

Integrality and some evaluations of odd multiple harmonic sums*

Zhonghua Li[†] and Zhenlu Wang[‡]

School of Mathematical Sciences, Tongji University
No. 1239 Siping Road, Shanghai 200092, China

Abstract

In 2015, S. Hong and C. Wang proved that none of the elementary symmetric functions of $1, 1/3, \dots, 1/(2n-1)$ is an integer when $n \geq 2$. In 2017, Kh. Pilehrood, T. Pilehrood and R. Tauraso proved that the multiple harmonic sums $H_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ are never integers with exceptions of $H_1(s_1) = 1$ and $H_3(1, 1) = 1$. They also proved that the multiple harmonic star sums are never integers when $n \geq 2$. In this paper, we consider the odd multiple harmonic sums and the odd multiple harmonic star sums and show that none of these sums is an integer with exception of the trivial case. Besides, we give evaluations of the odd (alternating) multiple harmonic sums with depth one.

Keywords odd multiple harmonic sums, harmonic series.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 11M32, 11Y99, 33C20.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers. For any $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \leq n$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$, the multiple harmonic sum and the multiple harmonic star sum are defined respectively by

$$H_n(\mathbf{s}) = H_n(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) := \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{k_j^{s_j}}, \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$H_n^*(\mathbf{s}) = H_n^*(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) := \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_r \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{k_j^{s_j}}. \quad (1.2)$$

*This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (grant number 22120210552).

[†]E-mail address: zhonghua.li@tongji.edu.cn

[‡]E-mail address: zlw@tongji.edu.cn

The odd multiple harmonic sum and the odd multiple harmonic star sum are defined respectively by

$$\overline{H}_n(\mathbf{s}) = \overline{H}_n(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) := \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r \leq n-1} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{(2k_j + 1)^{s_j}}, \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\overline{H}_n^*(\mathbf{s}) = \overline{H}_n^*(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) := \sum_{0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_r \leq n-1} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{(2k_j + 1)^{s_j}}. \quad (1.4)$$

We call r the depth and $\text{wt}(\mathbf{s}) := \sum_{j=1}^r s_j$ the weight. Besides, we denote the sequence of a with r repetitions as $(\{a\}^r)$.

The multiple harmonic sums (1.1) and (1.3) are of certain interest because by taking the limit as n goes to ∞ when $s_r > 1$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r) = t(s_1, \dots, s_r),$$

which are the so-called multiple zeta value [4, 9] and Hoffman's multiple t -value [5], respectively. Also by taking the limit, the star versions (1.2) and (1.4) deduce the multiple zeta-star value [4] and Hoffman's multiple t -star value [5] respectively.

A well-known result in elementary number theory says that for any positive integers $n > 1$, the harmonic sum $H_n(1)$ is not an integer. In 1946, P. Erdős and I. Niven [3] proved that the nested multiple harmonic sums $H_n(\{1\}^r)$ can take integer values only for a finite number of positive integers n , and they also mentioned that there are only finitely many integers n for which one or more of the elementary symmetric functions of $1/a, 1/(a+b), \dots, 1/(a+bn)$ are integers, where a and b are given positive integers. Y. Chen and M. Tang [1] proved that $H_n(\{1\}^r)$ is an integer only for $(n, r) = (1, 1)$ and $(n, r) = (3, 2)$. Kh. Pilehrood, T. Pilehrood and R. Tauraso [7] got a more general result which says that for $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{N}$, $H_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is never an integer with exceptions of $H_1(s_1) = 1$ and $H_3(1, 1) = 1$, and they also proved that $H_n^*(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is never an integer with exception of $H_1^*(s_1) = 1$. For the odd multiple harmonic sums, S. Hong and C. Wang [6] proved that $\overline{H}_n(\{1\}^r)$ is not an integer for any integer $n \geq 2$. For other works such as the binomial identities and the congruences involving the (odd) multiple harmonic sums, one can refer to [8] or the book [10] of J. Zhao and the references therein.

