

# Key directions for research and development of superconducting radio frequency cavities

## ABSTRACT

Radio frequency superconductivity is a cornerstone technology for many future HEP particle accelerators and experiments from colliders to proton drivers for neutrino facilities to searches for dark matter. While the performance of superconducting radio frequency (SRF) cavities has improved significantly over the last decades, and the SRF technology has enabled new applications, the proposed HEP facilities and experiments pose new challenges. To address these challenges, the field continues to generate new ideas and there seems to be a vast room for improvements. In this paper we discuss the key research directions that are aligned with and address the future HEP needs.

---

---

Submitted to the Proceedings of the US Community Study  
on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass 2021)

---

---

**S. Belomestnykh<sup>1,16,\*</sup>** AND **S. Posen<sup>1,\*</sup>**

D. BAFIA<sup>1</sup>, S. BALACHANDRAN<sup>12</sup>, M. BERTUCCI<sup>10</sup>, A. BURRILL<sup>15</sup>, A. CANO<sup>1</sup>,  
M. CHECCHIN<sup>1</sup>, G. CIOVATI<sup>19</sup>, L.D. COOLEY<sup>12</sup>, G. DALLA LANA SEMIONE<sup>9</sup>,  
J. DELAYEN<sup>14,19</sup>, G. EREMEEV<sup>1</sup>, F. FURUTA<sup>1</sup>, F. GERIGK<sup>5</sup>, B. GIACCONE<sup>1</sup>,  
D. GONNELLA<sup>15</sup>, A. GRASSELLINO<sup>1</sup>, A. GUREVICH<sup>14</sup>, W. HILLERT<sup>9</sup>, M. IAVARONE<sup>18</sup>,  
J. KNOBLOCH<sup>7,21</sup>, T. KUBO<sup>11,17</sup>, W.-K. KWOK<sup>2</sup>, R. LAXDAL<sup>20</sup>, P.J. LEE<sup>12</sup>,  
M. LIEPE<sup>3</sup>, M. MARTINELLO<sup>1</sup>, O.S. MELNYCHUK<sup>1</sup>, A. NASSIRI<sup>2</sup>, A. NETEPENKO<sup>1</sup>,  
H. PADAMSEE<sup>3,1</sup>, C. PAGANI<sup>10</sup>, R. PAPARELLA<sup>10</sup>, U. PUDASAINI<sup>19</sup>, C.E. REECE<sup>19</sup>,  
D. RESCHKE<sup>4</sup>, A. ROMANENKO<sup>1</sup>, M. ROSS<sup>15</sup>, K. SAITO<sup>6</sup>, J. SAULS<sup>13</sup>, D.N. SEIDMAN<sup>13</sup>,  
N. SOLYAK<sup>1</sup>, Z. SUNG<sup>1</sup>, K. UMEMORI<sup>11</sup>, A.-M. VALENTE-FELICIANO<sup>19</sup>,  
W. VENTURINI DELSOLARO<sup>5</sup>, N. WALKER<sup>4</sup>, H. WEISE<sup>4</sup>, U. WELP<sup>2</sup>, M. WENSKAT<sup>9</sup>,  
G. WU<sup>1</sup>, X.X. XI<sup>18</sup>, V. YAKOVLEV<sup>1</sup>, A. YAMAMOTO<sup>11,5</sup>, AND J. ZASADZINSKI<sup>8</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510, USA*
- <sup>2</sup>*Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, 60439, USA*
- <sup>3</sup>*Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA*
- <sup>4</sup>*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Notkestrasse 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany*
- <sup>5</sup>*European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*
- <sup>6</sup>*Facility for Rare Isotope Beams, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, 48824, USA*
- <sup>7</sup>*Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin, Albert-Einstein-Str. 15, 12489 Berlin, Germany*
- <sup>8</sup>*Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Illinois 60616, USA*
- <sup>9</sup>*Institute of Experimental Physics, University of Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany*
- <sup>10</sup>*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) LASA, Segrate, Italy*
- <sup>11</sup>*High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*
- <sup>12</sup>*National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Tallahassee, FL 32310, USA*
- <sup>13</sup>*Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA*
- <sup>14</sup>*Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529 USA*
- <sup>15</sup>*SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA*
- <sup>16</sup>*Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA*
- <sup>17</sup>*The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (Sokendai), Hayama, Kanagawa 240-0193, Japan*
- <sup>18</sup>*Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122*
- <sup>19</sup>*Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606, USA*
- <sup>20</sup>*TRIUMF, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6T2A3, Canada*
- <sup>21</sup>*Universität Siegen, Walter-Flex-Str. 3, 57068 Siegen, Germany*

\*Corresponding authors: sbelomes@fnal.gov, sposen@fnal.gov

# Contents

1	Executive summary	4
2	Introduction: SRF cavity performance frontier	5
3	Nano-engineering the Nb surface layer for high Q and high gradient	6
4	Nb <sub>3</sub> Sn as practical Nb material	9
5	Other materials beyond bulk Nb	9
6	Advanced SRF cavity shapes	10
7	SRF for dark sector searches	11
8	Other key R&D directions	12
9	Summary and conclusions	13
10	Acknowledgements	14

# 1 Executive summary

Over the last decades, the superconducting radio frequency (SRF) technology has made tremendous progress and is nowadays a critical technology for many high energy physics (HEP) accelerators and experiments [1, 2, 3]. In the near future, SRF linac PIP-II will provide  $H^-$  beam to drive LBNF/DUNE experiment at Fermilab, SRF crab cavities will chirp the proton bunches at the ATLAS and CMS detectors of HL-LHC to boost the collider's luminosity. Further down the road, the SRF technology will be crucial for the success of a future Higgs factory, being either SRF linear collider option, such as ILC and HELEN, or circular collider option, such as FCC-ee and CEPC. Recently demonstrated excellent performance of SRF cavities in quantum regime (single-photon operation at millikelvin temperatures) enables new set of experiments in dark matter searches and new avenues for building quantum computers, which would be very beneficial for the HEP field. The new applications of the SRF technologies pose new challenges that must be addressed via a dedicated SRF R&D program. There is still a vast room for improvements and many new ideas to explore.

