

Homogenization of evolutionary incompressible Navier-Stokes system in perforated domains

Yong Lu* Peikang Yang†

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Antonín Novotný.

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the homogenization problems for evolutionary incompressible Navier-Stokes system in three dimensional domains perforated with a large number of small holes which are periodically located. We first establish certain uniform estimates for the weak solutions. To overcome the extra difficulties coming from the time derivative, we use the idea of Temam [20] and consider the equations by integrating in time variable. After suitably extending the weak solutions to the whole domain, we employ the generalized cell problem to study the limit process.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

In this paper we study the homogenization of homogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in a perforated domain in \mathbb{R}^3 under Dirichlet boundary condition. Our goal is to describe the limit behavior of the (weak) solutions as the number of holes goes to infinity and the size of holes goes to zero simultaneously.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain of class $C^{2,\beta}$ ($0 < \beta < 1$). The holes in Ω are denoted by $T_{\varepsilon,k}$ which are assumed to satisfy

$$B(\varepsilon x_k, \delta_0 a_\varepsilon) \subset T_{\varepsilon,k} = \varepsilon x_k + a_\varepsilon T_0 \subset\subset B(\varepsilon x_k, \delta_1 a_\varepsilon) \subset\subset B(\varepsilon x_k, \delta_2 a_\varepsilon) \subset B(\varepsilon x_k, \delta_3 \varepsilon) \subset \varepsilon Q_k, \quad (1.1)$$

where the cube $Q_k := (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^3 + k$ and $x_k = x_0 + k$ with $x_0 \in T_0$, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$; T_0 is a model hole which is assumed to be a closed bounded and simply connected $C^{2,\beta}$ domain; δ_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are fixed positive numbers. The perforation parameters ε and a_ε are used to measure the mutual distance of holes and the size of holes, and $\varepsilon x_k = \varepsilon x_0 + \varepsilon k$ present the locations of holes. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_0 = 0$ and $0 < a_\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$.

The perforated domain Ω_ε under consideration is described as follows:

$$\Omega_\varepsilon := \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k \in K_\varepsilon} T_{\varepsilon,k}, \quad K_\varepsilon := \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : \varepsilon \overline{Q_k} \subset \Omega\}. \quad (1.2)$$

The study of homogenization problems in fluid mechanics have gained a lot interest. In particular, the homogenization of Stokes system in perforated domains has been systematically studied. In 1980s, Tartar [19] considered the case where the size of holes is proportional to the mutual distance of holes and derived Darcy's law. In 1990s, Allaire [1, 2] considered general size of holes and obtained complete results in periodic setting. By introducing a local problem

*Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China, luyong@nju.edu.cn

†Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China, ypk@smail.nju.edu.cn

and employing an abstract framework the idea of which goes back to [4], Allaire found that the homogenized limit equations are determined by the ratio σ_ε given as

$$\sigma_\varepsilon := \left(\frac{\varepsilon^d}{a_\varepsilon^{d-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad d \geq 3; \quad \sigma_\varepsilon := \varepsilon \left| \log \frac{a_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad d = 2, \quad (1.3)$$

where d is the spatial dimension. More precisely, if $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sigma_\varepsilon = 0$ corresponding to the case of large holes, the homogenized system is the Darcy's law; if $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sigma_\varepsilon = \infty$ corresponding to the case of small holes, the motion of the fluid does not change much in the homogenization process and in the limit there arise the same Stokes equations; if $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sigma_\varepsilon = \sigma_* \in (0, \infty)$ corresponding to the case of critical size of holes, the homogenized system is governed by the Brinkman's law—a combination of the Darcy's law and the original Stokes equations.

The homogenization study is extended to more complicated models describing fluid flows: Mikelić [17] has studied the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in a porous medium; Mas-moudi [16] studied the compressible Navier-Stokes equations; Feireisl, Novotný and Takahashi [7] studied the Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations. In all these previous studies, only the case where the size of holes is proportional to the mutual distance of holes is considered and the Darcy's law is recovered in the limit. Recently, Feireisl, Namlyeyeva and Nečasová [9] studied the case with critical size of holes for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations and they derived Brinkman's law; Feireisl et al. also considered the case of small holes for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations [5, 8, 15].

In [1, 2], Allaire also gave a rather complete description concerning the homogenization of stationary incompressible Navier-Stokes equations and the results coincide with the Stokes equations: for the case of small holes, the equations remain unchanged; for the case of large holes, Darcy's law is derived; for the case of critical size of holes, Brinkmann type equations are recovered. While, for the evolutionary incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, the study is not complete with respect to the size of holes, even in periodic setting: in [17], Mikelić considered the case when the size of holes is proportional to the mutual distance of holes, and in [9] the critical size of holes is considered.

In this paper we shall consider the homogenization of evolutionary incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in perforated domain Ω_ε with Dirichlet boundary condition and our goal is to give a complete description for the homogenization process related to small and large sizes of holes in periodic setting. Let $T > 0$, the initial boundary problem in space-time cylinder $\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)$ under consideration is the following:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \nabla p_\varepsilon = \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon, & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = 0, & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0 \in L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3). \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Here \mathbf{u}_ε is the fluid velocity field in \mathbb{R}^3 and p_ε is the fluid pressure. The external force \mathbf{f}_ε is assumed to be in $L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3)$.

We recall some notations. Let $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ be the collection of Sobolev functions in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ with zero trace, and let $W^{-1,q}$ be the dual space of $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$. We set $V^{1,q}(\Omega) := \{v \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega), \operatorname{div} v = 0\}$ with $W^{1,q}$ norm and $V^{-1,q}(\Omega)$ be the dual space of $V^{1,q}(\Omega)$. Let $L_0^q(\Omega)$ be the collection of $L^q(\Omega)$ integrable functions that are of zero average. We sometimes use $L^r L^s$ to denote the Bochner space $L^r(0, T; L^s(\Omega))$ or $L^r(0, T; L^s(\Omega); \mathbb{R}^3)$ for short. For a function g in Ω_ε , we use the notation \tilde{g} to represent its zero extension in Ω :

$$\tilde{g} = g \text{ in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad \tilde{g} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{k \in K_\varepsilon} T_{\varepsilon,k}.$$

We now recall the definition of (finite energy) weak solutions:

Definition 1.1. We call \mathbf{u}_ε a weak solution of (1.4) in $\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)$ provided:

- There holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon &\in L^2(0, T; V^{1,2}(\Omega_\varepsilon)) \cap C_{\text{weak}}([0, T], L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)) \cap C([0, T], L^q(\Omega_\varepsilon)), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon &\in L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0, T; V^{-1,2}(\Omega_\varepsilon)), \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

for any $1 \leq q < 2$.

