

MOMENT SEQUENCES AND DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS.

PAWEŁ J. SZABŁOWSKI

ABSTRACT. We recall the definition and the properties of a moment sequence and then analyze cases when a difference equation with constant coefficients and suitably chosen initial conditions and having as an input a moment sequence has a solution that is a moment sequence. We give one general simple result and give many examples illustrating the theory. The main simple result states that the roots of the odd multiplicity of the characteristic equation must lie outside the support of the measure that produces the moment sequence that is in the input and the initial conditions suitably chosen.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to examine the situation when the difference equation 'excited' by a positive moment (pm) sequence produces a positive moment sequence. It will turn out that not every pm sequence produces in this way a pm sequence. The answer depends on the fact that the roots of the characteristic equation lie in the support of the measure that produces the input pm sequence and also on the fact that the initial conditions are suitably chosen. In this way, one can use the properties of the solutions of the output of the difference equation with given pm input to test if the roots of the characteristic equation lie in the support of the measure that produced pm input sequence.

Another purpose of this note is to provide a probabilistic interpretation of the results presented in the excellent paper of Bennett [4] and thus present their sometimes much simpler proofs. We also aim to generalize some of these results and also provide a connection between moment sequences and difference equations.

The paper is organized in the following way. In the next section, we recall the definition of the moment sequence and formulate sufficient conditions for a moment sequence to identify measures that generated this moment sequence. Then we analyze the set of positive moment (pm) sequences. Give several examples and formulate a series of operations on pm sequences that result in another pm sequence. The following two sections present results concerning the question of when a difference equation with constant coefficients with the input being a pm sequence produces a pm sequence.

2. MOMENT SEQUENCES

Let us start with the following definition of the moment sequence.

Let μ be a certain measure on the real line. Let μ^+ and μ^- be the elements of the Lebesgue decomposition of μ , i.e., that μ^+ and μ^- are two positive measures

Date: March 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 39A06, 44A60 Secondary 30E05.

Key words and phrases. Moment, moment sequence, random variable, distribution, difference equation,

such that $\mu(B) = \mu^+(B) - \mu^-(B)$ for every μ -measurable subset B of the real line. Let us denote $|\mu|$ the sum of the two positive measures μ^+ and μ^- . Let us consider a (signed in general) measure μ such that:

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{n \geq 0} \int x^{2n} |\mu|(dx) < \infty.$$

Then a sequence $\{m_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of reals defined by:

$$m_n = \int x^n \mu(dx),$$

is called a moment sequence of the measure μ . Boas theorem (see [2]) states that every sequence is a moment sequence of some signed measure satisfying (2.1).

We will be interested in the cases when measure μ is a positive measure, that is $\mu^- = 0$. Let $\text{supp } \mu$ denote its support and then let us define a sequence of numbers defined in the following way:

$$m_n = \int_{\text{supp } \mu} x^n \mu(dx),$$

for $n \geq 0$. We will call such sequence a p(ositiv)e m(oment) sequence. It is easily seen that by multiplying a pm sequence by a positive number we get another pm sequence. Hence the set of pm sequences forms a cone \mathcal{M} in the space of all sequences. To simplify notation we will denote for simplicity integrals over $\text{supp } \mu$ by simply by \int . Obviously, we have $m_{2i} \geq 0$, for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we immediately have:

$$m_n^2 = \left(\int x^{n-j+j} \mu(dx) \right)^2 \leq m_{2(n-j)} m_{2j},$$

for all $0 \leq j \leq n$. Remembering that function $|x|^\alpha$ is convex for all $\alpha > 1$ and after applying Jensen's inequality we get for all $0 < \alpha < \beta$

$$\left(\int |x|^\alpha \mu(dx) \right)^{\beta/\alpha} \leq m_0^{\beta/\alpha-1} \int |x|^\beta \mu(dx).$$

Consequently, for the case $m_0 = 1$, we get for all $j \geq n$

$$m_{2n}^{1/(2n)} \leq m_{2j}^{1/(2j)}.$$

Additionally, if $\text{supp } \mu \subset [0, \infty)$ then obviously we also have seen that $m_n^{1/n}$ constitutes an non-decreasing sequence.

Sometimes, it is simpler and more intuitive to switch to the so-called random variables. Namely, it is known that for every positive such measure μ that $\int \mu(dx) = 1$ one can define another measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{B}, P) (so-called probability space) and measurable mapping $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$P(X^{-1}(B)) = \mu(B),$$

for every measurable subset B of the real line. By the way, measure μ is called the distribution of the random variable X and we obviously have:

$$\int_{\Omega} f(X(\omega)) P(d\omega) = \int f(x) \mu(dx),$$

for every real, integrable (mod μ) function f on the real line. The distribution of any random variable is called sometimes a probability measure on the real line.

Traditionally, the integral over Ω with respect to the probability measure P is simply denoted by E (-expectations). So we will exchangeable use notation $Ef(X) = \int f(x)\mu_X(dx)$, where μ_X denotes distribution of the random variable X .

