

SPECTRAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS GENERATED BY PRODUCT SYSTEMS

DAVID DAMANIK, JAKE FILLMAN, AND PHILIPP GOHLKE

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the question of what spectral properties of dynamically defined Schrödinger operators may be preserved under periodic perturbations, we study ergodic Schrödinger operators defined over product dynamical systems in which one factor is periodic and the other factor is either a subshift over a finite alphabet or an irrational rotation of the circle. The scenario given by a periodic background potential corresponds to a separable structure in which the sampling function is the sum of two pieces, each of which depends only on a single factor of the product system. However, in each case that we study, our methods apply more generally to sampling functions that allow nontrivial dependencies between the product factors.

In the case in which one factor is a Boshernitzan subshift, we prove that either the resulting operators are periodic or the resulting spectra must be Cantor sets. The main ingredient is a suitable stability result for Boshernitzan's criterion under taking products. We also discuss the stability of purely singular continuous spectrum, which, given the zero-measure spectrum result, amounts to stability results for eigenvalue exclusion. In particular, we examine situations in which the existing criteria for the exclusion of eigenvalues are stable under periodic perturbations. As a highlight of this, we show that any simple Toeplitz subshift over a binary alphabet exhibits uniform absence of eigenvalues on the hull for any periodic perturbation whose period is commensurate with the coding sequence. This is new, even in the case in which the periodic background vanishes entirely. In the case of a full shift, we give an effective criterion to compute exactly the spectrum of a random Anderson model perturbed by a potential of period two, and we further show that the naive generalization of this criterion does not hold for period three. Next, we consider quasi-periodic potentials with potentials generated by trigonometric polynomials with periodic background. We show that the quasiperiodic cocycle induced by passing to blocks of period length is subcritical when the coupling constant is small and supercritical when the coupling constant is large. Thus, the spectral type is absolutely continuous for small coupling and pure point (for a.e. frequency and phase) when the coupling is large.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Setting and Motivation	2
1.2. Main Results	3
Acknowledgements	6
2. Preliminaries	6
2.1. Minimal Systems	6
2.2. Uniquely Ergodic Systems	8

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J10, 37B10, 47B36, 52C23, 58J51, 81Q10.

D.D. was supported in part by NSF grants DMS-1700131 and DMS-2054752, an Alexander von Humboldt Foundation research award, and Simons Fellowship #669836.

J.F. was supported in part by Simons Collaboration Grant #711663.

P.G. acknowledges support by the German Research Foundation (DFG) via the Collaborative Research Centre (CRC 1283).

2.3. Cocycles and Hyperbolicity	8
3. Periodic and Subshift	9
3.1. Zero-Measure Cantor Spectrum	9
3.2. Exclusion of Eigenvalues	19
3.3. Periodic and Bernoulli	30
4. Periodic and One-Frequency Quasi-Periodic	34
4.1. Generalities	34
4.2. Consequences of Global Theory	35
Appendix A. Ergodic Measures on Accelerated Systems	39
Appendix B. Strict Ergodicity of Product Systems	41
References	43

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Setting and Motivation.** We study Schrödinger operators in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, that is, operators of the form

$$H_V = \Delta + V, \tag{1.1.1}$$

where the potential $V : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. There has been extensive work done for such operators; the reader may use [17, 20–22, 48, 61, 69] and references therein as guides to the literature. In this paper we are interested in questions that lead one to the consideration of products of dynamical systems.

Let us explain how these product systems arise naturally. In many applications of interest, the potential V is given by the sum of two terms,

$$H = \Delta + V = \Delta + V_1 + V_2. \tag{1.1.2}$$

For instance, one may consider the situation in which V_1 is random and V_2 is periodic, which supplies a model of a crystal with random impurities; compare [1, 16, 43, 54–57, 67, 72] for a partial list of papers studying this model. Another class of examples in the closely related continuum setting is given by sums of two periodic potentials with incommensurate frequencies, which provide the simplest examples of quasi-periodic potentials; compare [38, 41, 68] for an incomplete list. This is but a partial list of potential settings; other recent papers consider more general additive perturbations of random [14, 24] and quasiperiodic [74] potentials.

In both examples mentioned in the previous paragraph the two summands have additional structure — they are dynamically defined, in the sense that they are obtained by sampling along the orbit of a dynamical system (discrete-time in the first example and continuous-time in the second example).

Thus, we will be interested in the case where V_1, V_2 take the following form,

$$V_j(n) = V_{j,x^{(j)}}(n) = f_j(S_j^n x^{(j)}), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.1.3}$$

where $x^{(j)} \in \mathbb{X}_j$, a compact metric space, $S_j : \mathbb{X}_j \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_j$ is a homeomorphism, and $f_j : \mathbb{X}_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Indeed, in the random case ($j = 1$), one may take \mathbb{X}_1 to be a suitable sequence space, S_1 the left shift thereupon, and f_1 evaluation at the origin, and in the periodic case ($j = 2$), we may take $\mathbb{X}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_p$ where p is the period of V_2 , $S_2 x^{(2)} = x^{(2)} + 1 \pmod{p}$, and $f_2(x^{(2)}) = V_2(\tilde{x}^{(2)})$, where $\tilde{x}^{(2)}$ denotes any representative of the residue class $x^{(2)}$.

Clearly then, $V = V_1 + V_2$ admits a description in terms of the product system $\Omega = \mathbb{X}_1 \times \mathbb{X}_2$, $T = S_1 \times S_2$. In particular,

$$V(n) = V_\omega(n) = f(T^n \omega), \tag{1.1.4}$$

where $\omega = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})$ and

$$f(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) = f_1(x^{(1)}) + f_2(x^{(2)}). \tag{1.1.5}$$

On one hand, the choice of sampling function as in (1.1.5) is completely natural given the motivating scenario (a potential given by a sum of a dynamically defined potential and periodic background potential). On the other hand, as soon as one contextualizes the problem with product systems, it becomes natural to consider more general functions $f \in C(\Omega)$ that allow for more significant interactions between the factors. For instance, one may also consider sampling functions of the form

$$f(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) = f_1(x^{(1)}) \cdot f_2(x^{(2)}), \tag{1.1.6}$$

which corresponds to a periodic multiplicative modulation of a given potential. In general, it is more difficult to study periodic multiplicative perturbations than periodic additive perturbations. However, this is a natural outcome of our framework. One instance of such periodic multiplicative modifications comes from the *trimmed Anderson model*, which corresponds to choosing f as in (1.1.6) with $f_2(k) = \delta_{k \bmod p, 0}$; see [36, 37, 53, 65] and references therein.

To keep the length and complexity of the introduction in check, we formulate results in the two specific settings mentioned above, but emphasize that each of these results will be deduced as a consequence of a more general statement that allows one to consider quite general functions on the product space(s) that do not need any separable structure.

1.2. Main Results. We begin the general study of product systems by looking in detail at three particular instances of the general problem. In each instance, one of the factors will be chosen to be a finite shift on a cyclic space. We then consider results when the other factor is a minimal aperiodic subshift satisfying the Boshernitzan criterion, a Bernoulli shift, or an irrational rotation of the circle. Let us mention that the three settings we consider allow us to study periodic decorations of the Fibonacci Hamiltonian, the Bernoulli Anderson model, and the almost-Mathieu operator, which are the three of the most heavily-studied families of ergodic one-dimensional Schrödinger operators. As a byproduct of this approach, we will obtain information about potentials generated by adding a periodic background to a model that is understood. As noted above, this scenario corresponds to sampling functions that are separable as in (1.1.5); however, in each of the three instances, we are able to prove results that cover a larger class of continuous functions on the product space.

Although we investigate product systems in which one factor is a shift on a cyclic group, we emphasize that there are other natural choices for factors generating product systems of interest in mathematical physics that we hope will be addressed in future work.

In the first case, we consider subshifts satisfying the Boshernitzan condition and full shifts over finite alphabets. A subshift over a finite alphabet \mathcal{A} is a compact, shift-invariant subset $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Here, \mathcal{A} is given the discrete topology, and the shift $S : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is given by $[Sx]_n = x_{n+1}$. If \mathbb{X} is minimal, it is said to satisfy *Boshernitzan's criterion* if there exists an S -invariant probability measure ν on \mathbb{X} with the property that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot \min\{\nu\{x \in \mathbb{X} : x_0 \cdots x_{n-1} = u\} : u \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{X})\} > 0, \tag{1.2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{X})$ denotes the set of all words of length n that occur in sequences in \mathbb{X} .

Motivated by our discussion above, we prove that zero-measure Cantor spectrum is stable under periodic perturbations for potentials of Boshernitzan type. Given a subshift (\mathbb{X}, S) and a function $f_1 : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the potential V_x is given by

$$V_x(n) = f_1(S^n x), \tag{1.2.2}$$

and the associated Schrödinger operator is denoted by H_x . We say that f_1 is *locally constant* if

$$f_1(x) = g(x_n x_{n+1} \dots x_{n+k-1}) \tag{1.2.3}$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g : \mathcal{A}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.2.1. *Suppose (\mathbb{X}, S) is a minimal subshift satisfying the Boshernitzan condition, $f_1 : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally constant, and V_{per} is periodic. One has the following dichotomy: Either V_x defined in (1.2.2) is periodic for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$ or, for every $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $\sigma(H_x + V_{\text{per}})$ is a Cantor set of zero Lebesgue measure.*

As discussed before, we will see later on that one can deduce Cantor spectrum in a more general setting than the one proposed in Theorem 1.2.1. We refer the reader to Section 3 for details, but let us highlight one other outcome of the approach.

Theorem 1.2.2. *Suppose (\mathbb{X}, S) is a minimal subshift satisfying the Boshernitzan condition, $f_1 : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally constant, and $\lambda_{\text{per}} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is periodic. One has the following dichotomy: For each $x \in \mathbb{X}$, either $\lambda_{\text{per}} V_x$ with V_x defined in (1.2.2) is periodic or $\sigma(\Delta + \lambda_{\text{per}} V_x)$ is a Cantor set of zero Lebesgue measure.*

Notice that there is a slight difference between Theorems 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 with regard to their dependence on $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Namely, in Theorem 1.2.1, the dichotomy is chosen globally, while the dichotomy in Theorem 1.2.2 holds for each individual $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Moreover, one cannot avoid this distinction; there exist aperiodic subshifts \mathbb{X} and periodic sequences λ_{per} such that $\lambda_{\text{per}} V_x$ is periodic for some but not all $x \in \mathbb{X}$ (see Remark 3.1.13).

