

Increased neuromodulation ability through EEG connectivity neurofeedback with simultaneous fMRI for emotion regulation

Amin Dehghani^{a,b,*}, Hamid Soltanian-Zadeh^{a,c,d}, Gholam-Ali Hossein-Zadeh^{a,c}

^a School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

^b Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire, United States

^c School of Cognitive Sciences, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

^d Department of Radiology, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI, USA

* Corresponding author: Amin.Dehghani@dartmouth.edu

Abstract

Emotion regulation plays a key role in human behavior and one's life. Neurofeedback (NF) is a non-invasive self-brain training technique used for emotion regulation to enhance brain function and treatment of mental disorders leading to behavioral changes. Most neurofeedback studies were limited to using the activity of a single brain region of fMRI data or the power of a single or two EEG electrodes. In a novel study, we use the connectivity-based EEG neurofeedback through retrieving positive autobiographical memories and simultaneous fMRI to upregulate positive emotion. The feedback was calculated based on the coherence of EEG electrodes rather than the power of single/two electrodes. We demonstrated the efficiency of the connectivity-based neurofeedback to traditional activity-based neurofeedback through several experiments. The results confirmed the effectiveness of connectivity-based neurofeedback to enhance brain activity/connectivity of deep brain regions with key roles in emotion regulation e.g., amygdala, thalamus, and insula, and increase EEG frontal asymmetry as a biomarker for emotion regulation or treatment of mental disorders such as PTSD, anxiety, and depression. The results of psychometric assessments before and after neurofeedback experiments demonstrated that participants were able to increase positive and decrease negative emotion using connectivity-based neurofeedback more than traditional activity-based neurofeedback. The results suggest using the connectivity-based neurofeedback for emotion regulation and alternative therapeutic approaches for mental disorders with more effectiveness and higher volitional ability to control brain and mental function.

Keywords: emotion regulation, connectivity-based neurofeedback, EEG frontal asymmetry, activity-based neurofeedback, positive autobiographical memories, coherence of EEG electrodes.

1. Introduction

Emotion regulation is an intrinsic/extrinsic process to manage and modulate emotional experience and includes enhancement, inhibition, or maintenance of emotional responses as changes in behavior, feeling, or physiological reactions (Gross, 2015; Thompson, 2019). Emotion regulation plays a key role in behavior and one's life quality. Different strategies proposed for emotion regulation include situation selection, situation modification, attention deployment, cognitive change, and response modulation (Gross, 1998). Neurofeedback (NF) is a noninvasive self-brain training technique used for emotion regulation to enhance brain function or treatment of mental disorders leading to behavioral changes. EEG neurofeedback has several medical and non-medical applications (Bolea, 2010; Coben et al., 2010; Gapen et al., 2016; Kaur and Singh, 2017; Lackner et al., 2016; Mennella et al., 2017; Quaedflieg et al., 2015; Zuberer et al., 2018). EEG frontal asymmetry has been used in several previous neurofeedback studies (Friedman et al., 2015; Peeters et al., 2014b) to modulate emotion or mood according to approach-withdrawal theory. According to approach-withdrawal theory, higher activity of the left hemisphere in the pre/frontal cortex is associated with positive emotions like happiness and surprise (approach), and negative emotions like sadness and fear (withdrawal) are associated with higher right hemisphere activity (Davidson, 1998; Palmiero and Piccardi, 2017). EEG frontal asymmetry was considered as a biomarker for mental disorders like PTSD, anxiety, and depression (Allen and Reznik, 2015; Mennella et al., 2017; Meyer et al., 2018; Peeters et al., 2014a). It was hypothesized by (Peeters et al., 2014b) that participants were able to up/downregulate positive/negative affect using EEG frontal asymmetry as neurofeedback. The results revealed that positive/negative affect would result in increased activity of the left/right frontal hemisphere and decreased activity of the right/left frontal hemisphere. Similar to most previous neurofeedback studies, this study lacked the control group for neurofeedback. Simultaneous recording of EEG and fMRI during emotion regulation were used in several previous studies especially using EEG frontal asymmetry alone or both EEG frontal asymmetry and fMRI as neurofeedback. In a study by (Cavazza et al., 2014), the emotion regulation was based on interactive narrative tasks and using EEG frontal asymmetry neurofeedback with simultaneous fMRI recording, but the success rate of emotion regulation by this study was low and it lacked a control group for emotion regulation. In studies by (Zotev et al., 2018, 2016, 2014), emotion regulation was done through retrieving positive autobiographical memories. The neurofeedback in these studies was based on both EEG frontal asymmetry and BOLD signal of the amygdala. The results demonstrated the effectiveness of simultaneous EEG-fMRI neurofeedback for emotion regulation but the redundancy of neurofeedback based on both modalities was

not clarified in these studies. Most previous neurofeedback studies were limited to the activity of a single brain region of fMRI or the power of single or two electrodes in EEG neurofeedback. According to previous studies (Kim et al., 2015; Sulzer et al., 2013; Zotev et al., 2011), feedback based on network or connectivity may result in better/higher regulation through feedback. In this study, we hypothesize that emotion regulation through coherence of EEG electrodes as neurofeedback leads to higher modulation of brain function and activity than traditional frontal asymmetry neurofeedback and it provides more volitional ability to control brain and mental function. For this purpose, the neurofeedback is applied based on the coherence of EEG electrodes according to the results of our previous study. The results demonstrate the effectiveness of connectivity-based neurofeedback to change EEG power/connectivity, fMRI BOLD signal/connectivity, and psychometric tests in comparison to traditional EEG frontal asymmetry as neurofeedback and sham control group.