Definition 1. Let $\{a_i\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a real sequence. Then the following sequence $\{\det[a_{i+j}]_{i,j=0,\dots,n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called *Hankel transform of the sequence* $\{a_n\}$.

We have important result.

Theorem 1. A real sequence is a pm sequence iff the sequence of its Hankel transform is nonnegative.

Proof. Let X be a random variable with a moment sequence $\{a_n\}$, i.e., $a_k = EX^k$, $k \geq 0$. Then for any real sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ then for any N we have $E\left(\sum_{j=0}^N \alpha_j X^j\right)^2 \geq 0$. But this quantity can be written in the following way:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_N^T (E\mathbf{X}_N \mathbf{X}_N^T) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_N \geq 0,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_N^T = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N)$, $\mathbf{X}_N^T = (1, X, \dots, X^N)$ and \mathbf{x}^T denotes transposition of the vector \mathbf{x} . Now, this inequality means that matrices $\{E\mathbf{X}_N \mathbf{X}_N^T\}_{N \leq 0}$ are nonnegative definite, hence their major determinants must be nonnegative. \square

Following the paper by Bennett [4], we have yet another way of deciding if a given sequence is a moment sequence. Namely, we have the following criterion (compare [1]).

Definition 2. Let I be a segment of a real line. A given sequence $\{m_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ is a pm sequence on I iff for every polynomial $\sum_{k=0}^n c_k x^k$ that is nonnegative on I we have $\sum_{k=0}^n c_k m_k \geq 0$.

Remark 1. It is well-known that if the cardinality of the support of the measure μ is infinite, then the sequence of Hankel transforms of the moment sequence of the measure μ is strictly positive. If the cardinality of the support of the measure μ is n then the elements of the Hankel transform of the moment sequence of such a measure is equal to 0 from the element number $n + 1$ onwards.

Now, having random variables we can simply utter some rules concerning moment sequences. Most of them were formulated in the paper of Bennett [4]. Some of them had quite complicated proofs. Due to probabilistic interpretation, we can substantially simplify these proofs. Assertions 6. 7. and 8. of the Proposition below seem to be unknown to Bennett. Namely, we have:

Proposition 1. Let $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be two pm sequences. Then, so are the following sequences:

1. $\{\alpha a_n + \beta b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$.
2. $\{\sum_{i=0}^n h_{i,n}(\chi) \alpha^i a_i \beta^{n-i} b_{n-i}\}_{n \geq 0}$, where $h_{i,n}(\chi) = \binom{n}{i} \int_0^1 \theta^i (1-\theta)^{n-i} \chi(d\theta)$, with χ being some probability measure on $[0, 1]$.
3. $\{a_n b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{a_{kn}\}_{n \geq 0}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_n = \begin{cases} a_{2k} & \text{if } n = 2k \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 2k + 1 \end{cases}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$.
4. If a pm sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is nonnegative, then also pm is the following sequence: $b'_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 2k + 1 \\ a_k & \text{if } n = 2k \end{cases}$.

5. Suppose $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a pm sequence, then for all $k \geq 1$ the following sequences $\{a_{2k+n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ are the pm sequences.

6. Suppose that for a given pm sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ we have $a_0 = 1$ and $a_2 = a_1^2$, then $\forall n \geq 0 : a_n = a_1^n$.

7. Suppose that a sequence $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a pm sequence and

i) suppose further that $b_0 = 1$, and $b_{4m+2} = b_{2m+1}^2$ for some $m \geq 0$. Then $\forall n \geq 0 : b_n = b_{2m+1}^{n/(2m+1)}$.

ii) suppose further that $b_0 = 1$ and $b_{4m} = b_{2m}^2$ for some $m \geq 1$. Let us set $p = (b_1 + b_{2m}^{1/(2m)}) / (2b_{2m}^{1/(2m)})$. Then for all $n \geq 0 : b_{2n} = b_{2m}^{n/m}$ and $b_{2n+1} = b_{2m}^{(2n+1)/(2m)} p - b_{2m}^{(2n+1)/(2m)} (1-p)$.

8. Suppose that a sequence $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a pm sequence then for all $n \geq 1$:

$$b_{2n-2}b_{2n+2} \geq b_{2n}^2.$$

Further if the measure producing sequence $\{b_n\}$ has with infinite support, then the sequence $\{b_{2n}\}$ is log-concave. In particular the following sequence $\{b_{2n+2}/b_{2n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is non-decreasing.

Proof. 1. Let us define the following matrices $A_n = [a_{i+j}]_{i,j=0,1,\dots,n}$ and $B_n = [b_{i+j}]_{i,j=0,1,\dots,n}$. Since the sequences $\{\det A_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{\det B_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are nonnegative by assumption, then so the matrices $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ are nonnegative defined hence so are their convex combinations, that is the sequence $\{\det[\alpha A_n + \beta B_n]\}_{n \geq 0}$ is nonnegative.

