Asymptotic analysis of k-hop connectivity in the 1D unit disk random graph model

Nicolas Privault*

Division of Mathematical Sciences School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences Nanyang Technological University 21 Nanyang Link Singapore 637371

March 29, 2022

Abstract

We propose an algorithm for the closed-form recursive computation of joint moments and cumulants of all orders for k-hop counts in the 1D unit disk random graph model with Poisson distributed vertices. Our approach uses decompositions of k-hop counts into multiple Poisson stochastic integrals. As a consequence, using the Stein method we derive Berry-Esseen bounds for the asymptotic convergence of renormalized k-hop path counts to the normal distribution as the density of Poisson vertices tends to infinity. Computer codes for the recursive symbolic computation of moments and cumulants are provided in appendix.

Key words: Random graph, 1D unit disk model, *k*-hop counts, Poisson process, multiple stochastic integrals, moments, cumulants.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C80, 60G55, 60F05, 60B10.

1 Introduction

We consider the statistics and asymptotic behavior of k-hop connectivity of the onedimensional unit disk random connection model with connection radius r > 0 on a finite interval, see [Dro97]. Random geometric graphs have the ability to model physical systems in e.g. wireless networks, complex networks, and statistical mechanics.

^{*}nprivault@ntu.edu.sg

Early results in the normal approximation of subgraph counts in random graphs can be traced to the development of the Erdős-Rényi random graph $\mathbb{G}_n(p)$ [Gil59], [ER59]. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the asymptotic normality of the renormalized count of graphs in $\mathbb{G}_n(p_n)$ that are isomorphic to a fixed graph G have been obtained in [Ruc88], and made more precise in [BKR89] by the derivation of explicit convergence rates in the Wasserstein distance, see also [BHJ92] for bounds on the total variation distance of subgraph counts to the Poisson distribution. Such bounds have been strengthened in [KRT17] and [Röl21] using the Kolmogorov distance in the case of triangle counts. In [PS20b], those results have been extended to any subgraph G using the Kolmogorov distance.

In this paper, we focus on the counting of k-hops in the one-dimensional unit disk random connection model with connection radius r > 0 on a finite interval, see [Dro97]. See also [Pen03] for the more general setting of random geometric graphs and [WDG20] for the soft connection model. Here, the nodes are distributed on [0, kr], $k \ge 1$, according to a Poisson point process $(N_t)_{t \in [0, kr]}$ with intensity $\lambda(ds)$ of the form

$$\lambda(ds) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbf{1}_{((l-1)r, lr]}(s) \lambda_l((l-1)r + ds),$$
(1.1)

where $\lambda_1(ds) = \lambda_1(s)ds, \ldots, \lambda_k(ds) = \lambda_k(s)ds$ are absolutely continuous intensity measures on $[0, r], l = 1, \ldots, k$, as illustrated in the next graph.

$$0 \qquad r \qquad 2r \qquad 3r \qquad (k-1)r \quad kr$$

We are interested in the count $\sigma_k(t)$ of k-hops between additional nodes located respectively at 0 and t for some $t \in [0, kr]$, where two nodes $s, t \in [0, kr]$ are connected if and only if $|t - s| \leq r$. In this model, the distribution of k-hop counts has been expressed by a combinatorial approach in [KGKP21].



Figure 1: Graphs of three 5-hop paths linking x = 0 to y = 4.5 with r = 1.

The moments of k-hop counts in the random-connection model have been expressed in [Pri19] as summations over non-flat partition diagrams, however, those expressions are difficult to apply to the derivation of explicit bounds. In this paper we use a different approach based on the representation of k-hop counts in terms of multiple Poisson stochastic integrals, which allows us to derive explicit expressions for moments and cumulants of all orders by recursive formulas.

In Proposition 4.1 we provide a combinatorial expression for the computation of the joint moments of k-hop counts at different endpoint locations within [(k-1)r, kr]. This expression is then specialized to the computation of variance in Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3.

In Proposition 5.1, a recursive algorithm for the closed-form computation of joint moments is derived by representing k-hop counts as multiple Poisson stochastic integrals. A similar recursion formula is derived in Proposition 6.1 for the computation of joint cumulants, which yields a cumulant bound in Proposition 7.2.

As a consequence, we obtain the bound

$$\frac{c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(kr-t;\ldots;kr-t)}{(c_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(kr-t;kr-t))^{n/2}} \leqslant (n!)^{k-2}O(\lambda^{1-n/2}), \qquad n \geqslant 2,$$

on the cumulant $c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(kr-t;\ldots;kr-t)$ of order $n \ge 2$ of $\sigma_k(t)$, for $t \in [(k-1)r, kr)$. Denoting by \mathbb{P}_{λ} the distribution of the 1D unit disk graph with constant Poisson intensity $\lambda > 0$, this implies the Berry-Esseen bound

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}(\widetilde{\sigma}_{k}(t)) - \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N} \leq x)| \leq \frac{C(k, r)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$$

for the convergence of the renormalized k-hop count

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_k(t) := \frac{\sigma_k(t) - \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[\sigma_k(t)]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\sigma_k(t)]}}$$

to the normal distribution \mathcal{N} as λ tends to infinity, see Proposition 8.1. A bound of same order is also obtained in Proposition 8.1 using the Wasserstein distance.

The content of this paper can be summarized as follows. In Section 2 we show that k-hop counts can be represented in terms of multiple Poisson stochastic integrals. In

Section 3 we specialize those expressions when the k-hops are made of a single node per cell. Section 4 presents moment expressions in terms of sums over non-flat partitions based on results of [Pri19]. Sections 5 and 6 develop recursive expressions for the explicit calculation of joint moments and cumulants of any order. In Sections 7 and 8 we derive moment and cumulant bounds with application to Berry-Esseen rates for the convergence normalized k-hop counts to the normal distribution using the Stein method. The appendices contain specific moment and cumulant computations, background on moment computations for Poisson point processes based on [Pri12, Pri16], and Mathematica codes.

Set partitions, moments, cumulants, and Möbius inversion

This section gathers some preliminary facts on the relationships between joint moments, cumulants, and sums over partitions that will be useful in the sequel. We let $\Pi[n]$ denote the set of partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and given a symmetric function $f(\rho) = f(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_l)$ where $\pi = \{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_l\} \in \Pi[n]$ is a partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of size $n \ge 1$ we will use the notation

$$\sum_{\pi \in \Pi[n]} f(\pi) = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{\pi_1 \cup \dots \cup \pi_l} f(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_l).$$

We will also use the Möbius transform \hat{G} of a function G on partitions π of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, defined as

$$\widehat{G}(\sigma) := \sum_{\pi \le \sigma} G(\pi), \qquad \sigma \in \Pi[n], \tag{1.2}$$

where the sum (1.2) runs over all partitions π of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ that are finer than σ . The Möbius inversion formula, see e.g. [Rot75] or § 2.5 of [PT11], states that the function G in (1.2) can be recovered from its Möbius transform \hat{G} as

$$G(\pi) = \sum_{\sigma \le \pi} \mu(\sigma, \pi) \widehat{G}(\sigma), \qquad (1.3)$$

where $\mu(\sigma, \pi)$ is the Möbius function, with $\mu(\sigma, \hat{\mathbf{1}}) = (|\sigma|-1)!(-1)^{|\sigma|}$, where $|\sigma|$ denotes the cardinality of the block $\sigma \in \Pi[n]$ and $\hat{\mathbf{1}} := \{\{1, \ldots, n\}\}$ is the one-block partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. By (1.2) and (1.3) we also have the relation

$$G(\pi) = \sum_{\sigma \le \pi} \mu(\sigma, \pi) \sum_{\eta \le \sigma} G(\eta) = \sum_{\eta \le \sigma \le \pi} \mu(\sigma, \pi) G(\eta), \qquad \pi \in \Pi[n].$$
(1.4)

Given $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ a random vector, the joint cumulants of order (l_1, \ldots, l_n) are the coefficients $\kappa(X_1^{l_1}; \ldots; X_n^{l_n})$ appearing in the log-moment generating (MGF) expansion

$$\log \mathbb{E}\left[e^{t_1 X_1 + \dots + t_n X_n}\right] = \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_n \ge 1} \frac{t_1^{l_1} \cdots t_n^{l_n}}{l_1! \cdots l_n!} \kappa \left(X_1^{l_1}; \dots; X_n^{l_n}\right),$$

for (t_1, \ldots, t_n) in a neighborhood of zero in \mathbb{R}^n . The joint moments of (X_1, \ldots, X_n) are given from its cumulants by the joint moment-cumulant relation

$$\mathbb{E}[X_1\cdots X_n] = \sum_{\pi\in\Pi[n]}\prod_{A\in\pi}\kappa((X_i)_{i\in A}) = \sum_{l=1}^n\sum_{\pi_1\cup\cdots\cup\pi_l=\{1,\dots,n\}}\prod_{j=1}^l\kappa((X_i)_{i\in\pi_j}),$$

see Theorem 1 in [Luk55] or Relation (2.9) in [McC87]. The Möbius inversion relation (1.3) also allows us to recover joint cumulants from joint moments as

$$\kappa(X_1;\ldots;X_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi[n]} \mu(\sigma, \hat{\mathbf{1}}) \prod_{A \in \sigma} \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i \in A} X_i\right]$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^n (l-1)! (-1)^{l-1} \sum_{\pi_1 \cup \cdots \cup \pi_l = \{1,\ldots,n\}} \prod_{j=1}^l \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i \in \pi_j} X_i\right], \quad (1.5)$$

see Theorem 1 of [Luk55] or Corollary 5.1.6 in [Sta99]. In particular, the cumulant of order $n \ge 1$ of a Poisson distributed random variable X is its intensity is $\lambda > 0$ for all $n \ge 1$, with

$$\mathbb{E}[X^{n}] = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{\pi_{1} \cup \dots \cup \pi_{l} = \{1,\dots,n\}} \lambda^{l} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} S(n,l)\lambda^{l}$$

where S(n, l) the Stirling number of the second kind, $1 \le l \le n$. For $\lambda = 1$ this yields the Bell number

$$B_n = \sum_{l=1}^n S(n,l)$$

which is the number of partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, i.e. the cardinality of $\Pi[n]$.

2 Multiple stochastic integral representation of khop counts

In the sequel we use the notations $u \wedge v := \min(u, v)$ and $u \vee v := \max(u, v), u, v \ge 0$. Our approach to the recursive computation of moments and cumulants relies on the following stochastic integral representation of k-hop counts with respect to the Poisson process $(N_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ with intensity (1.1).

Proposition 2.1 Let $k \ge 2$. The number of k-hops joining 0 to $t \in [0, kr]$ can be written as the (non-compensated) multiple Poisson stochastic integral

$$\sigma_k(t) = \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t f_k(s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}) dN_{s_1} \cdots dN_{s_{k-1}}, \quad t \in [0, kr],$$
(2.1)

where f_k is the function of k-1 variables defined as

$$f_k(s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}) := \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{s_{l+1} < s_l + r\}},$$
(2.2)

 $s_1, \ldots, s_{k-1} \in [0, t]$, with $s_0 := 0$ and $s_k := t$.

