

Theory of the Dark State of Polyenes and Carotenoids

William Barford^{a)}

*Department of Chemistry, Physical and Theoretical Chemistry Laboratory,
University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3QZ, United Kingdom*

A theory is developed to describe the singlet dark state (usually labeled S_1 or $2A_g$) of polyenes and carotenoids. The theory assumes that in principle this state is a linear combination of a singlet triplet-pair and an odd-parity charge-transfer exciton. Crucially, these components only couple when the triplet-pair occupies neighboring dimers, such that an electron transfer between the triplets creates a nearest-neighbor charge-transfer excitation. This local coupling stabilises the $2A_g$ state and induces a nearest neighbor attraction between the triplets. In addition, because of the electron-hole attraction in the exciton, the increased probability that the electron-hole pair occupies neighboring dimers enhances the triplet-triplet attraction: the triplet pair is ‘slaved’ to the charge-transfer exciton. The theory also predicts that as the Coulomb interaction is increased, the $2A_g$ state evolves from a predominately odd-parity charge-transfer exciton state with a small component of triplet-pair character to a state predominately composed of a triplet-pair with some exciton character. Above a critical Coulomb interaction there is a decoupling of the triplet-pair and charge-transfer exciton subspaces, such that the $2A_g$ state becomes entirely composed of an unbound spin-correlated triplet pair. The predictions of this theory are qualitatively consistent with high-level density matrix renormalization group calculations of the Pariser-Parr-Pople (or extended Hubbard) model.

^{a)}Electronic mail: william.barford@chem.ox.ac.uk

I. INTRODUCTION

The low-energy singlet dark state of polyenes, usually labeled S_1 or $2A_g$, has continued to fascinate researchers for over 50 years^{1,2}. Its intriguing electronic properties are a consequence of electron-electron interactions and electron-nuclear coupling³.

The triplet-pair or bimagnon character of the 2^1A_g state was first predicted theoretically by Schulten and Karplus in 1972² and further elucidated by Tavan and Schulten in 1987⁴. Using the Hubbard-Peierls model, Hayden and Mele⁵ then demonstrated the combined role of electronic interactions and electron-nuclear coupling in determining the four-soliton structure of this state for 16 C-atom chains. The four-soliton structure was further investigated for polyene chains of up to 100 C-atoms by solving the Pariser-Parr-Pople-Peierls (PPPP) model using the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) method^{6,7}. Since a triplet excitation coupled to the nuclei creates a soliton-antisoliton ($S\bar{S}$) pair, the four-soliton structure (i.e., a pair of soliton-antisoliton pairs) is further strong theoretical evidence of the triplet-pair character of the 2^1A_g state.

Solitons (S) and antisolitons (\bar{S}) are associated with domain walls in the bond alternation of linear polyenes^{8,9}. They are also associated with spinons, the elementary excitations of a one-dimensional spin-1/2 quantum antiferromagnet^{10,11}. A spinon is a charge-neutral, spin-1/2 object, while a triplet excitation is a bound spinon-pair, which is recognized as a $S\bar{S}$ pair in the bond alternation. Thus, the $S\bar{S}$ pair separation is a measure of the internal size of the triplet excitation. Similarly, the $S\bar{S}$ - $S\bar{S}$ separation is a measure of the triplet-triplet pair separation. Barford *et al.*^{6,12} showed that in polyenes the $S\bar{S}$ separation converges to 4 dimers, while the $S\bar{S}$ - $S\bar{S}$ separation converges to 6 dimers, indicating that the triplet-pair is bound. Further numerical evidence that the triplet-pair is bound in the 2^1A_g state was provided by Valentine *et al.*¹², who showed that its energy converges to a value of 0.3 eV lower than the energy of a pair of free-triplets.

In the other limit of weak electronic correlations, as in light-emitting polymers, the $2^1A_g^-$ state is predominately a charge-transfer exciton. The electron-hole wavefunction of this state has odd parity (i.e., the wavefunction is odd under an exchange of the electron and hole), and it lies higher in energy than the optically-allowed $1^1B_u^+$ state (which is usually labeled S_2 in polyenes) whose electron-hole wavefunction has even parity.

The reversal of energies of the $1^1B_u^+$ and $2^1A_g^-$ states in polyenes is partly a consequence

of electronic interactions. As explained later, electronic interactions simultaneously reduce the excitation energy of the lowest covalent triplet state and increase the excitation energy of ionic singlet states, which implies that for large enough interactions a triplet-pair state will have a lower energy than a singlet ionic state. The triplet-pair state is further stabilized relative to the ionic state, as electron-nuclear relaxation is enhanced in covalent states.

The energetic reversal of the bright (S_2) and dark (S_1) states has various photophysical consequences. For example, it explains the non-emissive properties of linear polyenes, it is responsible for the photoprotection properties of carotenoids in light harvesting complexes, and – because of its triplet-pair character – it is thought to be the cause of singlet fission in polyene-type systems^{13–17}. The electronic states of carotenoids are reviewed in ref^{18–20}, while refs^{21–23} report on recent high-level *ab initio* calculations of these states.

As already noted, the 2^1A_g state of polyenes has been extensively studied via DMRG calculations of the PPPP model. As well as the four-soliton structure, triplet overlaps and spin-spin correlation functions also reveal its triplet-pair character¹². Using a suitable exciton creation operator²⁴, Valentine *et al.*¹² also investigated the excitonic component of this state. Figure 8 of ref¹² illustrates the odd-parity charge-transfer exciton wavefunction characteristic of the 2^1A_g state of more weakly correlated polymers.

