

Emergent gravity in the early universe

Sean Knight

April 4, 2022

Abstract

We present an Einstein–Cartan–Kalb–Ramond model that yields a generalisation of unimodular gravity in which the dynamics is driven by torsion rather than curvature. Using a simple ansatz, we discuss different cosmological solutions to this theory and show how they naturally incorporate the three phases of inflation, radiation domination and late-time acceleration with or without dark energy depending on values of its free parameter.

1 Unimodular Gravity as an Alternative to Einstein Gravity

One approach to incorporating the ideas of quantum mechanics into classical gravitation was initiated by replacing ordinary derivatives with Dirac ones so as to preserve general coordinate invariance throughout their spacetime history. This method for coupling matter fields to gravitation has been applied successfully in both flat and curved spacetimes leading, amongst other things, to higher derivative theories that include some nonlocal terms whose origin is traced back essentially to functional integrals over all possible metrics. For higher derivative theories there remains much debate about stability, positive energies, unitarity and causality. [2] They play a fundamental role in quantum field theories although they are accompanied with a number of undesirable properties such as the occurrence of ghosts and instabilities. [4] There have been works on the details and advantages of Hamiltonian formulation, which differs from the usual Ostrogradsky approach to such theories. [3] For a wide class of higher derivative theories, including the extended Chern–Simons, other bounded conserved quantities which provide the stability can exist. [1] The price paid for obtaining these results was often an enormous proliferation of dynamical degrees of freedom leading subsequently many researchers either neglecting torsion entirely or restricting themselves only to certain special torsional manifolds like Riemann spaces equipped with constant tor-

sion tensor (Minkowski) backgrounds or Riemann spaces endowed with Killing vector gaugings (Einstein spaces). However there are problems like unification etc. where one should allow more general geometries admitting nontrivial curvature as well as torsion tensors such as locally de Sitter(LdS)-spacetimes that admit $SO(4,1)$ local symmetry or other anisotropic Bianchi cosmologies such as Kasner universes having $ISO(3)$ local symmetry but being Ricci flat i.e., containing no usual gravitational waves at all just a timelike unit eigenvector field spanning the isotropy group giving rise essentially in each instance towards an analogue Friedmann equation for LdS universes describing contraction along spacelike orbits generated by spacelike eigenvectors orthogonal on contraction space time hypersurfaces while expanding along null directions emanating from vectors proportional upon contraction of these linearly independent fourvectors together forming one Lorentzian timelike vector field ∂_t . The resultant metric turns out be

$$g_\mu{}^\rho = A\delta_\mu{}^{\rho 4} - BK_\mu{}^{\rho 4}, \quad (1)$$

where $A = -\frac{\Omega}{3}$, while K_μ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha K^\alpha{}_\beta &= 0 \\ \nabla_{[\gamma} K^{\nu}{}_{\beta]} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

implying

$$\nabla^* R_{[\alpha\beta]} = 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^* R_{\gamma\delta\lambda\sigma}^{5D} &= 2\Omega g_{(\gamma}^{5D} \nabla_{\delta)} \varepsilon_{(\lambda)(\sigma)\tau} K^{\tau}{}_\psi \\ C^* &= -6k, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

since

$$K^{\alpha}{}_\beta = \partial_\beta k$$

i.e. $\mathcal{R}_{(\ell)}^{(4+1)} \equiv -\frac{\Omega}{c^4} K \wedge F = -F$ or eq(1) in the following shows us how Ω can be determined from eq(3). Later it will turn out that here $\omega = \pm n$, $\Rightarrow R_{abcd}{}^n = \eta_{abcd}$ indicating positive (+) parity under spatial inversion ($r^i \rightarrow -r^i$) and negative(-) parity under charge conjugation transformations ($\psi \rightarrow i\bar{\psi}$). Finally using (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{00} &= \Lambda - \kappa T_{00}; \\ G_{\alpha 0} &= A(\vec{r}) T_{\theta-\phi-v/c} - B(\vec{r}) T_u; \\ G_{ij} &= -C(\theta, \phi, v) \delta(\vec{r}) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

2 Towards Modified GR

In standard cosmology (SC) it has been demonstrated over the last 60 years that there are many compelling arguments suggesting why GR should be modified at some level so as to accommodate features observed at large length scales [7, 5]. It will therefore not be surprising if inspired by developments in Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG) it is proposed a framework where one can formulate an Einsteinian dynamics for the Universe but also investigate new effects due to quantum corrections. In particular, such scenarios open up new ways for testing GR experimentally through experiments aimed at detecting deviations from predictions made via standard calculations using SC. An interesting conjecture is that SC itself is actually an approximation only valid at small spacetime volumes whereas our observable universe consists mostly of regions where LQG effects become important. Such ideas have led them to propose another approach called $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$, where one considers modifying Einstein's equations so as to obtain more general geometric structures defined entirely based on kinematical inputs rather than requiring matter sources playing a dynamical role and satisfying all field equations derived from these assumptions. Here we will focus on one specific variant EG, namely what might be referred to as Emergent Riemann Geometry, arising out of theories containing extra dynamical scalar fields minimally coupled with respect to Lorentz connections $\tilde{\omega}_a{}^\mu{}_b$ other than those describing rotations $O(3)$. The starting point here are theories whose action contains both connection $\tilde{\omega}_a{}^\mu{}_b$ and coframe

$${}^{(D)}e_\alpha^I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta_\alpha^I \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \quad (\text{no sum}) \quad I = 1, \dots, 4.$$