Proof. When k = 2 we note that if a node is present at $s \in [0, r]$ it connects to every node inside [0, s), and therefore it generates $\sigma_1(s^-)$ new nodes, where $\sigma_1(s^-)$ denotes the almost sure left limit $\sigma_1(s^-) := \lim_{u \nearrow s} \sigma_1(u)$. When $s \in (r, 2r]$, if a node is present at $s \in [0, r]$ then the count of 1-hops up linking 0 to s - r has to be deducted, which yields the evolution

$$d\sigma_2(s) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1}_{[0,r]}(s)\sigma_1(s^-)dN_s, & s \in [0,r], \\ \\ -\mathbf{1}_{[r,2r]}(s)\sigma_1(s^- - r)dN_{s-r}, & s \in (r,2r] \end{cases}$$

where $(T_n)_{n\geq 1}$ denotes the jump times sequence of $(N_t)_{t\in[0,2r]}$. More generally, applying this argument by iterations to any $k \geq 3$ leads to the system of jump stochastic differential equations

$$d\sigma_k(s) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1}_{[0,(k-1)r]}(s)\sigma_{k-1}(s^-)dN_s, & s \in [0,(k-1)r], \\ -\mathbf{1}_{[r,kr]}(s)\sigma_{k-1}(s^--r)dN_{s-r}, & s \in ((k-1)r,kr], \end{cases}$$

or

$$d\sigma_k(s) = \mathbf{1}_{[0,(k-1)r]}(s)\sigma_{k-1}(s^-)dN_s - \mathbf{1}_{[r,kr]}(s)\sigma_{s^--r}^{(k-1)}dN_{s-r}, \quad s \in [0,kr],$$

hence the recurrence relation

$$\sigma_k(t) = \int_0^{((k-1)r) \wedge t} \sigma_{k-1}(s^-) dN_s - \int_r^{r \vee t} \sigma_{s^- - r}^{(k-1)} dN_{s-r}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{((k-1)r) \wedge t} \sigma_{k-1}(s^{-}) dN_{s} - \int_{0}^{0 \vee (t-r)} \sigma_{k-1}(s^{-}) dN_{s}$$

$$= \int_{0 \vee (t-r)}^{((k-1)r) \wedge t} \sigma_{k-1}(s^{-}) dN_{s}, \quad t \in [(k-1)r, kr],$$

$$= \begin{cases} \int_{t-r}^{t} \sigma_{k-1}(s^{-}) dN_{s}, \quad t \in [r, (k-1)r], \\ \int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{k-1}(s^{-}) dN_{s}, \quad t \in [0, r], \end{cases}$$

for $k \ge 2$. Finally, by induction we obtain

$$\sigma_{k}(t) = \int_{0\vee(t-r)}^{((k-1)r)\wedge t} \int_{0\vee(s_{k-1}^{-}-r)}^{((k-2)r)\wedge s_{k-1}^{-}} \cdots \int_{0\vee(s_{2}^{-}-r)}^{r\wedge s_{2}^{-}} dN_{s_{1}}\cdots dN_{s_{k-1}}$$
$$= \int_{0\vee(t-r)}^{((k-1)r)\wedge t} \int_{0\vee(s_{k-1}^{-}-r)}^{((k-2)r)\wedge t} \cdots \int_{0\vee(s_{2}^{-}-r)}^{r\wedge t} dN_{s_{1}}\cdots dN_{s_{k-1}}, \qquad (2.3)$$

and we conclude by letting

$$f_k(s_1,\ldots,s_{k-1}) := \mathbf{1}_{\{t-r < s_{k-1} < (k-1)r\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{s_{k-1} - r < s_{k-2} < (k-2)r\}} \cdots \mathbf{1}_{\{s_2 - r < s_1 < r\}},$$

$$s_1, \dots, s_{k-1} \in [0, t].$$

In particular, the 2-hop count is given by

$$\sigma_2(t) = \int_{0 \lor (t-r)}^{r \land t} dN_s = N_t \mathbf{1}_{[0,r]}(t) + (N_r - N_{t-r}) \mathbf{1}_{[r,2r]}(t).$$
(2.4)

In the case of 3-hops, we have

$$\sigma_3(t) = \int_{0 \lor (t-r)}^{(2r) \land t} \sigma_2(s^-) dN_s$$

$$= \begin{cases} \int_{t-r}^{2r} \sigma_2(s^-) dN_s = \int_{t-r}^{2r} \int_{s^- - r}^{s^-} dN_u dN_s = \int_{t-r}^{2r} (N_{s^-} - N_{s^- - r}) dN_s, & t \in [2r, 3r], \\ \int_{t-r}^t \sigma_2(s^-) dN_s = \int_{t-r}^t N_{s^-} dN_s - \int_r^t N_{s^- - r} dN_s \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (N_t - 1) N_t - \frac{1}{2} (N_{t-r} - 1) N_{t-r} - \sum_{l=1+N_r}^{N_t} N_{T_l^- - r}, & t \in [r, 2r], \\ \int_0^t N_{s^-} dN_s = \frac{1}{2} (N_t - 1) N_t, & t \in [0, r]. \end{cases}$$

More generally, when $t \in [(l-1)r, lr]$ for some $l \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$, by (2.3) we have

$$\sigma_k(t) = \int_{0 \lor (t-r)}^t \int_{0 \lor (s_{k-1}^- - r)}^t \cdots \int_{0 \lor (s_{l+1}^- - r)}^t \int_{s_l^- - r}^{(l-1)r} \cdots \int_{s_2^- - r}^r dN_{s_1} \cdots dN_{s_{k-1}},$$

hence the identity in distribution

$$\sigma_k(t) \stackrel{d}{\simeq} \int_{r \lor t}^{t+r} \int_{0 \lor (s_{k-1}^- - r)}^{t+r} \cdots \int_{0 \lor (s_{l+1}^- - r)}^{t+r} \int_{s_l^- - r}^{lr} \int_{s_{l-1}^- - r}^{(l-1)r} \cdots \int_{s_2^- - r}^{2r} dN_{s_1} \cdots dN_{s_{k-1}},$$

where we let $s_k := t$. The multiple compensated Poisson stochastic integral of order $n \ge 1$ of a deterministic symmetric function $f_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_+, \lambda^{\otimes n})$ is defined by

$$I_n(f_n) := n! \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) d(N_{t_1} - \lambda(dt_1)) \cdots d(N_{t_n} - \lambda(dt_n)),$$

with the isometry property

$$\mathbb{E}[(I_n(f_n))^2] = (n!)^2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f_n^2(t_1, \dots, t_n) \lambda(dt_1) \cdots \lambda(dt_n), \quad n \ge 1, \quad (2.5)$$

see e.g. Propositions 2.7.1 and 6.2.4 in [Pri09], and references therein. The next corollary of Proposition 2.1 gives the chaos decomposition of k-hop counts in terms of multiple Poisson stochastic integrals.

Corollary 2.2 For any $t \in [0, kr]$, the number $\sigma_k(t)$ of k-hops linking 0 to t can be represented as the sum of multiple compensated Poisson stochastic integrals

$$\sigma_k(t) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{l} I_l \left(\mathbf{1}_{\{* \in [0,t]^l\}} \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t \widetilde{f}_k(*, s_{l+1}, \dots, s_{k-1}) ds_{l+1} \cdots ds_{k-1} \right),$$
(2.6)

where \tilde{f}_k is the symmetrization in k-1 variables of the function f_k defined in (2.2).

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.1 and the binomial theorem applied to $(dN_{t_1} - \lambda(dt_1)) \cdots (dN_{t_{k-1}} - \lambda(dt_{k-1}))$.

3 Single node per cell

In the case where k-hop paths are constrained to have a single node per cell [(l-1)r, lr], l = 1, ..., k, we must have $t \in [(k-1)r, k]$, and $f_k(s_1, ..., s_{k-1}) = 0$ unless

$$(s_1, \ldots, s_{k-1}) \in [0, r] \times [r, 2r] \times \cdots \times [(k-2)r, (k-1)r].$$

Multiple Poisson stochastic integral expression

In particular, when $t \in [(k-1)r, k]$ with $k \ge 2$, Relation (2.3) yields the identity in distribution

$$\sigma_{k}(t) = \int_{t-r}^{(k-1)r} \int_{s_{k-1}-r}^{(k-2)r} \cdots \int_{s_{2}-r}^{r} dN_{s_{1}} \cdots dN_{s_{k-1}}$$

$$\stackrel{d}{\simeq} \int_{t}^{kr} \int_{s_{k-1}-r}^{(k-1)r} \cdots \int_{s_{2}-r}^{2r} dN_{s_{1}} \cdots dN_{s_{k-1}}, \qquad (3.1)$$

where $s_k := t$, hence the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 Let $k \ge 2$. For $\tau \in [0, r]$ we have the identity in distribution

$$\sigma_k(kr - \tau) \stackrel{d}{\simeq} \int_0^\tau \int_0^{s_{k-1}^-} \cdots \int_0^{s_2^-} dN_{s_1}^{(1)} \cdots dN_{s_{k-1}}^{(k-1)}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $(N_s^{(l)})_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a family of independent Poisson processes with respective intensities $\lambda_l(ds) = \lambda_l(s)ds, \ l = 1, \dots, k-1.$

Proof. When $t \in [(k-1)r, kr]$, by (3.1) we have the identity in distribution

$$\sigma_k(t) \stackrel{d}{\simeq} \int_t^{kr} \int_{s_{k-1}^{-1}-r}^{(k-1)r} \cdots \int_{s_2^{-1}-r}^{2r} dN_{s_1} \cdots dN_{s_{k-1}}$$
$$= (-1)^{k-1} \int_0^{kr-t} \int_r^{r+u_{k-1}^{-1}} \cdots \int_{(k-2)r}^{r+u_2^{-1}} dN_{kr-u_1} \cdots dN_{kr-u_{k-1}}$$

where we let $u_i := kr - s_i$, i = 1, ..., k - 1, hence the identity in distribution

$$\sigma_k(t) \stackrel{d}{\simeq} \int_0^{kr-t} \int_r^{r+v_{k-1}^-} \cdots \int_{(k-2)r}^{r+v_2^-} dN_{v_1} \cdots dN_{v_{k-1}}.$$

We note that in the above integral we have $(l-1)r < v_l \leq lr$ for l = 1, ..., k-1, hence the integration intervals are disjoint and the Poisson process samples (N_{v_i}) , i = 1, ..., k-1, are independent on their integration intervals, which yields the identity in distribution

$$\sigma_k(t) \stackrel{d}{\simeq} \int_0^{kr-t} \int_0^{s_{k-1}^-} \cdots \int_0^{s_2^-} dN_{s_1}^{(1)} \cdots dN_{s_{k-1}}^{(k-1)}, \qquad (k-1)r \leqslant t \leqslant kr.$$

U-Statistics formulation

As noted in e.g. [KGKP21], when $\tau \in [0, r]$, any node contributing to a k-hop path linking $x_0 := 0$ to $x_{k+1} := kr - \tau$ must belong to one of the lenses pictured in pink in Figure 1, and defined as the intervals

$$L_j := [jr - \tau, jr] = [0, \tau] + jr - \tau, \qquad j = 1, \dots, k - 1,$$

of identical length τ . In particular, any k-hop path linking $x_0 := 0$ to $x_{k+1} := kr - \tau$ should have a single node per cell $[(j-1)r, jr], j = 1, \ldots, k$, hence it must be realized using a sequence (x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}) of nodes such that

$$x_{i+1} < x_i + r, \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, k,$$

with $x_0 := 0$ and $x_k := kr - \tau$. Therefore, any k-hop path (x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}) can be mapped to a sequence $(y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}) \in [0, \tau]^{k-1}$ by the relation

$$y_j := x_j - (jr - \tau), \qquad j = 1, \dots, k - 1,$$

with $y_1 > \cdots > y_{k-1}$. Based on the above description, we can model the random graph using a Poisson point process ω on $X := [0, r] \times \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$, with intensity μ of the form

$$\mu(ds, \{i\}) := \lambda_l(ds) = \lambda_l(s)ds, \qquad l = 1, \dots, k-1,$$

and (3.2) can be rewritten as in the next proposition.

Proposition 3.2 When $\tau \in [0, r]$, the count $\sigma_k(kr - \tau)$ of k-hop paths can be represented as the U-statistics

$$\sigma_k(kr - \tau) = \sum_{\substack{((x_1, l_1), \dots, ((x_{k-1}, l_{k-1})) \in \omega^{k-1} \\ (x_i, l_i) \neq (x_j, l_j), 1 \le i \neq j \le d}} f_\tau(x_1, l_1; \dots; x_{k-1}, l_{k-1})$$
(3.3)

of order k - 1, where $f_{\tau} : ([0, r] \times \{1, \dots, k - 1\})^{k-1} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is the function of k - 1variables in $[0, r] \times \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ given by

$$f_{\tau}(x_1, l_1; \dots; x_{k-1}, l_{k-1}) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{x_i < x_{i+1}, l_i < l_{i+1}\}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{l_1 = 1, \dots, l_{k-1} = k-1\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{k-1} < \tau\}}$$

with $(x_0, l_0) := (0, 0)$ and $(x_k, l_k) := (t, k)$.

