

THE SECRETARY PROBLEM WITH MULTIPLE ITEMS AT EACH RANK

ROSS G. PINSKY

ABSTRACT. For $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the following adaptation of the classical secretary problem, which corresponds to $k = 1$. There are k items at each of n distinguishable ranks. The kn items are revealed, one item at a time, in a uniformly random order, to an observer whose objective is to select an item with the highest rank. At each stage the observer only knows the relative ranks of the items that have arrived thus far, and must either select the current item, in which case the process terminates, or reject it and continue to the next item. For $M \in \{0, 1, \dots, kn - 1\}$, let $\mathcal{S}(n, k; M)$ denote the strategy whereby one allows the first M items to pass, and then chooses the first later arriving item whose rank is *either equal to or greater than* the highest rank of the first M items (if such an item exists). Let $P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}(n, k; M))$ denote the probability of selecting an item of highest rank using strategy $\mathcal{S}(n, k; M)$. We obtain a formula for $P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}(n, k; M))$, and a formula for $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}(n, k; M_n))$, when $M_n \sim ckn$, with $c \in (0, 1)$. As is very well known, in the classical secretary problem ($k = 1$), the asymptotically optimal strategy $M_n \sim cn$ occurs with $c = \frac{1}{e} \approx 0.368$, and the corresponding asymptotic probability of success is $\frac{1}{e} \approx 0.368$. For $k = 2$, the asymptotically optimal strategy $M_n \sim ckn$ occurs with $c \approx 0.368$ —almost exactly (but not exactly) the same c as in the classical case, and the corresponding asymptotic probability of success jumps dramatically to about 0.701. For $k = 3$, the optimal probability is above 0.85, for $k = 7$, that probability exceeds 0.99, and for $k = 10$, it is approximately 0.999. The optimal value of c stabilizes around 0.486 for $n \geq 20$. We also consider the strategies $\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M)$, for $M \in \{0, \dots, kn - 1\}$, whereby one allows the first M items to pass, and then chooses the first later arriving item whose rank is *strictly greater than* the highest rank of the first M items (if such an item exists). We show that these strategies turn the problem with multiple items at each rank into one that is essentially equivalent to the classical secretary problem.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G40, 60C05.

Key words and phrases. secretary problem, optimal stopping, permutations of multi-sets.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Recall the classical secretary problem: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a set of n distinctly ranked items is revealed, one item at a time, to an observer whose objective is to select the item with the highest rank. The order of the items is uniformly random; that is, each of the $n!$ permutations of the ranks is equally likely. At each stage, the observer only knows the relative ranks of the items that have arrived thus far, and must either select the current item, in which case the process terminates, or reject it and continue to the next item. If the observer rejects the first $n - 1$ items, then the n th and final item to arrive must be accepted. Since only relative ranks are known, the only reasonable strategies are $\{\mathcal{S}(n; M)\}_{M=0}^{n-1}$, where the strategy $\mathcal{S}(n; M)$ is to let the first M items pass, and then to select the first later arriving item that is ranked higher than all of the first M items (if such an item exists). As is very well known, asymptotically as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the optimal strategy is $\mathcal{S}(n; M_n)$, where $M_n \sim \frac{n}{e}$. The limiting probability of successfully selecting the item of highest rank is $\frac{1}{e}$.

In this paper, we extend the secretary problem to the case that there are multiple items at each rank. Fix an integer $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider kn items and n ranks, with k items at each rank. The kn items are revealed, one item at a time, to an observer whose objective is to select an item with the highest rank. The order of the items is uniformly random. At each stage the observer only knows the relative ranks of the items that have arrived thus far, and must either select the current item, in which case the process terminates, or reject it and continue to the next item. Thus, the problem is equivalent to the problem of revealing one by one the elements in a uniformly random permutation of the multi-set, denoted by $\{1^k, 2^k, \dots, n^k\}$, which consists of k repetitions of each number in $[n]$.

The main results of this paper concern a class of strategies of a form similar to, but slightly different from, the above mentioned strategies in the classical secretary problem. For $0 \leq M < nk$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}(n, k; M)$ the strategy whereby one allows the first M items to pass, and then chooses the first later arriving item whose rank is *either equal to or greater than* the highest rank of the first M items (if such an item exists). We also consider the strategies $\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M)$ whereby one allows the first M items to pass,

and then chooses the first later arriving item whose rank is *strictly greater than* the highest rank of the first M items (if such an item exists). Whereas the asymptotically optimal strategy from the first class of strategies above yields dramatically higher probabilities than in the classical case, this latter set of strategies turns the problem with multiple items at each rank into one that is essentially equivalent to the classical secretary problem.

