

Integer colorings with no rainbow k -term arithmetic progression

Hao Lin^{*} Guanghai Wang[†] Wenling Zhou[‡]

Abstract: In this paper, we study the rainbow Erdős-Rothschild problem with respect to k -term arithmetic progressions. For a set of positive integers $S \subseteq [n]$, an r -coloring of S is *rainbow k -AP-free* if it contains no rainbow k -term arithmetic progression. Let $g_{r,k}(S)$ denote the number of rainbow k -AP-free r -colorings of S . For sufficiently large n and fixed integers $r \geq k \geq 3$, we show that $g_{r,k}(S) < g_{r,k}([n])$ for any proper subset $S \subset [n]$. Further, we prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{r,k}([n]) / (k-1)^n = \binom{r}{k-1}$. Our result is asymptotically best possible and implies that, almost all rainbow k -AP-free r -colorings of $[n]$ use only $k-1$ colors.

Keywords: Integer coloring; arithmetic progression; container method

1 Introduction

Let k and n be positive integers and $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Given a subset $S \subseteq [n]$, a k -term arithmetic progression (k -AP for short) in S is a set of distinct elements of the form $a, a+d, a+2d, \dots, a+(k-1)d$ with difference $d \in [n]$. For a positive integer r and a set S , an r -coloring of S is a mapping $\chi : S \rightarrow [r]$, which assigns one color to each element of S . We say such an r -coloring is *exact* if χ is surjective. A k -AP in S is called *rainbow* (or *monochromatic*) if all of its elements receive distinct (or same) colors under an r -coloring of S .

Arithmetic progressions play an important role in number theory. The well-known van der Waerden's Theorem [28] in Ramsey theory states that, for every r and k and sufficiently large n , every r -coloring of $[n]$ contains a monochromatic k -AP. A strengthening of this result was conjectured by Erdős and Turán [11] in 1936, and finally proved by Szemerédi [27] in 1975.

Theorem 1.1. (Szemerédi's Theorem [27]) *For a positive integer k and a real number $\alpha > 0$, there exists a positive integer $sz(k, \alpha)$ such that for all $n \geq sz(k, \alpha)$, every subset $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| \geq \alpha n$ contains a k -AP.*

The anti-Ramsey (more precisely, anti-van der Waerden) properties of arithmetic progressions have received great attention as well (see [6, 19] and references therein). Given a positive integer k and a set S , the *anti-van der Waerden number* $aw(S, k)$ is the smallest r such that every exact r -coloring of S contains a rainbow k -AP. In [6], Butler et al. proved that for sufficiently large n , $aw([n], 3) = \Theta(\log n)$

^{*}School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, China, Email: lhao17@mail.sdu.edu.cn.

[†]School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, China, Email: ghwang@sdu.edu.cn, supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (11871311) and Young Taishan Scholars Program of Shandong Province (201909001).

[‡]School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, China, and Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des Sciences du Numérique, Université Paris-Saclay, France. Email: gracezhou@mail.sdu.edu.cn.

and $aw([n], k) = n^{1-o(1)}$ for $k \geq 4$. Note that this definition of $aw(S, k)$ implies that there are always r -colorings of S with no rainbow k -AP for all $r < aw(S, k)$. An r -coloring of S is called *rainbow k -AP-free* if it contains no rainbow k -AP.

Following a recent trend of working on problems about counting certain colorings and analyzing extremal structure of them, for positive integers r, k and set $S \subseteq [n]$, we are interested in the problem of counting the number, $g_{r,k}(S)$, of rainbow k -AP-free r -colorings of S . In addition, we naturally want to know, whether the behavior of $g_{r,k}([n])$ is similar to that of $aw([n], k)$, which is different for $k = 3$ and for $k \geq 4$.

The related problem of counting colorings of discrete objects with certain properties, was initiated by Erdős-Rothschild [10] who were interested in n -vertex graphs that admit the maximum number of r -edge-colorings without a given monochromatic subgraph, which has motivated a number of results (see, e.g., [1, 2, 16, 23]). For the Erdős-Rothschild problems on other discrete structures, we refer to [20] for k -uniform hypergraphs, to [8] for intersecting set families, to [9] for k -chains and to [15, 22] for sum-free sets. Meanwhile, the *rainbow Erdős-Rothschild problem* has received considerable attention, which studies the maximum number of r -edge-colorings of n -vertex graphs without a given *rainbow* subgraph whose edges are assigned distinct colors. For example, in [3, 5, 17], they studied the number of r -edge-colorings of graphs avoiding rainbow triangles. For further results along this line of research, we refer to [18] and the references therein. Recently, the rainbow Erdős-Rothschild problem has also been extended to other discrete structures. Cheng et al. [7] studied the number of rainbow sum-free colorings of integers. Li, Broersma and Wang [21] considered the problem for 3-AP.

In this paper, we extend the results of 3-AP in [21] and study rainbow Erdős-Rothschild problem with respect to k -AP. For $r \in [k - 1]$, we trivially have $g_{r,k}(S) = r^{|S|}$ for all $S \subseteq [n]$. Hence $[n]$ is the unique set admitting the maximum number of rainbow k -AP-free r -colorings for all $k \geq 3$ and $1 < r < k$. For $r \geq k$, the research on $g_{r,k}(S)$ requires substantially more work. In addition, note that a *sum* in S is a solution of the equation $x + y = z$, and a 3-AP in S can be regarded as a solution of $x + y = 2z$. Therefore, when we intend to count the number of rainbow 3-AP-free (or sum-free) colorings of S , we can find some required 3-APs (or sums) by using the ordered pairs in S (see [7, 21]). Unfortunately, for $k \geq 4$, there is no such linear equation to help us find k -APs that are needed. Therefore, we will introduce some new ideas in the proof.

For all $r \geq k$ and $S \subseteq [n]$, let $f^{<k}(r, S)$ denote the number of r -coloring of S using at most $k - 1$ colors. Then we have a lower bound on $g_{r,k}(S)$ as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *For all $r \geq k \geq 2$ and $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| \geq k$,*

$$g_{r,k}(S) \geq f^{<k}(r, S) = \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{t} \sum_{j=t}^{k-1} \binom{r}{j} \binom{j}{j-t} (-1)^{j-t}.$$

For the upper bound, we first estimate the number of rainbow k -AP-free r -colorings of dense sets.