2. Obviously the sequence $\{E(\alpha\theta X + \beta(1-\theta)Y)^n\}$ where independent random variables X and Y and independent also of the random variable θ are such that $a_n = EX^n$ and $b_n = EY^n$ for all $n \geq 0$. But we have

$$E(\alpha\theta X + \beta(1-\theta)Y)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n h_{i,n}(\chi) \alpha^i a_i \beta^{n-i} b_{n-i}.$$

3. If independent random variables X and Y are such that $EX^n = a_n$ and $EY^n = b_n$, the $E(XY)^n = EX^n EY^n$ is also a pm sequence. Notice, that $a_{kn} = E(X^k)^n$. Note, that if X is such a random variable that $a_n = EX^n$, then $(-1)^n a_n = E(-X)^n$. Now, we apply assertion 1 with $p = 1$, $b_n = (-1)^n a_n$.

4. We apply assertion 1. with $p = 1$, $a_n = E(\sqrt{X})^n$, $b_n = (-1)^n a_n$ and X defined by $a_n = EX^n$. See also [6], p. 40.

5. Let X be such random variable that $EX^n = a_n/a_0$. Let $\mu(\cdot)$ denote the distribution of X and let us denote by ν_k the probability measure $x^{2k} d\mu(x)/a_{2k}$. Let us denote Y_k the random variable that has distribution ν_k . Then $EY_k^n = \frac{1}{a_{2k}} \int y^n y^{2k} d\mu(y) = \frac{1}{a_{2k}} EX^{2k+n} = a_{2k+n}/a_{2k}$. hence $\{a_{2k+n}/a_{2k}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a pm sequence and by assertion 1. also $\{a_{2k+n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a pm sequence.

6. Let X denote a random variable whose moments are α_n , that is $EX^n = \alpha_n$. We have $\text{var}(X) = EX^2 - (EX)^2 = \rho^2 - \rho^2 = 0$. But this equality means that the distribution of X is a one-point distribution, i.e. $P(X = \rho) = 1$.

7. For the proof see Lemma 2 of [22].

8. Since by the fact that $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a pm sequence then all central minors of the matrix $[b_{i+j}]_{i,j \geq 0}$ must be nonnegative and moreover In particular we must have

$$(2.2) \quad b_{2n-2}b_{2n+2} \geq b_{2n}^2,$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Now if the measure that produces sequence $\{b_n\}$ has infinite support, then $\forall n \geq 0 : b_{2n} > 0$. Hence

$$\log b_{2(n-1)} + \log b_{2(n+1)} \geq 2 \log b_{2n},$$

proving log-concavity. On the other hand we can easily deduce from (2.2) that $b_{2n+2}/b_{2n} \geq b_{2n}/b_{2n-2}$ since $b_{2n} > 0$. \square

Remark 2. Notice that $h_{i,n}(\chi) \geq 0$ and moreover $\forall n \geq 0 : \sum_{i=0}^n h_{i,n}(\chi) = 1$. Hence, for any number sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ the sums

$$\sum_{i=0}^n h_{i,n}(\chi) \gamma_i,$$

are kind of averages. They are in fact called Hausdorff means.

Let us give some examples.

i) Take measure χ to be a one-point probability measure concentrated at $t \in (0, 1)$. Then we have $h_{i,n}(\chi) = \binom{n}{i} t^i (1-t)^{n-i}$. Let us take $b_n = c$, and $\alpha = \beta = 1$, then from assertion 2. it follows that $\left\{ c \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} t^j a_j (1-t)^{n-j} \right\}$ is a pm sequence provided $\{a_j\}$ is. Further taking $\alpha = 1/2$, $t = 1/2$, we see that the so-called binomial transform of the sequence $\{a_j\}$, i.e., $\left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} a_j \right\}$ is also a pm sequence. If we take $\alpha = -\beta = -1 = -2t$, then also the following sequence $\left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} a_j \right\}$ which is called inverse binomial transform is a pm sequence.

ii) Take $\chi(dx) = \beta(1-x)^{\beta-1}$, for some $\beta \geq 0$. Then

$$h_{i,n}(\chi) = \beta \binom{n}{i} \frac{\Gamma(i+1)\Gamma(n-i+\beta)}{\Gamma(n+\beta+1)} = \frac{\beta n! \Gamma(n-i+\beta)}{\Gamma(n+\beta)(n-i)!}.$$

In particular, if $\beta = 1$ we have $h_{i,n}(\chi) = \frac{1}{n+1}$. Hence, following assertion 2. Proposition 1 we get

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b_{n-i}$$

is a pm sequence provided $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are.

Given some two sequences $\{a_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ the following sequence $\left\{ \sum_{j=0}^i a_j b_{i-j} \right\}_{i \geq 0}$ is called the **convolution** of the sequences $\{a_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i \geq 0}$. Hence we see that sequence of arithmetic means of the convolution of two pm sequences is also a pm sequence.

More particular cases and their applications can be found in [4] (formulae (31)–(34)).

As a corollary from the interesting results of Layman (see [8]) we have the following result.

Lemma 1. The following two pm sequences $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-a_1)^j a_{n-j} \right\}_{n \geq 0}$ have the same Hankel transforms.

