

Analyses of Some Structural Properties on a Class of Hierarchical Scale-free Networks

Jia-Bao Liu ^{1,*}, Yan Bao ^{1,*}, Wu-Ting Zheng ¹

¹School of Mathematics and Physics, Anhui Jianzhu University, Hefei 230601, China

Abstract. Hierarchical networks actually have many applications in the real world. Firstly, we propose a new class of hierarchical networks with scale-free and fractal structure, which are the networks with triangles compared to traditional hierarchical networks. Secondly, we study the precise results of some structural properties to derive small-world effect and scale-free feature. Thirdly, it is found that the constructed network is sparse through the average degree and density. Fourthly, it is also demonstrated the degree distributions of hub nodes and the bottom nodes are the power law and exponential, respectively. Finally, we prove that clustering coefficient with a definite value z tends to stabilize at a lower bound as t iterates to a certain number, and the average distance of G_t^z has a increasing relationship along with the value of $\ln N_t$.

Keywords: Hierarchical Networks; Scale-free; Fractal Structure; Structural Properties

1. Introduction

Complex networks, ^[1] such as information networks, ^[2] social networks, ^[3] biological networks, ^[4] is actually simplified representations of complex systems which reduces the system to an abstract structure that retains only basic connecting patterns features. In the past two decades, there are a large variety of researches on complex networks emerging, ^[5-7] which have attracted great attention from scientists and scholars. Topological properties and network dynamics of complex networks, the powerful tools to analyze networks, have two significant structural characteristics: small-world ^[8] effect and scale-free ^[9] feature, which take the complex theory to a more superior standard and have a better application value. In recent studies, researchers started to derive some structural properties of the complex networks such as degree distribution, ^[10] cluster coefficient, ^[11] average path length, ^[12] degree correlations ^[13] and community structure ^[14] with the purpose of learning more about the theory behind the real world networks.

Except for the small-world effect and scale-free feature, many realistic networks also have fractal structures and self-similarity, which is constructed by numerous continuous iterations. ^[15,16] Fractal complex networks are networks that are generated according to specific network generation rules, making the networks infinitely more complex. For instance, Ref. [17] did a research on the Sierpinski pyramid network and derived its power law strength. Ref. [18] studied Vicsek fractal networks and calculate the mean geodesic distance.

In fact, there are also many literatures about hierarchical networks. As for hierarchical fractal networks, Ref. [9] proposed a deterministic scale-free network which was constructed with fractal and hierarchical structures, showing that the degree sequence follows a power law. Since then, many researchers have studied various properties of this deterministic hierarchical network, and some researchers have also analysed the deformation of this network. Ref. [19] proved that their deterministic hierarchical network model preserved a strict scaling law, and which had similar clustering behavior to many real complex networks. Then the various exactly solvable properties of the hierarchical network were derived in Ref. [20]. Besides, Ref. [21] studied its average path length and did some analyses of deterministic network characteristics.

E-mail address: liujiabaoad@163.com, baoyanemail@163.com, zwztzjr@ahjzu.edu.cn.

* Corresponding author.

On the other hand, it noted that Ref. [22] generated a special hierarchical network having triangles whose root node connected other hub nodes of the same replicas and discussed some structural parameters of it. At the same time, combining with the promoted hierarchical networks in Ref. [23], both networks are generated in an iterative manner. They gave us the inspiration to consider the method of generating the networks in this paper in a special different way.

Inspired by the above literatures, in the next work we propose a new class of special hierarchical networks with scale-free and fractal structure, which are the networks with triangles compared to traditional hierarchical networks. Then, we study the small-world effect and scale-free feature of the fractal networks and calculate the precise results of some property parameters, such as average degree, density, clustering coefficient, degree distribution and average path length. At last, we give some deterministic conclusions about the networks.

The structure of the remained work is as follows. In Sec. 2, the construction of the fractal networks is studied. In Sec. 3, several structural properties of the networks are computed and analysed. And conclusions are given in Sec. 4.

2. Construction of the fractal networks

In this section, we will generate a new class of special hierarchical fractal networks in an iterative way. First of all, we denote the network as G_t^z that changes with the number of iteration $t(t \in N, t \geq 0)$ and the number of blocks $z(z \in N^*, z > 2)$. Specifically, they are constructed in the following processes.

Step 1. For $t = 0$, we set a triangle as our initial graph denoted by G_0 , having three nodes and edges. The vertex of the triangle is called the hub node, getting a vertex set with an element denoted by H_0 in this way, and the other two nodes are called bottom nodes, which form a set as B_0 .