Theorem 1.3. *For all integers $r \geq k \geq 3$ and any real number $0 \leq \xi \leq r^{-3}/2$, there exists an integer n_0 such that the following holds for $n \geq n_0$. If $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| = (1 - \xi)n$, then*

$$g_{r,k}(S) \leq \binom{r}{k-1} (k-1)^{|S|} + (k-1)^{-\frac{1-\log(k-2) \cdot \log^{-1}(k-1)}{8k^3 \log n}} n (k-1)^n.$$

Now we turn to the extremal configurations of rainbow k -AP-free r -colorings for $r \geq k$.

Theorem 1.4. *For all integers $r \geq k \geq 3$, there exists an integer N such that for all $n \geq N$ and any proper subset $S \subset [n]$, we have $g_{r,k}(S) < g_{r,k}([n])$. Moreover, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{r,k}([n])}{(k-1)^n} = \binom{r}{k-1}.$$

Remark. Theorem 1.4 is trivial for $k = 2$, since $g_{r,2}(S) = r$ for all $S \subseteq [n]$ and $r \geq 1$. For $k = 3$, it was also proved in [21]. Combining the previous discussion for $r \in [k-1]$, for all integers $r \geq 2$, $k \geq 3$ and sufficiently large n , $[n]$ is always the unique subset admitting the maximum number of rainbow k -AP-free r -colorings among all subsets of $[n]$. In particular, almost all rainbow k -AP-free r -colorings of $[n]$ use at most $k-1$ colors.

Organization. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we will state and prove some useful results on k -APs. Moreover, we will derive a container theorem (see Corollary 2.5) for rainbow k -AP-free r -colorings using the hypergraph container method. In Section 3, we give a combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we prove some necessary lemmas and give the proof of Theorem 1.3. In Section 5, we will prove Theorem 1.4. We close the paper with some concluding remarks in Section 6.

2 Notation and preliminaries

Notation. In this paper all logarithms are on base 2. We write $[a, b]$ for the interval $\{a, a+1, \dots, b\}$. Given a set $S \subseteq [n]$ and an integer $a \in S$, denote by $\Gamma_k(S)$ the number of k -APs in S and $\Gamma_k(a^+, S)$ the number of k -APs with a as the first term in S . Throughout the paper, we fix that r and k are both positive integers, and we do not consider the k -APs with common difference 0.

2.1 Basic properties of k -APs in $[n]$

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we need a supersaturation result for k -APs. For arithmetic progressions, the first supersaturation result proved by Varnavides [29] is that any subset of $[n]$ of size $\Omega(n)$ contains $\Omega(n^2)$ 3-APs. For $k \geq 3$, Shkredov [26] formulated a sharpening of Szemerédi's Theorem due to Varnavides [29].

Theorem 2.1. ([26, Theorem 18]) *For every $k \geq 3$ and a real number $\alpha > 0$, there exists a positive integer $v(k, \alpha)$ such that for all $n \geq v(k, \alpha)$ and $S \subseteq [n]$, if $|S| \geq \alpha n$, then $\Gamma_k(S) \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{16v^3(k, \alpha)} n^2$.*

In addition, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *For all integers $n \geq k \geq 2$ with $n \equiv k' \pmod{k-1}$, we have*

$$\Gamma_k([n]) = \frac{n^2}{2(k-1)} - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{k'(k-1-k')}{2(k-1)}.$$

Proof. Set $q = \frac{n-k'}{k-1}$. Let us consider a partition of $[n]$ into V_0, V_1, \dots, V_q with $V_0 = [1, k']$ (if $k' = 0$ then $V_0 = \emptyset$) and $V_i = [k' + (i-1)(k-1) + 1, k' + i(k-1)]$ for $i \in [q]$. Then for each integer $a \in V_i$, $\Gamma_k(a^+, [n]) = q - i$ for $0 \leq i \leq q$. Therefore, we have

$$\Gamma_k([n]) = \sum_{a \in [n]} \Gamma_k(a^+, [n]) = \sum_{i=0}^q |V_i|(q-i) = \frac{n^2}{2(k-1)} - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{k'(k-1-k')}{2(k-1)}.$$

□

2.2 The hypergraph container method

We will use the method of hypergraph containers in the proof of Theorem 1.3. This powerful method was developed independently by Balogh-Morris-Samotij [4] and Saxton-Thomason [24].

A k -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ consists of a vertex set V and an edge set E , in which every edge is a set of k vertices in V . An *independent* set in \mathcal{H} is a set of vertices inducing no edge in E . Many classical theorems in combinatorics can be phrased as statements about independent sets in given hypergraphs. For example, Szemerédi's Theorem can be expressed as for $V(\mathcal{H}) = [n]$ and $E(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of all k -APs in $[n]$, the size of the maximum independent set of \mathcal{H} is $o(n)$.

Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ be a k -uniform hypergraph with average degree $d := k|E|/|V|$. For every $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$, let $\mathcal{H}[X]$ be the subhypergraph of \mathcal{H} induced by X , and let $\deg(X) = |\{e \in E(\mathcal{H}) : X \subseteq e\}|$ denote the *co-degree* of X . For every $j \in [k]$, denote by $\Delta_j(\mathcal{H})$ the j th maximum co-degree of \mathcal{H} , that is, $\Delta_j(\mathcal{H}) = \max\{\deg(X) : X \subseteq V(\mathcal{H}), |X| = j\}$. When the underlying hypergraph is clear, we simply write it as Δ_j . For any real number $0 < \tau < 1$, the *co-degree function* $\Delta(\mathcal{H}, \tau)$ is defined as

$$\Delta(\mathcal{H}, \tau) = 2^{\binom{k}{2}-1} \sum_{j=2}^k 2^{-\binom{j-1}{2}} \frac{\Delta_j}{d\tau^{j-1}}.$$

We will use the following version of the hypergraph container theorem.

Theorem 2.3. ([24, Corollary 3.6]) *Let \mathcal{H} be a k -uniform hypergraph on n vertices. Let $0 < \varepsilon, \tau < 1/2$. Suppose that $\tau < 1/(200k!^2k)$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{H}, \tau) \leq \varepsilon/(12k!)$. Then there exists $c = c(k) \leq 1000k!^3k$ and a collection \mathcal{C} of vertex subsets such that*

- (i) *every independent set in \mathcal{H} is a subset of some $I \in \mathcal{C}$;*
- (ii) *for every $I \in \mathcal{C}$, $|E(\mathcal{H}[I])| \leq \varepsilon|E(\mathcal{H})|$;*
- (iii) $\log |\mathcal{C}| \leq cn\tau \log(1/\varepsilon) \log(1/\tau)$.

A key concept in applying the hypergraph container method to such coloring problems is the notion of *template*, which was first formally introduced in [13], although the concept had already appeared in [2] under the name of “2-colored multigraphs”.