Let $P_{n,k}$ denote the uniform measure on the permutations of the multi-set $\{1^k, 2^k, \dots, n^k\}$, and with a slight abuse of notation, let $P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}(n, k; M))$ ($P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M))$) denote the probability of choosing an item of highest rank when using the strategy $\mathcal{S}(n, k; M)$ ($\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M)$). We use the notation $(b)_a = b(b-1)\cdots(b-a+1)$ for falling factorials, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Our first result gives an exact formula for $P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}(n, k; M))$.

Proposition 1.

$$(1.1) \quad P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}(n, k; M)) = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{\binom{M}{l} (k)_l (k(n-1))_{M-l}}{(kn)_M} + k \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\binom{M}{l} (k)_l (k(j-1))_{M-l}}{(kn)_M} \frac{1}{k(n-j+1)-l}.$$

An asymptotic analysis of the formula in Proposition 1 leads to the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let $M_n \sim ckn$, where $c \in (0, 1)$. Then*

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}(n, k; M_n)) = -(1-c)^k \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{l} \left(\frac{c}{1-c}\right)^l \frac{l}{k-l} + k \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{l} c^l \int_0^{1-c} \frac{y^{k-l-1}}{1-y^k} dy - c^k \log(1 - (1-c)^k).$$

Using partial fractions and trigonometric substitution, we can calculate explicitly the integrals on the right hand side above for the cases $k = 2, 3$.

We obtain

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,2}(\mathcal{S}(n, 2; M_n)) &= -2c(1-c) + (2c-c^2) \log(2-c) - (2c+c^2) \log c; \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,3}(\mathcal{S}(n, 3; M_n)) &= -\frac{3}{2}(1-c)c(1+3c) - (3c+3c^2+c^3) \log c + \\ &\left(\frac{3}{2}c + \frac{3}{2}c^2 - c^3\right) \log(c^2 - 3c + 3) + 3\sqrt{3}(-c + c^2) \arctan\left(\frac{3-2c}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{2}(c - c^2). \end{aligned}$$

The table below shows the optimal value of c and the corresponding optimal limiting probability of choosing an item of highest rank. For $k = 2$, the probability of success is about .701, compared to $\frac{1}{e} \approx .368$ in the classical case when there is only one item of each rank, while the optimal choice of c , namely, $c \approx 0.386$, is almost exactly (but not exactly) the same as in the classical case, where it is $\frac{1}{e}$. For $k = 3$, the optimal probability is above 0.85, for $k = 7$, that probability exceeds 0.99, and for $k = 10$, it is approximately 0.999. The optimal value of c stabilizes around 0.486 for $n \geq 20$.

k	argmax for c	max. prob.
2	0.386	0.701
3	0.413	0.854
4	0.431	0.928
5	0.444	0.964
6	0.453	0.982
7	0.460	0.991
8	0.465	0.996
9	0.465	0.996
10	0.472	0.999
15	0.481	1.000
20	0.486	1.000
25	0.486	1.000

TABLE 1. Optimal c and optimal probability

We now consider the strategies $P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M))$.

Proposition 2.

$$(1.4) \quad P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\binom{M}{l} (k)_l (k(j-1))_{M-l}}{(kn)_M} \frac{1}{n-j}.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $M_n \sim ckn$, where $c \in (0, 1)$. Then*

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M_n)) = -(1 - (1 - c)^k) \log(1 - (1 - c)^k).$$

As is very well known, for the classical secretary problem with strategy $\mathcal{S}(n; M)$, as defined in the first paragraph of the paper, the asymptotic limiting probability of selecting the item of highest rank is equal to $-c \log c$, if $M_n \sim cn$, $c \in (0, 1)$. Thus, Theorem 2 shows that the asymptotic limiting probability of selecting an item of highest rank in the secretary problem with multiple items at each rank when using the strategy $\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M_n)$ with $M_n \sim c^+ kn$ is equal to the asymptotic limiting probability of selecting the item of highest rank in the classical secretary problem when using the strategy $\mathcal{S}(n; M_n)$ with $M_n \sim cn$, where $c^+ = 1 - (1 - c)^{\frac{1}{k}}$. Since $-c \log c$, for $c \in (0, 1)$, attains its maximum value of $\frac{1}{e}$ at $x = \frac{1}{e}$, the following corollary of Theorem 2 is immediate.