Step 2. For $t = 1$, the graph G_1 can be obtained from G_0 in two manners:

- (1) Have $z - 1$ duplicates of G_0 , so there are z constituent blocks containing triangles in the network G_1^z . Then the largest hub node is the original hub node of G_0 , and the sub-hub nodes are the hub nodes of the $z - 1$ copies, and the bottom nodes of the duplicated triangles are called the last layer bottom nodes.
- (2) Connect the hub node of G_0 to the last layer bottom nodes of the $z - 1$ replicas. The set of the hub nodes and bottom nodes are named as H_1^z and B_1^z , respectively.

Step 3. Henceforth, for any time step $t > 1$, the infinite network G_t^z can be built from $z - 1$ duplications of G_{t-1}^z by connecting the first hub node of G_{t-1}^z to the last layer bottom nodes of the copied duplications iteratively. In G_t^z , the original hub nodes of the G_{t-1}^z and of its $z - 1$ replicas express the new hub nodes of G_t^z , and the last layer bottom nodes of all $z - 1$ replicas form the new generation of bottom nodes of G_t^z . The all hub nodes constitute the set H_t^z , and the bottom nodes of each copy form the set B_t^z . Fig. 1 illustrates the first three steps of the construction process of G_t^z for $z = 3$.

In the view of the growth construction of the hierarchical fractal network G_t^z , we let N_t be the total number of nodes, and E_t be the total number of edges in G_t^z . When $t \geq 0$, it is easy to get the expression of N_t as

$$N_t = 3 \cdot z^t. \quad (1)$$

And we get the iterative expression of E_t as

$$E_t = z \cdot E_{t-1} + 2 \cdot (z - 1)^t. \quad (2)$$

With the initial condition $E_0 = 3$, we can deduce E_t from Eq. (2) as

$$E_t = (2z + 1) \cdot z^t - 2(z - 1)^{t+1}. \quad (3)$$




Fig. 1 The first two iterative processes of the networks for $z = 3$.

As we mentioned above, the construction of the networks with hierarchical fractal structure have been finished. In the next section, we will study some structural properties and discuss some features of the hierarchical fractal networks in detail.

3. Analyses of some structural properties

In this section, we will calculate some important structural property parameters of the G_t^z , these are, average degree, density, clustering coefficient, degree distribution and average path length. At the same time, we will get the exact results of the structural property parameters and derive the significance of different properties of the fractal networks. In addition, we discuss the some vital features of the fractal networks.

3.1. Average degree

Average degree^[24] is a simple structural parameter, but it plays a significant role in complex network analysis, which is defined as the average degree of all nodes in the network, denoted by $\langle k_t \rangle$. In general, it is a indicator to determine whether a network is sparse.

Now, we aim to present the average degree of G_t^z as follows.

Theorem 3.1. For any $t \in \mathbb{N}, t \geq 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{N}^*, z > 2$, the average degree of the network G_t^z satisfies

$$\langle k_t \rangle = \frac{4}{3}(1-z)\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^t + \frac{4z+2}{3}$$

$$\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4z+2}{3}.$$

Proof. According to Eq. (1) and Eq. (3), we can get $\langle k_t \rangle$ as Eq. (4).

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle k_t \rangle &= \frac{2E_t}{N_t} \\
&= \frac{1}{N_t} \sum_{i=1, j=1}^{N_t} (k_i + k_j) \\
&= -\frac{4}{3}z \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{t+1} + \frac{4z+2}{3} \\
&\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4z+2}{3},
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where k_i and k_j are degree of the hub nodes and bottom nodes respectively. \square

In this way, when $t \rightarrow \infty$, the average degree tends to be a expression related to z . When z is a certain value, the average degree is a constant. The average degree of most deterministic iterative networks is also a constant.^[9, 19] There are a small number of nodes in the network that have infinite connected edges, the number of nodes with few connected edges will increase infinitely. So it is clear to prove the network G_t^z is a sparse network related to z .

3.2. Density

Density^[24] is also an important parameter to analyze network structure, which is defined as the ratio of the actual number of edges M to the maximum possible number of edges containing N nodes. In fact, it is also an indicator to determine whether a network is sparse.

Then, we can derive the density of G_t^z as follows.