As soon as the spectrum is a Cantor set of zero Lebesgue measure, the spectral type of H_ω is necessarily purely singular. Based on known results, it is then natural to ask whether it is purely singular continuous, that is, whether the spectral measures lack point masses. In order to show that the spectral type is purely continuous, one must exclude eigenvalues for the operators H_ω , which is in general a delicate endeavor. We discuss results related to the absence of point spectrum in Section 3.2.

Let us highlight one of the results from that section. In the setting of ergodic operators, one often looks for results excluding point spectrum for a.e. realization with respect to an ergodic measure. However, one is sometimes able to exclude eigenvalues *uniformly* (that is, for every $\omega \in \Omega$, not just a.e. ω). In general, it is somewhat rare to have a model in which one can prove uniform absence of eigenvalues. We expand the list of known examples in Section 3.2 to include periodic perturbations of simple Toeplitz subshifts for which the period is commensurate with the coding sequence and the sampling function only depends on a single entry of $x \in \mathbb{X}$ (see Section 3.2 for definitions of Toeplitz subshifts and coding sequences). To formulate the next result, we use the following definition: given a locally constant function $f_1 : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as in (1.2.3), we call g the *window function* and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the *window size*.

Theorem 1.2.3. *Let $(\mathbb{X}, S) \subseteq \{a, b\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a simple Toeplitz subshift over a binary alphabet, let $f_1 : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be locally constant with window size 1, and suppose V_{per} is periodic with period p . If $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +1)$ is a factor of (\mathbb{X}, S) , then, for every $x \in \mathbb{X}$, the operator $H_x + V_{\text{per}}$ has no eigenvalues.*

We emphasize that, in the generality formulated here, Theorem 1.2.3 is new even in the case $p = 1$. Since every simple Toeplitz subshift over a binary alphabet satisfies Boshernitzan's condition, the spectrum of $H_x + V_{\text{per}}$ is in fact a Cantor set of Lebesgue measure zero in the situation of Theorem 1.2.3, provided that the window function g is nonconstant, and thus the spectral type is purely singular continuous in that scenario.

Next, we consider periodic modifications of the random case. To model the random part, we choose $\mathbb{X} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the full shift on the alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu = \mu_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$, where μ_0 is a probability measure on \mathcal{A} . Without loss of generality, we assume $\mu_0(\{a\}) > 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

We further restrict to the case in which the periodic modification has period two. For each choice of $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a natural period-two element of \mathbb{X} which we denote by $x_{ab} = (ab)^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and which is given by $x_{2n} = a, x_{2n+1} = b$.

Theorem 1.2.4. *Suppose (\mathbb{X}, S) is a full shift on an alphabet with m symbols, V_{per} has period two, and $f_1 : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally constant of window size 1. For μ -almost every $x \in \mathbb{X}$, the spectrum of the corresponding Schrödinger operator is given by*

$$\sigma(H_x + V_{\text{per}}) = \bigcup_{a,b \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma(H_{x_{ab}} + V_{\text{per}}). \quad (1.2.4)$$

As in the case of Theorems 1.2.1 and 1.2.2, our framework can incorporate other periodic decorations. The statement of Theorem 1.2.4 remains true if $H_x + V_{\text{per}}$ is replaced by $\Delta + \lambda_{\text{per}} V_x$ with λ_{per} of period two (and a similar replacement for $H_{x_{ab}}$). While we were completing this manuscript, we learned that William Wood had independently proved Theorem 1.2.4 (and the more general statement from which it is derived) in the case $m = 2$ in addition to obtaining finer results such as an explicit calculation of spectral gaps [75].

There is a natural naïve generalization of the statement in Theorem 1.2.4 when V_{per} has period larger than two. We give an example in Section 3.3 to show that this generalization already fails for potentials of period three.

Theorem 1.2.4 is related to an interesting open question: does the almost-sure spectrum of $H_x + V_{\text{per}}$ always have finitely many connected components whenever V_{per} is periodic? This is well-known when V_{per} has period one (i.e., is constant) and Theorem 1.2.4 gives an affirmative answer to the question when the period is two.

Finally, we consider periodic perturbations of quasi-periodic potentials. Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ denote the circle, and suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ is irrational. Here, one sometimes wants to observe phenomena that depend on variations of the frequency or sampling function. So, for $f_1 \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}$, the potential $V_{f_1, \alpha, x}$ is given by

$$V_{f_1, \alpha, x}(n) = f_1(n\alpha + x). \quad (1.2.5)$$

The corresponding Schrödinger operator is denoted $H_{f_1, \alpha, x}$. It is well known (and not hard to show with minimality and strong operator convergence) that there is a compact set $\Sigma = \Sigma_{f_1, \alpha}$ with $\Sigma = \sigma(H_{f_1, \alpha, x})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}$. Quasi-periodic operators have been heavily studied over the years; we direct the reader to the survey [61] for a guide on the literature.

In this setting, one has the following result. See Section 4 for definitions of the p -step cocycle and sub/super-criticality of a cocycle.

Theorem 1.2.5. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ be irrational. If $f_1 : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-constant real-valued trigonometric polynomial and V_{per} is periodic, then there exist $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \infty$ such that the p -step transfer*

matrix cocycle associated with $H_{\lambda f_1, \alpha, x} + V_{\text{per}}$ is subcritical for every energy in $\Sigma_{\lambda f_1, \alpha}$ when $|\lambda| \leq \lambda_1$ and supercritical on $\Sigma_{\lambda f_1, \alpha}$ when $|\lambda| \geq \lambda_2$.

The analysis of cocycle dynamics pays dividends for the spectral analysis. Indeed, Avila’s almost-reducibility theorem [2, 3] implies that the spectral type is purely absolutely continuous in the subcritical region. On the other hand, there is a well-established road map to proving localization in the regime of positive Lyapunov exponents. Relatively straightforward modifications of Bourgain–Goldstein’s argument [11] allow one to prove Anderson localization (i.e., pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions) for large $|\lambda|$ and a.e. frequency and phase.

The idea of reorganizing a periodic decoration of a quasi-periodic potential by passing to blocks of period length has been applied fruitfully in other recent works, such as [74], which proved specific results for the quasiperiodic *mosaic model*, which corresponds to a specific choice of trigonometric polynomial on the product system.

The *almost-Mathieu operator* is given by choosing the sampling function $f_1(x) = 2\lambda \cos(2\pi x)$, that is,

$$V_{\lambda, \alpha, x}^{\text{AMO}}(n) = 2\lambda \cos(2\pi(n\alpha + x)). \tag{1.2.6}$$

We write $H_{\lambda, \alpha, x}^{\text{AMO}}$ for the corresponding operator. This operator family has been the subject of numerous investigations in recent decades; we point the reader to [61] for a thorough account of the history.

Theorem 1.2.6. *Suppose $V_{\text{per}} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is periodic and α is irrational. The p -step cocycle associated with $H_{\lambda, \alpha, x}^{\text{AMO}} + V_{\text{per}}$ is subcritical on the spectrum when $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently small and supercritical on the spectrum when $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently large.*

Remark 1.2.7. In fact, by following the proof of Theorem 1.2.5 closely, one sees that the p -step cocycle associated with the periodic perturbation of the AMO is supercritical on the spectrum whenever $|\lambda| > 1$.

The structure of the paper follows. We recall some general facts about product systems and the transfer matrix cocycle in Section 2. We discuss the case of product systems in which one factor is a subshift in Section 3 in particular giving the proofs of Theorems 1.2.1, 1.2.2, 1.2.3, and 1.2.4. We discuss the quasiperiodic case in Section 4, proving Theorems 1.2.5 and 1.2.6.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are very grateful to Jon Chaika for numerous helpful discussions and suggestions. We also want to thank the American Institute of Mathematics for hospitality and support during a January 2022 visit, during which part of this work was completed. P.G. acknowledges support by the German Research Foundation (DFG) via the Collaborative Research Centre (CRC 1283) and would like to thank the Department of Mathematics at Rice University for its kind hospitality when parts of this work were initiated.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Minimal Systems. We start from a topological dynamical system (\mathbb{X}, S) with \mathbb{X} a compact metric space and S a homeomorphism $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$.

Definition 2.1.1. Given a dynamical system (\mathbb{X}, S) as above, we say that $K \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ is an *S-minimal component* of \mathbb{X} if it is closed, nonempty, S -invariant, and minimal with respect to those properties (i.e., no proper closed subset of K satisfies those properties). We say that (\mathbb{X}, S) is *minimal* if \mathbb{X} is

an S -minimal component. Equivalently, (\mathbb{X}, S) is minimal if and only if the only S -invariant and closed subsets of \mathbb{X} are \mathbb{X} and \emptyset .

One can check that two minimal components of (\mathbb{X}, S) must either be identical or disjoint and hence one can speak of the number of minimal components.

Definition 2.1.2. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $s(m, \mathbb{X}, S)$ the number of minimal components of (\mathbb{X}, S^m) . Whenever \mathbb{X} and S are clear from context, we suppress the dependence and simply write $s(m)$.

For a given minimal system (\mathbb{X}, S) , we will consider the properties of the function $s(m)$ defined in Definition 2.1.2. The following result goes back to [46, Thm. 2.24]; compare also [76, Thm. 3.1].

Fact 2.1.3. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, denoting $q = s(m)$, one has $q|m$ and a disjoint decomposition $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbb{X}_q$ such that each \mathbb{X}_j is S^m -minimal and

$$S(\mathbb{X}_j) = \mathbb{X}_{j+1 \bmod q} \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq q. \quad (2.1.1)$$

Some properties of this function are listed below, compare [76, Rem. 3.6, Thm. 3.8].