Definition 2.4. (Template, palette, subtemplate, rainbow k -AP template)

- An r -*template* of order n is a function $P : [n] \rightarrow 2^{[r]}$, associating with each element $x \in [n]$ a list of colors $P(x) \subseteq [r]$. We refer to this set $P(x)$ as the *palette* available at x .
- Let P_1, P_2 be two r -templates of order n . We say that P_1 is a *subtemplate* of P_2 (i.e. $P_1 \subseteq P_2$) if $P_1(x) \subseteq P_2(x)$ for every $x \in [n]$.
- For an r -template P of order n , we say that P is a *rainbow k -AP r -template* if there exists a k -AP $K = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ such that $|P(a_i)| = 1$ for $i \in [k]$, $P(x) = \emptyset$ for $x \in [n] \setminus K$, and $P(a_1), \dots, P(a_k)$ are pairwise distinct.

Note that for any $S \subseteq [n]$, an r -coloring of S can be considered as an r -template P of order n with $|P(x)| = 1$ for $x \in S$ and $P(x) = \emptyset$ for $x \in [n] \setminus S$. For an r -template P of order n , write $R_k(P)$ for the number of subtemplate of P that are rainbow k -AP templates. We say that P is a *rainbow k -AP-free r -template* if $R_k(P) = 0$. Given positive integers $n \geq r \geq k \geq 3$, consider the

k -AP-hypergraph \mathcal{H}_k encoding the vertex set $[n] \times [r]$ and the edge set of \mathcal{H}_k consists of all k -tuples $\{(a_1, c_1), \dots, (a_k, c_k)\}$ such that $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ forms a k -AP in $[n]$ and c_1, \dots, c_k are k pairwise distinct colors in $[r]$. Using the k -AP-hypergraph \mathcal{H}_k , we obtain the following adaption of Theorem 2.3 to rainbow k -AP-free r -template.

Corollary 2.5. *For integers $r \geq k \geq 3$ and sufficiently large n , there exists a constant $c = c(r, k)$ and a collection \mathcal{C} of r -templates of order n such that*

- (i) *every rainbow k -AP-free r -template of order n is a subtemplate of some $P \in \mathcal{C}$;*
- (ii) *for every $P \in \mathcal{C}$, $R_k(P) < k^{-1}n^{2-1/k}$;*
- (iii) $\log |\mathcal{C}| \leq cn^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \log^2 n$.

Proof. Consider the k -AP-hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_k = (V, E)$. Clearly, $|E| = \frac{r!}{(r-k)!} \Gamma_k([n])$. By Proposition 2.2, we have $|E| > \frac{r!n^2}{2k(r-k)!}$. By the definitions of d and Δ_j , it is easy to check that

$$d = \frac{k|E|}{|V|} > \frac{(r-1)!}{2(r-k)!}n, \quad \Delta_k = 1, \quad \Delta_j \leq \Delta_2 \leq \binom{k}{2} \frac{(r-2)!}{(r-k)!} < k^2 r^{k-2} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq k-1. \quad (1)$$

Now we apply Theorem 2.3 on \mathcal{H}_k . Let $\varepsilon = \frac{(r-k)!}{r!}n^{-\frac{1}{k}}$ and $\tau = (2^k \cdot 12k! \cdot r)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}n^{-\frac{1}{k}}$. Let $\alpha_j = 2^{-\binom{j-1}{2}}\tau^{1-j}$ for $2 \leq j \leq k$. Then we have

$$\frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_{j+1}} = 2^{j-1}\tau < 2^k\tau < 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq k-1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha_{k-1}}{\alpha_k} k^3 r^{k-2} = k^3 r^{k-2} 2^{k-2}\tau < 1. \quad (2)$$

Next, let us bound the function $\Delta(\mathcal{H}_k, \tau)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathcal{H}_k, \tau) &= 2^{\binom{k}{2}-1} d^{-1} \sum_{j=2}^k \alpha_j \Delta_j \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} 2^{\binom{k}{2}-1} d^{-1} (k^2 r^{k-2} \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \alpha_j + \alpha_k) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{\leq} 2^{\binom{k}{2}-1} d^{-1} ((k-2)k^2 r^{k-2} \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_k) \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} 2^{\binom{k}{2}} d^{-1} \alpha_k \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \frac{\varepsilon}{12k!}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists a collection \mathcal{C} of vertex subsets satisfying properties (i)-(iii) of Theorem 2.3. Observe that every vertex subset of \mathcal{H}_k can be regarded as an r -template of order n , and every independent set in \mathcal{H}_k can be regarded as a rainbow k -AP-free r -template of order n . Therefore, \mathcal{C} is a desired collection of r -templates of order n . Moreover, by Proposition 2.2 and the property (ii) of Theorem 2.3, for every $P \in \mathcal{C}$, $R_k(P) \leq \varepsilon|E| = n^{-1/k} \Gamma_k([n]) < k^{-1}n^{2-1/k}$. \square

For convenience, we define good r -template with respect to subset $S \subseteq [n]$.

Definition 2.6 (Good r -template). For every subset $S \subseteq [n]$, an r -template P of order n is a *good r -template* for S if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) For every $x \in S$, $|P(x)| \geq 1$;
- (ii) $R_k(P) < k^{-1}n^{2-1/k}$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Theorem 1.2 can be obtained directly, using the following Proposition 3.1. Given a positive integer r and a set $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| \geq r$, let $f(r, S)$ denote the number of exact r -colorings of S .

Proposition 3.1. For a positive integer r and a set S with $|S| \geq r$,

$$f(r, S) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{i} (r-i)^{|S|} (-1)^i.$$

Proof. We use induction on r . For $r = 1$ this is clearly true, so we assume that the equality holds for the number of summands up to r , and prove it for $r + 1$. By the definition of $f(r, S)$, we have

$$f(r+1, S) = (r+1)^{|S|} - \sum_{j=1}^r \binom{r+1}{j} f(j, S). \quad (3)$$

Fix an integer $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. By the induction hypothesis, the coefficient of the $(r-i)^{|S|}$ term of the equation (3) is

$$-\sum_{j=0}^i \binom{r+1}{r-j} \binom{r-j}{i-j} (-1)^{i-j} = \frac{(r+1)!}{(r-i)!(i+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{(i+1)!}{(i-j)!(j+1)!} (-1)^{i+1-j}.$$