In this paper, using similar method as in [7], we prove the following results indicates the integrality of the odd multiple harmonic star sums and the odd multiple harmonic sums.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $r, n, s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r \leq n$. Then $\overline{H}_n^*(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is never an integer when $n \geq 2$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let $r, n, s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r \leq n$. Then $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is never an integer when $n \geq 2$.*

More generally, one can define the odd alternating multiple harmonic sums. Let $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{N} \cup \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, where $\overline{\mathbb{N}} = \{\overline{s} \mid s \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Define the absolute value function $|\cdot|$ on \mathbb{D} by

$|s| = |\bar{s}| = s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and the sign function by $\text{sgn}(s) = 1$ and $\text{sgn}(\bar{s}) = -1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \leq n$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{D}^r$, the odd alternating multiple harmonic sum $\overline{H}_n(\mathbf{s})$ is defined by

$$\overline{H}_n(\mathbf{s}) = \overline{H}_n(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) := \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r \leq n-1} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\text{sgn}(s_j)^{k_j}}{(2k_j + 1)^{|s_j|}.$$

A formula known to Euler says that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds

$$H_n(1) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \binom{n}{k}.$$

In this paper, we generalize the above binomial identity and give the evaluations of $\overline{H}_n(s)$ and $\overline{H}_n(\bar{s})$ for any $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$. In the evaluation formulas, the special values of the generalized hypergeometric functions appear.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Then we show several lemmas which are needed for the proof of Theorem 1.2 and finally complete the proof. In Section 3, we give the evaluations of $\overline{H}_n(s)$ and $\overline{H}_n(\bar{s})$ for any $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this paper, all the numerical computations were performed by using Matlab.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

For a prime p , let $v_p(a)$ be the p -adic valuation of the rational number a , that is, if $a = p^n q_1/q_2$ with $n, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and q_1, q_2 are coprime with p , then $v_p(a) = n$. It is a fact that the p -adic valuation satisfies the inequality

$$v_p(a + b) \geq \min(v_p(a), v_p(b)),$$

where the equality holds for $v_p(a) \neq v_p(b)$.

The integrality of the odd multiple harmonic star sums is simple to prove.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$. Since $n \geq 2$, by Bertrand's postulate, there exists a prime p such that $n < p < 2n$. Hence, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{H}_n^*(\mathbf{s}) &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_r \leq n-1 \\ \exists j, p \neq 2k_j+1}} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{(2k_j + 1)^{s_j}} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_r \leq n-1 \\ \forall j, p = 2k_j+1}} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{(2k_j + 1)^{s_j}} \\ &= \frac{a}{bp^t} + \frac{1}{p^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{s})}}, \end{aligned}$$

where a, b are positive integers with $(b, p) = 1$, t is a nonnegative integer with $t < \text{wt}(\mathbf{s})$. Since $v_p\left(\frac{a}{bp^t}\right) > v_p\left(\frac{1}{p^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{s})}}\right)$, we have $v_p\left(\overline{H}_n^*(\mathbf{s})\right) = -\text{wt}(\mathbf{s}) < 0$, which implies $\overline{H}_n^*(\mathbf{s})$ is not an integer. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is more complicated. We need several lemmas. In order to compare values of odd multiple harmonic sums of the same depth, the following definition and lemma will be useful.

Definition 2.1 ([7, Definition 1]). Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$ and $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$ be two r -tuples of positive integers. If $\text{wt}(\mathbf{s}) \geq \text{wt}(\mathbf{t})$, and $s_1 \leq t_1, \dots, s_l \leq t_l, s_{l+1} \geq t_{l+1}, \dots, s_r \geq t_r$ for some $0 \leq l \leq r-1$, we say that $\mathbf{s} \geq \mathbf{t}$.

