

Bulk-interface correspondence from quantum distance in flat band systems

Chang-geun Oh,^{1,*} Doohee Cho,² Se Young Park,³ and Jun-Won Rhim^{4,5,†}

¹*Research Institute for Basic Science, Ajou University, Suwon 16499, Korea*

²*Department of Physics, Yonsei University, Seoul, 03722, Korea*

³*Department of Physics and Origin of Matter and Evolution of Galaxies (OMEG) Institute, Soongsil University, Seoul 06978, Korea*

⁴*Department of Physics, Ajou University, Suwon 16499, Korea*

⁵*Research Center for Novel Epitaxial Quantum Architectures,
Department of Physics, Seoul National University, Seoul, 08826, Korea*

Abstract The bulk-boundary correspondence is an integral feature of topological analysis and the existence of boundary or interface modes offers direct insight into the topological structure of the Bloch wave function. While only the topology of the wave function has been considered relevant to boundary modes, we demonstrate that another geometric quantity, the so-called quantum distance, can also host a bulk-interface correspondence. We consider a generic class of two-dimensional flat band systems, where the flat band has a parabolic band-crossing with another dispersive band. While such flat bands are known to be topologically trivial, we show that the nonzero maximum quantum distance between the eigenstates of the flat band around the touching point guarantees the existence of boundary modes at the interfaces between two domains with different chemical potentials or different maximum quantum distance. Moreover, the maximum quantum distance can predict even the explicit form of the dispersion relation and decay length of the interface modes.

arXiv:2203.14576v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 24 Nov 2022

* cg.oh.0404@gmail.com

† jwrhim@ajou.ac.kr

Introduction Geometric properties of Bloch wave functions, such as the Berry curvature and Berry connection, have been the central theme of modern solid-state physics since the discovery of the topological insulator [1–5]. Using such geometric quantities, various bulk numbers called the topological invariants can be defined, which encode the topological nature of solid-state systems and enable us to classify phases more delicately beyond the order-parameter-based classifications [6–9]. Representative topological invariants include the Chern number of Chern insulators, Z_2 index of topological insulators [6, 10], mirror Chern number of topological crystalline insulators [5, 11], monopole charge of Weyl semimetals [12], and Zak phase of one-dimensional mirror-symmetric insulators [13–15].

The most direct physical manifestation of these abstract topological orders is the existence of boundary modes that are robust against external perturbations, respecting the symmetries of the given system. This feature is called the bulk-boundary correspondence, which has played the most central role in the topological analysis of solids [16–21]. As a result, even if a solid is deemed to be an insulator according to the bulk band structure, it can be a metal owing to the boundary modes if the bulk has a nontrivial topological order. In other words, the bulk-boundary correspondence offers direct access to the bulk topology and helps us figure out the most fundamental material character, i.e., metallic or insulating.

In the perspective of geometry, there is another intriguing quantity called the Hilbert-Schmidt quantum distance [22–24], which is defined as

$$d_{\text{HS}}^2(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = 1 - |\langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}} | \psi_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle|^2, \quad (1)$$

where $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}$ is an eigenstate of the Bloch Hamiltonian matrix with crystal momentum \mathbf{k} . This quantity, also called quantum distance for short, takes the role of distance in the geometric description of Bloch wave functions, while the Berry curvature plays the curvature part. Indicating how close two states are, the quantum distance is not quantized and varies continuously between 0 and 1. We show that the quantum distance can be used to predict the existence and band structures of interface modes in two-dimensional flat band systems. Although the quantum distance is not entirely independent of topological notions [25–27], there has been no bulk-interface correspondence directly employing this kind of geometric quantity.

A flat band is a perfectly dispersionless band and has received considerable attention from the perspective of many-body physics because the electron-electron interaction strength is the most dominant energy scale in such a band [28–39]. Recently, a class of flat bands, called singular flat bands (SFBs), has attracted much attention because of their intriguing geometric effects [40, 41]. The Bloch wave function of the SFB has a singularity originating from a band-crossing with another band [42]. The band-crossing point is characterized by a geometric quantity called the maximum quantum distance (d_{max}) if the band-crossing is quadratic type [see Fig. 1b]. The maximum quantum distance is the maximum value of quantum distance among all the possible pairs of Bloch eigenstates around the band-crossing point. A finite value of d_{max} guarantees the existence of topological line-shaped eigenmodes called the non-contractible loop states [40] and manifests itself as an anomalous Landau level structure, where Landau levels corresponding to the flat band are spread into the nearby band gap, and the magnitude of the spreading is determined by d_{max} [43].

This work shows that if we introduce an interface in the middle of a singular flat band system with nonzero d_{max} , localized modes are guaranteed to exist around the interface whose energy dispersion is located between the flat and parabolic bands [see Fig. 1a and c]. Here, we consider two types of interfaces. One is created by applying a potential difference between two 2D flat band system regions, and the other is obtained by making a junction of two different flat band models. Moreover, while the topological invariants predict only the number of the boundary modes in the gap, we find that d_{max} also determines even the effective mass of the band dispersion and the localization length of the interface mode. Our results show that geometric quantities other than topological notions also can be used for the bulk-interface correspondence.