Theorem 3.2. For any $t \in N, t \geq 0$ and $z \in N^*, z > 2$, the density of the network G_t^z has

$$\rho \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Proof. For the network $G_t^z (t \in N, t \geq 0, z \in N^*, z > 2)$, we can calculate the density of G_t^z as Eq. (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho &= \frac{2E}{N(N-1)} \\
&= \frac{2 \cdot ((2z+1) \cdot z^t - 2(z-1)^{z+1})}{3z^t \cdot (3 \cdot z^t - 1)} \\
&\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

When t tends to ∞ , the network density tends to 0. This also further shows that the network G_t is a sparse network. \square

3.3. Degree distribution

In complex network study, when a great number of connections appear on a small fraction of vertices, whereas the rest of the vertices have a small number of connections, the networks have a significant feature, that is, scale-free.^[25] Many real world networks having scale-free feature can be represented by degree distribution, which is defined as the probability distribution of the degrees over the whole network in order to describe the scale-free feature of the network.

Next, we will use statistical methods for depicting degree distribution of the hub nodes and bottom nodes of G_t^z .

Theorem 3.3. Let the degree of hub nodes and bottom nodes be denoted as k_i and k_j , $\gamma = 1 + \frac{\ln z}{\ln(z-1)}$, $\theta = \frac{z-1}{z}$. The cumulative degree distribution of the network G_t^z is

$$P_{\text{cum}}(K \geq k) = \begin{cases} k_i^{1-\gamma}, & \text{for hub nodes } i, \\ \theta^{k_j}, & \text{for bottom nodes } j. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In order to calculate the degree distribution of G_t^z , we need to know the exact numbers of all nodes and degrees, which are also significant to get other structural properties. Therefore, let us firstly divide all the nodes and degrees into two categories: hub nodes set H_t^z and bottom nodes set B_t^z , which is similar to the model in References [9, 20, 23].

As for H_t^z , we can know that only one node has the greatest degree $\frac{2(z-1)^{t+1}-2}{z-2}$ in the t iteration. And hub nodes of the z replicas all have the second greatest degree $\frac{2(z-1)^t-2}{z-2}$. With such a classification, it is a fact that the z newly generated replicas will not increase the degree of the hub nodes. So we can obtain that there are $(z-1)z^{t-i}$ nodes with degree $\frac{2(z-1)^i-2}{z-2}$.

As for B_t^z , we can find that there are $2(z-1)^t$ bottom nodes in the last layer having the greatest degree $t+2$ in the t iteration. In the next iteration, the number of degree of the original bottom nodes remains the same, and the number of degree of bottom nodes in the two newly replicas will add 1 due to the connecting with the largest hub node. Thus there are $2(z-1)^{j-2}z^{t-j+1}$ nodes with degree j .

Therefore, we get all the numbers of hub nodes $n(k_i)$ and bottom nodes $n(k_j)$ with their degree k_i and k_j in G_t^z as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: The numbers of hub nodes and bottom nodes with degree in G_t^z .

hub nodes	$n(k_i)$	1	$z-1$	$(z-1) \cdot z$	\dots	$(z-1) \cdot z^{t-2}$	$(z-1) \cdot z^{t-1}$
	k_i	$\frac{2(z-1)^{t+1}-2}{z-2}$	$\frac{2(z-1)^t-2}{z-2}$	$\frac{2(z-1)^{t-1}-2}{z-2}$	\dots	$2+2(z-1)$	2
bottom nodes	$n(k_j)$	$2(z-1)^t$	$2(z-1)^{t-1}$	$2(z-1)^{t-2}z$	\dots	$2(z-1)z^{t-2}$	$2z^{t-1}$
	k_j	$t+2$	$t+1$	t	\dots	3	2

In fact, Table 1 is the degree sequence of G_t^z in the jargon of graph theory, and it is the key condition for computing degree distribution. Combining the formula of cumulative degree distribution,^[26] we can get the cumulative degree distribution of hub nodes and bottom nodes, respectively.

Taking the degree sequence of hub nodes into consideration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\text{cum}}(k_i) &= P(k_i \geq \frac{2(z-1)^i-2}{z-2}) \\ &= \frac{z^{t-i+1}}{3z^t} \\ &= \frac{z}{3} z^{-i} \\ &= \frac{z}{3} ((z-1)^i)^{-\frac{\ln z}{\ln(z-1)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

From Eq. (6), we can derive $P_{\text{cum}}(k) \propto k^{1-\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 1 + \frac{\ln z}{\ln(z-1)}$ for hub nodes, so it is scale-free. When $z = 3$, the vertices follow a power-law distribution with a power law of $1 + \frac{\ln 3}{\ln 2} \approx 1.69$, and so on.