4 Joint moments of k-hop counts

Proposition 4.1 provides a combinatorial expression for the joint moments of $(\sigma_k(kr - \tau_1), \ldots, \sigma_k(kr - \tau_n))$ for any $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \in [0, r]$, using sums over partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Proposition 4.1 Let $n \ge 1$. For any $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \in [0, r]$, letting $\hat{\tau}_{\pi} := \min_{i \in \pi} \tau_i$ for $\pi \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\sigma_{k}(kr-\tau_{1})\cdots\sigma_{k}(kr-\tau_{n})] = \sum_{\pi^{1},\dots,\pi^{k-1}\in\Pi[n]} \int_{\prod_{l=1}^{k-1}\prod_{j=1}^{|\pi^{l}|}[0,\hat{\tau}_{\pi^{l}_{j}}]} \prod_{\substack{1\leq l< k\\ 1\leq j\leq |\pi^{l}|\\ i\in\pi^{l}_{j}}} \mathbf{1}_{\{z_{\zeta_{i}}^{1}<\dots< z_{\zeta_{i}}^{k-1}\}} \lambda_{1}(dz_{\pi^{1}}^{1})\cdots\lambda_{k-1}(dz_{\pi^{k-1}}^{k-1}), \quad (4.1)$$

where ζ_i^j denotes the block of π^j that contains the index $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and $dz_{\pi^j}^j := (dz_i^j)_{i \in \pi^j}, j = 1, \ldots, k-1.$

Proof. For any $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \in [0, r]$, by (3.3) and Corollary B.4 we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\sigma_{k}(kr-\tau_{1})\cdots\sigma_{k}(kr-\tau_{n})] = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \Pi[n \times (k-1)] \\ \pi \wedge \rho = \hat{0}}} \int_{[0,r]^{|\pi|}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le l_{q} \le k-1 \\ 1 \le q \le |\pi|}} \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le |\pi| \\ i \in \pi_{j}}} f_{\tau_{i}}(z_{\zeta_{i,1}^{\pi}}, l_{\zeta_{i,1}^{\pi}}; \ldots; z_{\zeta_{i,k-1}^{\pi}}, l_{\zeta_{i,k-1}^{\pi}}) \lambda_{\bar{\pi}_{1}}(dz_{1})\cdots\lambda_{\bar{\pi}_{|\pi|}}(dz_{|\pi|}),$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{0}} := \{\{1\}, \ldots, \{n\}\}\$ is the *n*-block partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}, \ \overline{\pi}_i$ denotes the index $j \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}\$ of the unique block $\eta_j = ((i, j))_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ containing $\pi_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, |\pi|,$

and the sum is taken over non-flat partitions π in NC[$n \times (k-1)$] that are non-crossing in the sense that if (k, l) and (k', l') belong to a same block of π then we should have l = l'. This yields

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\big[\sigma_{k}(kr-\tau_{1})\cdots\sigma_{k}(kr-\tau_{n})\big] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \Pi[n \times (k-1)] \\ \pi \wedge \rho = \hat{0}}} \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\pi_{1}}} \cdots \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\pi|\pi|}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le |\pi| \\ i \in \pi_{j} \le |\pi| \\ i \in \pi_{j} \le |\pi|}} f_{\tau_{i}} \Big(z_{\zeta_{i,1}^{\pi}}, l_{\zeta_{i,1}^{\pi}}; \dots; z_{\zeta_{i,k-1}^{\pi}}, l_{\zeta_{i,k-1}^{\pi}}\Big) \lambda_{\bar{\pi}_{1}}(dz_{1}) \cdots \lambda_{\bar{\pi}_{|\pi|}}(dz_{|\pi|}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \Pi[n \times (k-1)] \\ \pi \wedge \rho = \hat{0}}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{\pi}_{1} \le \cdots \le \bar{\pi}_{|\pi|}\}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le \cdots \le l_{|\pi|} \\ i \in \pi_{j} \le |\pi|}} f_{\tau_{i}} \Big(z_{\zeta_{i,1}^{\pi}}, l_{\zeta_{i,1}^{\pi}}; \dots; z_{\zeta_{i,k-1}^{\pi}}, l_{\zeta_{i,k-1}^{\pi}}\Big) \lambda_{\bar{\pi}_{1}}(dz_{1}) \cdots \lambda_{\bar{\pi}_{|\pi|}}(dz_{|\pi|}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \operatorname{NC}[n \times (k-1)] \\ \pi \wedge \rho = \hat{0}}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{\pi}_{1} \le \cdots \le \bar{\pi}_{|\pi|}\}} \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\pi_{1}}} \cdots \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\pi_{|\pi|}}} \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le |\pi| \\ i \in \pi_{j}}} \mathbf{1}_{\{z_{\zeta_{i,1}^{\pi}} < \cdots < z_{\zeta_{i,k-1}^{\pi}}\}} \lambda_{\bar{\pi}_{1}}(dz_{1}) \cdots \lambda_{\bar{\pi}_{|\pi|}}(dz_{|\pi|}). \end{split}$$

We conclude to (4.1) by noting that any non-flat and non-crossing partition π in $NC[n \times (k-1)]$ can be written as

$$\pi = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{|\pi|}\} = \bigcup_{l=1}^{k-1} \pi^l,$$

where $\pi^l \in \Pi[n]$ is a partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ for every $l = 1, \ldots, k - 1$.

Next, we present the application of Proposition 4.1 in the particular cases of first and second moments.

First moment

When n = 1 there is only one non-flat and non-crossing partition of $1 \times (k-1)$, which is given as $\rho = \{\{(1,1)\}, \dots, \{(1,k-1)\}\}$ and can be represented as follows for k = 9:

This yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\sigma_k(kr-\tau)] = \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{z_1 < \cdots < z_{k-1}\}} \lambda_1(dz_1) \cdots \lambda_{k-1}(dz_{k-1})$$

$$= \int_0^t \int_0^{z_{k-1}} \cdots \int_0^{z_2} \lambda_1(dz_1) \cdots \lambda_{k-1}(dz_{k-1}), \qquad (4.2)$$

and when $\lambda_i(s)$ is the constant density $\lambda_i > 0$ on cell $i, i = 1, \ldots, k - 1$, we find

$$\mathbb{E}[\sigma_k(kr-\tau)] = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{k-1} \frac{t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}$$

Second moment

When n = 2 the count of blocks of non-flat and non-crossing partitions of $[2 \times (k-1)]$ ranges from k - 1 to 2k - 2, each block has size either one or two, as in the following example with k = 9.



As a consequence, in the next proposition we obtain the second moment of the count $\sigma_k(t)$ of k-hop paths. Higher cumulants and moments of $\sigma_k(t)$ may also be computed by this method using Corollary 2.2 above and Corollary 7.4.1 of [PT11].

Proposition 4.2 The variance of the k-hop path count $\sigma_k(kr - \tau)$, $\tau \in [0, r]$, is given by

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\sigma_{k}(kr-\tau)] = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \tau^{2k-2-l} \frac{\lambda_{1}^{2} \cdots \lambda_{k-1}^{2}}{(2k-2-l)!} \sum_{j_{0}+\dots+j_{l}=k-1-l \atop j_{0},\dots,j_{l} \ge 0} \prod_{q=1}^{l} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j_{0}+\dots+j_{q-1}+q}} \prod_{p=0}^{l} \binom{2j_{p}}{j_{p}}.$$

Proof. We apply Proposition 4.1 by noting that the blocks of size one are in even number, and denoting by i_1, \ldots, i_l their locations with $i_1 = 2, i_2 = 3, i_3 = 6, i_4 = 7, i_5 = 8$ in the above example, when $t = t_1 = t_2$, and letting $z_0 := 0$ and $z_k := \tau$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\sigma_k^2(kr - \tau)] = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{k-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{0=i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_l < i_{l+1}=k} \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \le q < k\\ q \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}}} \lambda_q\right) \\ \times \int_0^\tau \int_0^{z_{i_l}} \cdots \int_0^{z_{i_2}} \prod_{p=0}^l \left(\frac{(z_{i_{p+1}} - z_{i_p})^{(i_{p+1} - i_p - 1)}}{(i_{p+1} - i_p - 1)!}\right)^2 dz_{i_1} \cdots dz_{i_l},$$

where we let $z_0 := 0$ and $z_k := \tau$, To conclude, we check that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{0}^{a_{k}} \int_{0}^{z_{i_{l}}} \cdots \int_{0}^{z_{i_{2}}} \prod_{p=0}^{l} \frac{(z_{i_{p+1}} - z_{i_{p}})^{2(i_{p+1} - i_{p} - 1)}}{((i_{p+1} - i_{p} - 1)!)^{2}} dz_{i_{1}} \cdots dz_{i_{l}} \\ &= \frac{1}{((i_{1} - 1)!)^{2}} \prod_{p=1}^{l} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - y)^{2(i_{p+1} - i_{p} - 1)}y^{2i_{p} - p - 1}}{((i_{p+1} - i_{p} - 1)!)^{2}} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{((i_{1} - 1)!)^{2}} \prod_{p=1}^{l} \frac{B(2i_{p} - p, 2(i_{p+1} - i_{p}) - 1)}{((i_{p+1} - i_{p} - 1)!)^{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2k - 2 - l)!} \prod_{p=0}^{l} \frac{(2(i_{p+1} - i_{p} - 1)!)^{2}}{((i_{p+1} - i_{p} - 1)!)^{2}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$B(x,y) := \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt = \frac{(x-1)!(y-1)!}{(x+y-1)!}, \qquad x, y > 0,$$

is the beta function, and $2^{-(i_{l+1}-i_l-1)}(2(i_{l+1}-i_l-1))!/(i_{l+1}-i_l-1)!$ is the number of pair-partitions of $2(i_{l+1}-i_l-1)$.

Alternatively, Proposition 4.2 can be proved as a consequence of Corollary 2.2, and the Itô isometry for multiple Poisson stochastic integrals. For this, we can use the expression

$$\sigma_k(kr - \tau) = \int_{(k-1)r-\tau}^{(k-1)r} \int_{s_{k-1}^- r}^{(k-2)r} \cdots \int_{s_2^- - r}^r dN_{s_1} \cdots dN_{s_{k-1}}$$
$$= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{0 = i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_l < i_{l+1} = k} \int_0^{(k-1)r} \cdots \int_0^{(k-1)r} f_k(s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}) \prod_{\substack{1 \le q \le d \\ q \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}}} (\lambda_q ds_q) \prod_{p=1}^l (dN_{s_{i_p}} - \lambda_{i_p} ds_{i_p})$$

that follows from Corollary 2.2 and the isometry and orthogonality property (2.5) of multiple Poisson stochastic integrals, to show that

$$\mathbb{E}[\sigma_k^2(kr - \tau)] = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{0=i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_l < i_{l+1}=k} \int_{[0,(k-1)r]^l} \left(\int_{[0,(k-1)r]^{d-l}} f_k(s_1,\dots,s_{k-1}) \prod_{\substack{1 \le q < k \\ q \notin \{i_1,\dots,i_l\}}} (\lambda_q ds_q) \right)^2 \prod_{p=1}^l (\lambda_{i_p} ds_{i_p})$$

The following table provides variance formulas of k-hop counts computed from Proposition 4.2 by taking $\tau = 1$ for simplicity.

	Variance
2-hops	λ_1
3-hops	$\frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{2} + 2\frac{\lambda_1^2\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_2^2}{3!}$
4-hops	$\frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}{3!} + 2\frac{\lambda_1^2\lambda_2\lambda_3 + \lambda_1\lambda_2^2\lambda_3 + \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3^2}{4!} + \frac{4\lambda_1^2\lambda_2\lambda_3^2 + 6\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2\lambda_3 + 4\lambda_1\lambda_2^2\lambda_3^2}{5!}$

Table 1: Variances of k-hop counts.

In case the Poisson intensities are identical on all cells, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.3 Assume that $\lambda = \lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_{k-1}$ and let $\tau \in [0, r]$. Then, the variance of the k-hop path count $\sigma_k(kr - \tau)$ is given by

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\sigma_{k}(kr-\tau)] = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \binom{k-1}{l} (\lambda\tau)^{k-1+l} \frac{\Gamma((k-1-l)/2+1)}{\Gamma((k-1+l)/2+1)}, \quad (4.3)$$

where Γ denotes the gamma function defined as

$$\Gamma(z) := \int_0^\infty x^{z-1} e^{-x} dx, \qquad z > 0$$

Proof. Let X_0, \ldots, X_k be independent standard normal random variables. From the moment relation $\mathbb{E}\left[X_p^{2j_p}\right] = 2^{-j_p}(2j_p)!/j_p!$ and the fact that the sum $X_0^2 + \cdots + X_l^2$ has a Chi square distribution, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{j_0+\dots+j_l=k-1-l\\j_0,\dots,j_l\ge 0}} \prod_{p=0}^l \binom{2j_p}{j_p} = \frac{2^{k-1-l}}{(k-1-l)!} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\substack{j_0+\dots+j_l=k-1-l\\j_0,\dots,j_l\ge 0}} \frac{(k-1-l)!}{j_0!\cdots j_l!} \prod_{p=0}^l X_p^{2j_p}\right]$$
$$= \frac{2^{2(k-1-l)}}{(k-1-l)!} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{X_0^2+\dots+X_l^2}{2}\right)^{k-1-l}\right]$$
$$= 2^{2(k-1-l)} \frac{\Gamma(k-1-l+(l+1)/2)}{(k-1-l)!\Gamma((l+1)/2)}.$$

Hence from Proposition 4.2 we find

$$\mathbb{E}[\sigma_k^2(kr-\tau)] = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{(\lambda\tau)^{2k-2-l}}{(2k-2-l)!} \frac{2^{2(k-1-l)}}{(k-1-l)!} \frac{\Gamma(k-1+(1-l)/2)}{\Gamma((l+1)/2)}$$
$$= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{(\lambda\tau)^{k-1+l}}{(k-1+l)!} \frac{2^{2l}}{l!} \frac{\Gamma((k-1+l+1)/2)}{\Gamma((k-1-l+1)/2)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{l} (\lambda\tau)^{k-1+l} \frac{((k-1-l)/2)!}{((k-1+l)/2)!}.$$

The following table provides variance formulas of k-hop counts obtained from Corollary 4.3, by taking $\lambda = 1$ for simplicity.

