

# The maximum $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius of $t$ -connected graphs with bounded matching number

Chang Liu, Zimo Yan, Jianping Li\*

*College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, National University of Defense Technology,  
Changsha, Hunan, 410073, P. R. China*

clnudt19@126.com

yanzimo20@nudt.edu.cn

lijianping65@nudt.edu.cn

March 28, 2022

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a graph with adjacency matrix  $A(G)$  and let  $D(G)$  be a diagonal matrix of the degrees of  $G$ . In [14], Nikiforov defined the  $A_\alpha$ -matrix of  $G$  as

$$A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G),$$

where  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  is an arbitrary real number. The largest eigenvalue of  $A_\alpha(G)$  is called the  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius of  $G$ . Let  $n, t, k$  be positive integers, satisfying  $t \geq 1, k \geq 2, n \geq k + 2$ , and  $n \equiv k \pmod{2}$ . In this paper, for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , we determine the extremal graphs with the maximum  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius among all  $t$ -connected graphs on  $n$  vertices with matching number  $\frac{n-k}{2}$  at most. This generalizes some results of O (2021) [17] and Zhang (2022) [20].

**AMS Subject Classification:** 05C50; 05C70

**Keywords:**  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius; Matchings number; Connectivity

## 1 Introduction

All graphs considered here are simple, undirected and connected. Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex graph with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . The adjacency matrix of  $G$  is defined as  $A(G) = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ , where  $a_{ij} = 1$  if  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ , and  $a_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. Let  $D(G)$  be the diagonal matrix of the degrees of  $G$ . In [14], Nikiforov defined the  $A_\alpha$ -matrix of  $G$  as

$$A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G),$$

where  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  is an arbitrary real number. It is clear that  $A_0(G) = A(G)$  is the adjacency matrix of  $G$ , and  $2A_{\frac{1}{2}}(G) = D(G) + A(G) = Q(G)$  is the signless Laplacian matrix of  $G$ . The eigenvalues of  $A_\alpha(G)$  are called the  $A_\alpha$ -eigenvalues of  $G$ , and the largest of them, denoted by  $\rho_\alpha(G)$ , is called the  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius of  $G$ . By Perron-Frobenius Theorem, the  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius  $\rho_\alpha(G)$  of a connected graph  $G$  is simple and has an unit positive eigenvector. For some

---

\*Corresponding author.

latest interesting results of the  $A_\alpha$ -matrix, the reader is invited to read the following recent papers [9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16] and references therein.

A matching  $M$  of  $G$  is a set of disjoint edges of  $G$ , and the maximum size of a matching in  $G$ , denoted by  $\mu(G)$  in here, is called the matching number of  $G$ . If each vertex of  $G$  is incident with an edge in  $M$ , we call  $M$  perfect. Obviously, a graph containing a perfect matching has an even number of vertices and  $\mu(G) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$ . Studies on connections between eigenvalues and the matching number of a graph emerged in the 1990s, and there have been abundant publications up to now, see [1, 3, 6, 7]. Recently, O [17] provided a lower bound for the adjacency spectral radius which guarantees the existence of a perfect matching in a connected graph  $G$ . Later, Liu et al. [13] and Zhao et al. [19] considered this problem with respect to the signless Laplacian spectral radius and  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius, respectively.

The matching number of a graph is closely related to its (edge-) connectivity. The (edge-) connectivity of  $G$  is the minimum number of vertices (edges) of which the deletion induces a non-connected graph or a single vertex. If the (edge-) connectivity of  $G$  is at least  $t$ , where  $t \geq 0$ , we say that  $G$  is  $t$ -connected ( $t$ -edge-connected). In 2010, Cioabă and O [4] gave a sharp upper bound for the third largest eigenvalue of a  $t$ -edge-connected regular graph  $G$  to guarantee that  $G$  has a perfect matching, generalizing their results in [5]. Very Recently, Zhang [20] has studied the extremal graphs with maximum spectral radius among all  $t$ -connected graphs on  $n$  vertices with matching number at most  $\frac{n-k}{2}$ , which generalized the results in [17].

Along this line, we intend to investigate the maximum  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius of  $t$ -connected graphs with bounded matching number. For a vertex subset  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , the subgraphs induced by the vertex set  $V(G) - S$  and  $S$  are denoted by  $G - S$  and  $G[S]$ , respectively. The complement of  $G$  is denoted by  $\overline{G}$ . For any two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , the joint of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , denoted by  $G_1 \vee G_2$ , is a graph whose vertex set  $V(G_1 \vee G_2) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  and edge set  $E(G_1 \vee G_2) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv \mid u \in V(G_1), v \in V(G_2)\}$ . The disjoint of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , denoted by  $G_1 \cup G_2$ , is a graph with vertex set  $V(G_1 \cup G_2) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  and edge set  $E(G_1 \cup G_2) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$ .

In this paper, for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , we determine the extremal graphs with the maximum  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius among all  $t$ -connected graphs on  $n$  vertices with matching number  $\frac{n-k}{2}$  at most. This generalizes some results of O [17] and Zhang [20].

## 2 Preliminaries

First, we present some essential lemmas in matrix theory. For a matrix  $B$ , we denote the largest eigenvalue of  $B$  by  $\lambda(B)$ .

**Lemma 2.1** (Rayleigh-Ritz theorem). *If  $B$  is an  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrix, then  $\lambda(B) = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\mathbf{x}^t B \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^t \mathbf{x}}$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph and let  $H$  be a connected subgraph of  $G$ . For  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $\rho_\alpha(H) \leq \rho_\alpha(G)$  with equality holding if and only if  $H \cong G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n_0 = |V(H)|$  and let  $\mathbf{x}_H = (x_i^H)_{i=1, \dots, n_0}^t$  be an unit positive eigenvector of  $H$  corresponding to  $\rho_\alpha(H)$ . Then we construct the square matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A_\alpha(H) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n},$$

and the vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1^H, \dots, x_{n_0}^H, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-n_0})^t$ . Obviously,  $\lambda(B) = \lambda(A_\alpha(H)) = \rho_\alpha(H)$ , and  $\mathbf{x}$  is the eigenvector of  $B$  corresponding to  $\lambda(B)$ . It can be checked that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\alpha(G) - \rho_\alpha(H) &= \lambda(A_\alpha(G)) - \lambda(B) \\ &\geq \mathbf{x}^t (A_\alpha(G) - B) \mathbf{x} \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

with equality holding if and only if  $H \cong G$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Next, we introduce the concepts of equitable matrices and equitable partitions.

**Definition 2.3.** [2] Let  $B$  be a symmetric real matrix of order  $n$  whose rows and columns are indexed by  $P = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , where  $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r\}$  is a partition of  $P$  with  $n_i = |P_i|$  and  $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r$ . Let  $B$  be a matrix with the partition  $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r\}$ , i.e.

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} B_{1,1} & B_{1,2} & \cdots & B_{1,r} \\ B_{2,1} & B_{2,2} & \cdots & B_{2,r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{r,1} & B_{r,2} & \cdots & B_{r,r} \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n},$$

where the blocks  $B_{i,j}$  denotes the submatrix of  $B$  formed by rows in  $P_i$  and the  $P_j$  columns. Let  $m_{i,j}$  denote the average row sum of  $B_{i,j}$ . Then the matrix  $M = (m_{i,j})$  is called the quotient matrix of  $B$  under the given partition. Particularly, if the row sum of each submatrix  $B_{i,j}$  is constant, then the partition is called equitable.

**Lemma 2.4.** [18] Let  $M$  be an equitable quotient matrix of  $B$  as defined in Definition 2.3. If  $B$  is a nonnegative matrix, then  $\lambda(M) = \lambda(B)$ .

**Theorem 2.5** (Berge-Tutte Formula). Let  $G$  be a graph with matching number  $\mu(G)$ . Then

$$\mu(G) = \frac{n - \max_{S \subseteq V(G)} (o(G - S) - |S|)}{2},$$

where  $S$  is a subset of  $V(G)$ , and  $o(G - S)$  is the number of odd components of  $G - S$ .

