
Simplicial chromatic polynomials as Hilbert series of Stanley–Reisner rings

Soo Hyun Park

May 6, 2022

We find families of simplicial complexes where the simplicial chromatic polynomials defined by Cooper–de Silva–Sazdanovic [4] are Hilbert series of Stanley–Reisner rings of auxiliary simplicial complexes. As a result, such generalized chromatic polynomials are determined by h -vectors of auxiliary simplicial complexes. In addition to generalizing related results on graphs and matroids, the simplicial complexes used allow us to consider problems that are not necessarily analogues of those considered for graphs. Some examples include supports of cyclotomic polynomials, log concavity properties of a polynomial or some translate of the polynomial, and symmetry relations between a polynomial and its reciprocal polynomial.

If the h -vectors involved have sufficiently large entries, the Hilbert series are Hilbert polynomials of some k -algebra. As a consequence of connections between h -vectors and simplicial chromatic polynomials, we also find simplicial complexes whose h -vectors are determined by addition-contraction relations of simplicial complexes analogous to deletion-contraction relations of graphs. The constructions used involve generalizations of relations Euler characteristics of configuration spaces and chromatic polynomials of graphs.

1 INTRODUCTION

The main objective of this paper is to show that Euler characteristics of certain generalized configuration spaces are Hilbert series of Stanley–Reisner rings of associated simplicial complexes. The latter interpretation implies that these Euler characteristics are determined by

h -vectors of some auxiliary simplicial complexes. In the course of doing this, we find that these Euler characteristics specialize to invariants satisfying the following properties:

- Polynomials with constant terms determining whether a cyclotomic polynomial of degree $n = p_1 \cdots p_d$ (p_i distinct primes) has a nonzero term of degree j for $0 \leq j \leq \varphi(n)$ (Corollary 3.5)
- Log concavity properties of simplicial chromatic polynomials and their translates (Corollary 3.10, Example 3.14)
- Symmetric relations between these polynomials and their reciprocal polynomials (Example 3.16)

Each problem listed above is associated with an appropriate choice of simplicial complexes.

Let X be a manifold and $\text{Conf}^n X = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n : x_i \neq x_j \text{ for all } i \neq j\}$. The configuration spaces we study generalize a relation between Euler characteristics of compactifications of $\text{Conf}^n X$ and chromatic polynomials of graphs. More specifically, our starting point is a combinatorial interpretation of the (compactly supported) Euler characteristic of the ordered configuration space $\text{Conf}^n X$ and proper colorings of the complete graph with n vertices K_n .

$$\chi_c(\text{Conf}^n X) = \chi_c(X)(\chi_c(X) - 1) \cdots (\chi_c(X) - (n - 1)). \quad (1.1)$$

Comparing this expression to the chromatic polynomial

$$p_{K_n}(\lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 1) \cdots (\lambda - (n - 1)) \quad (1.2)$$

of the complete graph K_n for λ available colors, we find that $\chi_c(\text{Conf}^n X) = p_{K_n}(\chi_c(X))$.

We can consider how to generalize the relation $\chi_c(\text{Conf}^n X) = p_{K_n}(\chi_c(X))$ to chromatic polynomials of arbitrary graphs G . Since adding edges to a graph G introduces additional restrictions to proper colorings of G , it is natural to expect that an associated configuration space would be a partial compactification of $\text{Conf}^n X$. In this context, we would allow the same points of X to occupy slots corresponding to non-adjacent vertices. Indeed, such a generalization was found by Eastwood–Huggett (Theorem 2 on p. 155 of [5]) for a modified configuration space parametrizing such configurations.

Given a graph G with vertices $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, they consider Euler characteristics of the configuration space

$$M_G = M^n \setminus \bigcup_{e \in E} \Delta_e,$$

for $M = \mathbb{CP}^{\lambda-1}$, where

$$\Delta_e = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M^n : x_i = x_j\}$$

for each edge $e = v_i v_j$ of G . The authors then show that

$$p_G(\lambda) = \chi_c((\mathbb{CP}^{\lambda-1})_G) \text{ (Theorem 2 on p. 1155 of [5])}, \quad (1.3)$$

which generalizes the correspondence between 1.1 and 1.2.

The construction of Eastwood–Huggett [5] was further generalized with simplicial complexes replacing graphs in recent work of Cooper–de Silva–Sazdanovic [4]. They define the simplicial chromatic polynomial (Definition 6.1 on p. 738 of [4], Definition 2.2), which is the compactly supported Euler characteristic of a certain configuration space 2.2 which is a higher dimensional version of M_G for simplicial complexes.

Definition 1.1. (Definition 2.1 on p. 725 and p. 738 of [4])

Let S be a simplicial complex whose 0-skeleton is given by the vertex set $V = V(S) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Let M be a topological space. For each simplex $\sigma = [\nu_{i_1} \cdots \nu_{i_k}]$, define the diagonal corresponding to σ to be

$$D_\sigma = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M^n : x_{i_1} = \cdots = x_{i_k}\}.$$

We define the **simplicial configuration space** as

$$M_S = M^n \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta^V \setminus S} D_\sigma \quad (1.4)$$

where Δ^V is the simplicial complex containing all subsets of the vertices v_i (analogous to a simplex generated by independent vectors corresponding to the v_i) and $\Delta^V \setminus S$ denotes tuples of vertices in V which do *not* occur as simplices in S .

The simplicial chromatic polynomial $\chi_c(S)(t)$ associated to a simplicial complex S is the compactly supported Euler characteristic of M_S with $M = \mathbb{CP}^{t-1}$ (Definition 2.2). This polynomial is characterized (up to normalization) by an analogue of the deletion-contraction property for chromatic polynomials (Proposition 2.3, Corollary 6.1 and Proposition 6.4 on p. 738 of [4]). We would like to explore the combinatorial side of the simplicial chromatic polynomial.

In Section 7 on p. 740 – 742 of [4], the authors show that the simplicial chromatic polynomial differs from a number of known polynomial invariants of graphs. They state that it is not a specialization of known polynomial invariants of graphs or simplicial complexes. However, we will show that $\chi_c(S)$ can often be built out of invariants of an auxiliary simplicial complex $T(S)$. The main tool we use to do this is the Stanley–Reisner ring (Definition 2.6).

Definition 1.2. (Stanley–Reisner ring, p. 53 – 54 of [13])

Let k be a field and S be a simplicial complex with vertex set $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$. We will call the subsets of V belonging to S **faces** and those that do *not* belong to S **nonfaces**.

For a subset A of the vertex set V , write $x_A = \prod_{i \in A} x_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let $I(A)$ denote the ideal in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated by all monomials x_σ such that $\sigma \notin S$ (i.e. $\sigma \in \Delta^V \setminus S$ in the notation above). Note that $I(S)$ is generated by the *minimal* nonfaces of S since σ being a nonface and $\alpha \supset \sigma$ implies that α is also a nonface of S . The quotient ring $k[S] := k[V]/I(S)$ is called the **Stanley–Reisner ring (or face ring)** of S .

The Stanley–Reisner ring $k[S]$ is the natural setting for many combinatorial problems (e.g. Stanley’s proof of the upper bound theorem for simplicial spheres in Section 5.4 on p. 237 –

240 of [3]). An overview of algebraic properties of this ring and their applications is given in a survey of Francisco–Mermin–Schweig [6]. Some examples are also given on p. 7 – 8 of [10].