- For any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon \times [0, T]; \mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\text{div } \varphi = 0$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} -\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_t \varphi - \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla \varphi + \mu \nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla \varphi \, dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon \cdot \varphi \, dx dt + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0 \cdot \varphi(x, 0) \, dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

The pressure p_ε is determined by

$$\langle \nabla p_\varepsilon, \psi \rangle = -\langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \text{div}(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon, \psi \rangle, \quad \forall \psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3).$$

We further call \mathbf{u}_ε a finite energy weak solution of (1.4) provided there holds in addition the energy inequality: for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(x, t)|^2 \, dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(x, s)|^2 \, dx ds \leq \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \, dx ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0(x)|^2 \, dx. \quad (1.7)$$

For each fixed ε , the existence of a global finite energy weak solution \mathbf{u}_ε is known, see for example Leray's pioneer work [6] or the classical books [12, 20]. We need to investigate the behavior of the solutions as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

1.2 Main Results

From (1.3), we see that the size of holes a_ε is typically chosen to be ε^α ($\alpha \geq 1$) in three or higher dimensional spaces, while a_ε is typically chosen to be $e^{-\varepsilon^{-\alpha}}$ ($\alpha > 0$) in two dimensional case. Here for the study of three dimensional case, we shall take

$$a_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^\alpha \text{ with } \alpha \geq 1, \text{ which implies } \sigma_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\frac{3-\alpha}{2}}. \quad (1.8)$$

Throughout the paper, we will assume the zero extension of the initial datum and the external force satisfy

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{u}^0 \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, T)). \quad (1.9)$$

Let \mathbf{u}_ε be a finite energy weak solution of (1.4). We employ the idea of Mikelić [17] and Temam [20] and introduce for any $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) := \int_0^t \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) \, ds, \quad \Psi_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) := \int_0^t \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) \, ds, \quad \mathbf{F}_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) := \int_0^t \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) \, ds. \quad (1.10)$$

Then $\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon \in C([0, T]; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_\varepsilon))$, $\text{div } \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon = 0$, $\mathbf{F}_\varepsilon \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))$ and $\text{div } \Psi_\varepsilon \in C([0, T]; L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega_\varepsilon))$. As shown in the proof of our theorems, instead of showing the limit behavior of \mathbf{u}_ε , we turn to study the limit behavior of \mathbf{U}_ε which has better regularity in time variable. Clearly

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{F} = \int_0^t \mathbf{f}(s) \, ds \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, T)). \quad (1.11)$$

The classical theory on Stokes equations implies that there exists $P_\varepsilon \in C([0, T]; L_0^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))$ (see Chapter 3 in [20]), such that for any $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t) - \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0 + \operatorname{div} \Psi_\varepsilon(t) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon(t) + \nabla P_\varepsilon(t) = \mathbf{F}_\varepsilon(t), \quad \text{in } W^{-1,2}(\Omega_\varepsilon). \quad (1.12)$$

Now we state our results corresponding to different sizes of holes. The case of critical size of holes is considered by Feireisl-Namlyyeva-Nečasová in [9], so we are focusing only on the case of small holes and large holes. Note that the limits are taken up to possible extractions of subsequences. The first result corresponds to the case of small holes:

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathbf{u}_ε be a finite energy weak solution of the Navier-Stokes system (1.4) in the sense of Definition 1.1 with initial datum and external force satisfying (1.9). Let \tilde{p}_ε be the extension of p_ε defined by $\tilde{p}_\varepsilon = \partial_t \tilde{P}_\varepsilon$ where \tilde{P}_ε is the extension of P_ε defined in (2.8) and (2.11). If $\alpha > 3$, i.e. $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_\varepsilon = \infty$, then*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)), \quad (1.13)$$

and

$$\tilde{p}_\varepsilon \rightarrow p \text{ weakly in } W^{-1,2}(0, T; L_0^2(\Omega)). \quad (1.14)$$

Moreover, (\mathbf{u}, p) is a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in homogeneous domain Ω :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mathbf{f}, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}^0. \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

For the case of large holes, we consider the time-scaled Navier-Stokes system:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \nabla p_\varepsilon = \mathbf{f}, & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = 0, & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0 \in L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3). \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

For a solution \mathbf{u}_ε to (1.16), we similarly introduce $\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon, \Psi_\varepsilon, \mathbf{F}_\varepsilon$ as in (1.10). There exists $P_\varepsilon \in C([0, T]; L_0^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))$, such that for any $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\sigma_\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t) - \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0 + \Phi_\varepsilon(t) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon(t) + \nabla P_\varepsilon(t) = \mathbf{F}_\varepsilon(t), \quad \text{in } W^{-1,2}(\Omega_\varepsilon). \quad (1.17)$$

We have the following theorem concerning the case of large holes:

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathbf{u}_ε be a finite energy weak solution of the time-scaled Navier-Stokes system (1.16) in the sense of Definition 1.1 with initial datum and external force satisfying (1.9). Let \tilde{p}_ε be the extension of p_ε defined by $\tilde{p}_\varepsilon = \partial_t \tilde{P}_\varepsilon$ where \tilde{P}_ε is the extension of P_ε defined in (3.13) and (3.14). If $1 < \alpha < 3$, i.e. $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sigma_\varepsilon = 0$, then*

$$\sigma_\varepsilon^{-2} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)), \quad (1.18)$$

and

$$\tilde{p}_\varepsilon = \tilde{p}_\varepsilon^{(1)} + \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{p}_\varepsilon^{(2)} + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \tilde{p}_\varepsilon^{(3)}, \quad (1.19)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_\varepsilon^{(1)} &\rightarrow p \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)), \\ \tilde{p}_\varepsilon^{(2)} &\text{ bounded in } L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0, T; L_0^2(\Omega)), \\ \tilde{p}_\varepsilon^{(3)} &\text{ bounded in } W^{-1,2}(0, T; L_0^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

Moreover (\mathbf{u}, p) is a weak solution of the Darcy's law:

$$\begin{cases} \mu \mathbf{u} = A(\mathbf{f} - \nabla p), & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \end{cases} \quad (1.21)$$

where \mathbf{n} is the unit normal vector on the boundary of Ω .

Here in (1.21), the permeability tensor A is a constant positive definite matrix (see [3]) given by:

$$A_{i,j} := \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} c_\eta^{-2} \int_{Q_\eta} \nabla w_\eta^i : \nabla w_\eta^j dx = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_\eta} (w_\eta^j)_i dx := (\overline{w^j})_i,$$

where w_η^i satisfies the generalized cell problem introduced in Section 1.3.

Remark 1.3. *The case $\alpha = 1$ is considered by Mikelić [17]. In this case the permeability tensor $A_{i,j}$ is defined by the classical cell problem where $\eta = 1$ (see also [19]) which is slightly different from the definition above.*

Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 respectively. In the sequel, C denotes a constant independent of ε , while its value may differ from line to line.

1.3 Generalized cell problem

We introduce the idea of *generalized cell problem* [11, 14, 19] which is used to study the homogenization process. Near each single hole, after a scaling of size ε^{-1} such that the controlling cube becomes the $O(1)$ size, one can consider the following *modified cell problem*:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w_\eta^i + \nabla q_\eta^i = c_\eta^2 e^i, & \text{in } Q_\eta := Q_0 \setminus (\eta T_0), \\ \operatorname{div} w_\eta^i = 0, & \text{in } Q_\eta, \\ w_\eta^i = 0, & \text{on } \eta T_0, \\ (w_\eta^i, q_\eta^i) \text{ is } Q_0\text{-periodic.} \end{cases}$$

Here $Q_0 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^d$, $\eta := \frac{a_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$, $c_\eta := \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma_\varepsilon}$, and $\{e^i\}_{i=1,\dots,d}$ is the standard Euclidean coordinate of \mathbb{R}^d . Clearly $c_\eta \rightarrow 0$ when $\eta \rightarrow 0$. When a_ε is proportional to ε , η becomes a positive constant independent of ε and Q_η becomes a fixed domain of type $Q_0 \setminus T_0$; this is the case considered by Tartar [19].

