

ON FACTORS WITH PRESCRIBED DEGREES IN BIPARTITE GRAPHS

AMIN BAHMANIAN

ABSTRACT. We establish a new criterion for a bigraph to have a subgraph with prescribed degree conditions. We show that the bigraph $G[X, Y]$ has a spanning subgraph F such that $g(x) \leq \deg_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for $x \in X$ and $\deg_F(y) \leq f(y)$ for $y \in Y$ if and only if $\sum_{b \in B} f(b) \geq \sum_{a \in A} \max\{0, g(a) - \deg_{G-B}(a)\}$ for $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$. Using Folkman-Fulkerson's Theorem, Cymer and Kano found a different criterion for the existence of such a subgraph (Graphs Combin. 32 (2016), 2315–2322). Our proof is self-contained and relies on alternating path technique. As an application, we prove the following extension of Hall's theorem. A bigraph $G[X, Y]$ in which each edge has multiplicity at least m has a subgraph F with $g(x) \leq \deg_F(x) \leq f(x) \leq \deg(x)$ for $x \in X$, $\deg_F(y) \leq m$ for $y \in Y$ if and only if $\sum_{y \in N_G(S)} f(y) \geq \sum_{x \in S} g(x)$ for $S \subseteq X$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Factor theory is one of the oldest and most active areas of graph theory [1], that started in the 19th century when Petersen showed that every even regular graph is 2-factorable. In this note, we are primarily concerned with factors with prescribed degree conditions in bigraphs.

A bigraph G with bipartition $\{X, Y\}$ will be denoted by $G[X, Y]$, and for $S \subseteq X$, \bar{S} means $X \setminus S$. For a real-valued function f on a domain D and $A \subseteq D$, $f(A) := \sum_{a \in A} f(a)$. For a graph $G = (V, E)$, $u \in V$ and $A \subseteq V$, $\deg_G(u)$, and $e_G(uA)$ denote the number of edges incident with u , and the number of edges between u and A , respectively. Let f, g be integer functions on the vertex set of a graph G such that $0 \leq g(x) \leq f(x)$ for all x . A (g, f) -factor is a spanning subgraph F of G with the property that $g(x) \leq \deg_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for each x . An f -factor is an (f, f) -factor. Ore [7, 8] showed that $G[X, Y]$ has an f -factor if and only if $f(X) = f(Y)$ and

$$f(A) \leq \sum_{y \in Y} \min\{f(y), e_G(yA)\} \quad \forall A \subseteq X.$$

Folkman and Fulkerson proved a (g, f) -factor theorem for bigraphs [5] which was simplified by Heinrich et al. (Here, $x \dot{-} y$ means $\max\{0, x - y\}$).

Theorem 1.1. [6, Theorem 1] *The bigraph $G[X, Y]$ has a (g, f) -factor if and only if*

$$f(A) \geq \sum_{u \notin A} \left(g(u) \dot{-} \deg_{G-A}(u) \right) \quad \forall A \subseteq X \cup Y.$$

Recently Cymer and Kano found another simple criteria.

Theorem 1.2. [4, Theorem 5] *The bigraph $G[X, Y]$ has a (g, f) -factor if and only if the following conditions hold.*

$$\begin{aligned} g(A) &\leq \sum_{y \in Y} \min\{f(y), e_G(yA)\} && \forall A \subseteq X, \\ g(B) &\leq \sum_{x \in X} \min\{f(x), e_G(xB)\} && \forall B \subseteq Y. \end{aligned}$$

Date: March 24, 2022.

Key words and phrases. (g, f) -factors, Ore's Theorem, Hall's Marriage Theorem.

Theorem 1.2 has been particularly useful in solving various generalized Sudoku puzzles [2, 3]; Solving some of these puzzles can be reduced to finding (g, f) -factors with the additional property that $g(y) = 0$ for $y \in Y$ in a bigraph $G[X, Y]$. Motivated by solving such problems, we establish the following new criterion for a bigraph to have a factor with prescribed degrees.

Theorem 1.3. *A bigraph $G[X, Y]$ has a (g, f) -factor with $g(y) = 0$ for $y \in Y$ if and only if*

$$(1) \quad f(B) \geq \sum_{x \in A} \left(g(x) - e_G(x\bar{B}) \right) \quad \forall A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y.$$

While Theorem 1.2 relies on Folkman-Fulkerson's (g, f) -factor theorem, our proof is self-contained and relies on alternating path technique [6]. Before we prove our main result, we provide the following corollary. Here, $N_G(S)$ is the neighborhood of S in G .

Corollary 1.4. *A bigraph $G[X, Y]$ in which the multiplicity of each edge is at least m , has a (g, f) -factor with $f(y) \leq m, g(y) = 0$ for $y \in Y$ if and only if*

$$(2) \quad f(N_G(S)) \geq g(S) \quad \forall S \subseteq X.$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.3, $G[X, Y]$ has a (g, f) -factor with $g(y) = 0$ for $y \in Y$ if and only if

$$(3) \quad f(B) \geq \sum_{x \in A} \left(g(x) - e_G(x\bar{B}) \right) \quad \forall A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y.$$

