$ is an essential ingredient for the study of eigenvalues distribution of large non-Hermitian matrices. In particular, the results of Cipolloni, Erdős, Schröder [8] was used in their subsequent work [9] to remove the four moment matching condition in the classical edge universality result for non-Hermitian random matrices with iid entries by Tao, Vu [32]. Better understanding of higher order correlation functions of the shifted Ginibre ensemble in the bulk is expected to help to do the same thing for the universality in the bulk. In addition, [8] is also an important ingredient for the recent CLT result [10].

To obtain the lower bound on the least singular value of $H - z = A_0 + H_0 - z$, we are going to study the resolvent of

$$Y(z) = (H - z)(H - z)^*. \quad (1.3)$$

More precisely, we are going to obtain the integral representation and the precise asymptotic behaviour of

$$T(z, \varepsilon) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ n^{-1} \text{Tr} (Y(z) + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} \right\}, \quad (1.4)$$

which is related to the average density of states (or one-point correlation function) of $Y(z)$ at 0. For the small ε the main contribution to (1.4) comes from the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1(Y(z))$, hence an upper estimate on (1.4) implies a lower tail bound on $\lambda_1(Y(z))$.

The ensemble $Y(z)$ was extensively studied in [4] by using so-called Brézin-Hikami formulas (see [7]). Theorem 7.1 of [4] allows to represent even higher order correlation function of $Y(z)$ as the determinants of a certain kernel which can be computed as a double integral involving the Bessel kernel. Paper [4] did not analyse the resulting one point function (and, generally, used this integral representation to study the case when $H = A_0 + H_0$ is Gaussian divisible ensemble only), but one can use this integral representation to analyze $T(z, \varepsilon)$.

In this paper, however, we use a different approach because of two main reasons. First, there is no analogue of Brézin-Hikami formulas for the real symmetric case, and, second, the local study of eigenvalues distribution of H requires the analysis of the joint distribution the smallest singular values of $H - z_1, H - z_2, \dots, H - z_k$ for different z_i which is not covered by the determinantal formulas of [4].

Our approach is based on supersymmetry (SUSY) techniques and is expected to be more robust, in particular, it is available in the case of real symmetry. It based on the fact that SUSY techniques allows to rewrite the main spectral characteristics of random matrices (such as density of states, correlation functions, etc.) as an integral containing both complex and Grassmann (anticommuting) variables. The method is widely used in the physics literature (see, e.g., [16],[19]), but the rigorous analysis of such integral representations usually is quite difficult. However, the method was successfully applied to the rigorous study of some random

matrix ensembles, including the most successful applications to the Gaussian random band matrices (see [3], [13], [14], [22] – [28]), as well as to the study of overlaps of non-Hermitian Ginibre eigenvectors [17].

The method of [8] for the case of $A_0 = 0$ also utilizes SUSY. Their technique is based on the superbosonization formula by Littelmann, Sommers and Zirnbauer [18] which significantly reduces the number integration variables: instead of $2n$ real and $2n$ Grassmann variables of integration one can get the integral over the two complex variables only. However, this formula is not applicable in the case of general A_0 , so we need to use another approach based on the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation (see (6.9) – (6.10) below) and Fourier transform (see Section 2 for the discussion).

Now let us formulate the main results of the paper. Consider the matrix

$$H(z) = A_0 + H_0 - z,$$

where H_0 is a complex Ginibre matrix defined in (1.1), and A_0 is some general $n \times n$ matrix with complex entries (which can be random, and in this case is independent of H_0) satisfying the following conditions

Assumptions (A1)-(A4):

(A1) There are some $M, d > 0$ such that

$$\text{Prob}\left\{n^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^n |A_{0,ij}|^2 < M\right\} \geq 1 - n^{-1-d}.$$

(A2) For almost all z normalized counting measure of eigenvalues of the matrix $Y_0(z) := (A_0 - z)(A_0 - z)^*$ converges, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to some limiting measure ν_z ;

(A3) Denote $\sigma_0 = \{z : 0 \in \text{supp } \nu_z\}$, σ_ϵ - ϵ -neighbourhood of σ_0 , and $s_n(A_0)$ - spectrum of A_0 . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\epsilon^{(1)} &= \{\omega : s_n(A_0) \subset \sigma_\epsilon\}, \\ \Omega_\epsilon^{(2)} &= \left\{\omega : \sup_{z \notin \sigma_\epsilon} \left| n^{-1} \text{Tr } Y_0^{-1}(z) - \int \lambda^{-1} d\nu_z(\lambda) \right| \leq C_\epsilon n^{-1/2-d_0}\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $d_0 > 0$ is some fixed number.

Then there is some $d > 0$ such that

$$\text{Prob}\{\Omega_\epsilon^{(1)} \cap \Omega_\epsilon^{(2)}\} \geq 1 - Cn^{-1-d};$$

(A4) There is $d_1 > 0$ such that for some sufficiently small ϵ_0, ϵ if we set

$$\Omega^{(3)} = \left\{\omega : \inf_{z \in \sigma_{\epsilon_0}} n^{-1} \text{Tr } (Y_0(z) + \epsilon^2)^{-1} > 1 + d_1\right\},$$

then

$$\text{Prob}\{\Omega^{(3)}\} > 1 - C'_\epsilon n^{-1-d}$$

Below we give a few examples of A_0 satisfying the assumption (A1)-(A4):

- (1) $A^{(n)}$ is a sequence of matrices whose limiting spectrum consists of a finite number of points $\sigma_0 = \{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k\}$ and we have some large deviation type bound for distribution of $\{\zeta_j^{(n)}\}$.
- (2) $A_0 = A_0^*$ - any classical hermitian model like Wigner matrices, sample covariance matrices, sparse matrices, etc.
- (3) A_0 is a diagonal matrix $A_0 = \text{diag}\{\zeta_j^{(n)}\}$ with $\{\zeta_j^{(n)}\}$ having limiting distribution with a compact finitely connected support σ_0 with a smooth boundary and a non zero limiting density and such that we have some large deviation type bound (like (A3), (A4)).
- (4) A_0 is a Ginibre matrix with i.i.d. entries having all moments. Then, according to [33], its normalized counting eigenvalue distribution converges to a circle law and we have a large deviation type bound (A3), (A4) due to the result of [1].

According to the result of [33], under assumption A1-A2 there exists a non-random probability measure μ on the complex plain which is a limit of the normalized counting measure of eigenvalues of H . In addition, for almost all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a non-random probability measure η_z on \mathbb{R} which is a limit of the normalized counting measure of eigenvalues of $Y(z)$ defined in (1.3) (see [12]). The support D of the limiting measure μ is not so easy to describe, however, according to [6], under an additional assumption

$$(A') \quad D = \text{supp } \mu = \{z : 0 \in \text{supp } \eta_z\},$$

it takes the nice form:

$$D = \{z : \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} n^{-1} \text{Tr} (Y_0(z) + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} \geq 1\}. \quad (1.5)$$

Notice that the result of [6] also includes σ_0 of (A3) to $\text{supp } \mu$, but the assumptions (A3) – (A4) guarantee that $\sigma_0 \in D$ with probability 1, so $\text{supp } \mu$ coincides with (1.5). Remark also that the authors of [6] mentioned that they are not aware of any examples where (A') fails to hold.

Assumption (A4) guarantees that there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that the boundary $\partial D \cap \sigma_\epsilon = \emptyset$. Thus, ∂D is a level line of the smooth function

$$\mathcal{F}(z) := \int \lambda^{-1} d\nu_z(\lambda), \quad (1.6)$$

and hence ∂D is a set of piece-wise smooth closed curves enclosing σ_0 of (A3).

The first theorem gives an asymptotic behavior of $T(z, \varepsilon)$ in the edge regime where z lies inside the spectrum of $A_0 + H_0$, but the distance to the boundary of the spectrum is of order $n^{-1/2}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Given $z \in D$ such that $\text{dist}\{z, \partial D\} = \tilde{\delta}^2 n^{-1/2}$ and ∂D is a smooth curve in some neighbourhood of z , and given $\varepsilon^2 = \tilde{\varepsilon}^2 n^{-3/2}$ with $\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varepsilon} \sim 1$, under assumptions (A1)-(A4) and (A') we have:*

$$T(z, \varepsilon) = n^{1/2} I(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varepsilon}), \quad (1.7)$$

where $I(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varepsilon}) \sim 1$ is represented by some integral, depending on $\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varepsilon}$.

The next theorem gives an asymptotic behavior of $T(z, \varepsilon)$ in the bulk regime where z is well inside the spectrum of $A_0 + H_0$. In this regime the bound (1.2) becomes optimal.

Theorem 1.2. *Under assumptions (A1)-(A4) and (A'), if we choose $z \in D$, $\text{dist}\{z, \partial D\} > \delta^2 \sim 1$ and $\varepsilon = (\delta n)^{-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}$ with $\tilde{\varepsilon} \sim 1$, then*

$$T(z, \varepsilon) = n\tilde{I}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $\tilde{I}(\tilde{\varepsilon}) \sim 1$ is represented by some integral, depending on $\tilde{\varepsilon}$.

The transition regime between Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 is given by

Theorem 1.3. *Given $z \in D$ such that $\text{dist}\{z, \partial D\} = \delta^2$ with $1 \gg \delta^2 \gg n^{-1/2}$ and ∂D is a smooth curve in some neighbourhood of z , and given $\varepsilon = (\delta n)^{-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}$ with $\tilde{\varepsilon} \sim 1$, under assumptions (A1)-(A4) and (A') we have:*

$$T(z, \varepsilon) = (\delta/\varepsilon)\tilde{I}(\tilde{\varepsilon})(1 + O((\delta^4 n)^{-1/2})), \quad (1.9)$$

where $\tilde{I}(\tilde{\varepsilon}) \sim 1$ is represented by some integral, depending on $\tilde{\varepsilon}$.

Since the main contribution to (1.4) comes from the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1(Y(z))$, by a straightforward Markov inequality Theorems 1.1 – 1.3 give the following corollary

Theorem 1.4. *Under assumptions (A1)-(A4) and (A'), uniformly in $z \in D$, $\text{dist}\{z, \partial D\} \geq Cn^{-1/2}$ and $0 < x < C$*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\lambda_1(Y(z)) \leq c(n, z)x\right) \lesssim (1 + |\log x|x), \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$c(n, z) = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}, \frac{1}{n^2 \cdot \text{dist}\{z, \partial D\}} \right\}$$

Notice that conditions (A3) and (A4) allow us to prove Theorems 1.1 -1.3 only for $\omega \in \Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(1)} \cap \Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(2)} \cap \Omega^{(3)}$ since on the complement we can use the trivial bound

$$n^{-1} \text{Tr} (Y(z) + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} \leq \varepsilon^{-2}$$

combined with the inequality

$$\text{Prob}\{(\Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(1)} \cap \Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(2)} \cap \Omega^{(3)})^c\} \leq Cn^{-1-d}.$$

These bounds give us that the contributions of $(\Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(1)} \cap \Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(2)} \cap \Omega^{(3)})^c$ are small in comparison with the main terms of the r.h.s. of (1.7) – (1.9).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we obtain the SUSY integral representation of $T(\varepsilon, z)$ of (1.4). Section 3 – 5 deal with the proof of Theorems 1.1 – 1.3 respectively. The brief outline of SUSY techniques is given in Appendix.