Lemma 2.2. For $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r \leq n$, if $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$ and $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$ are two r -tuples of positive integers such that $\mathbf{s} \geq \mathbf{t}$, then it holds

$$\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r) \leq \overline{H}_n(t_1, \dots, t_r).$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq l \leq r-1$ such that $s_1 \leq t_1, \dots, s_l \leq t_l, s_{l+1} \geq t_{l+1}, \dots, s_r \geq t_r$. For fixed $0 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_r \leq n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2k_1 + 1)^{t_1 - s_1} \cdots (2k_l + 1)^{t_l - s_l} &\leq (2k_l + 1)^{t_1 + \dots + t_l - (s_1 + \dots + s_l)} \\ &= (2k_l + 1)^{t_1 + \dots + t_r - (s_1 + \dots + s_r)} \cdot (2k_l + 1)^{s_{l+1} - t_{l+1} + \dots + s_r - t_r} \\ &\leq (2k_l + 1)^{s_{l+1} - t_{l+1} + \dots + s_r - t_r} \\ &\leq (2k_{l+1} + 1)^{s_{l+1} - t_{l+1}} \cdots (2k_r + 1)^{s_r - t_r}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\frac{1}{(2k_1 + 1)^{s_1} \cdots (2k_r + 1)^{s_r}} \leq \frac{1}{(2k_1 + 1)^{t_1} \cdots (2k_r + 1)^{t_r}},$$

which implies the desired result. \square

We then show that the odd multiple harmonic sum is not an integer if the depth is large enough.

Lemma 2.3. Let $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$e \left(\frac{1}{2} \log(2n-1) + 1 \right) \leq r \leq n.$$

Then for any $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is not an integer.

Proof. Notice that

$$\overline{H}_n(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2i+1} < 1 + \int_0^{n-1} \frac{1}{2x+1} dx = \frac{1}{2} \log(2n-1) + 1.$$

By using Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r) \leq \overline{H}_n(\{1\}^r) \leq \frac{(\overline{H}_n(1))^r}{r!} \leq \frac{(\frac{1}{2} \log(2n-1) + 1)^r}{r!}. \quad (2.1)$$

Since $e \left(\frac{1}{2} \log(2n-1) + 1 \right) \leq r$ and $\frac{r^r}{r!} < e^r$, we have $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r) < 1$, which implies the result. \square

The following lemma is useful in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 2.4. Let $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r \leq n$. Suppose that there exists a prime $p > r+1$ satisfying that

$$\frac{2n}{r+1} \leq p < \frac{2n}{r},$$

then for any $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is not an integer.

Proof. Since $0 < p < \cdots < rp < 2n \leq (r+1)p < p^2$ and s_1, \dots, s_r are positive integers, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r) &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_r \leq n-1 \\ \exists j, p \nmid 2k_j+1}} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{(2k_j+1)^{s_j}} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_r \leq n-1 \\ \forall j, p \mid 2k_j+1}} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{(2k_j+1)^{s_j}} \\ &= \frac{a}{bp^t} + \frac{1}{cp^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{s})}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$, a, b, c are positive integers with $(b, p) = (c, p) = 1$, t is a nonnegative integer with $t < \text{wt}(\mathbf{s})$. Therefore, $v_p(\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)) = -\text{wt}(\mathbf{s}) < 0$, which implies $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is not an integer. \square

Now we show that in the case of $1 \leq r < e\left(\frac{1}{2}\log(2n-1)+1\right)$, $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is not an integer if n is large enough. We need a lemma.

Lemma 2.5 ([2, Theorem 1.9]). *For any real numbers $x \geq 3275$, there exists a prime p satisfying $x < p \leq x\left(1 + \frac{1}{2\log^2 x}\right)$.*

Using the above lemmas, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $n \geq 30000$ and $r < e\left(\frac{1}{2}\log(2n-1)+1\right)$. Then for any $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is not an integer.*

Proof. Let $x = \frac{2n}{r+\frac{1}{2}}$. By Lemma 2.5, if

$$x \geq 3275, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\log^2 x > r, \tag{2.3}$$

then there exists a prime p satisfying $\frac{2n}{r+\frac{1}{2}} < p < \frac{2n}{r}$, which implies $\frac{2n}{r+1} < p < \frac{2n}{r}$. If p satisfies

$$p > r+1, \tag{2.4}$$

then $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is not an integer by Lemma 2.4. Hence we only need to show (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4).