2. Methods

2.1 Task Design

The research protocol was approved by the ethics committees of the Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Ten healthy subjects (age 26.5 ± 3.6 years, all-male) for connectivity-based EEG neurofeedback (experimental group 1) participated in this study and the results will be compared with the previous study based on EEG frontal asymmetry neurofeedback (experimental group 2) and sham control groups. Participants in experimental group 2 received neurofeedback based on EEG frontal asymmetry in the alpha frequency band and group 1 received neurofeedback based on the coherence of EEG electrodes. Participants in the control group received sham feedback without knowledge of being sham feedback (Dehghani et al., 2020a, 2020b; Zotev et al., 2018). All participants were examined by a resident physician before the experiment (to check the blood pressure and safety issues of MRI imaging) and filled the consent form for participation in the experiment.

The paradigm was based on retrieving positive autobiographical memories (Dehghani et al., 2020a, 2020b). The experiment contained 10 runs and each run consisted of rest, view, and upregulation blocks. The duration of the rest, view, and upregulation blocks were 20, 40, and 60 seconds, respectively. During the rest block, no image was shown, and only the message of “please rest” was displayed on the screen to instruct the subject to relax with open eyes. In the view block, two pictures (related to positive autobiographical memories of each participant) were presented and the participant was asked to see them without trying to remember the related experience or memory. In the upregulation block, two images of positive autobiographical memories similar to images of the view block were presented and the participant

was asked to try to increase the height of the neurofeedback bar by retrieving autobiographical memories related to presented images. Before the neurofeedback session, we explained the experiment to each participant by a sample run of the paradigm (without showing selected individual pictures).

The neurofeedback in the experimental group 2 was based on approach–withdrawal hypothesis (Davidson, 1998), defined as the difference between the EEG power in right and left hemispheres in the alpha frequency band in 2-seconds time windows with 50% overlap between the consecutive windows updated every 1s and for the experimental group 1 is based on the connectivity of EEG channels and will be explained in following sections. According to a recently published study (), the coherence between F4 and F3 electrodes in the alpha frequency band during emotion regulation blocks increased significantly to other blocks of experiment and therefore the change of coherence between two electrodes in 2-seconds time windows with 50% overlap between the consecutive windows updated and presented to participants as feedback. The experimental protocol for one run is depicted in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1: The diagram of the neurofeedback paradigm consisted of rest, view, and upregulation blocks.

2.2 Data Acquisition

The MRI data were acquired using 3 Tesla scanner (Prisma, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) located in the National Brain Mapping Lab (NBML), Tehran, Iran. Structural images and functional data were acquired using a gradient-echo, T1-weighted MPRAGE pulse sequence (TI = 1100 msec, TR = 1810 msec, TE = 3.47 msec, and voxel size = 1×1×1 mm) and a T2*-weighted gradient-echo, echo-planar (EPI) pulse sequence (TR = 2000 msec, TE = 30 msec, matrix size = 64× 64×30, and voxel size = 3.8×3.8×4 mm), respectively. During the 10 runs of the experiment session, 650 volume images were acquired.

The EEG data were recorded at 5k samples/sec using a 64 channel MR-compatible EEG system (Brain Products, München, Germany) according to the 10-20 system. The impedance of EEG electrodes

was kept below 5K Ohms. The task was presented by the Psychtoolbox program through a coil-mounted display, which helped participants to see each block of paradigm during the neurofeedback experiment.

2.3 Real-time Data Processing

Due to practical limitations, neurofeedback was only based on the EEG signal. The MRI gradient and ballistocardiogram artifacts were removed using a moving average algorithm through BrainVision RecView software (Brain Products GmbH). The average head movement was less than 0.42 mm for all participants, therefore removing MRI gradient and ballistocardiogram artifacts in real-time was effective as well as counterpart methods (Moosmann et al., 2009; Niazy et al., 2005).

The denoised data were down-sampled to 250 samples/sec. Then, the coherence of channels F3 and F4 were calculated every 1 sec using a 2-sec moving window. The relative EEG coherence between F4 and F3 was calculated with respect to the baseline by averaging the coherence value in the view block and presented as a bar during upregulation blocks.

2.4 fMRI Data Analysis

Pre-processing of a single subject fMRI data was performed in FSL software package (S.M. et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2004; Woolrich et al., 2009) and included slice-timing correction, motion correction, temporal high pass filtering, spatially smoothing using an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel, and standard GLM analysis with three regressors for rest, view and upregulation blocks convolved with the hemodynamic response function and also six motion confounds were used in the GLM model. Finally, the whole brain is thresholded at p -value = 0.01 for voxels and for cluster correction at p -value = 0.01 in the cluster-level correction algorithm, which corrects for the multiple comparisons using the Gaussian Random Field (GRF) model (Fsl, 2006; Smith et al., 2004; Woolrich et al., 2009). Then, the mean BOLD signal of activated regions of the preprocessed fMRI data registered to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) atlas for different contrasts of upregulation versus view and rest were calculated using anatomical masks from "WFU_PickAtlas" and FSL (Desikan et al., 2006; Gorgolewski et al., 2015; Maldjian et al., 2003; Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002).