2 Integral representation of $T(z, \varepsilon)$

The aim of this section is to derive an integral representation for $T(z, \varepsilon)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Given (1.4), we have*

$$T(z, \varepsilon) = \frac{n^3}{2\pi^3\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u_1 + \varepsilon) du_1 du_2 \int_L dt_1 dt_2 \int_0^{\infty} r_1 r_2 dr_1 dr_2 \quad (2.1)$$

$$\varphi(u_1, u_2, t_1, t_2) \exp\{nF_1(u_1, u_2)\} \exp\{nF_2(t_1, t_2, r_1, r_2)\}$$

where $L := \mathbb{R} + i\varepsilon_0$

$$F_1(u_1, u_2) = \mathcal{L}_n(u_1^2 + u_2^2) - (u_1 + \varepsilon)^2 - u_2^2 \quad (2.2)$$

$$F_2(t_1, t_2, r_1, r_2) = -\mathcal{L}_n(-t_1 t_2) - (r_1 r_2)^2 - ir_1 r_2 (t_1 + t_2) - \varepsilon(r_1^2 + r_2^2)$$

$$\varphi(u_1, u_2, t_1, t_2) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} G(-t_1 t_2) + \frac{|u|^2}{n} \text{Tr} G(u\bar{u}) G(-t_1 t_2)\right)^2$$

$$+ t_1 t_2 |u|^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} G(u\bar{u}) G(-t_1 t_2)\right)^2$$

$$- \frac{|u|^2 + t_1 t_2}{n^2} \left(\text{Tr} G(u\bar{u}) G^2(-t_1 t_2) - |u|^2 \text{Tr} G^2(u\bar{u}) G^2(-t_1 t_2)\right)$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_n(x) := n^{-1} \log \det(Y_0(z) + x), \quad Y_0(z) := (A_0 - z)(A_0 - z)^* \quad (2.3)$$

$$G(x) := (Y_0(z) + x)^{-1}.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1

The first step is to rewrite $T(z, \varepsilon)$ in the following way:

$$T(z, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon n} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon_1} \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1) \Big|_{\varepsilon_1=\varepsilon}, \quad \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\det(Y(z) + \varepsilon_1^2)}{\det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2)} \right\}.$$

To obtain the integral representation of $\mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1)$ we use the supersymmetric approach (SUSY). For the reader's convenience a brief outline of the techniques is given in Appendix.

Introduce Grassmann variables and complex variables

$$\Psi_l = (\psi_{l1}, \dots, \psi_{ln})^t, \quad \bar{\Psi}_1 = (\bar{\psi}_{11}, \dots, \bar{\psi}_{1n})^t, \quad l = 1, 2, \quad - \text{Grassmann}; \quad (2.4)$$

$$X_l = (x_{l1}, \dots, x_{ln})^t, \quad \bar{X}_l = (\bar{x}_{l1}, \dots, \bar{x}_{ln})^t, \quad l = 1, 2, \quad - \text{complex}.$$

We are going to use the standard linearisation formula:

$$\det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2) = \det \tilde{Y}(z, \varepsilon), \quad \tilde{Y}(z, \varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} -\varepsilon & i(H - z) \\ i(H - z)^* & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since all eigenvalues of $\tilde{Y}(z, \varepsilon)$ have real part $-\varepsilon$, we can apply (6.3), (6.4) to write

$$(\det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2))^{-1} = \int d\bar{X} dX \exp\{\tilde{Y}(z, \varepsilon) X, \bar{X}\},$$

$$\det(Y(z) + \varepsilon_1^2) = \int d\bar{\Psi} d\Psi \exp\{\tilde{Y}(z, \varepsilon_1) \Psi, \bar{\Psi}\},$$

where we denoted $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$, and

$$d\bar{X} dX = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{d\bar{x}_{1j} dx_{1j} d\bar{x}_{2j} dx_{2j}}{\pi^2}, \quad d\bar{\Psi} d\Psi = \prod_{j=1}^n d\bar{\psi}_{1j} d\psi_{1j} d\bar{\psi}_{2j} d\psi_{2j}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1) = \int d\bar{X} dX d\bar{\Psi} d\Psi Z_\varepsilon(X) Z_{\varepsilon_1}(\Psi) E(\Psi, X), \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\varepsilon(X) &= \exp \left\{ -\varepsilon((X_1, \bar{X}_1) + (X_2, \bar{X}_2)) + i((A_0 - z)X_1, \bar{X}_2) + i((A_0 - z)^* X_2, \bar{X}_1) \right\}, \\ Z_{\varepsilon_1}(\Psi) &= \exp \left\{ -\varepsilon_1((\Psi_1, \bar{\Psi}_1) + (\Psi_2, \bar{\Psi}_2)) + i((A_0 - z)\Psi_1, \bar{\Psi}_2) + i((A_0 - z)^* \Psi_2, \bar{\Psi}_1) \right\}, \\ E(\Psi, X) &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \exp \left\{ i \sum_{i,j} (H_{ij}(x_{2j}\bar{x}_{1i} + \psi_{2j}\bar{\psi}_{1i}) + \bar{H}_{ij}(x_{1i}\bar{x}_{2j} + \psi_{1i}\bar{\psi}_{2j})) \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Taking the expectation with respect to H_{ij} we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Psi, X) &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} (x_{2j}\bar{x}_{1i} + \psi_{2j}\bar{\psi}_{1i})(x_{1i}\bar{x}_{2j} + \psi_{1i}\bar{\psi}_{2j}) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{n} (X_1, \bar{X}_1)(X_2, \bar{X}_2) + \frac{1}{n} (\Psi_1, \bar{\Psi}_1)(\Psi_2, \bar{\Psi}_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{n} (\bar{X}_1, \Psi_1)(X_2, \bar{\Psi}_2) - \frac{1}{n} (\bar{X}_2, \Psi_2)(X_1, \bar{\Psi}_1) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, in contrast to the case $A_0 = 0$ considered in [8], for general A_0 the functions $Z_\varepsilon(X)$, $Z_{\varepsilon_1}(\Psi)$ of (2.6) are not the functions of variables $p_{i,j} = (X_i, \bar{X}_j)$, $q_{i,j} = (\Psi_i, \bar{\Psi}_j)$ only, so we cannot apply the superbosonization formula of [18]. Instead, we use the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation (6.9) to get

$$e^{(\Psi_1, \bar{\Psi}_1)(\Psi_2, \bar{\Psi}_2)/n} = \frac{n}{\pi} \int \exp\{u'(\Psi_1, \bar{\Psi}_1) + \bar{u}'(\Psi_2, \bar{\Psi}_2) - nu'\bar{u}'\} du'_1 du'_2, \quad u' = u'_1 + iu'_2. \quad (2.7)$$

Unfortunately, one cannot use the same transformation for $e^{-(X_1, \bar{X}_1)(X_2, \bar{X}_2)/n}$ since the integral with respect to X_1, X_2 becomes divergent. Instead, we can apply the Fourier transform formula. More precisely, set

$$\begin{aligned} &r_1 r_2 \Phi(r_1^2, r_2^2) \\ &= \int_{(X_1, \bar{X}_1)=r_1^2} dX_1 d\bar{X}_1 \int_{(X_2, \bar{X}_2)=r_2^2} dX_2 d\bar{X}_2 \exp\{i((A_0 - z)^* X_1, \bar{X}_2) + i((A_0 - z)X_2, \bar{X}_1) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon(X_1, \bar{X}_1) - \varepsilon(X_2, \bar{X}_2) - \frac{1}{n} (\bar{X}_1, \Psi_1)(X_2, \bar{\Psi}_2) - \frac{1}{n} (\bar{X}_2, \Psi_2)(X_1, \bar{\Psi}_1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that Φ evidently depends also on $\Psi_1, \bar{\Psi}_1, \Psi_2, \bar{\Psi}_2$, but we omit these arguments here in order to simplify formulas. Changing $r_1 \rightarrow nr_1$, $r_2 \rightarrow nr_2$, we obtain from (2.5) and (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1) &= \frac{n^3}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r_1 r_2 \Phi(nr_1^2, nr_2^2) \cdot \exp\{-nr_1^2 r_2^2\} \cdot Z_{\varepsilon_1}(\Psi) \\ &\quad \times \exp\{u'(\Psi_1, \bar{\Psi}_1) + \bar{u}'(\Psi_2, \bar{\Psi}_2) - nu'\bar{u}'\} d\bar{u}' du' d\bar{\Psi} d\Psi dr_1 dr_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Using the inverse Fourier transform formula we get

$$\Phi(nr_1^2, nr_2^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \hat{\Phi}(t_1, t_2) e^{-int_1 r_1^2 - int_2 r_2^2} dt_1 dt_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Phi}(t_1, t_2) &= 4 \int e^{it_1 r_1^2 + it_2 r_2^2} r_1 r_2 \Phi(r_1^2, r_2^2) dr_1 dr_2 \\
&= 4 \int_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} d\bar{X} dX \exp\{(it_1 - \varepsilon)(X_1, \bar{X}_1) + (it_2 - \varepsilon)(X_1, \bar{X}_1) \\
&\quad + i((A_0 - z)^* X_1, \bar{X}_2) + i((A_0 - z)X_2, \bar{X}_1) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{n}(\bar{X}_1, \Psi_1)(X_2, \bar{\Psi}_2) - \frac{1}{n}(\bar{X}_2, \Psi_2)(X_1, \bar{\Psi}_1)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(nr_1^2, nr_2^2) &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int dt_1 dt_2 \int d\bar{X} dX \exp\{i((A_0 - z)^* X_1, \bar{X}_2) + i((A_0 - z)X_2, \bar{X}_1) \\
&\quad + it_1((X_1, \bar{X}_1) - nr_1^2) + it_2((X_2, \bar{X}_2) - nr_2^2) - \varepsilon(X_1, \bar{X}_1) - \varepsilon(X_2, \bar{X}_2) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{n}(\bar{X}_1, \Psi_1)(X_2, \bar{\Psi}_2) - \frac{1}{n}(\bar{X}_2, \Psi_2)(X_1, \bar{\Psi}_1)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us make the change of variables

$$X_1 = r_1 X'_1, \quad X_2 = r_2 X'_2, \quad t_1 = \frac{r_2}{r_1} t'_1, \quad t_2 = \frac{r_1}{r_2} t'_2$$

and denote

$$R = r_1 r_2.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(nr_1^2, nr_2^2) &= \frac{R^{2n}}{\pi^2} \int dt'_1 dt'_2 \int d\bar{X}' dX' \exp\{iR((A_0 - z)^* X'_1, \bar{X}'_2) + iR((A_0 - z)X'_2, \bar{X}'_1) \\
&\quad + it'_1 R((X'_1, \bar{X}'_1) - n) + it'_2 R((X'_2, \bar{X}'_2) - n) - R(\varepsilon \frac{r_1}{r_2} (X'_1, \bar{X}'_1) + \varepsilon \frac{r_2}{r_1} (X'_2, \bar{X}'_2)) \\
&\quad - \frac{R}{n}(\bar{X}'_1, \Psi_1)(X'_2, \bar{\Psi}_2) - \frac{R}{n}(\bar{X}'_2, \Psi_2)(X'_1, \bar{\Psi}_1)\}. \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation (6.10) for the Grassmann variables:

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-R(\bar{X}_1, \Psi_1)(X_2, \bar{\Psi}_2)/n} &= \int d\eta_1 d\chi_1 \exp\{\chi_1(\bar{X}_1, \Psi_1)(R/n)^{1/2} + \eta_1(X_2, \bar{\Psi}_2)(R/n)^{1/2} + \chi_1 \eta_1\}, \\
e^{-R(\bar{X}_2, \Psi_2)(X_1, \bar{\Psi}_1)/n} &= \int d\eta_2 d\chi_2 \exp\{\chi_2(\bar{X}_2, \Psi_2)(R/n)^{1/2} + \eta_2(X_1, \bar{\Psi}_1)(R/n)^{1/2} + \chi_2 \eta_2\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Substituting this and (2.9) to (2.8) we obtain finally

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1) &= \frac{n^3}{\pi^3} \int_0^\infty dr_1 dr_2 R^{2n+1} \int dt'_1 dt'_2 du'_1 du'_2 \int d\bar{X}' dX' d\bar{\Psi} d\Psi \\
&\quad \times \exp\left\{iR((A_0 - z)^* X'_1, \bar{X}'_2) + iR((A_0 - z)X'_2, \bar{X}'_1) - nR^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + it'_1 R((X_1, \bar{X}_1) - n) + it'_2 R((X_2, \bar{X}_2) - n) - R(\varepsilon \frac{r_1}{r_2} (X'_1, \bar{X}'_1) + \varepsilon \frac{r_2}{r_1} (X'_2, \bar{X}'_2))\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times P(X, \Psi, R) \cdot \exp \left\{ u'(\Psi_1, \bar{\Psi}_1) + \bar{u}'(\Psi_2, \bar{\Psi}_2) - n|u'|^2 \right\} \cdot Z_{\varepsilon_1}(\Psi) \\
& = \frac{n^3}{\pi^3} \int_0^\infty dr_1 dr_2 R^{2n+1} e^{-nR^2} e^{-n|u'|^2} \int dt'_1 dt'_2 du'_1 du'_2 d\eta d\chi e^{\chi_1 \eta_1 + \chi_2 \eta_2 - inRt'_1 - inRt'_2} \quad (2.11) \\
& \times \int d\bar{X}' dX' d\bar{\Psi} d\Psi \exp \{ (Q\tilde{\Psi}, \tilde{\Psi}^*) \},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X, \Psi, R) = \int \exp \left\{ \chi_1(\bar{X}'_1, \Psi_1)(R/n)^{1/2} + \eta_1(X'_2, \bar{\Psi}_2)(R/n)^{1/2} + \chi_2(\bar{X}'_2, \Psi_2)(R/n)^{1/2} \right. \\
\left. + \eta_2(X'_1, \bar{\Psi}_1)(R/n)^{1/2} + \chi_1 \eta_1 + \chi_2 \eta_2 \right\} d\eta d\chi \quad (2.12)
\end{aligned}$$

with $d\eta d\chi = d\eta_1 d\chi_1 d\eta_2 d\chi_2$. We also denoted $\tilde{\Psi}, \tilde{\Psi}^*$ super-vectors of the form

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ X'_1 \\ X'_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\Psi}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Psi}_1 \\ \bar{\Psi}_2 \\ \bar{X}'_1 \\ \bar{X}'_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with Grassmann vectors $\Psi_{1,2}, \bar{\Psi}_{1,2}$ and complex vectors $X'_{1,2}, \bar{X}'_{1,2}$. Q is $4n \times 4n$ super-matrix of the form

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} A(u' - \varepsilon_1) & \hat{\chi}(R/n)^{1/2} \\ \hat{\eta}(R/n)^{1/2} & R \cdot B(t_{1\varepsilon}, t_{2\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad t_{1\varepsilon} = t'_1 + i\varepsilon \frac{r_1}{r_2}, \quad t_{2\varepsilon} = t'_2 + i\varepsilon \frac{r_2}{r_1}$$

and $2n \times 2n$ block matrices A, B have complex coefficients, while $\hat{\chi}, \hat{\eta}$ are diagonal matrix with Grassmann coefficients $\chi_1, \chi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
A(u) &= \begin{pmatrix} uI_n & i(A_0 - z) \\ i(A_0 - z)^* & \bar{u}I_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad B(t_1, t_2) = \begin{pmatrix} it_1 I_n & i(A_0 - z) \\ i(A_0 - z)^* & it_2 I_n \end{pmatrix}, \\
\hat{\chi} &= \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 I_n & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_2 I_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_2 I_n & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_1 I_n \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\det A &= \det((A_0 - z)(A_0 - z)^* + |u|^2), \\
\det B &= \det((A_0 - z)(A_0 - z)^* - t_1 t_2).
\end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Notice that to simplify formulas here and below we drop the variables dependence in the notations $A(u), B(t_1, t_2)$.

Now we can integrate with respect to $d\bar{X}' dX' d\bar{\Psi} d\Psi$ using (6.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
\int dX' d\bar{X}' d\Psi d\bar{\Psi} \exp \{ (Q\tilde{\Psi}, \tilde{\Psi}^*) \} &= \text{Sdet}^{-1} Q = \frac{\det A}{\det RB} \text{Sdet}^{-1}(1 + \tilde{Q}) \\
&= \frac{\det A}{\det(RB)} \exp \{ -\text{Str} \log(1 + \tilde{Q}) \} = \frac{\det A}{R^{2n} \det B} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{Str} \tilde{Q}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \text{Str} \tilde{Q}^4 \right\},
\end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$\tilde{Q} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^{-1} \hat{\chi}(R/n)^{1/2} \\ -R^{-1} B^{-1} \hat{\eta}(R/n)^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{Q}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} -A^{-1} \hat{\chi} B^{-1} \hat{\eta} & 0 \\ 0 & -B^{-1} \hat{\eta} A^{-1} \hat{\chi} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we used that

$$\text{Str } \tilde{Q} = \text{Str } \tilde{Q}^3 = 0, \quad \tilde{Q}^p = 0, \quad p \geq 5.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int d\eta d\chi e^{\chi_1 \eta_1 + \chi_2 \eta_2} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\text{Str } \tilde{Q}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\text{Str } \tilde{Q}^4\right\} \\ &= \int d\eta d\chi e^{\chi_1 \eta_1 + \chi_2 \eta_2} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{n}\text{Tr } A^{-1} \hat{\chi} B^{-1} \hat{\eta} - \frac{1}{2n^2}\text{Tr } (A^{-1} \hat{\chi} B^{-1} \hat{\eta})^2\right\} \\ &= \int d\eta d\chi e^{\chi_1 \eta_1 + \chi_2 \eta_2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\text{Tr } A^{-1} \hat{\chi} B^{-1} \hat{\eta} + \frac{1}{2n^2}(\text{Tr } A^{-1} \hat{\chi} B^{-1} \hat{\eta})^2 - \frac{1}{2n^2}\text{Tr } (A^{-1} \hat{\chi} B^{-1} \hat{\eta})^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$A^{-1} \hat{\chi} B^{-1} \hat{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^{-1} B_{11}^{-1} \chi_1 \eta_2 + A_{12}^{-1} B_{21}^{-1} \chi_2 \eta_2 & A_{11}^{-1} B_{12}^{-1} \chi_1 \eta_2 + A_{12}^{-1} B_{22}^{-1} \chi_2 \eta_2 \\ A_{21}^{-1} B_{11}^{-1} \chi_1 \eta_1 + A_{22}^{-1} B_{21}^{-1} \chi_2 \eta_1 & A_{21}^{-1} B_{12}^{-1} \chi_1 \eta_1 + A_{22}^{-1} B_{22}^{-1} \chi_2 \eta_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int d\eta d\chi e^{\chi_1 \eta_1 + \chi_2 \eta_2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \chi_2 \eta_2 \text{Tr } A_{12}^{-1} B_{21}^{-1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \chi_1 \eta_1 \text{Tr } A_{21}^{-1} B_{12}^{-1}\right) \quad (2.15) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n^2} \chi_1 \eta_1 \chi_2 \eta_2 (\text{Tr } A_{11}^{-1} B_{11}^{-1} \text{Tr } A_{22}^{-1} B_{22}^{-1} + \text{Tr } A_{12}^{-1} B_{22}^{-1} A_{21}^{-1} B_{11}^{-1} - \text{Tr } A_{11}^{-1} B_{22}^{-1} A_{22}^{-1} B_{21}^{-1}) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr } A_{12}^{-1} B_{21}^{-1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr } A_{21}^{-1} B_{12}^{-1}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Tr } A_{11}^{-1} B_{11}^{-1} \text{Tr } A_{22}^{-1} B_{22}^{-1} - \frac{1}{n^2} (\text{Tr } A_{12}^{-1} B_{22}^{-1} A_{21}^{-1} B_{11}^{-1} - \text{Tr } A_{11}^{-1} B_{12}^{-1} A_{22}^{-1} B_{21}^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the standard Schur inversion formula for block matrices, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}G(u\bar{u}) & -iG(u\bar{u})(A_0 - z) \\ -i(A_0 - z)^*G(u\bar{u}) & u\tilde{G}(u\bar{u}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.16) \\ B^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} it_2G(-t_1t_2) & -iG(-t_1t_2)(A_0 - z) \\ -i(A_0 - z)^*G(-t_1t_2) & it_1\tilde{G}(-t_1t_2) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where G is defined in (2.3), and