First, we prove that $x \geq 3275$. Let

$$p(x) = 2x - 3275 \left(\frac{e}{2} \log(2x-1) + e + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

As

$$p'(x) = 2 - \frac{3275e}{2x-1} > 0$$

for all $x \geq 30000$ and $p(30000) > 0$, we get $p(x) > 0$ for all $x \geq 30000$. Since $r < e\left(\frac{1}{2}\log(2n-1)+1\right)$, we prove (2.2).

Then, we prove that $\log^2 x > r$. It is sufficient to prove that

$$\log^2 2n - 2 \log 2n \cdot \log \left(r + \frac{1}{2} \right) > r. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $r < e \left(\frac{1}{2} \log(2n - 1) + 1 \right)$, it is enough to prove that

$$\log 2n - 2 \log \left(\frac{e}{2} \log 2n + e + \frac{1}{2} \right) > \frac{e}{2} + \frac{e}{\log 2n}.$$

Let

$$q(x) = x - 2 \log \left(\frac{e}{2} x + e + \frac{1}{2} \right) - 2.$$

As

$$q'(x) = 1 - \frac{e}{\frac{e}{2}x + e + \frac{1}{2}} > 0$$

for all $x > 0$ and $q(\log(2 \cdot 30000)) > 0$, we obtain $q(x) > 0$ for all $x \geq \log(2 \cdot 30000)$. Since for all $n \geq 30000$,

$$\frac{e}{2} + \frac{e}{\log 2n} \leq \frac{e}{2} + \frac{e}{\log(2 \cdot 30000)} < 2,$$

(2.3) is proved.

Finally, we prove that $p > r + 1$. Since $p > \frac{2n}{r+1}$, it is sufficient to prove that $\sqrt{2n} > r + 1$. Let

$$t(x) = \sqrt{2x} - \frac{e}{2} \log(2x - 1) - e - 1.$$

Since

$$t'(x) = \frac{2x - 1 - e\sqrt{2x}}{\sqrt{2x}(2x - 1)} > 0$$

for all $x \geq 30000$ and $t(30000) > 0$, we have $t(x) > 0$ for all $x \geq 30000$. Therefore, (2.4) is proved. \square

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$B_r = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (rp, (r+1)p],$$

where \mathbb{P} denotes the set of all primes. As a variant of [7, Lemma 1], we can prove that B_r is cofinite in \mathbb{N} similarly. Also, we can prove that

$$\max(\mathbb{N} \setminus B_r) = \max(\mathbb{N} \setminus A_r) + 1,$$

where $A_r = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}} [rp, (r+1)p)$ was defined in [7]. Let $n_r = \left\lceil \frac{\max(\mathbb{N} \setminus B_r)}{2} \right\rceil + 1$. Then using the table given in [7, Lemma 1], we have the following table for some values of n_r :

r	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
n_r	2	12	17	59	73	112	130	213	572	636
r	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
n_r	699	763	826	1044	1118	1193	1794	2008	2119	2231

Remark 2.7. If $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq n_r$, then $2n \in B_r$. Hence there is a prime p , such that $\frac{2n}{r+1} \leq p < \frac{2n}{r}$. For $2 \leq r \leq 20$, we may verify that $2n_r > (r+1)^2$, which implies $p > r+1$. Thus using Lemma 2.4, we find that $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is not an integer for $2 \leq r \leq 20$, $n \geq n_r$ and $s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similarly as in [7, Lemma 3], we have to find an upper bound for the index s_1 .

Lemma 2.8. Given $2 \leq r \leq n$ and $(s_2, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^{r-1}$, there exists an integer N (which depends on (s_2, \dots, s_r) and n) such that $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is not an integer for any positive integer $s_1 > N$.