We consider the most general form of the 2D continuum Hamiltonian describing a flat band with a parabolic band touching [see Fig. 1b] given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{fb}}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) \sigma_{\alpha}, \quad (2)$$

where σ_{α} represents an identity ($\alpha = 0$) and Pauli matrices ($\alpha = x, y, z$) [40]. See Supplementary Note 1 for details. Here, $f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$ is a real quadratic function: $f_x(\mathbf{k}) = t_6 k_y^2$, $f_y(\mathbf{k}) = t_4 k_x k_y + t_5 k_y^2$, $f_z(\mathbf{k}) = t_1 k_x^2 + t_2 k_x k_y + t_3 k_y^2$, and $f_0(\mathbf{k}) = b_1 k_x^2 + b_2 k_x k_y + b_3 k_y^2$, where t_i and b_i are real-valued band parameters. Any kind of quadratic Hamiltonian can be unitarily transformed into the above. Due to the flat band condition, only four out of nine band parameters are independent, and can be chosen as $P_0 = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ [40]. We assume that the flat band is at zero energy, and the crossing point is at $\mathbf{k} = 0$ without loss of generality. With P_0 , the maximum quantum distance d_{max} is evaluated as

$$d_{\text{max}}^2 = \frac{t_4^2}{-t_2^2 + 4t_1 t_3 + 2t_4^2}, \quad (3)$$

which is the highest value of $d_{\text{HS}}^2(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ around the band-crossing point [43]. Note that the Hamiltonian (2) is of the form $k^2 h(\phi)$, where $k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2$, and h is a 2×2 matrix and a function of the polar angle with respect to the band-crossing point ϕ . As a result, the quantum distance is independent of k because the eigenvectors of (2) is determined by the k -independent part $h(\phi)$.

However, when we calculate d_{\max} in lattice models, we should take the limit $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_c$, where \mathbf{k}_c is the band-crossing point. Since the Landau level spreading of the singular flat band, which is arising from the inter-band coupling, is a monotonic function of d_{\max} , d_{\max} is considered representing the strength of the inter-band coupling between the flat and parabolic bands [43]. One can visualize d_{\max} by the pseudospin texture $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}} | \sigma_{\alpha} | \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \hat{\alpha}$, where $\hat{\alpha}$ is a unit vector along the α -axis, because the maximum canting angle between the pseudospins $\Delta\theta_{\max}$ is related to d_{\max} via an identity $\Delta\theta_{\max} = 2 \sin^{-1} d_{\max}$ [43].

One can transform P_0 into another set of gauge-invariant band parameters $P_1 = \{m_{xx}, m_{xy}, m_{yy}, \tilde{d}_{\max}\}$, where m_{ij} is a mass tensor for the quadratic band $E_{\text{quad}}(\mathbf{k})$ defined by $m_{ij}^{-1} = \partial_{k_i} \partial_{k_j} E_{\text{quad}}(\mathbf{k})|_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0}$ [43]. Here, $\tilde{d}_{\max} = \text{sgn}[t_4] d_{\max}$, where $\text{sgn}[t_4]$ is the sign of t_4 , can be regarded as an extended definition of the maximum quantum distance, introduced to recover the information of the sign of t_4 lost during the transformation (3). P_1 is the most natural choice of band parameters for the quadratic band-crossing flat band model because three mass tensor elements describe the shape of the parabolic band and d_{\max} corresponds to the interband coupling. Moreover, both the mass tensor and d_{\max} are gauge-invariant. This continuum Hamiltonian (2) describes the low-energy physics of a variety of well-known flat band models, such as kagome and checkerboard lattice models. While flat bands are mostly trivial from a topological perspective, it was noted that d_{\max} is the characteristic geometric quantity of the flat band model $\mathcal{H}_{\text{fb}}(\mathbf{k})$, and manifests itself in Landau level structures [43].

In this work, we focus on the flat band systems because they manifest the effect of d_{\max} most effectively. The flat band Hamiltonian (2) is the most minimal quadratic 2×2 model described by only four independent parameters as explained above. Except three parameters relevant to the mass tensor or the shape of the parabolic band, only one parameter, d_{\max} , is left to represent the inter-band coupling between the flat and quadratic bands as a geometric quantity. Moreover, since the flat band model (2) is topologically trivial [43], the flat band model (2) is an ideal platform to study geometric phenomena excluding topological origins.

Results We show that the bulk number d_{\max} of the flat band is reflected in the electronic structure of the wavefunction of the interface mode. The interface is realized by applying a position-dependent potential $U(x)$ to the system, where $U(\infty) = V_G^{\mathcal{R}}$ and $U(-\infty) = V_G^{\mathcal{L}}$ [see Fig. 1a]. This potential divides the system into two bulk regions, denoted by \mathcal{L} - and \mathcal{R} -regions. Under this condition, where the translational symmetry along the y -direction still exists, the flat band Hamiltonian (2) transforms to