$$\tilde{G}(x) := ((A_0 - z)^*(A_0 - z) + x)^{-1}. \quad (2.17)$$

By (2.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } A_{21}^{-1} B_{12}^{-1} &= \text{Tr } A_{12}^{-1} B_{21}^{-1} = -\text{Tr } G(u\bar{u})(A_0 - z)(A_0 - z)^*G(-t_1t_2) \\ &= -\text{Tr } G(-t_1t_2) + |u|^2 \text{Tr } G(u\bar{u})G(-t_1t_2); \\ \text{Tr } A_{11}^{-1} B_{11}^{-1} \text{Tr } A_{22}^{-1} B_{22}^{-1} &= -t_1t_2|u|^2 (\text{Tr } G(u\bar{u})G(-t_1t_2))^2; \\ \text{Tr } A_{12}^{-1} B_{22}^{-1} A_{21}^{-1} B_{11}^{-1} &= t_1t_2 \text{Tr } G(u\bar{u})(A_0 - z)\tilde{G}(-t_1t_2)(A_0 - z)^*G(u\bar{u})G(-t_1t_2) \\ &= t_1t_2 \text{Tr } G^2(-t_1t_2)(A_0 - z)(A_0 - z)^*G^2(u\bar{u}) \\ &= t_1t_2 \text{Tr } G^2(-t_1t_2)G(u\bar{u}) - t_1t_2|u|^2 \text{Tr } G^2(-t_1t_2)G^2(u\bar{u}); \\ \text{Tr } A_{11}^{-1} B_{12}^{-1} A_{22}^{-1} B_{21}^{-1} &= -|u|^2 \text{Tr } G(u\bar{u})G(-t_1t_2)(A_0 - z)\tilde{G}(u\bar{u})(A_0 - z)^*G(-t_1t_2) \\ &= -|u|^2 \text{Tr } G(u\bar{u})G^2(-t_1t_2) + |u|^4 \text{Tr } G^2(u\bar{u})G^2(-t_1t_2). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the relation

$$\tilde{G}(x)(A_0 - z)^* = (A_0 - z)^*G(x).$$

Substituting this to (2.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I = & \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\text{Tr} G(-t_1 t_2) + \frac{|u|^2}{n}\text{Tr} G(u\bar{u})G(-t_1 t_2)\right)^2 + t_1 t_2 |u|^2 \left(\frac{1}{n}\text{Tr} G(u\bar{u})G(-t_1 t_2)\right)^2 \\ & - \frac{|u|^2 + t_1 t_2}{n^2} \left(\text{Tr} G(u\bar{u})G^2(-t_1 t_2) - |u|^2 \text{Tr} G^2(u\bar{u})G^2(-t_1 t_2)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Finally, let us change the variables in the integral with respect to u'_1, u'_2, t'_1, t'_2 of (2.11) as

$$u = u' - \varepsilon_1, \quad t_1 = t'_1 + i\varepsilon \frac{r_1}{r_2}, \quad t_2 = t'_2 + i\varepsilon \frac{r_2}{r_1}.$$

Notice, that in the case of t_1, t_2 this means that we move integration from the lines $t'_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}$ to the lines $t_1 \in L_1 = \mathbb{R} + i\varepsilon_1 \frac{r_1}{r_2}$, $t_2 \in L_2 = \mathbb{R} + i\varepsilon_1 \frac{r_2}{r_1}$. This can be done since the function $\mathcal{L}_n(-t_1 t_2)$ is analytic in t_1, t_2 , if $\Im t_1 > 0$, $\Im t_2 > 0$. Moving the integration with respect to t_1, t_2 to L , gathering together (2.11), (2.13), (2.14), (2.18), and differentiating with respect to ε , we obtain (2.1).

□

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Now we perform an asymptotic analysis of (2.1) in the regime $\text{dist}\{z, \partial D\} \sim n^{-1/2}$, $\varepsilon \sim n^{-3/4}$ (recall that we consider only $\omega \in \Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(1)} \cap \Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(2)} \cap \Omega^{(3)}$ (see conditions (A3) – (A4)).

Let us change the variables in (2.1):

$$(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow (s, t), \quad s = \frac{t_1 - t_2}{2}, \quad t = \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in L, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$(r_1, r_2) \rightarrow (v, R), \quad v = r_1 - r_2, \quad R = r_1 r_2 \quad (3.2)$$

Jacobian of the first change is $J_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, and for the second change it is

$$J_2 = \frac{1}{r_1 + r_2} = \frac{1}{(v^2 + 4R)^{1/2}},$$

so (2.1) in new variables takes form

$$\begin{aligned} T(z, \varepsilon) = & \frac{n^3}{4\pi^3 \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u_1 + \varepsilon) du_1 du_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{ds dv}{(v^2 + 4R)^{1/2}} \int_L dt \int_0^{\infty} R dR \\ & \times \varphi(u_1, u_2, t + s, t - s) \exp\{nF_1(u_1, u_2)\} \exp\{n\tilde{F}_2(s, t, v, R)\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\tilde{F}_2(s, t, v, R) = -\mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) - (R + it + \varepsilon)^2 - (t - i\varepsilon)^2 - \varepsilon v^2. \quad (3.4)$$

Let us move the integration with respect to t to the contour

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L_1 \cup L'_1 \cup L_2 \cup L'_2, \\ L_{1,2} &= \{t = iu_* \pm e^{\pm i\pi/4}\tau, \tau \in [0, C_0]\}, \\ L'_{1,2} &= \{t = iu_* \pm e^{\pm i\pi/4}C_0 \pm \tau, \tau > 0\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where C_0 is sufficiently big to provide the inequality

$$\log C_0^2 > \mathcal{L}(0) + 2, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$u_* = n^{-1/4}. \tag{3.7}$$

To show the possibility of such contour shift, we notice that for any fixed s the function $\mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2)$ is analytic in t in the domain $\{t : \Im t > 0\}$; moreover, for any fixed s

$$-\Re \mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) < -\frac{1}{2} \log(|t|^2 + 1), \quad |t| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Continuing the contours deformation, we deform the R -contour for any fixed $t \in L$ as follows (this deformation is possible in view of (3.4)):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(t) &= \mathcal{R}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_2(t), \\ \mathcal{R}_1(t) &= \{R : R = e^{i\theta(t)}\rho, 0 \leq \rho \leq |it + \varepsilon|\}, \quad \theta(t) = \arg(-it - \varepsilon) \\ \mathcal{R}_2(t) &= \{R : R = -it - \varepsilon + \rho, \rho > 0\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Below we will need the following straightforward inequalities:

$$-\Re(R + it + \varepsilon)^2 \leq \begin{cases} -\cos(2\theta(t)) \cdot (|it + \varepsilon| - \rho)^2 \leq 0, & t \in L_1 \cup L_2, \quad R \in \mathcal{R}_1(t), \\ -\rho^2 < 0, & t \in L_1 \cup L_2, \quad R \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), \\ \Re(t - i\varepsilon)^2 + O(u_*), & t \in L'_1 \cup L'_2, \quad R \in \mathcal{R}_1(t), \\ -\rho^2 < 0, & t \in L'_1 \cup L'_2, \quad R \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

Notice that the term $O(u_*)$ appears for only for $0 \leq \tau \leq u_*$ in (3.5). For $t \in L'_1 \cup L'_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\Re \mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) &= -\Re \mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - (\pm C_0 e^{\pm i\pi/4} \pm \tau)^2) + O(u_*) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \log \left((\lambda + s^2 - \sqrt{2}C_0\tau - \tau^2)^2 + (C_0^2 + \sqrt{2}C_0\tau)^2 \right) d\nu_{n,z}(\lambda) + O(u_*) \\ &\leq -\log C_0^2 + O(u_*) \leq -(\mathcal{L}_n(0) + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Here and below we denote by $\nu_{n,z}(\lambda)$ an empirical spectral measure of $(A_0 - z)(A_0 - z)^*$.

We are going to integrate first with respect to s , then with respect to R , and then with respect to u_1, u_2, t, v .

To integrate with respect to s , observe that for $t \in L_1 \cup L_2$

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{F}_2(s, t, v, R) \right|_{s=0} = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d(s^2)} \Re \tilde{F}_2(s, t, v, R) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d(s^2)} \int \log \left((\lambda + s^2 + \sqrt{2}u_*\tau + u_*^2)^2 + (\tau^2 + \sqrt{2}u_*\tau)^2 \right) d\nu_{n,z}(\lambda) < 0.$$

For $t \in L'_1 \cup L'_2$ under condition (3.6) for C_0 we have by (3.9) and (3.10)

$$n\Re\tilde{F}_2(s, t, v, R) < -(n-2)(\mathcal{L}_n(0) + 1) - \int \log \left((\lambda + s^2 - \sqrt{2}C_0\tau - \tau^2)^2 + C_0^4 \right) d\nu_{n,z}(\lambda).$$

Then a saddle-point method with respect to s gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u_1, u_2, t + s, t - s) e^{n\tilde{F}_2(s, t, v, R)} &\rightarrow \sqrt{\pi/(nF_s)} \varphi(u_1, u_2, t, t) e^{n\tilde{F}_2(0, t, v, R)} (1 + O(n^{-1})), \\ F_s &:= n^{-1} \text{Tr} G(-t^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Notice $F_s \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ (see (2.3), (1.5) and recall $\text{dist}\{z, \partial D\} = \tilde{\delta}^2 n^{-1/2}$)

To integrate with respect to R , we would like to restrict the integration domain to

$$|t| \leq n^{-1/4} \log n. \quad (3.12)$$

To this end, observe that for any $t \in L_1 \cup L_2$

$$\begin{aligned} (it + \varepsilon)^2 &= -(t - i\varepsilon)^2 = -(\pm\tau e^{\pm i\pi/4} + i(u_* - \varepsilon))^2 \\ &= \mp i(\tau^2 + \sqrt{2}(u_* - \varepsilon)) + (u_* - \varepsilon)^2 + \sqrt{2}(u_* - \varepsilon)\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Hence, using also (3.9), for $t \in L_1 \cup L_2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\tilde{F}_2(0, t, v, R) &< \Re \left(-\mathcal{L}_n(-t^2) - (t - i\varepsilon)^2 \right) - \varepsilon v^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \log \left((\lambda + \sqrt{2}u_*\tau + u_*^2)^2 + (\tau^2 + \sqrt{2}u_*\tau)^2 \right) d\nu_{n,z}(\lambda) \\ &\quad + ((u_* - \varepsilon)^2 + (u_* - \varepsilon)\sqrt{2}\tau) - \varepsilon v^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Set

$$\tilde{F}(t) := -\mathcal{L}_n(-t^2) - (t - i\varepsilon)^2. \quad (3.15)$$

Using (3.14) it is straightforward to show that

$$\Re\tilde{F}'(t) = 0 \Rightarrow |t|^3 < C u_* \Leftrightarrow |t| < C_1 n^{-1/12}. \quad (3.16)$$