Our main result constructs families of simplicial complexes S such that $\chi_c(S)$ can be expressed as a specialization of the Hilbert series of the Stanley–Reisner ring associated an auxiliary simplicial complex $T(S)$ (Theorem 1.5).

The families of simplicial complexes that will consider satisfy certain intersection properties. We list the properties below and give examples of simplicial complexes satisfying them.

Definition 1.3. Let S be a simplicial complex with minimal nonfaces $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$. A simplicial complex S satisfies **property I** if there is a collection of finite sets α_i such that $|\alpha_i| = |\sigma_i| - 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\alpha_I \cap \alpha_p = \sigma_I \cap \sigma_p = \emptyset$ if $\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p = \emptyset$ and $|\alpha_I \cap \alpha_p| = |\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p| - 1$ if $|I| \geq 2$ and $\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p \neq \emptyset$ for each subset $I \subset [r]$ and $p \notin I$.

Example 1.4. Here are two examples where property I is satisfied.

- The minimal nonfaces of S are disjoint from each other by a simplicial complex S .
- There is a point $a \in V$ such that $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j = \{a\}$ for each i, j .

The general idea is that the minimal nonfaces either intersect at a small number of points or each σ_i contains many points which are not contained in any σ_j for $j \neq i$. Some specific simplicial complexes satisfying property I are given in Example 2.11.

Theorem 1.5. Let S be a simplicial complex and $V = V(S) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the vertex set of S . Given $K \subset [n]$, let $x^K = \prod_{i \in K} x_i$. Let $I(S) = \langle x^{\sigma_1}, \dots, x^{\sigma_r} \rangle$ with the σ_i equal to the minimal nonfaces of S . Given a collection of subsets c_u with $u \in K$ for some finite set K , let $c_K = \bigcup_{u \in K} c_u$.

Suppose that S satisfies property I. Then, the simplicial chromatic polynomial $\chi_c(S, t)$ of S is a normalization (in the sense of Proposition 2.8) of the Hilbert–Poincaré series of an auxiliary simplicial complex $T = T(S)$ which is determined by its h . Let $h_{T(S)}$ be the generating function of the h -vector of $T(S)$. This is the polynomial where the coefficient of t^i is h_i .

Tracing through the definitions, we have that

$$\chi_c(S)(t) = t^d (t-1)^{n-d} h_{T(S)}(t^{-1}).$$

Equivalently, we have that

$$\frac{\chi_c(S)(t)}{t^{d-m}(t-1)^{n-d}} = t^m h_{T(S)}(t^{-1}),$$

where $m = \dim T(S)$. This connects the simplicial chromatic polynomial to the reciprocal polynomial of $h_{T(S)}(t)$.

Remark 1.6. Proposition 2.8 gives an alternate set of conditions on the minimal nonfaces involving the number of connected components of a graph determined by the minimal nonfaces. For example, these conditions are satisfied by simplicial complexes where any pair of minimal nonfaces has a nonempty intersection.

In this setting of Theorem 1.5, the simplicial chromatic polynomial is entirely determined by the h -vector of S (Corollary 2.13). We also note that “normalized” version (without taking the reciprocal polynomial) of the simplicial chromatic polynomial is a specialization of Hilbert series of the canonical module of the Stanley–Reisner ring.

Under suitable assumptions, there is a natural relation between simplicial chromatic polynomials and Hilbert *polynomials* of other rings (Corollary 1.8) when the h_i are sufficiently large.

Definition 1.7. Given a k -element subset $I = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\} \subset \Delta^V \setminus S$, let G_I be the graph whose vertices are the σ_i with two vertices corresponding to σ_i, σ_j being connected by an edge if and only if $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j \neq \emptyset$. Let $c(I)$ be the number of connected components of G_I .

Corollary 1.8. *Let S be a simplicial complex and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ be the minimal nonfaces of S . Suppose that $c(I) = a$ for all subsets $I \subset [r]$ with $|I| \geq 2$ as in Proposition 2.8. If $h_{a+r} \geq 1$, $h_{a+1}, h_{a+2} \geq 3$, and $h_i \geq 1$ for all $i \geq a$, then*

$$t^{-n} - \chi_c(S)(t^{-1}) + (t^{n+1} - t^{n+a}) \sum_{\sigma_i} t^{-|\sigma_i|} = t^{-n} P(t^{-1})$$

for the Hilbert polynomial $P = P(x)$ of some k -algebra.

If $a = 1$, this specializes to

$$t^{-n} - \chi_c(S)(t^{-1}) = t^{-n} P(t^{-1}).$$

These relations are analogous to some results on chromatic polynomials and Hilbert polynomials in the literature (e.g. Theorem 13 on p. 79 of [15], Proposition 3.3 on p. 9 of [1]) although specializations of our results to these settings seem to yield different simplicial complexes.

Finally, we show that there are some natural connections between simplicial chromatic polynomials and certain simplicial complexes whose structure depends on the coefficients of cyclotomic polynomials in Section 3. The applications involved include supports of coefficients of cyclotomic polynomials (Corollary 3.5), log concavity of polynomials (Corollary 3.10, Example 3.12), and symmetric relations between the simplicial chromatic polynomial and its reciprocal (Example 3.16).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very grateful to my advisor Benson Farb for his guidance and encouragement throughout the project. Also, I would like to thank him for extensive comments on preliminary versions of this paper.

2 SIMPLICIAL CHROMATIC POLYNOMIALS AND HILBERT SERIES OF STANLEY–REISNER RINGS

In this section, we express simplicial chromatic polynomials as Hilbert series (Theorem 1.5, Proposition 2.8) and Hilbert polynomials (Corollary 1.8) of auxiliary simplicial complexes.

2.1 TRANSFORMATION FROM HILBERT SERIES OF (AUXILIARY) SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES AND h -VECTORS

Before showing the main reinterpretation of the simplicial chromatic polynomial, we first go over some basic definitions used on both sides of the correspondence.

Definition 2.1. (Definition 2.1 on p. 725 and p. 738 of [4])

Let S be a simplicial complex whose 0-skeleton is given by the vertex set $V = V(S) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Let M be a topological space. For each simplex $\sigma = [v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_k}]$, define the diagonal corresponding to σ to be

$$D_\sigma = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M^n : x_{i_1} = \cdots = x_{i_k}\}.$$

We define the **simplicial configuration space** as

$$M_S = M^n \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta^V \setminus S} D_\sigma \quad (2.1)$$

where Δ^V is the simplicial complex containing all subsets of the vertices v_i (analogous to a simplex generated by independent vectors corresponding to the v_i) and $\Delta^V \setminus S$ denotes tuples of vertices in V which do *not* occur as simplices in S .

Definition 2.2. (Definition 6.1 on p. 738 of [4])

Let S be a simplicial complex and let M be a manifold. Given S and M , let

$$\chi_c(S, M) := \sum (-1)^k \text{rank } H_c^k(M_S).$$

The **simplicial chromatic polynomial** of a simplicial complex S is the polynomial defined by the assignment $\chi_c(S) : t \mapsto \chi_c(S, \mathbb{CP}^{t-1})$.