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{fb,IF}}(k_y) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{fb}}(-i\partial_x, k_y) + U(x)\sigma_0, \quad (4)$$

where, for convenience, we assume $V_G^{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ and $V_G^{\mathcal{R}} = U_0$. In this study, we assume that $U_0 < 0$ without loss of generality. The interface state is localized around the boundary between the \mathcal{R} - and \mathcal{L} -regions, and the corresponding band is located between the parabolic and flat bands. Namely, $k_y^2/2m_{yy} + U_0 > \mathcal{E}_{\text{IF}}(k_y) > U_0$, where $\mathcal{E}_{\text{IF}}(k_y)$ is the energy dispersion of the interface mode, and U_0 is the flat band's energy in the \mathcal{R} -region far away from the interface. Assuming that $U(x)$ is slowly varying ($U'(x) \approx 0$) for $|x| \gg 1$, the energy dispersion of the interface mode near the band-crossing point is obtained as

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{IF}}(k_y, U_0) = \frac{d_{\max}^2}{2m_{yy}} k_y^2 + U_0, \quad (5)$$

and the corresponding eigenfunction is given by

$$\psi_{\text{IF},k_y}(x) \propto \exp(-i\eta k_y x) \exp\left[s(x) \int^x \lambda(x') dx'\right], \quad (6)$$

where $\eta_{k_y} = -m_{xy}/m_{yy}$, $s(x) = +1(-1)$ for $x < 0(x > 0)$, and $\lambda(x) = (2/m_{xx}^{-1})^{1/2} [k_y^2/2m_{yy} + U(x) - \mathcal{E}_{\text{IF}}(k_y)]^{1/2}$ [see Supplementary Note 2]. Here, $\lambda(x)$, which is related to the energy difference between the parabolic and interface bands, determines the decay length of the localized mode, whereas $s(x)$ represents the exponential decay of the interface mode away from the interface. Since the above results are obtained from the behavior of the interface mode far from the interface, where $U'(x) \approx 0$, they are independent of the detailed shape of the interface potential around the interface [see Supplementary Note 2]. We note that there are no interface states when $d_{\max} = 0$ (non-singular flat band).

As shown in (5), the maximum quantum distance of the bulk system is directly and clearly manifested in the effective mass of the interface mode ($m^* = m_{yy}/d_{\max}^2$). As d_{\max} increases from 0 to 1, the interface band emerges out of the flat band and then approaches the parabolic band by lowering its effective mass [see Fig. 1c]. This means that one can extract the information of the quantum distance of the bulk by observing the spectrum of the interface state generated between two bulks with different gate voltages. Another role of d_{\max} is to determine the localization length of the interface mode via $\lambda(x)$ in (6). As d_{\max} increases the localization length also increases because the character of the interface mode resembles the extended feature of the wave function of the bulk parabolic band as the interface band gets closer to the bulk parabolic band with increasing d_{\max} . On the other hand, as d_{\max} decreases, the localization length decreases too since the interface band approaches the flat band, which is characterized by the compact localized eigenstates [40].

We also consider another type of interface, where we have two different flat band models on the \mathcal{L} - and \mathcal{R} -regions, while d_{\max} is the same on both sides and the applied potential is uniform ($U(x) = 0$). In particular, we obtain analytic results when the two models are the same, except for the sign of t_4 , which are described by two different parameter sets $\{m_{xx}, m_{xy}, m_{yy}, -d_{\max}\}$ and $\{m_{xx}, m_{xy}, m_{yy}, d_{\max}\}$, respectively [see Fig. 2a]. Similar to the above, we find that the band spectrum of the interface mode

induced by this type of junction is given by $\mathcal{E}_{\text{IF}}(k_y, 0)$. While we have obtained analytic results only when two regions have the same mass tensor, we note that the interface mode appears even when the mass tensor is different between two regions if d_{max} is the same for both domains and nonzero.

We apply our general results of the continuum model to various lattice models, such as the kagome, Lieb, and square lattice models. We consider the conventional tight-binding model on the kagome lattice, where only the nearest-neighbor hopping processes are allowed [see Fig. 3a]. On the other hand, the flat band model on the Lieb lattice is a modified version of the conventional one, where hopping processes are anisotropic, and the onsite potential is non-uniform [see Supplementary Fig. 1a]. Finally, in the case of the square lattice, various artificial long-range hopping processes are included in addition to the nearest neighbor ones of the conventional model as illustrated in Fig. 4a. The hopping parameters are given by $t_{\text{red}} = -t_1/2$, $t_{\text{orange}} = -t_3/2 - t_4^2/8t_1$, $t_{\text{green}} = it_4/4$, $t_{\text{blue}} = -t_4^2/8t_1$, $t_{\text{purple}} = t_4(4t_1t_3 + t_4^2)^{1/2}/4t_1$, $t_{\text{pink}} = -t_4(4t_1t_3 + t_4^2)^{1/2}/8t_1$. The explicit forms of the tight-binding Hamiltonians for these models are provided in Supplementary Note 4. They all host a flat band with a quadratic band-crossing at $\mathbf{k} = 0$. While d_{max} is fixed to 1 in the kagome and Lieb models, we can control d_{max} continuously while maintaining the band flatness and the mass tensor of the quadratic band in the square lattice model. To investigate the interface modes, we create an interface by applying a step-like potential $U(x) = U_{\text{step}}(x)$ along x -axis such that $U_{\text{step}}(x) = 0$ and $U_{\text{step}}(x) = U_0$ when $x < 0$ and $x > 0$, respectively. We apply an open boundary condition at the left and right ends of the system.