### 3 Main results

From Fact 1 in [20], one see that if  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex connected graph with connectivity  $t$  and matching number  $\mu(G) < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ , then  $t \leq \mu(G)$ . Moreover, for a subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$ , we have  $t \leq |S| \leq \mu(G)$ . First, we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $n, t, s, k$  be four positive integers, where  $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq s \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$ , and  $n \equiv k \pmod{2}$ . For a  $t$ -connected graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices with matching number  $\mu(G) \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$ , we have  $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_s \vee (K_{n+1-2s-k} \cup \overline{K_{s+k-1}}))$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . Equality holds if and only if  $G \cong K_s \vee (K_{n+1-2s-k} \cup \overline{K_{s+k-1}})$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  and let  $G$  be a  $t$ -connected graph with the maximum  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius and matching number  $\mu(G) \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$ . From Theorem 2.5, we see that  $\max_{S \subseteq V(G)} (o(G-S) - |S|) = n - 2\mu(G)$ . Suppose that  $S$  is the subset of  $V(G)$  with the maximum number of vertices, such that  $o(G-S) - |S| = n - 2\mu(G)$ . It can be checked that all components of  $G-S$  are odd components (otherwise, we can randomly remove one vertex from each even component of  $G-S$  and add them to the set  $S$  until all components of  $G-S$  are odd components, and the equality  $o(G-S) - |S| = n - 2\mu(G)$  always holds in the process).

For convenience, we denote  $s = |S|$ ,  $q = o(G-S)$ . Note that  $o(G-S) - |S| = n - 2\mu(G) \geq k$ , implying  $q \geq s + k$ . Let  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_q$  be the odd components of  $G-S$  with  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_q$  vertices, respectively. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_q \geq 1$ . Then we present the following three claims.

**Claim 1.** Let  $G' \cong K_s \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_q})$ . Then  $\mu(G') \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$  and  $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(G')$  with equality holding if and only if  $G \cong G'$ .

*Proof of Claim 1.* Since  $o(G'-S) = o(G-S) \geq s + k$  and  $n - 2\mu(G') \geq o(G'-S) - |S|$ , we get  $\mu(G') \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$ . By Lemma 2.2, it's easy to check that  $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(G')$  and equality holds if and only if  $G \cong G'$ . This proves Claim 1.  $\square$

**Claim 2.** Let  $G'' \cong K_s \vee (K_{n'_1} \cup K_{n'_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n'_{s+k}})$ , where  $n'_1 = n_1 + \sum_{i=s+k+1}^q n_i$ , and  $n'_i = n_i$  for  $i = 2, \dots, s+k$ . Then  $\mu(G'') \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$ , and  $\rho_\alpha(G') \leq \rho_\alpha(G'')$  with equality holding if and only if  $G' \cong G''$ .

*Proof of Claim 2.* Since  $n_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_q$ ) are all odd and  $s + \sum_{i=1}^q n_i = n$ , we see that  $q + s \equiv n \equiv k \pmod{2}$ ,  $q - s - k$  is even, and  $n'_1 = n_1 + \sum_{i=s+k+1}^q n_i$  is odd. Note that  $o(G''-S) = o(G-S) - (q - s - k) = s + k$  and  $n - 2\mu(G'') \geq o(G''-S) - |S|$ , we obtain  $\mu(G'') \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have  $\rho_\alpha(G') \leq \rho_\alpha(G'')$  with equality holding if and only if  $G' \cong G''$ . This proves Claim 2.  $\square$

**Claim 3.** Let  $G''' \cong K_s \vee (K_{n+1-2s-k} \cup \overline{K_{s+k-1}})$ . Then  $\mu(G''') \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$  and  $\rho_\alpha(G'') \leq \rho_\alpha(G''')$  with equality holding if and only if  $G'' \cong G'''$ .

*Proof of Claim 3.* Note that  $o(G'''-S) = o(G''-S) = s + k$  and  $n - 2\mu(G''') \geq o(G'''-S) - |S|$ . It's easy to see that  $\mu(G''') \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$ . Now, we prove  $\rho_\alpha(G'') \leq \rho_\alpha(G''')$  for  $[0, 1)$ . It suffices to show that  $\rho_\alpha(K_s \vee (K_{n'_1} \cup K_{n'_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n'_{s+k}})) < \rho_\alpha(K_s \vee (K_{n'_1+2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n'_j-2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n'_{s+k}}))$  whenever  $n_j \geq 3$  for  $j = 2, \dots, s+k$ . Without loss of generality, we take  $j = s+k$ . By the proof of Claim 1 of Theorem 3 in [19], we see that  $\rho_\alpha(K_s \vee (K_{n'_1} \cup K_{n'_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n'_{s+k}})) < \rho_\alpha(K_s \vee (K_{n'_1+2} \cup K_{n'_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n'_{s+k-2}}))$ . This inequality is strict. Hence,  $\rho_\alpha(G'') \leq \rho_\alpha(G''')$  with equality holding if and only if  $G'' \cong G'''$ . This proves Claim 3.  $\square$

Given the above, we conclude that for a  $t$ -connected graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices with matching number  $\mu(G) \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$ , it follows that  $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_s \vee (K_{n+1-2s-k} \cup \overline{K_{s+k-1}}))$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , with equality holding if and only if  $G \cong K_s \vee (K_{n+1-2s-k} \cup \overline{K_{s+k-1}})$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $n, t, k$  be three positive integers, where  $2 \leq k \leq n-2$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{n-k-4}{2}$ , and  $n \equiv k \pmod{2}$ . For  $t+1 \leq s \leq \frac{n-k-2}{2}$  and  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , it follows that  $\rho_\alpha(K_s \vee (K_{n+1-2s-k} \cup \overline{K_{s+k-1}})) < \max \left\{ \rho_\alpha(K_t \vee (K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}})), \rho_\alpha \left( K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}} \right) \right\}$ .*

(Note that  $K_s \vee (K_{n+1-2s-k} \cup \overline{K_{s+k-1}}) \cong K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}$  for  $s = \frac{n-k}{2}$ ).

*Proof.* Assume that  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $t \leq s \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$ . For convenience, we denote  $\rho_\alpha(s) = \rho_\alpha(K_s \vee (K_{n+1-2s-k} \cup \overline{K_{s+k-1}}))$ . Then  $\rho_\alpha(t) = \rho_\alpha(K_t \vee (K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}}))$  and  $\rho_\alpha(\frac{n-k}{2}) = \rho_\alpha \left( K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}} \right)$ . The equitable quotient matrix of  $A_\alpha(K_s \vee (K_{n+1-2s-k} \cup \overline{K_{s+k-1}}))$  with partition  $\{V(K_s), V(K_{n+1-2s-k}), V(\overline{K_{s+k-1}})\}$  has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha(n-1) + (1-\alpha)(s-1) & (1-\alpha)(n-2s-k+1) & (1-\alpha)(s+k-1) \\ (1-\alpha)s & \alpha(n-s-k) + (1-\alpha)(n-2s-k) & 0 \\ (1-\alpha)s & 0 & \alpha s \end{bmatrix}.$$

By a simple calculation, we obtain the characteristic polynomial is

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, n, s, k, \alpha) &= x^3 + [k-n+s+1-\alpha(n+s)]x^2 + [ns\alpha^2 + (n^2 - kn - 2s)\alpha + k - n + 2s - ks - s^2]x \\ &\quad + (-k^2s + 2kns - 4ks^2 + ks - n^2s + 2ns^2 - ns - 3s^3 + 3s^2)\alpha^2 + (3ns - 3ks + 7ks^2 \\ &\quad + 2k^2s - 2ns^2 - 6s^2 + 5s^3 - 2kns)\alpha + ks - ns - 3ks^2 - k^2s + ns^2 + 2s^2 - 2s^3 + kns. \end{aligned}$$

Referring to Lemma 2.4, we see that  $f_1(\rho_\alpha(s), n, s, k, \alpha) = 0$ . Note that  $K_{n-s-k+1}$  is a subgraph of  $K_s \vee (K_{n+1-2s-k} \cup \overline{K_{s+k-1}})$ , then by Lemma 2.2, we have  $\rho_\alpha(s) > \rho_\alpha(K_{n-s-k+1}) = n-s-k$ .