	Variance
2-hops	au
3-hops	$\frac{\tau^2}{2} + 2\frac{\tau^3}{3}$
4-hops	$\frac{\tau^3}{3!} + \frac{\tau^4}{4} + 2\frac{\tau^5}{15}$
5-hops	$\frac{\tau^4}{4!} + \frac{\tau^5}{15} + \frac{\tau^6}{24} + \frac{4\tau^7}{315}$
6-hops	$\frac{\tau^5}{5!} + \frac{\tau^6}{72} + \frac{\tau^7}{105} + \frac{\tau^8}{288} + \frac{2\tau^9}{2835}$

Table 2: Variances of k-hop counts.

Using the Legendre duplication formula $(2k-3)!\Gamma(3/2) = 2^{2k-4}(k-2)!\Gamma(k-1/2)$, Corollary 4.3 also yields the following asymptotic variance. In the sequel, for f and gtwo nonvanishing functions on \mathbb{R}_+ we write $f(\lambda) \approx g(\lambda)$ if $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} f(\lambda)/g(\lambda) = 1$.

Proposition 4.4 As λ tends to infinity we have the equivalence

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\sigma_k(kr - \tau)] \approx \frac{(2\lambda\tau)^{2k-3}}{2(2k-3)!}.$$
(4.4)

5 Joint moments recursion

In the one-hop case we simply have $\sigma_1(t) = 1$ and $m_{1,n}^{(\lambda)} = 1$, $n \ge 0$. As for the two-hop count, (2.4) yields the joint Poisson moments formula

$$m_{2,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) = \mathbb{E}[\sigma_2(2r - \tau_1) \cdots \sigma_2(2r - \tau_n)] = \sum_{l=1}^n \lambda_1^l \sum_{\eta_1 \cup \dots \cup \eta_l = \{1, \dots, n\}} \prod_{j=1}^l \hat{\tau}_{\eta_j}, \quad (5.1)$$

which follows from the expression $\lambda_1 \min(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)$ of the joint Poisson cumulants of $(\sigma_2(2r - \tau_1), \ldots, \sigma_2(2r - \tau_n))$. The direct application of Proposition 4.1 to the evaluation of higher order joint moments of k-hop counts is not an easy task due to the complexity of the summations over partitions involved in (4.1). In Proposition 5.1 we propose to compute joint moments by a recursion argument using the multiple Poisson stochastic integral representation (3.2) instead of the U-statistics expression (3.3). Particular cases are considered with explicit computations for n = 1, 2, 3 in Appendix C.

Proposition 5.1 For $k \ge 1$, the joint moments

$$m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_n) := \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[\sigma_k(kr-\tau_1)\cdots\sigma_k(kr-\tau_n)], \qquad 0 \leqslant \tau_1,\ldots,\tau_n \leqslant r_n$$

satisfy the recursion

$$m_{k+1,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{\pi_1 \cup \dots \cup \pi_l = \{1, \dots, n\}} \int_0^{\hat{\tau}_{\pi_l}} \dots \int_0^{\hat{\tau}_{\pi_1}} m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\bar{u}_{\pi_1}, \dots, \bar{u}_{\pi_l}) \lambda_k(du_1) \dots \lambda_k(du_l),$$
(5.2)

 $0 \leq \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \leq r$, where $\overline{u}_{\pi_i} := (\underbrace{u_i, \ldots, u_i}_{|\pi_i| \text{ times}})$ and $\widehat{\tau}_{\pi} := \min_{i \in \pi} \tau_i \text{ for } \pi \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}.$

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, when $\tau \in [0, r]$ we have $\sigma^{(k+1)}(kr - \tau) \stackrel{d}{\simeq} Z_{\tau}^{(k+1)}$ in distribution, where $Z_{\tau}^{(k+1)}$ satisfies the recursion

$$Z_{\tau}^{(k+1)} = \int_{0}^{\tau} Z_{u}^{(k)} dN_{u}^{(k)}, \qquad \tau \in [0, r], \quad k \ge 1,$$
(5.3)

and $(N_u^{(l)})_{u \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a family of independent Poisson processes with respective intensities $\lambda_l(ds) := \lambda(ds - (l-1)r), l = 1, ..., k$. Hence, by Proposition B.1, for $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \in [0, r]$ we have

$$\begin{split} m_{k+1,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_{1},\ldots,\tau_{n}) &= \mathbb{E}\left[Z_{\tau_{1}}^{(k+1)}\cdots Z_{\tau_{n}}^{(k+1)}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} Z_{u}^{(k)} dN_{u}^{(k)}\cdots \int_{0}^{\tau_{n}} Z_{u}^{(k)} dN_{u}^{(k)}\right] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{l} \sum_{\pi_{1}\cup\cdots\cup\pi_{l}=\{1,\ldots,n\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{l}} \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{l} \prod_{i\in\pi_{j}} \left(Z_{u_{j}}^{(k)} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau_{i}]}(u_{j})\right)\right] \lambda_{k}(du_{1})\cdots\lambda_{k}(du_{l}) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{l} \sum_{\pi_{1}\cup\cdots\cup\pi_{l}=\{1,\ldots,n\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{l}} \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{l} \left(\left(Z_{u_{j}}^{(k)}\right)^{|\pi_{j}|} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\hat{\tau}_{\pi_{j}}]}(u_{j})\right)\right] \lambda_{k}(du_{1})\cdots\lambda_{k}(du_{l}) \end{split}$$

$$=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\lambda_{k}^{l}\sum_{\pi_{1}\cup\dots\cup\pi_{l}=\{1,\dots,n\}}\int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\pi_{l}}}\dots\int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\pi_{l}}}\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{l}\left(Z_{u_{j}}^{(k)}\right)^{|\pi_{j}|}\right]\lambda_{k}(du_{1})\dots\lambda_{k}(du_{l})$$
$$=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\lambda_{k}^{l}\sum_{\pi_{1}\cup\dots\cup\pi_{l}=\{1,\dots,n\}}\int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\pi_{l}}}\dots\int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\pi_{1}}}m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\bar{u}_{\pi_{1}},\dots,\bar{u}_{\pi_{l}})\lambda_{k}(du_{1})\dots\lambda_{k}(du_{l}).$$
(5.4)

Table 3 lists the first four joint moments $m_{2,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)$ of the two-hop counts, computed as an application of Proposition 5.1 from the command mk[$\{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n\}, \{\lambda_1\}$] in the Mathematica codes 1-2 in appendix for n = 1, 2, 3, 4, when $\lambda_1(ds) = \lambda_1 ds = ds$. The case $\lambda_1(ds) = \lambda_1 ds, \lambda_1 > 0$, is obtained by replacing τ_i with $\lambda_1 \tau_i, i = 1, 2, 3$.

	Joint moments of 2-hop counts
First	$ au_1$
Second	$ au_1 + au_1 au_2$
Third	$\tau_1 + \tau_1 \tau_3 + 2\tau_1 \tau_2 + \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3$
Fourth	$\tau_1 + \tau_1 \tau_4 + 2\tau_1 \tau_3 + 4\tau_1 \tau_2 + \tau_1 \tau_3 \tau_4 + 2\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_4 + 3\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 + \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \tau_4$

Table 3: Joint moments $m_{2,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_n)$ of 2-hop counts of orders n = 1, 2, 3, 4.

Tables 4 and 5 provide the first four moments of the three-hop and four-hop counts computed from the commands mk[$\{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n\}, \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$] and mk[$\{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n\}, \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}$] in Mathematica for n = 1, 2, 3, 4, where for simplicity we take $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1$ and $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3 = \tau$.

	Moments of 3-hop counts
First	$\frac{\tau^2}{2}$
Second	$\frac{\tau^2}{2} + 2\frac{\tau^3}{3} + \frac{\tau^4}{4}$
Third	$\frac{\tau^2}{2} + 2\tau^3 + 5\frac{\tau^4}{2} + \tau^5 + \frac{\tau^6}{8}$
Fourth	$\frac{\tau^2}{2} + 14\frac{\tau^3}{3} + 53\frac{\tau^4}{4} + 66\frac{\tau^5}{5} + 67\frac{\tau^6}{12} + \tau^7 + \frac{\tau^8}{16}$

Table 4: Moments $m_{3,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau, \ldots, \tau)$ of 3-hop counts of orders n = 1, 2, 3, 4.

	Moments of 4-hop counts
First	$\frac{ au^3}{3!}$
Second	$\frac{\tau^3}{3!} + \frac{\tau^4}{4} + 2\frac{\tau^5}{15} + \frac{\tau^6}{36}$
Third	$\frac{\tau^3}{3!} + 3\frac{\tau^4}{4} + 5\frac{\tau^5}{4} + \frac{59\tau^6}{60} + \frac{13\tau^7}{35} + \frac{\tau^8}{15} + \frac{\tau^9}{216}$

Table 5: Moments $m_{4,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau,\ldots,\tau)$ of 4-hop counts of orders n=1,2,3.

The following figures plot higher joint moment formulas up to the order six, together with their confirmations by Monte Carlo simulations.



Figure 2: Third and fourth joint moments of 4-hop counts.



Figure 3: Fifth and sixth joint moments of 4-hop counts.

6 Joint cumulants recursion

In the sequel, given $\{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_l\}$ a partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_l \in [0, r]$ we denote by

$$c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\bar{\tau}_{\pi_1};\ldots;\bar{\tau}_{\pi_l}) := \kappa_\lambda \left((\sigma_k(kr-\tau_1))^{|\pi_1|},\ldots, (\sigma_k(kr-\tau_l))^{|\pi_l|} \right)$$

the joint cumulant of $((\sigma_k(kr - \tau_1))^{|\pi_1|}, \ldots, (\sigma_k(kr - \tau_l))^{|\pi_l|})$, and we let $c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1; \ldots; \tau_n)$ denote the joint cumulant $\kappa_{\lambda}(\sigma_k(kr - \tau_1), \ldots, \sigma_k(kr - \tau_n))$. The following proposition is the counterpart of the moment recursion of Proposition 5.1 obtained by the Möbius inversion relation (1.3). Particular cases are considered with explicit computations for n = 2, 3, 4 in Appendix D.

Proposition 6.1 Let $\tau \in [0, r]$ and $k, n \ge 1$. The cumulant of order n of $\sigma_{k+1}(kr - \tau)$ satisfies the recursion

$$c_{k+1,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1;\ldots;\tau_n) = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{\pi_1 \cup \cdots \cup \pi_l = \{1,\ldots,n\}} \int_0^{\hat{\tau}_{\pi_1}} \cdots \int_0^{\hat{\tau}_{\pi_l}} c_{k,l}^{(\lambda)}(\bar{s}_{\pi_1};\ldots;\bar{s}_{\pi_l})\lambda_k(ds_1)\cdots\lambda_k(ds_l),$$
(6.1)

where we let $\hat{\tau}_{\pi} := \min_{i \in \pi} \tau_i$ for $\pi \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Proof. When k = 1 we have $\sigma_1(\tau) = 1$, $\tau \in [0, r]$, hence $c_{1,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1; \ldots; \tau_n) = \mathbf{1}_{\{n=1\}}$, and by (2.4) the cumulants of the two-hop count $\sigma_2(2r - \tau) = N_r - N_{r-\tau} \stackrel{d}{\simeq} N_{\tau}^{(1)}$, $\tau \in [0, r]$, are the joint Poisson cumulants

$$c_{2,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1;\ldots;\tau_n) = \min(\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_n), \qquad n \ge 1, \tag{6.2}$$

which is consistent with the joint Poisson moments formula (5.1) and shows that (6.1) holds at the rank k = 1. Next, assuming that (6.1) holds at the rank $k \ge 1$, by the joint cumulant-moment inversion relation (1.5) we have