On the other hand, we obtain the degree distribution of bottom nodes in G_t^z as

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{cum}(k_j) &= P(k_j \geq j) \\
&= \frac{2(z-1)^t}{3z^t} \left(\frac{z}{z-1} \right)^{t-j+2} \\
&= \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{z-1}{z} \right)^{j-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

According to Eq. (7), we can know $P_{cum}(k) \propto \theta^k$ with $\theta = \frac{z-1}{z}$ for bottom nodes. It indicates that the degree distribution of vertices is exponential but not scale free. In a word, the hub nodes and bottom nodes have different scaling ways, so G_t^z is a complex network which consists of hierarchical multi-fractal nature. \square

3.4. Clustering coefficient

As for another intriguing finding in complex network studies, clustering coefficient^[11] is a property which can evaluate the small-world effect of the models. For example, the likelihood that two of your friends will be friends with each other in life reflects how connected your circle of friends is. The clustering coefficient can be used to quantify the probability that any two of your friends are friends with each other.

Theoretically, Eq. (8) can abstractly describe the probability of connection among neighbors of any vertex u with degree k .

$$C_u = \frac{n_u}{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}. \tag{8}$$

Then the clustering coefficient denoted as \bar{C} is defined as the average clustering coefficient of all nodes in G_t^z , just as Eq. (9).

$$\bar{C} = \frac{\sum_{u \in G_t^z} C_u}{N_t}, \tag{9}$$

where n_u expresses the existing edges between neighbors of any vertex u , N_t is the total number of nodes in G_t^z . Then, we shall find a positive lower bound to verify the small-world property of G_t^z .

Theorem 3.4. *The clustering coefficient \bar{C}_t has a positive bound for different z blocks. When $z = 3$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{C}_t = 0.5279.$$

Proof. By definition, we can know that clustering coefficients \bar{C}_t of the networks are not zero as there are triangles included in the network iteration process, which is different from the network in Ref. [20].

For $t = 0$, we find that the degree of every nodes, including one hub node and two bottom nodes, is 2. The every clustering coefficient is 1, so we get $\bar{C}_0 = 1$.

For $t = 1$, the largest one hub node has coefficient with $\frac{2}{2z(2z-1)}$, and coefficient for $z-1$ sub-hub node is 1. The clustering coefficients of the $2(z-1)$ bottom nodes of the last layer, which all have a connecting edge to the largest hub node, is $\frac{2}{3 \times 2}$, and the other two bottom nodes have coefficients of 1. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{C}_1 &= \frac{1}{3z} \left(\frac{1}{z(2z-1)} + \frac{2(z-1)}{3} + z + 1 \right) \\
&= \frac{5z+4}{9z^2(2z-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For any t , we firstly let $2(z-1)^{t+1}$ be A^{t+1} , so the original one hub node has the clustering coefficient of $\frac{2(z-2)^2}{(A^{t+1}-2) \times (A^{t+1}-z)}$. In the same way, $2(z-1)^t$ is A^t , and so on we can get $z-1$ hub nodes whose

clustering coefficient are $\frac{2(z-2)^2}{(A^{t-2}) \times (A^{t-z})}, \dots$; and there are $(z-1)z^{t-1}$ nodes with clustering coefficients of 1. Similarly, for the bottom nodes, there are $2(z-1)^t$ nodes with clustering coefficients of $\frac{2}{(t+2) \times (t+1)}$; $2(z-1)^{t-1}$ nodes with clustering coefficients of $\frac{2}{(t+1) \times t}$; $2(z-1)^{t-2}z$ nodes with clustering coefficients of $\frac{2}{t \times (t-1)}, \dots$; $2(z-1)z^{t-2}$ nodes with clustering coefficients of $\frac{2}{3 \times 2}$; $2z^{t-1}$ nodes with coefficients of 1. So the clustering coefficient \overline{C}_t can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{C}_t = \frac{1}{3z^t} & \left[\frac{2(z-2)^2}{(A^{t+1}-2) \times (A^{t+1}-z)} + \frac{4(z-1)^t}{(t+2)(t+1)} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \frac{2(z-1)(z-2)^2 z^i}{(A^{t-i}-2) \times (A^{t-i}-z)} + \sum_{j=2}^{t+1} \frac{4(z-1)^{j-2} z^{t-j+1}}{j(j-1)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

For the sake of preliminarily estimate the relationship between the clustering coefficient \overline{C}_t and z and t , we make a mesh graph as plotted in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2 The mesh graph about the relationship between \overline{C}_t and z and t .