Fact 2.1.4. The function s satisfies the following properties. If m_1 and m_2 are relatively prime, then $s(m_1 m_2) = s(m_1) s(m_2)$. Furthermore, for each prime p , there exists a number $\ell_p \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $s(p^\ell) = \min\{\ell, \ell_p\}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Note that fixing the assignment $p \rightarrow \ell_p$ for every prime number p determines the function s uniquely. Alternatively, the function s is completely characterized by the subgroup of topological eigenvalues of the Koopman operator. Let us briefly recall:

Definition 2.1.5. Given a topological dynamical system (\mathbb{X}, S) , we say that $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is a *topological eigenvalue* of (\mathbb{X}, S) if it is an eigenvalue of the induced operator $C(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{X})$ given by $f \mapsto f \circ T$. A function $0 \neq f \in C(\mathbb{X})$ for which $f \circ T \equiv z f$ is then called a *continuous eigenfunction* of (\mathbb{X}, S) .

It is well-known and not hard to show that every topological eigenvalue of (\mathbb{X}, S) is unimodular and the set of all eigenvalues of (\mathbb{X}, S) comprises a countable subgroup of the circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$; see, e.g., [73, Chapter 5].

We will see shortly that the function s may be characterized by the subgroup of topological eigenvalues that are also roots of unity (compare Proposition 2.1.7).

Lemma 2.1.6. Let (\mathbb{X}, S) be minimal. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $e^{2\pi i/m}$ is a topological eigenvalue of (\mathbb{X}, S) if and only if $s(m) = m$.

Proof. Suppose $s(m) = m$, that is, \mathbb{X} decomposes into m disjoint S^m -minimal components $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_m$ satisfying (2.1.1). Then

$$f_m(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m e^{2\pi i j/m} \chi_{\mathbb{X}_j}(x)$$

is a continuous eigenfunction of (\mathbb{X}, S) with eigenvalue $e^{2\pi i/m}$.

On the other hand, suppose that $e^{2\pi i/m}$ is a topological eigenvalue with continuous eigenfunction f_m . Let $x \in \mathbb{X}$ be given. By the choice of f_m , we have $f_m(S^j x) = e^{2\pi i j/m} f_m(x) =: k_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. Since f_m is continuous and $f_m \circ S^m = f_m$, the spaces $\mathbb{X}_j := f_m^{-1}(\{k_j\})$ are disjoint,

closed and S^m -invariant.¹ This implies $s(m) \geq m$, which implies $s(m) = m$, since $s(m)$ divides m . \square

Proposition 2.1.7. *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $s(m)$ is the largest divisor q of m such that $e^{2\pi i/q}$ is a topological eigenvalue.*

Proof. Let $s(m) = q$. By general properties of the function s , it follows that q divides m and that $s(q) = q$. The latter implies that $e^{2\pi i/q}$ is an eigenvalue because of Lemma 2.1.6. Suppose there exists a larger divisor $\ell > q$ of m such that $e^{2\pi i/\ell}$ is an eigenvalue. Then, $s(m) \geq s(\ell) = \ell > q = s(m)$, a contradiction. \square

By definition, the system (\mathbb{X}, S^m) is minimal precisely if $s(m) = 1$. With the help of the preceding result, we immediately obtain the following characterization.

Corollary 2.1.8. *The system (\mathbb{X}, S^m) is minimal for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if there are no topological eigenvalues $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ of (\mathbb{X}, S) with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$.*

A system (\mathbb{X}, S) for which (\mathbb{X}, S^m) is minimal for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is said to be *totally minimal*.

2.2. Uniquely Ergodic Systems. We now turn to the case in which (\mathbb{X}, S) is uniquely ergodic. The discussion of S^m -ergodic probability measures is very similar to the discussion of S^m -minimal components for minimal systems (\mathbb{X}, S) .

Definition 2.2.1. Given a topological dynamical system (\mathbb{X}, S) and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $s'(m, \mathbb{X}, S)$ the number of S^m -ergodic Borel probability measures on \mathbb{X} ; as before, we write $s'(m)$ whenever (\mathbb{X}, S) is clear from context. Given an S -invariant measure μ , we say that $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is an *eigenvalue* of (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) if it is an eigenvalue of the Koopman operator on $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \mu)$, which is given by $f \mapsto f \circ T$ for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \mu)$. A measurable function $0 \neq f \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \mu)$ for which $f \circ T = zf$ (in $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \mu)$) is then called an *eigenfunction* of (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) .

The properties of this function are precisely the same as for $s(m)$; see the Appendix for details. We quote here only the result that is most important for the following discussion, compare Lemma A.2 for the proof.

Proposition 2.2.2. *Let (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) be uniquely ergodic. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $e^{2\pi i/m}$ is an eigenvalue of (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) if and only if $s'(m) = m$.*

Proposition 2.2.2 is likely well-known, but we could not find an exact reference.

2.3. Cocycles and Hyperbolicity. Given a topological dynamical system (Ω, T) , a sampling function $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, a T -ergodic measure μ , and Schrödinger operators as in (1.1.4), it is natural to study the spectral properties of H_ω via the eigenvalue equation $H_\omega \psi = z\psi$ with $z \in \mathbb{C}$. One can readily see that $H_\omega \psi = z\psi$ for some $\psi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi_{n+1} \\ \psi_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z - f(T^n \omega) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_n \\ \psi_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.3.1)$$

Defining

$$A_z(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} z - f(T\omega) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.3.2)$$

¹Note that disjointness follows from $f_m(x) \neq 0$, which in turn is a consequence of minimality of (\mathbb{X}, S) and nontriviality of f_m .

the associated *cocycle* $(T, A_z) : \Omega \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \Omega \times \mathbb{C}^2$ is given by $(T, A_z)(\omega, v) = (T\omega, A_z(\omega)v)$. The iterates $(T, A_z)^n = (T^n, A_z^n)$ of this map can then be computed for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$A_z^n(\omega) = \begin{cases} A_z(T^{n-1}\omega) \cdots A_z(\omega) & n \geq 1 \\ I & n = 0 \\ [A_z^{-n}(T^n\omega)]^{-1} & n \leq -1. \end{cases} \quad (2.3.3)$$

Naturally, one has $H_\omega\psi = z\psi$ if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi_{n+1} \\ \psi_n \end{bmatrix} = A_z^n(\omega) \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.3.4)$$

The associated *Lyapunov exponent* is given by

$$L(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega} \log \|A_z^n(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega). \quad (2.3.5)$$

We say that (T, A_z) is *uniformly hyperbolic* if for constants $c, \lambda > 0$ one has

$$\|A_z^n(\omega)\| \geq ce^{\lambda|n|} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.3.6)$$

If $L(z) > 0$ but (T, A_z) is not uniformly hyperbolic, it is said to be *non-uniformly hyperbolic*. In the event that (Ω, T) is a minimal dynamical system (and f is continuous), there is a fixed set $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ for which $\Sigma = \sigma(H_\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. This set is characterized dynamically by Johnson's theorem [50], which says that $\Sigma = \mathbb{R} \setminus U$, where U denotes the set of $E \in \mathbb{R}$ for which (T, A_E) is uniformly hyperbolic.

3. PERIODIC AND SUBSHIFT

The first class of product systems that we will consider will be products of subshifts and cyclic groups, which is motivated by the question of stability of results for operators defined by subshifts under periodic perturbations. On one hand, for subshifts satisfying the Boshernitzan condition, one often observes zero-measure Cantor spectrum and purely singular continuous spectral type for such operators. On the other hand, the spectra of random operators can be written as the union of the spectra of periodic realizations. Thus, the section splits into three main subsections: in the first subsection, we explore the stability of zero-measure spectrum under periodic perturbations; in the second subsection, we discuss the stability of purely continuous spectrum; and in the third section, we discuss the spectra associated with products in which the subshift factor is a full shift.

3.1. Zero-Measure Cantor Spectrum. It is well-known that if (\mathbb{X}, S) is a subshift satisfying Boshernitzan's criterion and $f_1 : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally constant, then the Schrödinger operators $H_x = \Delta + V_x$ with potential $V_x(n) = f_1(S^n x)$ exhibit a dichotomy. Either V_x is periodic for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$ or $\sigma(H_x)$ is a Cantor set of zero Lebesgue measure for every $x \in \mathbb{X}$ [27]. One may naturally ask whether this holds under the addition of a periodic background, that is, given a periodic potential V_{per} , is it true that $H_x + V_{\text{per}}$ either is periodic or has zero-measure Cantor spectrum? Naturally, this leads to an investigation of $\Omega = \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ where p denotes the period of V_{per} . As such, one is then interested in whether Boshernitzan's criterion is stable under such products. Of course, some care is needed, since it is clear that minimality and unique ergodicity need not be invariant under taking products. In that vein, our first result is a stability result for Boshernitzan's criterion under products with finite (hence periodic) subshifts.

3.1.1. *Stability of Boshernitzan's Criterion.* Let us begin with a few definitions and set up notation.

Definition 3.1.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a finite alphabet and $\mathcal{A}^+ = \cup_{\ell > 0} \mathcal{A}^\ell$. We denote the left shift on $\mathcal{A}^\mathbb{Z}$ by S . Given a finite word $w \in \mathcal{A}^\ell \subseteq \mathcal{A}^+$, we write $|w| = \ell$ for the length of w , and we fix the notation $w^\mathbb{Z}$ for the sequence

$$\dots www.www \dots, \tag{3.1.1}$$

where the single dot separates the -1 th and the 0 th position. For $u \in \mathcal{A}^+$ and $x \in \mathcal{A}^+$ or $x \in \mathcal{A}^\mathbb{Z}$, we write $u \triangleleft x$ if u is a subword of x . Given $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \leq k$, we define $x_{[j,k]} = x_j \dots x_k$. If \mathbb{X} is a closed, S -invariant subset of $\mathcal{A}^\mathbb{Z}$, we call (\mathbb{X}, S) a subshift. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$ the set of legal words in \mathbb{X} , that is $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X}) = \{u \in \mathcal{A}^+ : u \triangleleft x \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{X}\}$. The set of legal words of length n is given by $\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{X}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathcal{A}^n$. For $u \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$, we define the corresponding cylinder set as

$$[u] = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : x_{[0,|u|-1]} = u\}. \tag{3.1.2}$$

In the following let (\mathbb{X}, S) and (\mathbb{X}', S') denote subshifts over alphabets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' , respectively. The *diagonal shift* $T = S \times S'$ on the direct product $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$ is defined via $T(x, x') = (Sx, S'x')$. The system $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ is a shift on ordered pairs of sequences and could just as readily be viewed as a shift on sequences of ordered pairs. More precisely, $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ is topologically conjugate to a subshift (\mathbb{Y}, T') over the alphabet $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}'$ in a canonical fashion.

