

INHOMOGENEOUS AND SIMULTANEOUS DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATION IN BETA DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

YU-FENG WU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate inhomogeneous and simultaneous Diophantine approximation in beta dynamical systems. For $\beta > 1$ let T_β be the β -transformation on $[0, 1]$. We determine the Lebesgue measure and Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : |T_\beta^n x - f(x, y)| < \varphi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where $f : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a Lipschitz function and φ is a positive function on \mathbb{N} . Let $\beta_2 \geq \beta_1 > 1$, $f_1, f_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be two Lipschitz functions, τ_1, τ_2 be two positive continuous functions on $[0, 1]$. We also determine the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : \begin{array}{l} |T_{\beta_1}^n x - f_1(x)| < \beta_1^{-n\tau_1(x)} \\ |T_{\beta_2}^n y - f_2(y)| < \beta_2^{-n\tau_2(y)} \end{array} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Under certain additional assumptions, the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : \begin{array}{l} |T_{\beta_1}^n x - g_1(x, y)| < \beta_1^{-n\tau_1(x)} \\ |T_{\beta_2}^n y - g_2(x, y)| < \beta_2^{-n\tau_2(y)} \end{array} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is also determined, where $g_1, g_2 : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are two Lipschitz functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a real number $\beta > 1$, the β -transformation $T_\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is define by

$$T_\beta x = \beta x - \lfloor \beta x \rfloor \quad \text{for } x \in [0, 1],$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integral part of a real number. In this paper, we study the metric properties of orbits in the beta dynamical system $([0, 1], T_\beta)$.

In 1957, Rényi [12] initialed the study of the map T_β in his investigation of expansions of real numbers in non-integral bases. Parry [10] proved that there is an invariant and ergodic measure for T_β , which is equivalent to the Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L} on $[0, 1]$. Then Birkhoff's ergodic theorem implies that for any fixed $x_0 \in [0, 1]$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |T_\beta^n x - x_0| = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

Key words and phrases. beta dynamical systems, Diophantine approximation, Hausdorff dimension.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11K55, 28A80.

for \mathcal{L} -almost all $x \in [0, 1]$. On the other hand, the Poincaré Recurrence Theorem yields that for \mathcal{L} -almost all $x \in [0, 1]$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |T_\beta^n x - x| = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Both (1.1) and (1.2) are qualitative in nature, and taking into account the speed of convergence in (1.1) and (1.2) leads to the study of the metric properties of the set

$$D_\beta(\varphi, x_0) = \{x \in [0, 1] : |T_\beta^n x - x_0| < \varphi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (1.3)$$

and the set

$$R_\beta(\varphi) = \{x \in [0, 1] : |T_\beta^n x - x| < \varphi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad (1.4)$$

respectively, where $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a positive function. The study of $D_\beta(\varphi, x_0)$ is called the *shrinking target problem*, and the study of $R_\beta(\varphi)$ is called *quantitative recurrence* in beta dynamical systems. When $x_0 \neq 0$, the set $D_\beta(\varphi, x_0)$ can be also viewed as the *inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation* by orbits in $([0, 1], T_\beta)$. In the following, we introduce related works which motivated the present paper. In a general measure preserving dynamical system with compatible metric, the shrinking target problem was introduced by Hill and Velani [7], and for a pioneering work on quantitative recurrence one refers to Boshernitzan [2].

In 1967, Philipp [11] proved that for any fixed $x_0 \in [0, 1]$,

$$\mathcal{L}(D_\beta(\varphi, x_0)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) = \infty. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

When $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) < \infty$, Shen and Wang [13] obtained the Hausdorff dimension of $D_\beta(\varphi, x_0)$. They proved that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} D_\beta(\varphi, x_0) = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} \quad \text{with } \alpha = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_\beta \varphi(n)^{-1}}{n}, \quad (1.6)$$

where \dim_{H} denotes the Hausdorff dimension.

Concerning the set $R_\beta(\varphi)$ in (1.4), Tan and Wang [14] obtained its Hausdorff dimension, which is also equal to $\frac{1}{1+\alpha}$ given in (1.6). Wang [16] considered the following extension of $R_\beta(\varphi)$:

$$\{x \in [0, 1] : |T_\beta^n x - f(x)| < \varphi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a Lipschitz function. He proved that this set has Hausdorff dimension $\frac{1}{1+\alpha}$ given in (1.6) as well. The set (1.7) can be viewed as the inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation by orbits in $([0, 1], T_\beta)$ in which the inhomogeneous part (i.e. the term $f(x)$) is allowed to vary. Very recently, Lü, Wang and Wu [9] proved that the Lebesgue measure of the set (1.7) also satisfies (1.5).

Another related set is the set of points $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ such that the orbit of x under T_β approximates y with a given speed, which is studied by Ge and Lü [6]. More precisely, Ge and Lü proved that the two-dimensional Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^2 of the set

$$\{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : |T_\beta^n x - y| < \varphi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (1.8)$$

satisfies (1.5) and this set has Hausdorff dimension $1 + \frac{1}{1+\alpha}$ with α given in (1.6). Coons, Hussain and Wang [4] extended this result to the generalised Hausdorff measure.

In view of the sets (1.3), (1.4), (1.7) and (1.8), and the corresponding results mentioned above, a natural question is what if we replace the inhomogeneous part y in (1.8) by a more general function, especially depending on both coordinates. Concerning this question, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\beta > 1$, $f : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a Lipschitz function, and φ be a positive function on \mathbb{N} . Set*

$$W_\beta(f, \varphi) = \{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : |T_\beta^n x - f(x, y)| < \varphi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}^2(W_\beta(f, \varphi)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) < \infty$, then

$$\dim_{\text{H}} W_\beta(f, \varphi) = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha},$$

where $\alpha = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_\beta \varphi(n)^{-1}}{n}$.