Corollary 1. *The asymptotically optimal strategy from among the strategies $\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M_n)$ is the one with $M_n \sim (1 - (1 - \frac{1}{e})^k)kn$, and the corresponding optimal limiting probability of selecting an item of highest rank is $\frac{1}{e}$.*

The reason the secretary problem with multiple items at each rank, considered with the strategies $\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M)$, is essentially turned into a classical secretary problem is that under these strategies the only highest ranked item that is possible to select is the first one that appears.

The classical secretary problem, where there is one item at each rank, but with adaptations to increase the chance of winning, go all the way back to the fundamental paper of Gilbert and Mosteller [3]. In particular, they considered the situation where one is given r opportunities to select the highest ranked item, as well as the situation in which one is given one opportunity to select an item from among the r top ranked items. In the first situation above, when there are r opportunities to select the highest ranked item, they showed that the asymptotic probability of winning when using the best strategy is about 0.591 for $r = 2$, and increases to about 0.965 when $r = 8$. In the second situation above, when there is one opportunity to select an item from among the r top ranked items, they showed that the asymptotic probability of winning when using the best strategy is about 0.574 for $r = 2$. The authors did not analyze their formula numerically in cases with $r > 2$.

For the secretary problem in its classical setup, but with items arriving in a non-uniform order, see for example [3, 4, 5] and the recent papers [6, 7].

See [1] for another approach to the secretary problem, and see [2] for a review of a variety of other variations on the secretary problem.

As far as we can tell, the problem treated here has not appeared in the literature.

We prove Proposition 1 in section 2 and Theorem 1 in section 3. The proofs of Proposition 2 and Theorem 2 are obtained quickly in section 4 by making small changes in the previous proofs.

2. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

Fix $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider a uniform permutation of the multi-set $\{1^k, 2^k, \dots, n^k\}$. The kn items of this multi-set arrive according to the order of this permutation. We consider n to be the highest rank and 1 to be the lowest rank. We wish to calculate the probability $P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}(n, k; M))$ of winning under the strategy $\mathcal{S}(n, k; M)$, which was introduced in the third paragraph of the paper.

Let $A_{M,j,l}^{(n)}$ denote the event that among the first M items, the number j has appeared l times, and no number greater than j has appeared at all, where $1 \leq M \leq kn - 1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $l \in [k]$. To calculate $P_{n,k}(A_{M,j,l}^{(n)})$, it will be convenient to consider all nk objects as distinguishable from one and other. (For each $i \in [n]$, think of the k different i 's as $\{i_l\}_{l=1}^k$.) Then there are $(kn)_M$ different possible outcomes for the first M items. In order for $A_{M,j,l}^{(n)}$ to occur, one needs to select l locations to place the number j , one needs to select l j 's from among the k different j 's, and one needs to fill the other $M - l$ locations with numbers less than j . Thus,

$$(2.1) \quad P_{n,k}(A_{M,j,l}^{(n)}) = \frac{\binom{M}{l} (k)_l (k(j-1))_{M-l}}{(kn)_M}.$$

(Of course, the above probability is zero if $M - l > k(j - 1)$.)

Consider now the case that $j \in [n - 1]$. If one employs the strategy $\mathcal{S}(n, k; M)$, and the event $A_{M,j,l}^{(n)}$ occurs, then after the M th items arrives, one will select the first item larger or equal to j . Among the items arriving after the M th item arrives, there are $k(n - j + 1) - l$ items that are larger or equal to j , of which k of them have the highest rank n . Since items arrive in uniformly random order, the conditional probability of selecting an item

of highest rank, conditioned on $A_{M,j,l}^{(n)}$, is given by

$$(2.2) \quad P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}(n, k; M) | A_{M,j,l}^{(n)}) = \frac{k}{k(n-j+1)-l}, \quad j \in [n-1].$$

On the other hand, consider now the case $j = n$. If one employs the strategy $\mathcal{S}(n, k; M)$, and the event $A_{M,n,l}^{(n)}$ occurs, then after the M th item arrives, one will select the first item that is equal to n , if such an item exists. Of course, it will exist if $l \in [k-1]$ and it won't exist if $l = k$. Thus,

$$(2.3) \quad P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}(n, k; M) | A_{M,n,l}^{(n)}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l \in [k-1]; \\ 0, & \text{if } l = k. \end{cases}$$