Let us explain this in more detail. Let $\pi_1: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\pi_2: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ denote the canonical projections, extended to $\mathcal{B}^\mathbb{Z}$ as morphisms. Define $\mathbb{Y} = \pi_1^{-1}(\mathbb{X}) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\mathbb{X}') \subseteq \mathcal{B}^\mathbb{Z}$ and let T' be the left shift restricted to \mathbb{Y} . The map $\varphi: \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$, given by $\varphi(y) = (\pi_1(y), \pi_2(y))$ is a topological conjugation. We will move freely between these two representations. Abusing notation slightly, we identify T with T' .

Given $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$, our main object of interest is the Schrödinger operator $H_\omega: \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$,

$$(H_\omega \psi)_n = \psi_{n+1} + \psi_{n-1} + f(T^n \omega) \psi_n, \tag{3.1.3}$$

where $f: \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

Definition 3.1.2. Suppose (\mathbb{X}, S) is a minimal subshift and (\mathbb{X}', S') is a periodic subshift, by which we mean a subshift that consists of all translates of a single periodic sequence. We say that f is *locally constant* on $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$ if, up to a finite shift, f is of the form

$$f(x, x') = g(x_0 \dots x_{k-1}, x') \tag{3.1.4}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a suitable function $g: \mathcal{A}^k \times \mathbb{X}' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.1.3. Let us point out that our definition of periodic subshift is not standard in the sense that we insist that periodic subshifts are minimal. The extension of our results to non-minimal periodic subshifts is trivial and left to the reader.

Changing from \mathbb{X} to a k -block partition, we can assume that g depends only on a single entry. Let us make this precise in the following paragraph.

For $x \in \mathcal{A}^\mathbb{Z}$, let $x^{[k]} \in (\mathcal{A}^k)^\mathbb{Z}$ denote the k -block partition, given by $x_m^{[k]} = x_{[m, m+k-1]}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We emphasize that $\mathcal{A}^{[k]} = \mathcal{L}_k(x)$ plays the role of the letters for this sequence. Analogously, we set $\mathbb{X}^{[k]} = \{x^{[k]} : x \in \mathbb{X}\}$. The map

$$\varphi_k: x \mapsto x^{[k]} \tag{3.1.5}$$

is a topological conjugation from (\mathbb{X}, S) to $(\mathbb{X}^{[k]}, S)$, where, abusing notation slightly, we use S for both shifts. We also define by φ_k the corresponding sliding block code on finite words v with

$|v| \geq k$, that is, $[\varphi_k(v)]_m = v_{[m, m+k-1]}$, for $1 \leq m \leq |v| - k + 1$. In this notation,

$$f(x, x') = g(x_0^{[k]}, x') =: f^*(x^{[k]}, x'),$$

for a suitable function f^* on $\mathbb{X}^{[k]} \times \mathbb{X}'$.

Definition 3.1.4. For a shift-invariant measure μ on a subshift (\mathbb{X}, S) , we set

$$\underline{\mu}(n) = \min\{\mu[u] : u \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{X})\}. \quad (3.1.6)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The system (\mathbb{X}, S) is said to satisfy $(*)$ if there exists a shift-invariant measure μ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot \underline{\mu}(n) > 0. \quad (3.1.7)$$

If in addition (\mathbb{X}, S) is minimal, we say that it fulfills the Boshernitzan condition, and we also refer to (\mathbb{X}, S) as a Boshernitzan subshift. The reference to S may be dropped if it is clear from the context.

By a classical result [10], every Boshernitzan subshift is uniquely (and hence strictly) ergodic.

It is helpful to note that for a given Boshernitzan subshift and a given relatively dense sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers, one can choose a subsequence of $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ that validates (3.1.7).

Lemma 3.1.5. *Suppose (\mathbb{X}, S) is a Boshernitzan subshift and $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers that is relatively dense in \mathbb{N} . Then,*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} n_k \cdot \underline{\mu}(n_k) > 0. \quad (3.1.8)$$

Proof. Let μ be the unique invariant measure on (\mathbb{X}, S) . Clearly $\underline{\mu}(n)$ is decreasing. Let $\ell_j \rightarrow \infty$ be chosen so that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \ell_j \cdot \underline{\mu}(\ell_j) = c > 0. \quad (3.1.9)$$

Since n_k is relatively dense, we may choose a $C > 0$ such that for every $j \geq 1$, there exists $k_j \geq 1$ for which $\ell_j - C \leq n_{k_j} \leq \ell_j$. One then has

$$n_{k_j} \cdot \underline{\mu}(n_{k_j}) \geq (\ell_j - C) \cdot \underline{\mu}(\ell_j) = \left(1 - \frac{C}{\ell_j}\right) \ell_j \cdot \underline{\mu}(\ell_j)$$

so the result follows immediately from (3.1.9). \square

We will show next that, for many purposes, we can assume without loss of generality that the window function g from (3.1.4) depends only on the first coordinate of $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Lemma 3.1.6. *If (\mathbb{X}, S) satisfies the Boshernitzan condition, then so does $(\mathbb{X}^{[k]}, S)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, one has*

$$s(n, \mathbb{X}, S) = s(n, \mathbb{X}^{[k]}, S) \quad (3.1.10)$$

for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. Def. 2.1.2).

Proof. Let μ denote the unique ergodic measure on (\mathbb{X}, S) . Since φ_k is a topological conjugation, the minimality of $(\mathbb{X}^{[k]}, S)$ is immediate. By the same argument, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of S^m -minimal components is the same for both subshifts. Further, the measure $\mu^* = \mu \circ \varphi_k^{-1}$ is shift-invariant on $(\mathbb{X}^{[k]}, S)$. Since φ_k is a bijection from $\mathcal{L}_{n+k-1}(\mathbb{X})$ to $\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{X}^{[k]})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mu}^*(n) &= \min\{\mu^*[v] : v \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{X}^{[k]})\} = \min\{\mu[u] : u \in \mathcal{L}_{n+k-1}(\mathbb{X})\} \\ &= \underline{\mu}(n+k-1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(\mathbb{X}^{[k]}, S)$ satisfies $(*)$. □

Let (\mathbb{X}, S) be an aperiodic Boshernitzan subshift over the alphabet \mathcal{A} and (\mathbb{X}', S') a periodic subshift over the finite alphabet \mathcal{A}' . Let μ be the unique invariant measure on (\mathbb{X}, S) and let μ' be the unique invariant measure on the periodic subshift (\mathbb{X}', S') . Then, $\nu = \mu \times \mu'$ is a T -invariant measure on $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$.

The main result of this section reads as follows.

Theorem 3.1.7. *Let (\mathbb{X}, S) be a Boshernitzan subshift, let (\mathbb{X}', S') be a p -periodic subshift, and define $(\Omega, T) = (\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', S \times S')$.*

- (a) *The number of T -minimal components of Ω is precisely $s(p) = s(p, \mathbb{X}, S)$, the number of S^p -minimal components of \mathbb{X} (cf. Def. 2.1.2). We denote the T -minimal components of Ω by $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{s(p)}$.*
- (b) *Let f be locally constant on $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$, and consider the associated family of Schrödinger operators $H_\omega = \Delta + V_\omega$ with V_ω as in (1.1.4). For each $1 \leq j \leq s(p)$, there exists a compact set Σ_j such that $\sigma(H_\omega) = \Sigma_j$ for every $\omega \in \Omega_j$. In particular, there are no more than $s(p)$ distinct sets that may arise as spectra corresponding to operators H_ω with $\omega \in \Omega$.²*
- (c) *For every $1 \leq j \leq s(p)$, one has the following dichotomy. Either V_ω is periodic for all $\omega \in \Omega_j$ or Σ_j is a Cantor set of zero Lebesgue measure.*

Remark 3.1.8. One can have examples in which V_ω is periodic for ω in one minimal component but not every minimal component. Consequently, this provides examples for which Σ_j is a Cantor set and Σ_k is a finite-gap set for some j and for some $k \neq j$; see Remark 3.2.6.

As a consequence of our work in the present section, we deduce the following characterization, which may be of independent interest:

Theorem 3.1.9. *Let (\mathbb{X}, S) be a Boshernitzan subshift, let (\mathbb{X}', S') be a p -periodic subshift, and let $s(p) = s(p, \mathbb{X}, S)$ be the number of S^p -minimal components of \mathbb{X} (cf. Def. 2.1.2). The following are equivalent:*

- (a) *The system $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ is (topologically conjugate to) a Boshernitzan subshift*
- (b) *$(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ is minimal.*
- (c) *$s(p) = 1$.*
- (d) *(\mathbb{X}, S) has no eigenvalues of the form $e^{2\pi ik/p}$ aside from the trivial eigenvalue 1.*

In the following, let p denote the period of points in \mathbb{X}' . Then, $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ is topologically conjugate to a discrete suspension of (\mathbb{X}, S^p) with constant height p , which explains why we focus on properties of (\mathbb{X}, S^n) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us expand a bit on this connection.

Lemma 3.1.10. *Let (\mathbb{X}, S) be a Boshernitzan subshift and \mathbb{X}' be a periodic subshift of period p . The action of T on $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$ decomposes into minimal components. The number of T -minimal components in $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$ coincides with the number of S^p -minimal components in \mathbb{X} .*

Proof. Let $m = s(p, \mathbb{X}, S)$ be the number of S^p -minimal components in \mathbb{X} . We define an equivalence relation on \mathbb{X} by $x \sim y$ if and only if x and y are in the same S^p -minimal component. Equivalently, $x \sim y$ whenever y is in the S^p -orbit closure of x . For $(x, x'), (y, y') \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$, let us denote

²Later on, we will abbreviate this observation by writing $\#\{\sigma(H_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\} \leq s(p)$.