Note that the union 2.1 is determined by the *minimal* nonfaces $\sigma \in \Delta^V \setminus S$ since $\tau \subset \sigma \Rightarrow D_\tau \supset D_\sigma$. Under an appropriate normalization, the simplicial chromatic polynomial is uniquely defined by the following addition-contraction relation involving the minimal nonfaces:

Proposition 2.3. (Proposition 6.4 on p. 738, Definition 2.2 on p. 727 of [4])

Let σ be a minimal nonface of a simplicial complex S , and let $S_{|\sigma}$ be the tidied contraction, which removes every element sharing a vertex with σ from S .

The normalization $\chi_c(\Delta^{t-1}, t) = t^n$ and the addition-contraction formula

$$\chi_c(S, t) - \chi_c(S \cup \{\sigma\}, t) + \chi_c(S_{/\sigma}, t) = 0$$

determine a unique polynomial invariant of simplicial complexes.

Given a manifold M , let $[M] = \chi_c(M)$. Using an inclusion-exclusion argument and the fact that $\chi_c(\mathbb{CP}^{t-1}) = t$, we can obtain a more explicit expression for the simplicial chromatic polynomial with \mathbb{CP}^{t-1} substituted in for M below. Note that $[M] = t$ if $M = \mathbb{CP}^{t-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} [M_S] &= \left[M^n \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta^V \setminus S} D_\sigma \right] \\ &= [M]^n - \left[\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta^V \setminus S} D_\sigma \right] \\ &= [M]^n - \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in I \subset [\Delta^V \setminus S]: |I|=k} (-1)^k [D_{\sigma_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{\sigma_k}] \end{aligned}$$

To simplify this final expression, we need to think about the number of “independent values” in an element of $D_{\sigma_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{\sigma_k}$. This depends on the number of connected components of a certain graph (Definition 1.7).

Definition 2.4. Given a k -element subset $I = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\} \subset \Delta^V \setminus S$, let G_I be the graph whose vertices are the σ_i with two vertices corresponding to σ_i, σ_j being connected by an edge if and only if $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j \neq \emptyset$. Let $c(I)$ be the number of connected components of G_I .

We can express the class $[D_{\sigma_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{\sigma_k}]$ in $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$ as a power of $[M]$ whose exponent depends on $c(I)$. More specifically, the class above simplifies to

$$[M_S] = [M]^n - \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in I \subset [\Delta^V \setminus S]: |I|=k} (-1)^k [M]^{n-|\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k|+c(I)} \quad (2.2)$$

for each simplicial complex S . Note that we can replace $\sigma \in \Delta^V \setminus S$ with *minimal* nonfaces of S in all the sums above.

Example 2.5. (Nonfaces with pairwise nonempty intersections)

If $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j \neq \emptyset$ for all minimal nonfaces σ_i, σ_j of S , we have that $c(I) = 1$ everywhere and

$$[M_S] = [M]^n - \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in I \subset [\Delta^V \setminus S]: |I|=k} (-1)^k [M]^{n-|\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k|+1}. \quad (2.3)$$

The individual terms of the sum 2.3 really only depend on $|\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k|$ and not the full information on the nonfaces $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ of S . An example where this occurs is Example 1.14 on p. 7 – 8 of [10]. Note that this example applies since we can reduce to the case where the indices vary over *minimal* nonfaces. It also gives a hint to a connection we will make with Stanley–Reisner rings which applies to arbitrary simplicial complexes.

We would like to relate properties of this polynomial in $[M]$ with certain invariants of an algebraic structure associated to simplicial complexes which are parametrized by tuples of points which do *not* belong to a specified simplicial complex as in the case of the simplicial chromatic polynomials above.

Definition 2.6. (p. 201 – 202 of [12])

Let k be a field and S be a simplicial complex. For a subset A of the vertex set $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, write $x_A = \prod_{x_i \in A} x_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let $I(A)$ denote the ideal in the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated by all monomials x_σ such that $\sigma \notin S$ (i.e. $\sigma \in \Delta^V \setminus S$ in the notation above).

Note that $I(S)$ is generated by the *minimal* nonfaces of S since σ being a nonface and $\alpha \supset \sigma$ implies that α is also a nonface of S . The quotient ring $k[S] := k[V]/I(S)$ is called the **Stanley–Reisner ring (or face ring)** of S .

Throughout the proofs in this section, we implicitly use the following result to build simplicial complexes out of collections of finite sets.

Theorem 2.7. (Stanley–Reisner correspondence, Definition 2.1, Definition 2.5, and Proposition 2.6 p. 211 – 212 of [6])

Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Each x_i will be treated as the element i of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ when subsets are written below. Given a squarefree monomial ideal I , let

$$\Delta_I = \{m \subset X : m \notin I\}$$

be the simplicial complex consisting of squarefree monomials not in I .

Given a simplicial complex Δ , let

$$I_\Delta = \langle m \subset X : m \notin \Delta \rangle.$$

If I is a squarefree monomial ideal, then $I_{\Delta_I} = I$. If Δ is a simplicial complex, then $\Delta_{I_\Delta} = \Delta$.

The maps

$$\{\text{squarefree monomial ideals}\} \longrightarrow \{\text{simplicial complexes}\}, I \mapsto \Delta_I$$

and

$$\{\text{simplicial complexes}\} \longrightarrow \{\text{squarefree monomial ideals}\}, \Delta \mapsto I_\Delta$$

induce a correspondence

$$\{\text{squarefree monomial ideals}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{simplicial complexes}\}.$$

The connection of this ring with the simplicial chromatic polynomial comes from its Hilbert series. Let $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let $J = \langle m_1, \dots, m_r \rangle$ be a monomial ideal of S . The main idea is that the numerator of the Hilbert series $H(S/J, x)$ is the sum of the monomials which are *not* in J (top of p. 7 of [10]). One way to find these is to subtract the union of monomials

which are in J from the total set of *all* monomials while counting the former using inclusion-exclusion. Normalizing (by division by $(1-x_1)\cdots(1-x_n)$), this gives the following polynomial in the numerator of the Hilbert series as shown on p. 1295 – 1296 of [7]:

$$H(S/J, x) = H(S, x) - H(J, x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} x^\alpha - \sum_{\substack{x^\alpha \in \langle m_I \rangle \\ I \subset [r] \\ |I|=1}} x^\alpha + \sum_{\substack{x^\alpha \in \langle m_I \rangle \\ I \subset [r] \\ |I|=2}} x^\alpha - \dots + (-1)^r \sum_{\substack{x^\alpha \in \langle m_I \rangle \\ I \subset [r] \\ I=[r]}} x^\alpha, \quad (2.4)$$

where $[r] = \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $m_I = \text{lcm}(m_i : i \in I)$ for a subset $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. In this case, the alternating sum of least common multiples of tuples of generators m_i of M . Taking the lcm ensures that repeating indices aren't counted twice. Applying the normalization $(1-x_1)\cdots(1-x_n)$, 2.4 can be rewritten as

$$H(S/J, x) = \frac{1 - \sum_{\substack{I \subset [r] \\ |I|=1}} m_I + \sum_{\substack{I \subset [r] \\ |I|=2}} m_I - \dots + (-1)^r \sum_{\substack{I \subset [r] \\ I=[r]}} m_I}{(1-x_1)\cdots(1-x_n)}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $[r] = \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $m_I = \text{lcm}(m_i : i \in I)$ for a subset $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ as above. Let $K(S/M, x)$ be the numerator of 2.5. Since $m_I = \text{lcm}(m_i : i \in I)$ and each of the m_i are squarefree, we have that $\text{supp } m_I = \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{supp } m_i$.