Thus, we can conclude that the 2^1A_g state is a linear combination of a singlet triplet-pair and an odd-parity charge transfer exciton. This suggests that the mixing of the triplet-pair and charge-transfer exciton subspaces both stabilizes the 2^1A_g state and causes the strong triplet-pair attraction. This stabilization is an additional cause of the $1^1B_u/2^1A_g$ energy reversal in polyenes. The theory presented in this paper will explain this property.

The $2^1A_g^-$ state is the lowest energy member of a family of states with the same elementary excitations, but with different pseudomomentum quantum numbers (i.e., $2^1A_g^-, 1^1B_u^-, 3^1A_g^-, \dots$), which for convenience we label as the ‘ $2A_g$ family’. Irrespective of their even or odd symmetry under a two-fold rotation, these states are all optically dark. The singlet triplet-pair component of the $2A_g$ family is optically dark for two reasons. First, it is composed of a pair of electronic excitations and since the dipole operator is a one-electron operator, the transition dipole moment with the ground state vanishes. Second, each electronic excitation is a triplet and since the dipole operator commutes with total spin, the transition dipole moment with the singlet ground state again vanishes. The exciton component of the $2A_g$ family is also optically dark, because its electron-hole wavefunction has odd parity and thus

its transition dipole moment with the ground state vanishes³.

In this paper we describe an effective low-energy model of the $2A_g$ family of states in conjugated polyenes that provides a simple, physical explanation of triplet-pair binding. There are two key approximations in the model. First, it assumes that polyene chains are comprised of weakly interacting ethylene dimers. This implies that the ground state is composed of a product of singlet dimer states. Second, it assumes a reduced basis for the excited states, composed of singlet triplet-pair excitations and odd-parity electron-hole excitations. The dimer limit implies that the $S\bar{S}$ pair comprising a triplet excitation are bound on a single dimer. As a consequence of these approximations, the theory is only able to provide qualitative predictions for polyene systems, which are nonetheless consistent with DMRG calculations.

Crucially, the theory predicts that when a pair of triplets occupy neighboring dimers an electron transfer between the dimers connects the pair of triplets to the odd-parity charge transfer exciton. This local coupling stabilizes the $2A_g$ state and induces a nearest neighbor attraction between the triplets. In addition, because of the electron-hole attraction in the exciton, the increased probability that the electron-hole pair occupies neighboring dimers enhances the triplet-triplet attraction: the triplet pair is ‘slaved’ to the charge-transfer exciton. The theory also predicts that as the Coulomb interaction increases, the charge-transfer exciton becomes energetically less stable relative to the triplet pair causing a decoupling of the triplet-pair and exciton subspaces. This decoupling has been observed in computational studies of the PPPP model³. Above this Coulomb interaction the triplet-pair binding energy vanishes and the $2A_g$ state is entirely composed of triplet-pairs.

Triplet-pair interactions within a dimerized antiferromagnetic chain have been investigated in refs^{11,25,26}, while stabilization of the $2A_g$ state via configuration interactions was also discussed in ref²⁷.

The next section describes the model, while the results are presented in Section III.

II. MODEL

A. Pariser-Parr-Pople model of π -conjugated polymers

Our starting point for a derivation of a low-energy effective model for the $2A_g$ state is the Pariser-Parr-Pople (PPP) model of π -conjugated polymers. This model is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{\text{PPP}} = & - \sum_{m\sigma} t_m \left(\hat{c}_{m\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{m+1\sigma} + \hat{c}_{m+1\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{m\sigma} \right) \\ & + U \sum_m \left(\hat{N}_{m\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\hat{N}_{m\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_m \sum_{n \geq 1} V_n (\hat{N}_m - 1) (\hat{N}_{m+n} - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{c}_{m\sigma}^\dagger$ ($\hat{c}_{m\sigma}$) creates (destroys) an electron with spin σ in the p_z orbital of carbon atom m . $\hat{N}_{m\sigma}$ is the corresponding number operator and $\hat{N}_m = \sum_\sigma \hat{N}_{m\sigma}$. Assuming periodic bond alternation, the nearest neighbor electron transfer integral is

$$t_m = t_0(1 + (-1)^m \delta), \quad (2)$$

where δ is the bond alternation parameter. For convenience, we define $t_d = t_0(1 + \delta)$ and $t_s = t_0(1 - \delta)$ as the double and single bond transfer integrals, respectively. The Coulomb interaction is represented by the Ohno potential, i.e.,

$$V_n = \frac{U}{(1 + (U\epsilon r_n/14.397))^{1/2}}, \quad (3)$$

where U is in eV, the separation between atoms m and $m+n$, r_n , is in Å and ϵ is the relative permittivity.

Typical parameter values for π -conjugated polymers²⁸ are $U = 8$ eV, $\epsilon = 2$, $t_0 = 2.4$ eV. In addition, for polyenes, $\delta = 1/12$, so that $t_d = 2.6$ eV and $t_s = 2.2$ eV. In this paper, however, U and δ are generally arbitrary parameters.

B. Effective model of the $2A_g$ state

The model assumes that carotenoids and polyenes are linear chains of weakly coupled ethylene dimers, where a dimer is composed of two carbon atoms each with a single p_z orbital. The four electronic states of a dimer with two π -electrons are illustrated in Fig. 1. The singlet ground state, $|\text{GS}\rangle = \alpha|1\rangle + \beta|2\rangle$, is a linear combination of covalent and ionic

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(a)} \\
& |\text{GS}\rangle = \alpha|1\rangle + \beta|2\rangle \\
& \text{(b)} \\
& |\text{T}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \phi_1 & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \psi_- & \uparrow \\ \psi_+ & \uparrow \end{pmatrix} \\
& \text{(c)} \\
& |\text{S}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow\downarrow & \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} & \uparrow\downarrow \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\
& \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} - & \uparrow \\ + & \downarrow \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} & \downarrow \\ & \uparrow \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\
& \text{(d)} \\
& |\text{EX}\rangle = \beta|1\rangle - \alpha|2\rangle \\
& \text{(e)} \\
& |1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & \downarrow \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \downarrow & \uparrow \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\
& |2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow\downarrow & \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} & \uparrow\downarrow \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