$(x, x') \rightsquigarrow (y, y')$ if (y, y') is in the T -orbit closure of (x, x') . Fixing $x^* \in \mathbb{X}'$, every point in $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$ is of the form $T^j(x, x^*)$ for some $0 \leq j \leq p-1$ and $x \in \mathbb{X}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T^j(x, x^*) \rightsquigarrow T^k(y, x^*) &\iff (x, x^*) \rightsquigarrow (y, x^*) \\ &\iff x \sim y \\ &\iff T^k(y, x^*) \rightsquigarrow T^j(x, x^*), \end{aligned}$$

showing that \rightsquigarrow is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$. Further, the calculation above reveals that the equivalence classes satisfy $[T^j(x, x^*)] = [(x, x^*)]$ for all $0 \leq j \leq p-1$ and that they are in one-to-one correspondence to the equivalence classes in \mathbb{X} . By definition of \rightsquigarrow , the equivalence classes $[(x, x^*)]$ are T -minimal components. \square

Our next goal is to show that (\mathbb{X}, S^m) satisfies the Boshernitzan condition on each minimal component. To this end, we interpret (\mathbb{X}, S^m) as a subshift over the alphabet $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{L}_m(\mathbb{X})$. The legal words of length k in this alphabet are given by $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^k \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X}) = \mathcal{L}_{mk}(\mathbb{X})$. That is, there is a topological conjugacy from (\mathbb{X}, S^m) to a subshift $(\tilde{\mathbb{X}}, S)$ over the alphabet $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by

$$\mathbb{X} \ni x \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}(x) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbb{Z}},$$

where $(\tilde{\varphi}(x))_k = x_{[km, (k+1)m-1]}$.

Lemma 3.1.11. *Let (\mathbb{X}, S) be a Boshernitzan subshift and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Each S^m -minimal component of \mathbb{X} satisfies the Boshernitzan condition.*

Proof. Let $q = s(m, \mathbb{X}, S)$ and write $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbb{X}_q$ as a disjoint decomposition of \mathbb{X} into S^m -minimal components, as provided by Fact 2.1.3. For $1 \leq j \leq q$, the rescaled restriction $\mu_j = q\mu|_{\mathbb{X}_j}$ is an S^m -invariant probability measure on \mathbb{X}_j . Since the minimal components are clopen sets, there is a minimal distance separating them. Hence, there exists a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$, the set $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k$ splits into the disjoint union $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k(\mathbb{X}_1) \cup \dots \cup \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k(\mathbb{X}_q)$. That is, for $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k$, the set $[u]$ is completely contained in one of the S^m -minimal components. For such k , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mu}_j(k) &= \min\{\mu_j[u] : u \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k(\mathbb{X}_j)\} \\ &= q \min\{\mu[u] : u \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_k(\mathbb{X}_j)\} \\ &\geq q \min\{\mu[u] : u \in \mathcal{L}_{mk}(\mathbb{X})\} \\ &= q \underline{\mu}(mk). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.11}$$

Since (\mathbb{X}, S) is assumed to be a Boshernitzan subshift, Lemma 3.1.5 gives

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} k \cdot \underline{\mu}(mk) > 0,$$

Combining this with (3.1.11) gives us

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} k \cdot \underline{\mu}_j(k) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} qk \cdot \underline{\mu}(mk) > 0,$$

which concludes the argument. \square

Interpreting (\mathbb{Y}, T) as a (discrete) suspension of (\mathbb{X}, S^m) , we find that an analogous statement holds for this system. An alternative proof is given below.

Corollary 3.1.12. *Each T -minimal component in (\mathbb{Y}, T) satisfies the Boshernitzan condition.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Y})$ be a legal word of length $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote by $u_1 = \pi_1(u)$ and $u_2 = \pi_2(u)$ the projections to $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X}')$ respectively. Hence, $[u] = \varphi^{-1}([u_1] \times [u_2])$ and therefore, since $\nu = \mu \times \mu'$, $(\nu \circ \varphi)[u] = \mu[u_1]\mu'[u_2] = \mu[u_1]/p$ for k large enough that $[u_2]$ is a singleton in \mathbb{X}' . Again for large enough k , the cylinder set $[u]$ is contained in precisely one T -minimal component and property $(*)$ is inherited from (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) . \square

We are now in a position to prove some of our main results.

Proof of Theorem 3.1.7. By Lemma 3.1.10, $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$ has $s(p)$ distinct T -minimal components, which proves (a). By minimality and continuity of f , $\sigma(H_\omega)$ is constant on each minimal component of T , and thus there are at most $s(p)$ sets that can arise as $\sigma(H_\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$, proving (b).

By Corollary 3.1.12, each minimal component of $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ is topologically conjugate to a Boshernitzan subshift. By our assumptions on f , the restriction of f to each minimal component is locally constant. In view of these observations, the conclusion of part (c) follows from [27, Theorem 2]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2.1. With notation as in the statement of the theorem, let \mathbb{X}' denote the set of translates of V_{per} , which is clearly a p -periodic subshift. The result follows from Theorem 3.1.7 by choosing the sampling function $f(x, x') = f_1(x) + x'(0)$. If $V_x + V_{\text{per}}$ is periodic, so is V_x and hence every translate of V_x is also periodic. Thus, the dichotomy for the minimal components in Theorem 3.1.7 yields the claimed dichotomy for $x \in \mathbb{X}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2.2. Similar to Theorem 1.2.1, this follows from Theorem 3.1.7 by letting \mathbb{X}' denote the set of translates of λ_{per} and choosing sampling functions of the form $f(x, x') = x'(0) \cdot f_1(x)$. \square

Remark 3.1.13. Unlike in Theorem 1.2.1, the (a)periodicity of $\lambda_{\text{per}}V_x$ may depend on x . This is most easily seen for the case in which \mathbb{X} is the period-doubling subshift, which is generated by the substitution $\vartheta: a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto aa$ over a binary alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{a, b\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Define f_1 to be evaluation at the origin and

$$\lambda_{\text{per}}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The reader can check that the pointwise product $\lambda_{\text{per}}V_x$ is periodic for some, but not all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Let us recall that the subshift \mathbb{X}_ϑ associated to a substitution $\vartheta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^+$ may be defined as

$$\mathbb{X}_\vartheta = \{x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}, x_{[n, n+k-1]} \triangleleft \vartheta^m(a) \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

that is, \mathbb{X}_ϑ is precisely the set of sequences whose finite subwords may be found in words of the form $\vartheta^m(a)$ with $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1.9. (a) \implies (b) is trivial, and (b) \implies (a) is a consequence of Corollary 3.1.12. One has (b) \iff (c) by Lemma 3.1.10.

Since topological eigenvalues comprise a subgroup of the unit circle, one can check that $e^{2\pi ik/p}$ is an eigenvalue if and only if $e^{2\pi i/d}$ is an eigenvalue, where $d = \text{gcd}(k, p)$. Thus, (c) \iff (d) follows from Proposition 2.1.7. \square

The number of distinct spectra that can arise in the present setting is bounded above by the number of minimal components of (\mathbb{Y}, T') , which can be related to topological eigenvalues of (\mathbb{X}, S) via Lemma 3.1.10 and Proposition 2.1.7. We conclude the present subsection by showing that the requirement for the eigenvalues to be topological is automatic for Boshernitzan subshifts.

Proposition 3.1.14. *Let (\mathbb{X}, S) be a Boshernitzan subshift with unique invariant measure μ . Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_q$ denote the S^m -minimal components of \mathbb{X} . The S^m -ergodic measures on \mathbb{X} are given by the set*

$$\{q\mu|_{\mathbb{X}_j} : 1 \leq j \leq q\}. \quad (3.1.12)$$

In particular, $s(m) = s'(m)$ and (\mathbb{X}, S^m) is minimal if and only if it is uniquely ergodic.

Proof. By S -invariance of μ , we have $\mu(\mathbb{X}_j) = 1/q$ for all $1 \leq j \leq q$. Further, $S^m(\mathbb{X}_j) = \mathbb{X}_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq q$ because q divides m . Hence, each of the measures

$$\mu_j = q\mu|_{\mathbb{X}_j}$$

is an S^m -invariant probability measure on \mathbb{X} . Since \mathbb{X}_j and \mathbb{X}_k are disjoint for $j \neq k$, one has $\mu_j \perp \mu_k$ for $j \neq k$. Let ϱ be an arbitrary, S^m -invariant measure on \mathbb{X} . By Lemma 3.1.11, the system $(\mathbb{X}_j, S^m|_{\mathbb{X}_j}, \mu_j)$ fulfills the Boshernitzan condition and is therefore uniquely ergodic for all $1 \leq j \leq q$. Hence, the restriction of ϱ to \mathbb{X}_j is a multiple of μ_j for all $1 \leq j \leq q$. This implies that the set $\{\mu_j : 1 \leq j \leq q\}$ coincides with the set of extremal points in the space of S^m -invariant probability measures on \mathbb{X} and hence with the set of S^m -ergodic measures thereupon. \square

The following consequence of Proposition 3.1.14 is of interest in its own right.

Corollary 3.1.15. *Let (\mathbb{X}, S) be a Boshernitzan subshift with unique invariant measure μ . Every eigenvalue $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ of (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a topological eigenvalue of (\mathbb{X}, S) .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $\alpha > 0$ and write $\alpha = m/n$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and m, n relatively prime. Then, $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ is a (topological) eigenvalue precisely if $e^{2\pi i/n}$ is a (topological) eigenvalue. Hence, we may assume $\alpha = 1/n$. If $e^{2\pi i/n}$ is an eigenvalue, then $s'(n) = n$ due to Lemma A.2. Since the number of S^n -minimal components and S^n -ergodic measures on \mathbb{X} is the same by Proposition 3.1.14, we find $s(n) = s'(n) = n$. With Lemma 2.1.6 we conclude that $e^{2\pi i/n}$ is a topological eigenvalue. \square

Let us emphasize that this result does *not* extend to irrational eigenvalues. In [12, Sec. 6] the authors construct an explicit example of a linearly recurrent subshift that has non-topological (irrational) eigenvalues.

3.1.2. Reflection Symmetries. In general, points in different minimal components $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ can give rise to the same spectrum. We illustrate this in the case that \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} have some reflection symmetries. We define the reflection operator R_k at position $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ via

$$R_k(x)_j = x_{2k-j} \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.1.13)$$

with a similar definition for R_k on $\Omega = \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$. By a short calculation we obtain $S^\ell \circ R_k = R_{k-\ell/2} = R_k \circ S^{-\ell}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Let us say that $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *reflective* if it is locally constant and the window function g can be chosen to satisfy $g(w^R) = g(w)$ where $(w_1 \cdots w_\ell)^R = w_\ell w_{\ell-1} \cdots w_1$ denotes the reflection of the word w .