Proof. First if $a_1 = 0$ then the assertion is true. So let us assume that $a_1 \neq 0$. Let us notice that we have

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-a_1)^j a_{n-j} = (-a_1)^n \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} a_{n-j} / (-a_1)^{n-j}.$$

Now notice that $\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} a_{n-j} / (-a_1)^{n-j}$ is the binomial transform of the sequence $\{a_j / (-a_1)^j\}_{j \geq 0}$. By the Layman's Theorem 1, these two sequences have the same Hankel transforms. Now, the sequence $\{a_j / (-a_1)^j\}_{j \geq 0}$ has the Hankel transform equal to $\{\det[a_{i+j}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} / (-a_1)^{2n}\}$. Hence the sequence $\{\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} a_{n-j} / (-a_1)^{n-j}\}$ has the same Hankel transform. Now by (2.4) we deduce that the sequence $\{\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-a_1)^j a_{n-j}\}_{n \geq 0}$ has the Hankel transform equal to $\{(-a_1)^{2n} \det[a_{i+j}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} / (-a_1)^{2n}\} = \{\det[a_{i+j}]_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}\}$. \square

Remark 3. From the above-mentioned lemma it follows that the random variables X and $X - EX$ have the same Hankel transforms. In other words, the sequence of (ordinary) moments of a random variable and the sequence of its central moments have the same Hankel transforms.

Lemma 2. If $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a pm sequence, then the following sequence of polynomials indexed by $n \geq 0$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2n} a_j x^j / j!$$

assumes only nonnegative values for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We consider sequence of Hausdorff means with $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n = x^{-n} n!\}$, $\alpha = 1/d$, $\beta = 1/(1-d)$, χ being the one point measure concentrated at point $d \in (0, 1)$, Consequently the following sequence is a pm sequence:

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{i} a_j x^{-n+j} (n-j)! = \frac{n!}{x^n} \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j / j!.$$

Now since all elements of a pm sequence with even indexes are positive and since $1/x^{2n} > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$ and $(2n)!$ is positive we get our assertion. \square

As before majority of the assertions of the Proposition below are known. We provide their simple, probabilistic proofs.

Proposition 2. The following sequences

- 1) $\forall a \in \mathbb{R} : \{a^n\}$,
- 2) $\{n!\}_{n \geq 0}$,
- 3) $\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ (2k-1)!! & \text{if } n = 2k \end{cases}, k = 1, 2, \dots$,
- 4) Catalan numbers i.e. $\{\binom{2n}{n} / (n+1)\}_{n \geq 0}$,
- 5) $\forall k > -1 : \{1/(n+1)^{k+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$,
- 6) $\forall \alpha \geq 0 : \{(\alpha)^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 0}$, where $(\alpha)^{(n)} = \alpha(\alpha+1) \dots (\alpha+n-1)$ is the so called raising factorial of α ,

7) $\forall \alpha, \beta > 0 : \left\{ \frac{(\alpha)^{(n)}}{(\alpha+\beta)^{(n)}} \right\}_{n \geq 0}$, consequently $\forall \alpha > 0 : \left\{ (\alpha)^{(n)} / n! \right\}_{n \geq 0}$,

8) $\{F_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{F_{n+3}\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{F_{n+5}\}_{n \geq 0}$, \dots , $\{F_{2n+2}\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{F_{n+1}/(n+1)\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{F_{2n+2}/(n+1)\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{(F_{n+2}-1)/(n+1)\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{(F_{2n+1}-1)/(n+1)\}_{n \geq 1}$, for any natural k $\{1/F_{2k+n}\}_{n \geq 0}$, where F_n denotes n -th Fibonacci number, are pm sequences.

9) $\forall \lambda \geq 0 : \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda^j \{j\} \right\}_{n \geq 0}$ where $\{j\}$ denotes Stirling number of the second kind.

10) $\{B_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{B_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ where B_n is Bell number.

Proof. 1) $\{a^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is the moment sequence of a constant random variable. 2) $n!$

$$= \int_0^\infty x^n \exp(-x) dx, \quad 3) \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ (2k-1)!! & \text{if } n = 2k \end{cases} = \int_{-\infty}^\infty x^n \frac{\exp(-x^2/2)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx, \quad 4)$$

$\binom{2n}{n}/(n+1) = \int_0^4 x^n \sqrt{(4-x)/x} dx$, 5) $1/(n+1)^{k+1} = \int_0^1 x^n \frac{(-\log(x))^k}{\Gamma(k+1)} dx$, 6) $(\alpha)^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty x^n x^{\alpha-1} \exp(-x) dx$, 7) $\frac{(\alpha)^{(n)}}{(\alpha+\beta)^{(n)}} = \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \int_0^1 x^n x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1} dx$, where $B(\alpha, \beta)$ is the value of beta function at α and β , Now taking $\beta = k - \alpha$ we deduce that $\left\{ \frac{(\alpha)^{(n)}}{(k+n-1)!} \right\}$. 8) Fibonacci numbers are defined as the solution of the following difference equation $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$. with $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$. Hence

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n.$$

Now

$$F_{n+2k+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{2k+1} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{2k+1} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n.$$