Putting everything together, we start finding the main relations between simplicial chromatic polynomials and Stanley–Reisner rings. We can start with the case where $c(I) = 1$ for all subsets $I \subset \Delta^V \setminus S$.

Proposition 2.8. *Given a manifold M , let $[M] = \chi_c(M)$. Let S be a simplicial complex with vertex set $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ be the minimal nonfaces of S . If $c(I) = a$ for all $I \subset [f]$ with $|I| \geq 2$ (Definition 1.7), we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_c(S)(t) - t^n + (t^{n+1} - t^{n+a}) \sum_{\sigma_i} t^{-|\sigma_i|} &= t^{n+a} ((1-t^{-1})^{n-d} h_S(t^{-1}) - 1) \\ &= t^{d+a} ((t-1)^{n-d} h_S(t^{-1}) - t^{n-d}) \\ \implies \frac{\chi_c(S)(t) - t^n + t^{n+a} + (t^{n+1} - t^{n+a}) \sum_{\sigma_i} t^{-|\sigma_i|}}{(t-1)^{n-d}} &= t^{d+a} h_S(t^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the σ_i are the minimal nonfaces of S .

If $c(I) = 1$ for all $I \subset [n]$, then the formula above specializes to

$$\chi_c(S)(t) - t^n = t^{d+1} ((t-1)^{n-d} h_S(t^{-1}) - t^{n-d}).$$

Equivalently, we have that

$$\frac{\chi_c(S)(t) - t^n + t^{n+1}}{t(t-1)^{n-d}} = t^d h_S(t^{-1}).$$

This connects the simplicial chromatic polynomial to the reciprocal polynomial of $h_S(t)$.

Remark 2.9. In Example 2.5, we have $c(I) = 1$ for all I .

Proof. Since we take $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in $K(S/J, x)$, the expression on the right hand side means substituting $x_i = [M]^{-1}$ for each $i \in [n]$. This is because the number of intersections taken in the M_S setting and the number of simplices used in the inclusion-exclusion argument match up. Note that taking the lcm amounts to taking a union with the degree of each monomial in $H(S/M, x)$ giving the size of the set $|\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k|$. \square

The following result implies that the “interesting” part of the simplicial chromatic polynomial (i.e. the term obtained from subtracting the leading term) only depends on the h -vector of the simplicial complex:

Proposition 2.10. (Corollary 1.15 on p. 8 of [10])

Let f_i be the number of i -faces in the simplicial complex S . Then,

$$H(S/I(S); t, \dots, t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^n} \sum_{n=0}^d f_{i-1} t^i (1-t)^{n-i} = \frac{h_0 + h_1 t + h_2 t^2 + \dots + h_d t^d}{(1-t)^d},$$

where $d = \dim S + 1$.

There are analogues of the results above which we can give for general simplicial complexes. For a general simplicial complex, terms of the sum describing the class $[M_S]$ in $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$ corresponding to a subset $I = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\} \subset \Delta^V \setminus S$ depends on more than $|\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k|$.

We can find a counterpart of Proposition 2.8 for simplicial complexes satisfying a (mild) assumption on finite set covers. This enables us to express the nontrivial part of $[M_S]$ in terms of the Hilbert–Poincaré series of the Stanley–Reisner ring of an auxiliary simplicial complex depending on S (Theorem 1.5).

Proof. (of Theorem 1.5)

Compare the terms of the expansion

$$[M_S] = [M]^n - \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in I \subset [\Delta^V \setminus S]: |I|=k} (-1)^k [M]^{n-|\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k|+c(I)}$$

with those of

$$H(S/J, x) = \frac{1 - \sum_{\substack{I \subset [r] \\ |I|=1}} m_I + \sum_{\substack{I \subset [r] \\ |I|=2}} m_I - \dots + (-1)^r \sum_{\substack{I \subset [r] \\ |I|=r}} m_I}{(1-x_1) \cdots (1-x_n)},$$

where $[r] = \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $m_I = \text{lcm}(m_i : i \in I)$ for a subset $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Given a simplicial complex T , let $d = \dim T + 1$. Recall that

$$H(T/I(T); t, \dots, t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^n} \sum_{n=0}^d f_{i-1} t^i (1-t)^{n-i} = \frac{h_0 + h_1 t + h_2 t^2 + \dots + h_d t^d}{(1-t)^d} \quad (2.6)$$

by Proposition 2.10.

In the sum 2.6 (which results from the specialization $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = t$), terms of degree u correspond to $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \in \Delta^V \setminus T$ such that $|\beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k| = u$ since $\text{lcm}(x^{\beta_1}, \dots, x^{\beta_k}) = x^{\beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k}$. Note that substituting $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = t$ into $x^{\beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k}$ gives $t^{|\beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k|}$. For $i \in [r]$, each m_i in $J = \langle m_1, \dots, m_r \rangle$ from 2.4 corresponds to a subset of $[n]$ giving a minimal nonface of the simplicial complex T . The class $[M_S]$ is more closely related to the expression we obtain by replacing t with t^{-1} in $H(T/I(T); t, \dots, t)$ in a way analogous to Proposition 2.8.

The idea is to find subsets $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \subset [n]$ such that $|\sigma_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup \sigma_{i_k}| - c(I) = |\alpha_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup \alpha_{i_k}|$. Setting $\sigma_I = \sigma_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup \sigma_{i_k}$ and $\alpha_I = \alpha_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup \alpha_{i_k}$ for $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$, the claim can be rewritten as $|\sigma_I| - c(I) = |\alpha_I|$. As a polynomial, this would let us use the sum from Proposition 2.10 if the $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ give the Stanley–Reisner ideal of some simplicial complex. By the Stanley–Reisner correspondence (Theorem 2.7), such a simplicial complex does exist. The auxiliary simplicial complex $T(S)$ in the statement of Theorem 1.5 would be the simplicial complex whose minimal nonfaces correspond to the α_i via the Stanley–Reisner correspondence. Thus, the problem is reduced to showing that the assumptions in the statement allow the existence of such α_i .

We use induction on $|I|$ to show that the sets α_i in the definition of property I (Definition 1.3) satisfy the relation $|\sigma_I| - c(I) = |\alpha_I|$. Since $c(I) = 1$ if $|I| = 1$, the case where $|I| = 1$ is really the statement that $|\alpha_i| = |\sigma_i| - 1$. Suppose that $|\alpha_I| = |\sigma_I| - c(I)$. Given $p \notin I$, let $J = I \cup \{p\}$. We would like to show that $|\alpha_{J_p}| = |\sigma_{J_p}| - c(J_p)$. Since σ_p adds a new component if and only if $\sigma_p \cap \sigma_i = \emptyset$ for all $i \in I$, we have that

$$c(J_p) = \begin{cases} c(I) & \text{if } \sigma_i \cap \sigma_p \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } i \in I \\ c(I) + 1 & \text{if } \sigma_i \cap \sigma_p = \emptyset \text{ for all } i \in I \end{cases}$$

Using the notation $\sigma_I = \bigcup_{i \in I} \sigma_i$, this simplifies to

$$c(J_p) = \begin{cases} c(I) & \text{if } \sigma_I \cap \sigma_p \neq \emptyset \\ c(I) + 1 & \text{if } \sigma_I \cap \sigma_p = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

If $\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p = \emptyset$, then $|\sigma_{J_p}| = |\sigma_I| + |\sigma_p|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_{J_p}| - c(J_p) &= |\sigma_I| + |\sigma_p| - c(I) - 1 \\ &= (|\sigma_I| - c(I)) + (|\sigma_p| - 1) \\ &= |\alpha_I| + |\alpha_p| \\ &= |\alpha_{J_p}|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from the assumption that $\alpha_I \cap \alpha_p = \emptyset$ if we have $I \subset [r]$ and $p \notin I$ such that $\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p = \emptyset$ and we are considering the case where $\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p = \emptyset$ (which means that $\alpha_I \cap \alpha_p = \emptyset$).