$$c_{k+1,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1;\ldots;\tau_n) = \sum_{l=1}^n (l-1)!(-1)^{l-1} \sum_{\pi_1 \cup \cdots \cup \pi_l = \{1,\ldots,n\}} \prod_{q=1}^l m_{k+1,|\pi_q|}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_{\pi_q})$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^n (l-1)!(-1)^{l-1}$$
$$\sum_{\pi_1 \cup \cdots \cup \pi_l = \{1,\ldots,n\}} \prod_{q=1}^l \sum_{\eta^q \le \pi_q} \int_0^{\hat{\tau}_{\eta_1^q}} \cdots \int_0^{\hat{\tau}_{\eta_{|\eta^q|}}} m_{k,|\pi_q|}^{(\lambda)} (\bar{u}_{\eta_1^q};\ldots;\bar{u}_{\eta_{|\eta^q|}}) \lambda_k(du_1) \cdots \lambda_k(du_{|\eta^q|}),$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{l=1}^{n} (l-1)! (-1)^{l-1} \\ &\sum_{\pi_{1} \cup \dots \cup \pi_{l} = \{1,\dots,n\}} \sum_{\substack{\eta^{1} \leq \pi_{i} \\ 1 \leq i \leq l}} \prod_{q=1}^{l} \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{q}^{q}} \cdots \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{q}^{q}_{|\eta^{q}|}} m_{k,|\eta^{q}|}^{(\lambda)} (\bar{u}_{\eta_{1}^{q}};\dots;\bar{u}_{\eta_{|\eta^{q}|}}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \cdots \lambda_{k}(du_{|\eta^{q}|}), \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n} (l-1)! (-1)^{l-1} \\ &\sum_{\pi_{1} \cup \dots \cup \pi_{l} = \{1,\dots,n\}} \sum_{\substack{\eta^{1} \leq \pi_{i} \\ 1 \leq i \leq l}} \prod_{q=1}^{l} \sum_{\psi^{q} \leq \eta^{q}} \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\eta_{1}^{q}}} \cdots \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\eta_{|\eta^{q}|}}} \prod_{m=1}^{|\psi^{q}|} c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)} ((\bar{u}_{\eta_{1}^{q}})_{i \in \psi_{m}^{q}}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \cdots \lambda_{k}(du_{|\eta^{q}|}) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n} (l-1)! (-1)^{l-1} \\ &\sum_{\pi_{1} \cup \dots \cup \pi_{l} = \{1,\dots,n\}} \sum_{\psi^{1} \leq \eta^{1} \leq \pi_{i}} \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\eta_{1}}} \cdots \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\eta_{|\eta^{q}|}}} \prod_{m=1}^{|\psi^{q}|} \prod_{q=1}^{l} c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)} ((\bar{u}_{\eta_{1}^{q}})_{i \in \psi_{m}^{q}}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \cdots \lambda_{k}(du_{|\psi^{q}|}) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n} (l-1)! (-1)^{l-1} \\ &\sum_{\eta \leq \sigma \leq \hat{1}} \sum_{\psi \leq \eta} \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\eta_{1}}} \cdots \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\eta_{|\eta^{q}|}}} \prod_{m=1}^{|\psi^{q}|} \prod_{q=1}^{l} c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)} ((\bar{u}_{\eta_{1}^{q}})_{i \in \psi_{m}^{q}}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \cdots \lambda_{k}(du_{|\psi^{q}|}) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n} \mu(\sigma, \hat{1}) \sum_{\eta \leq \sigma \leq \hat{1}} \sum_{\psi \leq \eta} \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\eta_{1}}} \cdots \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\psi^{|\psi^{q}|}}} \prod_{A \in \eta} c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)} ((\bar{u}_{A \cap B})_{B \in \psi}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \cdots \lambda_{k}(du_{|\psi|}) \\ &= \sum_{\eta \leq \hat{1}}^{n} \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\eta_{1}}} \cdots \int_{0}^{\hat{\tau}_{\eta_{|\eta|}}} c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)} (\bar{u}_{\eta_{1}};\dots;\bar{u}_{\eta_{|\eta|}}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \cdots \lambda_{k}(du_{|\eta|}), \end{split}$$

where we applied Relation (1.4) with $\pi := \hat{1}$ and

$$G(\eta) := \sum_{\psi \le \eta} \int_0^{\hat{\tau}_{\psi_1}} \cdots \int_0^{\hat{\tau}_{\psi_{|\psi|}}} \prod_{A \in \eta} c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)} \big(\big(\bar{u}_{A \cap B} \big)_{B \in \psi} \big) \lambda_k(du_1) \cdots \lambda_k(du_{|\psi|})$$

which shows (6.1) by induction on $k \ge 1$.

In order to use Proposition 6.1 as an induction relation, the higher order cumulants $c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\hat{s}_{\pi_1};\ldots;\hat{s}_{\pi_l})$ appearing in (6.1) can be computed by recurrence using the following proposition.

Proposition 6.2 For any sequence (X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}) of random variables we have the

cumulant relation

$$\kappa(X_1,\ldots,X_nX_{n+1}) = \kappa(X_1,\ldots,X_n,X_{n+1}) + \sum_{\substack{\eta_1 \cup \eta_2 = \{1,\ldots,n+1\}\\\eta_1 \ni n, \ \eta_2 \ni n+1, \ \eta_1 \cap \eta_2 = \emptyset}} \kappa(X_{\eta_1},X_n)\kappa(X_{\eta_2},X_{n+1})$$

Proof. This relation is a particular case of the cumulant-moment relationship

$$\kappa(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = \sum_{l=1}^n (l-1)! (-1)^{l-1} \sum_{\pi_1 \cup \dots \cup \pi_l = \{1, \dots, n\}} \prod_{j=1}^l \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i \in \pi_j} Z_i \right]$$

which yields, taking $Z_1 := X_1, Z_2 := X_2, \dots, Z_n := X_n X_{n+1},$

$$\kappa(X_{1},\ldots,X_{n}X_{n+1}) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} (l-1)!(-1)^{l-1} \sum_{\pi_{1}\cup\cdots\cup\pi_{l}=\{1,\ldots,n\}} \prod_{j=1}^{l} \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i\in\pi_{j}} X_{i} \prod_{\pi_{j}\ni n} (X_{n}X_{n+1}) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{n} (l-1)!(-1)^{l-1} \sum_{\pi_{1}\cup\cdots\cup\pi_{l}=\{1,\ldots,n\}} \prod_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{p=1}^{|\pi_{j}|+1} \sum_{\eta_{1}\cup\cdots\cup\eta_{p}=\pi_{j}\cup\{n,n+1\}} \prod_{v=1}^{p} \kappa((X_{u})_{u\in\eta_{p}})$$

$$= \kappa(X_{1},\ldots,X_{n},X_{n+1}) + \sum_{\substack{\eta_{1}\cup\eta_{2}\in\{1,\ldots,n+1\}\\\eta_{1}\ni n,\ \eta_{2}\ni n+1,\ \eta_{1}\cap\eta_{2}=\emptyset}} \kappa(X_{\eta_{1}},X_{n})\kappa(X_{\eta_{2}},X_{n+1}),$$

As an application of Proposition 6.2, Tables 6 and 7 present the first five and first three cumulants $c_{3,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau;\ldots;\tau)$ of the three-hop count and $c_{4,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau;\ldots;\tau)$ of the four-hop count computed by the commands $\operatorname{ck}[\{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_n\},\{1,\ldots,1\},\{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_2\}]$ and $\operatorname{ck}[\{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_n\},\{1,\ldots,1\},\{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3\}]$ in the Mathematica codes 3-4 in appendix for n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, where for simplicity we take $\lambda_i = 1, i = 1, 2, 3$ and $\tau_j = \tau, j = 1,\ldots,5$.

	Cumulants of 3-hop counts
First	$\frac{\tau^2}{2}$
Second	$\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \frac{2\tau^3}{3}$
Third	$\frac{\tau^2}{2} + 2\tau^3 + \frac{7\tau^4}{4}$
Fourth	$\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \frac{14\tau^3}{3} + \frac{23\tau^4}{2} + \frac{36\tau^5}{5}$
Fifth	$\frac{\tau^2}{2} + 10\tau^3 + \frac{215}{4}\tau^4 + 86\tau^5 + 41\tau^6$

Table 6: Cumulants $c_{3,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau;\ldots;\tau)$ of 3-hop counts of orders n = 1, 2, 3.

	Cumulants of 4-hop counts
First	$\frac{ au^3}{3!}$
Second	$\frac{\tau^3}{3!} + \frac{\tau^4}{4} + \frac{2\tau^5}{15}$
Third	$\frac{\tau^3}{3!} + \frac{3\tau^4}{4} + \frac{5\tau^5}{4} + \frac{9\tau^6}{10} + \frac{69\tau^7}{280}$

Table 7: Cumulants $c_{4,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau;\ldots;\tau)$ of 4-hop counts of orders n = 1, 2, 3.

The following figures present third and fourth order cumulant plots for 4-hop counts, together with their confirmations by Monte Carlo simulations.



Figure 4: Third and fourth cumulants of the 4-hop count $\sigma_4(4-\tau)$.



Figure 5: Skewness and kurtosis of the 4-hop count $\sigma_4(4-\tau)$.

7 Moment and cumulant bounds

In this section we take $\lambda_l(ds) := \lambda ds$, l = 1, ..., k, $\lambda > 0$, and we write $f(\lambda) = O(\lambda^n)$ if there exist $C_n > 0$ and $\lambda_n > 0$ such that $|f(\lambda)| \leq C_n \lambda^n$ for any $\lambda > \lambda_n$.

Proposition 7.1 Moment bound. For any $\tau \in [0, r]$ and $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[(\sigma_k(kr-\tau))^n] \leqslant (\mathbb{E}[(N_{\lambda\tau})^n])^{k-1} = O((\lambda\tau)^{(k-1)n})$$

as λ tends to infinity.

Proof. We show by induction on $k \ge 1$ that

$$m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_n) \leqslant (\mathbb{E}[(N_{\lambda\tau})^n])^{k-1}, \qquad 0 \leqslant \tau_1,\ldots,\tau_n \leqslant \tau.$$

where, denoting by S(n, l) the Stirling number of the second kind,

$$\mathbb{E}[(N_{\lambda\tau})^n] = \sum_{l=1}^n S(n,l)(\lambda\tau)^l = O(\lambda^n).$$

The case k = 1 is covered by the fact that $\sigma_1(r - \tau) = 1$ and $m_{1,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau) = 1$, $n \ge 0$. Next, by the recurrence relation (5.2) we have

$$m_{k+1,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau,\ldots,\tau) \leq \sum_{l=1}^{n} (\lambda\tau)^{l} \sum_{\pi_{1}\cup\cdots\cup\pi_{l}=\{1,\ldots,n\}} \sup_{0\leqslant\tau_{1},\ldots,\tau_{l}\leqslant\tau} m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_{1},\ldots,\tau_{l})$$
$$= \sup_{0\leqslant\tau_{1},\ldots,\tau_{l}\leqslant\tau} m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_{1},\ldots,\tau_{l}) \sum_{l=1}^{n} S(n,l)(\lambda\tau)^{l}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[(N_{\lambda\tau})^{n}] m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau,\ldots,\tau), \qquad k \ge 1.$$

The following bound on joint cumulants is also obtained by induction.

Proposition 7.2 For any $\tau \in [0, r]$, $k \ge 2$ and $l_1, \ldots, l_p \ge 0$, $p \ge 1$, we have the joint cumulant bound

$$\kappa_{\lambda} \left((\sigma_k (kr - \tau))^{l_1}, \dots, (\sigma_k (kr - \tau))^{l_p} \right) \leq (2(\lambda + 1)\tau)^{(k-1)(l_1 + \dots + l_p) + 1 - p} (B_n)^{k-2}, \quad (7.1)$$

where B_n denotes the Bell number of order $n \ge 1$. In particular, we have

$$c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau;\ldots;\tau) \le (2(\lambda+1)\tau)^{1+(k-2)n}(B_n)^{k-2}, \quad \tau \in [0,r].$$
 (7.2)

Proof. We note that for n = p, as in (6.2) we have $c_{2,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1; \ldots; \tau_n) = \min(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)$, hence by induction from Proposition 6.2 we obtain

$$\kappa_{\lambda} ((\sigma_2(2r-\tau_1))^{l_1}, \dots, (\sigma_2(2r-\tau_p))^{l_p}) \leq (2(\lambda+1)\tau)^{l_1+\dots+l_p+1-p},$$

 $0 \leq \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_p \leq \tau$, which is (7.1) for k = 2. Next, using Proposition 6.1, assuming that (7.1) holds at the rank $k \geq 2$, by induction for $\lambda \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \kappa_{\lambda} \left(\sigma_{k+1} ((k+1)r - \tau), \dots, \sigma_{k+1} ((k+1)r - \tau) \right) \right| \\ &\leqslant \sum_{l=1}^{n} \lambda^{l} \sum_{\pi_{1} \cup \dots \cup \pi_{l} = \{1, \dots, n\}} \int_{[0, \tau]^{l}} |c_{k,l}^{(\lambda)}(\bar{\tau}_{\pi_{1}}; \dots; \bar{\tau}_{\pi_{l}})| d\tau_{1} \cdots d\tau_{l} \end{aligned} \tag{7.3} \\ &= (B_{n})^{k-2} \sum_{l=1}^{n} (\lambda \tau)^{l} \sum_{\pi_{1} \cup \dots \cup \pi_{l} = \{1, \dots, n\}} (2(\lambda + 1)\tau)^{(k-1)n+1-l} \\ &\leqslant (B_{n})^{k-2} (2(\lambda + 1)\tau)^{(k-1)n+1} \sum_{l=1}^{n} S(n, l) \\ &= (B_{n})^{k-1} (2(\lambda + 1)\tau)^{(k-1)n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\kappa_{\lambda} \big((\sigma_{k+1}((k+1)r - \tau_1))^{l_1}, \dots, (\sigma_{k+1}((k+1)r - \tau_p))^{l_p} \big) \leq (B_n)^{k-1} (2(\lambda+1)\tau)^{k(l_1 + \dots + l_p) + 1 - p},$$

 $0 \leq \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_p \leq \tau$, by induction from Proposition 6.2.