Now notice that $\forall k \geq 0$ both $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{2k+1}$ and $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{2k+1}$ are positive. Now we apply assertion 1 of Proposition 1 We have also

$$F_{n+3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^3 \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n.$$

But $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^3 = 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} > 0$. Similarly we can consider F_{n+5} and generally F_{n+2k+1} . They constitute pm sequence (no probabilistic in general) since $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{2k+1} > 0$ for $k \geq 0$. Applying third assertion of the above-mentioned Proposition 1, we deduce that $\{F_{2n+2}\}_{n \geq 0}$. The fact that $\{F_{n+1}/(n+1)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{F_{2n+2}/(n+1)\}_{n \geq 0}$ are pm sequences follows third assertion of the above-mentioned Proposition 1, where $a_n = F_{n+1}$ or $a_n = F_{2n+2}$ and $b_n = 1/(n+1)$. Similarly, using the well known (see e.g. [7]) property of Fibonacci numbers $F_1 + \dots + F_n = F_{n+2} - 1$ and then (2.3) with $a_n = F_{n+1}$ and $b_n = 1$ we see that $\{(F_{n+2}-1)/(n+1)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a pm sequence. Now we apply assertion 3 of Proposition 1 and use the fact that $2n+1$. The last case i.e. proof that the sequence $\{1/F_{2k+n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a pm sequence for every natural k is treated and proved in the excellent paper by Berg [3]. 9) We start with the observation that if X has the so-called Poisson distribution with

parameter $\lambda \geq 0$, i.e.,

$$P(X = k) = \lambda^k \exp(-\lambda)/k!,$$

then

$$EX^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} \lambda^j.$$

10) Now, we use the fact that the so-called Bell numbers B_n are defined as

$$B_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

We also use the well-known fact that

$$B_{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} B_j$$

and apply assertion 2) of the Proposition 1 with $h_{i,n}(\chi) = \binom{n}{i}$. \square

3. MOMENT SEQUENCES AND LINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

First, let us fix the terminology. The best one seems to be taken from the systems theory. So let us consider the following difference equation with constant parameters:

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{j=0}^m d_j r_{n+j} = c_n,$$

with the so-called initial conditions: $r_0 = p_0, \dots, r_{m-1} = p_{m-1}$.

If $\forall n \geq 0 : c_n = 0$, then the equation is called homogeneous otherwise it is called non-homogeneous.

Given the so-called system parameters: $\{d_j\}_{j=0}^m$, (sometimes one says about input-output system parameters) the input sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and initial conditions, the task is to find the so-called output sequence $\{r_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. The equation (3.1) with the set of initial values is called initial value problem. It is known that every the initial value problem has always a solution, i.e. the sequence $\{r_n\}$ is determined uniquely. In other words, the set of parameters, the input sequence and the set of initial conditions determine the output sequence uniquely.

Moreover, the parameter m is called the order of the difference equation and the following algebraic equation:

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{j=0}^m d_j x^j = 0,$$

is called a characteristic equation of the difference equation (3.1). The roots of the characteristic equation are very important since they enable the construction of the solution of the homogeneous equation. The formula for this solution is very simple, if the roots are all different. The case of the different roots of the characteristic equation is also important for the problem of checking if the solution is a pm sequence. Hence we will present this solution for the case of different roots only. For the case of multiple roots we direct the reader to the monograph [5].

We start with the homogeneous linear difference equations with constant coefficients. Obviously the following sequence of numbers:

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k (b_k)^n \right\}_{n \geq 0},$$

for different real b_k , and non-negative α_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$ is a pm sequence. By the way, the support of the measure that generates this sequence has finite cardinality, equal to m and consists of points of the set $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$. On the other hand, it is known that this sequence, let's call it $\{r_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a solution of the following difference equation:

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j S_j(\mathbf{b}) r_{n+m-j} = 0$$

with initial conditions $r_0 = p_0, \dots, r_{m-1} = p_{m-1}$ selected is such a way that coefficients $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1, \dots, m}$ are non-negative. It is known that there exists a direct one-to-one relationship between numbers $\{p_0, \dots, p_{m-1}\}$ and the numbers $\{a_k\}_{k=1, \dots, m}$ with an obvious relationship:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_j b_j^k = p_k,$$

for $k = 0, \dots, m-1$. Here $S_j(\mathbf{b})$ denotes a j -th simple symmetric function of the numbers b_1, \dots, b_m . We know that

$$\prod_{j=1}^m (x - b_j) = \sum_{j=0}^m x^{m-j} (-1)^j S_j(\mathbf{b}),$$

with $S_0(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{1}$.

The fact that in the case of pm sequences only different roots are concerned can be seen, when studying the following example. Let's take $m = 2$ and $b_1 = b_2 = a$ i.e. we consider the following equation

$$r_{n+2} - 2ar_{n+1} + a^2 r_n = 0,$$

with $r_0 = 1$ and $r_1 = r1$. It is not difficult to check that the following sequence

$$r_n = a^{n-1}(nr_1 - a(n-1)),$$

for $n \geq 0$ satisfies the equation. Now, as it is also easy to check, the Hankel transform of this sequence is $1, -(r1 - a)^2, 0, \dots$. Hence, unless $a = r1$, for no real a and $r1$, $\{r_n\}$ is a pm sequence. If $r1 = a$ we have the obviously trivial sequence $r_n = a^n$.