For each fixed $\eta > 0$, the generalized cell problem admits a unique regular solution. We now recall two lemmas concerning the estimates of the cell problems. The proofs can be found in [14].

Lemma 1.4. *The solution (w_η^i, q_η^i) of the generalized cell problem has the estimates:*

$$\|\nabla w_\eta^i\|_{L^2(Q_\eta)} \leq C c_\eta, \quad \|w_\eta^i\|_{L^2(Q_\eta)} \leq C, \quad \|q_\eta^i\|_{L^2(Q_\eta)} \leq C c_\eta. \quad (1.22)$$

Define the scaled cell solutions

$$w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i(\cdot) := w_\eta^i\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad q_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i(\cdot) := q_\eta^i\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

which solves

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \Delta w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i + \varepsilon \nabla q_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i = c_\eta^2 e^i, & \text{in } \varepsilon Q_0 \setminus (a_\varepsilon T_0), \\ \operatorname{div} w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i = 0, & \text{in } \varepsilon Q_\eta, \\ w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i = 0, & \text{on } a_\varepsilon T_0, \\ (w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i, q_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i) \text{ is } \varepsilon Q_0\text{-periodic.} \end{cases} \quad (1.23)$$

Employing the estimates of (w_η^i, q_η^i) in (1.22) gives

Lemma 1.5. *The scaled cell soluiton $(w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i, q_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i)$ has the estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C \|w_{\eta}^i\|_{L^2(Q_0)} \leq C, \\ \|q_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C \|q_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2(Q_0)} \leq C c_\eta, \\ \|\nabla w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C \varepsilon^{-1} \|\nabla w_{\eta}^i\|_{L^2(Q_0)} \leq C \varepsilon^{-1} c_\eta \leq C \sigma_\varepsilon^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

From these estimates we have

$$w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \rightarrow \bar{w}^i \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega), \quad c_\eta^{-1} q_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \rightarrow \bar{q}^i \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega). \quad (1.25)$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.1 concerning the case of small holes where $a_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^\alpha$ with $\alpha > 3$ and $\sigma_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\frac{3-\alpha}{2}} \rightarrow \infty$ (1.8).

2.1 Estimates of velocity

In this case, the uniform estimates of \mathbf{u}_ε follow directly from the energy inequality (1.7). Indeed, using Hölder's inequality and Poincaré inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(x, s)|^2 dx ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon dx ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} \|\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, t; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Together with the assumption on the initial datum and the external force in (1.9), we deduce

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \leq C, \quad \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \leq C. \quad (2.1)$$

Since $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \in L^2(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_\varepsilon))$ has zero trace on the boundary, its zero extension $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$ has the estimates:

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))} \leq C. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus, up to a subsequence, there holds the convergence

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)), \quad (2.3)$$

which is exactly (1.13) in Theorem 1.1. Moreover, by the definition of \mathbf{U}_ε in (1.10) we have

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,\infty}((0, T); L^2(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \|\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}((0, T); W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \|\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon\|_{C([0, T]; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))} \leq C. \quad (2.4)$$

2.2 Extension of pressure

The extension of the pressure is given by using the dual formula and employing the so-called *restriction operator* due to Allaire [1, 2] for general sizes of holes, and due to Tartar [19] for the case where the size of the holes is proportional to their mutual distance. A restriction operator R_ε is a linear operator $R_\varepsilon : W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^d) &\implies R_\varepsilon(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) = \mathbf{u} \text{ in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \text{ where } \tilde{\mathbf{u}} := \begin{cases} \mathbf{u} & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{on } \Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon, \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{u} \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d), \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega &\implies \operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \mathbf{u} \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) &\implies \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\mathbf{u})\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + (1 + \sigma_\varepsilon^{-1}) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

For each $\varphi \in L^q(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$ with $1 < q < \infty$, the restriction $R_\varepsilon(\varphi)$ is taken only on spatial variable:

$$R_\varepsilon(\varphi)(\cdot, t) = R_\varepsilon(\varphi(\cdot, t))(\cdot) \quad \text{for each } t \in (0, T).$$

Clearly R_ε maps $L^q(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$ onto $L^q(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_\varepsilon))$ with the estimate:

$$\|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^q(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \leq C (\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^q(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} + (1 + \sigma_\varepsilon^{-1})\|\varphi\|_{L^q(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}). \quad (2.6)$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 < q < \infty$. Assume $H \in L^q(0, T; W^{-1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3))$ satisfying*

$$\langle H, \varphi \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3), \quad \text{div } \varphi = 0.$$

Then there exists a scalar function $P \in L^q(0, T; L_0^2(\Omega))$ such that:

$$H = \nabla P, \quad \text{with } \|P\|_{L^q(0, T; L_0^2(\Omega))} \leq C \|H\|_{L^q(0, T; W^{-1,2}(\Omega))}. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. For each $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\text{div } \psi \equiv 0$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(0, T)$, there holds

$$0 = \langle H, \phi(t)\psi(x) \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} = \int_0^T \langle H(\cdot, t), \psi(\cdot) \rangle_\Omega \phi(t) dt.$$

Thus we have for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$ that

$$\langle H(\cdot, t), \psi(\cdot) \rangle_\Omega = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3), \quad \text{div } \psi \equiv 0.$$

Therefore, for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$, there exists $P(\cdot, t) \in L_0^2(\Omega)$ (see [10]), such that

$$H(\cdot, t) = \nabla P(\cdot, t), \quad \text{with } \|P(\cdot, t)\|_{L_0^2(\Omega)} \leq \|H(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{-1,2}(\Omega)}.$$

This implies immediately (2.7). □

Now we define a functional \tilde{H}_ε in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T))$ by the following dual formulation:

$$\langle \tilde{H}_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} = \langle \nabla P_\varepsilon, R(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3),$$

where $P_\varepsilon \in C([0, T], L_0^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))$ is given in (1.12). Then for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{H}_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} &= \langle \nabla P_\varepsilon(t), R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)} \\ &= \langle \mathbf{F}_\varepsilon(t) - \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t) + \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0 + \mu \Delta \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon(t) - \Phi_\varepsilon(t), R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Using the uniform estimates in (2.1)–(2.4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Delta \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon(t), R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}| &\leq \|\nabla \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 L^2} \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2 W_0^{1,2}}, \\ |\langle \Phi_\varepsilon(t), R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}| &= |\langle \Psi_\varepsilon(t), \nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}| \leq \|\Psi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty L^2} \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^1 L^2} \|\varphi\|_{L^2 W_0^{1,2}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2 W_0^{1,2}}, \\ |\langle \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}| &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \|R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2 W_0^{1,2}}, \\ |\langle \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0, R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}| &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0\|_{L^2} \|R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2 W_0^{1,2}}, \\ |\langle \mathbf{F}_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}| &\leq C \|\mathbf{F}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2 L^2} \|R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2 W_0^{1,2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Estimates in (2.9) imply

$$\langle \tilde{H}_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2 W_0^{1,2}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Thus \tilde{H}_ε is bounded in $L^2(0, T; W^{-1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3))$. Moreover, the second property of the restriction operator in (2.5) implies that

$$\langle \tilde{H}_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3), \quad \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0.$$

Thus, by (2.10), we can apply Lemma 2.1 and deduce that there exists $\tilde{P}_\varepsilon \in L^2(0, T; L_0^2(\Omega))$ such that

$$\tilde{H}_\varepsilon = \nabla \tilde{P}_\varepsilon, \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L_0^2(\Omega))} \leq C \|\tilde{H}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; W^{-1,2}(\Omega))} \leq C. \quad (2.12)$$

2.3 Momentum equations in the homogeneous domain

In the case of small holes, we find that the extension $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon$ satisfies the Navier-Stokes equations in Ω up to a small remainder:

Proposition 2.2. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.1, the extension $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon$ satisfies the following equations in the sense of distribution:*

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon) - \mu \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon + \nabla \hat{p}_\varepsilon = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_\varepsilon + \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon, \quad \operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T))$ satisfying

$$|\langle \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \varepsilon^\sigma (\|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^2} + \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^4 L^1}), \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3), \quad \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Here $\sigma := ((3 - q)\alpha - 3)/q > 0$ for some $q > 2$ close to 2, and $2 < r_1 < 3$ given in (2.18).