FIG. 1. The four electronic eigenstates of the two-electron ethylene dimer, (a) $|\text{GS}\rangle$, (b) $|\text{T}\rangle$, (c) $|\text{S}\rangle$ and (d) $|\text{EX}\rangle$. (e) The basis states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$. The eigenstate energies, and α and β are given in Appendix A. Also shown in (b) is the equivalence between the dimer atomic-orbital representation (i.e., ϕ_1 and ϕ_2) and molecular-orbital representation (i.e., $\psi_{\pm} = (\phi_1 \pm \phi_2)/\sqrt{2}$).

states (i.e., $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$). As shown by Eq. (A6), for noninteracting electrons, $\alpha = \beta = 1/\sqrt{2}$, but as $U/t_d \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. For realistic polyene parameters $\alpha \sim \sqrt{2/3}$.

In general, the lowest energy excitation is the covalent triplet state, $|\text{T}\rangle$, while the lowest singlet excitation is the ionic state, $|\text{S}\rangle$. The excitation energies of these two states as a function of U/t_d are illustrated in Fig. 2. In the noninteracting limit (i.e., $U = 0$) these excitation energies are degenerate at $2t_d$. However, as U increases, the ground state becomes more covalent and the triplet excitation energy decreases as $4t_d^2/(U - V_1)$. In contrast, the singlet excitation energy increases as $(U - V_1)$. Appendix A discusses the dimer solutions




FIG. 2. The ethylene dimer triplet, ΔE_T , and singlet, ΔE_S , excitation energies as a function of U/t_d . Also shown for neighboring dimers is the energy of the charge-transfer exciton relative to a pair of triplets, $\Delta E = ((E_{CT} - \tilde{V}_1) - E_{TT})$, where $-\tilde{V}_1$ is the nearest neighbor electron-hole interaction.

in more detail.

1. Singlet triplet-pair basis

We now make the assumption that the ground state of polyenes can be approximated as a product of dimer ground states, i.e., $|\text{GS}\rangle = \prod_i |\text{GS}\rangle_i$, where the product is over all dimers and $|\text{GS}\rangle_i$ is the ground state for dimer i , defined in Eq. (A5).

A triplet excitation from the polyene ground state then corresponds to a triplet excitation on a single dimer (i.e., $|T\rangle$). We denote this state as $|S = 1, M_S; i\rangle$, where M_S is the spin-projection (i.e., 1, 0, or -1) and i labels the dimer. A triplet on dimer i can hop to a neighboring dimer in its singlet ground state by a superexchange mechanism, i.e., via a virtual charge-transfer state higher in energy by $(U - V_1)$. By second order perturbation

$$\begin{aligned}
|i, j; \text{TT}\rangle = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 2 \end{array} \right] \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 2 \end{array} \right] \right\}^* \right. \\
& - \left. \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \quad \downarrow \\ 2 \end{array} \right] + \left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 1 \quad \uparrow \\ 2 \end{array} \right] \right\} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \quad \downarrow \\ 2 \end{array} \right] + \left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 1 \quad \uparrow \\ 2 \end{array} \right] \right\} + \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 1 \quad \downarrow \\ 2 \end{array} \right] \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \quad \uparrow \\ 2 \end{array} \right] \right\} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

FIG. 3. Atomic orbital representation of the singlet triplet-pair basis state,

$|i, j; \text{TT}\rangle = (|1, 1; i\rangle|1, -1; j\rangle - |1, 0; i\rangle|1, 0; j\rangle + |1, -1; i\rangle|1, 1; j\rangle) / \sqrt{3}$, with triplet excitations on dimers i and j . The dashed lines --- represent $(j - i - 1)$ dimers in their singlet ground state. When $j = i + 1$ the state labeled $*$ is connected to the state labeled \times in Fig. 4 via an electron transfer across the single bond.

theory, the superexchange transfer integral is

$$t_{\text{TT}} = -\alpha^2 \frac{t_s^2}{(U - V_1)}, \quad (4)$$

where α^2 (defined in Eq. (A6)) is the probability that the neighboring singlet dimer is in the covalent state labeled $|1\rangle$ in Fig. 1.

A triplet-pair excitation corresponds to excitations on separate dimers, i and j . A pair of spin-correlated triplets can form an overall singlet, triplet or quintet state. Here, we concerned with the singlet triplet-pair state, expressed as

$$|i, j; \text{TT}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|1, 1; i\rangle|1, -1; j\rangle - |1, 0; i\rangle|1, 0; j\rangle + |1, -1; i\rangle|1, 1; j\rangle) \quad (5)$$

and illustrated schematically in Fig. 3.

Thus, the Hamiltonian that describes the singlet triplet-pair subspace is

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_{\text{TT}} = & E_{\text{TT}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N |i, j; \text{TT}\rangle \langle i, j; \text{TT}| \\
& + t_{\text{TT}} \left[\sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N (|i-1, j; \text{TT}\rangle \langle i, j; \text{TT}| + \text{H.C.}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N-1} (|i, j+1; \text{TT}\rangle \langle i, j; \text{TT}| + \text{H.C.}) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The first term on the right-hand-side describes the energy to excite a pair of triplets on different dimers i and j , where

$$E_{\text{TT}} = 2V_1 - 2E_{\text{GS}}^{\text{dimer}} \tag{7}$$

and $E_{\text{GS}}^{\text{dimer}}$ is defined in Eq. (A2). The second pair of terms describes the hopping of each triplet onto neighboring singlet dimers, while avoiding a hop onto the same dimer.