In this section we will use the Wasserstein and Kolmogorov distances $d_W(X, Y)$ and $d_K(X, Y)$ between the distributions of random variables X, Y, defined as

$$d_W(X,Y) := \sup_{h \in \operatorname{Lip}(1)} |\operatorname{E}[h(X)] - \operatorname{E}[h(Y)]|,$$

where Lip(1) denotes the class of real-valued Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constant less than or equal to 1, and

$$d_K(X,Y) := \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |\mathbb{P}(X \le x) - \mathbb{P}(Y \le x)|.$$

For $\lambda > 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we let

$$\sigma_{k}^{(\lambda)}(t) := \int_{0}^{\lambda t} \cdots \int_{0}^{\lambda t} f_{k}(s_{1}/\lambda, \dots, s_{k-1}/\lambda) dN_{s_{1}} \cdots dN_{s_{k-1}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-l} \binom{k-1}{l} I_{l} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \cdots \int_{0}^{t} f_{k-1}(*, s_{l+1}, \dots, s_{k-1}) ds_{l+1} \cdots ds_{k-1} \right)$$
(8.1)

according to (2.1) and (2.6), so that the distribution of $\sigma_k^{(\lambda)}(t)$ under \mathbb{P} is the distribution of $\sigma_k(t)$ under the distribution \mathbb{P}_{λ} of the 1D unit disk graph with constant Poisson intensity $\lambda > 0$.

In addition, given $k \ge 2$ and $t \in [(k-1)r, kr)$, we consider the renormalized k-hop count

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{k}^{(\lambda)}(t) := \frac{\sigma_{k}^{(\lambda)}(t) - \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[\sigma_{k}(t)]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\sigma_{k}(t)]}}$$

From Proposition 7.2, for any $t \in [(k-1)r, kr)$, the skewness of $\sigma_k(t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[(\sigma_k(t) - \mathbb{E}[\sigma_k(t)])^3]}{(\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\sigma_k(t)])^{3/2}} = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\widetilde{\sigma}_k^{(\lambda)}(t)\right)^3\right] = \frac{c_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(kr - t; kr - t; kr - t)}{(\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\sigma_k(t)])^{3/2}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

By Theorem 1 in [Jan88], this shows the convergence in distribution of $\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(\lambda)}(t)$ to the standard normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ as λ tends to infinity, as illustrated in Figures 6-7 using empirical probability density plots.



Figure 6: Convergence of 3-hop counts using probability density functions.



Figure 7: Convergence of 4-hop counts using probability density functions. In addition, by (4.4) and (7.2) we have

$$\frac{c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(kr-t;\ldots;kr-t)}{(\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\sigma_{k}(t)])^{n/2}} = (B_{n})^{k-2}O(\lambda^{1-n/2}) \leqslant (n!)^{k-2}O(\lambda^{1-n/2}), \quad n \ge 2,$$

hence the Statulevičius condition is satisfied with $\gamma := k - 3$ and $\Delta := \sqrt{\lambda}$, which, by [RSS78], Corollary 2.1 in § 1.3 in [SS91], see also Theorem 2.4 in [DJS21], yields the Berry-Esseen bound

$$d_K(\widetilde{\sigma}_k^{(\lambda)}(t), \mathcal{N}) \leqslant \frac{C(k, r)}{\lambda^{1/(2+4(k-3))}}$$
(8.2)

for $t \in [(k-1)r, kr)$ as λ tends to infinity. More precisely, (8.2) can be improved as in the following proposition.

Proposition 8.1 Let $k \ge 2$ and $t \in [(k-1)r, kr)$. The renormalized k-hop count $\widetilde{\sigma}_k^{(\lambda)}(t)$ satisfies the Wasserstein and Kolmogorov bounds

$$d_{K/W}(\widetilde{\sigma}_k^{(\lambda)}(t), \mathcal{N}) \leq \frac{C(k, r)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$$
(8.3)

for some constant C(k,r) > 0 as λ tends to infinity.

Proof. The kurtosis of $\sigma_k^{(\lambda)}(t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[(\sigma_{k}(t) - \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[\sigma_{k}(t)])^{4}]}{(\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\sigma_{k}(t)])^{2}} - 3 = \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}\left[\left(\widetilde{\sigma}_{k}^{(\lambda)}(t)\right)^{4}\right] - 3$$
$$= \frac{c_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(kr - t; kr - t; kr - t; kr - t; kr - t)}{(\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\sigma_{k}(t)])^{2}}$$
$$= (B_{4})^{k-2}O(\lambda^{-1}),$$

as λ tends to infinity. The Kolmogorov distance bound in (8.3) then follows from the fourth moment theorem for U-statistics and sums of multiple stochastic integrals Corollary 4.10 in [ET14] applied to (8.1), see also Theorem 3 in [LRR16].

Regarding the Wasserstein distance bound, according to (3.3) we can the represent $\sigma_k^{(\lambda)}(t)$ as the U-statistics

$$\sigma_k^{(\lambda)}(t) = \sum_{\substack{((x_1,l_1),\dots,((x_{k-1},l_{k-1}))\in\omega^{k-1}\\(x_i,l_i)\neq(x_j,l_j),1\leqslant i\neq j\leqslant d}} \tilde{f}_{\lambda(kr-t)}(x_1/\lambda, l_1;\dots;x_{k-1}/\lambda, l_{k-1})$$

of order k - 1, where $\tilde{f}_t : ([0, r] \times \{1, \dots, k - 1\})^{k-1} \to \{0, 1\}$, given by

$$\tilde{f}_t(x_1, l_1; \dots, x_{k-1}; l_{k-1}) := \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{(l_{i+1}-l_i)x_i < (l_{i+1}-l_i)x_{i+1}\}},$$

 $((x_1, l_1), \ldots, (x_{k-1}, l_{k-1})) \in ([0, r] \times \{1, \ldots, k-1\})^{k-1}$ is the symmetrization in k-1 variables in $[0, r] \times \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ of f_{τ} . Theorem 4.7 in [RS13] yields the bound

$$d_W(\widetilde{\sigma}_k^{(\lambda)}, \mathcal{N}) \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq r} \frac{\sqrt{M_{i,j}}}{\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\sigma_k(t)]}$$

where $M_{i,j}$ is defined in (14) therein satisfies

$$M_{1,1} \leq (k-1)^4 (\lambda r)^{4(k-1)-3}, \qquad i, j = 1.$$

and

$$M_{i,j} \leqslant \binom{k-1}{i}^2 \binom{k-1}{j}^2 (\lambda r)^{4(k-1)-i-j}, \qquad 2 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant r.$$

Hence by (4.4) and (4.3) we have

$$d_W(\widetilde{\sigma}_k^{(\lambda)}, \mathcal{N})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Var}_{\lambda}[\sigma_k(t)]} \left((k-1)^2 (\lambda r)^{2(k-1)-3/2} + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq j \leq r} \binom{k-1}{i} \binom{k-1}{j} (\lambda r)^{2(k-1)-i/2-j/2} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{C(k,r)}{\sqrt{\lambda r}} + C(k,r) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq j \leq r} (\lambda r)^{1-i/2-j/2}.$$

The above conclusions can also be reached by noting that $\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(\lambda)}$ admits a Hoeffding decomposition and by applying Theorem 1.3 in [DP17] for the Wasserstein distance,

or Theorem 6.3 in [PS20a] for the Kolmogorov distance, which refine the central limit theorem of [dJ90].

Figure 8 presents numerical estimates that are consistent with the rate in (8.3), by plotting $\log d_K(\tilde{\sigma}_k^{(\lambda)}(t), \mathcal{N})$ against $\log \lambda$ and their comparison with the line of slope -1/2. Kolmogorov distances d_K have been estimated in R using the distrEx package.



Figure 8: Log-log plot of Kolmogorov distances.

A Computer codes

Computation of joint moments

Explicit moment expressions are obtained using the following Mathematica code which implements the recursion (5.2) of Proposition 5.1 in two steps. First, the computation of the multiple integral in $\lambda_k(du_1)\cdots\lambda_k(du_l)$ in the following code.

```
Mathematica Code 1.
```

This is followed by the summation over $\pi_1 \cup \cdots \cup \pi_l = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $l = 1, \ldots, n$ in the next code.

```
r2[x__, t1__, t2__, t3__, p_, q__, f_] := r2[x, t1, t2, t3, p, q, f] = (Module[{s}, If [p == 1,
        Integrate[f[Join @@ MapThread[Table, {Prepend[x, s], q}]], {s, 0,
          t1[[2]]}].
2
        If[t2[[p]] === t2[[p + 1]],
         Integrate[r2[Prepend[x, s], ReplacePart[t1, p -> s], t2, t3, p - 1, q, f], {s, t3[[p]],
4
              t1[[p + 1]]}],
         Integrate[r2[Prepend[x, s], t2, t2, t3, p - 1, q, f], {s, t3[[p]], t1[[p + 1]]}]])
   mk[t__, lambda__] := mk[t, lambda] = (Module[{b, z1, q, n, m, z, zz, tmp},
6
       If[lambda == {}, Return[1]]; m = Length[t];
       If[Length[lambda] == 1, b[1_] = 1, b[1_] := (c[d_] := mk[d, Drop[lambda, -1]];
8
          With[{e = c[Array[s, Length[t]]]},
          h[z_] := Block[{s}, s[i_] := z[[i]]; e]; Return[h[1]]])];
       tmp = 0; Do[n = Length[pp]; q = Map[Length, pp]; z = t[[Map[Min, pp]]];
        zz = Prepend[Drop[z, -1], 0];
        Do[Do[If[r == r[[Ordering@p0]], z1 = Prepend[z[[r]], 0];
           tmp += Last[lambda]^n*r2[{}, z1, z1, zz[[r]], n, q[[Ordering@p0]], b]], {r, fseq[n]}],
14
                {p0, Permutations[Range[n]]}], {pp, SetPartitions[m]}];
               Return[Expand[Flatten[{tmp}][[1]]]])
```

Mathematica Code 2.

The joint moment $\mathbb{E}[\sigma_k(kr - \tau_1) \cdots \sigma_k(kr - \tau_n)]$ is then computed from the command $\mathrm{mk}[\{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n\}, \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1}].$

Computation of joint cumulants

Explicing cumulant expressions are obtained using the following Mathematica code which implements the recursion (6.1) of Proposition 6.1 in two steps. First, the computation of the multiple integral in $\lambda_k(du_1)\cdots\lambda_k(du_l)$ in the following code.

Mathematica Code 3.

This is followed by the recursive computation of $c_{k,l}^{(\lambda)}(\bar{s}_{\pi_1};\ldots;\bar{s}_{\pi_l})$ by the induction relation of Proposition 6.2, and the summation (6.1) over $\pi_1 \cup \cdots \cup \pi_l = \{1,\ldots,n\}$ and $l = 1,\ldots,n$ in the following code

```
1 ck[t__, 13__, lambda__] := ck[t, 13, lambda] = (Catch[
	Module[{b, 14, k, n, 15, 16, t5, tmp3, tmp4, oo, z, zz, z1, z2, u, q}, oo = 0; n = Length[t];
	3 Do[oo += 1; If[10 > 1, 14 = 13; 14[[oo]] += -1;
		15 = Join[Range[oo], {oo}, Range[oo + 1, n + 1]];
		5 16 = Join[14[[Range[oo]]], {1}, 14[[Range[oo + 1, n]]]];
		t5 = Join[t[[Range[oo]]], {t[[oo]]}, t[[Range[oo + 1, n]]]];
			tmp4 = 0; Do[If[MemberQ[15[[pi[[1]]]], oo] && MemberQ[15[[pi[[2]]]], oo],
```

```
tmp4 += ck[t5[[pi[[1]]]], l6[[pi[[1]]]], lambda]*ck[t5[[pi[[2]]]], l6[[pi[[2]]]],
                 lambda]], {pi, KSetPartitions[Range[n + 1], 2]}]; tmp4 += ck[t5, 16, lambda];
                 Throw[tmp4]], {10, 13}]; k = Length[lambda]; If[k == 1, Throw[lambda[[1]]*t[[1]]]];
        If [Length[lambda] == 1, b[1_] = 1, b[1_] := (c[d_] := ck[d, q0, Drop[lambda, -1]];
9
           With[{e = c[Array[s4s, Length[1]]]}, f1[z7_] := Block[{s4s}, s4s[i_] := z7[[i]]; e];
           Return[f1[1]])]; m = Length[t]; q0 = {m};
11
        tmp3 = lambda[[1]]*r1c[{}, {0, t[[1]]}, {0, t[[1]]}, {0}, 1, b];
        For[n = 2, n <= m, n++, Do[zz = {0}; q = Map[Length, pp];</pre>
13
          z = t[[Map[Min, pp]]]; zz = Prepend[Drop[z, -1], 0];
          Do[q0 = q[[Ordering@p0]]; Do[If[bb == bb[[Ordering@p0]], z1 = Prepend[z[[Reverse[bb]]], 0];
            tmp3 += lambda[[1]]^n*r1c[{}, z1, z1, zz[[Reverse[bb]]], n, b]], {bb,
            fseq2[n]}], {p0, Permutations[Range[n]]}], {pp, KSetPartitions[m, n]}]];
17
        Return[Expand[Flatten[{tmp3}][[1]]]])
```

Mathematica Code 4.