As shown in Fig. 3b, 4c and 4d, there are non-bulk bands between the parabolic and flat bands. The red and green ones indicate the interface modes, while the others represent edge modes localized at the open boundaries of the systems. The continuum formula (5) fits all the interface bands of the lattice models accurately near the band-crossing point. In the kagome and Lieb lattices, where d_{max} is fixed to 1, we confirm that the continuum formula (5) works well as shown in the k_y -dependence of the interface bands in Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 1c. Note that, in the case of the kagome lattice, we apply the interface potential of the form $(U(-\infty), U(\infty)) = (-2, -2 + U_0)$ to the continuum Hamiltonian (4) instead of $(U(-\infty), U(\infty)) = (0, U_0)$ because the flat band in the kagome lattice model is located at $E = -2$. In the case of the square lattice model, we additionally plot the d_{max} -dependence of the effective mass of the interface band by controlling the hopping parameters and then check that the result (5) holds [see Fig. 4b]. Moreover, the d_{max} -dependence of the localization length of the interface modes is also illustrated in Fig 4e and f, which shows that the wavefunctions becomes extended as d_{max} increases.

We examine a junction between two areas with different values of \tilde{d}_{max} in the square lattice model too [see Fig. 2a]. We consider a step-like sign change of \tilde{d}_{max} such that $\tilde{d}_{\text{max}}(x) = -d_{\text{max}}$ and $\tilde{d}_{\text{max}}(x) = d_{\text{max}}$ when $x < 0$ and $x > 0$, respectively. In this system, an interface mode also appears as plotted in Supplementary Fig. 7b. As shown in Fig. 2b, the effective mass of the interface band (red solid curve) is well described by $m^* = m_{yy}/d_{\text{max}}^2$ obtained from the continuum analysis. Although we have considered only the case where the sign of \tilde{d}_{max} is different between \mathcal{L} - and \mathcal{R} -regions, we also show that the interface mode appears quite generally when the values of \tilde{d}_{max} is different between two areas [see Supplementary Note 7 and Supplementary Fig. 7].

We investigate how the bulk-interface correspondence is modified for the nearly flat bands from the perspective of realistic systems. The interface is introduced by applying the same potential $U(x)$ as we did for the perfectly flat band case. First, we derive an analytic formula for the dispersion relation of the interface mode and show how it is related to d_{max} by considering a continuum Hamiltonian. The bulk Hamiltonian for the nearly flat band is given by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{n-fb}}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{fb}}(\mathbf{k}) + ak_x^2 + bk_y^2 + \Delta\sigma_z$, where $ak_x^2 + bk_y^2$ is a perturbation deforming the flat band and $\Delta\sigma_z$ is the mass term. When $\Delta = 0$ and a or b are nonzero, the band-crossing point survives, and the eigenstates around it give the same d_{max} , although the flat band is slightly warped. On the other hand, if $\Delta > 0$ ($\Delta < 0$), the band-touching point is gapped out when $0 \leq d_{\text{max}} < 1$ ($0 < d_{\text{max}} < 1$). When $d_{\text{max}} > 0$, that is, when the flat band is singular, this gap-opening process accompanies the warping of the flat band, realizing a nearly flat band. Although the band-crossing point is destroyed for the nonzero Δ , the effect of d_{max} before opening the gap is still encoded in the interface mode for the nearly flat band cases. When $\Delta > 0$, the energy spectrum of the interface mode around the band-crossing point induced by $U(x)$ is obtained as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{IF}}(k_y, U_0, a, b, \Delta) = \mathcal{E}_{\text{IF}}(k_y, U_0) + \chi_{ab}k_y^2 - \Delta, \quad (7)$$

where $\chi_{ab} = b - a(m_{xx}m_{yy} - \det[\mathbf{m}]d_{\text{max}}^2)/m_{yy}^2$, as derived in Supplementary Note 3. Note that the mass tensor used here is from the unperturbed Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{fb}}(\mathbf{k})$. One can extract d_{max} from this result assuming that the mass tensor and perturbation parameters are already known from the bulk band structure. For another type of the interface formed between two regions with opposite signs of d_{max} but with the same external potential, the band structure of the interface state is found to be equal to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{IF}}(k_y, 0, a, b, \Delta)$ similar to the perfectly flat band case.

We confirm that the geometric formula for the interface band (7) works well by examining the square lattice model under the step-like potential $U(x)$. A nearly flat band is realized in this model by adding several perturbative hopping processes and modulating onsite potentials. The dashed arrows in Fig. 4a represent the perturbative hopping processes: $\{p_{\text{red}}, p_{\text{blue}}\} = \{-a/4, -b/4\}$. The explicit form of the corresponding Hamiltonian is provided in Supplementary Note 4. We show how the continuum formula (7) matches well with the lattice model as a function of crystal momentum and d_{max} for the gapless and gapped cases of the square lattice model with the nearly flat band in Fig. 5(a,b) and Fig. 5(c,d), respectively [see Supplementary

Fig. 2 for the d_{\max} -dependence]. Therefore, one can extract the bulk geometric quantity d_{\max} of the unperturbed flat band model from the band spectrum of the interface mode of the nearly flat band system near the band-crossing point via the bulk-boundary correspondence described by (7).