This paper was also motivated by a result of Wang and Li [17] on *simultaneous Diophantine approximation* in beta dynamical systems. More precisely, let $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be two Lipschitz functions, τ_1, τ_2 be two positive continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ with $\tau_1(x) \leq \tau_2(y)$ for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$. Wang and Li [17] proved that for any $\beta > 1$, the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : \begin{array}{l} |T_\beta^n x - f(x)| < \beta^{-n\tau_1(x)} \\ |T_\beta^n y - g(y)| < \beta^{-n\tau_2(y)} \end{array} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is equal to

$$\min \left\{ \frac{2}{1 + \theta_1}, \frac{2 + \theta_2 - \theta_1}{1 + \theta_2} \right\},$$

where $\theta_i = \min_{x \in [0, 1]} \tau_i(x)$ for $i = 1, 2$. This generalizes a result of Hussain and Wang [8], in which they obtained the Hausdorff dimension of the above set when f, g, τ_1, τ_2 are all constants. It is natural to consider approximation by orbits under two (maybe different) transformations T_{β_1} and T_{β_2} in the x - and y -coordinate, respectively. Concerning this, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\beta_2 \geq \beta_1 > 1$, $f_1, f_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be two Lipschitz functions, and τ_1, τ_2 be two positive continuous functions on $[0, 1]$. Let F be the set*

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : \begin{array}{l} |T_{\beta_1}^n x - f_1(x)| < \beta_1^{-n\tau_1(x)} \\ |T_{\beta_2}^n y - f_2(y)| < \beta_2^{-n\tau_2(y)} \end{array} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Then the Hausdorff dimension of F is given by

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{2+\theta_1}{1+\theta_1}, \frac{2+\theta_2-\theta_1 \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{1+\theta_2} \right\} & \text{if } \beta_1^{1+\theta_1} < \beta_2, \\ \min \left\{ \frac{1+\log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{(1+\theta_1) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}, \frac{2+\theta_2-\theta_1 \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{1+\theta_2} \right\} & \text{if } \beta_2 \leq \beta_1^{1+\theta_1} \leq \beta_2^{1+\theta_2}, \\ \min \left\{ \frac{1+\log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{1+\theta_2}, \frac{(2+\theta_1) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1 - \theta_2}{(1+\theta_1) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1} \right\} & \text{if } \beta_1^{1+\theta_1} > \beta_2^{1+\theta_2}, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

where for $i = 1, 2$, $\theta_i = \min_{x \in [0,1]} \tau_i(x)$.

Theorem 1.2 generalizes the result of Wang and Li [17] and is the main contribution of this paper. In view of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1 (and its motivation described above), it is natural to consider replacing the functions f_1, f_2 in Theorem 1.2 by functions depending on both coordinates. For this, we have the following partial result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\beta_2 \geq \beta_1 > 1$, $g_1, g_2 : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be two Lipschitz functions, and τ_1, τ_2 be two positive continuous functions on $[0, 1]$. Let G be the set*

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : \begin{array}{l} |T_{\beta_1}^n x - g_1(x, y)| < \beta_1^{-n\tau_1(x)} \\ |T_{\beta_2}^n y - g_2(x, y)| < \beta_2^{-n\tau_2(y)} \end{array} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

For $i = 1, 2$, set $\kappa_i = \max_{x \in [0,1]} \tau_i(x)$. If $\beta_2 > \beta_1^{\kappa_1}$ and $\beta_1 > \beta_2^{\kappa_2}$, then the Hausdorff dimension of G is given by (1.9).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries which include some elementary properties of beta transformations, and a special version of the mass transference principle from rectangles to rectangles recently proved by Wang and Wu [15]. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we first give the proof of Theorem 1.2 and then show how to modify it to prove Theorem 1.3.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Properties of beta transformations. For $\beta > 1$, let T_β be the β -transformation on $[0, 1]$ defined by

$$T_\beta x = \beta x - \lfloor \beta x \rfloor,$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integral part of a real number. Then every $x \in [0, 1]$ can be expressed uniquely as a finite or infinite series

$$x = \frac{\epsilon_1(x, \beta)}{\beta} + \frac{\epsilon_2(x, \beta)}{\beta^2} + \dots + \frac{\epsilon_n(x, \beta)}{\beta^n} + \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

where for $n \geq 1$, $\epsilon_n(x, \beta) = \lfloor \beta T_\beta^{n-1} x \rfloor$. The expression (2.1) or the sequence

$$(\epsilon_1(x, \beta), \epsilon_2(x, \beta), \dots)$$

is called the β -expansion of x , and for $n \geq 1$, $\epsilon_n(x, \beta)$ is called the n -th digit of x (with respect to base β).

Note that for every $x \in [0, 1]$, all its digits $\epsilon_n(x, \beta)$ are in $\{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \beta - 1 \rfloor\}$, where $\lfloor \beta - 1 \rfloor$ is the smallest integer not less than $\beta - 1$. However, not every sequence

over $\{0, 1, \dots, \lceil \beta - 1 \rceil\}$ is the β -expansion for some $x \in [0, 1]$. We call a finite or an infinite sequence $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots)$ *admissible*, if there exists an $x \in [0, 1]$ such that the β -expansion of x begins with $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots)$.

For $n \geq 1$, let Σ_β^n be the set of all admissible sequences of length n . For the cardinality of Σ_β^n , one has the following well-known result due to Rényi.

Lemma 2.1. [12] *Let $\beta > 1$. Then for any $n \geq 1$,*

$$\beta^n \leq \#\Sigma_\beta^n \leq \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{\beta - 1},$$

where $\#$ denotes the cardinality of a finite set.

For any $n \geq 1$ and $w = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_\beta^n$, the set

$$I_{n,\beta}(w) = \{x \in [0, 1] : \epsilon_i(x, \beta) = \epsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

is called a *cylinder of order n* (with respect to base β), which is a left-closed and right-open interval of length at most β^{-n} with left endpoint

$$\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\beta^2} + \dots + \frac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n}.$$

All cylinders of order n form a partition of the unit interval. That is,

$$[0, 1] = \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_\beta^n} I_{n,\beta}(w) \tag{2.2}$$

and a disjoint union.

The following notion plays an important role in the study of metric properties of β -expansions, which is also needed in this paper.

Definition 2.2 (Full word and full cylinder). *A word $w = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_\beta^n$ is called a full word and $I_{n,\beta}(w)$ is called a full cylinder if*

$$|I_{n,\beta}(w)| = \frac{1}{\beta^n},$$

where $|A|$ denotes the diameter of a set A .

The following property of full cylinders is important in the proofs of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 2.3. [3, Theorem 1.2] *For each $n \geq 1$, there exists at least one full cylinder among every $n + 1$ consecutive cylinders of order n .*

We will also need the following property of a Lipschitz function on full cylinders.