A roadmap of SRF R&D for the decade starting in 2018 [4] was developed under the framework of the DOE GARD (General Accelerator R&D) program. The roadmap was developed by a team of leading researchers in the field from national laboratories and universities, both domestic and international. It provides a community-directed guidance and reflects the most promising research directions for advances that enable future experimental high energy physics programs. In this contributed paper, we lay out a framework for key SRF R&D directions, which are largely aligned with and extend beyond the GARD roadmap.

Among the key directions that we consider in this paper are:

- studies to push performance niobium and improve our understanding of RF losses and ultimate quench fields via experimental and theoretical investigations;
- developing methods for nano-engineering the niobium surface layer and tailoring it for specific applications;
- investigations of new SRF materials beyond niobium via advanced deposition techniques and bringing these materials to practical applications;
- developing advanced cavity geometries to push accelerating gradients of bulk niobium cavities to  $\sim 70$  MV/m and pursuing R&D on companion RF technologies to mitigate field emission, provide precise resonance control, etc.;
- research on application of SRF technology to dark sector searches.

Strategic investments in these SRF R&D areas would open opportunities to build new, more efficient, compact, and cost-effective HEP accelerators and enable new types of experiments. To realize these opportunities, we ask Snowmass 2021 for a strong recommendation to increase investment in the SRF research and technology development.




Figure 1: Progress with time in SRF R&D leading to higher operating gradients for large SRF accelerators.

## 2 Introduction: SRF cavity performance frontier

Superconducting radio frequency (SRF) is a critical technology for several frontiers of experimental high energy physics. For example: SRF cavities make up the vast majority of the PIP-II linac, which will drive LBNF/DUNE [5]; SRF cavities accelerate beams of the LHC, and they will also provide crabbing at the interaction regions to boost luminosity of the HL-LHC [6]; SRF cavities would provide energy for beams in the next generation of proposed Higgs factories, including ILC [7, 8, 9], FCC-ee [10], and CEPC [11]. In addition, SRF cavities are being explored not only for particle beam acceleration but for detection in the next generation of dark sector searches [12, 13, 14, 15] as well as for quantum computing, which could be extremely beneficial for HEP applications [16, 17]. To continue enabling future high energy physics experiments, research and development on SRF cavities is crucial. Continued improvements in cavity performance make new scientific applications feasible whereas they would have otherwise been either un-achievable or too expensive.

Fig. 1 illustrates how SRF R&D can enable experimental physics. It plots operating gradient of large-scale SRF linear accelerators (order of hundreds of SRF cavities) versus year of first operations. It shows how as progress was made in SRF R&D to increase accelerating gradient – through years of efforts to ameliorate degradation mechanisms such as multipacting, thermal breakdown, and field emission [18, 19, 20, 21] – the new capabilities would bring into reach new accelerator-based experimental programs in nuclear physics, basic energy sciences, and high energy physics.

Fig. 1 includes ILC, based on gradient targets from the TDR [7, 8]. In a Snowmass 2021 submission [9], there is a discussion of how the baseline gradient could be significantly increased in several upgrade scenarios based on continuous R&D progress. The figure does

not show other ways in which R&D has improved cavity performance, such as improvements in  $Q_0$  (e.g., the factor of  $\sim 3$  improvement in  $Q_0$  provided by nitrogen doping has been an enabling factor for the LCLS-II X-ray FEL project [22, 23]; and  $Q_0$  values  $> 3 \times 10^{11}$  at temperatures  $\sim 1.4$  K have been achieved by medium temperature baking [24]). These and new advances in the near future would be crucial for new proposals, e.g., HELEN collider [25].

A roadmap of SRF R&D for the decade starting in 2018 was developed under the framework of the DOE General Accelerator R&D (GARD) program. The roadmap was developed by a team of leading researchers in the field from national laboratories and universities, both domestic and international. The GARD roadmap for SRF R&D provides community-directed guidance and reflects the most promising research directions for advances that enable future experimental high energy physics programs. Fig. 2 shows two summary tables from the 2017 road-mapping exercise [4]. Recently, the 2020 update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics [26] emphasized the importance of accelerator R&D. The followed up effort on developing a roadmap for European accelerator R&D culminated in a report, which includes a roadmap for high-gradient RF structures and systems [27]. The European roadmap covers SRF cavity topics similar to the GARD roadmap, and hence there is a lot of synergy and opportunities for collaboration between the U.S. and European laboratories and universities.

In this contributed paper, we outline our views on key research directions, which largely continue to align with the GARD roadmap. These include studies pushing the performance of niobium, including doping, multi-step heat treatment, flux expulsion and flux losses. The key directions include new materials such as  $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}$  as well as layered structures. They also include fundamental studies of the physics of RF surface resistance and penetration of flux into superconductors at high fields. Further, the paper discusses advanced SRF cavity shapes, use of SRF cavities for dark sector searches, and other key R&D directions. The goal is to highlight the importance of the SRF cavity technology for future HEP accelerators and experiments and propose an update to the GARD roadmap.

### 3 Nano-engineering the Nb surface layer for high Q and high gradient

Superconducting properties of niobium cavities – surface resistance and breakdown magnetic field – depend on the state of material in the first few tens of nanometers of the RF surface of the cavities. For example, the penetration depth of a clean niobium is about 40 nm. Only relatively recently the techniques have been developed to systematically change the surface properties and study the effects of the changes, e.g., using HF rinse [28]. The ultimate goal of the experimental studies – in parallel with developing theoretical concepts and models [29] – is to come up with methods for nano-engineering the surface layer to control its properties for optimal, project-dependent SRF performance. As the cavity performance is one of the main cost driver for SRF accelerators, such “tailored surface” methods would offer prospects for a dramatic reduction of accelerator footprint, construction and operation




Figure 2: Tables showing proposed directions over a decade of research and development towards pushing the accelerating gradients (top) and  $Q_0$  (bottom) of SRF cavities (from [4]).

costs, thus broadening the range of applications.