The equitable quotient matrix of  $A_\alpha \left( K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}} \right)$  with partition  $\left\{ V \left( K_{\frac{n-k}{2}}, V \left( \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}} \right) \right) \right\}$  equals

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha(n-1) + (1-\alpha) \left( \frac{n+k}{2} - 1 \right) & (1-\alpha) \frac{n+k}{2} \\ (1-\alpha) \frac{n-k}{2} & \alpha \frac{n-k}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$

and the corresponding characteristic polynomial is

$$f_2(x, n, k, \alpha) = x^2 - \left( \frac{n-k}{2} - 1 + \alpha n \right) x + \left( -\frac{k^2}{4} - \frac{kn}{2} + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3n^2}{4} - \frac{n}{2} \right) \alpha + \frac{k^2 - n^2}{4}.$$

From Lemma 2.4, we have  $f_2 \left( \rho_\alpha \left( \frac{n-k}{2} \right), n, k, \alpha \right) = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\alpha \left( \frac{n-k}{2} \right) &= \frac{n-k-2+2\alpha n}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{(n-k-2+2\alpha n)^2 + 4(n^2-k^2) - 4\alpha(3n+k-2)(n-k)}}{4} \\ &> \frac{n-k-2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By a calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_1(x, n, s, k, \alpha) - \left( x - \frac{n-k-2(1-\alpha)s}{2} \right) f_2(x, n, k, \alpha) \\
&= \frac{n-2s-k}{8} \cdot ([4k+4s-4-2\alpha(n+k-2)]x - (12\alpha-8)(1-\alpha)s^2 \\
&\quad - [(2n-10k+12)\alpha^2 + (18k+2n-24)\alpha - 8k+8]s \\
&\quad - (n-k)[(1-\alpha)(n+k) - 2\alpha(n-1)]).
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
h(x, n, s, k, \alpha) &= [4k+4s-4-2\alpha(n+k-2)]x - (12\alpha-8)(1-\alpha)s^2 - [(2n-10k+12)\alpha^2 \\
&\quad + (18k+2n-24)\alpha - 8k+8]s - (n-k)[(1-\alpha)(n+k) - 2\alpha(n-1)],
\end{aligned}$$

then we consider the following two situations.

**Case 1.**  $n \leq 3k-3$ . Since  $n \equiv k \pmod{2}$ , we consider  $n \leq 3k-4$ . Observe the following two inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
4k+4s-4-2\alpha(n+k-2) &> 0 \tag{3.1} \\
\Leftrightarrow n &< \left( \frac{2}{\alpha} - 1 \right) k + \frac{2}{\alpha} s - 2 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_\alpha \left( \frac{n-k}{2} \right) &\geq n-k \tag{3.2} \\
\Leftrightarrow \frac{n-k-2+2\alpha n}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{(n-k-2+2\alpha n)^2 + 4(n^2-k^2) - 4\alpha(3n+k-2)(n-k)}}{4} &\geq n-k \\
\Leftrightarrow n &\leq 3k-4 + \alpha(n-k+2) \\
\Leftrightarrow n &\leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha}(3k-4) - \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}(k-2).
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $n \leq 3k-4$ , the inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) hold. If  $x \geq \rho_\alpha \left( \frac{n-k}{2} \right)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h(x, n, s, k, \alpha) &\geq h \left( \rho_\alpha \left( \frac{n-k}{2} \right), n, s, k, \alpha \right) \geq h(n-k, n, s, k, \alpha) \\
&= [4k+4s-4-2\alpha(n+k-2)](n-k) - (12\alpha-8)(1-\alpha)s^2 - [(2n-10k+12)\alpha^2 \\
&\quad + (18k+2n-24)\alpha - 8k+8]s - (n-k)[(1-\alpha)(n+k) - 2\alpha(n-1)] \\
&= 4(3\alpha-2)(\alpha-1)s^2 + [-2(n-5k+6)\alpha^2 - 2(n+9k-12)\alpha + 4n+4k-8]s \\
&\quad + (n-k)[3k-4-n+\alpha(n-k+2)].
\end{aligned}$$

Denote  $\varphi_1(\alpha) = 4(3\alpha-2)(\alpha-1)$  and  $\varphi_2(\alpha) = -2(n-5k+6)\alpha^2 - 2(n+9k-12)\alpha + 4n+4k-8$ . For  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $n \leq 3k-4$ , we have  $\varphi_1(\alpha) > 0$  and  $-2(n-5k+6) > 0$ . Notice that

$$\frac{n+9k-12}{-2(n-5k+6)} > \frac{1}{2} \Leftrightarrow n > 3-2k,$$

one can see  $\varphi_2(\alpha) \geq \varphi_2(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{5}{2}(n-k) + 1 > 0$ . Thus, for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $n \leq 3k-4$ ,  $t \leq s < \frac{n-k}{2}$ , and  $x \geq \rho_\alpha(\frac{n-k}{2})$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(x, n, s, k, \alpha) - \left( x - \frac{n-k-2(1-\alpha)s}{2} \right) f_2(x, n, k, \alpha) \\ &= \frac{n-2s-k}{8} h(x, n, s, k, \alpha) \\ &\geq \frac{n-2s-k}{8} \{ \varphi_1(\alpha)s^2 + \varphi_2(\alpha)s + (n-k)[3k-4-n+\alpha(n-k+2)] \} \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\rho_\alpha(s) < \rho_\alpha(\frac{n-k}{2})$ .

**Case 2.**  $n \geq 3k-2$ . We distinguish two cases depending on  $s$ .

**Case 2.1.**  $s \leq \frac{n+3-3k}{4}$  (or  $n \geq 4s+3k-3$ ). Consider the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, n, s, k, \alpha) &= x^3 + [k-n+s+1-\alpha(n+s)]x^2 + [ns\alpha^2 + (n^2 - kn - 2s)\alpha + k - n + 2s - ks - s^2]x \\ &\quad + (-k^2s + 2kns - 4ks^2 + ks - n^2s + 2ns^2 - ns - 3s^3 + 3s^2)\alpha^2 + (3ns - 3ks + 7ks^2 \\ &\quad + 2k^2s - 2ns^2 - 6s^2 + 5s^3 - 2kns)\alpha + ks - ns - 3ks^2 - k^2s + ns^2 + 2s^2 - 2s^3 + kns, \end{aligned}$$

in the domain  $D = \{(x, n, s, k, \alpha) \mid x > n-s-k, 1 \leq t \leq s \leq \frac{n+3-3k}{4}, 2 \leq k \leq n-2, \alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]\}$ .

We calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}(x, n, s, k, \alpha) &= 3x^2 + 2[k-n+s+1-(n+s)\alpha]x - s^2 + (n\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - k + 2)s \\ &\quad + k - n + \alpha n^2 - \alpha kn. \end{aligned}$$

Observe the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{k-n+s+1-(n+s)\alpha}{3} < n-s-k \\ & \Leftrightarrow (2-\alpha)n - (2+\alpha)s - 2k + 1 > 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow n > \frac{(2+\alpha)}{2-\alpha}s + \frac{2}{2-\alpha}k - \frac{1}{2-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Since  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $s \geq t \geq 1$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and  $n \geq 4s+3k-3$ , then the inequality (3.3) holds. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}(x, n, s, k, \alpha) &> \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}(n-s-k, n, s, k, \alpha) \\ &= ns\alpha^2 + [(k-n)n + 2s(s+k-1)]\alpha \\ &\quad + [(n-s-k) - (s+k-1)]n + k(s+k-1). \end{aligned}$$

It is routine to show

$$-\frac{(k-n)n + 2s(s+k-1)}{2ns} > 1 \Leftrightarrow n(n-k-2s) > 2s(s+k-1). \tag{3.4}$$

Since  $s \geq t \geq 1$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and  $n \geq 4s + 3k - 3$ , we see the inequality (3.4) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}(n-s-k, n, s, k, \alpha) &> \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}\left(n-s-k, n, s, k, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[2n^2 + (4-7s-6k)n + 4k^2 + 8ks - 4k + 4s^2 - 4s]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$-\frac{4-7s-6k}{4} < 4s+3k-3 \Leftrightarrow 9s+6k > 8. \quad (3.5)$$

For  $s \geq t \geq 1$ , and  $k \geq 2$ , the inequality (3.5) holds. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}(n-s-k, n, s, k, \frac{1}{2}) &> \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}\left(3s+2k-3, 4s+3k-3, s, k, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(4k^2 + 11ks - 10k + 8s^2 - 15s + 6) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[4(k-2)k + 2k(s-1) + 9s(k-1) + (8s-6)s + 6] \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $s \geq t \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 2$ . By the above discussion, we have  $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} > 0$  in the domain  $D$ . Moreover, we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s}(x, n, s, k, \alpha) &= (1-\alpha)x^2 + (n\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - k - 2s + 2)x - 3(3\alpha - 2)(\alpha - 1)s^2 \\ &\quad + [(4n - 8k + 6)\alpha^2 + (14k - 4n - 12)\alpha - 6k + 2n + 4]s \\ &\quad + [(k-n) - (n-k)^2]\alpha^2 + [3(n-k) + (n-k)^2 + (k-n)(n+k)]\alpha \\ &\quad + (k-n) + k(n-k). \end{aligned}$$

It is routine to show

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{n\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - k - 2s + 2}{2(1-\alpha)} &\leq n-s-k \\ \Leftrightarrow [1 + (1-\alpha)^2]n &\geq (4-2\alpha)s + (3-2\alpha)k + 2(1+\alpha) \\ \Leftrightarrow n &\geq \frac{4-2\alpha}{1+(1-\alpha)^2}s + \frac{3-2\alpha}{1+(1-\alpha)^2}k + \frac{2(1+\alpha)}{1+(1-\alpha)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Note that  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $s \geq t \geq 1$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and  $n \geq 4s + 3k - 3$ . We have the inequality (3.6) holds. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s}(x, n, s, k, \alpha) &> \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s}(n-s-k, n, s, k, \alpha) \\ &= [(n-k-7s)(s+k-1) + 2s(n-s-\frac{1}{2})]\alpha^2 \\ &\quad + [(1-k-n)(n-k) + 2s(2s+k-n) + 10s(s+k-1)]\alpha \\ &\quad + (n-k)(n-k+1) - s(2n+3s+k-2). \end{aligned}$$



Notice that  $(n - k - 7s)(s + k - 1) + 2s(n - s - \frac{1}{2}) \geq 2k(s + k - \frac{5}{2}) + 3s(s + k - \frac{7}{2}) + 3 > 0$  for  $s \geq t \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Then we consider the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{(1 - k - n)(n - k) + 2s(2s + k - n) + 10s(s + k - 1)}{2[(n - k - 7s)(s + k - 1) + 2s(n - s - \frac{1}{2})]} > 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow & (4s + k - n)(n - s - k) - s(n - k - 2) - (n - k) < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

It's easy to check that the inequality (3.7) holds for  $s \geq t \geq 1$ ,  $k \leq n - 2$ , and  $n \geq 4s + 3k - 3$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s}(n - s - k, n, s, k, \alpha) & > \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s}\left(n - s - k, n, s, k, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ & = \frac{1}{4}[2n^2 + (5 - 9s - 7k)n + 5k^2 + 12ks - 5k + 7s^2 - 6s] \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$-\frac{5 - 9s - 7k}{4} < 4s + 3k - 3 \Leftrightarrow 5s + 5k > 7$$

holds for  $s \geq t \geq 1$ , and  $k \geq 2$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s}(n - s - k, n, s, k, \frac{1}{2}) & > \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s}\left(3s + 2k - 3, 4s + 3k - 3, s, k, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ & = \frac{1}{4}(2k^2 + 5ks - 5k + 3s^2 - 7s + 3) \\ & = \frac{1}{4}[2k(k - 2) + k(s - 1) + 4s(k - 2) + 3s^2 + s + 3] \\ & > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the above discussion, one can see  $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s} > 0$  in the domain  $D$ . Referring to implicit function theorem, we get

$$\frac{\partial x(s)}{\partial s} = - \frac{\frac{\partial f_1(x, n, s, k, \alpha)}{\partial s}}{\frac{\partial f_1(x, n, s, k, \alpha)}{\partial x}} < 0$$

in the domain  $D$ , which implies that  $x = x(s)$  is a strictly decreasing function for  $t \leq s \leq \frac{n+3-3k}{4}$ . As a result, for any  $t + 1 \leq s \leq \frac{n+3-3k}{4}$ , we deduce that  $\rho_\alpha(s) < \rho_\alpha(t)$ .

**Case 2.2.**  $s \geq \frac{n+4-3k}{4}$  (or  $n \leq 4s + 3k - 4$ ). Recall the function

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(x, n, s, k, \alpha) - \left(x - \frac{n - k - 2(1 - \alpha)s}{2}\right) f_2(x, n, k, \alpha) \\ & = \frac{n - 2s - k}{8} \cdot ([4k + 4s - 4 - 2\alpha(n + k - 2)]x - (12\alpha - 8)(1 - \alpha)s^2 \\ & \quad - [(2n - 10k + 12)\alpha^2 + (18k + 2n - 24)\alpha - 8k + 8]s \\ & \quad - (n - k)[(1 - \alpha)(n + k) - 2\alpha(n - 1)]). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $n \leq 4s + 3k - 4 \leq (\frac{2}{\alpha} - 1)k + \frac{2}{\alpha}s - 2(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)$  for any  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , then  $4k + 4s - 4 -$

$2\alpha(n+k-2) > 0$ . For  $x \geq \rho_\alpha\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h(x, n, s, k, \alpha) &\geq h\left(\rho_\alpha\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right), n, s, k, \alpha\right) \\
&= \sqrt{(n-k-2+2\alpha n)^2 + 4(n^2-k^2) - 4\alpha(3n+k-2)(n-k)} \\
&\quad \times \left[-\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{4}(4\alpha+4k+4s-2\alpha k-4)\right] + 4(3\alpha-2)(\alpha-1)s^2 \\
&\quad + [(1-2\alpha^2)n + (10\alpha^2-18\alpha+7)k - 12\alpha^2 + 24\alpha - 10]s \\
&\quad + (2n-kn-n^2)\alpha^2 + \left(-\frac{k^2}{2} + 2k + \frac{5}{2}n^2 - 2n - 2\right)\alpha \\
&\quad + kn - n - k - n^2 + 2.
\end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1(n, s, k, \alpha) &= 4(3\alpha-2)(\alpha-1)s^2 + [(1-2\alpha^2)n + (10\alpha^2-18\alpha+7)k \\
&\quad - 12\alpha^2 + 24\alpha - 10]s + (2n-kn-n^2)\alpha^2 + \left(-\frac{k^2}{2} + 2k + \frac{5}{2}n^2 - 2n - 2\right)\alpha \\
&\quad + kn - n - k - n^2 + 2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$g_2(n, k, \alpha) = \sqrt{(n-k-2+2\alpha n)^2 + 4(n^2-k^2) - 4\alpha(3n+k-2)(n-k)}.$$