Lemma 2.4. [16, Lemma 3.1] *Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a Lipschitz function. Then for any $n \geq 1$ and full word $w = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_\beta^n$, the following hold.*

(i) *There exists a point $x_{n,w}^*$ in the closure of $I_{n,\beta}(w)$ such that $T_\beta^n x_{n,w}^* = f(x_{n,w}^*)$ when $x_{n,w}^* \in I_{n,\beta}(w)$, and $f(x_{n,w}^*) = 1$ when*

$$x_{n,w}^* = \frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\beta^2} + \dots + \frac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n} + \frac{1}{\beta^n}.$$

(ii) For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a point $x_{n,w} \in I_{n,\beta}(w)$ such that

$$|T_\beta^n x_{n,w} - f(x_{n,w})| < \epsilon.$$

Proof. The part (i) was proved in [16, Lemma 3.1]. Here we only show (ii) by using (i). Let $x_{n,w}^*$ be provided in (i). If $x_{n,w}^* \in I_{n,\beta}(w)$, then (ii) holds trivially by simply taking $x_{n,w} = x_{n,w}^*$. For the other case that

$$x_{n,w}^* = \frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n} + \frac{1}{\beta^n},$$

by the continuity of f on $[0, 1]$ and T_β^n on $I_{n,\beta}(w)$, we can take $x_{n,w} \in I_{n,\beta}(w)$ to be a point which is sufficiently close to $x_{n,w}^*$ such that

$$|f(x_{n,w}) - f(x_{n,w}^*)| = |f(x_{n,w}) - 1| < \epsilon/2,$$

$$|T_\beta^n x_{n,w} - 1| = 1 - T_\beta^n x_{n,w} < \epsilon/2.$$

Then it follows that

$$|T_\beta^n x_{n,w} - f(x_{n,w})| \leq |f(x_{n,w}) - 1| + |T_\beta^n x_{n,w} - 1| < \epsilon.$$

□

2.2. Mass transference principle from rectangles to rectangles, a special version. Let \mathbb{R}^+ be the set of positive numbers. For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^d$ and $r > 0$, let

$$B(x, r^{\mathbf{a}}) = \prod_{i=1}^d B(x_i, r^{a_i}).$$

In the proofs of Theorem 1.2, we will make use of a recent result of Wang and Wu [15], called the mass transference principle from rectangles to rectangles in Diophantine approximation. For our purpose, we only need the following special version of Wang and Wu's result.

Lemma 2.5. [15, Theorems 3.1-3.2] *Let $\{J_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of finite index sets, $\{x_{n,\alpha} : n \geq 1, \alpha \in J_n\}$ be a sequence of points in $[0, 1]^d$ and $\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a non-increasing sequence of positive numbers tending to 0. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$, $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^d$. Set*

$$W(\mathbf{t}) = \{x \in [0, 1]^d : x \in B(x_{n,\alpha}, r_n^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{t}}) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha \in J_n\}.$$

If for all large n , the set

$$\left\{ x \in [0, 1]^d : x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in J_n} B(x_{n,\alpha}, r_n^{\mathbf{a}}) \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

is of full Lebesgue measure, then for any ball $B \subset [0, 1]^d$,

$$\dim_{\text{H}} B \cap W(\mathbf{t}) \geq \min_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ \#\mathcal{K}_1 + \#\mathcal{K}_2 + \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_3} a_k - \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_2} t_k}{A} \right\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a_i, a_i + t_i : 1 \leq i \leq d\},$$

and for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the sets $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \mathcal{K}_3$ form a partition of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \{k : a_k \geq A\}, \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = \{k : a_k + t_k \leq A\} \setminus \mathcal{K}_1, \quad \mathcal{K}_3 = \{1, \dots, d\} \setminus (\mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2).$$

We remark that the condition (2.3) above is to guarantee the local ubiquity for rectangles ([15, Definition 3.2]) needed in [15, Theorems 3.1-3.2].

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We first prove the Lebesgue measure part of the theorem. For $y \in [0, 1]$, let

$$D_y = \{x \in [0, 1] : |T_\beta^n x - f(x, y)| < \varphi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Since $f : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a Lipschitz function, so is the function $x \mapsto f(x, y)$ for every $y \in [0, 1]$. Hence by [9, Theorem 1.6], for every $y \in [0, 1]$,

$$\mathcal{L}(D_y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then by the Fubini's theorem,

$$\mathcal{L}^2(W_\beta(f, \varphi)) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mathbb{1}_{W_\beta(f, \varphi)}((x, y)) dx dy = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mathbb{1}_{D_y}(x) dx dy = \int_0^1 \mathcal{L}(D_y) dy,$$

where $\mathbb{1}_A$ denotes the characteristic function of a set A . Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{L}^2(W_\beta(f, \varphi)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) = \infty. \end{cases}$$

This proves the Lebesgue measure part of the theorem.

Next we prove the Hausdorff dimension part. Suppose that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) < \infty$. Then without loss of generality we can assume that $\varphi(n) < 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Again since for every $y \in [0, 1]$, the function $x \mapsto f(x, y)$ is Lipschitz, it follows from [16, Theorem 1.1] that for any $y \in [0, 1]$,

$$\dim_{\text{H}} D_y = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} \quad \text{with } \alpha = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_\beta \varphi(n)^{-1}}{n}.$$

Then by [5, Corollary 7.12],

$$\dim_{\text{H}} W_\beta(f, \varphi) \geq 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha}.$$

In the following we prove the converse inequality.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$W_n = \{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : |T_\beta^n x - f(x, y)| < \varphi(n)\}.$$

Then

$$W_\beta(f, \varphi) = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} W_n. \tag{3.1}$$

Let

$$J_{n,\beta}(k) = \left[\frac{k\varphi(n)}{\beta^n}, \frac{(k+1)\varphi(n)}{\beta^n} \right] \cap [0, 1], \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{\beta^n}{\varphi(n)} \right\rfloor.$$

Then clearly

$$[0, 1] = \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\beta^n}{\varphi(n)} \rfloor} J_{n,\beta}(k).$$

Hence we have

$$[0, 1]^2 = \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_\beta^n} \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\beta^n}{\varphi(n)} \rfloor} I_{n,\beta}(w) \times J_{n,\beta}(k).$$