Improving  $Q$  factors of niobium cavities at medium gradients (16–25 MV/m) is a key R&D area for future CW and high duty factor HEP accelerators, such as circular  $e^+e^-$  colliders FCC-ee [10] and CEPC [11], a CW SRF linac for PIP-II upgrade [30], etc. This key area is synergistic with rapid progress over the past 5–10 year in developing nitrogen doping technology [22] for SRF-based free electron lasers, specifically LCLS-II and LCLS-II-HE. The most recent nitrogen doped 1.3 GHz cavities for LCLS-II-HE demonstrate an average  $Q$  of  $3.6 \times 10^{10}$  at 23 MV/m [31]. Presently, it looks like the most promising path to even higher quality factors would come from mid-temperature ( $\sim 300^\circ\text{C}$ ) baking of SRF cavities in vacuum, which dissolves the natural oxide and other surface layers into the bulk of niobium [24], with demonstrated  $Q = 5 \times 10^{10}$  at 30 MV/m. While the  $Q$  factor drops after exposure to air and High-Pressure Rinsing with ultra-pure deionized water (HPR), the cavities still demonstrate quite good performance with  $Q$  in the range of  $3.5 - 4.4 \times 10^{10}$  at gradients between 16–24 MV/m [32]. This is a very promising and active R&D area. The name of the game in improving  $Q$  is improving the cavity surface resistance. While some progress was made, the main open questions remain as it was highlighted in [4]. To answer these questions, the SRF community should:

- continue exploration of the effect of interstitial impurities on bulk Nb surface resistance;
- develop fundamental understanding of the reverse field dependence of the BCS surface resistance and devise experiments towards validation of different theories;
- develop understanding of mechanisms of trapping magnetic vortices and their contribution to the RF losses, and devise experiments towards validation of models;
- develop understanding of ‘intrinsic’ residual resistance and its field dependence;
- ameliorate trapped vortices via innovative ideas: advanced magnetic shielding concepts, in situ flux removal, developing material properties/preparation for minimal pinning strength, etc.

Pushing toward higher gradients while improving cavity quality factors is closely tied with investigating fundamental electromagnetic field limit in SRF cavities. There was some recent empirical progress. Two low-temperature surface treatment recipes demonstrated accelerating gradients higher than the standard ILC treatment. First, the nitrogen infusion [33] process demonstrated gradients of 40–45 MV/m in 1.3 GHz TESLA-shaped cavities. Second, near 50 MV/m have been achieved with a 75/120°C two-step bake with cold electropolishing treatment [34, 35], which is a more robust recipe than infusion. However, there is still no good theoretical model explaining what the dynamic superheating field  $H_{sh}(\omega, T)$  is [29]. It is very important to continue theoretical and experimental research to establish the ultimate SRF field of niobium.



## 4 Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn as practical Nb material

Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn superconductor has potential of reaching fields approximately twice as high as niobium, or  $E_{acc} \sim 100$  MV/m [36, 37, 38]. Extrapolation from high power pulsed RF experiments is in agreement with this prediction [39]. In addition, having two time higher critical temperature compared to niobium, Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavities should have quality factors at  $\sim 4$  K similar to niobium cavities at 2 K. This would lead to a significant cryogenic power advantage in large scale applications, e.g., see [40]. Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavity performance has not reached its ultimate performance potential yet, but it has been making substantial progress. In CW regime, Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn deposited on bulk niobium cavities show promising results [41], reaching high  $Q \sim 10^{10}$  at 4.4 K. So far, R&D cavities demonstrated accelerating gradients up to 24 MV/m in single-cell cavities and 15 MV/m in 9-cell TESLA-shape cavities [42]. Such gradients might already be useful for small-scale accelerators. In addition, Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF cavities could have an advantage for dark matter searches due to the material ability to remain superconducting in large magnetic fields thus outperforming copper cavities that are used in such experiments so far. For example, initial results are quite promising, demonstrating  $Q_0$  of  $\sim 5 \times 10^5$  in DC magnetic field of 6 T at 4.2 K for a special shape cavity [43].

For accelerator-based HEP applications, further developments are necessary. Circular  $e^+e^-$  colliders FCC-ee [10], CEPC [11] and other CW/high duty factor accelerators (e.g., a CW SRF linac for PIP-II upgrade [30]) would require medium gradient (in the range 15–25 MV/m), high efficiency multi-cell cavities. Pulsed linacs for an energy upgrade of ILC [9] or for HELEN collider [25] would need very high gradient cavities of  $\geq 80$  MV/m that can still maintain high efficiency.

Due to relatively short coherence length  $\sim 3$ –4 nm, approximately an order of magnitude smaller than niobium, the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavity performance is more sensitive to surface defect. There are experimental results indicating that indeed this is the case [39, 44]. As the post-coating surface treatments are not well developed yet, more R&D efforts in this area are in order. In parallel to bulk Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn film R&D, alternative manufacturing routes should be pursued as well. For example, thin Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn films on clean Nb or Superconductor-Insulator-Superconductor (S-I-S) [45, 46] Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn layered structures should be studied as potential ways to achieve the superheating field of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn. These efforts would require more development to ensure good RF performance. A white paper [41] outlines the current status of the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn research and proposed R&D directions in more details.

## 5 Other materials beyond bulk Nb

Superconductors other than bulk niobium have been used in non-RF applications for many years, see for example [47]. However, it is still very difficult to apply those to SRF cavities due to extremely high sensitivity of surface resistance to the quality of deposited films. At present, the only material close to become practical for SRF cavities is Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, discussed in the previous section.