By the Binomial Theorem, the coefficient of the $(r-i)^{|S|}$ term is reduced to

$$\frac{(r+1)!}{(r-i)! \cdot (i+1)!} \cdot (-1)^{i+1} = \binom{r+1}{i+1} \cdot (-1)^{i+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$f(r+1, S) = (r+1)^{|S|} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \binom{r+1}{i+1} (-1)^{i+1} (r-i)^{|S|} = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r+1}{i} (r+1-i)^{|S|} (-1)^i.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For all $r \geq k \geq 2$ and $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| \geq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{r,k}(S) &\geq f^{<k}(r, S) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \binom{r}{j} f(j, S) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\binom{r}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{j}{i} (j-i)^{|S|} (-1)^i \right) \\ &\stackrel{t:=j-i}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\binom{r}{j} \sum_{j-t=0}^{j-1} \binom{j}{j-t} t^{|S|} (-1)^{j-t} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\binom{r}{j} \sum_{t=1}^j \binom{j}{j-t} t^{|S|} (-1)^{j-t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the constraints are $1 \leq t \leq j \leq k-1$ in the last formula. Therefore, we can change the order of summation and obtain

$$g_{r,k}(S) \geq \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \left(t^{|S|} \sum_{j=t}^{k-1} \binom{r}{j} \binom{j}{j-t} (-1)^{j-t} \right).$$

□

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.3 using Corollary 2.5. Throughout this section, we restrict ourselves to sufficiently large n and subset $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| = (1-\xi)n$ and $0 \leq \xi \leq r^{-3}/2$.

Recalling the definition of r -template in Definition 2.4, for a set $S \subseteq [n]$ and a collection \mathcal{P} of r -templates of order n , denote by $G(\mathcal{P}, S)$ the set of rainbow k -AP-free r -colorings of S , which is a

subtemplate of some $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $g(\mathcal{P}, S) = |G(\mathcal{P}, S)|$. If \mathcal{P} consists of a single r -template P , then we simply write $G(P, S)$ and $g(P, S)$.

Let \mathcal{C} be the collection of r -templates of order n given by Corollary 2.5. By property (i) of Corollary 2.5, since every rainbow k -AP-free r -coloring of S can be regarded as a subtemplate of some $P \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $g_{r,k}(S) = g(\mathcal{C}, S)$. So it remains to estimate the upper bound of $g(\mathcal{C}, S)$. Set $\delta = \frac{1 - \log(k-2) \cdot \log^{-1}(k-1)}{6k^3 \log n}$. Given a subset $S \subseteq [n]$, we divide \mathcal{C} into two classes

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \{P \in \mathcal{C} : g(P, S) \leq (k-1)^{(1-\delta)n}\}, \quad \mathcal{C}_2 = \{P \in \mathcal{C} : g(P, S) > (k-1)^{(1-\delta)n}\}. \quad (4)$$

The crucial part of the proof is to estimate the upper bound of $g(\mathcal{C}_2, S)$, which relies on the following three lemmas.

Note that every $P \in \mathcal{C}_2$ is a good r -template for S by property (ii) of Corollary 2.5. For a template $P \in \mathcal{C}_2$ and a subset S , let

$$X_1 = \{x \in S : |P(x)| \leq k-2\}, \quad X_2 = \{x \in S : |P(x)| = k-1\}, \quad X_3 = \{x \in S : |P(x)| \geq k\},$$

and $x_i = |X_i|$ for $i \in [3]$. Then by the definitions of $G(P, S)$ and $P \in \mathcal{C}_2$, we have

$$(k-1)^{(1-\delta)n} < g(P, S) \leq (k-2)^{x_1} (k-1)^{x_2} r^{x_3}. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $r \geq k \geq 3$ and $\delta = \frac{1 - \log(k-2) \cdot \log^{-1}(k-1)}{6k^3 \log n}$. For every subset $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| = (1 - \xi)n$ and $0 \leq \xi \leq r^{-3}/2$, if there exists $P \in \mathcal{C}_2$, then $x_3 < 2kn^{\frac{k-1}{k}}$ and $\xi < \delta + 2k(\log r - 1)n^{-1/k}$.*

Proof. Given $S \subseteq [n]$, let $P \in \mathcal{C}_2$. We first claim that $x_3 = o(n)$. Otherwise, by Theorem 2.1, we immediately have $\Gamma_k(X_3) = \Omega(n^2)$. For every k -AP $K \subset X_3$, due to $|P(x_i)| \geq k$ for all $x_i \in K$, there exists a rainbow k -AP subtemplate $P' \subseteq P$ such that $|P'(x)| = 1$ for $x \in K$ and $|P'(y)| = 0$ for $y \in [n] \setminus K$. Therefore, $R_k(P) = \Omega(n^2)$, which contradicts the condition that P is a good r -template.

Note that $\sum_i x_i = |S| = (1 - \xi)n$. By Inequality (5), we get

$$x_3 > \frac{(\xi - \delta)n \log(k-1) + x_1(\log(k-1) - \log(k-2))}{\log r - \log(k-1)},$$

which also implies that $x_1 = o(n)$. Let $\bar{X}_2 = [n] \setminus X_2$, then $|\bar{X}_2| = x_1 + x_3 + \xi n < r^{-3}n$.

Next, we consider the family \mathcal{K} of k -APs defined as follows

$$\mathcal{K} = \{K \subset X_2 \cup X_3 : |K \cap X_2| = k-1 \text{ and } |K \cap X_3| = 1\}.$$

Note that every k -AP in \mathcal{K} corresponds to at least one rainbow k -AP subtemplate of P , so we have $|\mathcal{K}| \leq R_k(P)$. In order to estimate the lower bound of $|\mathcal{K}|$, we consider an iterative algorithm (Algorithm 1). Since this algorithm will also be used in the following proof later, we consider the general input: an initial term $a \in [n]$, a common difference set $D \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and a underlying ground set $B \subseteq [n]$.