2. Charge-transfer basis

The second kind of excitation from the ground state are electron-hole excitations. The dimer state $|S\rangle$ shown in Fig. 1 is the basis state for the tightly bound Frenkel exciton of conjugated polymers³. Because of its even electron-hole parity, however, this state cannot couple with a singlet triplet-pair state. For an electron-hole excitation to couple to a triplet-pair state it must have odd electron-hole parity, which implies a charge-transfer state as illustrated in Fig. 4. The electron in the antibonding ψ_- dimer orbital and a hole in the bonding ψ_+ dimer orbital hop between neighboring dimers via the transfer integral

$$t_{\text{CT}} = t_s/2, \tag{8}$$

where the factor of 1/2 arises from the overlap of neighboring dimer orbitals.

The Hamiltonian that describes the odd-parity electron-hole subspace is

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_{\text{CT}} = & \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N (E_{\text{CT}} - \tilde{V}_{(j-i)}) |i, j; \text{CT}\rangle \langle i, j; \text{CT}| \\
& + t_{\text{CT}} \left[\sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N (|i-1, j; \text{CT}\rangle \langle i, j; \text{CT}| + \text{H.C.}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N-1} (|i, j+1; \text{CT}\rangle \langle i, j; \text{CT}| + \text{H.C.}) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(a)} \quad |i, j; \text{CT}\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ - \\ \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \text{---} \left(\begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \right\} - \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ - \\ \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \text{---} \left(\begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ & + \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right) \text{---} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ - \\ \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \right\} - \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right) \text{---} \left(\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ - \\ \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \right] \\
& \quad \times
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(b)} \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ + \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right) &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} - \\ 2 \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} - \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \right\} \\
\left(\begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ + \\ \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 2 \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \downarrow \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

FIG. 4. (a) Molecular orbital representation of the odd-parity singlet charge-transfer basis state, $|i, j; \text{CT}\rangle$, with electron-hole excitations on dimers i and j . (b) The equivalence between the dimer molecular-orbital and atomic-orbital representations. When $j = i + 1$ the state labeled \times is connected to the state labeled $*$ in Fig. 3 via an electron transfer across the single bond.

The first term on the right-hand-side describes the energy to excite an electron-hole pair on different dimers i and j , where

$$E_{\text{CT}} = (U + 2V_1 - 2t_d) - 2E_{\text{GS}}^{\text{dimer}}. \quad (10)$$

In addition, there is a Coulomb attraction between the electron-hole pair $\ell = (j - i)$ dimers apart, given by

$$\tilde{V}_\ell = (V_{2\ell-1} + 2V_{2\ell} + V_{2\ell+1})/4. \quad (11)$$

The final two terms describe the (symmetrized) motion of the electron or hole between neighboring dimers.

As described in ref²⁹, in the continuum limit for a $1/r$ Coulomb interaction there is a Rydberg series of odd-parity bound electron-hole pairs. The $2A_g$ charge-transfer exciton state is the lowest energy member of this series.³⁰

The relative energies of the triplet-pair and electron-hole pair is illustrated in Fig. 2. In the noninteracting limit a single triplet is degenerate with an electron-hole excitation, so $\Delta E = (E_{\text{CT}} - E_{\text{TT}})$ is negative. However, as we have seen, as U increases the triplet energy decreases while the electron-hole energy increases, causing a reversal of energies at $U \sim 4t_d$. It is this property of covalent and ionic states which partially stabilizes the $2^1A_g^-$ state relative to the optically active $1^1B_u^+$ Frenkel exciton state in polyenes.

3. Triplet-pair and charge-transfer pair coupling

We now consider the coupling between the singlet triplet-pair and the odd-parity charge-transfer subspaces. For the special case that a pair of triplet excitations occupy neighboring dimers, i.e., $|i, i+1; \text{TT}\rangle$, an electron transfer across the single bond between them creates a nearest neighbor electron-hole pair, i.e., $|i, i+1; \text{CT}\rangle$. This may be understood by examining the basis states labeled $*$ in Fig. 3 and \times Fig. 4. By inspection of $*$ in Fig. 3, we observe that a transfer of the down electron on site 1 of dimer $j = i+1$ to site 2 of dimer i creates a component of the basis state \times in Fig. 4.

The Hamiltonian describing this process is

$$\hat{H}_{\text{CT-TT}} = -V_{\text{CT-TT}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (|i, i+1; \text{CT}\rangle\langle i, i+1; \text{TT}| + |i, i+1; \text{TT}\rangle\langle i, i+1; \text{CT}|), \quad (12)$$

where

$$V_{\text{CT-TT}} = \sqrt{3}t_s/2. \quad (13)$$

Since this term only connects a nearest-neighbor triplet pair with a nearest-neighbor electron-hole pair, it results in an attraction between the triplet pair and an additional attraction above the Coulomb interaction for the electron-hole pair.

4. The $2A_g$ state

We express an eigenstate of the full effective Hamiltonian, $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\text{TT}} + \hat{H}_{\text{CT}} + \hat{H}_{\text{CT-TT}}$, as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j>i} \Psi_{ij}^{\text{TT}} |i, j; \text{TT}\rangle + \Psi_{ij}^{\text{CT}} |i, j; \text{CT}\rangle. \quad (14)$$

Since this is a linear combination of basis states from the triplet-pair and electron-hole pair subspaces, and not formed via a direct product of the subspaces, the interaction $V_{\text{CT-TT}}$ does

not correlate (or entangle) the triplet-pair and charge-transfer exciton. Instead, it stabilizes the linear combination and causes a triplet-triplet attraction.