Note that  $1-2\alpha^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} > 0$ ,  $n \geq 3k-2$ , and  $k \geq 2$ , we calculate that  $(1-2\alpha^2)n + (10\alpha^2-18\alpha+7)k - 12\alpha^2 + 24\alpha - 10 \geq (4k-8)\alpha^2 + (24-18k)\alpha + 10k - 12 > 2k-2 > 0$ . Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
h\left(\rho_\alpha\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right), n, s, k, \alpha\right) &= g_1(n, s, k, \alpha) + \left[-\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{4}(4\alpha+4k+4s-2\alpha k-4)\right]g_2(n, k, \alpha) \\
&\geq g_1\left(n, \frac{n+4-3k}{4}, \alpha\right) + \left[-\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{4}(4\alpha+4k+4 \cdot \frac{n+4-3k}{4} - 2\alpha k-4)\right] \\
&\quad \times g_2(n, k, \alpha) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\{[-3(n+k)^2 + 4(n+k) + 8n]\alpha^2 + [6(n+k)^2 - (n-k)(n+k) \\
&\quad - 16(n+k) - 8(n-1)]\alpha + 6(k+n) - 2(n+k)^2 + (n+k)(n-k)\} \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{1}{4}(1-2\alpha)(n+k) + \alpha\right]g_2(n, k, \alpha) \\
&= \frac{n+k}{4}\{[-3(n+k) + 4]\alpha^2 + [6(n+k) - (n-k) - 16]\alpha \\
&\quad + 6 - 2(n+k) + (n-k) + (1-2\alpha)g_2(n, k, \alpha)\} \\
&\quad + \alpha\{g_2(n, k, \alpha) - [2(n-1) - 2n\alpha]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\psi_1(n, k, \alpha) = [-3(n+k)^2 + 4(n+k)]\alpha^2 + [6(n+k) - (n-k) - 16]\alpha + 6 - 2(n+k) + (n-k) + (1-2\alpha)g_2(n, k, \alpha)$  and  $\psi_2(n, k, \alpha) = g_2(n, k, \alpha) - [2(n-1) - 2n\alpha]$ . Then we shall show that  $\psi_1(n, k, \alpha) > 0$  and  $\psi_2(n, k, \alpha) > 0$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and  $n \geq 3k-2$ .

For the function  $\psi_2(n, k, \alpha)$ , we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_2(n, k, \alpha) &= \frac{\sqrt{(n-k-2+2\alpha n)^2 + 4(n^2-k^2) - 4\alpha(3n+k-2)(n-k)} - [2(n-1) - 2n\alpha]}{(n+k)[n-3k+4+4\alpha(k-2)]} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{(n-k-2+2\alpha n)^2 + 4(n^2-k^2) - 4\alpha(3n+k-2)(n-k)} + [2(n-1) - 2n\alpha]}{2(n+k)[n-3k+4+4\alpha(k-2)]} \\ &> 0\end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and  $n \geq 3k - 2$ .

To prove that  $\psi_1(n, k, \alpha) > 0$ , we distinguish three cases depending on  $k$ .

(i)  $k \geq 4$ . We let

$$\tau_1(n, k, \alpha) = [-3(n+k) + 4]\alpha + \left[ \frac{9}{2}(n+k) - 16 \right] - 2g_2(n, k, \alpha),$$

and

$$\tau_2(n, k, \alpha) = g_2(n, k, \alpha) - \left[ 2(n+k) - (n-k) - 6 - \frac{3}{2}(n+k)\alpha + (n-k)\alpha \right].$$

It's easy to see that  $\psi_1(n, k, \alpha) = \alpha\tau_1(n, k, \alpha) + \tau_2(n, k, \alpha)$ . By a calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1(n, k, \alpha) &= \frac{1}{[-3(n+k) + 4]\alpha + \left[ \frac{9}{2}(n+k) - 16 \right] + 2g_2(n, k, \alpha)} \left[ \left( -7\alpha^2 + 5\alpha + \frac{1}{4} \right) n^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( 132\alpha + \frac{97}{2}k - 70\alpha k + 18\alpha^2 k - 24\alpha^2 - 128 \right) n + 9\alpha^2 k^2 - 24\alpha^2 k + 16\alpha^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 43\alpha k^2 + 164\alpha k - 128\alpha + \frac{129}{4}k^2 - 160k + 240 \right].\end{aligned}$$

Denote  $\tilde{\tau}_1(n, k, \alpha) = (-7\alpha^2 + 5\alpha + \frac{1}{4})n^2 + (132\alpha + \frac{97}{2}k - 70\alpha k + 18\alpha^2 k - 24\alpha^2 - 128)n + 9\alpha^2 k^2 - 24\alpha^2 k + 16\alpha^2 - 43\alpha k^2 + 164\alpha k - 128\alpha + \frac{129}{4}k^2 - 160k + 240$ . Note that  $-7\alpha^2 + 5\alpha + \frac{1}{4} \geq \frac{1}{4} > 0$ .

We calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{132\alpha + \frac{97}{2}k - 70\alpha k + 18\alpha^2 k - 24\alpha^2 - 128}{2(-7\alpha^2 + 5\alpha + \frac{1}{4})} &< 3k - 2 \tag{3.8} \\ \Leftrightarrow (-24\alpha^2 - 40\alpha + 50)k + 4\alpha^2 + 112\alpha - 129 &> 0.\end{aligned}$$

For  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $k \geq 4$ , we see that  $-24\alpha^2 - 40\alpha + 50 \geq 24 > 0$ , and  $(-24\alpha^2 - 40\alpha + 50)k + 4\alpha^2 + 112\alpha - 129 \geq -92\alpha^2 - 48\alpha + 71 \geq 24 > 0$ . It's easy to check that the inequality (3.8) holds and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\tau}_1(n, k, \alpha) &> \tilde{\tau}_1(3k-2, k, \alpha) \\ &= (36 - 48k)\alpha^2 + (-208k^2 + 640k - 372)\alpha + 180k^2 - 644k + 497.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $36 - 48k < 0$  for  $k \geq 4$ , we have  $\tilde{\tau}_1(3k-2, k, 0) = 180k^2 - 644k + 497 \geq 801 > 0$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_1(3k-2, k, \frac{1}{2}) = 76k^2 - 336k + 320 \geq 192 > 0$ . Consequently, we see  $\tau_1(n, k, \alpha) =$

$\frac{1}{[-3(n+k)+4]\alpha + [\frac{9}{2}(n+k)-16] + 2g_2(n,k,\alpha)} \tilde{\tau}_1(n, k, \alpha) > 0$ . Moreover, we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(n, k, \alpha) &= \frac{1}{4 \{g_2(n, k, \alpha) + [2(n+k) - (n-k) - 6 - \frac{3}{2}(n+k)\alpha + (n-k)\alpha]\}} \\ &\times \{(15\alpha^2 - 28\alpha + 16)n^2 + (48\alpha k - 32k - 24\alpha - 10\alpha^2 k + 32)n - 25\alpha^2 k^2 + 76\alpha k^2 \\ &- 152\alpha k - 48k^2 + 160k - 128\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $\tilde{\tau}_2(n, k, \alpha) = (15\alpha^2 - 28\alpha + 16)n^2 + (48\alpha k - 32k - 24\alpha - 10\alpha^2 k + 32)n - 25\alpha^2 k^2 + 76\alpha k^2 - 152\alpha k - 48k^2 + 160k - 128$ . Note that  $15\alpha^2 - 28\alpha + 16 \geq 5.75 > 0$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Observe the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{48\alpha k - 32k - 24\alpha - 10\alpha^2 k + 32}{2(15\alpha^2 - 28\alpha + 16)} &< 3k - 2 \quad (3.9) \\ \Leftrightarrow (80\alpha^2 - 120\alpha + 64)k - 60\alpha^2 + 88\alpha - 32 &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $80\alpha^2 - 120\alpha + 64 \geq 24 > 0$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , we obtain  $(80\alpha^2 - 120\alpha + 64)k - 60\alpha^2 + 88\alpha - 32 \geq 260\alpha^2 - 392\alpha + 224 \geq 93 > 0$ . Then the inequality (3.9) holds. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}_2(n, k, \alpha) &> \tilde{\tau}_2(3k - 2, k, \alpha) \\ &= (80\alpha^2 - 32\alpha)k^2 + (-160\alpha^2 + 16\alpha + 128)k + 60\alpha^2 - 64\alpha - 128. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $(80\alpha - 32)\alpha \geq 4 > 0$  and  $-160\alpha^2 + 16\alpha + 128 \geq 96 > 0$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Accordingly, we get  $\tilde{\tau}_2(3k - 2, k, \alpha) > \tilde{\tau}_2(10, 4, \alpha) = 700\alpha^2 - 512\alpha + 384 \geq 303 > 0$  and  $\tau_2(n, k, \alpha) = \frac{1}{4\{g_2(n, k, \alpha) + [2(n+k) - (n-k) - 6 - \frac{3}{2}(n+k)\alpha + (n-k)\alpha]\}} \tilde{\tau}_2(n, k, \alpha) > 0$ .