Using Algorithm 1, we input $a \in X_3$ and $B = X_2$. If $a < n/2$, then we take $D = \{d \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 < d < \frac{n}{2(k-1)}\}$; otherwise, $D = \{d \in \mathbb{Z} : -\frac{n}{2(k-1)} < d < 0\}$. In each iteration of Algorithm 1, it is easy to check that the size of D is reduced by at most $|\bar{X}_2|$. Therefore, for every $a \in X_3$, the final D^* satisfies $|D^*| \geq \frac{n}{2(k-1)} - (k-1)|\bar{X}_2| > \frac{n}{k^2}$, which implies $|\mathcal{K}| \geq \frac{x_3 n}{2k^2}$. Since P is a good r -template of S , we have

$$k^{-1}n^{2-1/k} > R_k(P) > \frac{n x_3}{2k^2},$$

which indicates $x_3 < 2kn^{1-1/k}$. Moreover, by Inequality (5) we have $\xi < \delta + 2k(\log r - 1)n^{-1/k}$ since $\sum_i x_i = |S| = (1 - \xi)n$. \square

Algorithm 1 (Iterative Algorithm)

Input: a, D, B

- 1: **for** $i = 1$ to $k - 1$ **do**
 - 2: $D' = D \cap \{d : a + id \in B\}$
 - 3: $D = D'$
 - 4: **end for**
 - 5: **return** $D^* = D$
-

Next, for $P \in \mathcal{C}_2$, we will describe the properties of P through the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $r \geq k \geq 3$, $\delta = \frac{1 - \log(k-2) \cdot \log^{-1}(k-1)}{6k^3 \log n}$ and $0 \leq \xi < \delta + 2k(\log r - 1)n^{-1/k}$. Then for $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| = (1 - \xi)n$ and $P \in \mathcal{C}_2$, there exist $k - 1$ colors $\{c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}\} \subset [r]$ such that the number of integers in S with palette $\{c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}\}$ is more than $(1 - 1/(3k^3 \log n))n$.*

Proof. Note that $\sum_i x_i = |S| = (1 - \xi)n$. By Inequality (5), we get

$$x_1 < \frac{x_3(\log r - \log(k-1)) - (\xi - \delta)n \log(k-1)}{\log(k-1) - \log(k-2)}.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4.1 we have $x_3 < 2kn^{1-1/k}$. By combining the two inequalities, we get

$$x_2 = (1 - \xi)n - (x_1 + x_3) > \left(1 - \frac{\log(k-1)}{\log(k-1) - \log(k-2)}\delta - \frac{\log r - \log(k-2)}{\log(k-1) - \log(k-2)}2kn^{-1/k}\right)n. \quad (6)$$

Recalling that $\delta = \frac{1 - \log(k-2) \cdot \log^{-1}(k-1)}{6k^3 \log n}$, we have $|\bar{X}_2| = n - x_2 = o(n)$. For every $C \subset [r]$ with $|C| = k - 1$, let $Y_C = \{x \in X_2 : P(x) = C\}$. By the pigeonhole principle, there exists a $C_0 \subset [r]$ with $|C_0| = k - 1$ such that $|Y_{C_0}| \geq x_2 / \binom{r}{k-1} \geq r^{1-k}n$. Let $Y' = X_2 \setminus Y_{C_0}$, then we have the following claim.

Claim 1. $|Y'| = o(n)$.

Proof. Assume for the sake of contradiction that $|Y'| = \Omega(n)$. In this case, it is sufficient to show that $R_k(P) = \Omega(n^2)$, which contradicts the condition that P is a good r -template for S .

Let us consider a partition of X_2 into V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{6k} satisfies $||V_i| - |V_j|| \leq 1$ and $\max(V_i) < \min(V_j)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 6k$. Then there is an $j_0 \in [6k]$ such that either $|V_{j_0} \cap Y_{C_0}| = \Omega(n)$ and $|V_{j_0+1} \cap Y'| = \Omega(n)$ or the other way around. Indeed, since $|Y_{C_0}| = \Omega(n)$, $|Y'| = \Omega(n)$ and $Y_{C_0} \cup Y' = X_2$, either $|V_j \cap Y_{C_0}| = \Omega(n)$ or $|V_j \cap Y'| = \Omega(n)$ for every $j \in [6k]$. For convenience, set $Y_1 = Y_{C_0} \cap (V_{j_0} \cup V_{j_0+1})$ and $Y_2 = Y' \cap (V_{j_0} \cup V_{j_0+1})$. For any $a \in Y_1$ and $b \in Y_2$, if $\max\{a, b\} < n/2$, let $K_{a,b}$ denote the k -AP in $[n]$ with $\min\{a, b\}$ as the first term and $|a - b|$ as the common difference; otherwise, let $K_{a,b}$ denote the k -AP in $[n]$ with $\max\{a, b\}$ as the k th term and $|a - b|$ as the common difference. Note that for such $K_{a,b}$, we have $P(a) = C_0$, $P(b) \neq C_0$ and $|P(a)| = |P(b)| = k - 1$. If $K_{a,b} \subset X_2$, then P contains at least one rainbow k -AP subtemplate $P' \subseteq P$ such that $|P'(x)| = 1$ for $x \in K_{a,b}$ and $P'(y) = \emptyset$ for $y \in [n] \setminus K_{a,b}$ since $|\cup_{x \in K_{a,b}} P(x)| \geq k$. Therefore, we will count the number of $K_{a,b}$ in X_2 .

Given $a_0 \in Y_1$, let $\mathcal{K}_{a_0} = \{K_{a_0,b} \subset [n] : b \in Y_2\}$. Due to $|a_0 - b| < |V_{j_0} \cup V_{j_0+1}| + n - x_2 < \frac{n}{2(k-1)}$ for any $b \in Y_2$, we have $|\mathcal{K}_{a_0}| = |Y_2|$. For every family \mathcal{K}_{a_0} we consider $\mathcal{K}_{a_0}^* = \{K_{a_0,b} \in \mathcal{K}_{a_0} : K_{a_0,b} \subset X_2\}$. Recalling the definition of $K_{a,b}$, for any $x \in \bar{X}_2$, x is contained in at most $4(k-2)$ copies of $K_{a_0,b}$ for some $b \in Y_2$, so $|\mathcal{K}_{a_0}^*| \geq |Y_2| - 4(k-2)|\bar{X}_2|$. Let $\mathcal{K}^* = \cup_{a_0 \in Y_1} \mathcal{K}_{a_0}^*$. Since $|\bar{X}_2| = o(n)$, $|Y_1| = \Omega(n)$ and $|Y_2| = \Omega(n)$, we have

$$|\mathcal{K}^*| \geq (|Y_2| - 4(k-2)|\bar{X}_2|) \frac{|Y_1|}{2} = \Omega(n^2),$$

which yields $R_k(P) \geq |\mathcal{K}^*| = \Omega(n^2)$. \square

Next, we will count the number of k -APs in X_2 such that the 1st term (or k th term) is in Y' and the remaining items are in Y_{C_0} . Similar to the analysis of $K_{a,b}$, every such k -AP corresponds to at least one rainbow k -AP subtemplate of P . Using Algorithm 1, we input $a \in Y'$ and $B = Y_{C_0}$. If $a < n/2$, we take $D = \{d \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 < d < \frac{n}{2k-2}\}$; otherwise, $D = \{d \in \mathbb{Z} : -\frac{n}{2k-2} < d < 0\}$. Since $|\bar{X}_2| = o(n)$ and $|Y'| = o(n)$, we have $|[n] \setminus Y_{C_0}| = |\bar{X}_2| + |Y'| = o(n)$. Similar to Lemma 4.1, for every $a \in Y'$, the final D^* satisfies $|D^*| > \frac{n}{k^2}$, which implies that there are at least $\frac{|Y'|n}{2k^2}$ k -APs K such that $|K \cap Y'| = 1$ and $|K \cap Y_{C_0}| = k - 1$. Since P is a good r -template of S , we have