2.5 EEG Data Analysis

The offline analysis of the EEG data for each participant included two main steps to remove artifacts and was performed using the FMRIB plug-in as a Matlab toolbox (Niazy et al., 2005). The first step was MRI gradient removal and the second step was removing the ballistocardiogram artifact. The details of these

two steps were discussed in (Niazy et al., 2005). After MR and BCG artifact removal, the EEG data were down-sampled to 250 samples/sec and low-pass filtered at 100 Hz. The fMRI slice selection frequency and its harmonics were removed by bandpass filtering. Then, independent component analysis (ICA) was applied over the entire EEG data (excluding noisy and motion-affected intervals). Next, independent components (ICs) corresponding to different artifacts, e.g., eye blink, head movement, ballistocardiogram, or BCG residual were identified and removed, using time course, spectral, topographic map and kurtosis (Mognon et al., 2011), (Mayeli et al., 2016). Then, the changes of EEG frontal asymmetry in the alpha frequency band of different blocks were compared with each other. Therefore, a moving window with a length of 2 sec and 50% interval overlap was a slide on the EEG data to calculate the EEG asymmetry of channels F3 and F4 in the alpha band for each block.

2.6 Psychometric Testing

To measure the changes in the mood state, participants filled short Persian version of the Profile of Mood States (POMS), the complete Persian version of the positive-negative affect scale (PANAS), and Persian version of the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), questionnaire before and after neurofeedback test (Beck et al., 1988; Ghassamia et al., 2013; Khesht-Masjedi et al., 2015; McNair et al., 1989; Tirgari et al., 2012; Watson et al., 1988).

3. Results


The signal change for upregulation versus view and rest for activated brain regions of different experiments and those of previous studies is summarized in Table 1.

Regions	Activity-based neurofeedback			Connectivity based neurofeedback			Previous studies
	Signal change (%)			Signal change (%)			
	UP-View	Up-Rest	t-score (UP-View)	UP-View	UP-Rest	t-score (UP-View)	Sig % UP-Rest
Left Amygdala	0.83	0.84	3.85	1.08	0.79	5.7	0.7 (Young et al., 2014), 0.3 (Zotев et al., 2016), 0.3 (Zotев et al., 2016), 0.1 (Li et al., 2016), 0.2 (Kim et al., 2015)
Right Amygdala	0.69	0.77	4.20	0.94	0.82	6.1	0.4 (Young et al., 2014), 0.3 (Zotев et al., 2016)
Left Insula	1.11	0.73	7.35	1.32	0.82	13.16	0.5 (Johnston et al., 2010), 0.5 (Li et al., 2016)
Right Insula	0.96	0.62	6.88	1.04	0.70	10.22	-

Left Anterior Cingulate Cortex	1.03	0.74	3.81	1.26	0.99	5.33	0.3 (Li et al., 2016)
Right Anterior Cingulate Cortex	-	0.54	-	0.75	0.8	4.6	-
Left Cuneus	0.29	1.6	5.15	-	1.7	-	0.5 (Johnston et al., 2010)
Right Cuneus	0.5	1	3.97	-	-	-	-
Left Lingual Gyrus	0.76	1.3	4.25	-	-	-	-
Right Lingual Gyrus	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-
Left Posterior Cingulate Cortex	0.36	0.34	6.10	-	0.19	-	0.5 (Johnston et al., 2010)
Right Posterior Cingulate Cortex	0.36	0.22	6.22	-	0.11	-	-
Left Thalamus	0.8	0.92	4.31	0.99	0.8	6.02	-
Right Thalamus	0.67	0.78	6.1	0.91	0.56	5.94	-
Left Caudate	0.77	0.76	4.31	0.89	0.71	6.30	-
Right Caudate	0.63	0.51	7.1	0.93	0.58	9.15	-
Left Hippocampus	-	-	-	0.97	0.94	5.95	-
Right Hippocampus	0.42	0.67	4.93	0.62	0.79	5.1	-
Left Dorsomedial Prefrontal Cortex	-	-	4.15	1.26	1.26	6.35	-
Right Dorsomedial Prefrontal Cortex	0.48	0.82	6.1	0.95	0.69	6.71	-
Left Orbitofrontal Cortex	1.3	0.84	4.12	1.49	1.12	6.25	-
Right Orbitofrontal Cortex	0.89	0.68	6.12	1.09	0.78	7.63	-
Left Middle Temporal Gyrus	0.19	0.43	6.45	0.41	0.51	8.26	-
Right Middle Temporal Gyrus	0.54	0.71	6.1	0.40	0.52	7.43	-
Left Ventral Striatum	1.35	0.84	5.93	1.36	0.82	11.88	0.5 (Johnston et al., 2010)
Right Ventral Striatum	0.89	0.68	6.64	0.74	0.79	9.22	0.5 (Johnston et al., 2010)
Left Ventrolateral Prefrontal Cortex	1.03	0.74	4.13	0.82	0.84	11.38	0.5 (Johnston et al., 2010)
Right Ventrolateral Prefrontal Cortex	0.66	0.68	8.48	0.37	0.65	7.8	-
Left Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex	1.03	0.74	4.1	-	1.29	7.78	-
Right Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex	0.66	0.68	9.77	0.82	1	7.27	-
Left Superior Parietal	0.45	0.52	6.7	-	-	-	-
Right Superior Parietal	0.33	0.78	5.8	-	-	-	-
Left Inferior Parietal	0.65	0.29	7.1	-	-	-	-
Right Inferior Parietal	0.63	0.52	5.3	-	-	-	-
Left SupraMarginal	0.92	0.32	8.87	0.8	0.5	4.5	-
Right SupraMarginal	1.07	0.38	8.7	1.1	0.6	4.3	-
Left Postcentral	0.60	0.55	7.8	0.6	0.52	4.5	-