Technology of depositing thin films of niobium on copper (Nb/Cu) has been used in

accelerators that do not require high gradients and can operate at 4.5 K (that is at low RF frequencies), such as LEP2, LHC, and HIE-ISOLDE at CERN, ALPI at INFN-LNL (Italy), SOLEIL (France). Although exhibiting very high  $Q$  at low field, sputtered Nb on Cu cavities have been plagued with steep increase of RF losses at medium to high gradients. Recent R&D efforts to develop advanced coating methods have produced some encouraging results (see references in [48]), but further efforts are needed to scale up the processes and firmly establish the good baseline performance of SRF cavities.

Alternative superconducting materials with higher critical field and critical temperature can potentially surpass the intrinsic limits of niobium. Among the advantages the new materials might offer are i) high  $Q$  at temperatures above 2 K for higher efficiency and lower cost of large-scale facilities and small scale cryocooler-based accelerators, and ii) higher accelerating gradients for reaching higher beam energies in more compact accelerators.

Among the alternative materials that are still being evaluated are A15 superconductors (in addition to Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, those are Nb<sub>3</sub>Al, V<sub>3</sub>Si, ...), MgB<sub>2</sub>, pnictides, etc. [49]. All this materials require development of deposition techniques on a suitable for SRF cavities substrate, e.g., copper or niobium. Deposition methods such as sputtering, energetic condensation and atomic layer deposition (ALD) are being explored. Thin films could also bring the advantage of layered structures (e.g., S-I-S) for a potential to further boost achievable accelerating gradients. R&D to improve deposition methods must continue so that the most promising techniques are selected, and alternative superconductors with practical levels of surface resistance are applied to SRF cavities for performance evaluation in a reasonable time frame.

## 6 Advanced SRF cavity shapes

For an  $e^+e^-$  linear collider, the 1.3 GHz TESLA cavity [50], along with other aspects of SRF technology, was developed in 1990's. While the cavity has an optimized geometry and served the community well enabling several small and large accelerators (the prime examples are European XFEL [51] and LCLS-II/LCLS-II-HE) [52, 53]), there is room for improvement of the geometry to reduce the ratio of surface magnetic field  $H_{pk}$ , which is fundamentally limited by the field at which superconductivity breaks down, to accelerating gradient  $E_{acc}$ . A reduction of  $H_{pk}/E_{acc}$  by 10–20% can be achieved. Several advanced cavity geometries have been proposed over the years: Re-entrant [54, 55], Low-Loss [56], ICHIRO [57], and Low Surface Field (LSF) [58]. Many single cell R&D cavities of these geometries were built and tested with the standard ILC surface treatment, demonstrating gradients well above 50 MV/m. Combining one of these cavity geometries with the most advanced surface treatments, such as two-step low-temperature bake, one can expect improving gradients up to 60 MV/m in 9-cell standing wave structures. However, very limited efforts have been devoted to multi-cell cavities so far. More funding for R&D in this area could provide rapid progress in a short time. More details on the advanced cavity shapes can be found in [25] and references therein. An advanced cavity shape could be used for an energy upgrade of ILC [9] or for a more compact Higgs factory HELEN [25].

Travelling wave (TW) SRF structures offer further advantages over standing wave: substantially lower peak magnetic ( $H_{pk}/E_{acc}$ ) and lower peak electric field ( $E_{pk}/E_{acc}$ ) ratios, two times higher  $R/Q$ . The TW shape optimization [59] indicates that an accelerating gradient as high as 70 MV/m might be achievable. The 38% increase of the  $G \cdot R/Q$  parameter reduces the cryogenic dynamic heat load at high gradients. The higher cell-to-cell coupling of the TW mode makes the structure less sensitive to cavity detuning errors, making tuning easier, despite the larger number of cells. Also, high stability of the field distribution along the structure with respect to geometrical perturbations allows for longer accelerating structures (compared to TESLA cavities), limited by manufacturing technology.

Developing novel fabrication methods could enable the use of structures considered previously only for NCRF systems, for example a parallel-feed accelerating structure [60]. If a robust, high-performance coating of thin-film niobium (or other superconductor) on copper is developed, such structures could potentially be more efficient for future accelerators, both linear and circular.

Future HEP accelerator-based experiments require higher beam intensities and/or shorter synchrotron cycle times. The higher beam intensities of future circular colliders mean that the accelerating cavities should incorporate strong damping of higher order modes (HOM). While such cavities have been successfully developed and operated, see e.g., [2], new approaches are always sought to improve the performance even further. Some machines require low-frequency RF, for which quarter-wave,  $\beta = 1$  resonators have been developed [61, 62, 63]. However, proton synchrotrons, either new or upgraded existing (e.g., the Main Injector at Fermilab) require fast frequency tuning during the machine cycle. The SRF technology would significantly reduce the number of cavities in these machines, thus reducing parasitic impedance due to accelerating structures, but the lack of fast frequency tuners prohibits the use of SRF cavities at present. Development of the required fast frequency tuners (we discuss the fast tuner R&D further in section 8) in parallel with new cavity structures operating at  $\sim 50$  MHz would provide much higher acceleration gradients and; therefore, a smaller number of cavities and lower the beamline impedance.

## 7 SRF for dark sector searches

SRF cavities are resonators with extremely high quality factors. As such, they are of a strong interest for quantum information science and experiments searching for dark-matter particles. In particular, SRF cavities can serve as an extremely sensitive detectors of very weak signals when new particles (e.g., dark photons or axions) or high-frequency gravitational waves convert to microwave photons [15]. 3D SRF cavities provide large volumes where the photons can be collected with high efficiency, that is the photons would have a very long lifetime due to extremely low losses in the cavity walls.