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} \varphi = 0$. To extend the Navier-Stokes equations from Ω to Ω_ε , an idea is to find a family of functions $\{g_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ vanishing on the holes and converges to 1 in some Sobolev space $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and decompose φ as

$$\varphi = g_\varepsilon \varphi + (1 - g_\varepsilon) \varphi. \quad (2.14)$$

Then $g_\varepsilon \varphi$ can be treated as a test function for the momentum equations in Ω_ε . While for the terms related the other part $(1 - g_\varepsilon) \varphi$, we show that they are small and converge to zero. However, such a decomposition destroyed the divergence free property of φ : $\operatorname{div}(g_\varepsilon \varphi) \neq 0$. To overcome this trouble, we introduce the following Bogovskii type operator in perforated domain Ω_ε (see Proposition 2.2 in [15] and Theorem 2.3 in [5]):

Lemma 2.3. *Let Ω_ε defined as in (1.1) and (1.2) with $\alpha \geq 1$. Then for any $1 < q < \infty$, there exists a linear operator $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon : L_0^q(\Omega_\varepsilon) \rightarrow W_0^{1,q}(\Omega_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that for any $f \in L_0^q(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, there holds*

$$\operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(f) = f \text{ in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad \|\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(f)\|_{W_0^{1,q}(\Omega_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left(1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{(3-q)\alpha-3}{q}}\right) \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \quad (2.15)$$

for some constant C independent of ε .

For any $r > 3/2$, the linear operator \mathcal{B}_ε can be extended as a linear operator from $\{\operatorname{div} \mathbf{g} : \mathbf{g} \in L^r(\Omega_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3), \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon\}$ to $L^r(\Omega_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying

$$\|\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{g})\|_{L^r(\Omega_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^r(\Omega_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (2.16)$$

for some constant C independent of ε .

By the description of the holes in (1.1), there exists cut-off functions $\{g_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $0 \leq g_\varepsilon \leq 1$ and

$$g_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } \bigcup_{k \in K_\varepsilon} B(\varepsilon x_k, \delta_1 \varepsilon^\alpha), \quad g_\varepsilon = 1 \text{ on } \left(\bigcup_{k \in K_\varepsilon} B(\varepsilon x_k, \delta_2 \varepsilon^\alpha) \right)^c, \quad |\nabla g_\varepsilon| \leq C\varepsilon^{-\alpha}.$$

Then for each $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ there holds

$$\|g_\varepsilon - 1\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3\alpha-3}{q}}, \quad \|\nabla g_\varepsilon\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3\alpha-3}{q}-\alpha}. \quad (2.17)$$

Now we estimate

$$I^\varepsilon := \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \partial_t \varphi + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon : \nabla \varphi - \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon : \nabla \varphi + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_\varepsilon \varphi \, dx dt.$$

Using the decomposition (2.14) we write

$$I^\varepsilon = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \partial_t (g_\varepsilon \varphi) + \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla (g_\varepsilon \varphi) - \nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla (g_\varepsilon \varphi) + \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon (g_\varepsilon \varphi) \, dx dt + \sum_{j=1}^4 I_j$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon (1 - g_\varepsilon) \partial_t \varphi \, dx dt, \\ I_2 &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon : (1 - g_\varepsilon) \nabla \varphi - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon : \nabla g_\varepsilon \otimes \varphi \, dx dt, \\ I_3 &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon : (1 - g_\varepsilon) \nabla \varphi - \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon : \nabla g_\varepsilon \otimes \varphi \, dx dt, \\ I_4 &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_\varepsilon (g_\varepsilon - 1) \varphi \, dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \varphi \cdot \nabla g_\varepsilon \, dx = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \operatorname{div}(\varphi g_\varepsilon) \, dx = 0.$$

Thus, we can apply Lemma 2.3 and introduce

$$\varphi_1 := \varphi - \varphi_2, \quad \varphi_2 := \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\operatorname{div}(\varphi g_\varepsilon)) = \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\varphi \cdot \nabla g_\varepsilon).$$

Then $\varphi_1 \in C_c^\infty((0, T); W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_\varepsilon))$ satisfying $\operatorname{div} \varphi_1 = 0$. Using the weak formulation (1.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} I^\varepsilon &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \partial_t \varphi_1 + \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla \varphi_1 - \nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla \varphi_1 + \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon \cdot \varphi_1 \, dx dt + \sum_{j=1}^4 I_j + \sum_{j=5}^8 I_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^4 I_j + \sum_{j=5}^8 I_j, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \partial_t \varphi_2 \, dx dt, & I_6 &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla \varphi_2 \, dx dt, \\ I_7 &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} -\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla \varphi_2 \, dx dt, & I_8 &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon \cdot \varphi_2 \, dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate I_j term by term. Since $\alpha > 3$, there exists $q \in (2, 3)$ close to 2 such that

$$\sigma := \frac{(3-q)\alpha - 3}{q} > 0.$$

Let q^* be the Sobolev conjugate component to q with $\frac{1}{q^*} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{3}$. Clearly $6 < q^* < \infty$.

By the uniform estimates (2.2) and (2.17), together with interpolation and Sobolev embedding, we obtain

$$|I_1| \leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^4 L^3} \|1 - g_\varepsilon\|_{L^6} \|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^2} \leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty L^2 \cap L^2 L^6} \|1 - g_\varepsilon\|_{L^6} \|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^2} \leq C\varepsilon^\sigma \|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^2}.$$

Again by interpolation and using Sobolev embedding, we have

$$|I_2| \leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^2} (\|g_\varepsilon - 1\|_{L^{q^*}} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^4 L^{r_1}} + \|\nabla g_\varepsilon\|_{L^q} \|\varphi\|_{L^4 L^{r_2}}) \leq C\varepsilon^\sigma \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^4 L^{r_1}},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q^*} = \frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{q} > \frac{1}{3}, \quad \frac{1}{r_2} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r_1^*}. \quad (2.18)$$

Similarly,

$$|I_3| \leq \|\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2 L^2} (\|g_\varepsilon - 1\|_{L^{q^*}} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^{r_1}} + \|\nabla g_\varepsilon\|_{L^q} \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^{r_2}}) \leq C\varepsilon^\sigma \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^{r_1}}.$$

For I_4 ,

$$|I_4| \leq \|\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2 L^2} \|g_\varepsilon - 1\|_{L^{q^*}} \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^{r_1}} \leq C\varepsilon^\sigma \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^{r_1}}.$$