Now consider the case where $\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p \neq \emptyset$. In this case, we have that $c(J_p) = c(I)$. Note that $|\sigma_{J_p}| = |\sigma_I| + |\sigma_p| - |\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p|$. Similarly, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\alpha_{J_p}| &= |\alpha_I| + |\alpha_p| - |\alpha_I \cap \alpha_p| \\
&= |\sigma_I| - c(I) + |\sigma_p| - 1 - |\alpha_I \cap \alpha_p| \\
&= |\sigma_I| - c(I) + |\sigma_p| - 1 - |\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p| + 1 \\
&= |\sigma_I| + |\sigma_p| - |\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p| - c(I) \\
&= |\sigma_{J_p}| - c(I),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the assumption that $|\alpha_I \cap \alpha_p| = |\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p| - 1$ if $\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p \neq \emptyset$ in the third line. Thus, the desired conclusion follows from induction on the size of $I \subset [r]$. \square

Example 2.11. We give some examples where the assumptions of Theorem 1.5 hold. Note that it suffices to start with an initial collection of subsets $\sigma_i \subset [n]$ (taken to be generators of a squarefree monomial ideal) since they can always be taken to be the minimal nonfaces of some simplicial complex by the Stanley–Reisner correspondence (p. 212 of [6]).

1. Suppose that $r = 2$ (i.e. that there are two minimal nonfaces) and $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 = \emptyset$. For example, this applies to the simplicial complex Δ corresponding to the square graph $abcd$ for the vertex set a, b, c, d , which has $I(\Delta) = \langle ac, bd \rangle$ (Example 1.15 on p. 2 of [16]). Then, we can simply take α_i to be any subset of σ_i with one element removed. Since $\alpha_1 \subset \sigma_1$ and $\alpha_2 \subset \sigma_2$, we have that $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2 = \emptyset$. If we take $I = \{1, 2\}$, then $|\sigma_I \cap \sigma_i| = |\sigma_i|$ and $|\alpha_I \cap \alpha_i| = |\alpha_i| = |\sigma_i| - 1$ and the assumptions of Theorem 1.5 are satisfied. These arguments apply in general when $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.
2. Let $r = 3$ and take subsets $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \subset [n]$ of size ≥ 2 such that $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j = \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 \cap \sigma_3 = \{a\}$ for some point a . Let $\alpha_i = \sigma_i \setminus \{a\}$. Since the $|I| = 3$ case is trivial, it suffices to consider the cases $|I| = 1$ and $|I| = 2$. The $|I| = 1$ case has to do with pairwise intersections. This works since $|\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j| = 0 = |\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j| - 1$ for $i \neq j$. As for the $|I| = 2$ case, the nontrivial instance is from noting that $|\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2 \cap \alpha_3| = 0 = |\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 \cap \sigma_3| - 1 = 1$. This satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.5. Adding a subset σ_4 such that $\sigma_4 \cap \sigma_i = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ still gives a collection of subsets satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.5.
3. Another example with $r = 3$ uses $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \subset [n]$ such that $|\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2| = |\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_3| = |\sigma_2 \cap \sigma_3| = 1$ and $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 \cap \sigma_3 = \emptyset$. Let $a = \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$, $b = \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_3$, and $c = \sigma_2 \cap \sigma_3$. Then, take $\alpha_1 = \sigma_1 \setminus \{a\}$, $\alpha_2 = \sigma_2 \setminus \{c\}$, and $\alpha_3 = \sigma_3 \setminus \{b\}$. As mentioned in the previous example, the conditions with $|I| = 3$ are trivial. It remains to consider the cases with $|I| = 1$ and $|I| = 2$. We are done with the $|I| = 1$ case since the α_i are pairwise disjoint. The nontrivial part of the $|I| = 2$ case comes from noting that $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 \cap \sigma_3 = \emptyset$ and $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2 \cap \alpha_3 = \emptyset$.

Remark 2.12.

1. If we don't invert the variables in $\chi_c(S, t)$ and S is a Cohen–Macaulay simplicial complex, the expression obtained for the simplicial chromatic polynomial $\chi_c(S, t)$ is also closely related to a specialization of the Hilbert–Poincaré series of the *canonical module of $k[S]$ (Exercise 5.6.6 on p. 246 of [3]):

$$H_{\omega_{k[S]}}(t) = \sum_{F \in S} \dim_k \tilde{H}_{\dim \text{lk} F}(\text{lk} F; k) \prod_{X_i \in F} \frac{t_i}{1 - t_i} = (-1)^d H_{k[S]}(t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n^{-1})$$

The intermediate expression $\text{lk} F = \{G : F \cup G \in S, F \cap G = \emptyset\}$ (Definition 5.3.4 on p. 232 of [3]) is also involved in determining when a simplicial complex is Cohen–Macaulay (Theorem 12.27 on p. 211 of [12]). There are even simpler relations with $H_{k[S]}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (-1)^d H_{k[S]}(t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n^{-1})$ if the simplicial complexes involved are Euler complexes (Exercise 5.6.7 on p. 246 of [3]) and $t^a H_{k[S]}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (-1)^d H_{k[S]}(t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n^{-1})$ if they are Gorenstein complexes (Exercise 5.6.9 on p. 246 of [3]).

2. The Hilbert series of auxiliary simplicial complexes determined by the simplicial chromatic polynomials in the setting of Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 1.5 can be used to generate Hilbert series of other rings using operations such as addition, partial sums, and multiplication related to joins of simplicial complexes as indicated in Corollary 6.6 on p. 738 of [4] (Proposition 2.4 on p. 131 of [2]).

We can combine Proposition 2.10 with the main results (Proposition 2.8 or Theorem 1.5) and the defining property of the simplicial chromatic polynomial (Proposition 2.3) to make an observation on simplicial chromatic polynomials of simplicial complexes S in the setting of the results above.

Corollary 2.13. *Suppose that S is a simplicial complex satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 2.8 or Theorem 1.5.*

1. *The simplicial chromatic polynomial $\chi_c(S, t)$ is entirely determined by the h -vector of S .*
2. *The h -vector of the associated simplicial complex (S in Proposition 2.8 and $T = T(S)$ in Theorem 1.5) is entirely determined by the defining addition-contraction relation and normalization for simplicial chromatic polynomials from Proposition 6.4 on p. 738 of [4].*

2.2 SIMPLICIAL CHROMATIC POLYNOMIALS AS HILBERT POLYNOMIALS OF OTHER RINGS

We can also connect the original simplicial chromatic polynomials $\chi_c(S, t)$ associated to a simplicial complex S to Hilbert series of rings without making any modifications on the latter ring. More specifically, we will work with conditions under which a polynomial is a Hilbert polynomial (i.e. “approximately a Hilbert series” – see p. 131 and Theorem 2.2 on p. 129 of [2]) which are listed below.