Therefore,

$$W_n = \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_\beta^n} \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\beta^n}{\varphi(n)} \rfloor} \{(x, y) \in I_{n,\beta}(w) \times J_{n,\beta}(k) : |T_\beta^n x - f(x, y)| < \varphi(n)\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $f : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is Lipschitz, there exists $L > 0$ such that for any $x, y, x', y' \in [0, 1]$,

$$|f(x, y) - f(x', y')| \leq L \|(x - x', y - y')\|, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm. Let $w \in \Sigma_\beta^n$, $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\beta^n}{\varphi(n)} \rfloor$, and $(x, y) \in I_{n,\beta}(w) \times J_{n,\beta}(k)$. If $(x, y) \in W_n$, then by (3.3) we see that for large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_\beta^n x - f(x, k\varphi(n)/\beta^n)| &\leq |T_\beta^n x - f(x, y)| + |f(x, y) - f(x, k\varphi(n)/\beta^n)| \\ &\leq \varphi(n) + \frac{L\varphi(n)}{\beta^n} \\ &< 2\varphi(n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore, for large n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(x, y) \in I_{n,\beta}(w) \times J_{n,\beta}(k) : |T_\beta^n x - f(x, y)| < \varphi(n)\} \\ &\subset \{x \in I_{n,\beta}(w) : |T_\beta^n x - f(x, k\varphi(n)/\beta^n)| < 2\varphi(n)\} \times J_{n,\beta}(k) \\ &:= \tilde{I}_{n,\beta}(w, k) \times J_{n,\beta}(k), \end{aligned}$$

and thus by (3.2),

$$W_n \subset \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_\beta^n} \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\beta^n}{\varphi(n)} \rfloor} \tilde{I}_{n,\beta}(w, k) \times J_{n,\beta}(k). \quad (3.5)$$

Below we estimate the diameter of $\tilde{I}_{n,\beta}(w, k) \times J_{n,\beta}(k)$. To this end, let $x_1, x_2 \in \tilde{I}_{n,\beta}(w, k)$. Then by (3.4) and (3.3), for large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} 4\varphi(n) &> |T_\beta^n x_1 - f(x_1, k\varphi(n)/\beta^n)| + |T_\beta^n x_2 - f(x_2, k\varphi(n)/\beta^n)| \\ &\geq |T_\beta^n x_1 - T_\beta^n x_2| - |f(x_1, k\varphi(n)/\beta^n) - f(x_2, k\varphi(n)/\beta^n)| \\ &\geq (\beta^n - L)|x_1 - x_2| \\ &\geq \frac{\beta^n}{2}|x_1 - x_2|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for all large n , the diameter of $\tilde{I}_{n,\beta}(w, k)$ satisfies that

$$|\tilde{I}_{n,\beta}(w, k)| \leq \frac{8\varphi(n)}{\beta^n}.$$

As a consequence, for all large n ,

$$|\tilde{I}_{n,\beta}(w, k) \times J_{n,\beta}(k)| \leq \frac{9\varphi(n)}{\beta^n}.$$

By (3.1) and (3.5), for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the family

$$\left\{ \tilde{I}_{n,\beta}(w, k) \times J_{n,\beta}(k) : n \geq N, w \in \Sigma_\beta^n, 0 \leq k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\beta^n}{\varphi(n)} \right\rfloor \right\}$$

is a covering of $W_\beta(f, \varphi)$. Recall that $\alpha = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_\beta \varphi(n)^{-1}}{n}$. Let $s > 1 + \frac{1}{1+\alpha}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\infty^s(W_\beta(f, \varphi)) &\leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \geq N} \sum_{w \in \Sigma_\beta^n} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\beta^n}{\varphi(n)} \rfloor} |\tilde{I}_{n,\beta}(w, k) \times J_{n,\beta}(k)|^s \\ &\leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \geq N} \sum_{w \in \Sigma_\beta^n} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\beta^n}{\varphi(n)} \rfloor} \left(\frac{9\varphi(n)}{\beta^n} \right)^s \\ &\leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \geq N} \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{\beta - 1} \cdot \frac{2\beta^n}{\varphi(n)} \cdot \left(\frac{9\varphi(n)}{\beta^n} \right)^s \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

here and afterwards \mathcal{H}_∞^s denotes the s -dimensional Hausdorff content [1]. Since $s > 1 + \frac{1}{1+\alpha}$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} W_\beta(f, \varphi) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{1+\alpha}.$$

This proves the Hausdorff dimension part and completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.2-1.3

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2. The upper bounds part.** Recall the formula (2.2). So for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[0, 1]^2 = \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n, v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n} I_{n, \beta_1}(w) \times I_{n, \beta_2}(v).$$

For $w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n$ and $v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n$, let

$$\begin{aligned} J_{n, \beta_1}(w) &= \left\{ x \in I_{n, \beta_1}(w) : |T_{\beta_1}^n x - f_1(x)| < \beta_1^{-n\tau_1(x)} \right\}, \\ J_{n, \beta_2}(v) &= \left\{ y \in I_{n, \beta_2}(v) : |T_{\beta_2}^n y - f_2(y)| < \beta_2^{-n\tau_2(y)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\{ (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : \begin{array}{l} |T_{\beta_1}^n x - f_1(x)| < \beta_1^{-n\tau_1(x)} \\ |T_{\beta_2}^n y - f_2(y)| < \beta_2^{-n\tau_2(y)} \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n, v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n} J_{n, \beta_1}(w) \times J_{n, \beta_2}(v). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Fix $w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n$ and $v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n$. In the following we estimate the diameters of $J_{n, \beta_1}(w)$ and $J_{n, \beta_2}(v)$. For $i = 1, 2$, let $\theta_i = \min_{x \in [0, 1]} \tau_i(x)$. Since $f_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is Lipschitz, there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$|f_1(x) - f_1(x')| \leq L|x - x'| \quad \text{for any } x, x' \in [0, 1]. \quad (4.3)$$

Let $x, x' \in J_{n, \beta_1}(w)$. Then by (4.1) and (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} 2\beta_1^{-n\theta_1} &\geq |T_{\beta_1}^n x - f_1(x)| + |T_{\beta_1}^n x' - f_1(x')| \\ &\geq |T_{\beta_1}^n x - T_{\beta_1}^n x'| - |f_1(x) - f_1(x')| \\ &\geq (\beta_1^n - L)|x - x'|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Since $\beta_1^n - L > \frac{\beta_1^n}{2}$ for large n , (4.4) implies that for large n ,

$$|J_{n, \beta_1}(w)| \leq 4\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}. \quad (4.5)$$

Similarly, for large n we have

$$|J_{n, \beta_2}(v)| \leq 4\beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2)}. \quad (4.6)$$

From (4.2) we see that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the family

$$\left\{ J_{n, \beta_1}(w) \times J_{n, \beta_2}(v) : n \geq N, w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n, v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n \right\} \quad (4.7)$$

is a covering of F . In the following, we obtain upper bounds for the Hausdorff dimension of F by considering three cases separately.