The percentage of signal change in the activity-based neurofeedback experiment is higher than those of previous studies (listed in the last column of Table 1) (Bado et al., 2014; Burianova et al., 2010; Ino et

al., 2011; Johnston et al., 2011, 2010; Kim and Hamann, 2007; Lempert et al., 2017; Li et al., 2016; Pelletier et al., 2003; Zotev et al., 2014, 2016) and it is related to the effectiveness of neurofeedback training and paradigm used in this study. Also as reported in Table 1, the amount of signal change and the peak of activity in most brain regions including deep brain regions such as the amygdala, insula, and thalamus beside frontal/prefrontal regions like orbitofrontal cortex or dorsolateral prefrontal cortex obtained by connectivity-based neurofeedback is higher than activity-based neurofeedback. The signal change for Upregulation versus View and Rest blocks was not significant for any region in the control group. The EEG frontal asymmetry ($\text{LnP}(F4) - \text{LnP}(F3)$) in the alpha frequency band for upregulation versus view and rest in three experiments is presented in Fig. 3.






Fig. 3: EEG frontal asymmetry ($P(F4)-P(F3)$) in the alpha frequency band for (a) upregulation versus view (b) upregulation versus rest in different experimental groups.

Fig. 3 is a comparison of " $\text{LnP}(F4)-\text{LnP}(F3)$ " for upregulation versus view and rest of different experiments and shows that " $\text{LnP}(F4)-\text{LnP}(F3)$ " of upregulation versus view and rest in connectivity-based neurofeedback was higher than activity-based and sham neurofeedback. The higher amount of the " $\text{LnP}(F4)-\text{LnP}(F3)$ " in upregulation versus view and rest in connectivity based neurofeedback is a marker of being more happiness during emotion regulation, reduction negative affect and anxiety, and treat mental disorders like PTSD and depression (Allen and Reznik, 2015; Mennella et al., 2017; Meyer et al., 2018, 2015; Peeters et al., 2014b; Reznik and Allen, 2018). The change of " $\text{LnP}(F4)-\text{LnP}(F3)$ " in upregulation versus view/rest for the connectivity bases neurofeedback was significantly different from those of the sham and activity-based neurofeedback groups (two-sample t-test). The results of psychometric tests for all experiments are summarized in Table 2. The difference between the post and before neurofeedback of all psychometric tests and different experimental groups is plotted in Fig. 4.

Table 2: psychometric tests of all groups for before and after neurofeedback experiment.

Measure	mean score before neurofeedback (\pm SD)			mean score after neurofeedback (\pm SD)		
	Activity	Connectivity	Sham	Activity	Connectivity	Sham
PANAS	52.2 \pm 11.5	50 \pm 6.2	54.2 \pm 5.9	51.6 \pm 8.8	51.7 \pm 5.7	53 \pm 4.8
PANAS negative mood states	20.8 \pm 7.2	21 \pm 5.7	22.1 \pm 6	14.1 \pm 4.8	13.7 \pm 3.8	19.3 \pm 5
PANAS positive mood states	31.4 \pm 6.1	29 \pm 3.2	32.1 \pm 5.7	37.5 \pm 6.4	38 \pm 5.6	33.7 \pm 5.6
POMS	24.6 \pm 10.9	25.5 \pm 8.9	27.1 \pm 11.3	17 \pm 6.9	18.7 \pm 8.8	22.8 \pm 11.1
Total Mood Distribution (TMD)	7.5 \pm 11.5	9.8 \pm 13.7	6.6 \pm 11.2	-4.7 \pm 7.1	-5.3 \pm 10.6	3.6 \pm 11.7

The average scores for the PANAS positive and negative mood states, POMS and TMD in connectivity based neurofeedback group changed significantly from before to after neurofeedback ($p\text{-value}_{\text{positive mood states of PANAS}} = 5.5 \times 10^{-4}$, $p\text{-value}_{\text{negative mood states of PANAS}} = 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$, $p\text{-value}_{\text{POMS}} = 8.4 \times 10^{-3}$ and $p\text{-value}_{\text{TMD}} = 7.6 \times 10^{-3}$). The psychometric assessment results of connectivity-based neurofeedback show higher changes in positive and negative mood states and TMD in comparison to other experimental groups. In other words, the amount of increased happiness and decreased sadness in connectivity-based neurofeedback were more than traditional activity-based neurofeedback.