While some salient features that make SRF cavities so attractive for particle accelerators (high acceleration rate, high  $Q$  at medium and high gradients) are not relevant for most experiments discussed here, maintaining high quality factor at very low electromagnetic fields and millikelvin temperatures is of utmost importance. Experiments with 2D and 3D

resonators show that the  $Q$  factor goes down at very low temperatures and electromagnetic fields. This is an indication that the two-level systems (TLS) residing inside an amorphous niobium oxide layer may play a significant role in the low-field performance [64, 65, 66]. Reducing TLS losses is an active area of research [67], but more efforts are required to find a robust method to consistently achieve high  $Q$  in quantum regime. In addition, there is a need to achieve high  $Q$  when a cavity is in a multi-tesla magnetic field.

Traditional, TESLA-shape SRF cavities can be used as is in experiments such as Dark SRF (light shining through wall) [68]. However, many other experiments would require special cavity geometries and/or operating in high DC background magnetic field, which we mentioned in section 4. For example, a cigar-shaped cavity [43] for axion searches was developed specifically to reduce losses in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn due to external DC magnetic field. Another proposed method for axion search is to employ two modes of a cavity with such a field configuration so that an RF magnetic field of the second mode is used in lieu of the DC magnetic field, see details in [15] and references therein. A special two-mode cavity will have to be developed for this experiment.

## 8 Other key R&D directions

There are other research areas and companion technologies that are important for success of SRF cavities in different operating regimes. Here we mention just a few of them.

**Mitigation of field emission:** Imperfections of the cavity inner surface or contamination by dust particles enhance local electric field and can cause emission of electrons from the cavity surface at high gradients. This so-called field emission (FE) could be a serious impediment to achieving high gradients. To reduce the probability of emitters to appear, special care should be taken during the cavity preparation and assembly. In particular, all SRF cavities are high-pressure rinsed with ultra-pure deionized water and assembled in clean rooms of Class 100 or better. Even when using the state-of-the-art techniques, some cavities still suffer from FE. Special studies must be performed in parallel with high gradient research to abate FE. A promising pathway is to develop robotic assembly of SRF cavities and cryomodules to eliminate human errors and reduce sources of possible contamination [69, 70]. This is still a nascent area. It requires better funding to produce results in reasonable time. Other post-assembly in situ methods that require further development include, e.g., plasma processing [71].

**Compensation of microphonic noise and Lorentz force detuning:** Advances in the SRF cavity performance should be accompanied by R&D on the cavity resonance control [72, 73]. SRF cavities operating in CW regime, especially with light beam loading like energy recovery linacs and low-beam-current proton linacs (and hence with high loaded  $Q$ 's) are susceptible to large swings of the resonant frequency due to vibrations of their walls. These vibrations are caused by external excitation from different sources and are commonly known as microphonic noise, or simply microphonics. Compensation of microphonics becomes particularly important for operations at  $\sim 4$  K (e.g., for Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavities): liquid helium systems are inherently noisier at temperatures above the superfluid transition temperature

2.17 K. For pulsed linacs, such as European XFEL, ILC, and HELEN, compensating cavity resonant frequency detuning due to the Lorentz force (Lorentz Force Detuning or LFD) is especially important as gradients of the linac increase, because the ratio of LFD to the cavity bandwidth is proportional to the cube of accelerating gradient. R&D efforts should focus on i) new cavity designs optimized for response to LFD and microphonics, and ii) new active LFD and microphonics compensation algorithms along with the new tuner (fine and coarse) designs.

**Ferroelectric tuners:** Ferroelectric ceramic materials with low loss tangent at RF frequencies [74, 75, 76] allow development of electrically controlled devices (tuners) with much shorter switching times than those of piezo-electric mechanical tuners. Such a ferroelectric tuner, when inserted into a high-power transmission line connected to the SRF cavity, can allow to do two things: i) alter the coupling between the transmission line and the acceleration structure [77], and ii) electronically control the cavity frequency within a bandwidth needed for active compensation of microphonics. A ferroelectric tuner could eliminate the need for overcoupled fundamental power couplers, thus significantly reducing RF amplifier power. A proof-of-principle demonstration of the ferroelectric fast reactive tuner (FE-FRT) was recently accomplished at CERN [78]. Further R&D efforts are needed in this area to realize the full potential of ferroelectric devices.

## 9 Summary and conclusions

Superconducting radio frequency cavities have improved dramatically in performance over the last decades, thanks to dedicated R&D efforts. These improvements have enabled new scientific applications that had not previously been feasible. Even considering these significant past improvements, there is still vast room for continued improvement through additional R&D. These efforts are funding-limited, not idea-limited, and more ideas continue to be generated, as more experimental advances are made. The exciting areas to explore, that were discussed in this document, include

- furthering our understanding of RF losses and ultimate quench fields of niobium via experimental and theoretical investigations;
- developing methods for nano-engineering the niobium surface layer and tailoring it for specific applications;
- studying new SRF materials beyond niobium via advanced deposition techniques and bringing these materials to practical applications;
- developing advanced cavity geometries to push accelerating gradients of bulk niobium cavities to  $\sim 70$  MV/m and pursuing R&D on companion RF technologies to mitigate field emission, provide precise resonance control, etc.;
- investigating application of SRF technology to dark sector searches.

Strategic investments in these R&D areas would open opportunities to build new, more efficient, compact, and cost-effective HEP accelerators and enable new types of experiments. To make these performance improvements realizable, we ask Snowmass 2021 for a strong recommendation to increase investment in the SRF research and technology development.