Proof. Let $(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$. If $r = n$, it is obvious that $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is not an integer for all $s_1 \geq 1$. If $r < n$, we set

$$\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{n-r} \frac{c_{k_1}}{(2k_1+1)^{s_1}} \quad \text{where} \quad c_{k_1} = \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r \leq n-1} \prod_{j=2}^r \frac{1}{(2k_j+1)^{s_j}}.$$

By Bertrand's postulate, there exists at least one prime in $(n-r+1, 2n-2r+2)$. Let p be such largest prime, then $2p > 2n-2r+2$. We get

$$\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \sum_{\substack{k_1=0 \\ p=2k_1+1}}^{n-r} \frac{c_{k_1}}{(2k_1+1)^{s_1}} + \sum_{\substack{k_1=0 \\ p \neq 2k_1+1}}^{n-r} \frac{c_{k_1}}{(2k_1+1)^{s_1}} = \frac{c_{\frac{p-1}{2}}}{p^{s_1}} + \sum_{\substack{k_1=0 \\ p \neq 2k_1+1}}^{n-r} \frac{c_{k_1}}{(2k_1+1)^{s_1}}.$$

Define

$$N(n, s_2, \dots, s_r) := \max \left(v_p(c_{\frac{p-1}{2}}), v_p(c_{\frac{p-1}{2}}) - \min_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 \leq n-r \\ p \neq 2k_1+1}} v_p(c_{k_1}) \right).$$

Assume that $s_1 > N(n, s_2, \dots, s_r)$, then we have

$$s_1 > v_p(c_{\frac{p-1}{2}}) \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$s_1 > v_p(c_{\frac{p-1}{2}}) - \min_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 \leq n-r \\ p \neq 2k_1+1}} v_p(c_{k_1}). \tag{2.7}$$

By (2.7), we have

$$v_p \left(\sum_{\substack{k_1=0 \\ p \neq 2k_1+1}}^{n-r} \frac{c_{k_1}}{(2k_1+1)^{s_1}} \right) \geq \min_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 \leq n-r \\ p \neq 2k_1+1}} v_p \left(\frac{c_{k_1}}{(2k_1+1)^{s_1}} \right) = \min_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 \leq n-r \\ p \neq 2k_1+1}} v_p(c_{k_1}) > v_p \left(\frac{c_{\frac{p-1}{2}}}{p^{s_1}} \right).$$

Hence, by (2.6), we get

$$v_p(\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)) = \min \left(v_p \left(\frac{c_{\frac{p-1}{2}}}{p^{s_1}} \right), v_p \left(\sum_{\substack{k_1=0 \\ p \neq 2k_1+1}}^{n-r} \frac{c_{k_1}}{(2k_1+1)^{s_1}} \right) \right) = v_p \left(\frac{c_{\frac{p-1}{2}}}{p^{s_1}} \right) < 0,$$

which implies $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is not an integer. \square

Now we come to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$. The case of $r = 1$ follows from Theorem 1.1. Then by Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.6, we may assume that $2 \leq r < e\left(\frac{1}{2}\log(2n-1)+1\right)$ and $n < 30000$. In this case, since $e\left(\frac{1}{2}\log(2n-1)+1\right) < 18$ and Remark 2.7, it is enough to consider the integrality of $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ for $2 \leq r \leq 17$ and $n < n_r$.

Let $11 \leq r \leq 17$. For $n < n_r$, we have

$$\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r) < \overline{H}_{n_r}(s_1, \dots, s_r) \leq \overline{H}_{n_r}(\{1\}^r) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\log(2n_r-1)+1\right)^r}{r!} < 1,$$

where the last inequality can be verified numerically. Therefore, $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is not an integer.

Let $5 \leq r \leq 10$. We have the following estimations

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{H}_{n_{10}}(s_1, \dots, s_{10}) &\leq \overline{H}_{n_{10}}(\{1\}^{10}) < 0.06243 < 1, \\ \overline{H}_{n_9}(s_1, \dots, s_9) &\leq \overline{H}_{n_9}(\{1\}^9) < 0.17796 < 1, \\ \overline{H}_{n_8}(s_1, \dots, s_8) &\leq \overline{H}_{n_8}(\{1\}^8) < 0.12835 < 1, \\ \overline{H}_{n_7}(s_1, \dots, s_7) &\leq \overline{H}_{n_7}(\{1\}^7) < 0.20280 < 1, \\ \overline{H}_{n_6}(s_1, \dots, s_6) &\leq \overline{H}_{n_6}(\{1\}^6) < 0.51825 < 1, \\ \overline{H}_{n_5}(s_1, \dots, s_5) &\leq \overline{H}_{n_5}(\{1\}^5) < 0.85442 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $n < n_r$, $\overline{H}_n(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is not an integer.