Since for each M , the collection of events $\{A_{M,j,l}^{(n)} : j \in [n], l \in [k]\}$ are disjoint, and the probability of their union is 1, it follows from (2.1)-(2.3) that

$$P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}(n, k; M)) = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{\binom{M}{l} (k)_l (k(n-1))_{M-l}}{(kn)_M} + k \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\binom{M}{l} (k)_l (k(j-1))_{M-l}}{(kn)_M} \frac{1}{k(n-j+1)-l}.$$

□

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $M_n = c_n kn$, be an integer for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = c$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we write the second term on the right hand side of (1.1), with $M = M_n$, as

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & k \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\binom{M_n}{l} (k)_l (k(j-1))_{M_n-l}}{(kn)_{M_n}} \frac{1}{k(n-j+1)-l} = \\ & k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{c_n kn}{l} (k)_l \sum_{j=1}^{n-N} \frac{(k(j-1))_{c_n kn-l}}{(kn)_{c_n kn}} \frac{1}{k(n-j+1)-l} + \\ & k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{c_n kn}{l} (k)_l \sum_{j=n-N+1}^{n-1} \frac{(k(j-1))_{c_n kn-l}}{(kn)_{c_n kn}} \frac{1}{k(n-j+1)-l}. \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently large n , there exists a constant $C = C(k, l, c)$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \binom{c_n kn}{l} \frac{(k(j-1))_{c_n kn-l}}{(kn)_{c_n kn}} \leq C \frac{(k(j-1))_{c_n kn-l}}{(kn)_{c_n kn-l}} \leq C \frac{(kj)_{c_n kn-l}}{(kn)_{c_n kn-l}}.$$

Using the fact that $\frac{a-x}{b-x}$ is decreasing in x for $0 < x < a < b$, it follows that for any $c' \in (0, c)$ and for sufficiently large n ,

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\binom{kj}{kn}_{c_n kn-l}}{\binom{kj}{kn}_{c_n kn-l}} \leq \left(\frac{kj}{kn}\right)^{c_n kn-l} \leq \left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{c' kn}, \quad l \in [k].$$

From (3.2) and (3.3) we have for large n ,

$$(3.4) \quad \binom{c_n kn}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{n-N} \frac{\binom{k(j-1)}{kn}_{c_n kn-l}}{\binom{k(j-1)}{kn}_{c_n kn-l}} \frac{1}{k(n-j+1)-l} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n-N} \left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{c' kn}.$$

Also,

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n-N} \left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{c' kn} = n \sum_{j=1}^{n-N} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{c' kn} \leq n \int_0^{1-\frac{N-1}{n}} x^{c' kn} dx \leq \frac{n}{c' kn + 1} \left(1 - \frac{N-1}{n}\right)^{c' kn+1} \leq \frac{n}{c' kn + 1} e^{-\frac{N-1}{n}(c' kn+1)}.$$

From (3.4) and (3.5), we conclude that the expression on the second line of (3.1) satisfies

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{c_n kn}{l} \binom{k}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{n-N} \frac{\binom{k(j-1)}{kn}_{c_n kn-l}}{\binom{k(j-1)}{kn}_{c_n kn-l}} \frac{1}{k(n-j+1)-l} = 0.$$

Letting $s = n - j$, we write the expression on the third line of (3.1) as

$$(3.7) \quad k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{c_n kn}{l} \binom{k}{l} \sum_{j=n-N+1}^{n-1} \frac{\binom{k(j-1)}{kn}_{c_n kn-l}}{\binom{k(j-1)}{kn}_{c_n kn-l}} \frac{1}{k(n-j+1)-l} = k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{c_n kn}{l} \binom{k}{l} \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} \frac{\binom{k(n-s-1)}{kn}_{c_n kn-l}}{\binom{k(n-s-1)}{kn}_{c_n kn-l}} \frac{1}{k(s+1)-l}.$$

We write

$$(3.8) \quad \binom{c_n kn}{l} \frac{\binom{k(n-s-1)}{kn}_{c_n kn-l}}{\binom{k(n-s-1)}{kn}_{c_n kn-l}} = \frac{\binom{c_n kn}{l}}{\prod_{i=1}^l (kn - c_n kn + i)} \prod_{t=0}^{c_n kn-l-1} \frac{k(n-s-1)-t}{kn-t}.$$