$$k^{-1}n^{2-1/k} > R_k(P) > \frac{|Y'|n}{2k^2},$$

which indicates $|Y'| < 2kn^{1-1/k}$. By (6) and $\delta = \frac{1-\log(k-2)\cdot\log^{-1}(k-1)}{6k^3\log n}$, we have $|Y_{C_0}| = x_2 - |Y'| > (1 - 1/(3k^3 \log n))n$, which completes the proof. \square

For $S \subseteq [n]$ and $C \subset [r]$ with $|C| = k - 1$, we define

$$\mathcal{P}_C := \{P \in \mathcal{C}_2 : |\{x \in S : P(x) = C\}| > (1 - \lambda)n\}, \text{ where } \lambda = 1/(3k^3 \log n).$$

According to Lemma 4.2, it is easy to check that $\{\mathcal{P}_C : C \in \binom{[r]}{k-1}\}$ forms a partition of \mathcal{C}_2 . For every $C \subset [r]$ with $|C| = k - 1$, we will give an upper bound of $g(\mathcal{P}_C, S)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $r \geq k \geq 3$, $\delta = \frac{1-\log(k-2)\cdot\log^{-1}(k-1)}{6k^3\log n}$ and $0 \leq \xi < \delta + 2k(\log r - 1)n^{-1/k}$. Then for $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| = (1 - \xi)n$ and $C \subset [r]$ with $|C| = k - 1$, we have*

$$g(\mathcal{P}_C, S) < (k - 1)^{|S|} + (k - 1)^{|S| - \frac{n}{3k^3}}.$$

Proof. For any rainbow k -AP-free r -coloring $\chi \in G(\mathcal{P}_C, S)$, let $S_\chi = \{x \in S : \chi(x) \notin C\}$. By the definition of \mathcal{P}_C , we have $|S_\chi| < \lambda n$. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\chi \in G(\mathcal{P}_C, S) : S_\chi = \emptyset\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_1 = \{\chi \in G(\mathcal{P}_C, S) : S_\chi \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Clearly, $g(\mathcal{P}_C, S) = |\mathcal{G}_0| + |\mathcal{G}_1| \leq (k - 1)^{|S|} + |\mathcal{G}_1|$. It remains to show that $|\mathcal{G}_1| < (k - 1)^{|S| - \frac{n}{3k^3}}$.

Let us consider the possible r -colorings in \mathcal{G}_1 . We first choose a subset $S_1 \subseteq S$ with $0 < |S_1| < \lambda n$, and use colors in $[r] \setminus C$ to color a fixed S_1 . Then the number of choices of S_1 is at most $\sum_{1 \leq \ell < \lambda n} \binom{n}{\ell}$, and the number of colorings is at most $(r - k + 1)^{|S_1|}$. After we fix a subset S_1 and its coloring, we consider the number of ways to color $S \setminus S_1$ using colors in C . Set $S_2 = S \setminus S_1$.

For any fixed $a' \in S_1$, if $a' < n/2$, let $K_{a'}$ denote the k -AP in $S_2 \cup \{a'\}$ with a' as the 1st term, otherwise, let $K_{a'}$ denote the k -AP in $S_2 \cup \{a'\}$ with a' as the k th term. By symmetry, let $a' < n/2$ and $\mathcal{K}_{a'}$ denote the family of such $K_{a'}$. Using Algorithm 1, we take $a = a'$, $D = \{d \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 < d < \frac{n}{2(k-1)}\}$ and $B = S_2$. Since $\delta = \frac{1-\log(k-2)\cdot\log^{-1}(k-1)}{6k^3\log n}$ and $\xi < \delta + 2k(\log r - 1)n^{-1/k}$, we have $|[n] \setminus S_2| = n - |S| + |S_1| < 2\lambda n$. Recalling Algorithm 1, we have

$$|\mathcal{K}_{a'}| \geq \frac{n}{2(k-1)} - (k-1) \cdot |[n] \setminus S_2| > \frac{n}{2(k-1)} - 2(k-1)\lambda n > \frac{n}{2k-1},$$

where the last inequality follows from $\lambda = 1/(3k^3 \log n)$.

Next fix a $K \in \mathcal{K}_{a'}$, then the number of $K' \in \mathcal{K}_{a'}$ with $|K \cap K'| \geq 2$ is at most $(k-1)(k-2) + 1 = k^2 - 3k + 3$, since the i th term of K with $2 \leq i \leq k$ may be the j th term of K' with $K' \neq K$ and $j \in [k] \setminus \{1, i\}$. Let $K_{a'}^- = K_{a'} \setminus \{a'\}$. Then the number of disjoint $K_{a'}^-$ is at least

$$\frac{n}{2k-1} \cdot \frac{1}{k^2 - 3k + 3} > \frac{1}{2k^3}n.$$

In addition, for a $K \in \mathcal{K}_{a'}$ and a rainbow k -AP-free r -coloring χ of S in \mathcal{G}_1 , due to $\chi(a') \notin C$ and $\chi(x) \in C$ for $x \in K \setminus \{a'\}$, there must be two integers $x_1, x_2 \in K$ such that $\chi(x_1) = \chi(x_2)$. Therefore, the number of ways to color S_2 is at most $(k-1)^{|S| - (1/2k^3)n}$. Hence, we obtain that

$$|\mathcal{G}_1| \leq \sum_{1 \leq \ell < \lambda n} \binom{n}{\ell} (r-k+1)^{\lambda n} (k-1)^{|S| - (1/2k^3)n} < (k-1)^{\lambda n \frac{\log n + \log r}{\log(k-1)} + |S| - (1/2k^3)n} < (k-1)^{|S| - \frac{n}{3k^3}},$$

where the last inequality follows from $\lambda = 1/(3k^3 \log n)$, which completes the proof. \square