Case 1. $\beta_1^{1+\theta_1} < \beta_2$. Note that in this case,

$$4\beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2)} \leq 4\beta_2^{-n} \leq 4\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence each $J_{n,\beta_1}(w) \times J_{n,\beta_2}(v)$ in the family (4.7) can be covered by at most

$$2 \times \frac{4\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}}{4\beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2)}} = 2\beta_2^{n((1+\theta_2)-(1+\theta_1)\log_{\beta_2}\beta_1)}$$

many squares of side length $4\beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2)}$. Thus for $s > \frac{2+\theta_2-\theta_1\log_{\beta_2}\beta_1}{1+\theta_2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\infty^s(F) &\leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n, v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n} 2\beta_2^{n((1+\theta_2)-(1+\theta_1)\log_{\beta_2}\beta_1)} \left(4\sqrt{2}\beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2)}\right)^s \\ &\ll \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \beta_1^n \beta_2^n \beta_2^{n((1+\theta_2)(1-s)-(1+\theta_1)\log_{\beta_2}\beta_1)} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\ &= \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \beta_2^{n(2+\theta_2-\theta_1\log_{\beta_2}\beta_1-(1+\theta_2)s)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F \leq \frac{2 + \theta_2 - \theta_1 \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{1 + \theta_2}. \quad (4.8)$$

On the other hand, since $4\beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2)} \leq 4\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}$, each $J_{n,\beta_1}(w) \times J_{n,\beta_2}(v)$ can be covered by a single square of side length $4\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}$. Moreover, since $\beta_2^{-n} < 4\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}$, we see that each such square covers at least

$$\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{4\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}}{\beta_2^{-n}} = 8\beta_2^{n(1-(1+\theta_1)\log_{\beta_2}\beta_1)} := k_n(w)$$

many sets $J_{n,\beta_1}(w) \times J_{n,\beta_2}(v_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, k_n(w)$) such that $I_{n,\beta_2}(v_1), \dots, I_{n,\beta_2}(v_{k_n(w)})$ are consecutive cylinders of order n . Therefore, the set

$$\bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n, v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n} J_{n,\beta_1}(w) \times J_{n,\beta_2}(v)$$

can be covered by

$$\frac{\beta_1^n}{\beta_1 - 1} \cdot \frac{\beta_2^n}{\beta_2 - 1} \cdot \frac{1}{8\beta_2^{n(1-(1+\theta_1)\log_{\beta_2}\beta_1)}}$$

many squares of side length $4\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}$. As a consequence, for $s > \frac{2+\theta_1}{1+\theta_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\infty^s(F) &\ll \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \beta_1^n \beta_2^n \beta_2^{-n(1-(1+\theta_1)\log_{\beta_2}\beta_1)} \beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)s} \\ &= \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \beta_2^{n(2+\theta_1-(1+\theta_1)s)\log_{\beta_2}\beta_1} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F \leq \frac{2 + \theta_1}{1 + \theta_1}.$$

This combined with (4.8) gives the desired upper bound for $\dim_{\text{H}} F$ when $\beta_1^{1+\theta_1} < \beta_2$.

Cases 2. $\beta_2 \leq \beta_1^{1+\theta_1} \leq \beta_2^{1+\theta_2}$. Since $4\beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2)} \leq 4\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}$, as in Case 1 we see that (4.8) still holds. Again, each $J_{n,\beta_1}(w) \times J_{n,\beta_2}(v)$ in (4.7) can be covered by a single square of side length $4\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}$. Thus for $s > \frac{1+\log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{(1+\theta_1)\log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\infty}^s(F) &\leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n, v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n} \left(4\sqrt{2}\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}\right)^s \\ &\ll \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \beta_1^n \beta_2^n \beta_1^{-ns(1+\theta_1)} \\ &= \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \beta_2^{n((1+\log_{\beta_2} \beta_1) - s(1+\theta_1)\log_{\beta_2} \beta_1)} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F \leq \frac{1 + \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{(1 + \theta_1) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}.$$

Combining this with (4.8) yields the desired upper bound for $\dim_{\text{H}} F$ in Case 2.

Case 3. $\beta_1^{1+\theta_1} > \beta_2^{1+\theta_2}$. In this case, we have

$$4\beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2)} > 4\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then by a similar argument as in Case 2, it is easily seen that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1 + \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{1 + \theta_2}, \frac{(2 + \theta_1) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1 - \theta_2}{(1 + \theta_1) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1} \right\}.$$

The lower bounds part. Fix a full word $w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n$. Then by Lemma 2.4(ii), there exists a point $x_{n,w} \in I_{n,\beta_1}(w)$ such that

$$|T_{\beta_1}^n x_{n,w} - f_1(x_{n,w})| < \frac{1}{2} \beta_1^{-n\kappa_1},$$

where $\kappa_i := \max_{x \in [0,1]} \tau_i(x)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus for any $x \in I_{n,\beta_1}(w)$ and all large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\beta_1}^n x - f_1(x)| - \frac{1}{2} \beta_1^{-n\kappa_1} &\leq |T_{\beta_1}^n x - f_1(x)| - |T_{\beta_1}^n x_{n,w} - f_1(x_{n,w})| \\ &\leq |T_{\beta_1}^n x - T_{\beta_1}^n x_{n,w}| + |f_1(x) - f_1(x_{n,w})| \\ &\leq (\beta_1^n + L)|x - x_{n,w}| \quad (\text{by (4.3)}) \\ &\leq 2\beta_1^n |x - x_{n,w}|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $|x - x_{n,w}| < \frac{1}{4}\beta_1^{-n(1+\tau_1(x))}$, then

$$|T_{\beta_1}^n x - f_1(x)| < 2\beta_1^n \times \frac{1}{4}\beta_1^{-n(1+\tau_1(x))} + \frac{1}{2}\beta_1^{-n\kappa_1} \leq \beta_1^{-n\tau_1(x)}.$$