We have

$$(3.9) \quad \prod_{t=0}^{c_n kn-l-1} \frac{k(n-s-1)-t}{kn-t} = \prod_{i=(1-c_n)kn+l+1}^{kn} \left(1 - \frac{k(s+1)}{i}\right),$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \log \prod_{i=(1-c_n)kn+l+1}^{kn} \left(1 - \frac{k(s+1)}{i}\right) = \sum_{i=(1-c_n)kn+l+1}^{kn} \log\left(1 - \frac{k(s+1)}{i}\right) = -k(s+1) \sum_{i=(1-c_n)kn+l+1}^{kn} \frac{1}{i} + o(1) = k(s+1) \log(1-c_n) + o(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \prod_{t=0}^{c_n kn-l-1} \frac{k(n-s-1)-t}{kn-t} = (1+o(1))(1-c)^{k(s+1)}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\binom{c_n kn}{l}}{\prod_{i=1}^l (kn - c_n kn + i)} = \frac{1}{l!} \left(\frac{c}{1-c}\right)^l.$$

Now (3.8), (3.11) and (3.12) yield

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \binom{c_n kn}{l} \frac{(k(n-s-1))_{c_n kn-l}}{(kn)_{c_n kn}} = \frac{1}{l!} \left(\frac{c}{1-c}\right)^l (1-c)^{k(s+1)}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.7), it follows from (3.13) that the expression on the third line of (3.1) satisfies

$$(3.14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{c_n kn}{l} (k)_l \sum_{j=n-N+1}^{n-1} \frac{(k(j-1))_{c_n kn-l}}{(kn)_{c_n kn}} \frac{1}{k(n-j+1)-l} = k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \left(\frac{c}{1-c}\right)^l \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} (1-c)^{k(s+1)} \frac{1}{k(s+1)-l}.$$

From (3.1), (3.6) and (3.14), we conclude that the second term on the right hand side of (1.1), with $M = M_n$, satisfies

$$(3.15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\binom{M_n}{l} (k)_l (k(j-1))_{M_n-l}}{(kn)_{M_n}} \frac{1}{k(n-j+1)-l} = k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \left(\frac{c}{1-c}\right)^l \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (1-c)^{k(s+1)} \frac{1}{k(s+1)-l}, \text{ for } M_n \sim cn, c \in (0,1).$$

We now consider the first term on the right hand side of (1.1), with $M = M_n = c_n kn \sim ckn$. Although we considered (3.13) for $s \geq 1$, of course

it also holds for $s = 0$. Thus, the first term on the right hand side of (1.1) satisfies

$$(3.16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{\binom{M_n}{l} (k)_l (k(n-1))_{M_n-l}}{(kn)_{M_n}} = (1-c)^k \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{l} \left(\frac{c}{1-c}\right)^l.$$

From (1.1), (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}(n, k; M_n)) = k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \left(\frac{c}{1-c}\right)^l \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (1-c)^{k(s+1)} \frac{1}{k(s+1)-l} +$$

$$(1-c)^k \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{l} \left(\frac{c}{1-c}\right)^l, \text{ for } M_n \sim cn, c \in (0, 1).$$

We now analyze the infinite series on the right hand side of (3.17).

Let

$$(3.18) \quad G_{k,l}(x) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k(s+1)}}{k(s+1)-l}.$$

Then

$$(x^{-l} G_{k,l})' = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} x^{k(s+1)-l-1} = \frac{x^{2k-l-1}}{1-x^k} = \begin{cases} -x^{k-l-1} + \frac{x^{k-l-1}}{1-x^k}, & l = 1, \dots, k-1; \\ \frac{x^{k-1}}{1-x^k}, & l = k. \end{cases}$$

Integrating and noting that $G_{k,l}$ vanishes at zero to the order $2k$, we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad G_{k,l}(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{x^k}{k-l} + x^l \int_0^x \frac{y^{k-l-1}}{1-y^k} dy, & l = 1, \dots, k-1; \\ -\frac{x^k}{k} \log(1-x^k), & l = k. \end{cases}$$