Lemma 3.1.16. *For every $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$ and $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\sigma(H_{R_k(\omega)}) = \sigma(H_\omega)$ for any reflective sampling function. Indeed, H_ω and $H_{R_k(\omega)}$ are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. Suppose f is reflective, and write

$$f(\omega) = g(\omega_m \cdots \omega_{m+\ell-1})$$

for a window function $g : \mathcal{A}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $g(w^R) \equiv g(w)$. Define $k' = k - c$ where $c = m + \frac{\ell-1}{2} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the center of the window. The reader can check that the operator $U_{k'} : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$

given by $[U_{k'}\psi](n) = \psi(2k' - n)$ is a unitary involution satisfying $U_{k'}H_\omega U_{k'} = H_{R_k(\omega)}$. Indeed, one has $U_{k'}\Delta U_{k'} = \Delta$ and $U_{k'}V_\omega U_{k'} = R_{k'}V_\omega$. The assumption on f and the choice of k' yields $V_{R_k(\omega)} = R_{k'}V_\omega$. Indeed, by our choice of k' and our assumption on g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [R_{k'}V_\omega](n) &= f(T^{2k'-n}\omega) = g(\omega|_{[2k'-n+m, 2k'-n+m+\ell-1]}) \\ &= g(\omega|_{[2k-n-m-\ell+1, 2k-n-m]}) \\ &= g(\omega|_{[2k-n-m-\ell+1, 2k-n-m]}^R) \\ &= f(T^n R_k \omega) \\ &= V_{R_k(\omega)}(n) \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Example 3.1.17. Assume that \mathbb{X} is the Thue–Morse subshift arising from the primitive substitution $\vartheta: a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto ba$ and that \mathbb{X}' is 2-periodic. Since $s(2) = 2$ (compare Example 3.1.22), the system $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ has two minimal components. For an arbitrary $x' \in \mathbb{X}'$, we have $R_0(x') = x'$. Consider

$$x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dots \vartheta^{2n}(a)\vartheta^{2n}(a).\vartheta^{2n}(a)\vartheta^{2n}(a)\dots \quad (3.1.14)$$

$$= \dots abbabaabbaabba.abbaabbaabba\dots, \quad (3.1.15)$$

which is a fixed point under ϑ^2 . Since both $\vartheta^2(a)$ and $\vartheta^2(b)$ are reflection symmetric, the same holds for $\vartheta^{2n}(a)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $R_{-1/2}(x^*) = x^*$ (recall that the dot in (3.1.14) separates positions -1 and 0) and therefore $R_0(x^*) = S^{-1}x^*$. Because (x^*, x') and $R_0((x^*, x')) = (S^{-1}x^*, x')$ belong to different T -minimal components, Lemma 3.1.16 implies that $\sigma(H_\omega)$ is independent of ω for any choice of reflective sampling function.

In the following, we investigate this phenomenon in a more systematic fashion.

Definition 3.1.18. For a minimal subshift (\mathbb{X}, S) , the following are equivalent

- (1) There are $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $x \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $R_k(x) \in \mathbb{X}$.
- (2) $R_k(\mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{X}$ for all $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$.

When these statements hold, we say that \mathbb{X} is *reflection symmetric*.

Suppose now that both \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{X}' are reflection symmetric. Because \mathbb{X}' consists of a single finite orbit, this implies that $R_k(x')$ coincides with a shift of x' for every $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, there exists a point $x' \in \mathbb{X}'$ and $k \in \{0, 1/2\}$ such that $R_k(x') = x'$. Let p be the period of \mathbb{X}' .

Lemma 3.1.19. *Suppose (\mathbb{X}, S) is a minimal subshift, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $m = s(p)$, and let $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_m$ denote the S^p -minimal components as in Fact 2.1.3. The reflection operator R_k acts as a reflection on the tuple $(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_m)$ in the sense that there exists $\ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \cap [1, \frac{m+1}{2}]$ such that*

$$R_k(\mathbb{X}_j) = \mathbb{X}_{2\ell-j \bmod m} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq m. \quad (3.1.16)$$

Proof. For notational convenience let us define $\mathbb{X}_i := \mathbb{X}_{i \bmod m}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{X}_1$ and let $r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be such that $R_k(x) \in \mathbb{X}_r$. Define $\ell = (1+r)/2$. For $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $y \in \mathbb{X}_j$, there exists a sequence $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $S^{n_i m + j - 1}x \rightarrow y$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ (because $S^{j-1}x \in \mathbb{X}_j$ and \mathbb{X}_j is S^m -minimal). Applying R_k , we obtain

$$S^{-n_i m - j + 1} R_k(x) \rightarrow R_k(y),$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $R_k(y)$ is in the same S^m -minimal component as $S^{-j+1}R_k(x) \in S^{-j+1}(\mathbb{X}_r) = \mathbb{X}_{r-j+1}$. Since $r = 2\ell - 1$, we have $R_k(y) \in \mathbb{X}_{2\ell-j}$. Hence, $R_k(\mathbb{X}_j) \subseteq \mathbb{X}_{2\ell-j}$. By the same argument $R_k(\mathbb{X}_{2\ell-j}) \subseteq \mathbb{X}_j$ and the claim follows. \square

This symmetry relation between the minimal components reduces the upper bound for the number of different spectra roughly by a factor 1/2. A careful case distinction on the parity of m and on whether $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2$ yields the following as a corollary of Lemma 3.1.16 and Lemma 3.1.19.

Proposition 3.1.20. *In the setting of Theorem 3.1.7 assume that both \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{X}' are reflection symmetric. Let $k \in \{0, 1/2\}$ be such that $R_k(x') = x'$ for some $x' \in \mathbb{X}'$. Then,*

$$\#\{\sigma(H_\omega) : \omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'\} \leq \begin{cases} (s(p) + 1)/2 & \text{if } s(p) \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1, \\ s(p)/2 + \delta(k, p) & \text{if } s(p) \in 2\mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where $\delta(k, p) = 1$ if there exists an $x \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $R_k(x)$ is in the same S^p -minimal component as x and $\delta(k, p) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. The spectrum is constant on every T -minimal component of $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$. These are of the form $\mathbb{X}_j \times \{x'\}$, with $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $m = s(p)$. Since we have assumed $R_k(x') = x'$, it follows by Lemma 3.1.19 that there is $\ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \cap [1, \frac{m+1}{2}]$ with

$$R_k(\mathbb{X}_j \times \{x'\}) = \mathbb{X}_{2\ell-j \bmod m} \times \{x'\},$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. By Lemma 3.1.16, the spectrum is the same on $\mathbb{X}_j \times \{x'\}$ and $\mathbb{X}_{2\ell-j \bmod m} \times \{x'\}$. Hence, the number of different spectra is bounded from above by the number of orbits of the map

$$r_\ell : j \mapsto 2\ell - j \bmod m,$$

on the cyclic group with m elements. Each of these orbits has either one or two elements. The number j is a fixed point of r_ℓ precisely if

$$j = \ell \bmod m \quad \text{or} \quad j = \ell + \frac{m}{2} \bmod m,$$

If m is odd, this condition has precisely one solution, that is, there is precisely one fixed point of r_ℓ and hence the number of r_ℓ -orbits is given by $(m + 1)/2$. If m is even, there are either two fixed points or no fixed point, depending on whether ℓ is an integer or not. The former is the case if and only if there is $x \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $R_k(x)$ and x belong to the same S^p -minimal component. In this case, the number of distinct r_ℓ -orbits is given by $(m + 2)/2$. Otherwise, every orbit of r_ℓ has precisely 2 elements, such that the number of orbits is given by $m/2$. \square

3.1.3. Examples and Applications. We consider the function $s(p)$ for several prominent classes of Boshernitzan subshifts (\mathbb{X}, S) .

Example 3.1.21. Assume that (\mathbb{X}, S) is totally ergodic. Then, $s(p) = 1$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, so $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ is a Boshernitzan subshift for all periods p . One prominent example of a totally ergodic system is the Fibonacci subshift. This can be seen from the fact that it can be coded by an irrational rotation on the circle. More generally, every Sturmian subshift is totally ergodic by the same argument [58]. Sturmian subshifts will be discussed in more detail in Section 3.2.3. Finally, one also has $s(p) = 1$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ if (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) is weak mixing.

Example 3.1.22. Assume that (\mathbb{X}, S) is the subshift associated to a primitive substitution ϑ of constant length $\ell \geq 2$ on the alphabet \mathcal{A} . That is, $|\vartheta(a)| = \ell$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_r be the prime factors of ℓ . Recall that we assume (\mathbb{X}, S) to be aperiodic. The discrete dynamical spectrum

of (\mathbb{X}, S) was completely characterized in [31]. There is a number $1 \leq h \leq \#\mathcal{A}$, coprime to ℓ , with the following property. For every $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$s(p) = \ell_1^{j_1} \cdots \ell_r^{j_r} h^{\min\{1, k\}},$$

where $j_1, \dots, j_r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are maximal with the property that $\ell_1^{j_1} \cdots \ell_r^{j_r} h^k | p$. An algorithm to determine h was given in [31, Rem. 9]. If \mathcal{A} is a binary alphabet, we have $h = 1$. In this case, $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ is a Boshernitzan subshift precisely if ℓ and p are coprime. In particular, this applies to the Thue–Morse substitution and the period-doubling substitution whenever p is odd.

For general primitive substitution subshifts, characterizing the group of eigenvalues is more subtle. Following the seminal paper by Host [47], several characterizations of eigenvalues and criteria for special cases have been proposed. We present a small selection. A general algebraic characterization of rational eigenvalues was given in [39, Prop. 2].

Before we continue, let us introduce some notation.

Definition 3.1.23. Given a substitution ϑ , let M denote the corresponding substitution matrix, that is, $M_{ab} = |\vartheta(b)|_a$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, where $|w|_a$ denotes the number of occurrences of a in the word w .

Given a primitive substitution, let λ_1 denote the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of M (i.e., $\lambda_1 > 1$ is real and strictly the largest eigenvalue in absolute value) and λ_2 the second largest eigenvalue in absolute value. The following was shown in [51, Thm. 1.2].

Proposition 3.1.24. *Suppose that $|\lambda_2| > 1$. Then, (\mathbb{X}, S) is topologically mixing if and only if $\gcd(|\vartheta^n(a)| : a \in \mathcal{A}) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Since topological mixing implies weak mixing, we find that in this case $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ is a Boshernitzan subshift, irrespective of p . For a recent result, characterizing the rational eigenvalues in the case of proper primitive substitutions, compare also [35, Lem. 10].