This implies that

$$J_{n,\beta_1}(w) \supset \left\{ x \in I_{n,\beta_1}(w) : |x - x_{n,w}| < \frac{1}{4}\beta_1^{-n(1+\tau_1(x))} \right\} := \tilde{J}_{n,\beta_1}(w).$$

Similarly, for any full word $v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n$ and for all large n , there exists a point $y_{n,v} \in I_{n,\beta_2}(v)$ such that

$$J_{n,\beta_2}(v) \supset \left\{ y \in I_{n,\beta_2}(v) : |y - y_{n,v}| < \frac{1}{4}\beta_2^{-n(1+\tau_2(y))} \right\} := \tilde{J}_{n,\beta_2}(v).$$

Therefore, by (4.2) we have

$$F \supset \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n, v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n \text{ full}} \tilde{J}_{n,\beta_1}(w) \times \tilde{J}_{n,\beta_2}(v) := \tilde{F}. \quad (4.9)$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since τ_1, τ_2 are continuous functions on $[0, 1]$, there exists a ball $B \subset [0, 1]^2$ such that for any $(x, y) \in B$,

$$\tau_1(x) \leq \theta_1 + \epsilon/2, \quad \tau_2(y) \leq \theta_2 + \epsilon/2.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be large so that

$$\beta_1^{-n\epsilon/2} \leq \frac{1}{8} \quad \text{and} \quad n+1 \leq \beta_1^{n\epsilon}. \quad (4.10)$$

Then for any full words $w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n$ and $v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n$, we have

$$B \cap \left(\tilde{J}_{n,\beta_1}(w) \times \tilde{J}_{n,\beta_2}(v) \right) \supset B \cap \left(J_{n,\beta_1}^*(w) \times J_{n,\beta_2}^*(v) \right), \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$J_{n,\beta_1}^*(w) = \left\{ x \in I_{n,\beta_1}(w) : |x - x_{n,w}| < \frac{1}{4}\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1+\epsilon/2)} \right\},$$

$$J_{n,\beta_2}^*(v) = \left\{ y \in I_{n,\beta_2}(v) : |y - y_{n,v}| < \frac{1}{4}\beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2+\epsilon/2)} \right\}.$$

Note that $J_{n,\beta_1}^*(w)$ contains a ball centered in $I_{n,\beta_1}(w)$ of radius $\frac{1}{8}\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1+\epsilon/2)}$, and $J_{n,\beta_2}^*(v)$ contains a ball centered in $I_{n,\beta_2}(v)$ of radius $\frac{1}{8}\beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2+\epsilon/2)}$. Hence we see from (4.10) and (4.11) that

$$B \cap \left(\tilde{J}_{n,\beta_1}(w) \times \tilde{J}_{n,\beta_2}(v) \right) \supset B \cap \left(B \left(\bar{x}_{n,w}, \beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1+\epsilon)} \right) \times B \left(\bar{y}_{n,v}, \beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2+\epsilon)} \right) \right)$$

for some $\bar{x}_{n,w} \in I_{n,\beta_1}(w)$ and $\bar{y}_{n,v} \in I_{n,\beta_2}(v)$. Therefore,

$$B \cap \tilde{F} \supset B \cap W(\mathbf{t}), \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$W(\mathbf{t}) = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n, v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n \text{ full}} B\left(\bar{x}_{n,w}, \beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_1+\epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}\right) \times B\left(\bar{y}_{n,v}, \beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2+\epsilon)}\right), \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2) = ((\theta_1 + 2\epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1, \theta_2 + 2\epsilon).$$

From Lemma 2.3 we know that for every $x \in [0, 1]$, among any $n + 1$ consecutive cylinders of order n around x , there is at least one full cylinder. So, there exists a full word $w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n$ such that

$$|x - \bar{x}_{n,w}| \leq (n + 1)\beta_1^{-n} \leq \beta_1^{-n(1-\epsilon)} = \beta_2^{-n(1-\epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}.$$

Thus

$$[0, 1] \subset \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n \text{ full}} B\left(\bar{x}_{n,w}, \beta_2^{-n(1-\epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$[0, 1] \subset \bigcup_{v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n \text{ full}} B\left(\bar{y}_{n,v}, \beta_2^{-n(1-\epsilon)}\right).$$

Therefore, for all large n , the set

$$\left\{ z \in [0, 1]^2 : z \in \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n, v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n \text{ full}} B\left(\bar{x}_{n,w}, \beta_2^{-n(1-\epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}\right) \times B\left(\bar{y}_{n,v}, \beta_2^{-n(1-\epsilon)}\right) \right\} \quad (4.14)$$

is of full Lebesgue measure (indeed it equals $[0, 1]^2$). Let

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2) = ((1 - \epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1, 1 - \epsilon).$$

Then

$$\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{t} = (a_1 + t_1, a_2 + t_2) = ((1 + \theta_1 + \epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1, 1 + \theta_2 + \epsilon).$$

Now by (4.13), (4.14) and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}} B \cap W(\mathbf{t}) \geq \min_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ \#\mathcal{K}_1 + \#\mathcal{K}_2 + \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_3} a_k - \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_2} t_k}{A} \right\} := s, \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a_k, a_k + t_k : k = 1, 2\} = \{(1 - \epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1, (1 + \theta_1 + \epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1, 1 - \epsilon, 1 + \theta_2 + \epsilon\},$$

and for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the sets $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \mathcal{K}_3$ form a partition of $\{1, 2\}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \{k : a_k \geq A\}, \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = \{k : a_k + t_k \leq A\} \setminus \mathcal{K}_1, \quad \mathcal{K}_3 = \{1, 2\} \setminus (\mathcal{K}_1 \cup \mathcal{K}_2).$$

For convenience, write

$$s_A = \#\mathcal{K}_1 + \#\mathcal{K}_2 + \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_3} a_k - \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_2} t_k}{A} \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In the following, we evaluate s in (4.15). We consider three cases separately.