Fig. 4: The difference between the after neurofeedback and before neurofeedback of all psychometric tests and different experimental groups. E, experimental group based on frontal asymmetry neurofeedback; C, connectivity-based neurofeedback; S, sham group; PAN, panas psychometric test; PANN, panas negative mood state; PANP, panas positive mood state; PO, poms psychometric test.

4. Discussion

In this study, emotion regulation was investigated in three different experiments including activity-based, sham, and connectivity-based neurofeedback. The results demonstrated more success of connectivity-based neurofeedback for emotion regulation based on the higher fMRI BOLD signal change of emotion regulatory regions, EEG frontal asymmetry, and also according to the higher changes of psychometric assessments. The increase and decrease of positive and negative emotion extracted from PANAS psychometric assessment by connectivity-based neurofeedback were higher than other groups of experiment and it demonstrated the effectiveness of connectivity-based neurofeedback to upregulate and downregulate positive and negative emotion. The change of total mood distribution (TMD) defined as the difference between negative and positive emotion in connectivity-based neurofeedback was higher than other groups of experiments. It means participants in connectivity-based neurofeedback increased and decreased positive and negative emotion more than other groups of the experiment.

As mentioned in (Dehghani et al., 2020b), the functional connectivity analysis was calculated based on the Pearson correlation of BOLD signal time series among thirty-eight brain regions (left/right amygdala, thalamus, insula, dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, caudate, cuneus, hippocampus, posterior cingulate cortex, orbitofrontal cortex, middle temporal gyrus, lingual gyrus, ventral striatum, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, superior parietal, inferior parietal, supramarginal, postcentral, and anterior cingulate cortex). The comparison between Upregulation and View blocks (paired t-test, FDR corrected for multiple comparisons, $q = 0.05$) and also between experimental and control groups (two-sample t-test, FDR corrected for multiple comparisons, $q = 0.05$) results revealed 11 significant connectivity links in upregulation versus view and between experimental (activity-based neurofeedback) and the sham group as an effect of neurofeedback as mentioned in Fig. 5. As a result of connectivity-based neurofeedback, connectivity (upregulation versus view) of 6 connections out of those 11 links increased significantly in comparison to activity-based neurofeedback and these 6 connections are amygdala-thalamus, thalamus-insula, thalamus-orbitofrontal cortex, DMPFC-ventral striatum, the amygdala - DMPFC, and orbitofrontal cortex – the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex.




Fig. 5: differential connectivity graph links between brain regions in activity-based neurofeedback group for upregulation versus view blocks.

The role of brain regions and connections extracted in this study were discussed in previous studies (Dehghani et al., 2020b) according to the emotion regulation model (Kohn et al., 2014).

Higher functional connectivity as a result of connectivity-based neurofeedback can be interpreted according to three steps of emotion regulation. It means higher involvement of key regions in emotion regulation e.g., amygdala, thalamus, and prefrontal regions and therefore more transferred information in three steps of emotion regulation led to more emotion regulation as revealed in EEG/fMRI signal change and psychometric assessments.

The results show that in the theta frequency band and for upregulation versus rest, the coherence of 4 connections among 5 significant connections increased in connectivity-based connectivity in comparison to EEG frontal asymmetry neurofeedback (activity-based neurofeedback). In alpha frequency band and for upregulation versus view/rest, the coherence of 1/1 connection among 5/6 significant connections increased in connectivity-based connectivity in comparison to EEG frontal asymmetry (only coherence of F3-F4) and for upregulation versus rest of beta frequency band, the coherence of 2 connections among 3 significant connections increased in connectivity-based neurofeedback in comparison to EEG frontal asymmetry neurofeedback (activity-based neurofeedback). The increased connectivity in the frontal electrodes is related to the involvement of electrodes in this region in emotion processing, memory

encoding, memory retrieval, and working memory. Parietal and temporal electrodes involve in attention, visual processing, working memory, and emotion processing and retrieval of an unpleasant event. The occipital lobe involved in visual attention and processing. The increased connectivity of fronto-parital electrodes is related to the involvement of both regions in emotion and memory retrieval (Shahabi and Moghimi, 2016).

