## PRIMITIVES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS VIA POLYNOMIALS

PATRIK LUNDSTRÖM

ABSTRACT. We present an elementary self-contained folkloristic proof, using limits of primitives of Bernstein polynomials, for the existence of primitive functions of continuous functions defined on the unit interval.

In most standard calculus books the existence of primitives of continuous functions is proved using limits of Riemann sums (see e.g.  $[1, 6]$  $[1, 6]$ ). Therefore, to most students, and even to some instructors, it might seem like this is the only way to show this. The aim of this note is to highlight an elementary but completely different proof of this fact which combines on the one hand the so called Stone-Weierstrass theorem [\[5,](#page-2-2) [7\]](#page-2-3) on uniform approximation of continuous functions by polynomials, and on the other hand a classical result from calculus on the existence of limits of differentiated sequences of functions [\[2,](#page-2-4) Thm. 9.13]. Somewhat simplified, the idea of the proof is to show that primitives to a sequence of polynomials converging to a given continuous function, converges to a primitive function of the given function.

Throughout the article, we use the following notation. Let  $I$  and  $J$  denote, respectively, the closed unit interval  $[0, 1]$  and the open unit interval  $(0, 1)$ . All functions are supposed to be real-valued. For a bounded function  $g$  on  $I$ we put  $||g|| = \sup\{|g(x)|\}_{x \in I}$ . For future reference, we recall the following:

**Proposition.** Suppose that a sequence  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \ldots$  of continuous functions on I is uniformly convergent, that is  $||g_m - g_n|| \to 0$  as  $min(m, n) \to \infty$ . Then the limiting function  $g(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x)$  is continuous on I.

*Proof of proposition.* Take x in I and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose an integer  $n \geq 1$ so that  $||f_n - f|| < \epsilon/3$ . Since  $f_n$  is continuous on I there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f_n(x)-f_n(y)| < \epsilon/3$  whenever y belongs to I and  $|x-y| < \delta$ . Therefore, for such a real number  $y$ , the triangle inequality now implies that  $|f(x)-f(y)| \leq |f(x)-f_n(x)|+|f_n(x)-f_n(y)|+|f_n(y)-f(y)| < 3 \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon.$  □

For the rest of the article,  $f$  denotes a fixed continuous function defined on *I*. Let *m* and *n* be integers. Define  $p_{m,n}(x) = {n \choose m}$  $\binom{n}{m} x^m (1-x)^{n-m}$  where we use the standard convention that  $\binom{n}{m}$  $\binom{n}{m} = 0$  whenever  $m > n$  or  $m < 0$ . If  $n \geq 1$ , then we put  $F_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f(m/n)/(n+1) \sum_{j=m+1}^{n+1} p_{j,n+1}(x)$ . We wish to show the following:

**Theorem.** The sequence of polynomials  $F_1, F_2, F_3, \ldots$  converge uniformly on I to a continuous function  $\overline{F}$  which is differentiable on J with  $F' = f$ .

To this end, we first prove the following:

**Lemma.** Let  $m, n$  be integers with  $n \geq 1$ . The following assertions hold:

(1)  $p'_{m,n}(x) = n(p_{m-1,n-1}(x) - p_{m,n-1}(x))$ (2)  $1 = \sum_{m=0}^{n} p_{m,n}(x)$ (3)  $nx = \sum_{m=0}^{m} m p_{m,n}(x)$ (4)  $nx(1-x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (nx - m)^2 p_{m,n}(x)$ (5)  $F_n(0) = 0$  and  $F'_n = f_n$  where  $f_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n f(m/n) p_{m,n}(x)$ (6) The sequence of polynomials  $f_1, f_2, f_2, \ldots$  converge uniformly to f on I.

Note that the polynomial  $f_n$  above is called the nth Bernstein polynomial [\[3\]](#page-2-5).

*Proof of lemma.* The equalities  $(2)-(4)$  above can be shown quite easily by using the binomial theorem (see [\[4,](#page-2-6) p. 7]). Here we give a direct argument. (1) By the product rule for derivatives it follows that

$$
p'_{m,n}(x) = {n \choose m} mx^{m-1} (1-x)^{n-m} - {n \choose m} (n-m)x^m (1-x)^{n-m-1}
$$

$$
= n {n-1 \choose m-1} x^{m-1} (1-x)^{n-m} - n {n-1 \choose m} x^m (1-x)^{n-m-1} = n (p_{m-1,n-1}(x) - p_{m,n-1}(x)).
$$

(2) Put  $\alpha(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} p_{m,n}(x)$ . By (1) we get that

$$
\alpha'(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} n(p_{m-1,n-1}(x) - p_{m,n-1}(x)) = n \left( \sum_{m=1}^{n} p_{m-1,n-1}(x) - \sum_{m=0}^{n} p_{m,n-1}(x) \right) = 0.
$$
  
Since  $c(0) = 1$  it follows that  $c(n) = 1$ 

Since  $\alpha(0) = 1$  it follows that  $\alpha(x) = 1$ . (3) Put  $\beta(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n m p_{m,n}(x)$ . By (1) and (2) we get that

$$
\beta'(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} mn(p_{m-1,n-1}(x) - p_{m,n-1}(x))
$$

$$
= n \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (m+1)p_{m,n-1}(x) - n \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} m p_{m,n-1}(x) = n \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} p_{m,n-1}(x) = n.
$$

Since  $\beta(0) = 0$  it follows that  $\beta(x) = nx$ .