Let $r = 2, 2 \leq n < n_2 = 12$. We verify numerically that $\overline{H}_n(1, 1)$ is not an integer. Since $\overline{H}_{12}(1, 2) < 0.27273 < 1$, we get

$$\overline{H}_n(1, s_2) \leq \overline{H}_n(1, 2) < \overline{H}_{12}(1, 2) < 1$$

for all $s_2 > 1$, which implies $\overline{H}_n(1, s_2)$ is not an integer if $s_2 > 1$. We can verify that the upper bound $N(n, 1) = 1$ for all $3 \leq n \leq 11$ in the proof of Lemma 2.8. Hence $\overline{H}_n(s_1, 1)$ is not an integer for $s_1 > 1$ and $3 \leq n \leq 11$ by Lemma 2.8. Therefore we proved that $\overline{H}_n(s_1, s_2)$ is never an integer for all $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $r = 3, 3 \leq n < n_3 = 17$. We verify numerically that $\overline{H}_n(1, 1, 1)$ is not an integer. Since $\overline{H}_{17}(1, 2, 1) < 0.22216 < 1$, we get

$$\overline{H}_n(s_1, s_2, s_3) \leq \overline{H}_n(1, 2, 1) < \overline{H}_{17}(1, 2, 1) < 1$$

for all $s_1, s_3 \geq 1$ and $s_2 > 1$. Since $\overline{H}_{17}(1, 1, 2) < 0.08552 < 1$, we have

$$\overline{H}_n(s_1, s_2, s_3) \leq \overline{H}_n(1, 1, 2) < \overline{H}_{17}(1, 1, 2) < 1,$$

for all $s_1, s_2 \geq 1$ and $s_3 > 1$. As we can verify $\max_{4 \leq n \leq 16} N(n, 1, 1) = 1$, we find from Lemma 2.8 that $\overline{H}_n(s_1, 1, 1)$ is not an integer for $s_1 > 1$. Thus, we proved that $\overline{H}_n(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ is never an integer for all $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $r = 4, 4 \leq n < n_4 = 59$. We verify numerically that $\overline{H}_n(1, 1, 1, 1)$ is not an integer. Since $\overline{H}_{59}(1, 2, 1, 1) < 0.28433 < 1$, we get

$$\overline{H}_n(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \leq \overline{H}_n(1, 2, 1, 1) < \overline{H}_{59}(1, 2, 1, 1) < 1$$

for all $s_1, s_3, s_4 \geq 1$ and $s_2 > 1$. Since $\overline{H}_{59}(1, 1, 2, 1) < 0.10452 < 1$, we get

$$\overline{H}_n(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \leq \overline{H}_n(1, 1, 2, 1) < \overline{H}_{59}(1, 1, 2, 1) < 1$$

for all $s_1, s_2, s_4 \geq 1$ and $s_3 > 1$. Since $\overline{H}_{59}(1, 1, 1, 2) < 0.04060 < 1$, we get

$$\overline{H}_n(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \leq \overline{H}_n(1, 1, 1, 2) < \overline{H}_{59}(1, 1, 1, 2) < 1$$

for all $s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 1$ and $s_4 > 1$. As we have $\max_{5 \leq n \leq 58} N(n, 1, 1, 1) = 1$, we get that $\overline{H}_n(s_1, 1, 1, 1)$ is not an integer for $s_1 > 1$ from Lemma 2.8. Therefore, we have $\overline{H}_n(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)$ is never an integer for all $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

3 Some evaluations

In this section, we give evaluations of $\overline{H}_n(s)$ and $\overline{H}_n(\overline{s})$ for any $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$. The generalized hypergeometric functions are needed in the following discussion. For $a_1, \dots, a_{s+1}, b_1, \dots, b_s \in \mathbb{C}$ with none of b_i is zero or a negative integer, the generalized hypergeometric function is defined by

$${}_{s+1}F_s(a_1, \dots, a_{s+1}; b_1, \dots, b_s; x) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_i \cdots (a_{s+1})_i x^i}{(b_1)_i \cdots (b_s)_i i!},$$

where

$$(a)_i := \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0, \\ a(a+1) \cdots (a+i-1), & i > 0. \end{cases}$$

The above series is absolutely and uniformly convergent if $|x| < 1$ and the convergence also extends over the unit circle if $\Re\left(\sum_{i=1}^s b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{s+1} a_i\right) > 0$.