Now we have all the ingredients to give our proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let \mathcal{C} be the collection of r -templates of order n given by Corollary 2.5, and $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| \geq (1-\xi)n$ and $0 \leq \xi \leq r^{-3}/2$. By Property (iii) of Corollary 2.5 and the definition of \mathcal{C}_1 (see (4)), we have

$$g(\mathcal{C}_1, S) \leq |\mathcal{C}_1| \cdot (k-1)^{(1-\delta)n} \leq |\mathcal{C}| \cdot (k-1)^{(1-\delta)n} < (k-1)^{n - \frac{6\delta n}{7}} = (k-1)^{n - \frac{1 - \log(k-2) \cdot \log^{-1}(k-1)}{7k^3 \log n} n}.$$

If $\xi \geq \delta + 2k(\log r - 1)n^{-1/k}$, by Lemma 4.1, we are done by $g(\mathcal{C}, S) = g(\mathcal{C}_1, S)$. Otherwise, by Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathcal{C}, S) &= g(\mathcal{C}_1, S) + g(\mathcal{C}_2, S) \\ &\leq (k-1)^{n - \frac{6\delta n}{7}} + \binom{r}{k-1} (k-1)^{|S|} (1 + (k-1)^{-\frac{n}{3k^3}}) \\ &\leq \binom{r}{k-1} (k-1)^{|S|} + (k-1)^{1 - \frac{1 - \log(k-2) \cdot \log^{-1}(k-1)}{8k^3 \log n} n} (k-1)^n, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired upper bound on the number of rainbow k -AP-free r -colorings of S . \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.4 using Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Theorem 1.2, we first have

$$g_{r,k}([n]) \geq \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \left(t^n \sum_{j=t}^{k-1} \binom{r}{j} \binom{j}{j-t} (-1)^{j-t} \right) \geq \left(\binom{r}{k-1} - o(1) \right) (k-1)^n. \quad (7)$$

For any subset $S \subseteq [n]$ with $(1 - r^{-3}/2)n \leq |S| < n$, by Theorem 1.3, we have

$$g_{r,k}(S) \leq \binom{r}{k-1} (k-1)^{n-1} + (k-1)^{1 - \frac{1 - \log(k-2) \cdot \log^{-1}(k-1)}{8k^3 \log n} n} \leq \left(\frac{\binom{r}{k-1}}{k-1} + o(1) \right) (k-1)^n < g_{r,k}([n]).$$

For any subset $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| < \frac{n}{\log r}$, we have

$$g_{r,k}(S) \leq r^{|S|} \leq (k-1)^{|S| \log r} < (k-1)^n < g_{r,k}([n]).$$

Next, suppose that $|S| = \gamma n$ with $\log^{-1} r < \gamma < 1 - r^{-3}/2$. Let integer $q = n_0$, where n_0 is obtained by using Theorem 1.3. Recalling Theorem 1.1, we take $\alpha = \frac{1}{3r^3 \log r}$ and $n \geq sz(q, \alpha)$. Then S contains at least $\frac{(\gamma-\alpha)n}{q}$ pairwise disjoint q -APs. For any q -AP $Q = \{a, \dots, a + (q-1)d\}$, let φ be a mapping from $[q]$ to Q such that $\varphi(i) = a + (i-1)d$ for every $i \in [q]$. Then there is a bijection ϕ between all the k -APs in $[q]$ and all the k -APs in Q such that $\phi(\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}) = \{\varphi(a_1), \dots, \varphi(a_k)\}$ for any k -AP $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ in $[q]$. Therefore, $g_{r,k}(Q) = g_{r,k}([q])$. By the choice of q and Theorem 1.3, we have

$$g_{r,k}(Q) = g_{r,k}([q]) \leq \binom{r}{k-1} (k-1)^q + (k-1)^{\left(1 - \frac{1 - \log(k-2) \cdot \log^{-1}(k-1)}{8k^3 \log q}\right)q} < (k-1)^{q+(k-1) \log r},$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} g_{r,k}(S) &= r^{\alpha n} ((k-1)^{q+(k-1) \log r})^{\frac{(\gamma-\alpha)n}{q}} < (k-1)^{\alpha n \log r + (\gamma-\alpha)n + \frac{(\gamma-\alpha)k \log r n}{q}} \\ &\leq (k-1)^{\gamma n + \alpha n \log r + \frac{\gamma k \log r n}{q}} \leq (k-1)^{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2r^3}\right)n + \frac{1}{3r^3}n + \frac{\gamma k \log r n}{n_0}} \\ &< (k-1)^n < g_{r,k}([n]). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling Theorem 1.3, we have $g_{r,k}([n]) \leq \left(\binom{r}{k-1} + o(1)\right)(k-1)^n$. Combining the lower bound in (7), we can obtain immediately

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{r,k}([n])}{(k-1)^n} = \binom{r}{k-1}.$$

□

6 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we study rainbow Erdős-Rothschild problem for k -AP-free set in $[n]$. In fact, our results can be extended to cyclic groups. Let \mathbb{Z}_n be the *cyclic group* of order n formed by the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with the binary operation addition modulo n . For any $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $r \geq k \geq 3$, let $g_{r,k}(S, \mathbb{Z}_n)$ denote the number of rainbow k -AP-free r -colorings of S . Since arithmetic progressions can “wrap around” in \mathbb{Z}_n , we immediately have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.1. *For all integers $r \geq k \geq 3$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and any proper subset $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$, we have $g_{r,k}(S, \mathbb{Z}_n) < g_{r,k}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathbb{Z}_n)$. Moreover,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{r,k}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathbb{Z}_n)}{(k-1)^n} = \binom{r}{k-1}.$$

Li, Broersma and Wang [21] determined the exact value of $g_{r,3}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ for prime p , using the result of $3 \leq aw(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3) \leq 4$, which was proved in [6]. However, for $k \geq 4$, $aw(\mathbb{Z}_n, k) = n^{1-o(1)}$ for all large n (see [6]). Therefore, it would be an interesting project to study the exact value of $g_{r,k}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathbb{Z}_n)$ for $k \geq 4$.

Another direction is that one can consider more general form of this problem. Note that k -APs can be viewed as the set of distinct-valued solutions of the following homogeneous system of $k - 2$ linear equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)^T = \mathbf{0}. \quad (8)$$

We quote the definition from [25]. More generally, for an $\ell \times k$ integer matrix M let $\mathcal{S}_0(M) \subseteq [n]^k$ be the set of solutions (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) with all x_i being distinct of the homogeneous system of linear equations given by M . We say M is *irredundant* if $\mathcal{S}_0(M) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, an irredundant $\ell \times k$ matrix M is *density regular*, if for every $\alpha > 0$ there exists an n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and $S \subseteq [n]$ with $|S| \geq \alpha n$ we have $S^k \cap \mathcal{S}_0(M) \neq \emptyset$. In [14], Frankl, Graham and Rödl characterized irredundant density regular matrices.