5. Conclusion

In this study, a novel connectivity-based EEG neurofeedback along induced positive autobiographical memories and simultaneous fMRI were used to modulate brain function. The effectiveness of traditional activity-based neurofeedback in changing the activity of brain regions during emotion regulation was demonstrated in previous studies (Allen and Reznik, 2015; Dehghani et al., 2020a, 2020b; Mennella et al., 2017; Meyer et al., 2018; Peeters et al., 2014a; Zotev et al., 2018). Here, to evaluate the effectiveness of connectivity-based neurofeedback, several experiments were done. The results confirmed the effectiveness of connectivity-based neurofeedback according to higher BOLD signal change and activity of brain regions listed in Table 1 (e.g. amygdala, insula, thalamus, and frontal/prefrontal), higher interaction/connectivity among multiple brain regions including prefrontal and limbic, increased EEG frontal asymmetry in alpha frequency band as a biomarker for treatment of PTSD, depression, and anxiety (Allen and Reznik, 2015; Mennella et al., 2017; Meyer et al., 2018; Peeters et al., 2014a), more coherence between EEG channels and finally increased happiness and decreased sadness by the psychometric assessments. It confirms that using connectivity-based neurofeedback, participants will be able to do emotion regulation more than activity-based neurofeedback and it provides more volitional ability to control brain and mental function than traditional activity-based neurofeedback. In most previous emotion regulation studies and mental disorders, several EEG electrodes and brain regions are involved, therefore understanding the interaction between them and using connectivity-based neurofeedback rather than activity-based neurofeedback may lead to an increase the effect of neurofeedback and related treatment or intervention. Modulation of brain function using the proposed paradigm and connectivity neurofeedback method can be used in future studies for the treatment of mental disorders especially MDD and PTSD to modulate behavior and cognition with more effectiveness than traditional neurofeedback methods.

References

- Allen, J.J.B., Reznik, S.J., 2015. Frontal EEG asymmetry as a promising marker of depression vulnerability: Summary and methodological considerations. *Curr. Opin. Psychol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2014.12.017>
- Bado, P., Engel, A., de Oliveira-Souza, R., Bramati, I.E., Paiva, F.F., Basilio, R., Sato, J.R., Tovar-Moll, F., Moll, J., 2014. Functional dissociation of ventral frontal and dorsomedial default mode network components during resting state and emotional autobiographical recall. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22403>
- Beck, A.T., Epstein, N., Brown, G., Steer, R.A., 1988. An Inventory for Measuring Clinical Anxiety: Psychometric Properties. *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.56.6.893>
- Bolea, A.S., 2010. Neurofeedback treatment of chronic inpatient schizophrenia. *J. Neurother.* <https://doi.org/10.1080/10874200903543971>
- Burianova, H., McIntosh, A.R., Grady, C.L., 2010. A common functional brain network for autobiographical, episodic, and semantic memory retrieval. *Neuroimage.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.08.066>
- Cavazza, M., Aranyi, G., Charles, F., Porteous, J., Gilroy, S., Klovatch, I., Jackont, G., Soreq, E., Keynan, N.J., Cohen, A., Raz, G., 2014. Towards Empathic Neurofeedback for Interactive Storytelling. *Proc. 5th Work. Comput. Model. Narrat.* <https://doi.org/10.4230/OASICS.CMN.2014.42>
- Coben, R., Linden, M., Myers, T.E., 2010. Neurofeedback for autistic spectrum disorder: A review of the literature. *Appl. Psychophysiol. Biofeedback.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10484-009-9117-y>
- Davidson, R.J., 1998. Affective Style and Affective Disorders: Perspectives from Affective Neuroscience. *Cogn. Emot.* <https://doi.org/10.1080/026999398379628>
- Dehghani, A., Soltanian-Zadeh, H., Hossein-Zadeh, G.-A., 2020a. Global Data-Driven Analysis of Brain Connectivity during Emotion Regulation by EEG Neurofeedback. *Brain Connect.* <https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2019.0734>

- Dehghani, A., Soltanian-Zadeh, H., Hossein-Zadeh, G.-A., 2020b. Probing fMRI brain connectivity and activity changes during emotion regulation by EEG neurofeedback.
- Desikan, R.S., Ségonne, F., Fischl, B., Quinn, B.T., Dickerson, B.C., Blacker, D., Buckner, R.L., Dale, A.M., Maguire, R.P., Hyman, B.T., Albert, M.S., Killiany, R.J., 2006. An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.021>
- Friedman, D., Shapira, S., Jacobson, L., Gruberger, M., 2015. A data-driven validation of frontal EEG asymmetry using a consumer device, in: 2015 International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction, ACII 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACII.2015.7344686>
- Fsl, 2006. FMRIB Software Library [WWW Document]. Univ. Oxford.
- Gapen, M., van der Kolk, B.A., Hamlin, E., Hirshberg, L., Suvak, M., Spinazzola, J., 2016. A Pilot Study of Neurofeedback for Chronic PTSD. *Appl. Psychophysiol. Biofeedback*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10484-015-9326-5>
- Ghassamia, M., Asghari, A., Shaeiri, M.R., Safarinejad, M.R., 2013. Validation of psychometric properties of the persian version of the female sexual function index. *Urol. J.*
- Gorgolewski, K.J., Varoquaux, G., Rivera, G., Schwarz, Y., Ghosh, S.S., Maumet, C., Sochat, V., V., Nichols, T.E., Poldrack, R.A., Poline, J.-B., Yarkoni, T., Margulies, D.S., 2015. NeuroVault.org: a web-based repository for collecting and sharing unthresholded statistical maps of the human brain. *Front. Neuroinform.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2015.00008>
- Gross, J.J., 2015. The Extended Process Model of Emotion Regulation: Elaborations, Applications, and Future Directions. *Psychol. Inq.* <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2015.989751>
- Gross, J.J., 1998. The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Rev. Gen. Psychol.* <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.2.3.271>
- Ino, T., Nakai, R., Azuma, T., Kimura, T., Fukuyama, H., 2011. Brain activation during autobiographical memory retrieval with special reference to default mode network. *Open Neuroimag. J.* <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874440001105010014>