FIG. 5. A schematic diagram of the relative energy levels of the TT subspace, the CT exciton subspace, and the virtual triplet-electron-hole subspace by which a triplet hops to a neighboring dimer in its singlet ground state (indicated by green arrows). The coupling of the TT and CT exciton subspaces is indicated by the red-dashed arrow, which only occurs when the triplet pair occupies neighboring dimers. BE is the CT exciton binding energy.

Before discussing the predictions of this model, we conclude this section by illustrating the separation of energy scales via Fig. 5. This shows (for relevant polyene parameters) the triplet-pair subspace lying lower in energy than the charge-transfer exciton subspace. Lying higher still in energy is the virtual triplet-electron-hole subspace, through which the triplets delocalize via a second-order process.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We now describe the solutions of the effective model of the $2A_g$ state. We begin by exploring the parameter regime with arbitrary parameters for a translationally invariant system of 1000 monomers. We define two energy gaps. First, the stabilization energy gained by the $2A_g$ state caused by the coupling of the triplet and electron-hole pair subspaces, i.e.,

$$\Delta_{\text{CT-TT}} = E(V_{\text{CT-TT}} = 0) - E(V_{\text{CT-TT}}). \quad (15)$$

Second, the triplet-triplet pair binding energy, defined as the energy gap between the band of free triplet-pairs and the $2A_g$ state, i.e.,

$$\Delta_{\text{TT}} = (E_{\text{TT}} - 4|t_{\text{TT}}|) - E(V_{\text{CT-TT}}). \quad (16)$$

The state will be characterized by its triplet-pair weight, i.e.,

$$P_{\text{TT}} = \sum_{i,j>i} |\Psi_{ij}^{\text{TT}}|^2, \quad (17)$$

and the mean triplet-pair separation (in monomer units), i.e.,

$$L_{\text{TT}} = \frac{\sum_{i,j>i} (j-i) |\Psi_{ij}^{\text{TT}}|^2}{P_{\text{TT}}}. \quad (18)$$

A. Toy Model Parameters

We begin our investigation of the predictions of the model by considering the simplest limit of non-interacting electron-hole pairs, i.e., we set the Coulomb interaction $\tilde{V}_{(j-i)} = 0$ in Eq. (9). In this limit there is a symmetry between the triplet-pair and the electron-hole pair under the exchange $E_{\text{CT}} \leftrightarrow E_{\text{TT}}$ and $|t_{\text{CT}}| \leftrightarrow |t_{\text{TT}}|$. In the absence of the coupling, $V_{\text{CT-TT}}$, between the two subspaces the triplet-pair forms a band of free triplet excitations (subject to a hard-core repulsion) centered at E_{TT} of width $8|t_{\text{TT}}|$. Likewise, there is a band of unbound electron-hole excitations centered at E_{CT} of width $8|t_{\text{CT}}|$.

Turning on the coupling, $V_{\text{CT-TT}}$, causes the nearest neighbor triplet-pair to mix with the nearest neighbor electron-hole pair. At resonance, i.e., when $E_{\text{CT}} = E_{\text{TT}}$ and $|t_{\text{CT}}| = |t_{\text{TT}}|$, the mixing causes a nearest neighbor attraction of $V_{\text{CT-TT}}$ for both the triplet and electron-hole pairs. This model then maps onto the well-known one-dimensional lattice model of

spinless fermions interacting with a nearest neighbor attraction, $V_{\text{CT-TT}}$, for which above a critical value of $V_{\text{CT-TT}}$ there is a single band of bound states. As shown in refs³¹⁻³³, the binding energy for the zero-momentum state is

$$E_{BE} = V_{\text{CT-TT}} + \frac{4t_{\text{TT}}^2}{V_{\text{CT-TT}}} - 4|t_{\text{TT}}|, \quad (19)$$

implying a critical value of $V_{\text{CT-TT}}$ of $2|t_{\text{TT}}|$.

Figure 6 illustrates the solutions when $|t_{\text{CT}}| = |t_{\text{TT}}| = 1$ eV and $V_{\text{CT-TT}} = 3$ eV. At resonance (i.e., $\Delta E = (E_{\text{CT}} - E_{\text{TT}}) = 0$), the $2A_g$ state, $|\Psi\rangle$, consists of an equal mixture of bound triplet pairs and bound electron-holes pairs. The mean triplet-triplet and electron-hole separation in both pairs is ca. 2 dimers. The energy gap between this state and the bands of free triplets and electron-holes (i.e., $\Delta_{\text{CT-TT}}$) is $|t_{\text{TT}}|/3$, in agreement with Eq. (19). At resonance this energy gap corresponds to the binding energies of both the triplet-pairs and electron-hole pairs, i.e., $\Delta_{\text{CT-TT}} = \Delta_{\text{TT}} = \Delta_{\text{CT}}$.

As the energy of the band of electron-hole excitations increases, i.e., as E_{CT} increases, the system goes off resonance and the $2A_g$ state is predominately composed of bound triplet-pairs; the triplet-pair binding energy decreases and the mean triplet-pair separation increases. At a critical value of ΔE , namely $\Delta E_{\text{critical}}^{\text{U}} = 1.35$ eV, there is a decoupling of the triplet-pair and electron-hole pair subspaces, and the triplet-pair and the electron-hole pair both become unbound. For $\Delta E \geq \Delta E_{\text{critical}}^{\text{U}}$, $P_{\text{TT}} = 1$.