Next we estimate $I_j, j = 5, 6, 7, 8$ for which the estimates of the Bogovskii operator \mathcal{B}_ε in (2.15) and (2.16) will be repeatedly used. Since the Bogovskii operator \mathcal{B}_ε only applies on spatial variable, then

$$\partial_t \varphi_2 = \partial_t \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\varphi \cdot \nabla g_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\partial_t \varphi \cdot \nabla g_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\operatorname{div}((\partial_t \varphi)g_\varepsilon)) = \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\operatorname{div}((\partial_t \varphi)(g_\varepsilon - 1))).$$

Thus, taking $r_5 > 3/2$ and using (2.16) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |I_5| &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^4 L^3} \|\partial_t \varphi_2\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^{r_5}} \leq C \|\partial_t \varphi(g_\varepsilon - 1)\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^{r_5}} \\ &\leq C \|g_\varepsilon - 1\|_{L^{q^*}} \|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^{r_6}} \leq C\varepsilon^\sigma \|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^{r_6}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r_6} = \frac{1}{r_5} - \frac{1}{q^*} = \frac{1}{r_5} - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{3}.$$

Since $q > 2$, we can choose $r_5 > 3/2$ close to $3/2$ such that

$$\frac{1}{r_5} - \frac{1}{q} = \left(\frac{1}{r_5} - \frac{2}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6}.$$

With such a choice of r_5 we have $r_6 = 2$ and

$$|I_5| \leq C\varepsilon^\sigma \|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^2}.$$

Using (2.15), by similar argument one has

$$|I_6| + |I_7| + |I_8| \leq C\varepsilon^\sigma \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^4 L^{r_1}}.$$

Summing up the above estimates for I_j gives

$$|I^\varepsilon| \leq C\varepsilon^\sigma (\|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^2} + \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^4 L^{r_1}}).$$

This implies our desired result in (2.13). □

2.4 Convergence of the nonlinear convective term

Here we will show $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon$ has certain compactness such that the convergence of the nonlinear convective term $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon$ can be obtained. A key observation is that some uniform estimates related to time derivative can be deduced from Proposition 2.2. Indeed, By Proposition 2.2, for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} \varphi = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle| &\leq \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon : \nabla \varphi| + |\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon : \nabla \varphi| + |\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon \cdot \varphi| \, dx dt + |\langle \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle| \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^4 L^2} + C \varepsilon^\sigma (\|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^2} + \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^4 L^{r_1}}) \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^4 L^{r_1}} + C \varepsilon^\sigma \|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\sigma > 0$ and $r_1 \in (2, 3)$. Thus we have the following decomposition

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^{(1)} + \varepsilon^\sigma \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^{(2)},$$

where $\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^{(1)}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0, T; V^{-1, r_1}(\Omega))$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^{(2)}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^4(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. Since $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; L^6(\Omega))$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^{(1)} = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon - \varepsilon^\sigma \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^{(2)}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^4(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. Thus, up to a subsequence,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^{(1)} \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^4(0, T; L^2(\Omega)).$$

Recall $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$. Then apply Aubin-Lions type argument (see Lemma 5.1 in [13]) gives

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^{(1)} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)).$$

Clearly

$$\varepsilon^\sigma \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^{(2)} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0, T; L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)) \cap L^4(0, T; L^1(\Omega)).$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)). \quad (2.19)$$

Together with the uniform estimates of $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon$ in (2.2), we deduce from (2.19) that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0, T; L^2(\Omega)). \quad (2.20)$$

2.5 Passing to the limit

Now we are ready to pass $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and prove the following result in \mathbf{U}_ε from which we can deduce our desired limit equations in \mathbf{u}_ε .

Proposition 2.4. *Let $(\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon, P_\varepsilon)$ be the solution of the equation (1.12) and \tilde{P}_ε be the extension in Ω defined by (2.11). If $\alpha > 3$, i.e. $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sigma_\varepsilon = \infty$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon &\rightarrow \mathbf{U} \text{ weakly}^* \text{ in } W^{1,\infty}((0, T); L^2(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,2}((0, T); W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)), \\ \tilde{P}_\varepsilon &\rightarrow P \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; L_0^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Moreover, (\mathbf{U}, P) solves

$$\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^0 + \operatorname{div} \Psi - \mu \Delta \mathbf{U} + \nabla P = \mathbf{F}, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)), \quad (2.22)$$

with

$$\mathbf{U}(\cdot, t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, s) ds, \quad \Psi(\cdot, t) := \int_0^t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, s) \otimes \mathbf{u}(\cdot, s) ds, \quad \mathbf{F}(\cdot, t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{f}(\cdot, s) ds, \quad (2.23)$$

where \mathbf{u} is the limit of $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon$ given in (2.3), \mathbf{u}^0 and \mathbf{f} are given in (1.9).

Proof. The weak convergences in (2.21) follow immediately from the uniform estimates (2.4) and (2.12). The divergence free condition $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0$ follows from $\operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon = 0$. Moreover, the weak convergence of $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon$ in (2.3) implies for each $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon \cdot \varphi(t, x) dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_0^t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon(s, x) ds \cdot \varphi(t, x) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon(s, x) \cdot \varphi(t, x) dx ds dt \\ &\rightarrow \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_\Omega \mathbf{u}(s, x) \cdot \varphi(t, x) dx ds dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \int_0^t \mathbf{u}(s, x) ds \cdot \varphi(t, x) dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Thus, the uniqueness of weak limits implies

$$\mathbf{U}(\cdot, t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, s) ds,$$

which is the first equality in (2.23).

Given any scalar test function $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))$, we choose $w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi$ as a test function to (1.12) to obtain the weak formulation:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \cdot (w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi) - \tilde{\Psi}_\varepsilon : \nabla(w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi) + \mu \nabla \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon : \nabla(w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi) - \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}(w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt \\ = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \mathbf{F}_\varepsilon \cdot (w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^0 \cdot (w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

By (1.24), we know that $\|\nabla w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\sigma_\varepsilon^{-1} = C\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha-3}{2}} \rightarrow 0$. Then $w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \rightarrow \bar{w}^i$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ by Sobolev compact embedding. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \cdot w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi dx dt &\rightarrow \int_0^T \int_\Omega \mathbf{u} \cdot \bar{w}^i \phi dx dt, \\ \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^0 \cdot (w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt &\rightarrow \int_0^T \int_\Omega \mathbf{u}^0 \cdot \bar{w}^i \phi dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

For the nonlinear convective term one has

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\Psi}_\varepsilon : \nabla(w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\Psi}_\varepsilon : \nabla w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi + \tilde{\Psi}_\varepsilon : \nabla \phi \otimes w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i dx dt,$$

where the first term on the right-hand side satisfies

$$\left| \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\Psi}_\varepsilon : \nabla w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi dx dt \right| \leq C \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2 L^6}^2 \|\nabla w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty L^6} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha-3}{2}} \rightarrow 0.$$

For the second term, by the convergence of the convective term in (2.20) and the strong convergence of $w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i$, we have

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\Psi}_\varepsilon : \nabla \phi \otimes w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i dx dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_\Omega \Psi : \nabla \phi \otimes \bar{w}^i dx dt.$$

So we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\Psi}_\varepsilon : \nabla(w_{\eta, \varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_\Omega \Psi : \nabla(\bar{w}^i \phi) dx dt. \tag{2.27}$$