From (3.18) and (3.19), we can rewrite the first term on the right hand side of (3.17) as

$$(3.20) \quad k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \left(\frac{c}{1-c}\right)^l \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (1-c)^{k(s+1)} \frac{1}{(s+1)k-l} =$$

$$-k(1-c)^k \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{l} \left(\frac{c}{1-c}\right)^l \frac{1}{k-l} + k \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{l} c^l \int_0^{1-c} \frac{y^{k-l-1}}{1-y^k} dy -$$

$$c^k \log(1-(1-c)^k).$$

Now (1.2) follows from (3.17) and (3.20). \square

4. PROOFS OF PROPOSITION 2 AND THEOREM 2

Proof of Proposition 2. We follow the proof of Proposition 1 up through (2.1). Consider now the case that $j \in [n-1]$. If one employs the strategy $\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M)$, and the event $A_{M,j,l}^{(n)}$ occurs, then after the M th item arrives, one will select the first item strictly larger than j . Among the items arriving after the M th item arrives, there are $k(n-j)$ items that are larger than j , of which k of them have the highest rank n . Since items arrive in uniformly random order, the conditional probability of selecting an item of highest rank, conditioned on $A_{M,j,l}^{(n)}$, is given by

$$(4.1) \quad P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M) | A_{M,j,l}^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{(n-j)}, \quad j \in [n-1].$$

On the other hand, consider now the case $j = n$. If one employs the strategy $\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M)$, and the event $A_{M,n,l}^{(n)}$ occurs, then after the M th item arrives, one is required to select the first item that is greater than n , but of course no such item exists; therefore,

$$(4.2) \quad P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M) | A_{M,n,l}^{(n)}) = 0.$$

Since for each M , the collection of events $\{A_{M,j,l}^{(n)} : j \in [n], l \in [k]\}$ are disjoint, and the probability of their union is 1, it follows from (2.1), (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\binom{M}{l} (k)_l (k(j-1))_{M-l}}{(kn)_M} \frac{1}{(n-j)}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. The right hand side of (1.4), with $M = M_n$, is equal to the expression whose limit is taken on the left hand side of (3.15), but with the fraction $\frac{1}{k(n-j+1)-l}$ appearing there replaced by $\frac{1}{k(n-j)}$. Consequently,

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M_n)) = \left(k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \left(\frac{c}{1-c} \right)^l \right) \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (1-c)^{k(s+1)} \frac{1}{ks} \right),$$

for $M_n \sim cn$, $c \in (0, 1)$,

where the right hand side above is the right hand side of (3.15), but with the fraction $\frac{1}{k(s+1)-l}$ appearing there replaced by $\frac{1}{ks}$. Note that the infinite

series appearing on the right hand side of (4.3) is equal to $G_{k,k}(1-c)$, which was defined in (3.18). Thus, it follows from (4.3) and (3.19) that

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,k}(\mathcal{S}^+(n, k; M_n)) = \left(k \sum_{l=1}^k \binom{k}{l} \left(\frac{c}{1-c} \right)^l \right) \left(\left(-\frac{(1-c)^k}{k} \log(1 - (1-c)^k) \right) \right) =$$

$$\left(\left(\frac{c}{1-c} + 1 \right)^k - 1 \right) \left(- (1-c)^k \log(1 - (1-c)^k) \right) =$$

$$- (1 - (1-c)^k) \log(1 - (1-c)^k).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Bruss, F.T., *Sum the odds to one and stop*, Ann. Probab. **28** (2000), 1384-1391.
- [2] Freeman, P., *The secretary problem and its extensions: a review*, Internat. Statist. Rev. **51** (1983), 189-206.
- [3] Gilbert, J. and Mosteller, F., *Recognizing the maximum of a sequence*, J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. **61** (1966), 35-73.
- [4] Hill, T. and Krengel, U., *A prophet inequality related to the secretary problem*, Contemp. Math. **125** (1992), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [5] Pfeifer, D., *Extremal processes, secretary problems and the $\frac{1}{e}$ law*, J. Appl. Probab. **26** (1989), 722-733.
- [6] Pinsky, R. *The secretary problem with biased arrival order via a Mallows distribution*, preprint.
- [7] Pinsky, R. *The secretary problem with non-uniform arrivals via a left-to-right-minimum exponentially tilted distribution*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION—ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
HAIFA, 32000, ISRAEL

Email address: `pinsky@math.technion.ac.il`

URL: `https://pinsky.net.technion.ac.il/`