From Fig. 2, we can consider that hierarchical networks with different z have different clustering coefficients with the change of t iteration times. We find that as z increases, \overline{C}_t tends to decrease, as does the law of t and \overline{C}_t . In addition, when z is a definite value, the value of \overline{C}_t tends to stabilize with a lower bound as t iterates to a certain number. Take $z = 3$ as an example, as shown in Fig. 3 as follows.




Fig. 3 The clustering coefficient of G_t^3 . The dotted line shows the lower boundary of the curve.

When $z = 3$, according to Fig. 3 given above, we may conclude $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{C}_t = 0.5279$. In fact, at the time of the 9th iteration, the change of the clustering coefficient values was already very little. So the clustering coefficient has a positive bound 0.5279 in the network G_t^3 . \square

3.5. Average path length

Average path length also called average distance, is also known as a vital property of networks.^[12] Then, we will derive the average distance of G_t^z analytically. It can be calculated from the shortest distance d_{uv} of nodes u and v for all possible pairs of nodes. When the iteration reaches the t^{th} time, let D_t be the total distance of all possible pairs of nodes in the network G_t^z as Eq. (11).

$$D_t = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \neq v \in G_t^z} d_{uv}(t). \quad (11)$$

Average path length denoted by \overline{D}_t can be defined as Eq. (12).

$$\overline{D}_t = \frac{D_t}{N_t(N_t - 1)/2}. \quad (12)$$

The following work is necessary so as to calculate the average path of G_t^z . Firstly, we consider the network G_{t+1}^z as z blocks abstractly, which are named as $G_{t_1}^z, G_{t_2}^z, \dots, G_{t_{z-1}}^z, G_{t_z}^z$, respectively. We can see the abstract diagram of G_{t+1}^z in Fig. 4. For G_{t+1}^z , there are a total of $2(z-1)^{t+1}$ solid lines connecting the blocks, and each part has $2(z-1)^t$ connecting lines. Then we can know that the calculation of average path of G_{t+1}^z is to calculate the total distance of pairs of nodes, which belong to the same self-similar structure $G_{t_i}^z$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, z$) and belong to different branches, just as Eq. (13).




Fig. 4 The abstract diagram of G_{t+1}^z with solid lines representing the lines between each component.

$$D_{t+1} = zD_t + \Delta_{t+1}, \quad (13)$$

where Δ_{t+1} represents the total distance of pairs of nodes belonging to different branches $G_{t_i}^z$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, z$). Next, we can divide the calculation process into two parts: (1) compute Δ_{t+1} ; (2) calculate D_t , which are shown as following Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.6.

Lemma 3.5. *When the network G_t^z with z blocks is in the t^{th} iteration,*

$$\Delta_{t+1} = 6(z-1)z^{2t-1}(2z^2 + (3t-1)z - 3t).$$

Proof. Δ_{t+1} can be expressed as some consisted components. Due to the self-similarity of the network, the specifics can be expressed as Eq. (14).

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{t+1} &= \Delta^{t_1^z, t_2^z} + \Delta^{t_1^z, t_3^z} + \dots + \Delta^{t_1^{z-1}, t_2^z} \\ &\quad + \Delta^{t_2^z, t_3^z} + \Delta^{t_2^z, t_4^z} + \dots + \Delta^{t_{z-1}^z, t_z^z} \\ &= (z-1)\Delta^{t_1^z, t_2^z} + C_{z-1}^2 \Delta^{t_2^z, t_3^z}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\Delta^{t_1^z, t_2^z}$ means that there are a pair of nodes u and v in G_t^z , u is from $G_{t_1}^z$ and v is from $G_{t_2}^z$, and other meanings can be followed by analogy.

Then, our task is to calculate $\Delta^{t_1^z, t_2^z}$ and $\Delta^{t_2^z, t_3^z}$. Hence, we can have a arbitrary node u in G_t^z , and let $p_u(t)$ be the shortest distance from u to the largest hub node, $q_u(t)$ be the shortest distance from u to the last layer $2z^t$ bottom nodes. We also set P_t stand the sum of $p_u(t)$ for all nodes in G_t^z and make Q_t express the total of $q_u(t)$. This way we can know the expression of P_{t+1} as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{t+1} &= \sum_{u \in G_{t_1}^z} p_u(t+1) + \sum_{u \in G_{t_1}^z} p_u(t+1) + \dots + \sum_{u \in G_{t_z}^z} p_u(t+1) \\ &= (z-1) \sum_{u \in G_t^z} (q_u(t) + 1) + \sum_{u \in G_t^z} p_u(t) \\ &= (z-1)(Q_t + N_t) + P_t. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The expression of Q_{t+1} is