Proposition 2.14. (Corollary 3.10 on p. 138 of [2])

Let $P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$. If $a_0, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, a_2 \geq 3$, then $P(x)$ is a Hilbert polynomial associated to some k -algebra.

Combining Proposition 2.14 with the inclusion-exclusion arguments used earlier, we find some conditions under which $[M_S] - [M]$ is a Hilbert polynomial with $[M]$ substituted in for the variable (Corollary 1.8).

Proof. (of Corollary 1.8)

The expansion

$$[M_S] = [M]^n - \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in I \subset [\Delta^V \setminus S] \\ |I|=k}} (-1)^k [M]^{n-|\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k|+c(I)}$$

implies that

$$[M]^n - [M_S] = [M]^n \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in I \subset [\Delta^V \setminus S] \\ |I|=k}} (-1)^k [M]^{-|\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k|+c(I)}.$$

Replacing t with t^{-1} , we find that

$$t^{-n} - \chi_c(S, t^{-1}) + (t^{n+1} - t^{n+a}) \sum_{\sigma_i} t^{-|\sigma_i|} = t^{-n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in I \subset [\Delta^V \setminus S] \\ |I|=k}} (-1)^k t^{-(|\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k| - c(I))}.$$

The coefficient of t^{-r} on the right hand side is

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in I \subset [\Delta^V \setminus S] \\ |I|=k \\ |\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k| - c(I) = r}} (-1)^k.$$

Since we assumed that $c(I) = a$ for all I with $|I| \geq 2$, the coefficient of t^{-r} is

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in I \subset [\Delta^V \setminus S] \\ |I|=k \\ |\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k| = a+r}} (-1)^k. \quad (2.7)$$

Recall that

$$h_s = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in I \subset [\Delta^V \setminus S] \\ |I|=k \\ |\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k| = s}} (-1)^k \quad (2.8)$$

by a correspondence between the numerators of 2.5 and 2.6.

Comparing 2.8 to the coefficient of t^{-r} given by 2.7, we have that the coefficient of t^{-r} is equal to h_{a+r} . Combining the assumptions that $h_{a+r} \geq 1$, $h_{a+1}, h_{a+2} \geq 3$, and $h_i \geq 0$ for all $i \geq a$ with Proposition 2.14, we find that $t^{-n} - \chi_c(S, t^{-1}) + (t^{n+1} - t^{n+a}) \sum_{\sigma_i} t^{-|\sigma_i|} = t^{-n} P(t^{-1})$ for some Hilbert polynomial $P = P(x)$.

□

3 APPLICATIONS

In this section, we outline applications of the main results to properties of simplicial chromatic polynomials in various contexts. This includes properties that generalize those of chromatic polynomials or matroids. The fact that we work with simplicial complexes are not necessarily independence complexes of graphs allows us to obtain symmetric properties in other contexts. Here are the main types of applications considered:

- The support of cyclotomic polynomials (Corollary 3.5)
- Simplicial complexes with log concave simplicial chromatic polynomials (Corollary 3.10, Example 3.14)
- Symmetric relations among coefficients of simplicial chromatic polynomials induced by polytopes (Example 3.16)

3.1 CONNECTION TO CYCLOTOMIC POLYNOMIALS

We consider simplicial complexes whose topology encodes information on coefficients of cyclotomic polynomials.

Definition 3.1. (p. 114 of [11])

Let K_p denote the 0-dimensional abstract simplicial complex consisting of p vertices. Given d distinct primes p_1, \dots, p_d , we set

$$K_{p_1, \dots, p_d} = K_{p_1} * \dots * K_{p_d},$$

where $*$ denotes join. Setting $n = p_1 \cdots p_d$, we note that each facet of K_{p_1, \dots, p_d} corresponds to a residue (mod n) by the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

Given a subset $A \subset \{0, 1, \dots, \varphi(n)\}$, let K_A be the subcomplex of K_{p_1, \dots, p_d} containing the entire $(d-2)$ -simplex whose facets correspond to elements of

$$A \cup \{\varphi(n) + 1, \varphi(n) + 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Here is the result of Musiker–Reiner [11] connecting this simplicial complex to the coefficients of simplicial chromatic polynomials.

Theorem 3.2. (Musiker–Reiner, Theorem 1.1 on p. 114 of [11])

For a squarefree positive integer $n = p_1 \cdots p_d$, with cyclotomic polynomial

$$\Phi_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(n)} c_j x^j,$$

one has

$$\tilde{H}_i(K_{\{j\}}; \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/c_j\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = d-2 \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if both } i = d-1 \text{ and } c_j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

for each $0 \leq j \leq \varphi(n)$.

While we only account for non-torsion components in the Euler characteristic, the Euler characteristic associated with this simplicial complex still records information on the *parity* of nonzero coefficients.

Before outlining the connection of Theorem 1.5 with cyclotomic polynomials via Theorem 3.2, we define some notation.

Definition 3.3. Given a simplicial complex S , let $h_S(t) = h_0 + h_1 t^2 + \dots + h_d t^d$, where $h = (h_0, \dots, h_d)$ is the h -vector associated to S .

There are simplicial complexes satisfying the intersection conditions in the main result.

Example 3.4. There are simplicial complexes K_{p_1, \dots, p_d} such that $K_{\{j\}}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.5. This means that their simplicial complexes are determined by h -vectors of auxiliary simplicial complexes. For example, consider the subcomplex $K_{\{1\}} \subset K_{2,3}$. Writing $K_{2,3} = K_2 * K_3$, let $K_2 = \{a, b\}$ and $K_3 = \{c, d, e\}$. The minimal nonfaces of $K_{\{1\}}$ are $\beta_1 = \{a, b\}, \beta_2 = \{c, d\}, \beta_3 = \{c, e\}, \beta_4 = \{d, e\}$, and $\beta_5 = \{a, e\}$. The nonfaces $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$ correspond to pairs of points from the same vertex set K_i and the nonface β_5 is the facet that was removed in the construction of $K_{\{1\}}$. Setting $\sigma_i = \beta_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 5$ in Theorem 1.5, we can set $\alpha_1 = \{b\}, \alpha_2 = \{c\}, \alpha_3 = \{d\}, \alpha_4 = \{e\}$, and $\alpha_5 = \{a\}$.

The simplicial complexes $K_{\{j\}}$ are also connected to simplicial chromatic polynomials whose constant terms detect whether a cyclotomic polynomial contains a term of a given degree.

Corollary 3.5. *Given a cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(n)} c_j x^j$, there are simplicial complexes S_j such that the constant term of $\frac{\chi_c(S)(t)}{t^{d-m}(t-1)^{n-d}}$ is equal to $1 + (-1)^d$ or $(-1)^d$ depending on whether $c_j = 0$ or $c_j \neq 0$ respectively. This means that $\chi_c(S_j)$ determines whether $c_j = 0$ or not.*

Proof. Recall that our Theorem 1.5 states that

$$\chi_c(S)(t) = t^d (t-1)^{n-d} h_{T(S)}(t^{-1}) \implies \frac{\chi_c(S)(t)}{t^{d-m}(t-1)^{n-d}} = t^m h_{T(S)}(t^{-1}),$$

where $m = \dim T(S)$ for simplicial complexes S satisfying appropriate intersection properties (Definition 1.3).