The joint cumulant $c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\bar{\tau}_{\pi_1};\ldots;\bar{\tau}_{\pi_l}) = \kappa_\lambda ((\sigma_k(kr-\tau_1))^{|\pi_1|},\ldots,(\sigma_k(kr-\tau_l))^{|\pi_l|})$ is computed from the command $\operatorname{ck}[\{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_l\},\{|\pi_1|,\ldots,|\pi_l|\},\{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}\}]$, and the joint cumulant $c_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1;\ldots;\tau_n) = \kappa_\lambda (\sigma_k(kr-\tau_1),\ldots,\sigma_k(kr-\tau_n))$ is computed from the command $\operatorname{ck}[\{\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_n\},\{1,\ldots,1\},\{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}\}].$

B Moments of Poisson stochastic integrals

In this section we review the background results on the moments of Poisson stochastic integrals that are used in this paper. Let $\omega(dx)$ denote a Poisson point process with intensity measure $\mu(dx)$ on a measure space X. The next proposition, see Proposition 3.1 in [Pri12] or Theorem 1 and Proposition 7 in [Pri16], provides a moment identity for Poisson stochastic integrals with random integrands using sums over partitions.

Proposition B.1 Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n : X \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be deterministic functions, $n \ge 1$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{X} f_{1}(x)\omega(dx)\cdots\int_{X} f_{n}(x)\omega(dx)\right]$$

= $\sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{\pi_{1}\cup\cdots\cup\pi_{l}=\{1,\dots,n\}} \int_{X^{l}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{l}\prod_{i\in\pi_{j}}f_{i}(x_{j},\xi)\right)\mu(dx_{1})\cdots\mu(dx_{l}),$

where the sum runs over all partitions π_1, \ldots, π_l of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of sizes and $|\pi_l|$ denotes the cardinality of each block π_l , $l = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proposition B.1 extends in the next Proposition B.2 as a moment identity for the stochastic integral of functions $f(z_1, \ldots z_p)$ of p variables $z_1, \ldots z_p \in X^p$, see in Theo-

rem 3.1 of [BRSW17]. For this, let $\Pi[n \times p]$ denote the set of partitions of

$$[n \times p] := \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, p\} = \{(i, j) : i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, p\},\$$

identified to $\{1, \ldots, np\}$, and let $\rho := (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n) \in \Pi[n \times p]$ denote the partition made of the *n* blocks $\rho_i := \{(i, 1), \ldots, (i, p)\}$ of size *p*, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proposition B.2 For $f : X^p \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sufficiently integrable function of p variables we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{X}\cdots\int_{X}f(x_{1},\ldots,x_{p};\omega)\omega(dx_{1})\cdots\omega(dx_{p})\right)^{n}\right]$$
$$=\sum_{\pi\in\Pi[n\times r]}\int_{X}\cdots\int_{X}\prod_{l=1}^{n}u(x_{\zeta_{l,1}^{\pi}},\ldots,x_{\zeta_{l,p}^{\pi}})\mu(dx_{1})\cdots\mu(dx_{|\pi|}).$$

where $\zeta_{i,j}^{\pi}$ is the index of the block of π that contains (i, j).

Proposition B.2 can also be extended as a joint moment identity for multiparameter processes in the next proposition.

Proposition B.3 Let $f_i: X^p \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i = 1, ..., n be sufficiently integrable functions of p variables. We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\int_{X}\cdots\int_{X}f_{i}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{p};\omega)\omega(dx_{1})\cdots\omega(dx_{p})\right]$$
$$=\sum_{\pi\in\Pi[n\times r]}\int_{X}\cdots\int_{X}\prod_{j=1}^{|\pi|}\prod_{i\in\pi_{j}}f_{i}(x_{\zeta_{l,1}^{\pi}},\ldots,x_{\zeta_{l,p}^{\pi}})\mu(dx_{1})\cdots\mu(dx_{|\pi|}),$$

where $\zeta_{i,j}^{\pi}$ is the index of the block of π that contains (i, j).

In case the function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_p; \omega)$ vanishes on the diagonals in X^p , the integral of f rewrites as the U-statistics

$$\int_{X^r} f(x_1, \dots, x_p; \omega) \omega(dx_1) \cdots \omega(dx_p) = \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \omega^p \\ x_i \neq x_j, 1 \leq i+j \leq p}} f(x_1, \dots, x_p; \omega),$$
(B.1)

we have the following corollary of Proposition B.3. For this, we let $\rho := (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n)$ be the partition of $[n \times p]$ made of the blocks $\rho_i = ((i, j))_{j=1,\ldots,p}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and we say that a partition π of $[n \times p]$ is *non-flat*, i.e. $\pi \wedge \rho = \hat{0}$, if every block of π contains at most one element of ρ_i , $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $\hat{\mathbf{0}} := \{\{1\}, \ldots, \{n\}\}$ is the *n*-block partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. **Corollary B.4** Let $f_i : X^p \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i = 1, ..., n be sufficiently integrable functions of p variables such that the function $f_i(x_1, ..., x_p; \omega)$ vanishes on the diagonals in X^p , i = 1, ..., n. Then, the joint moments of the U-statistics (B.1) can be computed as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{X} \cdots \int_{X} f_{i}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{p}; \omega) \omega(dx_{1}) \cdots \omega(dx_{p})\right]$$

=
$$\sum_{\substack{\pi \in \Pi[n \times p] \\ \pi \land \rho = \hat{0}}} \int_{X} \cdots \int_{X} \prod_{j=1}^{|\pi|} \prod_{i \in \rho_{j}} u(x_{\zeta_{i,1}^{\pi}}, \dots, x_{\zeta_{i,p}^{\pi}}) \mu(dx_{1}) \cdots \mu(dx_{|\pi|}).$$

C Explicit moment recursions

In this section we confirm the joint moment induction of Proposition 5.1 via explicit calculations for n = 1, 2, 3.

First moment recursion

By (5.3) we have

$$m_{k+1,1}^{(\lambda)}(\tau) = \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[\sigma_{k+1}((k+1)r - \tau)]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[Z_{\tau}^{(k+1)}]$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[Z_{s}^{(k)}]\lambda_{k}(ds)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\tau} m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(s)\lambda_{k}(ds),$$

which recovers (4.2) as

$$m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \int_0^{s_{k-1}} \cdots \int_0^{s_2} \lambda_1(ds_1) \cdots \lambda_{k-1}(ds_{k-1}).$$

Second joint moment recursion

For n = 2, by (5.3) the induction relation (5.4) reads

$$m_{k+1,2}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1,\tau_2) = \mathbb{E}_{\lambda} [\sigma_{k+1}((k+1)r - \tau_1)\sigma_k((k+1)r - \tau_2)] = \mathbb{E}_{\lambda} \left[Z_{\tau_1}^{(k+1)} Z_{\tau_2}^{(k+1)} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\lambda} \left[\int_0^{\tau_1} Z_{s_1}^{(k)} dN_{s_1}^{(k)} \int_0^{\tau_2} Z_{s_2}^{(k)} dN_{s_2}^{(k)} \right]$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda} \left[\left(Z_{s_{1}}^{(k)} \right)^{2} \right] \lambda_{k}(ds_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda} \left[Z_{s_{1}}^{(k)} Z_{s_{2}}^{(k)} \right] \lambda_{k}(ds_{1}) \lambda_{k}(ds_{2}) \\ = \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1}, u_{1}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1}, u_{2}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \lambda_{k}(du_{2}).$$

Third joint moment recursion

For $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in [0, r]$ the recursion (5.4) reads

$$\begin{split} m_{k+1,3}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2},\tau_{3}) &= \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}[\sigma_{k+1}((k+1)r-\tau_{1})\sigma_{k}((k+1)r-\tau_{2})\sigma_{k}((k+1)r-\tau_{3})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} Z_{u}^{(k+1)} Z_{\tau_{3}}^{(k+1)}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} Z_{u}^{(k)} dN_{u}^{(k)} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} Z_{u}^{(k)} dN_{u}^{(k)} \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} Z_{u}^{(k)} dN_{u}^{(k)}\right] \\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{3}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(Z_{s_{1}}^{(k)}\right)^{3}\right] \lambda_{k}(ds_{1}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{2}} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}\left[\left(Z_{s_{1}}^{(k)}\right)^{2} Z_{s_{3}}^{(k)}\right] \lambda_{k}(ds_{1}) \lambda_{k}(ds_{3}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}\left[\left(Z_{s_{1}}^{(k)}\right)^{2} Z_{s_{2}}^{(k)}\right] \lambda_{k}(ds_{1}) \lambda_{k}(ds_{2}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}\left[Z_{s_{1}}^{(k)}(Z_{s_{2}}^{(k)})^{2}\right] \lambda_{k}(ds_{1}) \lambda_{k}(ds_{2}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}\left[Z_{s_{1}}^{(k)} Z_{s_{2}}^{(k)}\right] \lambda_{k}(ds_{1}) \lambda_{k}(ds_{2}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}\left[Z_{s_{1}}^{(k)}(Z_{s_{2}}^{(k)})^{2}\right] \lambda_{k}(ds_{1}) \lambda_{k}(ds_{2}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \mathbb{E}_{\lambda}\left[Z_{s_{1}}^{(k)} Z_{s_{2}}^{(k)}\right] \lambda_{k}(ds_{2}) \lambda_{k}(ds_{3}) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{3}} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{1}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{2}} m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{3}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \lambda_{k}(ds_{2}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \lambda_{k}(du_{3}) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{3}} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{2},u_{3}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{3}} m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{3}} m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{3}} m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{3}} m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{3}} m_{k,n}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3}) \lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{3}} m_{k,n}$$

D Explicit cumulant recursions

In this section we confirm the joint moment induction of Proposition 6.1 via explicit calculations for n = 2, 3, 4.

Second cumulant recursion

By Proposition 5.1 and the joint cumulant inversion relation, we have the second cumulant recursion

$$\begin{aligned} c_{k+1,2}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_{1};\tau_{2}) &= m_{k+1,2}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) - m_{k+1,1}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_{1})m_{k+1,1}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_{2}) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \\ &- \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} m_{k+1,1}^{(\lambda)}(s_{1})ds_{1} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} m_{k+1,1}^{(\lambda)}(s_{2})ds_{2} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} (m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2}) - m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1})m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{2}))\lambda_{k}(du_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} c_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} c_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1};u_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Third cumulant recursion

At the third order, we have the next cumulant expression.