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{t+1} &= \sum_{u \in G_{t_1}^z} q_u(t+1) + \sum_{u \in G_{t_2}^z} q_u(t+1) + \cdots + \sum_{u \in G_{t_z}^z} q_u(t+1) \\
&= \sum_{u \in G_t^z} (p_u(t) + 1) + (z-1) \sum_{u \in G_t^z} q_u(t) \\
&= P_t + N_t + (z-1)Q_t.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Combining with $N_t = 3z^t$, and the initial values of $P_0 = 2$ and $Q_0 = 1$, we can solve the Eq. (15) for P_t as

$$P_t = z^{t-2}(4z^2 + (6t-8)z - 6t + 6).$$

And Eq. (16) is solved for Q_t as

$$Q_t = z^{t-2}(z^2 + (6t-2)z - 6t + 6).$$

With the solution of P_t and Q_t , it is easy to calculate $\Delta^{t_1^z, t_2^z}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{t_1^z, t_2^z} &= \sum_{u \in G_{t_1}^z, v \in G_{t_2}^z} d_{uv}(t+1) \\
&= \sum_{u \in G_{t_1}^z, v \in G_{t_2}^z} (p_u + 1 + q_v) \\
&= \sum_{u \in G_{t_1}^z} \sum_{v \in G_{t_2}^z} p_u(t) + \sum_{u \in G_{t_1}^z} \sum_{v \in G_{t_2}^z} (1 + q_v(t)) \\
&= N_t \cdot P_t + N_t^2 + N_t \cdot Q_t \\
&= 6z^{2t-2}(4z^2 + (6t-5)z - 6t + 6).
\end{aligned}$$

And $\Delta^{t_2^z, t_3^z}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{t_2^z, t_3^z} &= \sum_{u \in G_{t_1}^z, v \in G_{t_2}^z} d_{uv}(t+1) \\
&= \sum_{u \in G_{t_1}^z, v \in G_{t_2}^z} (q_u + 1 + 1 + q_v) \\
&= 2 \sum_{u \in G_{t_1}^z} \sum_{v \in G_{t_2}^z} (q_u(t) + 1) \\
&= 2(N_t^2 + N_t \cdot Q_t) \\
&= 12z^{2t-2}(2z^2 + (3t-1)z - 3t + 3).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Combining Eq. (14), we can get the specific solution of Δ_{t+1} as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{t+1} &= (z-1)\Delta^{t_1^z, t_2^z} + C_{z-1}^2 \Delta^{t_2^z, t_3^z} \\
&= 6(z-1)z^{2t-1}(2z^2 + (3t-1)z - 3t).
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The proof is completed. □

Now, we can calculate the total distance D_t in the following form.

Theorem 3.6. *When the network G_t^z with z blocks is in the t^{th} iteration,*

$$D_t = 3z^{t-2}(4z^{t+2} + (6t-8)z^{t+1} - 6tz^t - 3z^2 + 8z).$$

Proof. According to Eq. (13) and Eq. (17), we can get a new iterative expression for D_{t+1} as

$$D_{t+1} = zD_t + 6(z-1)z^{2t-1}(2z^2 + (3t-1)z - 3t).$$

Using the initial condition $D_0 = 3$, we can get D_t by iterative calculation as

$$\begin{aligned} D_t &= zD_{t-1} + \Delta_t \\ &= z^2D_{t-2} + z\Delta_{t-1} + \Delta_t \\ &= z^tD_0 + z^{t-1}\Delta_1 + z^{t-2}\Delta_2 + \cdots + \Delta_t \\ &= z^tD_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t z^{t-i}\Delta_i. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^t z^{t-i}\Delta_i = 6z^{t-2}(2z^{t+2} + (3t-4)z^{t+1} - 3tz^t - 2z^2 + 4z). \tag{19}$$

By taking Eq. (19) into Eq. (18), we get

$$D_t = 3z^{t-2}(4z^{t+2} + (6t-8)z^{t+1} - 6tz^t - 3z^2 + 8z). \tag{20}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Then, we can obtain theorem 3.7 of asymptotic formula as follows.