By the above discussion, we have

$$\psi_1(n, k, \alpha) = \alpha\tau_1(n, k, \alpha) + \tau_2(n, k, \alpha) > 0$$

for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 3k - 2$ .

(ii)  $k = 3$ . In this case, we have  $n \geq 3k - 2 = 7$ . Let

$$\tau_3(n, k, \alpha) = [-3(n+k) + 4]\alpha + [5(n+k) - 16] - 2g_2(n, k, \alpha)$$

and

$$\tau_4(n, k, \alpha) = g_2(n, k, \alpha) - [2(n+k) - (n-k) - 6 - (n+k)\alpha + (n-k)\alpha].$$

Obviously, we see  $\psi_1(n, k, \alpha) = \alpha\tau_3(n, k, \alpha) + \tau_4(n, k, \alpha)$ . Analogously, we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_3(n, 3, \alpha) &= [(5n - 1) - (3n + 5)\alpha] - 2\sqrt{(n + 2\alpha n - 5)^2 + 4n^2 - 4\alpha(3n + 1)(n - 1) - 36} \\ &= \frac{(-7\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 5)n^2 + (30\alpha^2 - 92\alpha + 30)n + 25\alpha^2 - 38\alpha + 45}{[(5n - 1) - (3n + 5)\alpha] + 2\sqrt{(n + 2\alpha n - 5)^2 + 4n^2 - 4\alpha(3n + 1)(n - 1) - 36}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $\tilde{\tau}_3(n, 3, \alpha) = (-7\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 5)n^2 + (30\alpha^2 - 92\alpha + 30)n + 25\alpha^2 - 38\alpha + 45$ . Since

$-7\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 5 \geq \frac{17}{4} > 0$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , we see that the inequality

$$-\frac{30\alpha^2 - 92\alpha + 30}{2(-7\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 5)} < 7 \Leftrightarrow -68\alpha^2 - 64\alpha + 100 > 0$$

holds. It follows that  $\tilde{\tau}_3(n, 3, \alpha) > \tilde{\tau}_3(7, 3, \alpha) = -108\alpha^2 - 584\alpha + 500 \geq 181 > 0$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Hence,  $\tau_3(n, 3, \alpha) = \frac{\tilde{\tau}_3(n, 3, \alpha)}{[(5n-1)-(3n+5)\alpha]+2\sqrt{(n+2\alpha n-5)^2+4n^2-4\alpha(3n+1)(n-1)-36}} > 0$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $k = 3$ , and  $n \geq 7$ .

By a simple calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_4(n, 3, \alpha) &= \sqrt{(n+2\alpha n-5)^2+4n^2-4\alpha(3n+1)(n-1)-36} - [n+\alpha(n-3)-\alpha(n+3)+3] \\ &= \frac{(4n^2-36)\alpha^2 + (-8n^2+24n+48)\alpha + 4n^2-16n-20}{\sqrt{(n+2\alpha n-5)^2+4n^2-4\alpha(3n+1)(n-1)-36} + [n+3-6\alpha]}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $\tilde{\tau}_4(n, 3, \alpha) = (4n^2-36)\alpha^2 + (-8n^2+24n+48)\alpha + 4n^2-16n-20$ . Since  $4n^2-36 \geq 160 > 0$  for  $n \geq 7$ , we have

$$\frac{8n^2-24n-48}{8n^2-72} > \frac{1}{2} \Leftrightarrow n^2-6n-3 > 0$$

holds. Notice that  $n^2-6n-3 \geq 4 > 0$  for  $n \geq 7$ , we obtain  $\tilde{\tau}_4(n, 3, \alpha) > \tilde{\tau}_4(n, 3, \frac{1}{2}) = n^2-4n-5 \geq 16 > 0$  for  $n \geq 7$ . Thus, one can see  $\tau_4(n, 3, \alpha) = \frac{\tilde{\tau}_4(n, 3, \alpha)}{\sqrt{(n+2\alpha n-5)^2+4n^2-4\alpha(3n+1)(n-1)-36+[n+3-6\alpha]}} > 0$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $k = 3$ , and  $n \geq 7$ .

By the above discussion, we conclude that

$$\psi_1(n, 3, \alpha) = \alpha\tau_3(n, 3, \alpha) + \tau_4(n, 3, \alpha) > 0.$$

(iii)  $k = 2$ . In this case, one can see  $n \geq 3k - 2 = 4$ . Let

$$\tau_5(n, k, \alpha) = [-3(n+k)+4]\alpha + [6(n+k)-(n-k)-16] - 2g_2(n, k, \alpha)$$

and

$$\tau_6(n, k, \alpha) = g_2(n, k, \alpha) - [2(n+k)-(n-k)-6].$$

It's obvious that  $\psi_1(n, k, \alpha) = \alpha\tau_5(n, k, \alpha) + \tau_6(n, k, \alpha)$ . Then we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_5(n, 2, \alpha) &= [(5n-2)-(3n+2)\alpha] - 2\sqrt{(n+2\alpha n-4)^2+4n^2-12\alpha(n-2)n-16} \\ &= \frac{(-7n^2+12n+4)\alpha^2 + (2n^2-40n+8)\alpha + 5n^2+12n+4}{[(5n-2)-(3n+2)\alpha] + 2\sqrt{(n+2\alpha n-4)^2+4n^2-12\alpha(n-2)n-16}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $\tilde{\tau}_5(n, 2, \alpha) = (-7n^2+12n+4)\alpha^2 + (2n^2-40n+8)\alpha + 5n^2+12n+4$ . Since  $-7n^2+12n+4 \leq -60 < 0$  for  $n \geq 4$ , we check that  $\tilde{\tau}_5(n, 2, 0) = 5n^2+12n+4 \geq 132 > 0$  for  $n \geq 4$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_5(n, 2, \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{4}(17n^2-20n+36) \geq 57 > 0$  for  $n \geq 4$ . Hence,  $\tau_5(n, 2, \alpha) = \frac{\tilde{\tau}_5(n, 2, \alpha)}{[(5n-2)-(3n+2)\alpha]+2\sqrt{(n+2\alpha n-4)^2+4n^2-12\alpha(n-2)n-16}} > 0$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $k = 2$ , and  $n \geq 4$ . Then

we consider the function

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_6(n, 2, \alpha) &= \sqrt{(n + 2\alpha n - 4)^2 + 4n^2 - 12\alpha n(n - 2) - 16} - n \\ &= \frac{4(1 - \alpha)n[(1 - \alpha)n - 2]}{\sqrt{(n + 2\alpha n - 4)^2 + 4n^2 - 12\alpha n(n - 2) - 16} + n}.\end{aligned}$$

It's easy to see that  $4(1 - \alpha)n[(1 - \alpha)n - 2] \geq 0$  for any  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $n \geq 4$ . Therefore, we have  $\tau_6(n, 2, \alpha) \geq 0$ . By the above discussion, we deduce that

$$\psi_1(n, 2, \alpha) = \alpha\tau_5(n, 2, \alpha) + \tau_6(n, 2, \alpha) > 0,$$

for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $k = 2$ , and  $n \geq 4$ .