## 10 Acknowledgements

Work supported by the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, managed and operated by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11359 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

## References

- [1] H. Padamsee, *RF Superconductivity: Science, Technology, and Applications*, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA (2009).
- [2] S. Belomestnykh, *Superconducting radio-frequency systems for high- $\beta$  accelerators*, *Rev. Accel. Sci. Technol.* **5** (2012) 147.
- [3] H. Padamsee, *50 years of success for SRF accelerators – a review*, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **30** (2017) 053003.
- [4] “Radiofrequency Accelerator R&D Strategy Report: DOE HEP general Accelerator R&D RF Research Roadmap Workshop.” <https://doi.org/10.2172/1631119>, 2017.
- [5] B. Abi, et al., “Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE) Far Detector Technical Design Report.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.03005>, 2020.
- [6] “High-Luminosity Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC): Technical Design Report V. 0.1.” <http://dx.doi.org/10.23731/CYRM-2017-004>, 2017.
- [7] C. Adolphsen, M. Barone, B. Barish, K. Buesser, P. Burrows, J. Carwardine et al., “The International Linear Collider Technical Design Report – Volume 3.I: Accelerator R&D in the Technical Design Phase.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.6353>, 2013.
- [8] C. Adolphsen, M. Barone, B. Barish, K. Buesser, P. Burrows, J. Carwardine et al., “The International Linear Collider Technical Design Report – Volume 3.II: Accelerator Baseline Design.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.6328>, 2013.
- [9] The ILC International Development Team and the ILC community, “The International Linear Collider: Report to Snowmass 2021.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.07622>, 2022.

- [10] A. Abada, M. Abbrescia, S. AbdusSalam et al., *FCC-ee: The Lepton Collider: Future Circular Collider Conceptual Design Report Volume 2*, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec.Top.* **228** (2019) 261.
- [11] The CEPC Study Group, “CEPC Conceptual Design Report: Volume 1 - Accelerator.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.00285>, 2018.
- [12] T. Braine et al., *Extended search for the invisible axion with the axion dark matter experiment*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2022) 101303.
- [13] M. Tobar et al., “Low mass, UP-conversion Loop Oscillator Axion Detector using a Microwave Cavity (UPLOAD-MC).” LOI submitted to Snowmass, 2021.
- [14] A. Grassellino et al., “Dark SRF – experiment.” presented to the Fermilab Physics Advisory Committee, <https://indico.fnal.gov/event/19433/contributions/52137/attachments/32415/39710/DarkSRF.pdf>, 2019.
- [15] A. Berlin, S. Belomestnykh, D. Blas, D. Frolov, A.J. Brady, C. Braggio et al., “Searches for new particles, dark matter, and gravitational waves with SRF cavities.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.12714>, 2022.
- [16] R. Harnik et al., “Simulations for HEP with SQMS quantum hardware.” LOI submitted to Snowmass, 2021.
- [17] K. Matchev et al., “Quantum computing for HEP theory and phenomenology.” LOI submitted to Snowmass, 2021.
- [18] U. Klein and D. Proch, *Multipacting in superconducting RF structures*, in *Proceedings of Conference on Future Possibilities for Electron Accelerators*, (Charlottesville, VA, USA), p. N1, 1979.
- [19] F. Koechlin and B. Bonin, *Parametrization of the niobium thermal conductivity in the superconducting state*, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **9** (1996) 453.
- [20] P. Kneisel, B. Lewis and L. Turlington, *Experience with high pressure ultrapure water rinsing of niobium cavities*, in *Proceedings 6<sup>th</sup> Workshop RF Supercond.*, 1993.
- [21] H. Padamsee, “History of gradient advances in SRF.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.06720>, 2020.
- [22] A. Grassellino, A. Romanenko, D. Sergatskov, Y.T. O. Melnychuk, A. Crawford, A. Rowe et al., *Nitrogen and argon doping of niobium for superconducting radio frequency cavities: a pathway to highly efficient accelerating structures*, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **26** (2013) 102001.
- [23] “LCLS-II Final Design Report.” Report LCLSII-1.1-DR-0251-R0, SLAC, Menlo Park, CA, USA, November, 2015.
- [24] S. Posen, A. Romanenko, A. Grassellino, O.S. Melnychuk and D.A. Sergatskov, *Ultralow surface resistance via vacuum heat treatment of superconducting radio-frequency cavities*, *Phys. Rev. Applied* **13** (2020) 014024.

- [25] S. Belomestnykh, P.C. Bhat, A. Grassellino, M. Checchin, D. Denisov, R.L. Geng et al., “Higgs-Energy LEpton (HELEN) Collider based on advanced superconducting radio frequency technology.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.08211>, 2022.
- [26] *2020 update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics*, Tech. Rep. CERN-ESU-015 (Brochure), Geneva (2020).
- [27] S. Bousson, H. Weise, G. Burt, G. Devanz, A. Gallo, F. Gerigk et al., *High-gradient RF structures and systems*, in *European Strategy for Particle Physics – Accelerator R&D Roadmap*, N. Mounet, ed., p. 63, CERN (2022), <http://dx.doi.org/10.23731/CYRM-2022-001>.
- [28] A. Romanenko, A. Grassellino, F. Barkov and J.P. Ozelis, *Effect of mild baking on superconducting niobium cavities investigated by sequential nanoremoval*, *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams* **16** (2013) 012001.
- [29] A. Gurevich, T. Kubo and J.A. Sauls, “Challenges and opportunities of SRF theory for next generation particle accelerators.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.08315>, 2022.
- [30] S. Belomestnykh, M. Checchin, D. Johnson, D. Neuffer, S. Posen, E. Pozdeyev et al., “An 8 GeV linac as the Booster replacement in the Fermilab power upgrade: a Snowmass 2021 white paper.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.05052>, 2022.
- [31] D. Gonnella for the LCLS-II-HE Collaboration, “LCLS-II-HE High  $Q_0$  & Gradient R&D Program, First CM Test Results, and CM Plasma Processing Results.” presentation at the virtual TESLA Technology Collaboration Meeting, January, 2022.
- [32] F. He, W. Pan, P. Sha, J. Zhai, Z. Mi, X. Dai et al., “Medium-temperature furnace bake of superconducting radio-frequency cavities at IHEP.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.04817>, 2020.
- [33] A. Grassellino, A. Romanenko, Y. Trenikhina, M. Checchin, M. Martinello, O.S. Melnychuk et al., *Unprecedented quality factors at accelerating gradients up to 45 MV/m in niobium superconducting resonators via low temperature nitrogen infusion*, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **30** (2017) 094004.
- [34] A. Grassellino, A. Romanenko, D. Bice, O. Melnychuk, A.C. Crawford, S. Chandrasekaran et al., “Accelerating fields up to 49 MV/m in TESLA-shape superconducting RF niobium cavities via 75C vacuum bake.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.09824>, 2018.
- [35] D. Bafia, A. Grassellino, Z. Sung, A. Romanenko, O.S. Melnychuk and J.F. Zasadzinski, *Gradients of 50 MV/m in TESLA shaped cavities via modified low temperature bake*, in *19th Int. Conf. on RF Superconductivity SRF2019*, (Dresden, Germany), pp. 586–591, JACoW Publishing, 2019, <http://dx.doi.org/10.18429/JACoW-SRF2019-TUP061>.
- [36] G. Catelani and J.P. Sethna, *Temperature dependence of the superheating field for superconductors in the high- $\kappa$  London limit*, *Phys. Rev. B* **78** (2008) 224509.