Given an $\ell \times k$ irredundant density regular integer matrix M and a set $S \subseteq [n]$, an r -coloring of S is called a *rainbow M -free* if it contains no rainbow k -element set K such that $K \in \mathcal{S}_0(M)$, where a k -element set is called *rainbow* if its each element is assigned different colors under the r -coloring of S . In this context, the rainbow Erdős-Rothschild problem for M -free set in $[n]$ is a very natural one.

Question 1. Given an $\ell \times k$ irredundant density regular integer matrix M and a positive integer r , which subset of $[n]$ admits the maximum number of rainbow M -free r -colorings?

Furthermore, the method can be used to prove Theorem 1.3 can also prove the analogous results for other irredundant density regular integer matrix. However, the stability analysis on other parts would be very involved. A well-known concept in additive number theory is the notion of a Sidon set. A set $S \subseteq [n]$ is called a *Sidon set* if all the sums $x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 < x_2$ and $x_1, x_2 \in S$, are distinct. In 1941, Erdős and Turán [12] showed that the maximum possible size $f(n)$ of a Sidon subset of $[n]$ satisfies $f(n) \leq \sqrt{n} + O(n^{1/4})$. Clearly, every $[1, -1, 1, -1]$ -free set in $[n]$ is a Sidon set. From the proof of Theorem 1.3, we have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.1. For any integer $r \geq 2$ and sufficiently large n , $[n]$ is always the unique subset admitting the maximum number of rainbow $[1, -1, 1, -1]$ -free r -colorings among all subsets of $[n]$. In particular, almost all rainbow $[1, -1, 1, -1]$ -free r -colorings of $[n]$ use at most 3 colors.

Acknowledgements

The authors extend gratitude to the referees for their careful peer reviewing and helpful comments.

References

- [1] N. Alon, J. Balogh, P. Keevash, and B. Sudakov. The number of edge colorings with no monochromatic cliques. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 70(2):273–288, 2004. 2
- [2] J. Balogh. A remark on the number of edge colorings of graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 27(4):565–573, 2006. 2, 4

- [3] J. Balogh and L. Li. The typical structure of Gallai colorings and their extremal graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 33(4):2416–2443, 2019. 2
- [4] J. Balogh, R. Morris, and W. Samotij. Independent sets in hypergraphs. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28(3):669–709, 2015. 4
- [5] J. Bastos, F. S. Benevides, and J. Han. The number of Gallai k -colorings of complete graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 144:1–13, 2020. 2
- [6] S. Butler, C. Erickson, L. Hogben, K. Hogenson, L. Kramer, R. Kramer, J. Lin, R. Martin, D. Stolee, N. Warnberg, and M. Young. Rainbow arithmetic progressions. *J. Comb.*, 7(4):595–626, 2016. 1, 11
- [7] Y. Cheng, Y. Jing, L. Li, G. Wang, and W. Zhou. Integer colorings with forbidden rainbow sums. *arXiv:2005.14384*, 2020. 2
- [8] D. Clemens, S. Das, and T. Tran. Colourings without monochromatic disjoint pairs. *European J. Combin.*, 70:99–124, 2018. 2
- [9] S. Das, R. Glebov, B. Sudakov, and T. Tran. Colouring set families without monochromatic k -chains. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 168:84–119, 2019. 2
- [10] P. Erdős. Some new applications of probability methods to combinatorial analysis and graph theory. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*, pages 39–51, 1974. 2
- [11] P. Erdős and P. Turán. On Some Sequences of Integers. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 11(4):261–264, 1936. 1
- [12] P. Erdős and P. Turán. On a problem of Sidon in additive number theory, and on some related problems. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 16:212–215, 1941. 12
- [13] V. Falgas-Ravry, K. O’Connell, and A. Uzzell. Multicolor containers, extremal entropy, and counting. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 54(4):676–720, 2019. 4
- [14] P. Frankl, R. L. Graham, and V. Rödl. Quantitative theorems for regular systems of equations. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 47(2):246–261, 1988. 12
- [15] H. Hàn and A. Jiménez. Maximum number of sum-free colorings in finite abelian groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 226(2):505–534, 2018. 2
- [16] C. Hoppen, Y. Kohayakawa, and H. Lefmann. Edge-colorings of graphs avoiding fixed monochromatic subgraphs with linear Turán number. *European J. Combin.*, 35:354–373, 2014. 2
- [17] C. Hoppen, H. Lefmann, and K. Odermann. On graphs with a large number of edge-colorings avoiding a rainbow triangle. *European J. Combin.*, 66:168–190, 2017. 2
- [18] C. Hoppen, H. Lefmann, and K. Odermann. A rainbow Erdős-Rothschild problem. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 31(4):2647–2674, 2017. 2

- [19] V. Jungić, J. Licht, M. Mahdian, J. Nešetřil, and R. Radoičić. Rainbow arithmetic progressions and anti-Ramsey results. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 12(5-6):599–620, 2003. 1
- [20] H. Lefmann and Y. Person. Exact results on the number of restricted edge colorings for some families of linear hypergraphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 73(1):1–31, 2013. 2
- [21] X. Li, H. Broersma, and L. Wang. Integer colorings with no rainbow 3-term arithmetic progression. *arXiv:2102.08995*, 2021. 2, 3, 11
- [22] H. Liu, M. Sharifzadeh, and K. Staden. On the maximum number of integer colourings with forbidden monochromatic sums. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 28(1):Paper No. 1.59, 35, 2021. 2
- [23] O. Pikhurko, K. Staden, and Z. B. Yilma. The Erdős-Rothschild problem on edge-colourings with forbidden monochromatic cliques. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 163(2):341–356, 2017. 2
- [24] D. Saxton and A. Thomason. Hypergraph containers. *Invent. Math.*, 201(3):925–992, 2015. 4
- [25] M. Schacht. Extremal results for random discrete structures. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 184(2):333–365, 2016. 12
- [26] I. D. Shkredov. Szemerédi’s theorem and problems on arithmetic progressions. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 61(6):1101–1166, 2006. 3
- [27] E. Szemerédi. On sets of integers containing no k elements in arithmetic progression. *Acta Arith.*, 27:199–245, 1975. 1
- [28] B. L. van der Waerden. Beweis einer boudetschen vermutung. *Nieuw Arch. Wiskd., II. Ser.*, 15(2):212–216, 1927. 1
- [29] P. Varnavides. On certain sets of positive density. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 1(3):358–360, 1959. 3