- Johnston, S., Linden, D.E.J., Healy, D., Goebel, R., Habes, I., Boehm, S.G., 2011. Upregulation of emotion areas through neurofeedback with a focus on positive mood. *Cogn. Affect. Behav. Neurosci.* <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-010-0010-1>
- Johnston, S.J., Boehm, S.G., Healy, D., Goebel, R., Linden, D.E.J., 2010. Neurofeedback: A promising tool for the self-regulation of emotion networks. *Neuroimage.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.07.056>
- Kaur, C., Singh, P., 2017. Toward EEG spectral analysis of tomographic neurofeedback for depression, in: *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing.* https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-1708-7_10
- Khesht-Masjedi, M., Omar, Z., Kafi Masoleh, S., 2015. Psychometrics properties of the Persian version of Beck Anxiety Inventory in North of Iranian adolescents. *Int. J. Educ. Psychol. Res.* <https://doi.org/10.4103/2395-2296.152233>
- Kim, D.Y., Yoo, S.S., Tegethoff, M., Meinlschmidt, G., Lee, J.H., 2015. The inclusion of functional connectivity information into fmri-based neurofeedback improves its efficacy in the reduction of cigarette cravings. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_00802
- Kim, S.H., Hamann, S., 2007. Neural Correlates of Positive and Negative Emotion Regulation. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* <https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2007.19.5.776>
- Kohn, N., Eickhoff, S.B., Scheller, M., Laird, A.R., Fox, P.T., Habel, U., 2014. Neural network of cognitive emotion regulation - An ALE meta-analysis and MACM analysis. *Neuroimage.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.11.001>
- Lackner, N., Unterrainer, H.F., Skliris, D., Wood, G., Wallner-Liebmann, S.J., Neuper, C., Gruzelier, J.H., 2016. The Effectiveness of Visual Short-Time Neurofeedback on Brain Activity and Clinical Characteristics in Alcohol Use Disorders: Practical Issues and Results. *Clin. EEG Neurosci.* <https://doi.org/10.1177/1550059415605686>
- Lempert, K.M., Speer, M.E., Delgado, M.R., Phelps, E.A., 2017. Positive autobiographical memory retrieval reduces temporal discounting. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsx086>
- Li, Z., Tong, L., Wang, L., Li, Y., He, W., Guan, M., Yan, B., 2016. Self-regulating positive

- emotion networks by feedback of multiple emotional brain states using real-time fMRI. *Exp. Brain Res.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-016-4744-z>
- Maldjian, J.A., Laurienti, P.J., Kraft, R.A., Burdette, J.H., 2003. An automated method for neuroanatomic and cytoarchitectonic atlas-based interrogation of fMRI data sets. *Neuroimage.* [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-8119\(03\)00169-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00169-1)
- Mayeli, A., Zotev, V., Refai, H., Bodurka, J., 2016. Real-time EEG artifact correction during fMRI using ICA. *J. Neurosci. Methods.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2016.09.012>
- McNair, D., Lorr, M., Droppleman, L.F., 1989. Profile of mood states (POMS). Douglas M. McNair, Maurice Lorr, Leo F. Droppleman.
- Mennella, R., Patron, E., Palomba, D., 2017. Frontal alpha asymmetry neurofeedback for the reduction of negative affect and anxiety. *Behav. Res. Ther.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2017.02.002>
- Meyer, T., Quaedflieg, C.W.E.M., Weijland, K., Schruers, K., Merckelbach, H., Smeets, T., 2018. Frontal EEG asymmetry during symptom provocation predicts subjective responses to intrusions in survivors with and without PTSD. *Psychophysiology.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12779>
- Meyer, T., Smeets, T., Giesbrecht, T., Quaedflieg, C.W.E.M., Smulders, F.T.Y., Meijer, E.H., Merckelbach, H.L.G.J., 2015. The role of frontal EEG asymmetry in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Biol. Psychol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2015.03.018>
- Mognon, A., Jovicich, J., Bruzzone, L., Buiatti, M., 2011. ADJUST: An automatic EEG artifact detector based on the joint use of spatial and temporal features. *Psychophysiology.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.2010.01061.x>
- Moosmann, M., Schönfelder, V.H., Specht, K., Scheeringa, R., Nordby, H., Hugdahl, K., 2009. Realignment parameter-informed artefact correction for simultaneous EEG-fMRI recordings. *Neuroimage.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.01.024>
- Niazy, R.K., Beckmann, C.F., Iannetti, G.D., Brady, J.M., Smith, S.M., 2005. Removal of FMRI environment artifacts from EEG data using optimal basis sets. *Neuroimage.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.06.067>