Example 3.1.25. An interval exchange transformation (IET) on $\mathbb{X} = [0, 1)$ is defined by a choice of a permutation π on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 1$. Given such a π and λ , the associated IET, $S = S_{\pi, \lambda}$, acts on \mathbb{X} by partitioning \mathbb{X} into n intervals where the j th interval has length λ_j and then rearranging those intervals according to the permutation π . More precisely, defining

$$c_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \lambda_j, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n+1$$

$$\hat{c}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\pi(k)-1} \lambda_{\pi^{-1}(j)}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

(where empty sums vanish by convention), one puts

$$Sx = x - c_k + \hat{c}_k \quad \text{for } c_k \leq x < c_{k+1}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \tag{3.1.17}$$

One says that the permutation π is *irreducible* if there is no $1 \leq k < n$ for which $\pi(\{1, 2, \dots, k\}) = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Without loss of generality, one restricts attention to irreducible π . In this case, Veech showed that $S_{\pi, \lambda}$ is totally ergodic for (Lebesgue) a.e. λ [71]. In particular, almost every IET satisfies $s(n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, almost every IET satisfies Boshernitzan’s condition (in the sense that the subshift associated to the natural coding of $(\mathbb{X}, S_{\pi, \lambda})$ satisfies (B)) [9]. In

particular, the results of the present paper apply to Schrödinger operators defined by almost every IET with periodic background of any period.

An interesting class of subshifts for which the function $s(p)$ can be made explicit is the class of *Toeplitz subshifts*. These are particular symbolic extensions of *odometers*. We give a brief sketch of this connection and refer to [34] for a comprehensive review on this topic. An odometer is defined via a *scale* $t = (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers such that t_n divides t_{n+1} for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The corresponding odometer is given by the inverse limit

$$\mathbb{Z}(t) = \left\{ (m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{t_n} : m_n = m_{n+1} \bmod t_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \quad (3.1.18)$$

Equipped with the normalized Haar measure ν on the topological group $\mathbb{Z}(t)$ and the map $\tau : \mathbb{Z}(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(t)$,

$$(\tau m)_n = m_n + 1 \bmod t_n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the system $(\mathbb{Z}(t), \tau, \nu)$ is strictly ergodic. The *multiplicity function* of an odometer $\mathbb{Z}(t)$ assigns to each prime number p a multiplicity $\kappa(p) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ which is the supremum over all k such that there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with p^k dividing t_n . Two odometers are isomorphic precisely if they have the same multiplicity function. Another useful characterization of the multiplicity function is that $\kappa(p)$ is the supremum over all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $e^{2\pi i/p^k}$ is an eigenvalue of $(\mathbb{Z}(t), \tau, \nu)$. Note that for odometers, all eigenvalues are topological eigenvalues; compare the discussion in Remark B.13. Hence, due to Proposition 2.1.7 the value of the multiplicity function $\kappa(p)$ coincides with the multiplicity ℓ_p , alluded to in Fact 2.1.4. That is,

$$s(p^\ell) = \min\{p^\ell, p^{\kappa(p)}\}, \quad (3.1.19)$$

for every prime number p . A subshift (\mathbb{X}, S) is called a (topological) *extension* of the odometer $(\mathbb{Z}(t), \tau)$ if there exists a factor map $\pi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(t)$, that is, a surjective and continuous map satisfying $\pi \circ S = \tau \circ \pi$ on \mathbb{X} . Such an extension is called *almost 1-1* if there is a dense set of points $z \in \mathbb{Z}(t)$ such that $\pi^{-1}(z)$ is a singleton.

Definition 3.1.26. We call a subshift (\mathbb{X}, S) a Toeplitz subshift if it is minimal and an almost 1-1 extension of an odometer $(\mathbb{Z}(t), \tau)$.

In this case, the odometer $(\mathbb{Z}(t), \tau)$ is the maximal equicontinuous factor of (\mathbb{X}, S) ; compare [40, Ch. 1] for details on this notion. In particular, both systems share the same group of topological eigenvalues and hence the same function $s(n)$. Not every Toeplitz subshift is a Boshernitzan subshift [60]. In the next section, we therefore restrict our attention to the more special class of *simple Toeplitz subshifts* on a binary alphabet \mathcal{A} , as these are known to satisfy Boshernitzan's condition [60, Prop. 4.1].

3.2. Exclusion of Eigenvalues. At present, it is unclear to us whether the addition of a periodic potential can alter the property of permitting Schrödinger eigenvalues. We therefore check which of the known criteria for excluding eigenvalues are stable under periodic perturbations.

3.2.1. Uniform Absence of Eigenvalues: Simple Toeplitz Subshifts. We borrow some notation from [60]. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a binary alphabet and $s = (b_k, n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a coding sequence, with $b_k \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n_k \geq 2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Recursively, we define $\tilde{w}_1 = b_1$ and

$$\tilde{w}_{k+1} = \tilde{w}_k^{n_k} b_k^{-1} b_{k+1}, \quad (3.2.1)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \tilde{w}_k is a prefix of \tilde{w}_{k+1} for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a well-defined limit

$$x(s) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{w}_k \tag{3.2.2}$$

in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$. The minimal subshift

$$\mathbb{X}(s) = \{x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} : x_{[j,k]} \triangleleft x(s) \text{ for all } j \leq k\} \tag{3.2.3}$$

is called the simple Toeplitz subshift corresponding to s . Every point in $\mathbb{X}(s)$ is called a simple Toeplitz sequence. We make the non-triviality assumption that $(b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not eventually constant. This ensures that $\mathbb{X}(s)$ is non-periodic. In fact, up to a modification of the sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, there is no loss of generality in assuming that the sequence $(b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is alternating. That is, we assume $b_k \neq b_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 3.2.1. The subshift $(\mathbb{X}(s), S)$ is indeed a Toeplitz subshift as defined in Definition 3.1.26. In fact, it is an almost 1–1 extension of the odometer $(\mathbb{Z}(t), \tau)$, with scale $t = (t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, given by $t_k = \prod_{j=1}^k n_j$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, for every prime p and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$s(p^\ell) = \min\{p^\ell, p^{\kappa(p)}\},$$

where $\kappa(p)$ is the total number of times (counted with multiplicities) that p appears as a factor of n_k , as we vary $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us write \tilde{v}_k for the word that emerges from \tilde{w}_k by exchanging the last letter b_k with the unique letter $b'_k \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{b_k\}$. By construction, every $\omega \in \mathbb{X}(s)$ can be written as a concatenation of the words \tilde{w}_k and \tilde{v}_k , for every level k , where two occurrences of \tilde{v}_k are separated by at least $n_k - 1$ occurrences of \tilde{w}_k . In fact, by (3.2.1) and the assumption that $(b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is alternating, we have

$$\tilde{w}_{k+1} = \tilde{w}_k^{n_k-1} \tilde{v}_k \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v}_{k+1} = \tilde{w}_k^{n_k}. \tag{3.2.4}$$

We combine this structure with a p -periodic background potential, where we assume that p is in some sense commensurate with the Toeplitz structure.

Definition 3.2.2. We call a number $p \in \mathbb{N}$ *commensurate* with a coding sequence $s = (a_k, n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ if there is a number $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that p divides $t_{k_0} = \prod_{k=1}^{k_0} n_k$.

This is the case precisely if $s(p) = p$, compare Remark 3.2.1. In the following, let $\Omega(s, p) = \mathbb{X}(s) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, equipped with the map $T: (x, m) \rightarrow (Sx, m + 1)$. Naturally, arithmetic in the second coordinate is performed modulo p . Within this section, we assume that the sampling function f is locally constant of window size one. Shifting if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that f is of the form

$$f(x, m) = g(x_0, m) \tag{3.2.5}$$

for some function $g: \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Thus, for all $(x, m) \in \Omega(s, p)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$V_{(x,m)}(n) = g(x_n, m + n).$$

In the following, it will be convenient to regard $\Omega(s, p)$ as a subshift over the alphabet $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, where we define for $\omega = (x, m) \in \Omega(s, p)$, with some abuse of notation

$$\omega_n = (x_n, m + n) \in \mathcal{A}',$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. With this convention, $V_\omega(n) = g(\omega_n)$.

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2.3. *Let $\mathbb{X}(s)$ be a simple Toeplitz subshift over a binary alphabet \mathcal{A} , assume that $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is commensurate with s , and suppose that f is of the form (3.2.5). Then, for all $\omega \in \Omega(s, p)$, the Schrödinger operator H_ω has no eigenvalues.*

To the best of our knowledge, this result is new in the stated generality, even if the periodic background is dropped. We therefore explicitly spell out the case $p = 1$ as a corollary.

Corollary 3.2.4. *Let $\mathbb{X}(s)$ be a simple Toeplitz subshift over a binary alphabet $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{X}(s)$, the Schrödinger operator H_x with potential $V_x(n) = x_n$ has no eigenvalues.*

Corollary 3.2.4 was known in the case $n_k \equiv 2$, which corresponds to the period-doubling subshift [19]. On the other hand, if $n_k \geq 4$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, uniform absence of eigenvalues follows from [60, Thm. 1.3]. Hence, Corollary 3.2.4 builds a bridge between those cases of simple Toeplitz subshifts on a binary alphabet where uniform absence of eigenvalues is already known to hold.

Another consequence of Theorem 3.2.3 is that periodic decorations of sequences in the period-doubling subshift cannot produce Schrödinger eigenvalues if the period is a power of 2. Again, we assume that f is of the form specified in (3.2.5).