One has to underline that the case of two complex but conjugate roots of the characteristic equation also leads to the non-pm case. More precisely, if one considers, for example, the difference equation:

$$r_{n+2} + a^2 r_n = 0,$$

with $r_0 = 1, r_1 = r1$. Then $\{r_n\}$ is not a pm sequence since we have $r_2 = -a^2 < 0$.

The situation becomes more complicated and generally different when one considers nonhomogeneous linear equations with constant coefficients. That is when

one considers the following difference equation:

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j S_j(\mathbf{b}) r_{n+m-j} = c_n,$$

with $\{c_n\}$ being a pm sequence. First, let us consider the case when $\{c_n\}$ is a pm sequence generated by a discrete distribution with finite support.

As an example, let us take $m = 1$ and $c_n = d^n$.

That is, let us consider such an equation

$$r_{n+1} - ar_n = d^n,$$

with an initial condition $r_0 = p_0$. As it is commonly known the solution of such an equation is given by the formula:

$$r_n = \frac{a^n - d^n}{a - d} + p_0 a^n,$$

for $n \geq 0$. Finding Hankel transform of this sequence we get: $p_0, p_0(d-a) - 1, 0, \dots$. Hence $\{r_n\}$ is a pm sequence iff $p_0 \geq 0$ and $p_0(d-a) - 1 \geq 0$. In particular, if $d > a$ then $p_0 \geq \frac{1}{d-a}$.

Let us note, that if we consider a second-order nonhomogeneous equation of the following form:

$$r_{n+2} + a^2 r_n = b^n,$$

with $r_0 = r_0$ and $r_1 = r_1$. One can easily split solving this equation into two parts. First to consider the case of even n and then the odd n case. Anyway, solving this equation doesn't cause any difficulty. With the help of Mathematica, one obtains the following sequence of Hankel transform of the sequence $\{r_n\}$. Namely, we get:

$$r_0, r_0 - a^2 r_0 - r_1^2, -b^2 (r_1^2 + a^2 r_0^2) + 2r_1 b - 1 + 2a^2 r_0 - a^2 (a^2 r_0^2 + r_1^2), 0, \dots$$

Now, it is enough to notice that the polynomial is $-b^2 (r_1^2 + a^2 r_0^2) + 2r_1 b - 1 + 2a^2 r_0 - a^2 (a^2 r_0^2 + r_1^2)$ negative for all b . Consequently sequence $\{r_n\}$ cannot be a pm sequence for any b different from zero. We will see in a moment that this is not the case when one considers sequence $\{c_n\}$ being a moment sequence of absolutely continuous measure.

To avoid unnecessary complications we will consider signed measures $d\mu$ defined on the real line that satisfy the so-called Cramer's condition, that is that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \int \exp(\delta |x|) d|\mu|(x) < \infty.$$

It is known, that if a measure satisfies this condition, then it can be identified by its moments. Let us call the set of such measures Cra .

Now let us consider a positive measure $dA \in Cra$ and a polynomial $P(x)$ both such that $dB(x) = \frac{1}{P(x)} dA(x) \in Cra$. Note that following Proposition 1 of [19] if only

$$(3.6) \quad \int \frac{1}{P(x)^2} dA(x) < \infty \text{ and } 1/P(x) \geq 0$$

on the supp A , then $dB \in Cra$ and it is a positive measure.

Theorem 2. *Let sequences, respectively $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be pm sequences generated by the measures dA and dB . Then, the sequences are related to one another by the following difference equation:*

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{j=0}^m c_j b_{n+j} = a_n,$$

with initial conditions

$$(3.8) \quad b_k = \int \frac{x^k}{P(x)} dA(x),$$

$k = 0, \dots, m-1$. Coefficient c_j is of x^j in the expansion of the polynomial $P(x)$.

Proof. We start with an obvious equality: $\forall n \geq 0: a_n = \int x^n dA(x)$. Now, recalling that $P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m c_j x^j$ we see that $a_n = \int P(x) x^n dB(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m c_j b_{j+n}$. Now to get unique solution of this equation, we need to set m initial conditions that can be found by calculating m numbers defined by (3.8). \square

Remark 4. *Notice that knowing say sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and the polynomial $P(x)$ satisfying (3.6) we can expand $1/P(x)$ in an infinite series*

$$1/P(x) = \sum_{j \geq 0} d_j x^j,$$

and then we have

$$b_k = \sum_{j \geq 0} d_j a_{j+k},$$

for $k = 0, \dots, m-1$.

Remark 5. *In other words the difference equation (3.7) with an input of the form of a pm sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ generated by a positive measure $dA \in Cra$ has the solution that is also a pm sequence generated by the measure dB also belonging to Cra iff its characteristic polynomial $P(x)$ satisfies condition (3.6). In particular, it has roots of odd multiplicity outside the support of the measure dA .*

4. REMARKS AND EXAMPLES

Let us return to the examples that were analyzed above.