Proposition D.1 For $k \ge 1$ we have the third cumulant recursion

$$\begin{aligned} c_{k+1,3}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1;\tau_2;\tau_3) &= \int_0^{\tau_1} c_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_1,u_1,u_1)\lambda_k(du_1) + \int_0^{\tau_1} \int_0^{\tau_3} c_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_1,u_1;u_3)\lambda_k(du_1)\lambda_k(du_3) \\ &+ \int_0^{\tau_1} \int_0^{\tau_2} c_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_1,u_1;u_2)\lambda_k(du_1)\lambda_k(du_2) + \int_0^{\tau_1} \int_0^{\tau_2} c_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_1;u_2,u_2)\lambda_k(du_1)(du_2) \\ &+ \int_0^{\tau_1} \int_0^{\tau_2} \int_0^{\tau_3} c_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_1;u_2;u_3)\lambda_k(du_1)\lambda_k(du_2)\lambda_k(du_3). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the joint cumulant-moment relationship

$$c_{k+1,3}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1;\tau_2;\tau_3) = \sum_{l=1}^n (l-1)!(-1)^{l-1} \sum_{\pi_1 \cup \dots \cup \pi_l = \{1,\dots,n\}} \prod_{j=1}^l m_{k+1,|\pi_j|}^{(\lambda)}(\bar{\tau}_{\pi_j})$$
(D.1)
= $m_{k+1,3}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1,\tau_2,\tau_3) - \sum_{\pi_1 \cup \pi_2 = \{1,2,3\}} m_{k+1,|\pi_1|}^{(\lambda)}(\bar{\tau}_{\pi_1}) m_{k+1,|\pi_2|}^{(\lambda)}(\bar{\tau}_{\pi_2}) + 2m_{k+1,1}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_1) m_{k+1,1}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_2) m_{k+1,1}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_3)$

and Proposition 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{k+1,3}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_{1};\tau_{2};\tau_{3}) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}\wedge\tau_{2}\wedge\tau_{3}} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}\wedge\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}\wedge\tau_{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}\wedge\tau_{3}} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{3}) \\ - \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \left(\int_{0}^{\tau_{2} \wedge \tau_{3}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{2}, u_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{2}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{2}, u_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{3}) \right) \\ - \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \left(\int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{3}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1}, u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1}, u_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{3}) \right) \\ - \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{3}) \left(\int_{0}^{\tau_{1} \wedge \tau_{2}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1}, u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1}, u_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \right) \\ + 2 \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{3}).$$
 (D.2)

Applying (D.1) to k-hops, i.e.

$$c_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_1; u_2; u_3) = m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_1, u_2; u_3) - m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_1) m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_1; u_2) - m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_1) m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_1; u_2) - m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_1) m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_1; u_2) + 2m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_1) m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_2) m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_3),$$

allows us to simplify (D.2) to

$$\begin{split} c_{k+1,3}^{(\lambda)}(\tau_{1};\tau_{2};\tau_{3}) &= \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}\wedge\tau_{2}\wedge\tau_{3}} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}\wedge\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}\wedge\tau_{3}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}\wedge\tau_{3}} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} c_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1};u_{2};u_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{3}) \\ &- \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}\wedge\tau_{3}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{2},u_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \\ &- \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{2}) \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}\wedge\tau_{3}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \\ &- \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{3}) \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}\wedge\tau_{2}} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}\wedge\tau_{2}\wedge\tau_{3}} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}\wedge\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} c_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1};u_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{3}} c_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1};u_{2};u_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{2})\lambda_{k}(du_{3}). \end{split}$$

		-

Fourth cumulant recursion

Taking $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3 = \tau_4 = \tau$ for simplicity, we have

$$\begin{split} e^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,4}(\tau;\tau;\tau;\tau) &= \sum_{l=1}^{n} (l-1)!(-1)^{l-1} \sum_{\pi_{1} \cup \dots \cup \pi_{l} = \{1,\dots,n\}} \prod_{j=1}^{l} m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,|\pi_{j}|}(\widehat{s}_{\pi_{j}}) \\ &= m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,4}(\tau,\tau,\tau,\tau) - 4m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,3}(\tau,\tau,\tau) - 3m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,2}(\tau,\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau) \\ &+ 6m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,2}(\tau,\tau) - m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,4}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{1},u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + 4 \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,4}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{2},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &+ 3 \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,4}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3},u_{3})du_{1}du_{2}du_{3} + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\pi} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,4}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3},u_{4})du_{1}du_{2}du_{3}du_{4} \\ &- 4m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau,\tau,\tau,\tau) - 3m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,2}(\tau,\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau,\tau) \\ &+ 6m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau,\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,2}(\tau,\tau) - m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau)m^{(\lambda)}_{k+1,1}(\tau) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,4}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{1},u_{3})\lambda_{k}(du_{1}) + 4 \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,4}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{2},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &+ 3 \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\pi} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,4}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{2},u_{3})du_{1}du_{2}du_{3} + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,4}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3},u_{4})du_{1}du_{2}du_{3} du_{4} \\ &+ 3 \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,4}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3},u_{3})du_{1}du_{2}du_{3} + \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,4}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3},u_{4})du_{1}du_{2}du_{3} du_{4} \\ &- 4 \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,1}(u)du \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,3}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3})du_{1}du_{2}du_{3} - 3 \left(\int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,2}(u_{1},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \right) \\ &- 6 \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,1}(u)du \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,3}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3})du_{1}du_{2}du_{3} - 3 \left(\int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,2}(u_{1},u_{2})du_{4}du_{2} \right)^{2} \\ &+ 6 \left(\int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,1}(u)du \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,3}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3})du_{4}du_{2}du_{3} - 3 \left(\int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,2}(u_{1},u_{2})du_{4}du_{2} \right)^{2} \\ &- 6 \int_{0}^{\tau} m^{(\lambda)}_{k,1}(u)du \int_$$

$$\begin{split} &-4\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u)du\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{1})du_{1}-12\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u)du\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &-3\left(\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1})du_{1}\right)^{2}-6\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1})du_{1}\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &+6\left(\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u)du\right)^{2}\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1})du_{1} \\ &=\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{1},u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1})+4\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}r_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1};u_{2},u_{2},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &+3\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}r_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1};u_{2},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &+6\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{3},u_{3})du_{1}du_{2}du_{3}+\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}r_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1};u_{2};u_{3};u_{4})du_{1}du_{2}du_{3}du_{4} \\ &-12\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u)du\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &-6\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1})du_{1}\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &+6\left(\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u)du\right)^{2}\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1})du_{1} \\ &=\int_{0}^{\tau}c_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{1},u_{1})\lambda_{k}(du_{1})+4\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}c_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1};u_{2},u_{2},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &+3\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}c_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1};u_{2},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &+6\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}c_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1};u_{2},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &+6\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}r_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2};u_{3},u_{3})du_{1}du_{2}du_{3} +\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}r_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1};u_{2};u_{3};u_{4})du_{1}du_{2}du_{3}du_{4}. \end{split}$$

In order to reach we above conclusion we used the relations

$$\begin{split} &4\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}c_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1};u_{2},u_{2},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &= 4\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2},u_{2},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2} - 4\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1})m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{2},u_{2},u_{2})du_{1}du_{2}, \\ &3\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}c_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1};u_{3},u_{3})du_{1}du_{2} \\ &= 3\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{1},u_{3},u_{3})du_{1}du_{2} - 3\int_{0}^{\tau}\int_{0}^{\tau}m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1},u_{2})m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{3},u_{3})du_{1}du_{3}, \end{split}$$

and

$$6\int_0^\tau \int_0^\tau \int_0^\tau c_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_1; u_2; u_3, u_3) du_1 du_2 du_3$$

$$= 6 \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m_{k,4}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{3}) du_{1} du_{2} du_{3} - 12 \int_{0}^{\tau} m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1}) du_{1} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m_{k,3}^{(\lambda)}(u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{3}) du_{2} du_{3} \\ -6 \int_{0}^{\tau} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{3}, u_{3}) du_{3} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1}, u_{2}) du_{1} du_{2} \\ +6 \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{1}) m_{k,1}^{(\lambda)}(u_{2}) m_{k,2}^{(\lambda)}(u_{3}, u_{3}) du_{1} du_{2} du_{3}.$$

Acknowledgement

I thank A.P. Kartun-Giles for useful discussions.

References

- [BHJ92] A.D. Barbour, L. Holst, and S. Janson. Poisson approximation, volume 2 of Oxford Studies in Probability. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.
- [BKR89] A.D. Barbour, M. Karoński, and A. Ruciński. A central limit theorem for decomposable random variables with applications to random graphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 47(2):125–145, 1989.
- [BRSW17] K. Bogdan, J. Rosiński, G. Serafin, and L. Wojciechowski. Lévy systems and moment formulas for mixed Poisson integrals. In *Stochastic analysis and related topics*, volume 72 of *Progr. Probab.*, pages 139–164. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [dJ90] P. de Jong. A central limit theorem for generalized multilinear forms. J. Multivariate Anal., 34:275–289, 1990.
- [DJS21] H. Döring, S. Jansen, and K. Schubert. The method of cumulants for the normal approximation. Preprint arXiv:2102.01459, 2021.
- [DP17] C. Döbler and G. Peccati. Quantitative de Jong theorems in any dimension. Electron. J. Probab., 22:no. 2, 1–35, 2017.
- [Dro97] A. Drory. Exact solution of a one-dimensional continuum percolation model. *Phys. Rev.* E (3), 55(4):3878–3885, 1997.
- [ER59] P. Erdős and A. Rényi. On random graphs. I. Publ. Math. Debrecen, 6:290–297, 1959.
- [ET14] P. Eichelsbacher and C. Thäle. New Berry-Esseen bounds for non-linear functionals of Poisson random measures. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 19:no. 102, 25, 2014.
- [Gil59] E.N. Gilbert. Random graphs. Ann. Math. Statist, 30(4):1141–1144, 1959.
- [Jan88] S. Janson. Normal convergence by higher semiinvariants with applications to sums of dependent random variables and random graphs. Ann. Probab., 16(1):305–312, 1988.
- [KGKP21] A.P. Kartun-Giles, K. Koufos, and N. Privault. Connectivity of 1d random geometric graphs. Preprint arXiv:2105.07731, 2021.
- [KRT17] K. Krokowski, A. Reichenbachs, and C. Thäle. Discrete Malliavin-Stein method: Berry-Esseen bounds for random graphs and percolation. Ann. Probab., 45(2):1071–1109, 2017.
- [LRR16] R. Lachièze-Rey and M. Reitzner. U-statistics in stochastic geometry. In G. Peccati and M. Reitzner, editors, Stochastic Analysis for Poisson Point Processes: Malliavin Calculus, Wiener-Itô Chaos Expansions and Stochastic Geometry, volume 7 of Bocconi & Springer Series, pages 229–253. Springer, Berlin, 2016.

- [Luk55] E. Lukacs. Applications of Faà di Bruno's formula in mathematical statistics. Amer. Math. Monthly, 62:340–348, 1955.
- [McC87] P. McCullagh. *Tensor methods in statistics*. Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability. Chapman & Hall, London, 1987.
- [Pen03] M. Penrose. Random geometric graphs, volume 5 of Oxford Studies in Probability. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [Pri09] N. Privault. Stochastic analysis in discrete and continuous settings: with normal martingales, volume 1982 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [Pri12] N. Privault. Moments of Poisson stochastic integrals with random integrands. Probability and Mathematical Statistics, 32(2):227–239, 2012.
- [Pri16] N. Privault. Combinatorics of Poisson stochastic integrals with random integrands. In G. Peccati and M. Reitzner, editors, Stochastic Analysis for Poisson Point Processes: Malliavin Calculus, Wiener-Itô Chaos Expansions and Stochastic Geometry, volume 7 of Bocconi & Springer Series, pages 37–80. Springer, Berlin, 2016.
- [Pri19] N. Privault. Moments of k-hop counts in the random-connection model. J. Appl. Probab., 56(4):1106–1121, 2019.
- [PS20a] N. Privault and G. Serafin. Berry-Esseen bounds for functionals of independent random variables. Preprint arXiv:2010.04387, 40 pages, 2020.
- [PS20b] N. Privault and G. Serafin. Normal approximation for sums of discrete U-statistics application to Kolmogorov bounds in random subgraph counting. *Bernoulli*, 26(1):587– 615, 2020.
- [PT11] G. Peccati and M. Taqqu. Wiener Chaos: Moments, Cumulants and Diagrams: A survey with Computer Implementation. Bocconi & Springer Series. Springer, 2011.
- [Röl21] A. Röllin. Kolmogorov bounds for the normal approximation of the number of triangles in the Erdős-Rényi random graph. To appear in Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences, 27 pages, 2021.
- [Rot75] G.-C. Rota, editor. *Finite operator calculus*. Academic Press, 1975. With the collaboration of P. Doubilet, C. Greene, D. Kahaner, A. Odlyzko and R. Stanley.
- [RS13] M. Reitzner and M. Schulte. Central limit theorems for U-statistics of Poisson point processes. Ann. Probab., 41(6):3879–3909, 2013.
- [RSS78] R. Rudzkis, L. Saulis, and V.A. Statuljavičus. A general lemma on probabilities of large deviations. *Litovsk. Mat. Sb.*, 18(2):99–116, 217, 1978.
- [Ruc88] A. Ruciński. When are small subgraphs of a random graph normally distributed? *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 78:1–10, 1988.
- [SS91] L. Saulis and V.A. Statulevičius. Limit theorems for large deviations, volume 73 of Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series). Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991. Translated and revised from the 1989 Russian original.
- [Sta99] R.P. Stanley. Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2, volume 62 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [WDG20] M. Wilsher, C.P. Dettmann, and A. Ganesh. Connectivity in one-dimensional soft random geometric graphs. *Preprint arXiv:2007.06301*, 2020.