- [37] F.P.-J. Lin and A. Gurevich, *Effect of impurities on the superheating field of type-II superconductors*, *Phys. Rev. B* **85** (2012) 054513.
- [38] T. Kubo, *Weak-field dissipative conductivity of a dirty superconductor with Dynes subgap states under a dc bias current up to the depairing current density*, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2** (2020) 013302.
- [39] S. Posen, N. Valles and M. Liepe, *Radio frequency magnetic field limits of Nb and Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) 047001.
- [40] S. Posen, M. Liepe and D.L. Hall, *Proof-of-principle demonstration of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn superconducting radiofrequency cavities for high Q<sub>0</sub> applications*, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **106** (2015) 082601.
- [41] S. Posen, M. Liepe, G. Eremeev, U. Padasaini and C.E. Reece, “Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn superconducting radiofrequency cavities: a maturing technology for particle accelerators and detectors.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.06752>, 2022.
- [42] S. Posen, J. Lee, D.N. Seidman, A. Romanenko, B. Tennis, O.S. Melnychuk et al., *Advances in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn superconducting radiofrequency cavities towards first practical accelerator applications*, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **34** (2021) 025007.
- [43] S. Posen, M. Checchin, O.S. Melnychuk, T. Ring and I. Gonin, “Measurement of high quality factor superconducting cavities in tesla-scale magnetic fields for dark matter searches.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.10733>, 2022.
- [44] R. Porter, *Advancing the maximum accelerating gradient of niobium-3 tin superconducting radiofrequency accelerator cavities: RF measurements, dynamic temperature mapping, and material growth*, Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA, 2021.
- [45] A. Gurevich, *Enhancement of rf breakdown field of superconductors by multilayer coating*, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **88** (2006) 012511.
- [46] T. Kubo, *Superheating fields of semi-infinite superconductors and layered superconductors in the diffusive limit: structural optimization based on the microscopic theory*, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **34** (2021) 045006.
- [47] D. Shoerling and A.V. Zlobin, eds., *Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Accelerator Magnets*, Springer (2019).
- [48] A.M. Valente-Feliciano, C. Antoine, S. Anlage, G. Ciovati, J. Delayen, F. Gerigk et al., “Next-generation superconducting RF technology based on advanced thin film technologies and innovative materials for accelerator enhanced performance and energy reach.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.02536>, 2022.
- [49] A.-M. Valente-Feliciano, *Superconducting RF materials other than bulk niobium: a review*, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **29** (2016) 113002.
- [50] B. Aune et al., *Superconducting TESLA cavities*, *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams* **3** (2000) 092001.

- [51] “The European X-Ray Free-Electron Laser: Technical Design Report.”  
<https://bib-pubdb1.desy.de/record/77248/files/european-xfel-tdr.pdf>,  
 July, 2007.
- [52] T. O. Raubenheimer for the LCLS-II Collaboration, *Technical Challenges of the LCLS-II CW X-ray FEL*, in *Proceedings of the 6th Int. Particle Accel. Conf. IPAC2015*, (Richmond, VA, USA), pp. 2434–2438, JACoW Publishing, 2015,  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2015-WEYC1>.
- [53] T. O. Raubenheimer for the LCLS-II/LCLS-II-HE Collaboration, *The LCLS-II-HE. a high energy upgrade of the LCLS-II*, in *Proceedings of the 60th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on Future Light Sources FLS2018*, (Shanghai, China), pp. 6–11, JACoW Publishing, 2018,  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.18429/JACoW-FLS2018-MOP1WA02>.
- [54] V. Shemelin and H. Padamsee, *The optimal shape of cells of a superconducting accelerating section*, Tech. Rep. SRF 020128-01, TESLA Report 2002-01, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA (January, 2002).
- [55] V. Shemelin, H. Padamsee and R.L. Geng, *Optimal cells for TESLA accelerating structure*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A* **496** (2003) 1.
- [56] J. Sekutowicz et al., *Low loss cavity for the 12 GeV CEBAF Upgrade*, Tech. Rep. JLAB TN-02-023, Jefferson Lab, Newport News, VA, USA (June, 2002).
- [57] K. Saito, “Strategy for 50 MV/m.” presented at the TESLA Collaboration (TTC) Meeting, DESY, Hamburg, April, 2004.
- [58] Z. Li and C. Adolphsen, *A new SRF cavity shape with minimized surface electric and magnetic fields for the ILC*, in *Proceedings of LINAC2008*, (Victoria, BC, Canada), pp. 867–869, 2008.
- [59] V. Shemelin, H. Padamsee and V. Yakovlev, *Optimization of a traveling wave superconducting rf cavity for upgrading the International Linear Collider*, *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams* **25** (2022) 021001.
- [60] P.B. Welanders, M.H. Nasr, Z. Li and S. Tantawi, *Parallel-feed SRF accelerator structure*, in *Proc. of 9th Int. Particle Accel. Conf. IPAC2018*, (Vancouver, BC, Canada), pp. 3835–3837, JACoW Publishing, 2018,  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2018-THPAL080>.
- [61] Q. Wu, S. Belomestnykh, I. Ben-Zvi, M.M. Blaskiewicz, T. Hayes, K. Mernick et al., *Operation of the 56 MHz superconducting rf cavity in RHIC with higher order mode damper*, *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams* **22** (2019) 102001.
- [62] C.H. Boulware, T.L. Grimm, S. Belomestnykh and I. Ben-Zvi, *Tunable 28 MHz superconducting cavity for RHIC*, in *Proceedings of IPAC2012*, (New Orleans, LA, USA), pp. 2405–2407, 2012,  
<https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/IPAC2012/papers/weppc083.pdf>.