Example 1. *We start with the example with two complex conjugate roots of the characteristic equation. Now, let us consider the following equation:*

$$r_{n+2} + a^2 r_n = b^n,$$

with $r_0 = \frac{1}{a^2+b^2}$, $r_1 = r_1$. It turns out that the Hankel transform of r_n is equal to $\frac{1}{a^2+b^2}$, $\frac{(b-r_1(a^2+b^2))(b+r_1(a^2+b^2))}{(a^2+b^2)^2}$, $\frac{-(b-r_1(a^2+b^2))^2}{a^2+b^2}$, $0, \dots$. Hence, unless $r_1 = \frac{b}{a^2+b^2}$ the solution of the above-mentioned equation cannot be a pm sequence. Thus, the fact that the sequence $\{r_n\}$ is a pm sequence heavily depends on the initial conditions.

Let us consider one more example.

Example 2. Namely, let us consider similar equation excited, this time by a sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{n+1}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$. That is, consider the following difference equation:

$$r_{n+2} + r_n = \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Now let us recall, that we have:

$$\int_0^1 x^n dx = \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

So the measure dA has the density equal to 1 for $x \in [0, 1]$ and zero otherwise. According to the theorem above, the initial conditions should be:

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x^2+1} dx = \frac{\pi}{4}, \\ r_1 &= \int_0^1 \frac{x}{x^2+1} dx = \frac{1}{2} \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can easily solve this difference equation and get (with the help of Mathematica) the following Hankel transform of its solution: 0.785398, 0.0484346, 0.000201726, 5.41176×10^{-8} , 9.22425×10^{-13} , 9.9286×10^{-19} , Now, if we only slightly change the value of, say, r_1 by considering, say $r_1 = 0.1 + 0.5 \times \log 2$, then, again with the help of Mathematica the following sequence of Hankel transforms: 0.785398, 0.0414032, 0.00082643, -0.0000171229 , -1.10472×10^{-7} , -5.22732×10^{-11} , -1.57765×10^{-15} .

Remark 6. This example suggests that this sensitivity either for the initial conditions or for the fact if $\frac{1}{P(x)}dA(x)$ is a positive measure can be used in the numerical calculation to test if, for example, the roots of the odd multiplicity of the polynomial $P(x)$ lie in the support of dA or finding the first values of the integral (3.8).

Let us return now to the question if a convolution of two pm sequences is a pm sequence. From the formula (2.3) it follows that the sequence of arithmetic averages of a convolution of two pm sequences is a pm sequence. However, if we consider the simple case of one sequence, say, $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a moments sequence of the distribution dA and the sequence say $\{b^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ then the solution of the difference equation

$$r_{n+1} - br_n = a_n,$$

with $r_0 = r_0$ is given by the formula

$$r_n = b^n r_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b^{n-j} a_j.$$

Hence for $r_0 = 0$ sequence $\{r_n\}$ is a sequence of convolutions of $\{b^n\}$ and $\{a_n\}$. Moreover, we know from the Theorem 2 that it is a moments sequence if only point b lies outside the support the measure dA , more precisely if $dA(x)/(x-b)$ is a positive measure as it follows from Theorem 2.

Remark 7. Now, let us notice that the scheme in that we have two positive measures absolutely continuous with respect to one another is a general situation. One considers it in the series of papers [16], [18], [17], [20], [15]. These papers provide many consequences of such assumptions, including infinite expansions of the

Radon-Nikodym derivative:

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{dB}{dA}(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i \alpha_i(x),$$

and $\{\alpha_i(x)\}_{i \geq 0}$ is the sequence of polynomials orthogonal with respect to the measure dA . The expansion (4.1) converges in mean-square mod dA provided $\int \left(\frac{dB}{dA}(x)\right)^2 dA(x) < \infty$. Knowing sequences $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$ one is able to find a numerical sequence $\{c_i\}$ and thus get the expansion (4.1). Moreover, following the above mentioned positions of literature, there exists a finite linear relationship between two sets of polynomials $\{\alpha_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$ orthogonal respectively to dA and dB , provided $\frac{dB}{dA}(x) = \frac{1}{P(x)}$. This observation was first made by Pascal Maroni in a more general but more confining context, not necessarily concerning measures. Maroni's approach, followed by his associates in the case of measures concerns mostly polynomials of order at most 4. For details see [9]-[14]. More precisely, there exists a table $\{w_{i,n}\}_{N \times \alpha \geq n \geq 0, 0 \leq i \leq n}$ of real numbers such that:

$$\alpha_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N w_{j,n} \beta_{n-j}(x),$$

where N is the order of the polynomial $P(x)$. This might lead to a new difference equation, this time with non-constant coefficients.