As can be seen,  $\psi_1(n, k, \alpha) > 0$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and  $n \geq 3k - 2$ . Consequently, for any  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $\frac{n+4-3k}{4} \leq s \leq \frac{n-k-2}{2}$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and  $x \geq \rho_\alpha(\frac{n-k}{2})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}& f_1(x, n, s, k, \alpha) - \left(x - \frac{n - k - 2(1 - \alpha)s}{2}\right) f_2(x, n, k, \alpha) \\ & \geq \frac{n - 2s - k}{8} h\left(\rho_\alpha\left(\frac{n - k}{2}\right), n, s, k, \alpha\right) \\ & \geq \frac{n - 2s - k}{8} \left[\frac{n + k}{4} \psi_1(n, k, \alpha) + \alpha \psi_2(n, k, \alpha)\right] \\ & > 0,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\rho_\alpha(s) < \rho_\alpha(\frac{n-k}{2})$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1(n, t, k, \alpha) &= \left(k + t - 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}(n + k) + \alpha\right) \sqrt{(n - k - 2 + 2\alpha n)^2 + 4(n^2 - k^2) - 4\alpha(3n + k - 2)(n - k)} \\ &+ [12t^2 + (10k - 2n - 12)t + 2n - kn - n^2] \alpha^2 + \left[(24 - 18k)t - 20t^2 - \frac{k^2}{2} + 2k + \frac{5}{2}n^2\right. \\ &\left. - 2n - 2\right] \alpha + k^2 - n^2 + (k + t - 1)(n + 8t - k - 2).\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_2(n, t, k, \alpha) &= (\alpha - 1)n^2 + [4t\alpha^2 + (2 - 14t - 2k)\alpha + 4k + 12t - 4]n - 4t^2\alpha^2 + (k^2 + 6kt - 2k \\ &+ 16t^2 - 4t)\alpha - 3k^2 - 12kt + 4k - 16t^2 + 8t.\end{aligned}$$

where  $n, t, k$  are three positive integers and  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  is a real number.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $n, t, k$  be three positive integers, where  $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$  and  $n \equiv k \pmod{2}$ , and let  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  be an arbitrary real number. The functions  $\Delta_1(n, t, k, \alpha)$  and  $\Delta_2(n, t, k, \alpha)$  are defined as in Definition 3.3. For a  $t$ -connected graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices with matching number  $\mu(G) \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$ , we obtain the following three conclusions.*

(i) If  $\Delta_1(n, t, k, \alpha) < 0$ , then

$$\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha \left( K_t \vee \left( K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}} \right) \right)$$

with equality holding if and only if  $G \cong K_t \vee \left( K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}} \right)$ .

(ii) If  $\Delta_1(n, t, k, \alpha) = 0$  and  $\Delta_2(n, t, k, \alpha) \geq 0$ , then

$$\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha \left( K_t \vee \left( K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}} \right) \right) = \rho_\alpha \left( K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}} \right)$$

with equality holding if and only if  $G \cong K_t \vee \left( K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}} \right)$  or  $G \cong K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}$ .

(iii) If  $\Delta_1(n, t, k, \alpha) > 0$  and  $\Delta_2(n, t, k, \alpha) \geq 0$ , then

$$\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha \left( K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}} \right)$$

with equality holding if and only if  $G \cong K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $K_t \vee \left( K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}} \right) \cong K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}$  if  $t = \frac{n-k}{2}$ , then we consider the case  $t \leq \frac{n-k-2}{2}$ , i.e.,  $n \geq 2t + k + 2$ . Similarly, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(x, n, t, k, \alpha) - \left( x - \frac{n-k-2(1-\alpha)t}{2} \right) f_2(x, n, k, \alpha) \\ &= \frac{n-2t-k}{8} \cdot ([4k+4t-4-2\alpha(n+k-2)]x - (12\alpha-8)(1-\alpha)t^2 \\ & \quad - [(2n-10k+12)\alpha^2 + (18k+2n-24)\alpha - 8k+8]t \\ & \quad - (n-k)[(1-\alpha)(n+k) - 2\alpha(n-1)]) \\ &= \frac{n-2t-k}{8} h(x, n, t, k, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging  $\rho_\alpha \left( K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}} \right)$  into  $h(x, n, t, k, \alpha)$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} & h \left( \rho_\alpha \left( K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}} \right), n, t, k, \alpha \right) \\ &= \left( k+t-1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}(n+k) + \alpha \right) \sqrt{(n-k-2+2\alpha n)^2 + 4(n^2-k^2) - 4\alpha(3n+k-2)(n-k)} \\ & \quad + [12t^2 + (10k-2n-12)t + 2n-kn-n^2] \alpha^2 + \left[ (24-18k)t - 20t^2 - \frac{k^2}{2} + 2k + \frac{5}{2}n^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2n-2 \right] \alpha + k^2 - n^2 + (k+t-1)(n+8t-k-2) \\ & \triangleq \Delta_1(n, t, k, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy's Interlace Theorem [8], one can see that the second largest root of  $f_1(x, n, t, k, \alpha)$  is no bigger than  $n - (2 - \alpha)t - k$ . By a calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_\alpha \left( K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}} \right) - [n - (2 - \alpha)t - k] \geq 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{(n-k-2+2\alpha n)^2 + 4(n^2-k^2) - 4\alpha(3n+k-2)(n-k)} \geq 4[n - (2 - \alpha)t - k] - (n-k-2+2\alpha n) \\ & \Leftrightarrow \Delta_2(n, t, k, \alpha) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(i) If  $\Delta_1(n, t, k, \alpha) < 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1\left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right), n, t, k, \alpha\right) - \left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right) - \frac{n-k-2(1-\alpha)t}{2}\right) \\ & \times f_2\left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right), n, k, \alpha\right) \\ & = \frac{n-2t-k}{8}h\left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right), n, t, k, \alpha\right) \\ & < 0, \end{aligned}$$

implying  $f_1\left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right), n, t, k, \alpha\right) < 0$ . Hence,  $\rho_\alpha\left(K_t \vee (K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}})\right) > \rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right)$ .

(ii) If  $\Delta_1(n, t, k, \alpha) = 0$  and  $\Delta_2(n, t, k, \alpha) \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1\left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right), n, t, k, \alpha\right) - \left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right) - \frac{n-k-2(1-\alpha)t}{2}\right) \\ & \times f_2\left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right), n, k, \alpha\right) \\ & = \frac{n-2t-k}{8}h\left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right), n, t, k, \alpha\right) \\ & = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $f_1(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right), n, t, k, \alpha) = 0$  and  $\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right) \geq n-(2-\alpha)t-k$ .

Thus, we have  $\rho_\alpha\left(K_t \vee (K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}})\right) = \rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right)$ .

(iii) If  $\Delta_1(n, t, k, \alpha) > 0$  and  $\Delta_2(n, t, k, \alpha) \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(x, n, t, k, \alpha) - \left(x - \frac{n-k-2(1-\alpha)t}{2}\right) f_2(x, n, k, \alpha) \\ & \geq f_1\left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right), n, t, k, \alpha\right) - \left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right) - \frac{n-k-2(1-\alpha)t}{2}\right) \\ & \times f_2\left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right), n, k, \alpha\right) \\ & = \frac{n-2t-k}{8}h\left(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right), n, t, k, \alpha\right) \\ & > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $f_1(\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right), n, t, k, \alpha) > 0$  and  $\rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right) \geq n-(2-\alpha)t-k$ .

We deduce that  $\rho_\alpha\left(K_t \vee (K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}})\right) < \rho_\alpha\left(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}\right)$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $\alpha = 0$ , the Theorem 3.4 in [20] can be proved easily through Theorem 3.4.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\Delta(n, t, k) = (n^2 - k^2)^2 - 2(n^2 - k^2)(k + t - 1)(n + k + 10t - 4) + 16t(k + t - 1)^2(n + 4t - k - 2)$ , where  $n, t, k$  are three integers.