If we add an extraneous element (vertex) not in the ground set of $K_{\{j\}}$ to every minimal nonface of $K_{\{j\}}$, then we obtain the minimal nonfaces of a simplicial complex S such that the auxiliary simplicial complex in Theorem 1.5 is $T(S) = K_{\{j\}}$. In other words, we consider the simplicial complex whose minimal nonfaces are $\sigma_i = \alpha_i \cup \{q\}$ for some minimal nonface α_i of $K_{\{j\}}$ and extraneous vertex q not in the ground set/vertex set of $K_{\{j\}}$. Then, $\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p \neq \emptyset$ for any choice of I and p in Definition 1.3 and removing the extra vertex q would decrease the size of the intersection $\sigma_I \cap \sigma_p$ by 1 since it is contained in every minimal nonface of the simplicial complex S . The following result implies that the constant term of $\frac{\chi_c(S)(t)}{t^{d-m}(t-1)^{n-d}}$ is equal to $1 + (-1)^d$ or $(-1)^d$ depending on whether $c_j = 0$ or $c_j \neq 0$ respectively.

Proposition 3.6. (Corollary 5.1.9 on p. 213 of [3])

Given a simplicial complex S , we have that $h_d = (-1)^{d-1}(\chi(S) - 1)$.

□

Remark 3.7. The construction used in the proof of Corollary 3.5 can be repeated to construct σ_i satisfying the intersection property in Definition 1.3 given any collection of sets α_i .

3.2 LOG CONCAVITY OF SIMPLICIAL CHROMATIC POLYNOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

The example below also gives a family of simplicial complexes whose simplicial chromatic polynomials are log concave. We will specialize to the case where the simplicial complex considered is the independence complex of a matroid and consider log concave sequences of integers, which we both define below.

Definition 3.8. (Part 1 on p. 51 of [8])

Given a matroid M with underlying set $E = \{1, \dots, n\}$, the **independence complex** of M is the simplicial complex with independent subsets of E as faces of the simplicial complex.

Definition 3.9. (p. 49 of [8])

A sequence of integers e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n is **log concave** if $e_{i-1}e_{i+1} \leq e_i^2$ for all $0 < i < n$.

Corollary 3.10.

1. Suppose that S is any simplicial complex S satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.5 (i.e. satisfies property I in Definition 1.3). If $T(S)$ is the independence complex of a representable matroid, then the coefficients the normalized simplicial chromatic polynomial $\frac{\chi_c(S)(t)}{t^{d-m}(t-1)^{n-d}}$ are log concave.
2. If S satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.8 and is the independence complex of a representable matroid, then the coefficients of sufficiently high degree terms of the normalized simplicial chromatic polynomial

$$\frac{\chi_c(S)(t) - t^n + t^{n+a} + (t^{n+1} - t^{n+a}) \sum_{\sigma_i} t^{-|\sigma_i|}}{(t-1)^{n-d}}$$

are log concave, where the σ_i are the minimal nonfaces of S . Writing b_j for the coefficient degree j term of

$$\frac{\chi_c(S)(t) - t^n + t^{n+a} + (t^{n+1} - t^{n+a}) \sum_{\sigma_i} t^{-|\sigma_i|}}{(t-1)^{n-d}},$$

we have that $b_{j-1}b_{j+1} \leq b_j^2$ for sufficiently large j .

Remark 3.11.

1. Note that similar statements hold whenever the h -vectors of simplicial complexes discussed in Part 1 or 2 are log concave.

2. If we consider *translates* of simplicial chromatic polynomials replacing t by $t - 1$, the same statements hold whenever the f -vectors of the simplicial complexes discussed in Part 1 or Part 2 are log concave. Further details are discussed in Example 3.14.

Proof.

1. If S satisfies property I (Definition 1.3), then

$$\frac{\chi_c(S)(t)}{t^{d-m}(t-1)^{n-d}} = t^m h_{T(S)}(t^{-1})$$

by Theorem 1.5. Let b_i be the coefficient of t^i in $\frac{\chi_c(S)(t)}{t^{d-m}(t-1)^{n-d}}$ and h_j be the degree j term of the polynomial $h_{T(S)}(u)$. Since

$$\frac{\chi_c(S)(t)}{t^{d-m}(t-1)^{n-d}} = t^m h_{T(S)}(t^{-1}),$$

we have that $b_i = h_{n-i}$. The log concavity of h -vectors associated to the independence complex of representable matroids (Theorem 3 on p. 52 of [8]) implies that $h_{j-1}h_{j+1} \leq h_j^2$ for $0 < j < n$. Substituting in the identity $b_i = h_{n-i}$, this inequality can be rewritten as $b_{n-j+1}b_{n-j-1} = b_{n-j-1}b_{n-j+1} \leq b_{n-j}^2$ for $0 < j < n$. This is the statement of log concavity for the coefficients a_i of the degree i term of $\chi_c(S)(t)$.

2. Suppose that S satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.8. In other words, this means that there is some $a \geq 1$ such that $c(I) = a$ for all subsets $I \subset [n]$ with $|I| \geq 2$ (Definition 1.7). Then, we have that

$$\frac{\chi_c(S)(t) - t^n + t^{n+a} + (t^{n+1} - t^{n+a}) \sum \sigma_i t^{-|\sigma_i|}}{(t-1)^{n-d}} = t^{n+a} h_S(t^{-1})$$

for some $a \geq 1$. Let \tilde{h}_j be the degree j term of $h_S(v)$. The identity

$$\frac{\chi_c(S)(t) - t^n + t^{n+a} + (t^{n+1} - t^{n+a}) \sum \sigma_i t^{-|\sigma_i|}}{(t-1)^{n-d}} = t^{n+a} h_S(t^{-1})$$

implies that $\tilde{h}_i = b_{n+a-i}$. Using the \tilde{h}_i in place of the h_i , the log concavity result on independence complexes implies that $\tilde{h}_{j-1}\tilde{h}_{j+1} \leq \tilde{h}_j^2$ for $0 < j < n-1$. Since $\tilde{h}_i = b_{n+a-i}$, this implies that $b_{n+a-j-1}b_{n+a-j+1} \leq b_{n+a-j}^2$. This implies log concavity for terms of degree $\geq a$.

□

Example 3.12. Consider the independence complex of the uniform matroid U_n^r with $n \geq 6$ and $r > \frac{n}{2} + 1$. This is a representable matroid with the elements of the underlying set corresponding to a “generic” n -tuple of vectors spanning a linear subspace of dimension r . We can set $U_n^r = T(S)$ for a suitable S (i.e. one satisfying property I from Definition 1.3 and Theorem 1.5) using the same construction as the one used in the proof of Corollary 3.5 (Remark

3.7). More specifically, we can take S to have underlying set $\{1, \dots, n, n+1\}$ and the minimal nonfaces of S equal to $I \cup \{n+1\}$ for subsets $I \subset [n]$ such that $|I| = r+1$. The minimal nonfaces of U_n^r are obtained by removing $n+1$ from each of the minimal nonfaces of S .

In general, it appears that the log concavity of f -vectors is better understood than that of h -vectors. The relation between f -vectors and h -vectors naturally takes the form of a translation when we consider the reciprocal polynomials of their generating functions.