The Ricci rotation coefficients $\hat{\Omega}^A := \hat{d}^{(D)}e$ determine how these two objects behave under active diffeomorphisms generated by vector fields ${}^{(D)}X^K(x)$ i.e., $\hat{\Lambda}^B{}_C = -\hat{f}_{[C}({}^{(D)}X)]_A$. These act linearly such that if two arbitrary vectors v_I, w_J transform according to

$${}^{(D)}\Lambda(x)(v)_J = {}^{(D)}\Lambda^J{}_K(x)v_K + {}^{(D)}\Lambda'^L{}_J(x)w_L,$$

(no sum)

then their covariant derivatives acting on any scalar density will satisfy

$$D_{(\Gamma)}(f \text{ vol}) \propto f_{(\text{vol})}^{(\Gamma)} \rightarrow * \left[\hat{P}_{(\text{vol})}^{(\Gamma)} + i m \epsilon^{IJKL} \hat{P}_{[e]} \right]_{m=0}$$

$$\hat{P}_{(\Upsilon)}^{(\Sigma)} \rho_{(\Psi)} = \varepsilon^{(\Upsilon)(\Phi)}_{(\Pi)|(\Sigma)\mathbf{0}} V^{(\Pi)}(\phi)$$

where hat indicates quantities obtained after computing actions like covariant differentiation on scalars. Consequently, since we use in-

indices belonging exclusively either side of horizontal/vertical bars “|” or double bars “||” then left vertical bar symbolises the Hodge dual operator whilst right bar implies contraction between two tensor densities or tensors denoted collectively through capital letters without further distinction.[3] Thus one can rewrite as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}[\{(n^\phi + 1)m + n^a(q_\perp)_a\}][c^{-2}](q_\perp)_c.$$

Note also that although ρ has no well defined transformation law under gauge transformations $(q_\perp)_c$, it does have a non-zero shift charge given by

$$s = -(n^\phi + n^a(q_\perp)_a).$$

In summary, when restricted to *. it becomes

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\lambda\rho = \frac{1}{2}\{s - [(n^\phi + 1)m + n^a(q_\perp)_a]\}[c^{-2}](q_\perp)_c$$

. Furthermore note that whenever we express things in terms of total charges it may be assumed without loss of generality due to linearity, so we shall make this assumption henceforth. Now let us introduce some notations for future purposes; throughout the paper Greek indices range from 1 up to 4 while lower case Latin indices run from 1 up to 3 each ranging over all four dimensional coordinates unless otherwise specified. We assume in what follows the following Lagrangian density depends only on external spacetime variables i.e., their first derivatives; our approach can be generalized readily enough but would not change any qualitative features presented here so will not be pursued further distinction.

3 Conclusion

Our Universe exhibits four distinct regions in terms of geometry and topology — the Planck era, inflationary epoch, matter dominated phase and late time acceleration — all described by General Relativity (GR). However, GR has some issues such as unification with quantum physics at large scales. The paradigm shift towards modifications to Einstein’s equations stems from the need to understand both gravity phenomena (such as gravitational waves) at short distances together with particle phenomenology (such as galactic rotational curves) at very large ones. Therefore there are some other attempts like $f(R)$ models [6], higher dimensions models, brane cosmology etc. that address these issues respectively. In addition another modification is made by constructing theories where spacetime curvature changes sign differently during evolution i.e., $T=+1$ in expanding phase while $T=-1$ during contraction thereby leading to bounce scenario. Hence it may be reasonable to believe that a good extension would be one which incorporates not only sign change

but also uses torsion instead of curvature resulting from its dual covariant derivative connection. In recent years noncommutative field theories have emerged as natural candidates for quantum field theory on curved spacetimes inspired by string/M theory/Quantum Gravity considerations. A Hamiltonian formulation along similar lines also exists as mentioned before. Although BV quantization formalism assumes strict commutativity between fields and their conjugate momenta thus introducing ghosts it does lead out correct physical results when applied suitably.

References

- [1] VA Abakumova, DS Kaparulin, and SL Lyakhovich. Conservation laws and stability of higher derivative extended chern-simons. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, volume 1337, page 012001. IOP Publishing, 2019.
- [2] John F. Donoghue and Gabriel Menezes. Ostrogradsky instability can be overcome by quantum physics. *Phys. Rev. D*, 104:045010, Aug 2021.
- [3] Hans Christian Öttinger. Natural hamiltonian formulation of composite higher derivative theories. *Journal of Physics Communications*, 3(8):085001, 2019.
- [4] Biswajit Paul, Himangshu Dhar, Mangobinda Chowdhury, and Biswajit Saha. Treating ostrogradski instability for galilean invariant chern-simon’s model via pt symmetry. *Physical Review D*, 99(6):065018, 2019.
- [5] Concepts Portal. General relativity (gr) failed the test of cosmology, Jul 2020.
- [6] Alexei A Starobinsky. Disappearing cosmological constant in f (r) gravity. *JETP letters*, 86(3):157–163, 2007.
- [7] MI Wanas and HA Hassan. Torsion and problems of standard cosmology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1209.6218*, 2012.