Let us expand $\tilde{F}(t)$ for $|t| < C_1 n^{-1/12}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{F}(t) = -\mathcal{L}(0) + \frac{t^2}{n} \text{Tr} G(0) + \frac{t^4}{2n} \text{Tr} G^2(0) - (t - i\varepsilon)^2 + O(t^6).$$

Denote by z_* the nearest to z point of the boundary ∂D . Since we assume that ∂D is smooth near z we have

$$z - z_* = |z - z_*| |\nabla \mathcal{F}(z_*)|^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{F}(z_*) + O(\delta^4), \quad |\nabla \mathcal{F}(z_*)| \neq 0.$$

with \mathcal{F} defined in (1.6). Then, taking into account that we consider $\omega \in \Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(2)}$ (see assumption (A3)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-1} \text{Tr} G(0) &= n^{-1} \text{Tr} Y_0^{-1}(z_*) + n^{-1} \left(\text{Tr} Y_0^{-1}(z) - \text{Tr} Y_0^{-1}(z_*) \right) \\ &= 1 + O(n^{-1/2-d_0}) + n^{-1/2} \tilde{\delta}^2 k + O(n^{-1}), \\ k &= |\nabla \mathcal{F}(z_*)| = \left| n^{-1} \text{Tr} G^2(0) (A_0 - z) \right| (1 + o(1)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where we used also the assumption of Theorem 1.1 that $|z - z_*| = n^{-1/2}\tilde{\delta}^2$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{F}(t) &= -\mathcal{L}(0) + t^2(1 + n^{-1/2}k\tilde{\delta}^2) - (t - i\varepsilon)^2 + \frac{c_2 t^4}{2} + O(t^2 n^{-1}) + O(t^6) \\ &= -\mathcal{L}(0) + \frac{c_2 t^4}{2} + t^2 k \tilde{\delta}^2 n^{-1/2} (1 + o(1)) + 2it\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 + O(t^6) + O(n^{-1}t^2),\end{aligned}\quad (3.18)$$

where

$$c_2 = n^{-1} \text{Tr } G^2(0). \quad (3.19)$$

Thus for $t \in L_1 \cup L_2$ and $|t| \leq C_1 n^{-1/12}$

$$\begin{aligned}\Re \tilde{F}(t) + \mathcal{L}(0) &\leq \frac{c_2}{2} (u_*^4 + 2\sqrt{2}u_*^3\tau - 2\sqrt{2}u_*\tau^3 - \tau^4) - k\tilde{\delta}^2 n^{-1/2} (u_*^2 + \sqrt{2}u_*\tau) \\ &\quad + O(n^{-1/6})\tau^4 + O(n^{-3/4})\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Replacing $\tau \rightarrow n^{-1/4}\tilde{\tau}$, we get

$$\Re \tilde{F}(\tau) + \mathcal{L}(0) \leq n^{-1} \left(-\frac{c_2}{3}\tilde{\tau}^4 + q_3\tilde{\tau}^3 + q_2\tilde{\tau}^3 + q_1\tilde{\tau} + q_0 \right)$$

with some bounded $q_{0,1,2,3}$. By (3.16) $\tilde{F}(t)$ decays for $|\tau| > C_1 n^{-1/12}$ and for $n^{-1/4} \log n \leq |\tau| \leq C_1 n^{-1/12}$ the above relation implies

$$\Re \tilde{F}(t) \leq -\mathcal{L}(0) - \frac{c_2}{4}\tau^4 < -\mathcal{L}(0) - Cn^{-1} \log^4 n, \quad t \in L_1 \cup L_2.$$

For $t \in L'_1 \cup L'_2$ with C_0 satisfying (3.6) we have by (3.9) and (3.10)

$$\Re \tilde{F}_2(0, t, v, R) < -(\mathcal{L}_n(0) + 1).$$

Thus finally we obtain the inequality (see (3.10))

$$n\Re \tilde{F}_2(0, \tau, v, R) - n\tilde{F}_2(0, 0, 0, 0) \leq -C \log^4 n - \log(C_0^2 + \tau C_0)^2 - R^2 - 2itR - \varepsilon(2R + v^2).$$

Thus we conclude that we can restrict the integration with respect to t to the domain (3.12).

Similarly, if we change $u_1 = |u| \cos \phi$, $u_2 = |u| \sin \phi$, $du_1 du_2 = |u| d|u| d\phi$, then we can restrict the integration with respect to $|u|$ by $|u| \leq n^{-1/4} \log n$.

Since the R -dependent part of \tilde{F}_2 of (3.4) for any $|t| < n^{-1/4} \log n$ has the form

$$\tilde{F}_R = -(R + it + \varepsilon)^2,$$

we can integrate over R . Notice that for $|t| < n^{-1/4} \log n$ and $R \in \mathcal{R}_1(t)$

$$\tilde{F}_R = -\cos(2\theta(t)) \cdot (\rho - |it + \varepsilon|)^2$$

(see (3.8) for the parametrization of $\mathcal{R}_1(t)$). In addition, since $-it - \varepsilon = u_* - \varepsilon \mp i\tau e^{\pm i\pi/4}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\cos(2\theta(t)) &= 1 - 2\sin^2 \theta(t) = 1 - \frac{\tau^2}{\tau^2 + (u_* - \varepsilon)^2 + \sqrt{2}(u_* - \varepsilon)\tau} \\ &\geq \frac{(u_* - \varepsilon)}{(\tau^2 + (u_* - \varepsilon)^2 + \sqrt{2}(u_* - \varepsilon)\tau)^{1/2}} = \frac{(u_* - \varepsilon)}{|it + \varepsilon|} > \frac{C}{\log n}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have (3.7) and (3.12). Moreover, for $R \in \mathcal{R}_2(t)$

$$\tilde{F}_R = -\rho^2.$$

Hence, the main contribution to the R -integral is given by the the saddle-point $R = -it - \varepsilon$, and we obtain after integration with respect to R :

$$\frac{R e^{n\tilde{F}(t) - n(R+it+\varepsilon)^2 - n\varepsilon v^2 + n\varepsilon^2}}{(v^2 + 4R)^{1/2}} \rightarrow \sqrt{\pi/n} \frac{-(it + \varepsilon)}{(v^2 - 4(it + \varepsilon))^2} e^{n\tilde{F}(t) - n\varepsilon v^2} (1 + O(n^{-1/4})) \quad (3.20)$$

with $\tilde{F}(t)$ of (3.15).

Relations (3.17), (3.18), and (3.12) yield

$$\tilde{F}(t) - \varepsilon v^2 = -\mathcal{L}(0) + \frac{c_2 t^4}{2} + t^2 n^{-1/2} k \tilde{\delta}^2 + 2it\varepsilon - \varepsilon v^2 + O(n^{-3/2} \log^6 n)$$

Moreover, for small x

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-1} \text{Tr } G(x) &= n^{-1} \text{Tr } G(0) - x n^{-1} \text{Tr } G^2(0) + O(x^2), \\ n^{-1} \text{Tr } G^2(x) &= n^{-1} \text{Tr } G^2(0) + O(x) =: c_2 + O(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

which combined with (3.17) and (3.12) implies for φ of (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(|u| \cos \phi, |u| \sin \phi, t, t) &= (-n^{-1/2} k \tilde{\delta}^2 + (-t^2 + |u|^2) c_2)^2 + t^2 |u|^2 c_2^2 \\ &\quad + O(|t|^6 + |u|^6) + O(n^{-1} (|t|^2 + |u|^2)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

with c_2 of (3.19).

Now let us make the change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} |u| &= n^{-1/4} \tilde{u}, \\ t &= n^{-1/4} \tilde{t}, \quad \tilde{t} \in \tilde{L}_1 \cup \tilde{L}_2, \quad \tilde{L}_{1,2} = \{z : z = \pm \tilde{\tau} e^{\pm i\pi/4}, \tilde{\tau} > 0\}, \\ v^2 &= (-in^{-1/4} \tilde{t} - \varepsilon) \tilde{v}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the sign \pm corresponds to $\tilde{t} \in \tilde{L}_1$ and $\tilde{t} \in \tilde{L}_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u_1, u_2, t_1, t_2) &\rightarrow n^{-1} (-k \tilde{\delta}^2 + (\tilde{u}^2 - \tilde{t}^2) c_2)^2 + \tilde{t}^2 \tilde{u}^2 c_2^2 + O(n^{-3/2}), \\ nF_1(u_1, u_2) &\rightarrow n\mathcal{L}(0) + k \tilde{\delta}^2 \tilde{u}^2 - \frac{c_2}{2} \tilde{u}^4 + 2\tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{u} \cos \theta + O(n^{-1/2}), \\ n\tilde{F}(t) - \varepsilon v^2 &\rightarrow -n\mathcal{L}(0) + k \tilde{\delta}^2 \tilde{t}^2 + \frac{c_2}{2} \tilde{t}^4 + 2i\tilde{t} \tilde{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{v}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{n^{1/2}}{4\pi^2 \tilde{\varepsilon}} \sum_{\pm} \left(\mp \int_0^\infty \tilde{u}^2 e^{-c_2 \tilde{u}^4/2 + k \tilde{\delta}^2 \tilde{u}^2} d\tilde{u} \int_0^\infty \tilde{\tau} e^{-c_2 \tilde{\tau}^4/2 \pm ik \tilde{\delta}^2 \tilde{\tau}^2 + 2i\tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{\tau} e^{\pm i\pi/4}} d\tilde{\tau} \right. \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{2\pi} \cos \theta e^{-\tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{u} \cos \theta} d\theta \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{e^{-e^{\mp i\pi/4} \tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{\tau} \tilde{v}^2} dv}{(\tilde{v}^2 + 4)^{1/2}} \\ &\quad \left. \times \left((-k \tilde{\delta}^2 + (\tilde{u}^2 \mp i \tilde{\tau}^2) c_2)^2 \pm i \tilde{\tau}^2 \tilde{u}^2 c_2^2 + O(n^{-3/2}) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Here we perform an asymptotic analysis of $T(z, \varepsilon)$ of (2.1) in the regime $\text{dist}\{z, \partial D\} \sim 1$, $\varepsilon \sim n^{-1}$ corresponding to (1.2) bound. Again, we consider only $\omega \in \Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(1)} \cap \Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(2)} \cap \Omega^{(3)}$ (see conditions (A3) – (A4)).

We again change the variables as in (3.1) and (3.2) and obtain (3.3).

Denote by u_* a positive solution of the equation

$$n^{-1} \text{Tr} G(u_*^2) = 1 \quad (4.1)$$

with $G(x)$ defined in (2.3).