(4) Put 
$$
\gamma(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (nx - m)^2 p_{m,n}(x)
$$
. By (1), (2) and (3) we get that  
\n
$$
\gamma'(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} 2n(nx - m)p_{m,n}(x) + n(nx - m)^2 (p_{m-1,n-1}(x) - p_{m,n-1}(x))
$$

$$
= 2n^{2}x \sum_{m} p_{m,n}(x) - 2n \sum_{m} m p_{m,n}(x) + n^{3}x^{2} \sum_{m} p_{m-1,n-1}(x) - n^{3}x^{2} \sum_{m} p_{m,n-1}(x)
$$

$$
-2n^{2}x \sum_{m} m(p_{m-1,n-1}(x) - p_{m,n-1}(x)) + n \sum_{m} m^{2} p_{m-1,n-1}(x) - n \sum_{m} m^{2} p_{m,n-1}(x)
$$

$$
= 2n^{2}x - 2n^{2}x + n^{3}x^{2} - n^{3}x^{2} - 2n^{2}x + n \sum_{m} ((m+1)^{2} - m^{2})p_{m,n-1}(x)
$$

$$
= -2n^{2}x + 2n \sum_{m} m p_{m,n-1} + n \sum_{m} p_{m,n-1} = -2n^{2}x + 2n(n-1)x + n = n(1-2x).
$$
  
Since  $\gamma(0) = 0$  it follows that  $\gamma(x) = nx(1-x)$ .

(5) The equality  $F_n(0) = 0$  is clear. By (1) we get that:

$$
F'_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{f(m/n)}{n+1} \sum_{j=m+1}^{n+1} (n+1) (p_{j-1,n}(x) - p_{j,n}(x)) = \sum_{m=0}^n f(m/n) p_{m,n}(x).
$$

(6) We use the argument in [\[4,](#page-2-6) p. 8]. Take  $\epsilon > 0$ . By uniform continuity of f it follows that there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \epsilon/2$  whenever  $x_1, x_2 \in I$  and  $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta$ . Choose  $n \geq 1$  so that  $n > 4||f||/(\epsilon \delta^2)$ . Take  $x \in I$ . The equalities (2) and (4) now yield that

$$
|f(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \sum_{|x - m/n| < \delta} |f(m/n) - f(x)| p_{m,n}(x) + \sum_{|x - m/n| \geq \delta} |f(m/n) - f(x)| p_{m,n}(x)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{m} p_{m,n}(x) + \frac{2||f||}{n^2 \delta^2} \sum_{m} (nx - m)^2 p_{m,n}(x) = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{2||f||x(1 - x)}{n\delta^2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \quad \Box
$$

Proof of theorem. The second part of our argument follows the proof of [\[2,](#page-2-4) Thm. 9.13]. Let  $x \in J$ . Since  $F_m(0) = F_n(0) = 0$  the mean value theorem implies that there is  $x_1$  between 0 and x which satisfies  $F_m(x) - F_n(x) =$  $x(F'_m(x_1) - F'_n(x_1)) = x(f_m(x_1) - f_n(x_1))$ . Therefore  $||F_m - F_n|| \le ||f_m - f_n||$ and thus  ${F_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly convergent on I. By the proposition, the limiting function F is continuous function on I. Take  $c \in J$ . We wish to show that  $F'(c)$  exists and is equal to  $f(c)$ . To this end, define the polynomial  $Q_n$  as the result of the polynomial division of  $F_n(x) - F_n(c)$  by  $x - c$ . Note that  $Q_n(c) = F'_n(c) = f_n(c)$ . Take  $x \in I$  with  $x \neq c$ . By the mean value theorem again, we get that

$$
Q_m(x) - Q_n(x) = \frac{F_m(x) - F_m(x) - (F_m(c) - F_n(c))}{x - c} = f_m(x_2) - f_n(x_2)
$$

for some  $x_2$  between x and c. In particular,  $||Q_m - Q_n|| \le ||f_m - f_n||$ which shows that  $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly convergent on I. By the proposition again the limiting function Q is continuous on I. By letting  $n \to \infty$  in the equality  $F_n(x) - F_n(c) = Q_n(x)(x - c)$  we get that  $F(x) - F(c) =$  $Q(x)(x - c)$ . Continuity of Q at  $x = c$  implies that  $F'(c)$  exists and equals  $Q(c) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Q_n(c) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(c) = f(c).$ 

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>[1] R. A. Adams, *Calculus a complete course.* Toronto: Addison Wesley (2006).
- <span id="page-2-5"></span>[2] T. M. Apostol, *Mathematical Analysis*, Pearson; 2nd edition (1974).
- [3] S. Bernstein, Démonstration du théorème de Weierstrass fondée sur le calcul des probabilit´es, *Comm. Kharkov Math. Soc.*, 13: 1–2 (1912).
- <span id="page-2-6"></span>[4] J. C. Burkill, *Lectures On Approximation By Polynomials*, Bombay: Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (1959).
- <span id="page-2-2"></span>[5] D. Perez and Y. Quintana, A survey on the Weierstrass approximation theorem, *Divulgaciones Matematicas* Vol. 16 No. 1(2008), 231–247 (2008).
- <span id="page-2-3"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>[6] J. Stewart, *Calculus: Early Transcendentals*, Cengage Learning; 8th ed. edition (2015).
- [7] M. H. Stone, M. H., The Generalized Weierstrass Approximation Theorem, *Mathematics Magazine* 21 (4): 167–184 (1948).

University West, Department of Engineering Science, SE-46186 Trollhattan, ¨ **SWEDEN** 

*Email address*: patrik.lundstrom@hv.se