Discussion We have shown that geometric quantities other than topological notions also can be used for the bulk-interface correspondence. Although our bulk-interface correspondence from the quantum distance applies to flat band systems only, it possesses a certain level of robustness in that it can predict the existence and effective mass of the interface band around the band-crossing point regardless of the explicit shape of the interface potential [see Supplementary Fig. 3, Fig. 4, and Fig. 5 in Supplementary Note 5]. As a result, one can extract the geometric quantity d_{\max} by observing the effective mass of the interface band even for the nearly flat band cases. It is noteworthy to mention that the flatness condition is crucial for the existence of the d_{\max} -originating interface mode. We have shown in Supplementary Note 6 that the interface mode is destroyed when the bandwidth of the nearly flat band becomes comparable to the potential difference U_0 [see Supplementary Fig. 6]. The generalization of our bulk-interface correspondence to the band-crossings between generic dispersive bands would be a future research direction, establishing a geometric mechanism for the generation of the interface modes beyond the topological origin.

While the interface is introduced between two regions with different potentials, this kind of experimental setup can be realized by designing a dual-gate device, where two independent voltages are applied to two divided areas of the sample [44, 45]. The band spectrum of this 1D interface system can then be analyzed from the quasi-particle interference (QPI) pattern obtained from the scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) [46, 47]. The anisotropic scattering potential due to the interface formed along the interface lets the QPI propagate mainly into the bulk perpendicular to the interface. However, the interface mode might exhibit the charge modulations along the interface so that one can distinguish the QPI of the interface mode from that of the bulk. If multiple dual-gates with interfaces aligned with each other can be fabricated, the same number of uniformly oriented 1D interface systems can be generated. The angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) technique can also be used to observe the band structure of the interface states in such 1D systems [48–50]. Recently, various kagome materials hosting nearly flat bands such as Fe_3Sn_2 , FeSn , and CoSn have been synthesized [51–53], and they are candidate systems to demonstrate the bulk-interface correspondence from the quantum distance experimentally. We expect that one of the possible applications utilizing the bulk-interface correspondence is the detection of the ferroelectric domains in memory devices [54–56]. Using the interface mode of two-dimensional flat band systems, we propose a quantum device that can detect the domain wall formed with the out-of-plane polarization direction [see Supplementary Fig. 8 in Supplementary note 8].

References

-
- [1] Charles L Kane and Eugene J Mele, “Quantum spin hall effect in graphene,” *Physical review letters* **95**, 226801 (2005).
 - [2] Charles L Kane and Eugene J Mele, “ Z_2 topological order and the quantum spin hall effect,” *Physical review letters* **95**, 146802 (2005).
 - [3] B Andrei Bernevig and Shou-Cheng Zhang, “Quantum spin hall effect,” *Physical review letters* **96**, 106802 (2006).
 - [4] B Andrei Bernevig, Taylor L Hughes, and Shou-Cheng Zhang, “Quantum spin hall effect and topological phase transition in hgte quantum wells,” *Science* **314**, 1757–1761 (2006).
 - [5] Liang Fu, Charles L Kane, and Eugene J Mele, “Topological insulators in three dimensions,” *Physical review letters* **98**, 106803 (2007).
 - [6] David J Thouless, Mahito Kohmoto, M Peter Nightingale, and Marcel den Nijs, “Quantized hall conductance in a two-dimensional periodic potential,” *Physical review letters* **49**, 405 (1982).
 - [7] Joel E Moore and Leon Balents, “Topological invariants of time-reversal-invariant band structures,” *Physical Review B* **75**, 121306 (2007).
 - [8] Andreas P Schnyder, Shinsei Ryu, Akira Furusaki, and Andreas WW Ludwig, “Classification of topological insulators and superconductors in three spatial dimensions,” *Physical Review B* **78**, 195125 (2008).
 - [9] Yoichi Ando and Liang Fu, “Topological crystalline insulators and topological superconductors: From concepts to materials,” *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **6**, 361–381 (2015).
 - [10] F Duncan M Haldane, “Model for a quantum hall effect without landau levels: Condensed-matter realization of the” parity anomaly,”” *Physical review letters* **61**, 2015 (1988).
 - [11] Jeffrey CY Teo, Liang Fu, and CL Kane, “Surface states and topological invariants in three-dimensional topological insulators: Application to Bi_2Se_3 ,” *Physical Review B* **78**, 045426 (2008).
 - [12] Xiangang Wan, Ari M Turner, Ashvin Vishwanath, and Sergey Y Savrasov, “Topological semimetal and fermi-arc surface states in the electronic structure of pyrochlore iridates,” *Physical Review B* **83**, 205101 (2011).
 - [13] J Zak, “Berry’s phase for energy bands in solids,” *Physical review letters* **62**, 2747 (1989).
 - [14] Marcos Atala, Monika Aidelsburger, Julio T Barreiro, Dmitry Abanin, Takuya Kitagawa, Eugene Demler, and Immanuel Bloch, “Direct measurement of the zak phase in topological bloch bands,” *Nature Physics* **9**, 795–800 (2013).
 - [15] Jun-Won Rhim, Jan Behrends, and Jens H Bardarson, “Bulk-boundary correspondence from the intercellular zak phase,” *Physical Review B* **95**, 035421 (2017).
 - [16] Yasuhiro Hatsugai, “Chern number and edge states in the integer quantum hall effect,” *Physical review letters* **71**, 3697 (1993).
 - [17] Alexei Kitaev, “Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors,” in *AIP conference proceedings*, Vol. 1134 (American Institute of Physics, 2009) pp. 22–30.