Set

$$\tilde{F}(t) = -\mathcal{L}_n(-t^2) - t^2. \quad (4.2)$$

Lemma 4.1. *One can chose a sufficiently small $0 < \kappa < u_*$ and sufficiently big C_0 satisfying (3.6), such that there exists a contour $L_1 \subset \{z : \Re z > 0 \wedge \arg z \geq \pi/4\}$ and a constant $\sigma > 0$ depending on L_1 with the following conditions:*

$$iu_* + \kappa \in L_1, \quad iC_0 + C_0 \in L_1, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\Re \tilde{F}(t) \leq \Re \tilde{F}(iu_*) - \sigma, \quad t \in L_1. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Since it is easy to check that $x = 0$ is a minimum point of $\Re \tilde{F}(iu_* + x)$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$), we conclude that $\Re \tilde{F}''(iu_*) < 0$ and we can choose κ sufficiently small to provide that $\Re \tilde{F}$ decreases on the segment $[iu_*, iu_* + \kappa]$ and hence the segment is situated between two level lines of $\Re \tilde{F}$: ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 which intersect in iu_* (ℓ_1 is an upper level line). Here and below we denote $[a, b]$ the segment with edge points $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Notice that since $t = u_*$ is a maximum point of $\Re \tilde{F}(it)$, ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 cannot intersect the imaginary axis at $it \neq iu_*$. Let us also mention that ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 cannot form loops or intersect with each other in the upper half-plane since $\Re \tilde{F}$ is a harmonic function.

Recall that $\nu_{n,z}$ is the normalized counting measure of $(A_0 - z)(A_0 - z)^*$. Taking into account that

$$\Re \tilde{F}(\tau e^{i\pi/4}) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \log(\lambda^2 + \tau^4) d\nu_{n,z}(\lambda) \quad (4.5)$$

decreases, as τ grows, it suffices to prove that ℓ_2 intersects the ray $\ell_3 = \{z = te^{i\pi/4}\}$ at some point $t_* e^{i\pi/4}$. Indeed, in this case, since ℓ_1 cannot intersect ℓ_3 and ℓ_2 cannot intersect ℓ_3 twice, we can choose any curve, satisfying (4.3), lying between ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , and above or on ℓ_3 , and such that the distances between L_1 and ℓ_1 and L_1 and ℓ_2 are not zero.

Hence it suffices to prove that ℓ_2 intersects ℓ_3 . Choose λ_* such that

$$\lambda_*^2 \geq \sup\{\lambda \in \text{supp } \nu_{n,z}\}.$$

Consider $\ell_4 = \{i\lambda_* + \tau, \tau > 0\}$. Then since

$$\frac{d}{d(\tau^2)} \Re \tilde{F}(i\lambda_* + \tau) = - \int \frac{\lambda_*^2 + \tau^2 - \lambda}{(\lambda_*^2 + \lambda - \tau^2)^2 + 4\lambda_*^2 \tau^2} d\nu_{n,z}(\lambda) - 1 \leq -1,$$

we conclude that $\Re \tilde{F}$ decreases at ℓ_4 . Since ℓ_1 cannot intersect ℓ_3 , it must intersect ℓ_4 . But then ℓ_2 cannot intersect ℓ_4 , and therefore it must intersect ℓ_3 . \square

Now we take L_1 given by Lemma 4.1, take L_2 to be symmetric to L_1 with respect to the imaginary axis, and consider the contour

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L_0 \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L'_1 \cup L'_2, \\ L_0 &= [(iu_* - \kappa), (iu_* + \kappa)], \quad L'_{1,2} = \{(iC_0 \pm C_0) \pm \tau, \tau > 0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

As in the case of Theorem 1.1, we move the integration with respect to t from the real line to L .

Then for any fixed $t \in L$ we move the contour of integration with respect to R to $\mathcal{R}(t)$ of (3.8) with $\varepsilon = 0$. Notice that inequalities (3.9) with $\varepsilon = 0$ are still valid for $L_1 \cup L_2$ and for $t \in L'_1 \cup L'_2$ (without $O(u_*)$ term), and the inequality (3.10) should be replaced with

$$-\Re \mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) < -(\mathcal{L}_n(u_*^2) + 1), \quad t \in L'_1 \cup L'_2. \quad (4.7)$$

To restrict the integration with respect to s , notice that for $t \in L_0$

$$-\Re \mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - (iu_* + \tau)^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \log((\lambda + s^2 + u_*^2 - \tau^2)^2 + 4u_*^2\tau^2) d\nu_{n,z}(\lambda),$$

and thus, since $|\tau| \leq \kappa < u_*$, for \tilde{F}_2 from (3.4) we get

$$\frac{d}{d(s^2)} \Re \tilde{F}_2 = - \int \frac{\lambda + s^2 + u_*^2 - \tau^2}{(\lambda + s^2 + u_*^2 - \tau^2)^2 + 4u_*^2\tau^2} d\nu_{n,z}(\lambda) < 0,$$

Moreover, for $t \in L_1 \cup L_2$ we have

$$-\Re \mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - \Re(t^2) - i\Im(t^2)) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \log((\lambda + s^2 - \Re(t^2))^2 + \Im^2(t^2)) d\nu_{n,z}(\lambda), \quad (4.8)$$

and since $\Re(t^2) \leq 0$ (recall that by Lemma 4.1 L_1 is above ℓ_3), one can see that $\Re \tilde{F}$ is decreasing function of s^2 , when $t \in L_1 \cup L_2$.

Combining the above argument with (4.7) we conclude that there is $C > 0$ such that

$$-n \Re \mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) \leq -n \mathcal{L}_n(-t^2) - C \log^2 n, \quad |s| > n^{-1/2} \log n,$$

and thus we can restrict the integration with respect to s by

$$|s| \leq n^{-1/2} \log n. \quad (4.9)$$

Now let us show that we can also restrict the integration with respect to t by

$$|t - iu_*| \leq (u_*^2 n)^{-1/2} \log n. \quad (4.10)$$

We would like to notice that if $\delta \sim 1$ and $u_* \sim 1$, then $(nu_*^2)^{-1/2}$ differs from $n^{-1/2}$ only with a constant. But in the setting of Theorem 1.3, when $u_* \sim \delta \ll 1$ the factor $(nu_*^2)^{-1/2}$ gives the correct scaling, so this normalization is a preparation to the proof of Theorem 1.3.

It follows from (3.9) and Lemma 4.1 that for $t \in L_0 \cup L_1 \cup L_2$, $|t - iu_*| > (u_*^2 n)^{-1/2} \log n$ and s satisfying (4.9) we have

$$\Re \tilde{F}_2(s, t, R, v) \leq \Re \tilde{F}(t) - Cs^2 \leq \Re \tilde{F}(iu_*) - Cn^{-1} \log^2 n.$$

Thus, we prove that the integration with respect to t can be restricted to (4.10). Similarly, if we change $u_1 = |u| \cos \phi$, $u_2 = |u| \sin \phi$, $du_1 du_2 = |u| d|u| d\phi$, then we can restrict the integration with respect to $|u|$ to

$$\||u| - u_*| \leq (u_*^2 n)^{-1/2} \log n. \quad (4.11)$$

In addition, using that φ of (2.2) does not depend on R , we can repeat the argument of Section 3 in order to integrate with respect to R (recall ε is of order $1/n$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{R e^{-n(R+it+\varepsilon)^2 + n\varepsilon^2}}{(v^2 + 4R)^{1/2}} dR \\ &= \sqrt{\pi/n} \frac{-(it + \varepsilon)}{(v^2 - 4(it + \varepsilon))^2} (1 + O(n^{-1})) = \sqrt{\pi/n} \frac{-it}{(v^2 - 4it)^2} (1 + O(n^{-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Now, denoting Ω_n the set of $|u|, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in iu_* + \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (4.9), (3.12), and (4.11), and changing the variable $v^2 = (-4it)\tilde{v}^2$, we can write (2.1) in the form

$$\varepsilon T(z, \varepsilon) = \langle |u| \cos \phi \rangle (1 + O(n^{-1})), \quad (4.13)$$

where we introduce the averaging

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{s}, \phi, \tilde{v}) \rangle &= \frac{n^{5/2}}{4\pi^{5/2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\tilde{v}}{(\tilde{v}^2 + 1)^{1/2}} \int_{t,s,|u| \in \Omega_n} d|u| dt ds |u| (-it) f(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{s}, \phi, \tilde{v}) \\ &\quad \times \tilde{\varphi}(|u|, t, s) \cdot e^{nh(|u|) - nh(-it)} e^{-ns^2 F_s(t)} e^{-2n\varepsilon |u| \cos \phi} e^{2it(2\tilde{v}^2 + 1)\varepsilon n} \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \mathcal{L}_n(x^2) - x^2, \quad F_s(t) = n^{-1} \text{Tr } G(-t^2), \\ \tilde{\varphi}(|u|, t, s) &= \varphi(|u| \cos \phi, |u| \sin \phi, t + s, t - s). \end{aligned}$$

At the final step we make the change of variables in (2.1)

$$t = iu_* + (nu_*^2)^{-1/2} \tilde{t}, \quad s = n^{-1/2} \tilde{s}, \quad |u| = u_* + (nu_*^2)^{-1/2} \tilde{u}. \quad (4.15)$$

We have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(u_*, iu_*, 0) = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}(|u|, t, s) \rightarrow \frac{\Phi_1(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u})}{(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{\Phi_2(\tilde{s}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{u})}{nu_*^2} + O(n^{-3/2}), \quad (4.16)$$

where Φ_1 is a linear function in \tilde{u}, \tilde{t} and Φ_2 is quadratic. Notice that in order to prove Theorem 1.2, we would like to control the terms up to the order $O(n^{-1})$ in (2.1). But since the expansion for φ starts from $O(n^{-1/2})$ term, we need to keep only terms of order $O(1)$ and $O(n^{-1/2})$ in the expansion of other functions in (4.14). It is straightforward to see that

$$\begin{aligned} nh(|u|) &= nh(u_*) - 2c_2 \tilde{u}^2 + \tilde{c} u_* \tilde{u}^3 (nu_*^2)^{-1/2} + O(n^{-1}), \\ -nh(-it) &= -nh(u_*) - 2c_2 \tilde{t}^2 - i\tilde{c} u_* \tilde{t}^3 (nu_*^2)^{-1/2} + O(n^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Here and below

$$c_k = n^{-1} \text{Tr } G^k(u_*^2) \quad k = 2, 3 \quad (4.18)$$

with $G(x)$ defined in (2.3), and \tilde{c} is some coefficients whose exact value is not important for us.