Proposition 3.13. (Lemma 5.1.8 on p. 213 of [3], p. 321 of [14])

The f -vector and h -vector of a $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex S are related by

$$\sum_i h_i t^i = \sum_{i=0}^d f_{i-1} t^i (1-t)^{d-i} \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, the h -vector has length at most d , and for $j = 0, \dots, d$,

$$h_j = \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^{j-i} \binom{d-i}{j-i} f_{i-1}$$

and

$$f_{j-1} = \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{d-i}{j-i} h_i.$$

Alternatively, we can write

$$\sum_{i=0}^d f_{i-1} (t-1)^{d-i} = \sum_{i=0}^d h_i t^{d-i}. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that 3.2 can be obtained from 3.1 by substituting in t^{-1} for t and multiplying by t^d afterwards (i.e. computing the reciprocal polynomials).

The second version of the relation between h -vectors and f -vectors can be used to build further examples of simplicial chromatic polynomials satisfying log concavity properties.

Example 3.14. (Log concavity of translated simplicial chromatic polynomials via f -vectors)

Using the strategy in the proof of Corollary 3.5, we can show that *any* simplicial complex is the auxiliary simplicial complex $T(S)$ of some simplicial complex S from (Theorem 1.5, Definition 1.3). The fact that the simplicial chromatic polynomials considered in Theorem 1.5 or Proposition 2.8 are essentially *reciprocal* polynomials (multiplied by a power of t) of the generating function for h -vectors of the auxiliary simplicial complex $T(S)$ means that we can simply replace t by $t-1$ to get the generating function for an f -vector. This would enable us to input log concavity results on f -vectors of simplicial complexes to this translation of the simplicial chromatic polynomial. For example, the f -vector of the independence complex of a representable matroid is known to be log concave [9].

3.3 SYMMETRIC RELATIONS AMONG SIMPLICIAL CHROMATIC COEFFICIENTS

If the auxiliary simplicial complex $T(S)$ is isomorphic to the simplicial complex given by the vertices of proper faces of a simplicial polytope, then the following relations imply that the normalized simplicial chromatic polynomial $\frac{\chi_c(S)(t)}{t^{d-m}(t-1)^{n-d}}$ is equal to its reciprocal polynomial.

Theorem 3.15. (*Dehn–Sommerville, Theorem 5.2.16 on p. 229 of [3]*)

Let (h_0, \dots, h_m) be the h -vector of a simplicial polytope. Then $h_i = h_{m-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Here is an example where the chromatic symmetric polynomial is equal to its reciprocal polynomial.

Example 3.16. (Symmetries in simplicial chromatic polynomial coefficients)

1. Let A be the simplicial complex corresponding to the boundary of the octahedron. Let $\{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ be the vertex set with e and f labeling the “antipodal” vertices on the top and bottom and a, b, c, d labeling the vertices of the square “base”. The minimal nonfaces come from the pair of “antipodal” vertices $\{e, f\}$ and the pair of diagonals $\{a, c\}$ and $\{b, d\}$ from the square in the middle. Since these are all disjoint from each other, adding 3 new extraneous vertices g, h, i to each of these minimal nonfaces gives the set of minimal nonfaces of a simplicial complex S such that $A = T(S)$ in Theorem 1.5. Applying Theorem 1.5, the coefficient of t^i in $\frac{\chi_c(S)(t)}{t^{d-m}(t-1)^{n-d}}$ is the coefficient h_{5-i} of t^{5-i} in $h_{T(S)}(t)$. Then, the Dehn–Sommerville relations $h_i = h_{m-i}$ (Theorem 5.2.16 on p. 229 of [3]) with $m = 2$ (i.e. $h_0 = h_2$) implies that t^5 and t^3 have the same coefficient in $\chi_c(S, t)$.
2. Let S be a simplicial complex with vertex set $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfying property I (Definition 1.3, Theorem 1.5). Suppose that the auxiliary simplicial complex $T(S)$ (Definition 1.3, Theorem 1.5) is isomorphic to the boundary complex of a simplicial polytope of dimension m . Let b_i be the coefficient of t^i in the simplicial chromatic polynomial $\chi_c(S, t)$. By Theorem 1.5, we have that

$$\frac{\chi_c(S)(t)}{t^{d-m}(t-1)^{n-d}} = t^m h_{T(S)}(t^{-1}).$$

Then, we have that $b_i = h_{n-i}$. Then, the Dehn–Sommerville relations $h_i = h_{m-i}$ imply that $b_{n-i} = b_{n-m+i} \implies b_i = b_{m-i}$. If $n = m$, this implies that $\frac{\chi_c(S)(t)}{t^{d-m}(t-1)^{n-d}}$ is a reciprocal polynomial.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bayer, Chromatic Polynomials and Hilbert Functions, U.S.–Italian workshop on Hilbert functions, MSI Cornell, Ithaca, New York, October 28 – 30, 1993 (Draft)
- [2] F. Brenti, Hilbert polynomials in combinatorics, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 7(2) (1998), 127 – 156.

- [3] W. Bruns and H. J. Herzog, *Cohen–Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge University Press 39 (1998).
- [4] A. A. Cooper, V. de Silva, and R. Sazdanovic, On configuration spaces and simplicial complexes, *New York Journal of Mathematics* 25 (2019), 723 – 744.
- [5] M. Eastwood and S. Huggett, Euler characteristics and chromatic polynomials, *European Journal of Combinatorics* 28 (2007), 1553 – 1560.
- [6] C. A. Francisco, J. Mermin, and J. Schweig, *A survey of Stanley–Reisner theory, Connections between Algebra, Combinatorics, and Geometry*, Springer Science & Business Media, New York NY (2014), 209 – 234.
- [7] B. Giglio and H. P. Wynn, Monomial ideals and the Scarf complex for coherent systems in reliability theory, *The Annals of Statistics* 32(3) (2004), 1289 – 1311.
- [8] J. Huh, h -Vectors of matroids and logarithmic concavity, *Advances in Mathematics* 270 (2015), 49 – 59.
- [9] M. Lenz, The f -vector of a representable-matroid complex is log-concave, *Advances in Applied Mathematics* 51 (2013), 543 – 545.
- [10] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels, *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, Vol. 227, Springer Science & Business Media (2005).
- [11] G. Musiker and V. Reiner, The cyclotomic polynomial topologically, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelle's Journal)* 687 (2014), 113 – 132.
- [12] R. P. Stanley, *Algebraic Combinatorics – Walks, Trees, Tableaux, and More*, Second edition, Springer (2018).
- [13] R. P. Stanley, *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra*, Second Edition, Birkhäuser (1996).
- [14] R. P. Stanley, f -vectors and h -vectors of simplicial posets, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 71 (1991), 319 – 331.
- [15] E. Steingrímsson, The coloring ideal and coloring complex of a graph, *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* 14 (2001), 73 – 84.
- [16] B. Sturmfels, Math 249 – Combinatorial Commutative Algebra notes from Fall 2012 (scribed by Zvi Rosen), <https://www2.math.upenn.edu/~zvihar/courses/CCANotes.pdf>
- [17] R. H. Villarreal, *Monomial Algebras (Second Edition)*, CRC Press (2015).

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago
 5734 S. University Ave, Office: E 128
 Chicago, IL 60637

Email address: shpg@uchicago.edu