Remark 8. Note, also, that we could have calculated the moment sequence of dB by expanding $\frac{1}{P(x)}$ in an infinite power series and integrating term by term getting:

$$\int x^n dB(x) = \sum_{j \geq 0} d_j \int x^{j+n} dA(x),$$

where, of course, $\sum_{j \geq 0} d_j x^j$ is the postulated expansion of $\frac{1}{P(x)}$. If the series on the right-hand side of the above-mentioned formula is convergent, we have the other relationship combining moments of the measures dA and dB . Such an approach of calculating the sequence of moments of some measure in two different ways can lead to discovering new, interesting relationships, not only in the moment sequences in question but also between sequences describing these moment sequences. This was done for example in the paper [21].

REFERENCES

- [1] Aheizer, N. I.; Krein, M. Some questions in the theory of moments. Translated by W. Fleming and D. Prill. *Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 2 American Mathematical Society*, Providence, R.I. 1962 {rm v}+265 pp. MR0167806
- [2] Boas, R. P., Jr. The Stieltjes moment problem for functions of bounded variation. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **45** (1939), no. 6, 399–404. MR1563993
- [3] Berg, Christian. Fibonacci numbers and orthogonal polynomials. *Arab J. Math. Sci.* **17** (2011), no. 2, 75–88. MR2884174
- [4] Bennett, Grahame. Hausdorff means and moment sequences. *Positivity* **15** (2011), no. 1, 17–48. MR2782745
- [5] Cull, Paul; Flahive, Mary; Robson, Robby. Difference equations. From rabbits to chaos. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. *Springer*, New York, 2005. xiv+392 pp. ISBN: 0-387-23233-8 MR2131908
- [6] Chihara, T. S. An introduction to orthogonal polynomials. Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 13. *Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris*, 1978. xii+249 pp. ISBN: 0-677-04150-0 MR0481884 (58 #1979)

- [7] Grimaldi, Ralph P. Fibonacci and Catalan numbers. An introduction. *John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ*, 2012. xiv+366 pp. ISBN: 978-0-470-63157-7 MR2963306
- [8] Layman, John W. The Hankel transform and some of its properties. *J. Integer Seq.* **4** (2001), no. 1, Article 01.1.5, 11 pp. MR1848942
- [9] Maroni, P. Sur la suite de polynômes orthogonaux associée à la forme $u = \delta_{c+\lambda(x-c)}^{-1}$. (French) [On the sequence of orthogonal polynomials associated with the form $u = \delta_{c+\lambda(x-c)}^{-1}$] *Period. Math. Hungar.* **21** (1990), no. 3, 223–248. MR1105709 (92c:42025)
- [10] Beghdadi, Driss; Maroni, Pascal. On the inverse problem of the product of a semi-classical form by a polynomial. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **88** (1998), no. 2, 377–399. MR1613266 (99e:33005)
- [11] Maroni, P. On a regular form defined by a pseudo-function. Orthogonal polynomials and numerical analysis (Luminy, 1994). *Numer. Algorithms* **11** (1996), no. 1-4, 243–254. MR1383388 (97b:42044)
- [12] Maroni, P.; Nicolau, I. On the inverse problem of the product of a form by a polynomial: the cubic case. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **45** (2003), no. 4, 419–451. MR1983488 (2004f:33021)
- [13] Maroni, P.; Nicolau, I. On the inverse problem of the product of a form by a monomial: the case $n=4$. I. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **21** (2010), no. 1-2, 35–56. MR2582780 (2011b:42091)
- [14] Maroni, P.; Nicolau, I. On the inverse problem of the product of a form by a monomial: the case $n=4$. Part II. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **22** (2011), no. 3, 175–193. MR2754205 (2011m:42055)
- [15] Szabłowski, Paweł J., A few remarks on orthogonal polynomials, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **252** (2015), 215–228. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.1172>
- [16] Szabłowski, Paweł J. Expansions of one density via polynomials orthogonal with respect to the other. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **383** (2011), no. 1, 35–54. MR2812716, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1492>
- [17] Szabłowski, Paweł J. On affinity relating two positive measures and the connection coefficients between polynomials orthogonalized by these measures. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219** (2013), no. 12, 6768–6776. MR3027843
- [18] Szabłowski, Paweł J. Befriending Askey–Wilson polynomials, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, Vol. **17**, No. 3 (2014) 1450015 (25 pages) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.0601>.
- [19] Paweł J. Szabłowski, On positivity of orthogonal series and its applications in probability, *Positivity* **26**, article 19(2022), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.02710>.
- [20] Szabłowski, Paweł J. On the families of polynomials forming a part of the Askey–Wilson scheme and their probabilistic applications. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **25** (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 2230001, 57 pp. MR4408180, ArXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.03267>
- [21] Szabłowski, Paweł J. Yet another way of calculating moments of the Kesten’s distribution and its consequences for Catalan numbers and Catalan triangles. *Discrete Math.* **345** (2022), no. 9, Paper No. 112891, 8 pp. MR4417241
- [22] Szabłowski, Paweł J. , Stationary, Markov, stochastic processes with polynomial conditional moments and continuous paths, arXiv:2206.11798.

EMERITUS IN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCES,, WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, UL KOSZYKOWA 75, 00-662 WARSAW, POLAND,
Email address: pawel.szablowski@gmail.com