To complete the proof, we show that (2) and (3) are equivalent. First, let us assume that (3) holds, and let $A = S \subseteq X, B = N_G(S) \subseteq Y$. We have

$$(4) \quad f(N_G(S)) \geq \sum_{x \in S} \left(g(x) - e_G(x\overline{N_G(S)}) \right) = \sum_{x \in S} g(x) = g(S),$$

and so (2) is satisfied. Conversely, assume that (2) holds, and let $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$. Let

$$S = \{x \in A \mid g(x) \geq e_G(x\bar{B})\}.$$

If we show that $g(S) \leq f(B) + e_G(S\bar{B})$, then we are done. We have

$$g(S) \leq f(N_G(S)) = f(N_G(S) \cap B) + f(N_G(S) \setminus \bar{B}) \leq f(B) + m|N_G(S) \setminus \bar{B}| \leq f(B) + e_G(S\bar{B}).$$

□

Remark 1.5. The case $m = 1$ of Corollary 1.4 was previously settled in [4, Theorem 7]. Observe that the case $m = 1, f(x) = g(x) = 1$ for $x \in X$ of Corollary 1.4 corresponds to the famous Hall's marriage theorem.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

To prove the necessity, suppose that G has a (g, f) -factor F , and let $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$. Define $C = \{x \in A \mid g(x) > e_G(x\bar{B})\}$. If $C = \emptyset$, then (1) is trivial. Otherwise, let $x \in C$. There must be at least $g(x) - e_G(x\bar{B})$ edges in F joining x to vertices in B . Hence,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{x \in C} \left(g(x) - e_G(x\bar{B}) \right) \leq e_F(CB) \leq e_F(AB) \leq \sum_{y \in B} \deg_F(y) \leq f(B).$$

To prove the sufficiency, suppose that (1) holds. Let F be a $(0, f)$ -factor that minimizes $\delta := \sum_{x \in X} \left(g(x) - \deg_F(x) \right)$. If $\delta = 0$, then F is a (g, f) -factor and we are done. So let us assume that $\delta > 0$, and so

$$(6) \quad R := \{x \in X \mid g(x) > \deg_F(x)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

To complete the proof, we find sets $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$ such that (1) fails. A path (possibly of length zero) is *nice* if it starts with a vertex in R and an edge in $E(G) \setminus E(F)$ and whose edges are alternately in $G - F$ and F . Let W be the set of terminal vertices of all nice paths. Let $A = R \cup S$ where $S := (X \setminus R) \cap W$, and let $B = Y \cap W$. We claim that

- (a) If $e \in E(F)$ with $e = xy$ and $y \in B$, then $x \in A$.
- (b) If $e \in E(G) \setminus E(F)$ with $e = xy$ and $x \in A$, then $y \in B$.
- (c) $e_G(x\bar{B}) = \deg_F(x) - e_G(xB)$ for $x \in A$.
- (d) $\deg_F(y) = f(y)$ for $y \in B$.
- (e) $\deg_F(x) = g(x)$ for $x \in S$.
- (f) $\deg_{G-B}(x) \leq g(x)$ for $x \in A$.

Observe that (c) is an immediate consequence of (b), and (6) and (c) imply (f). To prove (a) and (b), let $e = xy$. If $y \in B$, there is a nice path P ending at y (whose last edge is not in F), and so if $e \in E(F)$ and $x \notin R$, then $P + ex$ is a nice path ending at x , and consequently, $x \in S$. Similarly, if $x \in A$, there is a nice path P ending at x (whose last edge is in F), and so if $e \in E(G) \setminus E(F)$, then $P + ey$ is a nice path ending at y , and consequently, $y \in B$. To prove (d), let $y \in B$. There is a nice path P ending at y . If $\deg_F(y) < f(y)$, then since the last edge of P is in $E(G) \setminus E(F)$, the $(0, f)$ -factor F' with $E(F') = E(F) \Delta E(P)$ contradicts the minimality of δ (We use Δ for the symmetric difference). Similarly, to prove (e), let $x \in S$. There is a nice path P ending at x . If $\deg_F(x) > g(x)$, then since the last edge of P is in $E(F)$, the $(0, f)$ -factor F' with $E(F') = E(F) \Delta E(P)$ contradicts the minimality of δ . The following completes the proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \in A} \left(g(x) - e_G(x\bar{B}) \right) &\stackrel{(f)}{=} \sum_{x \in A} \left(g(x) - e_G(x\bar{B}) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(c)}{=} \sum_{x \in A} \left(g(x) - \deg_F(x) + e_G(xB) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(e)}{=} \sum_{x \in R} \left(g(x) - \deg_F(x) \right) + e_G(AB) \\
&\stackrel{(6)}{>} e_G(AB) \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{y \in B} \deg_F(y) \\
&\stackrel{(d)}{=} f(B).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jin Akiyama and Mikio Kano. Factors and factorizations of graphs, volume 2031 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. Proof techniques in factor theory.
- [2] Amin Bahmanian. Ryser's theorem for ρ -latin rectangles. arxiv, Submitted for Publication.
- [3] Amin Bahmanian. Ryser's theorem for symmetric ρ -latin squares. Submitted for Publication.
- [4] R. Cymer and Mikio Kano. Generalizations of marriage theorem for degree factors. Graphs Combin., 32(6):2315–2322, 2016.
- [5] Jon Folkman and D. R. Fulkerson. Flows in infinite graphs. J. Combinatorial Theory, 8:30–44, 1970.
- [6] Katherine Heinrich, Pavol Hell, David G. Kirkpatrick, and Gui Zhen Liu. A simple existence criterion for $(g < f)$ -factors. Discrete Math., 85(3):313–317, 1990.
- [7] Oystein Ore. Studies on directed graphs. I. Ann. of Math. (2), 63:383–406, 1956.
- [8] Oystein Ore. Graphs and subgraphs. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 84:109–136, 1957.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ILLINOIS STATE UNIVERSITY, NORMAL, IL USA 61790-4520