Theorem 3.7. For the network G_t^z , one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{D}_t}{t} = \frac{4(z-1)}{z^2}.$$

Proof. In combination with $N_t = 3z^t$ and Eq. (20), we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D}_t &= \frac{D_t}{N_t(N_t-1)/2} \\ &= \frac{2(4z^{t+2} + (6t-8)z^{t+1} - 6tz^t - 3z^2 + 8z)}{z^2(3z^t-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

We can draw a three-dimensional mesh graph of \overline{D}_t as Fig. 5 to further explore the effects of z and t on \overline{D}_t .




Fig. 5 The mesh graph of \overline{D}_t for network G_t^z .

It can be concluded from the mesh graph Fig. 5 that \overline{D}_t increases with the number of iterations t , and the increase of blocks z will attenuate the growth rate of \overline{D}_t . Further, according to Eq. (21), we can figure out

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{D}_t}{t} = \frac{4(z-1)}{z^2}. \quad (22)$$

Therefore, it should be noted that the Eq. (22) of \overline{D}_t has a linear increasing relationship with the number of iteration t when z has a certain value. We can deduce $\overline{D}_t \propto \ln N_t$ as t has a logarithmic relationship with N_t . Moreover, we find that the value of the asymptotic formula of \overline{D}_t gradually decreases with the increase of z , and this result also verifies the phenomenon of Fig. 5. \square

Especially, when z has a definite value for $z = 3$, we will calculate the \overline{D}_t of the network G_t^3 in Corollary 3.8.

Corollary 3.8. *When $z = 3$, we have average path length of the network G_t^3 as*

$$\overline{D}_t = \frac{8 \ln N_t}{9 \ln 3} + O(3^{-t}) \propto \ln N_t.$$

Proof. From Eq. (1), we can get $N_t = 3^{t+1}$ and $t = \log_3 N_t - 1$. So we can continue to derive the relationship between \overline{D}_t and N_t . Eq. (21) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D}_t &= \frac{8 \cdot 3^t(t+1) - 2}{3^{t+2} - 3} \\ &= \frac{8N_t \log_3 N_t - 6}{9N_t - 9}. \end{aligned}$$

When the total number of nodes limit is infinite ($N_t \rightarrow \infty$), we find

$$\overline{D}_t = \frac{8 \ln N_t}{9 \ln 3} + O(3^{-t}) \propto \ln N_t. \quad (23)$$




Fig. 6 The analytical and numerical results of relationship between \overline{D}_t and N_t for the network G_t^3 .

We perform rigorous calculation on the results of analytical simulation and find that the values are almost consistent with the theoretical numerical results. Fig. 6 shows their comparison results. We find

the average distance of G_t^3 increases along with the value of $\ln N_t$ for the network. \square

Remark 3.5. It is worth noting that the result of Theorem 3.7, the asymptotic formula, is consistent with the unweighted hierarchical network in Ref. [23]. Also, our result of Corollary 3.8, the analytical expression of \overline{D}_t of G_t^3 , is analogous with it researched by Ref. [21].

Consequently, it can be proved that the existence of triangles in our network G_t^z will not influence the asymptotic formula of \overline{D}_t , that is, have no qualitative effect on the average path length. In addition, the average distance of G_t^z has a increasing relationship with the value of $\ln N_t$, where N_t is the total number of nodes in G_t^z . Then, we can think the local structure of the network G_t^z has obvious character of collectivization, that is small-world effect.

4. Conclusions

Through the above analyses, we study small-world effect and scale-free feature in the class of hierarchical networks with fractal structure.

In this paper, a new class of hierarchical fractal networks are constructed iteratively. Under certain circumstances, the real network is also formed in the iterative way. Differing from the previously studied hierarchical networks, there are triangles in our researched network G_t^z , whose the largest hub node has connecting edges to each bottom node of replicas in the last layer. Then, we obtain some results as follows.

(1) We study the average degree and density of the network so as to prove that the G_t^z network is sparse.

(2) The degree distribution of hub nodes and bottom nodes in G_t^z are demonstrated respectively, where the hub nodes obey the power law distribution with a power index of $1 + \frac{\ln z}{\ln(z-1)}$, and degree distribution of the bottom nodes is exponential.

(3) We explored the clustering coefficient of the network G_t^z and determined the clustering coefficient with a definite value z tends to stabilize at a lower bound as t iterates to a certain number, such as \overline{C}_t^3 is infinitely close to 0.5279 as t iterates to infinity.

(4) It is found that the average distance of G_t^z and the total number of nodes have a logarithmic growth relationship, that is, $\overline{D}_t \propto \ln N_t$.

Funding

Thanks for the support of National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant 11601006.

