

# The loss value of multilinear regression

Helmut Kahl

**Abstract.** Determinant formulas are presented for: a certain positive semi-definite, hermitian matrix; the loss value of multilinear regression; the multiple linear regression coefficient.

**Mathematical Subject Classification (2010).** 15A03, 15A15, 62H12.

**Keywords.** determinant; positive semidefinite hermitian matrix; loss value of multilinear regression; multiple regression coefficient

## 1. Euclidean distance by help of determinants

**Introduction.** We consider the euclidean norm  $x \mapsto \|x\| := \sqrt{x^*x}$  of column vectors  $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . For a complex  $m \times n$ -matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  and a vector  $b \in \mathbb{C}^m$  we denote by  $(A|b)$  the  $m \times (n+1)$ -matrix  $A$  together with  $b$  as the last column. The following theorem is well-known in the real case and can be shown by help of a formula for the volume of an  $n$ -dimensional parallelepiped embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Here we offer a less known proof via QR-decomposition of a complex matrix.

**Theorem 1.** *For the euclidean distance  $\text{dist}(A, b)$  between  $b \in \mathbb{C}^m$  and the column space of  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  it holds:*

$$\text{dist}(A, b) \sqrt{\det(A^*A)} = \sqrt{\det((A|b)^*(A|b))}$$

*Proof.* For a unitary  $m \times m$ -matrix  $Q$  it holds  $\text{dist}(A, b) = \text{dist}(QA, Qb)$  due to [1], thm. 2.1.4(g). According to [1], thm. 2.1.14(d) there is a unitary  $Q$  s.t.  $(A|b) = Q(R|c)$  with  $(R|c)$  upper right triangular. Hence  $\delta = \text{dist}(R, c)$  is the absolute value of the  $(n+1)$ -th coordinate of  $c$ . So we have

$$\det((A|b)^*(A|b)) = \det((R|c)^*(R|c)) = \delta^2 \det(R^*R) = \delta^2 \det(A^*A).$$

Since  $\det(A^*A) \geq 0$  for arbitrary complex matrices  $A$ , s. e.g. [1], thm. 4.1.5 & 7.2.7(a), the assertion follows.  $\square$

**Matrix equation for the distance.** In case  $A$  has full column rank  $\text{rk}(A) = n$  we have  $\det(A^*A) > 0$ . Then the formula yields  $\text{dist}(A, b)$  as a quotient of the two square root values. And by plugging the minimum point  $x = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*b$  into  $\|Ax - b\|^2$  we obtain

$$\det((A|b)^*(A|b))/\det(A^*A) = \text{dist}(A, b)^2 = b^*b - b^*A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*b.$$

**Special determinant equation.** Now, for a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1) \times n}$  let  $A_i$  denote the matrix  $A$  without its  $i$ -th row. Via developing  $\det(A|a_j) = 0$  by the last column for every column  $a_j$  of  $A$  we see that the vector

$$b := \left( (-1)^n \overline{\det(A_i)} \right)_{i=1, \dots, n+1}$$

is orthogonal to the column space of  $A$ . Applying Theorem 1 to  $A$  and  $b$  we obtain

**Corollary 2.** For  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1) \times n}$  holds the identity of  $n \times n$ -determinants:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\det(A_i)|^2 = \det(A^*A)$$

## 2. Loss value and correlation

**Introduction.** The task of *multiple linear regression* is the computation of *regression coefficients*  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  of the *fitting hyperplane* (in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ )

$$y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n$$

as a function of variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$  from (*empirical*) data points

$$(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}, y_1), \dots, (x_{m1}, \dots, x_{mn}, y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

s.t. the *loss value*

$$\delta := \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \alpha_n x_{in} - y_i)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

is at minimum. For  $a := (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)^t$ ,  $y := (y_1, \dots, y_m)^t$  and the matrix  $(1|X)$  that we obtain from  $X := (x_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_m, j \in \mathbb{N}_n}$  by prepending  $(1, \dots, 1)^t \in \mathbb{R}^m$  as an extra column (of index 0) we have  $\delta = \|(1|X)a - y\|$ . So the minimal value of  $\delta$  is the euclidean distance between  $y$  and the column space of  $(1|X)$ .