- Palmiero, M., Piccardi, L., 2017. Frontal EEG Asymmetry of Mood: A Mini-Review. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2017.00224>
- Peeters, F., Oehlen, M., Ronner, J., Van Os, J., Lousberg, R., 2014a. Neurofeedback As a Treatment for Major Depressive Disorder -A Pilot Study. *PLoS One.* <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0091837>
- Peeters, F., Ronner, J., Bodar, L., van Os, J., Lousberg, R., 2014b. Validation of a neurofeedback paradigm: Manipulating frontal EEG alpha-activity and its impact on mood. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2013.06.010>
- Pelletier, M., Bouthillier, A., Lévesque, J., Carrier, S., Breault, C., Paquette, V., Mensour, B., Leroux, J.M., Beaudoin, G., Bourgouin, P., Beauregard, M., 2003. Separate neural circuits for primary emotions? Brain activity during self-induced sadness and happiness in professional actors. *Neuroreport.* <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-200306110-00003>
- Quaedflieg, C.W.E.M., Smulders, F.T.Y., Meyer, T., Peeters, F., Merckelbach, H., Smeets, T., 2015. The validity of individual frontal alpha asymmetry EEG neurofeedback. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsv090>
- Reznik, S.J., Allen, J.J.B., 2018. Frontal asymmetry as a mediator and moderator of emotion: An updated review. *Psychophysiology.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12965>
- S.M., S., C.F., B., N., R., M.W., W., P.R., B., M., J., P.M., M., D.J., M., 2005. Variability in fMRI: A re-examination of inter-session differences. *Hum. Brain Mapp.*
- Shahabi, H., Moghimi, S., 2016. Toward automatic detection of brain responses to emotional music through analysis of EEG effective connectivity. *Comput. Human Behav.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.01.005>
- Smith, S.M., Jenkinson, M., Woolrich, M.W., Beckmann, C.F., Behrens, T.E.J., Johansen-Berg, H., Bannister, P.R., De Luca, M., Drobnjak, I., Flitney, D.E., Niazy, R.K., Saunders, J., Vickers, J., Zhang, Y., De Stefano, N., Brady, J.M., Matthews, P.M., 2004. Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL, in: *NeuroImage.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.051>
- Sulzer, J., Haller, S., Scharnowski, F., Weiskopf, N., Birbaumer, N., Blefari, M.L., Bruehl, A.B.,

- Cohen, L.G., deCharms, R.C., Gassert, R., Goebel, R., Herwig, U., LaConte, S., Linden, D., Luft, A., Seifritz, E., Sitaram, R., 2013. Real-time fMRI neurofeedback: Progress and challenges. *Neuroimage*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.03.033>
- Thompson, R.A., 2019. Emotion dysregulation: A theme in search of definition. *Dev. Psychopathol.* <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579419000282>
- Tirgari, B., Iranmanesh, S., Fazel, A., Kalantarri, B., 2012. Quality of life and mood state in Iranian women post mastectomy. *Clin. J. Oncol. Nurs.* <https://doi.org/10.1188/12.CJON.E118-E122>
- Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., Landeau, B., Papathanassiou, D., Crivello, F., Etard, O., Delcroix, N., Mazoyer, B., Joliot, M., 2002. Automated anatomical labeling of activations in SPM using a macroscopic anatomical parcellation of the MNI MRI single-subject brain. *Neuroimage*. <https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2001.0978>
- Watson, D., Clark, L.A., Tellegen, A., 1988. Development and Validation of Brief Measures of Positive and Negative Affect: The PANAS Scales. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.54.6.1063>
- Woolrich, M.W., Jbabdi, S., Patenaude, B., Chappell, M., Makni, S., Behrens, T., Beckmann, C., Jenkinson, M., Smith, S.M., 2009. Bayesian analysis of neuroimaging data in FSL. *Neuroimage*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.10.055>
- Young, K.D., Zotev, V., Phillips, R., Misaki, M., Yuan, H., Drevets, W.C., Bodurka, J., 2014. Real-time fMRI neurofeedback training of amygdala activity in patients with major depressive disorder. *PLoS One*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088785>
- Zotev, V., Krueger, F., Phillips, R., Alvarez, R.P., Simmons, W.K., Bellgowan, P., Drevets, W.C., Bodurka, J., 2011. Self-regulation of amygdala activation using real-time FMRI neurofeedback. *PLoS One*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024522>
- Zotev, V., Misaki, M., Phillips, R., Wong, C.K., Bodurka, J., 2018. Real-time fMRI neurofeedback of the mediodorsal and anterior thalamus enhances correlation between thalamic BOLD activity and alpha EEG rhythm. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23902>

Zotev, V., Phillips, R., Yuan, H., Misaki, M., Bodurka, J., 2014. Self-regulation of human brain activity using simultaneous real-time fMRI and EEG neurofeedback. *Neuroimage*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.126>

Zotev, V., Yuan, H., Misaki, M., Phillips, R., Young, K.D., Feldner, M.T., Bodurka, J., 2016. Correlation between amygdala BOLD activity and frontal EEG asymmetry during real-time fMRI neurofeedback training in patients with depression. *NeuroImage Clin*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2016.02.003>

Zuberer, A., Minder, F., Brandeis, D., Drechsler, R., 2018. Mixed-Effects Modeling of Neurofeedback Self-Regulation Performance: Moderators for Learning in Children with ADHD. *Neural Plast*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2464310>