Let us introduce the averaging which is obtained from (4.14), if we make the changes of variables (4.15) and use that $n\varepsilon = \tilde{\varepsilon}/\delta$. Using the above asymptotic expansions and keeping only terms of order $O(1)$ and $O(n^{-1/2})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{s}, \phi, \tilde{v}) \rangle_0 &= \frac{n \cdot e^{-2(u_*/\delta)\tilde{\varepsilon}}}{2u_*^2} \int f(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{s}, \phi, \tilde{v}) \cdot \frac{e^{-2c_2(\tilde{t}^2 + \tilde{u}^2) - \tilde{s}^2}}{(\sqrt{\pi})^3} \left(u_*^2 + \frac{u_*(\tilde{u} - i\tilde{t})}{(u_*^2 n)^{1/2}} \right) \\ &\times J_0 \left(-2i\tilde{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{u_*}{\delta} + \frac{\tilde{u}}{\delta(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} \right) \right) \cdot \mathcal{V} \left(2\tilde{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{u_*}{\delta} - \frac{i\tilde{t}}{\delta(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} \right) \right) \\ &\times \left(1 + \frac{\Phi_*(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{s})}{(u_*^2 n)^{1/2}} \right) \left(\frac{\Phi_1(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u})}{(u_*^2 n)^{1/2}} + \frac{\Phi_2(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u})}{u_*^2 n} \right) d\tilde{t} d\tilde{u} d\tilde{s}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Here the last multiplier at the first line corresponds to $(-it)|u|$ in (4.14) and we denoted by $\Phi_*(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{s})/(u_*^2 n)^{1/2}$ the sum of all $O(n^{-1/2})$ terms which appear from the exponents of the second line of (4.14). We also set

$$\mathcal{V}(x) = \int e^{-2x\tilde{v}^2} \frac{d\tilde{v}}{\sqrt{\tilde{v}^2 + 1}},$$

and used that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-2((u_*/\delta) + \frac{\tilde{u}}{\delta(nu_*^2)^{1/2}})\tilde{\varepsilon} \cos \phi} d\phi = J_0 \left(-2i\tilde{\varepsilon} \left((u_*/\delta) + \frac{\tilde{u}}{\delta(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} \right) \right),$$

where J_0 is a zero-order Bessel function.

Since for small \tilde{x} and any fixed x

$$\frac{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos \phi \cdot e^{i(x+\tilde{x}) \cos \phi} d\phi}{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(x+\tilde{x}) \cos \phi} d\phi} = -i \frac{J_0'(x+\tilde{x})}{J_0(x+\tilde{x})} = -i(\log J_0(x))' \left(1 + \frac{(\log J_0(x))''}{(\log J_0(x))'} \tilde{x} + O(\tilde{x}^2) \right),$$

using the representation (4.13) we obtain for $|u| = u_* + \frac{\tilde{u}}{(nu_*^2)^{1/2}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}T}{n} &= \langle \cos \phi |u| \rangle + O(n^{-1}) = -i \left\langle \frac{J_0'(-2i\tilde{\varepsilon}|u|/\delta)}{J_0(-2i\tilde{\varepsilon}|u|/\delta)} |u| \right\rangle + O(n^{-1}) \\ &= -i(\log J_0(-2i\tilde{\varepsilon}u_*/\delta))' \left\langle \left(1 + \tilde{J} \frac{\tilde{u}}{\delta(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} \right) \left(u_* + \frac{\tilde{u}}{(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} \right) \right\rangle + O(n^{-1}) \\ &= -i(\log J_0(-2i\tilde{\varepsilon}u_*/\delta))' \left(u_* \langle 1 \rangle + \left(\frac{\tilde{J}u_*}{\delta} + 1 \right) \left\langle \frac{\tilde{u}}{(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} \right\rangle \right) + O(n^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where

$$\tilde{J} = \frac{(\log J_0(x))''}{(\log J_0(x))'} \Big|_{x=-2i\tilde{\varepsilon}u_*/\delta}.$$

By construction of the averaging (4.14) and (4.19) we have

$$1 = \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = \langle 1 \rangle + O(n^{-1}). \quad (4.21)$$

Hence, we are left only to compute

$$\left\langle \frac{\tilde{u}}{(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\tilde{u}}{(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} \right\rangle_0 + O(n^{-1}).$$

But it is easy to see that to obtain the term of the order $O(n^{-1})$, we need to take the term which is linear in $\tilde{u}n^{-1/2}$ in one of the multipliers of (4.19). And if we use some factor different from Φ_1 , then Φ_1 will give us additional $n^{-1/2}$ and the result will be $O(n^{-3/2})$ or less. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\tilde{u}}{(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} \right\rangle_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot e^{-2(u_*/\delta)\tilde{\varepsilon}} \cdot J_0(-2i\tilde{\varepsilon}\frac{u_*}{\delta}) \cdot \mathcal{V}(2\tilde{\varepsilon}\frac{u_*}{\delta}) \cdot \frac{\partial\Phi_1}{\partial\tilde{u}} \Big|_{\tilde{u}=0} \int \frac{e^{-2c_2(t^2+\tilde{u}^2)-\tilde{s}^2}}{(\sqrt{\pi})^3} \tilde{u}^2 d\tilde{t}d\tilde{u}d\tilde{s} \\ &= \frac{e^{-2(u_*/\delta)\tilde{\varepsilon}}}{16c_2^2} \cdot J_0(-2i\tilde{\varepsilon}\frac{u_*}{\delta}) \cdot \mathcal{V}(2\tilde{\varepsilon}\frac{u_*}{\delta}) \cdot \frac{\partial\Phi_1}{\partial\tilde{u}} \Big|_{\tilde{u}=0} + O((u_*^2n)^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

To find Φ_1 we use the asymptotic relations

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - n^{-1}\text{Tr} G(-t^2 + s^2) &= -\frac{2c_2u_*i\tilde{t}}{(u_*^2n)^{1/2}} + O((u_*^2n)^{-1}), \\ n^{-1}\text{Tr} G(-t^2 + s^2)G(|u|^2) &= c_2 - \frac{2c_3u_*(\tilde{u} - i\tilde{t})}{(u_*^2n)^{1/2}} + O((u_*^2n)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

with c_2, c_3 defined in (4.18).

Using the relations in (2.2) we find finally

$$\Phi_1 = 2c_2^2u_*^3(\tilde{u} - i\tilde{t}), \quad (4.23)$$

and then (4.22) implies

$$\left\langle \frac{\tilde{u}}{(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} \right\rangle = \frac{e^{-2u_*\tilde{\varepsilon}/\delta}}{8} \cdot u_*^3 \cdot J_0(-2iu_*\tilde{\varepsilon}/\delta) \cdot \mathcal{V}(2\tilde{\varepsilon}u_*/\delta) + O((u_*^2n)^{-1}). \quad (4.24)$$

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Now we perform an asymptotic analysis of $T(z, \varepsilon)$ of (3.3) in the intermediate regime between Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3: $n^{-1/4} \ll \delta \ll 1$, $\varepsilon \sim (\delta n)^{-1}$. Again, we consider only $\omega \in \Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(1)} \cap \Omega_{\varepsilon_0/2}^{(2)} \cap \Omega^{(3)}$ (see conditions (A3) – (A4)).

Since by (3.21) and (3.17)

$$n^{-1}\text{Tr} G(u^2) = n^{-1}\text{Tr} G(0) - c_2u^2 + O(u^4) = 1 + k\delta^2 - c_2u^2 + O(u^4) + O(\delta^4),$$

we obtain that u_* of (4.1) has the form

$$u_* = \left(\frac{k}{c_2}\right)^{1/2} \delta(1 + O(\delta^2)). \quad (5.1)$$

Doing the change of variables (3.1)-(3.2) and choosing the integration contours for t and R (as in the proof of Theorem 1.2), we can integrate over R and restrict the integration with respect to s by (4.9). It is easy to see that under conditions of Theorem 1.3 on δ we have for $t = iu_* + \tau$, $\tau \ll 1$

$$\tilde{F}(t) = -h(u_*) + \frac{c_2}{2}(2iu_*\tau + \tau^2)^2 + O(u_*\tau^3) + O(\tau^4) = -h(u_*) - 2c_2u_*^2\tau^2 + O(u_*\tau^3) + O(\tau^4).$$

Hence, we can restrict the integration with respect to t , s and u by (4.10), (4.9) and (4.11), and obtain the representation (4.14). After the change (4.15) the expansion (4.17) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} nh(|u|) &= nh(u_*) - 2c_2\tilde{u}^2 + O\left(\frac{\tilde{u}^3}{n^{1/2}u_*^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{\tilde{u}^4}{nu_*^4}\right), \\ -nh(it) &= -nh(u_*) - 2c_2\tilde{\tau}^2 + O\left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}^3}{n^{1/2}u_*^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}^4}{nu_*^4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice, that if $\delta \sim n^{-1/4}$, $u_* \sim n^{-1/4}$ (like in Theorem 1.1), then the second and the third terms of the expansion are of the same order as the first one, and we cannot expand with respect to them. But for $\delta \gg n^{-1/4}$, these terms are small and we can write expansions similar to that from the proof of Theorem 1.2, but the remainder terms are of the order $O((nu_*^4)^{-1})$

Repeating the argument used in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we introduce the averaging (4.14) and use (4.20) in the form

$$\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}T}{n} = -i(\log J_0(-2i\tilde{\varepsilon}u_*/\delta))' \left(u_* \langle 1 \rangle + \left(\frac{\tilde{J}u_*}{\delta} + 1 \right) \left\langle \frac{\tilde{u}}{(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} \right\rangle \right) + O((\delta(u_*^2n)^{1/2})^{-1}).$$

Then (4.21) implies that we are left to find $\langle \tilde{u}/(nu_*^2)^{1/2} \rangle$. This can be done if we expand all multipliers under the integral in the r.h.s of (4.14) and keep the main term in these expansion. Using the more advanced form of (4.16)

$$\tilde{\varphi}(|u|, t, s) = \frac{u_*^3 \tilde{\Phi}_1(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u})}{(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{u_*^2 \tilde{\Phi}_2(\tilde{s}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{u})}{nu_*^2} + \frac{u_* \tilde{\Phi}_3(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, s)}{(nu_*^2)^{3/2}} + O((nu_*^2)^{-2}),$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_{1,2,3}$ are bounded for bounded $\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{s}$, one can check that for $u_* \sim \delta \gg n^{-1/4}$ the main term of $\tilde{\varphi}(|u|, t, s)$ is the first one in the above expansion. Then we get (4.22) in the form

$$\left\langle \frac{\tilde{u}}{(nu_*^2)^{1/2}} \right\rangle_0 = \frac{e^{-2(u_*/\delta)\tilde{\varepsilon}}}{16c_2^2} \cdot J_0(-2i\tilde{\varepsilon}\frac{u_*}{\delta}) \cdot \mathcal{V}(2\tilde{\varepsilon}\frac{u_*}{\delta}) \cdot u_*^3 \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}_1}{\partial \tilde{u}} \Big|_{\tilde{u}=0} \left(1 + O((u_*^4n)^{-1}) \right). \quad (5.2)$$

Since it follows from (4.23) that

$$\tilde{\Phi}_1 = 2c_2^2(\tilde{u} - it),$$

we obtain (4.24) with an error multiplier $1 + O((u_*^4n)^{-1})$.