**Centering.** In statistics it is common to express empirical values of expectation with help of the arithmetic mean  $\bar{y} := (y_1 + \dots + y_m)/m$  of a (*sample*) vector like  $y$  above. A regression vector  $a$  like described above is defined by the normal equation system

$$(1|X)^t(1|X)a = (1|X)^t y. \quad (2.1)$$

After division by  $m$  the equation of row index 0 of equation 2.1 ends in

$$\bar{y} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \bar{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \bar{x}_n \quad (2.2)$$

where  $x_j$  denotes the  $j$ -th column of  $X$ . We denote by  $\hat{y} := (y_1 - \bar{y}, \dots, y_m - \bar{y})^t$  the *centering* of  $y$  and by  $\hat{X}$  the  $m \times n$ -matrix obtained from  $X$  by centering all its columns.<sup>1</sup> Then the normal equations of row indices 1 to  $n$  of equation 2.1 are transformed to

$$\hat{X}^t \hat{X} a_1 = \hat{X}^t \hat{y}, a_1 := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)^t \quad (2.3)$$

by subtracting the  $\bar{x}_i$ -th multiple of equation 2.2 from the  $i$ -th normal equation for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This shows  $\text{rk}(1|X) = \text{rk}(\hat{X}) + 1$ .

**Theorem 3.** *In case  $\text{rk}(1|X) = n + 1$  the loss value of the sample matrix  $(X|y)$  equals*

$$\sqrt{\det \left( \left( \hat{X} | \hat{y} \right)^t \left( \hat{X} | \hat{y} \right) \right) / \det \left( (\hat{X})^t \hat{X} \right)}$$

*Proof.* Expressing  $\alpha_0$  in terms of the other regression coefficients by help of equation 2.2 gives us  $y - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 x_1 - \dots - \alpha_n x_n = \hat{y} - \alpha_1 \hat{x}_1 - \dots - \alpha_n \hat{x}_n$ . Hence the loss value is the euclidean distance between  $\hat{y}$  and the column space of  $\hat{X}$ . Because  $\hat{X}$  has full rank the formula follows by Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Correlation.** For the orthogonal projection  $p := (1|X)a$  of  $y$  onto the column space of  $(1|X)$  it holds  $\hat{p} = \hat{X} a_1$ . So by equation 2.3  $\hat{p}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\hat{y}$  onto the column space of  $\hat{X}$ . Hence in case  $\hat{y}, \hat{p} \neq 0$  the angle between  $\hat{y}$  and  $\hat{p}$  is at most  $\pi/2$ .<sup>2</sup> Therefore the *multiple correlation coefficient*

$$\rho(X, y) := \hat{y}^t \hat{p} / \|\hat{p}\| / \|\hat{y}\|$$

between  $y$  and  $X$  is non-negative. According to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality it is at most 1. The latter theorem allows the computation of  $\rho(X, y)$  without the computation of  $p$ , i.e. without performing the linear regression.

**Corollary 4.** *For a sample vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $\hat{y} \neq 0$  and a sample matrix  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $\text{rk}(\hat{X}) = n$  it holds*

$$\rho(X, y) = \sqrt{1 - \det \left( \left( \hat{X} | \hat{y} \right)^t \left( \hat{X} | \hat{y} \right) \right) / \left( \det \left( (\hat{X})^t \hat{X} \right) \hat{y}^t \hat{y} \right)}$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Theorem 3 by the Theorem of Pythagoras applied to  $\hat{y} / \|\hat{y}\|$  as the hypotenuse and  $\hat{p} / \|\hat{y}\|$  as a cathetus.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>Then  $(\hat{X}^t \hat{X}) / (m-1)$  is the *sample covariance matrix* of the sample matrix  $X$ . It serves as an estimator of the *covariance matrix* of the random vector  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  whose  $m$  samples are given by  $X$ , row by row. With the additional random variable  $Y$  whose samples are represented by  $y$  the *mean squared loss value*  $\delta^2 / (m-1)$  is an estimator of the expected value of the random variable  $(Y - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 X_1 - \dots - \alpha_n X_n)^2$ ; s. e.g. [2], Kap. 3.8!

<sup>2</sup>The condition  $\hat{y} \neq 0$  means a non-zero *sample variance*  $(\hat{y}^t \hat{y}) / (m-1)$  of  $y$ .

## References

- [1] R. A. Horn/C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, 2nd ed. (2013) Cambr. Univ. Press
- [2] F. E. Beichelt/D. C. Montgomery (Hrsg.), *Teubner-Taschenbuch der Stochastik* (2003) Teubner Verlag

Helmut Kahl  
Munich University of Applied Sciences  
Lothstr. 34  
D-80335 München  
Germany  
e-mail: [kahl@hm.edu](mailto:kahl@hm.edu)