- [63] X.Y. Zhang, J. Dai, L. Guo, T.M. Huang, Z.Q. Li, Q. Ma et al., *Design of a HOM-damped 166.6 MHz compact quarter-wave beta=1 superconducting cavity for High Energy Photon Source*, in *Proceedings of SRF2021*, (East Lansing, MI, USA), JACoW Publishing, also arXiv:2109.06560 [physics.acc-ph], 2021, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2109.06560>.
- [64] P.W. Anderson, B. Halperin and C.M. Varma, *Anomalous low-temperature thermal properties of glasses and spin glasses*, *Philos. Mag.* **25** (1972) 1.
- [65] J.M. Martinis, K.B. Cooper, R. McDermott, M. Steffen, M. Ansmann, K.D. Osborn et al., *Decoherence in Josephson qubits from dielectric loss*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 210503.
- [66] A. Romanenko and D.I. Schuster, *Understanding quality factor degradation in superconducting niobium cavities at low microwave field amplitudes*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **119** (2017) 264801.
- [67] A. Romanenko, R. Pilipenko, S. Zorzetti, D. Frolov, M. Awida, S. Belomestnykh et al., *Three-dimensional superconducting resonators at  $T < 20$  mK with the photon lifetime up to  $\tau = 2$  seconds*, *Phys. Rev. Applied* **13** (2020) 034032 [1810.03703].
- [68] A. Grassellino, R. Harnik, Z. Liu and A. Romanenko, “First results of Dark SRF: a dark photon search with SRF cavities.” <https://indico.physics.lbl.gov/event/939/contributions/4371/attachments/2162/2915/DarkSRF-Aspen-2.pdf>, 2020.
- [69] S. Berry, “Automation of cleanroom work.” presentation at the TTC meeting, TRIUMF, Vancouver, Canada, 2019, [https://indico.desy.de/event/21337/contributions/42637/attachments/27236/34329/TTC2019\\_Vancouver-Berry\\_v0.pdf](https://indico.desy.de/event/21337/contributions/42637/attachments/27236/34329/TTC2019_Vancouver-Berry_v0.pdf).
- [70] H. Guo and T. Tan, “Robot assisted cavity hprand assembly at imp.” presentation at the TTC meeting, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2020, [https://indico.cern.ch/event/817780/contributions/3716567/attachments/1979816/3302332/TTC2020\\_CERN\\_Guo\\_Tan.pdf](https://indico.cern.ch/event/817780/contributions/3716567/attachments/1979816/3302332/TTC2020_CERN_Guo_Tan.pdf).
- [71] M. Martinello, P. Berrutti, B. Giaccone, S. Belomestnykh, M. Checchin, G.V. Eremeev et al., “Plasma processing for in-situ field emission mitigation of superconducting radiofrequency (SRF) cryomodules.” <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.12442>, 2022.
- [72] W. Schappert, *Resonance control for future linear accelerators*, in *Proceedings of LINAC2016*, (East Lansing, MI, USA), pp. 363–367, 2016, <https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/linac2016/papers/tu2a03.pdf>.
- [73] Y. Pischnalnikov, E. Borissov, I. Gonin, J. Holzbauer, T. Khabiboulline, W. Schappert et al., *Design and test of compact tuner for narrow bandwidth SRF cavities*, in *Proc. 6th Int. Part. Accel. Conf. IPAC2015*, (Richmond, VA, USA), pp. 3352–3354, JACoW Publishing, 2015, <https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/IPAC2015/papers/wepty035.pdf>.

- [74] E. Nenasheva, N.F. Kartenko, I.M. Gaidamaka, O.N. Trubitsyna, S.S. Redozubov, A.I. Dedyk et al., *Low loss microwave ferroelectric ceramics for high power tunable devices*, *J. Eur. Ceramic Soc.* **30** (2010) 395.
- [75] A.B. Kozyrev, A.D. Kanareykin, E.A. Nenasheva, V.N. Osadchy and D.M. Kosmin, *Observation of an anomalous correlation between permittivity and tunability of a doped (Ba,Sr)TiO<sub>3</sub> ferroelectric ceramic developed for microwave applications*, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **95** (2009) 012908.
- [76] A. Kanareykin, E.A. Nenasheva, A.B. Kozyrev, S. Kazakov and V. Yakovlev, *Observation of an anomalous tuning range of a doped BST ferroelectric material developed for accelerator applications*, in *Proc. 1st Int. Part. Accel. Conf. IPAC2010*, (Kyoto, Japan), pp. 3987–3989, 2010, <https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/IPAC10/papers/thpeb051.pdf>.
- [77] S.Y. Kazakov, V.P. Yakovlev, J.L. Hirshfield, A.D. Kanareykin, and E.A. Nenasheva, *Fast ferroelectric L-band tuner*, in *AIP Conf. Proc.*, vol. 877, p. 331, 2006, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2409153>.
- [78] N. Shipman, J. Bastard, M. Coly, F. Gerigk, A. Macpherson, N. Stapley et al., *A ferroelectric fast reactive tuner for superconducting cavities*, in *Proc. 19th Int. Conf. on RF Superconductivity SRF2019*, (Dresden, Germany), pp. 781–788, JACoW Publishing, 2019, <https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/srf2019/papers/weteb7.pdf>.