Using $\nabla w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \rightarrow 0$ and the strong convergence of $w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i$ again implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\varepsilon} : \nabla (w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \varphi) dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\varepsilon} : \nabla w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \varphi dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\varepsilon} : \nabla \varphi \otimes w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i dx dt \\ &\rightarrow \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \mathbf{U} : \nabla \varphi \otimes \bar{w}^i dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \mathbf{U} : \nabla (\bar{w}^i \varphi) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

For the term related to the pressure, again by the strong convergence of $w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{div} (w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon} (w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \cdot \nabla \phi) dx dt \\ &\rightarrow \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} P (\bar{w}^i \cdot \phi) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} P \operatorname{div} (\bar{w}^i \phi) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

For the external force term, by the strong convergence of $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\varepsilon}$ in (1.11), we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\varepsilon} (w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F} (\bar{w}^i \phi) dx dt. \quad (2.30)$$

Summarizing the convergences in (2.26)–(2.30) and passing $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (2.25) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (\bar{w}^i \phi) - \Psi : \nabla (\bar{w}^i \phi) + \mu \nabla \mathbf{U} : \nabla (\bar{w}^i \phi) - P \operatorname{div} (\bar{w}^i \phi) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F} \cdot (\bar{w}^i \phi) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}^0 \cdot (\bar{w}^i \phi) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

Equation (2.31) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot (A\varphi) - \Psi : \nabla (A\varphi) + \mu \nabla \mathbf{U} : \nabla (A\varphi) - P \operatorname{div} (A\varphi) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F} \cdot (A\varphi) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}^0 \cdot (A\varphi) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3)$ with $A = (A_{i,j}) = (\bar{w}^j)_i = (\bar{w}^i)_j$. As shown in [3], A is a positive definite matrix. This implies

$$\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^0 + \operatorname{div} \Psi - \mu \Delta \mathbf{U} + \nabla P - \mathbf{F} = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)).$$

The proof of Proposition 2.4 is completed. \square

2.6 End of the proof

From Proposition 2.4, we can deduce the limit equations in \mathbf{u} . Indeed, differentiating in t to equation (2.22) implies

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mathbf{f}, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)), \quad (2.32)$$

with $p = \partial_t P$. By (2.21)₂, we know that

$$\tilde{p}_{\varepsilon} := \partial_t \tilde{P}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow p \text{ weakly in } W^{-1,2}(0, T; L_0^2(\Omega)),$$

which is exactly (1.14).

Clearly $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$ which follows from $\operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\varepsilon} = 0$. Since $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$, using equation (2.32) implies

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} \in L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0, T; V^{-1,2}(\Omega)).$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{u} \in C_{weak}([0, T], L^2(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T], L^q(\Omega)), \text{ for any } 1 \leq q < 2. \quad (2.33)$$

We shall further show the attainment of initial datum for \mathbf{u} . With such continuity of \mathbf{u} in time variable in (2.33), by density argument, we deduce from (2.32) that for each $\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega \times [0, T]; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $\operatorname{div} \varphi = 0$,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} -\mathbf{u} \partial_t \varphi - \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla \varphi + \nabla \mathbf{u} : \nabla \varphi dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(x, 0) \varphi(x, 0) dx. \quad (2.34)$$

Following the proof of Proposition 2.2, we can deduce for each $\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega \times [0, T]; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $\operatorname{div} \varphi = 0$ that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} -\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\varepsilon} \partial_t \varphi - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\varepsilon} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\varepsilon} : \nabla \varphi + \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\varepsilon} : \nabla \varphi dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}^0(x) \varphi(x, 0) dx + \mathbf{H}_{\varepsilon}(\varphi), \quad (2.35)$$

where the remainder term $\mathbf{H}_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)$ satisfies

$$|\mathbf{H}_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)| \leq C \varepsilon^{\sigma} (\|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^2} + \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^4 L^{r_1}} + \|\varphi(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^{r_1^*}(\Omega)}),$$

with $\sigma > 0$ and $2 < r_1 < 3$ given in Proposition 2.2 and r_1^* the Sobolev conjugate number of r_1 such that $\frac{1}{r_1^*} = \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{3}$.

By the convergence of the convective term in (2.20), passing $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (2.35) implies

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} -\mathbf{u} \partial_t \varphi - \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla \varphi + \nabla \mathbf{u} : \nabla \varphi dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}^0(x) \varphi(x, 0) dx. \quad (2.36)$$

Comparing (2.34) and (2.36) implies the attainment of initial datum:

$$\mathbf{u}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}^0.$$

We obtain system (1.15) and thus complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1.2 where $a_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\alpha}$ (1.8) with $1 < \alpha < 3$ and $\sigma_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\frac{3-\alpha}{2}}$ concerning the case of large holes. In this case we consider the time-scaled Navier-Stokes system (1.16).

In the case of large holes, one can benefit from the zero boundary condition on the holes and obtain the following perforation version of Poincaré inequality (see Lemma 3.4.1 in [2]):

Lemma 3.1. *Let Ω_{ε} be the perforated domain defined by (1.1) and (1.2) with $a_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\alpha}$, $1 < \alpha < 3$. There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq C \sigma_{\varepsilon} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}, \quad \text{for any } u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}). \quad (3.1)$$

3.1 Estimates of velocity

From the energy inequality (1.7), using Poincaré inequality (3.1) and Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\sigma_\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(x, s)|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon dx ds + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0(x)|^2 dx \\
& \leq \|\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, t; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, t; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_\varepsilon^2 \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 \\
& \leq C\sigma_\varepsilon \|\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, t; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, t; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_\varepsilon^2 \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 \\
& \leq C\sigma_\varepsilon^2 \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} \|\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, t; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_\varepsilon^2 \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \leq C, \quad \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \leq C\sigma_\varepsilon, \quad \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \leq C\sigma_\varepsilon^2, \quad (3.2)$$

where we used again (3.1). Therefore the extension satisfies

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \|\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C\sigma_\varepsilon, \quad \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C\sigma_\varepsilon^2. \quad (3.3)$$

Then, up to a subsequence, we have the convergence

$$\sigma_\varepsilon^{-2} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \quad (3.4)$$

which is exactly (1.18).

By (1.10), it follows from (3.3) that

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1, \infty}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \|\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1, 2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C\sigma_\varepsilon, \quad \|\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1, 2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C\sigma_\varepsilon^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Then, up to a subsequence,

$$\sigma_\varepsilon^{-2} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \text{ weakly in } W^{1, 2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega)). \quad (3.6)$$

As the argument in (2.24), the uniqueness of the weak limits implies that

$$\mathbf{U}(\cdot, t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, s) ds. \quad (3.7)$$

3.2 Extension of pressure

Let P_ε be given in the Stokes equations (1.17). Recall the estimate of R_ε in (2.6):

$$\|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^q(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \leq C \left(\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^q(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} + \sigma_\varepsilon^{-1} \|\varphi\|_{L^q(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \right),$$

which will be repeatedly used in this section. Here $\sigma_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for the case of large holes. As in Section 2.2, we define a functional \tilde{H}_ε in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3)$ by the following dual formulation: for each $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tilde{H}_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} &= \langle \nabla P_\varepsilon(t), R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)} \\
&= \langle \mathbf{F}_\varepsilon(t) - \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t) + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0 + \mu \Delta \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon(t) - \operatorname{div} \Psi_\varepsilon(t), R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Using the uniform estimates in (3.2)–(3.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \operatorname{div} \Psi_\varepsilon(t), R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}| &= |\langle \Psi_\varepsilon(t), \nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}| \\
&\leq \|\Psi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty L^2} \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \\
&\leq \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^1 L^2} \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \\
&\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2 L^6}^2 (\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + \sigma_\varepsilon^{-1} \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}) \\
&= C \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + C \sigma_\varepsilon \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \Delta \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon(t), R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}| &\leq \|\nabla \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 L^2} \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \\
&\leq C \sigma_\varepsilon (\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + \sigma_\varepsilon^{-1} \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}) \\
&= C \sigma_\varepsilon \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + C \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