Similarly, as E_{CT} decreases from resonance the $2A_g$ state is predominately composed of bound electron-hole pairs. Now the triplet-pair binding energy, Δ_{TT} , increases and the mean triplet-pair separation decreases. Again, there is critical value of ΔE , namely $\Delta E_{\text{critical}}^{\text{L}} = -1.35$ eV, below which there is a decoupling of the triplet-pair and electron-hole pair subspaces, and both the triplet-pair and electron-hole pair become unbound. For $\Delta E \leq \Delta E_{\text{critical}}^{\text{L}}$, $P_{\text{TT}} = 0$.

We now turn to the physical limit of interacting electron-hole pairs, i.e., we set the Coulomb interaction $\tilde{V}_{(j-i)} > 0$ in Eq. (9). We set $U = 6$ eV, giving a charge-transfer exciton binding energy of 0.74 eV. As illustrated in Fig. 7, the electron-hole pair attraction causes a number of significant changes to the $\tilde{V}_{(j-i)} = 0$ picture. As for Fig. 6, the results shown are for $|t_{\text{CT}}| = |t_{\text{TT}}| = 1$ eV and $V_{\text{CT-TT}} = 3$ eV. At resonance the triplet-pair and charge-transfer exciton are mutually stabilized by 1.01 eV. As 1.01 eV is the excitation energy to the free triplet-pair band, this is also the triplet-pair binding energy. This value is




FIG. 6. Non-Coulombically interacting electron-hole pairs (i.e., $U = 0$). Δ_{CT-TT} , Eq. (15), is the stabilization energy of the $2A_g$ state caused by the coupling of the triplet-pair and electron-hole pair; Δ_{TT} , Eq. (16), is the triplet-pair binding energy; L_{TT} , Eq. (17), is the mean triplet-pair separation (scaled by 0.1 and in units of dimers); and P_{TT} , Eq. (18), is the triplet-pair weight. $\Delta_{TT} = \Delta_{CT-TT}$ when $\Delta E \geq 0$. $t_{TT} = -t_{CT} = -1.0$ eV, $V_{CT-TT} = 3.0$ eV. $\Delta E_{\text{critical}} = \pm 1.35$ eV, above and below which the triplet-pair and electron-hole pair subspaces are decoupled.

considerably enhanced over the $\tilde{V}_{(j-i)} = 0$ limit of 0.333 eV, as the triplets are ‘slaved’ to the Coulombically bound electron-hole pair. We also note that the triplet contribution is only 33%, compared to 50% at resonance in the absence of Coulombically bound electron-hole pairs. Again, as E_{CT} is increased the triplet-pair binding energy decreases, while the triplet-pair separation and fraction increases. Above a critical value of E_{CT} , given by $\Delta E \gtrsim 3.0$ eV, there is a decoupling of the triplet-pair and electron-hole subspaces and the triplet-pair unbinds. In contrast, as E_{CT} is decreased there is no such decoupling of the triplet-pair and electron-hole subspaces. In this case the triplet-pair becomes more tightly bound, albeit its contribution to the $2A_g$ state becomes less than 10% for $\Delta E < -3.0$ eV.




FIG. 7. Coulombically interacting electron-hole pairs ($U = 6$ eV). $t_{TT} = -t_{CT} = -1.0$ eV, $V_{CT-TT} = 3.0$ eV. $\Delta E_{\text{critical}} = +3.0$ eV above which the triplet-pair and electron-hole pair subspaces are decoupled. The charge-transfer exciton binding energy, $BE_{CT} = 0.74$ eV.

B. PPP Model Parameters

The previous section described the predictions for arbitrary parameters. We now consider the prediction for model parameters derived from the underlying PPP model, Eq. (1). In this case, as shown by Eq. (4), Eq. (7), Eq. (8), Eq. (10), Eq. (11) and Eq. (13), the model parameters are determined by the Coulomb interaction, U , and the bond dimerization, δ .

Figure 8 illustrates the results by varying the Coulomb interaction, U , and for a realistic fixed bond alternation for polyenes, i.e., $\delta = 1/12$. Since $(E_{CT} - E_{TT}) = (U - 2t_d)$, Fig. 8 qualitatively resembles Fig. 7, namely as U is increased the triplet-pair band becomes more stable relative to the charge-transfer exciton. Resonance (i.e., $\Delta_{TT} = \Delta_{CT-TT}$) occurs at $U = 5.9$ eV, where $\Delta_{TT} = 0.36$ eV and $P_{TT} = 0.22$. For $U \geq 6.6$ eV the triplet-pair and charge-transfer subspaces decouple, implying that the triplet-pair binding energy vanishes and the $2A_g$ state is entirely composed of triplet-pairs. This prediction of decoupling above a critical U is consistent with DMRG calculations of the PPPP model, as shown in Fig.




FIG. 8. Results as a function of the Coulomb repulsion, U , using effective-model parameters derived from the PPP model (see Section II B). The bond alternation, $\delta = 1/12$. For $U > 5.9$ eV the triplet-pair state is more stable than the charge-transfer exciton. The triplet-pair and charge-transfer subspaces decouple for $U \geq 6.6$ eV.

7.8 of³. As before, as U is reduced the converse does not happen and the subspaces only decouple at $U = 0$.

This model predicts that for a realistic value of $U = 8$ eV and $\delta = 1/12$, the triplet-pair and charge-transfer subspaces are decoupled. We explain this unphysical prediction as follows. First, the model is expected to become more exact in the dimer limit, i.e., as $\delta \rightarrow 1$. Indeed, when $\delta = 0.16$, resonance occurs at $U = 6.4$ eV (where $\Delta_{\text{TT}} = 0.31$ eV and $P_{\text{TT}} = 0.24$) and decoupling of the subspaces occurs at $U = 9.9$ eV. Second, the reduced basis model underscreens Coulomb interactions, so a smaller value of U is required to reproduce experimental ionic excitation energies.