- [18] Takahiro Fukui, Ken Shiozaki, Takanori Fujiwara, and Satoshi Fujimoto, “Bulk-edge correspondence for chern topological phases: A viewpoint from a generalized index theorem,” *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **81**, 114602 (2012).
- [19] Roger SK Mong and Vasudha Shivamoggi, “Edge states and the bulk-boundary correspondence in dirac hamiltonians,” *Physical Review B* **83**, 125109 (2011).
- [20] Emil Prodan and Hermann Schulz-Baldes, “Bulk and boundary invariants for complex topological insulators,” *K* (2016).
- [21] Jun-Won Rhim, Jens H Bardarson, and Robert-Jan Slager, “Unified bulk-boundary correspondence for band insulators,” *Physical Review B* **97**, 115143 (2018).
- [22] Vladimir Buzek and Mark Hillery, “Quantum copying: Beyond the no-cloning theorem,” *Physical Review A* **54**, 1844 (1996).
- [23] VV Dodonov, OV Man’Ko, VI Man’Ko, and A Wünsche, “Hilbert-schmidt distance and non-classicality of states in quantum optics,” *Journal of Modern Optics* **47**, 633–654 (2000).
- [24] Frank Wilczek and Alfred Shapere, *Geometric phases in physics*, Vol. 5 (World Scientific, 1989).
- [25] JP Provost and G Vallee, “Riemannian structure on manifolds of quantum states,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **76**, 289–301 (1980).
- [26] Tomoki Ozawa and Bruno Mera, “Relations between topology and the quantum metric for chern insulators,” *Physical Review B* **104**, 045103 (2021).
- [27] Bruno Mera, Anwei Zhang, and Nathan Goldman, “Relating the topology of dirac hamiltonians to quantum geometry: When the quantum metric dictates chern numbers and winding numbers,” *SciPost Physics* **12**, 018 (2022).
- [28] E Suárez Morell, JD Correa, P Vargas, M Pacheco, and Z Barticevic, “Flat bands in slightly twisted bilayer graphene: Tight-binding calculations,” *Physical Review B* **82**, 121407 (2010).
- [29] Yuan Cao, Valla Fatemi, Shiang Fang, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Efthimios Kaxiras, and Pablo Jarillo-Herrero, “Unconventional superconductivity in magic-angle graphene superlattices,” *Nature* **556**, 43–50 (2018).
- [30] Leon Balents, Cory R Dean, Dmitri K Efetov, and Andrea F Young, “Superconductivity and strong correlations in moiré flat bands,” *Nature Physics* **16**, 725–733 (2020).
- [31] Valerio Peri, Zhi-Da Song, B Andrei Bernevig, and Sebastian D Huber, “Fragile topology and flat-band superconductivity in the strong-coupling regime,” *Physical review letters* **126**, 027002 (2021).
- [32] Xiaomeng Liu, Cheng-Li Chiu, Jong Yeon Lee, Gelareh Farahi, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Ashvin Vishwanath, and Ali Yazdani, “Spectroscopy of a tunable moiré system with a correlated and topological flat band,” *Nature communications* **12**, 1–7 (2021).
- [33] Aaron L Sharpe, Eli J Fox, Arthur W Barnard, Joe Finney, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, MA Kastner, and David Goldhaber-Gordon, “Emergent ferromagnetism near three-quarters filling in twisted bilayer graphene,” *Science* **365**, 605–608 (2019).
- [34] Shuang Wu, Zhenyuan Zhang, K Watanabe, T Taniguchi, and Eva Y Andrei, “Chern insulators, van hove singularities and topological flat bands in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene,” *Nature materials* **20**, 488–494 (2021).
- [35] Yu Saito, Jingyuan Ge, Louk Rademaker, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Dmitry A Abanin, and Andrea F Young, “Hofstadter subband ferromagnetism and symmetry-broken chern insulators in twisted bilayer graphene,” *Nature Physics* **17**, 478–481 (2021).
- [36] I Hase, T Yanagisawa, Y Aiura, and K Kawashima, “Possibility of flat-band ferromagnetism in hole-doped pyrochlore oxides $sn_2nb_2o_7$ and $sn_2ta_2o_7$,” *Physical review letters* **120**, 196401 (2018).
- [37] Hideo Aoki, Masato Ando, and Hajime Matsumura, “Hofstadter butterflies for flat bands,” *Physical Review B* **54**, R17296 (1996).
- [38] Ajith Ramachandran, Alexei Andreanov, and Sergej Flach, “Chiral flat bands: Existence, engineering, and stability,” *Physical Review B* **96**, 161104 (2017).
- [39] Nicolas Regnault and B Andrei Bernevig, “Fractional chern insulator,” *Physical Review X* **1**, 021014 (2011).
- [40] Jun-Won Rhim and Bohm-Jung Yang, “Classification of flat bands according to the band-crossing singularity of bloch wave functions,” *Physical Review B* **99**, 045107 (2019).
- [41] Jina Ma, Jun-Won Rhim, Liqin Tang, Shiqi Xia, Haiping Wang, Xiuyan Zheng, Shiqiang Xia, Daohong Song, Yi Hu, Yigang Li, *et al.*, “Direct observation of flatband loop states arising from nontrivial real-space topology,” *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 183901 (2020).
- [42] Jun-Won Rhim and Bohm-Jung Yang, “Singular flat bands,” *Advances in Physics: X* **6**, 1901606 (2021).
- [43] Jun-Won Rhim, Kyoo Kim, and Bohm-Jung Yang, “Quantum distance and anomalous landau levels of flat bands,” *Nature* **584**, 59–63 (2020).
- [44] Sung-Jin Choi, Jae-Hyuk Ahn, Jin-Woo Han, Myeong-Lok Seol, Dong-II Moon, Sungho Kim, and Yang-Kyu Choi, “Transformable functional nanoscale building blocks with wafer-scale silicon nanowires,” *Nano letters* **11**, 854–859 (2011).
- [45] Youngin Jeon, Minsuk Kim, Doohyeok Lim, and Sangsig Kim, “Steep subthreshold swing n-and p-channel operation of bendable feedback field-effect transistors with $p+i-n+$ nanowires by dual-top-gate voltage modulation,” *Nano letters* **15**, 4905–4913 (2015).
- [46] Ilya K Drozdov, Aris Alexandradinata, Sangjun Jeon, Stevan Nadj-Perge, Huiwen Ji, RJ Cava, B Andrei Bernevig, and Ali Yazdani, “One-dimensional topological edge states of bismuth bilayers,” *Nature Physics* **10**, 664–669 (2014).
- [47] Zhen Zhu, Si Li, Meng Yang, Xiao-Ang Nie, Hao-Ke Xu, Xu Yang, Dan-Dan Guan, Shiyong Wang, Yao-Yi Li, Canhua Liu, *et al.*, “A tunable and unidirectional one-dimensional electronic system $nb_2n+1si_n te_{4n+2}$,” *npj Quantum Materials* **5**, 1–7 (2020).
- [48] JR Ahn, PG Kang, KD Ryang, and HW Yeom, “Coexistence of two different peierls distortions within an atomic scale wire: Si (553)-au,” *Physical review letters* **95**, 196402 (2005).
- [49] Boris V Senkovskiy, Alexey V Nenashev, Seyed K Alavi, Yannic Falke, Martin Hell, Pantelis Bampoulis, Dmitry V Rybkovskiy, Dmitry Yu Usachov, Alexander V Fedorov, Alexander I Chernov, *et al.*, “Tunneling current modulation in atomically precise graphene nanoribbon heterojunctions,” *Nature communications* **12**, 1–11 (2021).
- [50] Hrag Karakachian, TT Nhung Nguyen, Johannes Aprojanz, Alexei A Zakharov, Rositsa Yakimova, Philipp Rosenzweig, Craig M Polley, Thiagarajan Balasubramanian, Christoph Tegenkamp, Stephen R Power, *et al.*, “One-dimensional confinement and width-dependent bandgap formation in epitaxial graphene nanoribbons,” *Nature communications* **11**, 1–8 (2020).
- [51] Zhiyong Lin, Jin-Ho Choi, Qiang Zhang, Wei Qin, Seho Yi, Pengdong Wang, Lin Li, Yifan Wang, Hui Zhang, Zhe Sun, *et al.*, “Flatbands and emergent ferromagnetic ordering in fe_3sn_2 kagome lattices,” *Physical review letters* **121**, 096401 (2018).