**Corollary 3.6.** [20] Let  $n, t, k$  be positive integers, where  $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$  and  $n \equiv k$



(mod 2). The function  $\Delta(n, t, k)$  is defined as in Definition 3.5. Let  $G$  be a  $t$ -connected graph on  $n$  vertices with matching number  $\mu(G) \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$ . Then

- (i) if  $\Delta(n, t, k) > 0$ , we get  $\rho_0(G) \leq \rho_0(K_t \vee (K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}}))$  with equality holding if and only if  $G \cong K_t \vee (K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}})$ .
- (ii) if  $\Delta(n, t, k) = 0$ , we get  $\rho_0(G) \leq \rho_0(K_t \vee (K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}})) = \rho_0(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}})$  with equality holding if and only if  $G \cong K_t \vee (K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}})$  or  $G \cong K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}$ .
- (iii) if  $\Delta(n, t, k) < 0$ , we get  $\rho_0(G) \leq \rho_0(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}})$  with equality holding if and only if  $G \cong K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}$ .

*Proof.* By a calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1(n, t, k, 0) &= (k+t-1) \sqrt{(n-k-2)^2 + 4(n^2 - k^2)} - [n^2 - k^2 - (k+t-1)(n+8t-k-2)] \\ &= \frac{-[(n^2 - k^2)^2 - 2(n^2 - k^2)(k+t-1)(n+k+10t-4) + 16t(k+t-1)^2(n+4t-k-2)]}{(k+t-1) \sqrt{(n-k-2)^2 + 4(n^2 - k^2)} + [n^2 - k^2 - (k+t-1)(n+8t-k-2)]} \\ &= \frac{-\Delta(n, t, k)}{(k+t-1) \sqrt{(n-k-2)^2 + 4(n^2 - k^2)} + [n^2 - k^2 - (k+t-1)(n+8t-k-2)]}. \end{aligned}$$

(i) If  $\Delta(n, t, k) > 0$ , we have  $\Delta_1(n, t, k, 0) < 0$ . Then by Theorem 3.4 (i), it's easy to see that  $\rho_0(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}) < \rho_0(K_t \vee (K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}}))$ .

(ii)-(iii) Since  $4k+4t-4-2\alpha(n+k-2) > 0$  for  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $t \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 2$ , we obtain that for any  $x \geq \rho_0(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(x, n, t, k, 0) - \left(x - \frac{n-k-2t}{2}\right) f_2(x, n, k, 0) \\ & \geq f_1\left(\rho_0(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}), n, t, k, 0\right) - \left(\rho_0(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}) - \frac{n-k-2t}{2}\right) \\ & \quad \times f_2\left(\rho_0(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}), n, k, 0\right) \\ & = \frac{n-2t-k}{8} h\left(\rho_0(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}), n, t, k, 0\right) \\ & = \frac{-(n-2t-k)\Delta(n, t, k)}{8 \left\{ (k+t-1) \sqrt{(n-k-2)^2 + 4(n^2 - k^2)} + [n^2 - k^2 - (k+t-1)(n+8t-k-2)] \right\}}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\Delta(n, t, k) \leq 0$ , it can be checked that  $f_1(x, n, t, k, 0) \geq 0$  for any  $x \geq \rho_0(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}})$ , which implies that  $\rho_0(K_t \vee (K_{n+1-2t-k} \cup \overline{K_{t+k-1}})) \leq \rho_0(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}})$ . In this case, it's obvious that  $\rho_0(K_{\frac{n-k}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+k}{2}}}) > n - (2-\alpha)t - k$ , implying  $\Delta_2(n, t, k, 0) \geq 0$  holds.  $\square$

Take  $t = 1$  and  $k = 2$ , the following result can be obtained by Corollary 3.6.

**Corollary 3.7.** [17] Let  $n \geq 4$  be an even integer, and let  $G$  be a connected  $n$ -vertex graph. Then we obtain following two conclusion.

- (i) For  $n \geq 8$ , if  $\rho_0(G) > \rho_0(K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup \overline{K_2}))$ , then  $G$  contains a perfect matching.
- (ii) For  $n = 4, 6$ , if  $\rho_0(G) > \rho_0\left(K_{\frac{n-2}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+2}{2}}}\right)$ , then  $G$  contains a perfect matching.

By letting  $t = 1$ ,  $k = 2$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  in Theorem 3.4, the following corollary can be derived easily.

**Corollary 3.8.** [13] *Let  $n \geq 4$  be an even integer, and let  $G$  be a connected  $n$ -vertex graph. Then we get following two conclusion.*

- (i) For  $n \geq 10$ , if  $\rho_{\frac{1}{2}}(G) > \rho_{\frac{1}{2}}(K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup \overline{K_2}))$ , then  $G$  contains a perfect matching.
- (ii) For  $n = 4, 6, 8$ , if  $\rho_{\frac{1}{2}}(G) > \rho_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(K_{\frac{n-2}{2}} \vee \overline{K_{\frac{n+2}{2}}}\right)$ , then  $G$  contains a perfect matching.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [61773020]. The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the referees for their careful reading and insightful suggestions.

## References

- [1] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, Eigenvalues and perfect matchings, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 395 (2005) 155–162.
- [2] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, *Spectra of Graphs - Monograph*, Springer, 2011.
- [3] A. Chang, Bounds on the second largest eigenvalue of a tree with perfect matchings, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 283 (1998) 247–255.
- [4] S.M. Cioabă, S. O, Edge-connectivity, eigenvalues, and matchings in regular graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 24 (2010) 1470–1481.
- [5] S.M. Cioabă, D. Gregory, W.H. Haemers, Matchings in regular graphs from eigenvalues, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B* 99 (2009) 287–297.
- [6] L. Feng, G. Yu, X. Zhang, Spectral radius of graphs with given matching number, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 422 (2007) 133–138.
- [7] Y. Hou, J. Li, Bounds on the largest eigenvalues of trees with a given size of matching, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 342 (2002) 203–217.

- [8] S.G. Hwang, Cauchy's interlace theorem for eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices. *AM MATH MON.* 111 (2004) 157-159.
- [9] H. Lin, X. Huang, J. Xue, A note on the  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 557 (2018) 430-437.
- [10] H. Lin, X. Liu, J. Xue, Graphs determined by their  $A_\alpha$ -spectra, *Discrete Math.* 342 (2) (2019) 441-450.
- [11] H. Lin, J. Xue, J. Shu, On the  $A_\alpha$ -spectra of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 556 (2018) 210-219.
- [12] X. Liu, S. Liu, On the  $A_\alpha$ -characteristic polynomial of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 546 (2018) 274-288.
- [13] C. Liu, Y. Pan, J. Li, Signless Laplacian spectral radius and matching in graphs, *arXiv:2007.04479*, 2020.
- [14] V. Nikiforov, Merging the  $A$ - and  $Q$ -spectral theories, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* 11 (2017) 81-107.
- [15] V. Nikiforov, G. Pasten, O. Rojo, R.L. Soto, On the  $A_\alpha$ -spectra of trees, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 520 (2017) 286-305.
- [16] V. Nikiforov, O. Rojo, A note on the positive semidefiniteness of  $A_\alpha(G)$ , *Linear Algebra Appl.* 519 (2017) 156-163.
- [17] S. O, Spectral radius and matchings in graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 614 (2021) 316-324.
- [18] L.H. You, M. Yang, W. So, W.G. Xi, On the spectrum of an equitable matrix and its application, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 577 (2019) 21-40.
- [19] Y. Zhao, X. Huang, Z. Wang, The  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius and perfect matchings of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 631 (2021) 143-155.
- [20] W. Zhang, The maximum spectral radius of  $t$ -connected graphs with bounded matching number. *Discrete Math.* 345 (2022) 112775.