By Poincaré inequality in Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}| &\leq C \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))} \sigma_\varepsilon \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \\
&\leq C (\sigma_\varepsilon^3 \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}), \\
|\langle \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0, R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}| &\leq C \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0\|_{L^2 \sigma_\varepsilon} \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \\
&\leq C (\sigma_\varepsilon^3 \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}), \\
|\langle \mathbf{F}_\varepsilon(t), R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)}| &\leq C \sigma_\varepsilon \|\mathbf{F}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2 L^2} \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \\
&\leq C (\sigma_\varepsilon \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.9)–(3.11) we deduce that

$$\langle \tilde{H}_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \leq C (\|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + \sigma_\varepsilon \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}). \tag{3.12}$$

This indicates \tilde{H}_ε is bounded in $L^2(0, T, L^2(\Omega)) + \sigma_\varepsilon L^2(0, T, W^{-1,2}(\Omega))$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \partial_t \tilde{H}_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} &= -\langle \tilde{H}_\varepsilon, \partial_t \varphi \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} = -\langle \nabla P_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon(\partial_t \varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)} \\
&= -\langle \mathbf{F}_\varepsilon(t) - \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t) + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^0 + \mu \Delta \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon(t) - \Phi_\varepsilon(t), R_\varepsilon(\partial_t \varphi) \rangle_{\Omega_\varepsilon \times (0, T)} \\
&= \langle \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle + \langle \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon(\partial_t \varphi) \rangle + \langle \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle - \langle \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon), R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By the uniform estimates in (3.2)–(3.5) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle| &\leq C \|\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2 L^2} \|R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \leq C (\sigma_\varepsilon \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}), \\
|\langle \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon(\partial_t \varphi) \rangle| &\leq C \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \sigma_\varepsilon \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\partial_t \varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \leq C \sigma_\varepsilon^2 (\sigma_\varepsilon \|\nabla \partial_t \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + \|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}), \\
|\langle \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle| &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2 L^2} \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^2 L^2} \leq C (\sigma_\varepsilon \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}), \\
|\langle \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon), R_\varepsilon(\varphi) \rangle| &\leq C \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^2} \|\nabla R_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{L^4 L^2} \leq C \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} (\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^4 L^2} + \sigma_\varepsilon^{-1} \|\varphi\|_{L^4 L^2}).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \partial_t \tilde{H}_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)}| &\leq C (\|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + \sigma_\varepsilon \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_{L^4 L^2} + \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^4 L^2} \\
&\quad + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \|\partial_t \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + \sigma_\varepsilon^3 \|\nabla \partial_t \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}) \\
&\leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2 L^2} + C \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^4 L^2} + C \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \partial_t \varphi\|_{L^2 L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \tilde{H}_ε is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,2} L^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} W^{1, \frac{4}{3}} W^{-1,2} + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 L^2 W^{-1,2}$. Moreover, the second property of the restriction operator in (2.5) implies that

$$\langle \tilde{H}_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle_{\Omega \times (0, T)} = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3), \quad \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0.$$

Thus, we can apply Lemma 2.1 and deduce that there exists $\tilde{P}_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(W^{1,2} \cap L_0^2) + \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} W^{1,\frac{4}{3}} L_0^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 L^2 L_0^2$ such that

$$\tilde{H}_\varepsilon = \tilde{H}_\varepsilon^{(1)} + \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{H}_\varepsilon^{(2)} + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \tilde{H}_\varepsilon^{(3)}, \quad \tilde{P}_\varepsilon = \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(1)} + \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(2)} + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(3)}, \quad (3.13)$$

with

$$\tilde{H}_\varepsilon^{(1)} = \nabla \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(1)}, \quad \tilde{H}_\varepsilon^{(2)} = \nabla \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(2)}, \quad \tilde{H}_\varepsilon^{(3)} = \nabla \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(3)}, \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(1)}\|_{W^{1,2}W^{1,2}} &\leq \|\tilde{H}_\varepsilon^{(1)}\|_{W^{1,2}L^2} \leq C, \\ \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(2)}\|_{W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}L_0^2} &\leq \|\tilde{H}_\varepsilon^{(2)}\|_{W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}W^{-1,2}} \leq C, \\ \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(3)}\|_{L^2L_0^2} &\leq \|\tilde{H}_\varepsilon^{(3)}\|_{L^2W^{-1,2}} \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

3.3 Passing to the limit

Instead of showing the limit equations in \mathbf{u} directly, we prove the following results in \mathbf{U} :

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(\mathbf{U}_\varepsilon, P_\varepsilon)$ be the weak solution of equation (1.17) and $(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon, \tilde{P}_\varepsilon)$ be their extension in Ω defined in (1.10), (3.8), (3.13) and (3.14). If $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sigma_\varepsilon = 0$ i.e. $1 < \alpha < 3$, then*

$$\sigma_\varepsilon^{-2} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)), \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\tilde{P}_\varepsilon = \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(1)} + \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(2)} + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(3)}, \quad (3.17)$$

which satisfies the estimates in (3.15) and

$$\tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(1)} \rightarrow P \text{ weakly in } W^{1,2}(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)). \quad (3.18)$$

Moreover, (\mathbf{U}, P) solves

$$\mu \mathbf{U} = A(\mathbf{F} - \nabla P), \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)), \quad (3.19)$$

with

$$\mathbf{U}(\cdot, t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{u}(\cdot, s) ds, \quad \mathbf{F}(\cdot, t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{f}(\cdot, s) ds. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. The convergence of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon$ in (3.16) follows immediately from the uniform estimates (3.5) and was given already in (3.6). The weak convergence of \tilde{P}_ε in (3.18) follows from the uniform estimates (3.15). The relations in (3.20) follows from the uniqueness for weak limits and were given already in (1.11) and (3.7).

Given any scalar test function $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))$, we choose $w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi$ as a test function to (1.17) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \int_\Omega \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \cdot (w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) - \tilde{\Psi}_\varepsilon : \nabla(w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) + \mu \nabla \mathbf{U}_\varepsilon : \nabla(w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) - \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}(w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \mathbf{F}_\varepsilon \cdot (w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^0 \cdot (w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

By the uniform estimates in (3.3) and (1.24), and the convergences in (1.25) and (1.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \cdot (w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt \right| \leq \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2 L^6} \|w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\phi\|_{L^2 L^3} \leq C \sigma_\varepsilon^3 \rightarrow 0, \\ &\left| \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon^0 \cdot (w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt \right| \leq C \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \|\mathbf{u}^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\phi\|_{L^1 L^\infty} \leq C \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0, \\ &\int_0^T \int_\Omega \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_\varepsilon \cdot (w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_\Omega \mathbf{F} \cdot (\bar{w}^i \phi) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