- [52] Mingu Kang, Linda Ye, Shiang Fang, Jih-Shih You, Abe Levitan, Minyong Han, Jorge I Facio, Chris Jozwiak, Aaron Bostwick, Eli Rotenberg, *et al.*, “Dirac fermions and flat bands in the ideal kagome metal fesn,” *Nature materials* **19**, 163–169 (2020).
- [53] Mingu Kang, Shiang Fang, Linda Ye, Hoi Chun Po, Jonathan Denlinger, Chris Jozwiak, Aaron Bostwick, Eli Rotenberg, Efthimios Kaxiras, Joseph G Checkelsky, *et al.*, “Topological flat bands in frustrated kagome lattice cosn,” *Nature communications* **11**, 1–9 (2020).
- [54] James F. Scott, “Ferroelectric memories,” *Ferroelectric Memories*, (2009).
- [55] Ji Ma, Jing Ma, Qinghua Zhang, Renci Peng, Jing Wang, Chen Liu, Meng Wang, Ning Li, Mingfeng Chen, Xiaoxing Cheng, Peng Gao, Lin Gu, Long-Qing Chen, Pu Yu, Jinxing Zhang, and Ce-Wen Nan, “Controllable conductive readout in self-assembled, topologically confined ferroelectric domain walls,” *Nature nanotechnology* **13**, 947–952 (2018).
- [56] Jun Jiang, Zi Long Bai, Zhi Hui Chen, Long He, David Wei Zhang, Qing Hua Zhang, Jin An Shi, Min Hyuk Park, James F Scott, Cheol Seong Hwang, and An Quan Jiang, “Temporary formation of highly conducting domain walls for non-destructive read-out of ferroelectric domain-wall resistance switching memories,” *Nature materials* **17**, 49–56 (2018).