We have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{2k}}{(2k+1)^s} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} {}_{s+1}F_s\left(\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}^s, 1-k; \left\{\frac{3}{2}\right\}^s; x^2\right). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on s . Let

$$f_s(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{2k}}{(2k+1)^s}.$$

If $s = 1$, then

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{2k}}{2k+1},$$

and we get that

$$(x f_1(x))' = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^{2k} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} (1-x^2)^{k-1}.$$

Integrating both sides from 0 to x , we find the left-hand side becomes $xf_1(x)$ and the right-hand side turns to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^x (1-t^2)^{k-1} dt &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^x \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (-1)^l \binom{k-1}{l} t^{2l} dt \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^l (k-1) \cdots (k-l) x^{2l+1}}{(2l+1)!} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_l \cdot (1-k)_l \cdot x^{2l+1}}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_l \cdot l!} \\
&= x \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-k; \frac{3}{2}; x^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we prove the case of $s = 1$. Now Assume that (3.1) holds for s . Integrating both sides of (3.1) from 0 to x , we find the left-hand side becomes $xf_{s+1}(x)$ and the right-hand side turns to be

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_0^x \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_l \cdots \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_l \cdot (1-k)_l \cdot t^{2l}}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_l \cdots \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_l \cdot l!} dt \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_l \cdots \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_l \cdot (1-k)_l \cdot x^{2l+1}}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_l \cdots \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_l \cdot (2l+1) \cdot l!},
\end{aligned}$$

which is

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \cdot x \cdot {}_{s+2}F_{s+1}\left(\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}^{s+1}, 1-k; \left\{\frac{3}{2}\right\}^{s+1}; x^2\right).$$

Hence, we prove that (3.1) holds for $s + 1$. \square

Setting $x = 1$ in Lemma 3.1, we get the evaluations of $\overline{H}_n(s)$. In the evaluation formulas, the values of the generalized hypergeometric functions at 1 appear.

Corollary 3.2. *For $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\overline{H}_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} {}_{s+1}F_s\left(\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}^s, 1-k; \left\{\frac{3}{2}\right\}^s; 1\right).$$

Note that if $s = 1$, using the Chu-Vandermonde summation formula

$${}_2F_1(-n, b; c; 1) = \frac{(c-b)_n}{(c)_n},$$

we find that

$$\overline{H}_n(1) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-2)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \frac{(k-1)!}{(2k-1)!!}.$$

Similarly as Lemma 3.1, we can prove the following result. And we omit the proof.

Lemma 3.3. For $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k x^{2k}}{(2k+1)^s} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k}_{s+1} F_s \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\}^s, 1-k; \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \right\}^s; -x^2 \right).$$

Let $x = 1$ in Lemma 3.3, we get the evaluations of $\overline{H}_n(\overline{s})$. In the evaluation formulas, the values of the generalized hypergeometric functions at -1 appear.

Corollary 3.4. For $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\overline{H}_n(\overline{s}) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k}_{s+1} F_s \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\}^s, 1-k; \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \right\}^s; -1 \right).$$

As a variant of the odd multiple harmonic sums, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$G_{m,n} := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(2k+1)(2k+2) \cdots (2k+m)}.$$

Then we can represent $G_{m,n}$ by the special values of the hypergeometric function at -1 .