For the convective term, by (1.24) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\Psi}_\varepsilon : \nabla(w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt \right| &\leq \|\tilde{\Psi}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty L^2} \|w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{W^{1,2}} \|\phi\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^1 L^2} \|w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{W^{1,2}} \|\phi\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq C \|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2 L^6}^2 \|w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{W^{1,2}} \leq C \sigma_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Using equation (1.23) in $(w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i, q_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i)$ in Section 1.3 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon : \nabla(w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon : \nabla \phi \otimes w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i + \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon : \nabla w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon : \nabla \phi \otimes w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i + \nabla(\phi \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon) : \nabla w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i - \nabla \phi \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon : \nabla w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon : \nabla \phi \otimes w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i + \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon) q_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i + \sigma_\varepsilon^{-2} (\phi \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon) \cdot e^i - \nabla \phi \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon : \nabla w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

For the first term and the last term on the right-hand side of (3.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon : \nabla \phi \otimes w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i dx dt \right| &\leq \|\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2 L^2} \|w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2 L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \sigma_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \\ \left| \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon : \nabla w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i dx dt \right| &\leq \|\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2 L^2} \|\nabla w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2 L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \sigma_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon = 0$ and $\varepsilon^{-1} c_\eta = \sigma_\varepsilon^{-1}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon) q_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i dx dt \right| &\leq \varepsilon^{-1} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2 L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2 L^2} \|q_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{-1} \sigma_\varepsilon^2 c_\eta = C \sigma_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

By the weak convergence of $\sigma_\varepsilon^{-2} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon$ in (3.6), we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \sigma_\varepsilon^{-2} \phi \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\varepsilon \cdot e^i dx dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \phi \mathbf{U} \cdot e^i dx dt. \quad (3.26)$$

Now we deal with the term related to the pressure. By the decomposition in (3.13)–(3.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}(w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(1)} w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \cdot \nabla \phi dx dt \\ &\quad + \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(2)} w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \cdot \nabla \phi dx dt + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(3)} w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \cdot \nabla \phi dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

By the uniform estimates in (3.15), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(2)} w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \cdot \nabla \phi dx dt &\leq \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(2)}\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}} L^2} \|w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^4 L^\infty} \leq C \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0, \\ \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(3)} w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \cdot \nabla \phi dx dt &\leq \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(3)}\|_{L^2 L^2} \|w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2 L^\infty} \leq C \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Since $\tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(1)} \rightarrow P$ weakly in $W^{1,2}(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega))$, by Sobolev compact embedding, one has $\tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(1)} \rightarrow P$ strongly in $L^2(0, T; L_0^2(\Omega))$. Thus,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^{(1)} w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \cdot \nabla \phi dx dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} P(\bar{w}^i \cdot \nabla \phi) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} P \operatorname{div}(\bar{w}^i \phi) dx dt. \quad (3.29)$$

From (3.27)–(3.29) we deduce that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \operatorname{div} (w_{\eta,\varepsilon}^i \phi) dx dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} P \operatorname{div} (\bar{w}^i \phi) dx dt. \quad (3.30)$$

By (3.22)–(3.30), passing $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.21) implies our desired equation (3.19). The proof of Proposition 3.2 is completed. \square

3.4 End of the proof

By Proposition 3.2, differentiating in t to equation (3.19) implies

$$\mu \mathbf{u} = A(\mathbf{f} - \nabla p), \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)),$$

with $p = \partial_t P$. By (3.15), (3.17) and (3.18), we know that

$$\tilde{p}_\varepsilon = \tilde{p}_\varepsilon^{(1)} + \sigma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{p}_\varepsilon^{(2)} + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \tilde{p}_\varepsilon^{(3)},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_\varepsilon^{(1)} &\rightarrow p \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)), \\ \tilde{p}_\varepsilon^{(2)} &\text{ bounded in } L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0, T; L_0^2(\Omega)), \\ \tilde{p}_\varepsilon^{(3)} &\text{ bounded in } W^{-1,2}(0, T; L_0^2(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

which are exactly (1.19) and (1.20).

Since $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \in L^2(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$, $\operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon = 0$ and $\sigma_\varepsilon^{-2} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$ weakly in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, then $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ and $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ (see [18]). We thus complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgments

Yong Lu has been supported by the Recruitment Program of Global Experts of China. Both authors are partially supported by the NSF of China under Grant 12171235. On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] G. Allaire. Homogenization of the Navier-Stokes equations in open sets perforated with tiny holes. I. Abstract framework, a volume distribution of holes. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 113 (3) (1990), 209-259.
- [2] G. Allaire. Homogenization of the Navier-Stokes equations in open sets perforated with tiny holes. II. Noncritical sizes of the holes for a volume distribution and a surface distribution of holes. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 113 (3) (1990), 261-298.
- [3] G. Allaire. Continuity of the Darcy's law in the low-volume fraction limit. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, 4 (1991), 475-499.
- [4] D. Cioranescu, F. Murat. Un terme étrange venu d'ailleurs, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and their Applications*, Collège de France Seminar, Vols. 2 & 3, ed. by H. Brezis & J. L. Lions, Research Notes in Mathematics 60, pp. 98-138, and 70, pp. 154-178, Pitman, London (1982).

- [5] L. Diening, E. Feireisl, Y. Lu. The inverse of the divergence operator on perforated domains with applications to homogenization problems for the compressible Navier-Stokes system. *ESAIM: Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 23 (2017), 851-868.
- [6] J. Leray. Essai sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace. *Acta Math.*, 63 (1934), p. 193-248.
- [7] E. Feireisl, A. Novotný, T. Takahashi. Homogenization and singular limits for the complete Navier-Stokes Fourier system. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 94 (1) (2010), 33-57.
- [8] E. Feireisl, Y. Lu. Homogenization of stationary Navier-Stokes equations in domains with tiny holes. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 17 (2015), 381-392.
- [9] E. Feireisl, Y. Namlyeyeva, S. Nečasová. Homogenization of the evolutionary Navier-Stokes system. *Manusc. Math.*, 149 (2016), 251-274.
- [10] G. P. Galdi. An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations: Steady-state problems. Springer Science and Business Media, 2011.
- [11] W. J. Jing. A Unified Homogenization Approach for the Dirichlet Problem in Perforated Domains. *SIAM: J. Math. Anal.*, 52 (2) (2020), 1192-1220.
- [12] P. L. Lions. Mathematical Topics in Fluid Dynamics, Vol. I. Incompressible Models, Oxford Science Publication, Oxford, 1996.
- [13] P. L. Lions. Mathematical Topics in Fluid Dynamics, Vol. II. Compressible models. Oxford Science Publication, Oxford, 1998.
- [14] Y. Lu. Homogenization of Stokes Equations in Perforated Domains: A Unified Approach. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 22 (2020), Paper No. 44.
- [15] Y. Lu, S. Schwarzacher. Homogenization of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in domains with very tiny holes. *J. Differential Equations*, 265 (4) (2018), 1371-1406.
- [16] N. Masmoudi. Homogenization of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in a porous medium. *ESAIM: Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 8 (2002), 885-906.
- [17] A. Mikelić. Homogenization of nonstationary Navier Stokes equations in a domain with a grained boundary. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 158 (1991), 167-179.
- [18] E. Sanchez-Palencia. Non homogeneous Media and Vibration theory, *Lecture Notes in Physics* 127, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [19] L. Tartar. Incompressible fluid flow in a porous medium: convergence of the homogenization process, in *Nonhomogeneous media and vibration theory*, edited by E. Sánchez-Palencia, 1980, 368-377.
- [20] R. Temam. Navier-Stokes equations, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.