When $\beta_1^{1+\theta_1} < \beta_2$, we let $\epsilon > 0$ be small enough so that $(1 + \theta_1 + \epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1 \leq 1 - \epsilon$. Then by definition and a simple calculation, we see that

$$s_A = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } A = (1 - \epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1, \\ \frac{2 + \theta_1}{1 + \theta_1 + \epsilon} & \text{if } A = (1 + \theta_1 + \epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1, \\ 2 - \frac{(\theta_1 + 2\epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{1 - \epsilon} & \text{if } A = 1 - \epsilon, \\ \frac{2 + \theta_2 - (\theta_1 + 2\epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{1 + \theta_2 + \epsilon} & \text{if } A = 1 + \theta_2 + \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Since $(1 + \theta_1 + \epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1 \leq 1 - \epsilon$, we have

$$2 - \frac{(\theta_1 + 2\epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{1 - \epsilon} \geq 2 - \frac{\theta_1 + 2\epsilon}{1 + \theta_1 + \epsilon} = \frac{2 + \theta_1}{1 + \theta_1 + \epsilon}.$$

Hence in this case,

$$s = \min_{A \in \mathcal{A}} s_A = \min \left\{ \frac{2 + \theta_1}{1 + \theta_1 + \epsilon}, \frac{2 + \theta_2 - (\theta_1 + 2\epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{1 + \theta_2 + \epsilon} \right\}.$$

By this, (4.9), (4.12) and (4.15) (and let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$), we obtain the desired lower bound for $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} F$ when $\beta_1^{1+\theta_1} < \beta_2$.

For the other two cases that $\beta_2 \leq \beta_1^{1+\theta_1} \leq \beta_2^{1+\theta_2}$ and $\beta_1^{1+\theta_1} > \beta_2^{1+\theta_2}$, we let $\epsilon > 0$ be small enough so that

$$\begin{cases} 1 - \epsilon < (1 + \theta_1 + \epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1 < 1 + \theta_2 + \epsilon & \text{if } \beta_2 \leq \beta_1^{1+\theta_1} \leq \beta_2^{1+\theta_2}, \\ (1 + \theta_1 + \epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1 \geq 1 + \theta_2 + \epsilon & \text{if } \beta_1^{1+\theta_1} > \beta_2^{1+\theta_2}. \end{cases}$$

Then in each of these two cases, s_A for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ (and thus s) can be easily evaluated. Indeed, we have

$$s = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{(1-\epsilon)(1+\log_{\beta_2} \beta_1)}{(1+\theta_1+\epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}, \frac{2+\theta_2-(\theta_1+2\epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1}{1+\theta_2+\epsilon} \right\} & \text{if } \beta_2 \leq \beta_1^{1+\theta_1} \leq \beta_2^{1+\theta_2}, \\ \min \left\{ \frac{(1-\epsilon)(1+\log_{\beta_2} \beta_1)}{1+\theta_2+\epsilon}, \frac{(2+\theta_1) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1 - (\theta_2+2\epsilon)}{(1+\theta_1+\epsilon) \log_{\beta_2} \beta_1} \right\} & \text{if } \beta_1^{1+\theta_1} > \beta_2^{1+\theta_2}. \end{cases}$$

Then a similar argument as above yields the desired lower bounds for $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} F$ in these two cases. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since the proof of Theorem 1.3 is similar to that of Theorem 1.2, in this subsection we only point out the modifications of Subsection 4.1 needed to prove Theorem 1.3.

The upper bounds part. We prove this part under the weaker assumption that $\beta_2 \geq \beta_1^{\theta_1}$ and $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2^{\theta_2}$. Since $g_1, g_2 : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are Lipschitz functions, there exists $L > 0$ such that for any $(x, y), (x', y') \in [0, 1]^2$,

$$|g_i(x, y) - g_i(x', y')| \leq L \|(x - x', y - y')\|, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (4.16)$$

For $w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n$ and $v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n$, let $a_{n,w}^1, a_{n,v}^2$ be the left endpoints of $I_{n,\beta_1}(w)$ and $I_{n,\beta_2}(v)$, respectively. Let $(x, y) \in I_{n,\beta_1}(w) \times I_{n,\beta_2}(v)$ such that

$$|T_{\beta_1}^n x - g_1(x, y)| < \beta_1^{-n\tau_1(x)}, \quad |T_{\beta_2}^n y - g_2(x, y)| < \beta_2^{-n\tau_2(y)}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_{\beta_1}^n x - g_1(x, a_{n,v}^2)| &\leq |T_{\beta_1}^n x - g_1(x, y)| + |g_1(x, y) - g_1(x, a_{n,v}^2)| \\
&< \beta_1^{-n\tau_1(x)} + L|y - a_{n,v}^2| \\
&\leq \beta_1^{-n\theta_1} + L\beta_2^{-n} \\
&\leq (L+1)\beta_1^{-n\theta_1},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds since $\beta_2 \geq \beta_1^{\theta_1}$. Similarly, we have

$$|T_{\beta_2}^n y - g_2(a_{n,w}^1, y)| < \beta_2^{-n\theta_2} + L\beta_1^{-n} \leq (L+1)\beta_2^{-n\theta_2},$$

where in the second inequality we have used the assumption that $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2^{\theta_2}$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{n,1}(w, v) &= \{x \in I_{n,\beta_1}(w) : |T_{\beta_1}^n x - g_1(x, a_{n,v}^2)| < (L+1)\beta_1^{-n\theta_1}\}, \\
J_{n,2}(w, v) &= \{y \in I_{n,\beta_2}(v) : |T_{\beta_2}^n y - g_2(a_{n,w}^1, y)| < (L+1)\beta_2^{-n\theta_2}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then from the above we see that

$$G = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n, v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n} \left\{ (x, y) \in I_{n,\beta_1}(w) \times I_{n,\beta_2}(v) : \begin{aligned} &|T_{\beta_1}^n x - g_1(x, y)| < \beta_1^{-n\tau_1(x)} \\ &|T_{\beta_2}^n y - g_2(x, y)| < \beta_2^{-n\tau_2(y)} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.17)$$

$$\subset \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n, v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n} J_{n,1}(w, v) \times J_{n,2}(w, v).$$

Moreover, by the same argument as in (4.4), we see that for all large n ,

$$|J_{n,1}(w, v)| \leq 4(L+1)\beta_1^{-n(1+\theta_1)}, \quad |J_{n,2}(w, v)| \leq 4(L+1)\beta_2^{-n(1+\theta_2)}.$$

This is completely analogous to (4.5) and (4.6). Then the upper bounds part of Theorem 1.3 follows from the same proof of that of Theorem 1.2.