Proposition 3.5. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$G_{m,n} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(m-1)!(m+k-1)} \binom{n}{k} {}_2F_1(1, 1-k; m+k; -1).$$

Proof. Let

$$h_m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{2k+m}}{(2k+1)(2k+2) \cdots (2k+m)}.$$

Then we get

$$h^{(m)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^{2k} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} (1-x^2)^{k-1}.$$

Integrating of both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_{m,n} &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_{m-1}} \cdots \int_0^{t_1} (1-x^2)^{k-1} dx dt_1 \cdots dt_{m-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 \left(\int_{x < t_1 < \cdots < t_{m-1} < 1} dt_1 \cdots dt_{m-1} \right) (1-x^2)^{k-1} dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(m-1)!} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 (1-x)^{m+k-2} (1+x)^{k-1} dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(m-1)!} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{l} \int_0^1 x^l (1-x)^{m+k-2} dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(m-1)!} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{l} B(l+1, m+k-1), \end{aligned}$$

where $B(a, b)$ denotes the beta function. Since $B(a, b) = \frac{(a-1)!(b-1)!}{(a+b-1)!}$ for positive integers a, b , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{m,n} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(m-1)!} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{l} \frac{l!(m+k-2)!}{(m+k+l-1)!} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(m-1)!(m+k-1)} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{(1)_l(1-k)_l(-1)^l}{l!(m+k)_l} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(m-1)!(m+k-1)} \binom{n}{k} {}_2F_1(1, 1-k; m+k; -1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we complete the proof. \square

As applications, using the binomial inversion

$$f(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} g(k) \iff g(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f(k),$$

we obtain the following summation formulas of the (generalized) hypergeometric functions.

Corollary 3.6. *For $m, n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{s+1}F_s \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\}^s, 1-n; \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \right\}^s; 1 \right) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \overline{H}_k(s), \\ {}_{s+1}F_s \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\}^s, 1-n; \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \right\}^s; -1 \right) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \overline{H}_k(\overline{s}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$${}_2F_1(1, 1-n; m+n; -1) = (m-1)!(m+n-1) \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} G_{m,k}.$$

Remark 3.7. *Similarly, we can obtain evaluations of the (alternating) multiple harmonic sums of depth one. For any $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(s) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} {}_{s+1}F_s(\{1\}^s, 1-k; \{2\}^s; 1), \\ H_n(\overline{s}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} {}_{s+1}F_s(\{1\}^s, 1-k; \{2\}^s; -1), \end{aligned}$$

where $H_n(\overline{s})$ is the alternating harmonic sum defined by

$$H_n(\overline{s}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^s}.$$

Using the binomial inversion formula, we may obtain the summation formulas

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{s+1}F_s(\{1\}^s, 1-n; \{2\}^s; 1) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} H_k(s), \\ {}_{s+1}F_s(\{1\}^s, 1-n; \{2\}^s; -1) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} H_k(\overline{s}). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Y. Chen and M. Tang, On the elementary symmetric functions of $1, 1/2, \dots, 1/n$, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **119** (2012), 862-867.
- [2] P. Dusart, Autour de la fonction qui compte le nombre de nombres premiers, PhD Thesis, Université de Limoges (1998).
- [3] P. Erdős and I. Niven, Some properties of partial sums of the harmonic series, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1946), 248-251.
- [4] M. E. Hoffman, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.* **152** (2) (1992), 275-290.
- [5] M. E. Hoffman, An odd variant of multiple zeta values, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **13** (2019), 529-567.
- [6] S. Hong and C. Wang, On the integrality of the elementary symmetric functions of $1, 1/3, \dots, 1/(2n - 1)$, *Math. Slovaca*, **65** (2015), 957-962.
- [7] Kh. Pilehrood, T. Pilehrood and R. Tauraso, Multiple harmonic sums and multiple harmonic star sums are (nearly) never integers, *Integers*, **17** (2017), #A10.
- [8] Kh. Pilehrood, T. Pilehrood and R. Tauraso, New properties of multiple harmonic sums modulo p and p -analogues of Leshchiner's series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **366** (2014), 3131-3159.
- [9] D. Zagier, Values of zeta functions and their applications, in *First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992)*, *Progress in Math.*, Vol. 120 (Birkhäuser, 1994), pp. 497-512.
- [10] J. Zhao, Multiple zeta functions, multiple polylogarithms and their special values, *Series on Number Theory and its Applications*, 12, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2016.