We explore the role of δ further via Fig. 9. Increasing δ decreases E_{CT} and hence stabilizes the charge-transfer exciton. It also reduces t_{CT} , $|t_{\text{TT}}|$ and $V_{\text{TT-CT}}$. Thus, the triplet-pair state is more stable than the charge-transfer exciton for $\delta \leq 0.1$. In this case, there is no




FIG. 9. Results as a function of the bond alternation, δ , using effective-model parameters derived from the PPP model (see Section II B). The Coulomb repulsion, $U = 6$ eV. For $\delta \leq 0.1$ the triplet-pair state is more stable than the charge-transfer exciton.

decoupling of the subspaces.

The results described so far are for the zero-momentum state of a translationally invariant system (with periodic boundary conditions) and 1000 dimers. We conclude this section by describing our results for carotenoid oligomers (with open boundary conditions) of between 8 and 13 dimers (i.e., 16 and 26 C-atoms). We set $U = 6$ eV and $\delta = 1/12$. In this case, compared to the results shown in Fig. 8, there are some finite-size effects, so the triplet-pair binding energy is increased to ~ 0.5 eV. Its weight in the $2A_g$ state is $\sim 25\%$. The binding energy decreases for higher pseudomomentum members of the ‘ $2A_g$ ’ family, but the triplet-pair weight remains the same.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

This paper has introduced a theory to describe the singlet dark state (i.e., S_1 or $2A_g$) of polyenes and carotenoids. The theory assumes that in principle this state is a linear combination of a singlet triplet-pair and an odd-parity charge-transfer exciton. Crucially, these components only couple when the triplet-pair occupies neighboring dimers, such that an electron transfer between the triplets creates a nearest-neighbor charge-transfer excitation. This local coupling stabilises the $2A_g$ state and induces a nearest neighbor attraction between the triplets. In addition, because of the electron-hole attraction in the exciton, the increased probability that the electron-hole pair occupies neighboring dimers enhances the triplet-triplet attraction: the triplet pair is ‘slaved’ to the charge-transfer exciton. The reduction of the $2A_g$ energy is an additional cause for the $1^1B_u/2^1A_g$ energy reversal in polyenes.

The theory also predicts that as the Coulomb interaction is increased, the $2A_g$ state evolves from a predominately odd-parity charge-transfer exciton state with a small component of triplet-pair character to a state predominately composed of triplet-pairs with some exciton character. Above a critical Coulomb interaction there is a decoupling of the triplet-pair and charge-transfer exciton subspaces, such that the $2A_g$ state becomes entirely composed of an unbound spin-correlated triplet pair.

Although the predictions of this theory are qualitatively consistent with high-level DMRG calculations of the PPP model^{3,12}, it is not possible to make quantitative predictions for polyenes and carotenoids, because of the approximations of the model. The first approximation is that the dimer limit is assumed for the ground state and its excitations, implying that the spinons of a triplet are confined to a dimer. Second, the reduced basis of just the triplet-pair and odd-parity electron-hole excitation implies that the Coulomb interactions are underscreened. This means that somewhat smaller values of Coulomb interactions, U , or larger values of bond alternation, δ , than are realistic for polyenes are required to obtain semiquantitative agreement with DMRG calculations.

Appendix A: Dimer Basis States

This Appendix summarizes the exact results of the PPP model for the eigenstates of the ethylene dimer illustrated in Fig. 1.

The triplet excitation energy is

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta E_T &= V_1 - E_{\text{GS}}^{\text{dimer}} \\ &\rightarrow 2t_d \text{ as } U/t_d \rightarrow 0 \\ &\rightarrow J_d \text{ as } U/t_d \rightarrow \infty,\end{aligned}\tag{A1}$$

where $J_d = 4t_d^2/(U - V_1)$, the ground state energy is

$$E_{\text{GS}}^{\text{dimer}} = (U + V_1)/2 - \epsilon\tag{A2}$$

and

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left((U - V_1)^2 + 16t_d^2 \right)^{1/2}.\tag{A3}$$

The lowest singlet excitation energy is

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta E_S &= U - E_{\text{GS}}^{\text{dimer}} \\ &\rightarrow 2t_d \text{ as } U/t_d \rightarrow 0 \\ &\rightarrow (U - V_1) + J_d \text{ as } U/t_d \rightarrow \infty.\end{aligned}\tag{A4}$$

The ground state is

$$|\text{GS}\rangle = \alpha|1\rangle + \beta|2\rangle,\tag{A5}$$

where the basis kets $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ are illustrated in Fig. 1, and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\epsilon + (U - V_1)}{\epsilon} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ as } U/t_d \rightarrow 0 \\ &\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } U/t_d \rightarrow \infty.\end{aligned}\tag{A6}$$

Also, $\beta = (1 - \alpha^2)^{1/2}$.