The lower bounds part. Fix full words $w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n$ and $v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n$. Applying Lemma 2.4(ii) to the function $x \mapsto g_1(x, a_{n,v}^2)$ (which is Lipschitz since $g_1 : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is Lipschitz), there exists a point $x_{n,w,v} \in I_{n,\beta_1}(w)$ such that

$$|T_{\beta_1}^n x_{n,w,v} - g_1(x_{n,w,v}, a_{n,v}^2)| < \beta_2^{-n}.$$

Thus for any $(x, y) \in I_{n,\beta_1}(w) \times I_{n,\beta_2}(v)$, we have by (4.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_{\beta_1}^n x - g_1(x, y)| - \beta_2^{-n} &\leq |T_{\beta_1}^n x - g_1(x, y)| - |T_{\beta_1}^n x_{n,w,v} - g_1(x_{n,w,v}, a_{n,v}^2)| \\
&\leq |T_{\beta_1}^n x - T_{\beta_1}^n x_{n,w,v}| + |g_1(x, y) - g_1(x_{n,w,v}, a_{n,v}^2)| \\
&\leq \beta_1^n |x - x_{n,w,v}| + L(|x - x_{n,w,v}| + |y - a_{n,v}^2|) \\
&\leq (\beta_1^n + L)|x - x_{n,w,v}| + L\beta_2^{-n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta_2 > \beta_1^{\kappa_1}$ (recall that $\kappa_i = \max_{x \in [0,1]} \tau_i(x)$ for $i = 1, 2$), we see that if $|x - x_{n,w,v}| < \frac{1}{4}\beta_1^{-n(1+\tau_1(x))}$, then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ (independent of (x, y)) such that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$|T_{\beta_1}^n x - g_1(x, y)| < 2\beta_1^n \cdot \frac{1}{4}\beta_1^{-n(1+\tau_1(x))} + (L+1)\beta_2^{-n} \leq \beta_1^{-n\tau_1(x)}.$$

Similarly, there is a point $y_{n,w,v} \in I_{n,\beta_2}(v)$ such that

$$|T_{\beta_2}^n y_{n,w,v} - g_2(a_{n,w}^1, y_{n,w,v})| < \beta_1^{-n}.$$

Thus by a similar argument as above, we have

$$|T_{\beta_2}^n y - g_2(x, y)| - \beta_1^{-n} \leq (\beta_2^n + L)|y - y_{n,w,v}| + L\beta_1^{-n}.$$

Hence if $|y - y_{n,w,v}| < \frac{1}{4}\beta_2^{-n(1+\tau_2(y))}$, then for large n ,

$$|T_{\beta_2}^n y - g_2(x, y)| < 2\beta_2^n \cdot \frac{1}{4}\beta_2^{-n(1+\tau_2(y))} + (L+1)\beta_1^{-n} \leq \beta_2^{-n\tau_2(y)},$$

where the second inequality holds for large n since $\beta_1 > \beta_2^{\kappa_2}$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_{n,1}(w, v) &= \left\{ x \in I_{n,\beta_1}(w) : |x - x_{n,w,v}| < \frac{1}{4}\beta_1^{-n(1+\tau_1(x))} \right\}, \\ \tilde{J}_{n,2}(w, v) &= \left\{ y \in I_{n,\beta_2}(v) : |y - y_{n,w,v}| < \frac{1}{4}\beta_2^{-n(1+\tau_2(y))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then from the above (and recall (4.17)), we see that

$$G \supset \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_{\beta_1}^n, v \in \Sigma_{\beta_2}^n \text{ full}} \tilde{J}_{n,1}(w, v) \times \tilde{J}_{n,2}(w, v).$$

This is in complete analogy to (4.9). Then a proof similar to the second part of Subsection 4.1 yields the lower bounds in Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Weiliang Wang for some comments. He also wish to thank the anonymous referees for their suggestions that led to the improvement of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. J. Bishop, Y. Peres, *Fractal Sets in Probability and Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 2017. [9](#)
- [2] M. D. Boshernitzan, Quantitative recurrence results, *Invent. Math.* 113(3) (1993) 617–631. [2](#)
- [3] Y. Bugeaud, B. W. Wang, Distribution of full cylinders and the Diophantine properties of the orbits in β -expansions, *J. Fractal Geom.* 1(2) (2014) 221–241. [5](#)
- [4] M. Coons, M. Hussain, B. W. Wang, A dichotomy law for the diophantine properties in β -dynamical systems, *Mathematika* 62(3) (2016) 884–897. [3](#)
- [5] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, third edition. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 2014. [7](#)
- [6] Y. H. Ge, F. Lü, A note on inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation in beta-dynamical system, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 91(1) (2015) 34–40. [2](#)
- [7] R. Hill, S. L. Velani, The ergodic theory of shrinking targets, *Invent. Math.* 119(1) (1995) 175–198. [2](#)

- [8] M. Hussain, W. L. Wang, Two-dimensional shrinking target problem in beta-dynamical systems, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 97(1) (2018) 33–42. [3](#)
- [9] F. Lü, B. W. Wang, J. Wu, Diophantine analysis of the expansions of a fixed point under continuum many bases, Preprint, [arXiv:2103.00546](#), 2021. [2](#), [7](#)
- [10] W. Parry, On the β -expansions of real numbers, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 11(3-4) (1960) 401–416. [1](#)
- [11] W. Philipp, Some metrical theorems in number theory, *Pacific J. Math.* 20(1) (1967) 109–127. [2](#)
- [12] A. Rényi, Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 8(3-4) (1957) 477–493. [1](#), [5](#)
- [13] L. M. Shen, B. W. Wang, Shrinking target problems for beta-dynamical system, *Sci. China Math.* 56(1) (2013) 91–104. [2](#)
- [14] B. Tan, B. W. Wang, Quantitative recurrence properties for beta-dynamical system, *Adv. Math.* 228(4) (2011) 2071–2097. [2](#)
- [15] B. W. Wang, J. Wu, Mass transference principle from rectangles to rectangles in Diophantine approximation, *Math. Ann.* 381(1-2) (2021) 243–317. [4](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [16] W. L. Wang, Modified shrinking target problem in beta dynamical systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 468(1) (2018) 423–435. [2](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [17] W. L. Wang, L. Li, Simultaneous dynamical Diophantine approximation in beta expansions, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 102(2) (2020) 186–195. [3](#), [4](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTRAL SOUTH UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, 410085, PR CHINA

Email address: yufengwu.wu@gmail.com