Acknowledgements

C.-g.O. and J.-W.R. were supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (Grant No. 2021R1A2C1010572). J.-W.R. was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (Grant No. 2021R1A5A1032996). D.C. was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (Grant No. 2020R1C1C1007895 and 2017R1A5A1014862) and the Yonsei University Research Fund of 2019-22-0209. S.Y.P. was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (Grant No. 2021R1C1C1009494) and by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (Grant No. 2021R1A6A1A03043957).

Author contributions

C.-g.O. performed the theoretical analysis, D.C. and S.Y.P. provided experimental perspectives, and J.-W.R. supervised the project. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

Additional information

Supplementary Information is available in the **online version of the paper**. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.-g.O. or J.-W.R.

Competing interest

The authors declare no competing interest.




FIG. 1. **Singular flat band and interface modes characterized by the quantum distance.** **a**, Schematics of the interface mode. When the flat band is singular, namely the maximum quantum distance d_{\max} of the flat band is nonzero, an interface mode Ψ_{IF,k_y} with a crystal momentum k_y appears between two areas (denoted by \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R}) with different onsite potentials $V_G^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $V_G^{\mathcal{R}}$. **b**, A flat band with a parabolic band-crossing. **c**, The band spectra of interface modes for various values of d_{\max} . The gray region represents the bulk parabolic band. Here, the effective mass tensor of the parabolic band is given by $m_{xx}^{-1} = m_{yy}^{-1} = 2$, $m_{xy}^{-1} = 0$, and the onsite potentials are set to be $V_G^{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ and $V_G^{\mathcal{R}} = -0.5$.




FIG. 2. **Interface mode induced by the sign difference of \tilde{d}_{\max} .** **a**, A junction between two singular flat band systems with different values of \tilde{d}_{\max} , which is the extended maximum quantum distance. Here, the onsite potential is the same for both sides. **b**, The lattice and hopping structure of the square lattice model hosting a flat band. Here, t 's and p 's are the hopping parameters. In this model, d_{\max} can be varied from 0 to 1 while maintaining the band-flatness by changing the hopping parameters. [See Supplementary Note 4]. **c**, The d_{\max} dependence of the effective mass of the interface mode where the step-like sign change of d_{\max} is applied, satisfying $\tilde{d}_{\max}(x < 0) = -d_{\max}$ and $\tilde{d}_{\max}(x > 0) = d_{\max}$. The generic continuum analysis is represented by the dashed line, which is compared with the results obtained from square lattice model illustrated in **b**. Here, $(m_{xx}^{-1}, m_{xy}^{-1}, m_{yy}^{-1}) = (2, 0, 2)$ is used for the mass tensor.




FIG. 3. **Interface modes of kagome lattice model.** **a**, Kagome lattice. **b**, The band structure of the kagome lattice model, where the step-like interface potential $U(x)$ satisfying $U(x < 0) = -2$ and $U(x > 0) = -2.5$ is applied. The red curve represents the interface states. The other edge bands are from open boundaries. **c**, The interface band in **b** is highlighted and compared with the continuum result (5) with $d_{\max} = 1$ and $m_{xx}^{-1} = m_{yy}^{-1} = 1/2$, $m_{xy}^{-1} = 0$ (dashed line).




FIG. 4. **Band structure and interface modes of the square lattice flat band model.** **a**, The effective mass of the interface mode calculated from the lattice and continuum models are plotted as a function of d_{\max} . **b** and **c**, Band structures of the square lattice models at $d_{\max} = 0.5$ (**b**) and 1 (**c**), where an interface is introduced by applying an onsite potential $U_0 = -0.5$ for $x > 0$. Interface bands are represented by green and red colours. The other edge bands are from open boundaries, which are not the focus of this study. In **d** and **e**, the wavefunctions of interface modes at $k_y = 0.1$ are plotted for $d_{\max} = 0.5$ and 1 respectively. In all figures, the mass tensor for the parabolic band is fixed as $m_{xx}^{-1} = m_{yy}^{-1} = 2$, and $m_{xy}^{-1} = 0$.




FIG. 5. **Interface modes for nearly flat band cases.** **a**, The band structure of the square lattice model with perturbation parameters $(a, b, \Delta) = (-0.01, -0.01, 0)$ at $d_{\max} = 1$. There is a nearly flat band with a parabolic band-crossing at $k_y = 0$. In the lower window, the nearly flat band is highlighted. **b**, The band dispersion of the square lattice model with perturbation parameters $(a, b, \Delta) = (0, 0, 0.05)$ at $d_{\max} = 0.7$, gapping out the band-touching point and making the flat band nearly flat. In **c**(**d**), we compare the energy of the interface mode in **a**(**b**) evaluated from the lattice and continuum model as a function of k_y .