REFERENCES

- ¹B. S. Hudson and B. E. Kohler, “Low-lying weak transition in polyene alpha, omega-diphenyloctatetraene,” *Chemical Physics Letters* **14**, 299 (1972).
- ²K. Schulten and M. Karplus, “Origin of a low-lying forbidden transition in polyenes and related molecules,” *Chemical Physics Letters* **14**, 305 (1972).
- ³W. Barford, *Electronic and optical properties of conjugated polymers*, 2nd ed. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2013).
- ⁴P. Tavan and K. Schulten, “Electronic excitations in finite and infinite polyenes,” *Physical Review B* **36**, 4337 (1987).
- ⁵G. W. Hayden and E. J. Mele, “Correlation-effects and excited-states in conjugated polymers,” *Physical Review B* **34**, 5484 (1986).
- ⁶R. J. Bursill and W. Barford, “Electron-lattice relaxation, and soliton structures and their interactions in polyenes,” *Physical Review Letters* **82**, 1514 (1999).
- ⁷W. Barford, R. J. Bursill, and M. Y. Lavrentiev, “Density-matrix renormalization-group calculations of excited states of linear polyenes,” *Physical Review B* **63**, 195108 (2001).
- ⁸Y. Ooshika, “A semi-empirical theory of the conjugated systems 2. bond alternation in conjugated chains,” *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **12**, 1246 (1957).
- ⁹H. C. Longuet-Higgins and L. Salem, “The alternation of bond lengths in long conjugated chain molecules,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series a-Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **251**, 172 (1959).
- ¹⁰J. A. Pople and S. H. Walmsley, “Bond alternation defects in long polyene molecules,” *Molecular Physics* **5**, 15 (1962).
- ¹¹G. S. Uhrig and H. J. Schulz, “Magnetic excitation spectrum of dimerized antiferromagnetic chains,” *Physical Review B* **54**, R9624 (1996).
- ¹²D. J. Valentine, D. Manawadu, and W. Barford, “Higher-energy triplet-pair states in polyenes and their role in intramolecular singlet fission,” *Physical Review B* **102**, 125107 (2020).
- ¹³B. Kraabel, D. Hulin, C. Aslangul, C. Lapersonne-Meyer, and M. Schott, “Triplet exciton generation, transport and relaxation in isolated polydiacetylene chains: subpicosecond pump-probe experiments,” *Chemical Physics* **227**, 83 (1998).
- ¹⁴G. Lanzani, S. Stagira, G. Cerullo, S. De Silvestri, D. Comoretto, I. Moggio, C. Cu-

- niberti, G. F. Musso, and G. Dellepiane, "Triplet exciton generation and decay in a red polydiacetylene studied by femtosecond spectroscopy," *Chemical Physics Letters* **313**, 525 (1999).
- ¹⁵A. J. Musser, M. Al-Hashimi, M. Maiuri, D. Brida, M. Heeney, G. Cerullo, R. H. Friend, and J. Clark, "Activated singlet exciton fission in a semiconducting polymer," *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **135**, 12747 (2013).
- ¹⁶Y. Kasai, Y. Tamai, H. Ohkita, H. Bente, and S. Ito, "Ultrafast singlet fission in a push-pull low-bandgap polymer film," *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **137**, 15980 (2015).
- ¹⁷D. Manawadu, D. J. Valentine, M. Marcus, and W. Barford, "Singlet triplet-pair production and possible singlet-fission in carotenoids," *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **13**, 1344 (2022).
- ¹⁸T. Polivka and V. Sundström, "Ultrafast dynamics of carotenoid excited states - from solution to natural and artificial systems," *Chemical Reviews* **104**, 2021 (2004).
- ¹⁹H. Hashimoto, C. Uragami, N. Yukihiro, A. T. Gardiner, and R. J. Cogdell, "Understanding/unravelling carotenoid excited singlet states," *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* **15**, 20180026 (2018).
- ²⁰A. J. Musser and J. Clark, "Triplet-pair states in organic semiconductors," *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry* **70**, 323 (2019).
- ²¹W. F. Hu and G. K. L. Chan, "Excited-state geometry optimization with the density matrix renormalization group, as applied to polyenes," *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **11**, 3000 (2015).
- ²²E. J. Taffet, B. G. Lee, Z. S. D. Toa, N. Pace, G. Rumbles, J. Southall, R. J. Cogdell, and G. D. Scholes, "Carotenoid nuclear reorganization and interplay of bright and dark excited states," *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **123**, 8628 (2019).
- ²³D. Khokhlov and A. Belov, "Ab initio study of low-lying excited states of carotenoid-derived polyenes," *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **124**, 5790 (2020).
- ²⁴W. Barford and N. Paiboonvorachart, "Excitons in conjugated polymers: Wavefunctions, symmetries, and quantum numbers," *Journal of Chemical Physics* **129**, 164716 (2008).
- ²⁵A. B. Harris, "Alternating linear heisenberg antiferromagnet - exciton limit," *Physical Review B* **7**, 3166 (1973).
- ²⁶W. H. Zheng, C. J. Hamer, R. R. P. Singh, S. Trebst, and H. Monien, "Deconfinement

- transition and bound states in frustrated heisenberg chains: Regimes of forced and spontaneous dimerization,” *Physical Review B* **63**, 144411 (2001).
- ²⁷E. J. Taffet, D. Beljonne, and G. D. Scholes, “Overlap-driven splitting of triplet pairs in singlet fission,” *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **142**, 20040 (2020).
- ²⁸M. Chandross and S. Mazumdar, “Coulomb interactions and linear, nonlinear, and triplet absorption in poly(para-phenylenevinylene),” *Physical Review B* **55**, 1497 (1997).
- ²⁹W. Barford, R. J. Bursill, and R. W. Smith, “Theoretical and computational studies of excitons in conjugated polymers,” *Physical Review B* **66**, 115205 (2002).
- ³⁰The even-parity excitons alternate in energy with the odd-parity excitons, while the lowest even-parity (Frenkel) exciton is split off from the Rydberg series^{3,29}.
- ³¹D. C. Mattis, *The theory of magnetism*, 1st ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988) p. 147.
- ³²F. B. Gallagher and S. Mazumdar, “Excitons and optical absorption in one-dimensional extended hubbard models with short- and long-range interactions,” *Physical Review B* **56**, 15025 (1997).
- ³³F. Gebhard, K. Bott, M. Scheidler, P. Thomas, and S. W. Koch, “Exact results for the optical absorption of strongly correlated electrons in a half-filled peierls-distorted chain,” *Philosophical Magazine B-Physics of Condensed Matter Statistical Mechanics Electronic Optical and Magnetic Properties* **75**, 13 (1997).