References

- [1] S. H. Strogatz, Exploring complex networks, *nature* **410**(6825)(2001) 268-276.
- [2] N. J. Harvey, R. Kleinberg and A. R. Lehman, On the capacity of information networks, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **52**(6) (2006) 2345-2364.
- [3] R. Toivonen, J. P. Onnela, J. Saramäki, J. Hyvönen and K. Kaski, A model for social networks, *Physica A* **371**(2) (2006) 851-860.
- [4] E. Alm and A. P. Arkin, Biological networks, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **13**(2) (2003) 193-202.
- [5] S. Boccaletti, V. Latora, Y. Moreno, M. Chavez and D. U. Hwang, Complex networks: Structure and dynamics, *Phys. Rep.* **424**(4-5) (2006) 175-308.
- [6] C. Song, S. Havlin and H. A. Makse, Self-similarity of complex networks, *Nature* **433** (7024) (2005) 392-395.
- [7] R. Pastor-Satorras, C. Castellano, P. Van Mieghem and A. Vespignani, Epidemic processes in complex networks, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**(3) (2015) 925.
- [8] F. Comellas, J. Ozon and J. G. Peters, Deterministic small-world communication networks, *Inf. Process. Lett.* **76** (2000) 83-90.

- [9] A. L. Barabási, E. Ravasz and T. Vicsek, Deterministic scale-free networks, *Physica A* **299**(3) (2001) 559-564.
- [10] A. Réka and A. L. Barabási, Statistical mechanics of complex networks, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**(1) (2002) 47.
- [11] P. Zhang, J. L. Wang, X. J. Li, M. H. Li, Z. R. Di and Y. Fan, Clustering coefficient and community structure of bipartite networks, *Physica A* **387**(27) (2008) 6869-6875.
- [12] A. Fronczak, P. Fronczak and J. A. Holyst, Average path length in random networks, *Phys. Rev. E* **70**(5) (2004) 056110.
- [13] R. Pastor-Satorras, A. Vazquez and A. Vespignani, Dynamical and correlation properties of the Internet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** (2001) 258701.
- [14] A. Clauset, M. E. J. Newman and C. Moore, Finding community structure in very large networks, *Phys. Rev. E* **70** (2004) 066111.
- [15] J. Komjáthy and K. Simon, Generating hierarchical scale-free graphs from fractals, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **44**(8) (2011) 651–66 .
- [16] C. Zeng and M. Zhou, Small-world and scale-free properties of fractal networks modeled on n -dimensional sierpinski pyramid, *Fractals* **25** (2017) 1750057.
- [17] Z. Z. Zhang , S. G. Zhou, L. J. Fang, J. H. Guan and Y. C. Zhang, Maximal planar scale-free Sierpinski networks with small-world effect and power law strength-degree correlation, *Europhys. Lett.* **79**(3) (2012) 38007.
- [18] Z. Z. Zhang , S. G. Zhou, L. C. Chen, Y. Ming and J. H. Guan, The exact solution of mean geodesic distance for Vicsek fractals, *Physica A* **41**(48) (2008) 485102 .
- [19] E. Ravasz and A. L. Barabási, Hierarchical organization in complex networks, *Phys. Rev. E* **67** (2003) 47026112.
- [20] Kazumoto Iguchi and Hiroaki Yamada, Exactly solvable scale-free network model, *Phys. Rev. E* **71** (2005) 036144.
- [21] Z. Z. Zhang, Y. Lin, S. Y. Gao, S. G. Zhou and J. H. Guan, Average distance in a hierarchical scale-free network: an exact solution, *J. Stat. Mech. – Theory Exp.* **10** (2009) 1742-5468.
- [22] D. H. Wang, Y. M. Xue, Q. Zhang and M. Niu, Scale-free and Small-world properties of a special hierarchical network, *Fractals* **27**(2) (2019) 1950010.
- [23] M. Niu and R. X. Li, The average weighted path length for a class of hierarchical networks, *Fractals* **28**(04) (2020) 2050073.
- [24] F. Ma, X. Wang and P. Wang, Scale-free networks with invariable diameter and density feature: Counterexamples, *Phys. Rev. E* **101**(2) (2020) 022315.
- [25] A.L. Barabási and Reka Albert, Emergence of scaling in random networks, *Science* **286** (1999) 509–512.
- [26] S. N. Dorogovtsev, A. V. Goltsev and J. F. F. Mendes, Pseudofractal scale-free web, *Phys. Rev. E* **65**(6) (2002) 6122–6125.