6 Appendix

6.1 Grassmann integration

Let us consider two sets of formal variables $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n, \{\bar{\psi}_j\}_{j=1}^n$, which satisfy the anticommutation conditions

$$\psi_j\psi_k + \psi_k\psi_j = \bar{\psi}_j\psi_k + \psi_k\bar{\psi}_j = \bar{\psi}_j\bar{\psi}_k + \bar{\psi}_k\bar{\psi}_j = 0, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (6.1)$$

Note that this definition implies $\psi_j^2 = \bar{\psi}_j^2 = 0$. These two sets of variables $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\bar{\psi}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ generate the Grassmann algebra \mathfrak{A} . Taking into account that $\psi_j^2 = 0$, we have that all elements

of \mathfrak{A} are polynomials of $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\bar{\psi}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of degree at most one in each variable. We can also define functions of the Grassmann variables. Let χ be an element of \mathfrak{A} , i.e.

$$\chi = a + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j \psi_j + b_j \bar{\psi}_j) + \sum_{j \neq k} (a_{j,k} \psi_j \psi_k + b_{j,k} \psi_j \bar{\psi}_k + c_{j,k} \bar{\psi}_j \bar{\psi}_k) + \dots \quad (6.2)$$

For any sufficiently smooth function f we define by $f(\chi)$ the element of \mathfrak{A} obtained by substituting $\chi - a$ in the Taylor series of f at the point a . Since χ is a polynomial of $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n$, $\{\bar{\psi}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of the form (6.2), according to (6.1) there exists such l that $(\chi - a)^l = 0$, and hence the series terminates after a finite number of terms and so $f(\chi) \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Following Berezin [5], we define the operation of integration with respect to the anticommuting variables in a formal way:

$$\int d\psi_j = \int d\bar{\psi}_j = 0, \quad \int \psi_j d\psi_j = \int \bar{\psi}_j d\bar{\psi}_j = 1,$$

and then extend the definition to the general element of \mathfrak{A} by the linearity. A multiple integral is defined to be a repeated integral. Assume also that the ‘‘differentials’’ $d\psi_j$ and $d\bar{\psi}_k$ anticommute with each other and with the variables ψ_j and $\bar{\psi}_k$. Thus, according to the definition, if

$$f(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k) = p_0 + \sum_{j_1=1}^k p_{j_1} \psi_{j_1} + \sum_{j_1 < j_2} p_{j_1, j_2} \psi_{j_1} \psi_{j_2} + \dots + p_{1,2,\dots,k} \psi_1 \dots \psi_k,$$

then

$$\int f(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k) d\psi_k \dots d\psi_1 = p_{1,2,\dots,k}.$$

Let A be an ordinary Hermitian matrix with a positive real part. The following Gaussian integral is well-known

$$\int \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j,k=1}^n A_{jk} z_j \bar{z}_k \right\} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{d\Re z_j d\Im z_j}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\det A}. \quad (6.3)$$

One of the important formulas of the Grassmann variables theory is the analog of this formula for the Grassmann algebra (see [5]):

$$\int \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j,k=1}^n A_{jk} \bar{\psi}_j \psi_k \right\} \prod_{j=1}^n d\bar{\psi}_j d\psi_j = \det A, \quad (6.4)$$

where A now is any $n \times n$ matrix.

Let

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} A & \chi \\ \eta & B \end{pmatrix},$$

where A and B are Hermitian complex $k \times k$ matrices such that $\Re B > 0$ and χ, η are $k \times k$ matrices of independent anticommuting Grassmann variables, and let

$$\Phi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k, x_1, \dots, x_k)^t,$$

where $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^k$ are independent Grassmann variables and $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^k$ are complex variables. Combining (6.3) – (6.4) we obtain (see [5])

$$\int \exp\{-\Phi^+ F \Phi\} \prod_{j=1}^k d\bar{\psi}_j d\psi_j \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\Re x_j \Im x_j}{\pi} = \text{Sdet}^{-1} F, \quad (6.5)$$

where

$$\text{Sdet} F = \frac{\det(B - \eta A^{-1} \chi)}{\det A}. \quad (6.6)$$

Notice also that if we define

$$\text{Str} F = \text{Tr} B - \text{Tr} A, \quad (6.7)$$

then

$$\log(\text{Sdet} F) = \text{Str}(\log F). \quad (6.8)$$

We will need also the following Hubbard-Stratonovich transform formulas based on Gaussian integration.

$$e^{ab} = \pi^{-1} \int e^{a\bar{u}+bu-\bar{u}u} d\bar{u} du, \quad (6.9)$$

$$e^{-\rho\tau} = \int e^{\rho\chi+\tau\eta+\chi\eta} d\eta d\chi. \quad (6.10)$$

Here a, b can be complex numbers or sums of the products of even numbers of Grassmann variables (i.e. commuting elements of Grassmann algebra), and ρ, τ are sums of the products of odd numbers of Grassmann variables (i.e. anticommuting elements of Grassmann algebra).

References

- [1] Alt J., Erdős L., Kruger T. Spectral radius of random matrices with independent entries arXiv: 1907.13631
- [2] Bai, Z., Silverstein, J. W.: No eigenvalues outside the support of the limiting spectral distribution of information-plus-noise type matrices, *Random Matrices Theory Appl.* 1, 1150004, 44 (2012)
- [3] Bao, J., Erdős, L.: Delocalization for a class of random block band matrices. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **167**, 673 – 776 (2017)
- [4] Ben Arous, G., Péché, S.: Universality of local eigenvalue statistics for some sample covariance matrices, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 58, 1316–1357 (2005)
- [5] Berezin, F.A.: Introduction to the algebra and analysis of anticommuting variables. Moscow State University Publ., Moscow (1983) (Russian)
- [6] Bordenave, C., Capitaine, M.: Outlier eigenvalues for deformed i.i.d. random matrices Preprint ArXiv 1403.6001(2014)
- [7] Brézin, E., Hikami, S.: Level spacing of random matrices in an external source, *Phys. Rev. E* **58:3**, 7176 – 7185 (1998)

- [8] Cipolloni, G., Erdős, L., Schröder, D. Optimal lower bound on the least singular value of the shifted Ginibre ensemble, *Probability and Mathematical Physics* **1:1**, 101–146 (2020)
- [9] Cipolloni, G., Erdős, L., Schröder, D.: Edge universality for non-Hermitian random matrices, *Prob.Theory and Related Fields* **179**, 1– 28 (2021)
- [10] Cipolloni, G., Erdős, L., Schröder, D.: Central Limit Theorem for Linear Eigenvalue Statistics of Non-Hermitian Random Matrices. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.22028>
- [11] Cook, N.: Lower bounds for the smallest singular value of structured random matrices, *Ann. Probab.* **46**, 3442 – 3500 (2018)
- [12] Dozier, R. B., Silverstein, J. W.. On the empirical distribution of eigenvalues of large dimensional information-plus-noise-type matrices, *J. Multivariate Anal.* **98**, 678 – 694 (2007)
- [13] Disertori, M., Lager, M.: Density of states for random band matrices in two dimensions, *Ann. Henri Poincare*, **18:7**, p. 2367– 2413 (2017)
- [14] Disertori, M., Pinson, H., and Spencer, T.: Density of states for random band matrices. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 232, p. 83 – 124 (2002)
- [15] Edelman, A.: Eigenvalues and condition numbers of random matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **9**, 543–560 (1988)
- [16] Efetov, K.: *Supersymmetry in disorder and chaos.* Cambridge university press, New York (1997)
- [17] Fyodorov, Y. V.: On statistics of bi-orthogonal eigenvectors in real and complex Ginibre ensembles: combining partial Schur decomposition with supersymmetry, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **363**, 579 – 603 (2018)
- [18] Littellmann, P., Sommers, H. -J., Zirnbauer, M. R.: Superbosonization of invariant random matrix ensembles. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **283**, 343 – 395 (2008)
- [19] Mirlin, A. D.: Statistics of energy levels. *New Directions in Quantum Chaos, (Proceedings of the International School of Physics “Enrico Fermi”, Course CXLIII)*, ed. by G.Casati, I.Guarneri, U.Smilansky, IOS Press, Amsterdam, 223-298 (2000)
- [20] Rudelson, M., Vershynin, R. The Littlewood-Offord problem and invertibility of random matrices, *Adv. Math.* **218**, 600 – 633 (2008)
- [21] Sankar, A., Spielman, D. A., and Teng, S.-H.: Smoothed analysis of the condition numbers and growth factors of matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **28**, 446 – 476 (2006)
- [22] Shcherbina, M., Shcherbina, T.: Transfer matrix approach to 1d random band matrices: density of states, *J.Stat.Phys.* **164**, p. 1233 – 1260 (2016)
- [23] Shcherbina, M., Shcherbina, T.: Characteristic polynomials for 1d random band matrices from the localization side, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **351**, p. 1009 – 1044 (2017)
- [24] Shcherbina, M., Shcherbina, T.:Universality for 1d random band matrices: sigma-model approximation, *J.Stat.Phys.* **172**, p. 627 – 664 (2018)

- [25] Shcherbina, M., Shcherbina, T.: Universality for 1 d random band matrices, Commun. Math. Phys. **385**, 667 – 716 (2021)
- [26] Shcherbina, T. Universality of the local regime for the block band matrices with a finite number of blocks, J.Stat.Phys. **155**, 3, p. 466 – 499 (2014)
- [27] Shcherbina, T. On the second mixed moment of the characteristic polynomials of the 1D band matrices, Commun. Math. Phys. **328**, p. 45 – 82 (2014)
- [28] Shcherbina, T. Transfer matrix approach for the real symmetric 1D random band matrices, Electron. J. Probab. **27**, p. 1-29 (2022)
- [29] Tao, T., Vu, V.: Random matrices: The distribution of the smallest singular values, Geom. Funct. Anal. **20**, 260 – 297 (2010)
- [30] Tao, T., Vu, V., Smooth analysis of the condition number and the least singular value, Math. Comp. **79**, 2333 - 2352 (2010).
- [31] Tao, T., Vu, V.: The condition number of a randomly perturbed matrix”, STOC’07 – Proceedings of the 39th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (ACM, New York, 2007), pp. 248 – 255.
- [32] Tao, T., Vu, V.: Random matrices: universality of local spectral statistics of non- Hermitian matrices, Ann. Probab. **43**, 782–874 (2015)
- [33] Tao T., Vu V., Krishnapur M., Random matrices: Universality of ESDs and the circular law, Annals of Probability **38**, no. 5, 2023, (2010)
- [34] Tikhomirov, K., Invertibility via distance for non-centered random matrices with continuous distributions, Random Struct. Algorithms **57**, 526 - 562 (2020).