Corollary 3.2.5. *Let $\vartheta: a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto aa$ be the period-doubling substitution and $(\mathbb{X}_\vartheta, S)$ the corresponding subshift. Assume that $p = 2^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\Omega(\vartheta, p) = \mathbb{X}_\vartheta \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then, for every $\omega \in \Omega(\vartheta, p)$ the Schrödinger operator H_ω has no eigenvalues.*

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that $\Omega(\vartheta, p) = \Omega(s, p)$, with coding sequence $s = (b_k, 2)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $b_{2k-1} = a$ and $b_{2k} = b$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, an induction argument shows that the pair $\tilde{w}_{k+1} = \vartheta^k(a)$ and $\tilde{v}_{k+1} = \vartheta^k(b)$ satisfies the defining relation in (3.2.4) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. \square

Recall that $s(p) = p$ whenever p is commensurate with s , and therefore $(\Omega(s, p), T)$ decomposes into precisely p minimal components, each given by the T -orbit closure $\Omega(s, p)_m$ of (x^*, m) , for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and some fixed $x^* \in \mathbb{X}(s)$. For the sake of definiteness, let us choose x^* such that it coincides with $x(s)$ on \mathbb{N} . Without loss of generality, we restrict our attention to $(\Omega(s, p)_0, T)$. The structure of points in $\Omega(s, p)_0$ is inherited from the original Toeplitz structure. More precisely, the point (x^*, m) starts with the word w_k , given by

$$(w_k)_m = ((\tilde{w}_k)_m, m),$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq m \leq |w_k|$. This corresponds to a p -periodic decoration of the word w_k . Since p is assumed to be commensurate with the coding sequence s , there exists a number $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that p divides $|w_k|$ for all $k \geq k_0$. For such k , the relation

$$w_{k+1} = w_k^{n_k} a_k^{-1} a_{k+1} \tag{3.2.6}$$

is inherited from (3.2.1), where $a_k = (b_k, p)$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Similarly, we obtain

$$w_{k+1} = w_k^{n_k-1} v_k \quad \text{and} \quad v_{k+1} = w_k^{n_k}, \tag{3.2.7}$$

for all $k \geq k_0$ from (3.2.4), where v_k emerges from w_k by exchanging the last letter $a_k = (b_k, p)$ with $a'_k = (b'_k, p)$.

Remark 3.2.6. Note that V_ω is periodic for all $\omega \in \Omega(s, p)_0$ precisely if $g(b_k, p) = g(b'_k, p)$, in which case $\sigma(H_\omega)$ is a union of intervals. More generally, V_ω is periodic for $\omega \in \Omega(s, p)_m$ precisely if $g(b_k, m) = g(b'_k, m)$. Note that it is possible to choose g such that this property holds for some, but not all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. In this case, $\sigma(H_\omega)$ is a Cantor spectrum of Lebesgue measure 0 for some, but not all $\omega \in \Omega(s, p)$. However, this effect cannot occur if g is of the form $g(b, m) = g_1(b) + g_2(m)$.

A central tool in the study of spectral properties of H_ω is the *trace map*. For $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}'$, we define

$$M_E(a) = \begin{bmatrix} E - g(a) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.2.8)$$

and for a word $w = a_1 \cdots a_n$, let

$$M_E(w) = M_E(a_n) \cdots M_E(a_1). \quad (3.2.9)$$

Let us define

$$x_k = x_k(E) = \text{Tr } M_E(w_k), \quad y_k = y_k(E) = \text{Tr } M_E(v_k). \quad (3.2.10)$$

This is related to the cocycle notation introduced in Section 2.3 via

$$M_E(w_k) = A_E^{|w_k|}(\omega), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\omega = (x^*, 0)$.

We denote by $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ the sequence of Chebychev polynomials, given by

$$S_0(x) \equiv 0, \quad S_1(x) \equiv 1, \quad S_{n+1}(x) = xS_n(x) - S_{n-1}(x).$$

Lemma 3.2.7. *For every $k \geq k_0$, we have*

$$x_{k+1} = S_{n_k}(x_k)y_k - 2S_{n_k-1}(x_k), \quad (3.2.11)$$

$$y_{k+1} = S_{n_k}(x_k)x_k - 2S_{n_k-1}(x_k). \quad (3.2.12)$$

In particular,

$$|x_{k+1} - y_{k+1}| = |S_{n_k}(x_k)||x_k - y_k|. \quad (3.2.13)$$

Proof. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ we have the relation

$$A^n = S_n(\text{Tr } A)A - S_{n-1}(\text{Tr } A)I$$

by Cayley–Hamilton and induction, where I is the identity matrix. Using (3.2.7), this yields

$$y_{k+1} = \text{Tr } M_E(v_{k+1}) = \text{Tr } M_E(w_k^{n_k}) = S_{n_k}(x_k)x_k - 2S_{n_k-1}(x_k),$$

proving (3.2.12).

Recall that w_k ends in a_k . Let a'_k be the last letter of v_k . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} M_E(w_{k+1}) &= M_E(w_k^{n_k-1}v_k) \\ &= M_E(a'_k)M_E(a_k)^{-1}M_E(w_k)^{n_k} \\ &= S_{n_k}(x_k)M_E(v_k) - S_{n_k-1}(x_k)M_E(a'_k)M_E(a_k)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

By a direct calculation, $\text{Tr } M_E(a'_k)M_E(a_k)^{-1} = 2$, and thus (using (3.2.7) again) we have

$$x_{k+1} = \text{Tr } M_E(w_{k+1}) = S_{n_k}(x_k)y_k - 2S_{n_k-1}(x_k),$$

proving (3.2.11). □

Proposition 3.2.8. *Assume that $x_k(E) = y_k(E)$ for some $k \geq k_0$. Then, for every sequence $\omega \in \Omega(s, p)_0$, the number E is not an eigenvalue of H_ω .*

Proof. Let $k \geq k_0$ and let $a = g(a_k), a' = g(a'_k)$, where a_k denotes the final letter of w_k . On the level of transfer matrices, the variation in the right-most location between w_k and v_k is modeled via

$$M_E(a'_k)M_E(a_k)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a - a' \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, if

$$M_E(w_k) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{bmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_E(v_k) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a - a' \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} + (a - a')a_{2,1} & a_{1,2} + (a - a')a_{2,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The two traces are therefore

$$x_k(E) = a_{1,1} + a_{2,2}$$

and

$$y_k(E) = a_{1,1} + (a - a')a_{2,1} + a_{2,2}.$$

If $a = a'$, the sequences V_ω with $\omega \in \Omega(s, p)_0$ are in fact periodic and hence do not permit Schrödinger eigenvalues. If $a \neq a'$, $x_k(E) = y_k(E)$ requires that $a_{2,1} = 0$. In the case $a_{2,1} = 0$, the two matrices take the form

$$M_E(w_k) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ 0 & a_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$M_E(v_k) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} + (a - a')a_{2,2} \\ 0 & a_{2,2} \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence an arbitrary product of n such matrices will have the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1}^n & * \\ 0 & a_{2,2}^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows also in this case that the energy in question is not an eigenvalue for any element of the subshift: if $|a_{1,1}| = |a_{2,2}|^{-1} \neq 1$, then the cocycle (T, A_E) (cf. Section 2.3) is uniformly hyperbolic and the energy is not in the spectrum by Johnson's theorem; and if $|a_{1,1}| = |a_{2,2}|^{-1} = 1$, then transfer matrices of this kind obviously do not admit any decaying solutions, and in particular no square-summable solutions. \square

The following is a mild adaptation of a corresponding result on simple Toeplitz sequences in [60].

Proposition 3.2.9. *If $E \in \sigma(H_\omega)$, it follows that $|x_k(E)| \leq 2$ for infinitely many $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Sketch of proof. This is essentially [60, Prop. 3.1]. The interested reader can verify that all the arguments leading to this result rely on the fact that the recursion relation (3.2.6) remains true for large enough $k \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

For most simple Toeplitz subshifts, the conclusion in Theorem 3.2.3 can be derived from a combination of the 3-block and the 2-block Gordon lemma, similar to the discussion in [19].

Lemma 3.2.10. *Let $s = (a_k, n_k)$ be such that $n_k \neq 3$ for infinitely many $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for all $\omega \in \Omega(s, p)$, the Schrödinger operator H_ω has no eigenvalues.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $\omega \in \Omega(s, p)_0$. For the sake of establishing a contradiction, assume that $E \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of H_ω with eigenfunction $\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $k_1 \geq k_0$ be arbitrary. Due to Proposition 3.2.9, there exists a $k \geq k_1$ such that $|x_k(E)| \leq 2$. Consider the decomposition of ω into words of the form w_k and v_k . Let us use the symbol $\hat{\cdot}$ to note the location of the 0th position. We proceed by cases.

Case 1: Assume that around the origin, ω is of one of the forms $w_k w_k \hat{v}_k$, $w_k w_k \hat{w}_k$, $\hat{w}_k w_k w_k$, or $\hat{w}_k w_k v_k$. In each of these cases, we can apply the 2-block Gordon criterion to conclude that ψ_n is of order 1 for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|n| \geq |w_{k_1}|$.

Case 2: If Case 1 does not hold, then ω must have one of the following forms near the origin: $v_k w_k \hat{v}_k$, $v_k \hat{w}_k v_k$ or $v_k w_k \hat{w}_k v_k$ (recall that v_k 's cannot be adjacent and indeed must be separated by at least $n_k - 1$ occurrences of w_k). In each of these cases, ω has the form $w_{k+1} \hat{w}_{k+1}$ near the origin. We can apply the 3-block Gordon lemma and reach the same conclusion as in the last case, unless ω has the form $v_{k+1} w_{k+1} \hat{w}_{k+1} v_{k+1}$. Note that this requires ω to be of the form $w_{k+2} \hat{w}_{k+2}$ near the origin, which we can use to see $n_{k+1} = 3$. We can repeat the same reasoning until we reach a level $r > k$ with $n_r \neq 3$. For this level, the structure $v_r w_r \hat{w}_r v_r$ is not possible and we can apply the 3-block Gordon lemma. In every case, ψ_n is of order 1 for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|n| \geq |w_{k_1}|$.

Since k_1 was arbitrary, we reach a contradiction to the assumption that $\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. \square

In view of Lemma 3.2.10, what remains is to handle the case in which $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is eventually identically 3. We treat this remaining case by a centered version of the 2-block Gordon lemma. The following lemma does not require the subshift setting. Thus, we work with an arbitrary sequence $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and the associated potential is simply $V_\omega(n) = \omega_n$. For this lemma, simply define M_E by (3.2.8) and (3.2.9) with $g(a) = a$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.2.11. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and suppose there exists a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers $(n_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that*

$$\omega_{[-n_m, -1]} = \omega_{[0, n_m - 1]}, \tag{3.2.14}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $E \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $x_m(E) = \text{Tr } M_E(\omega_{[0, n_m - 1]})$. If

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |x_m(E)|^2 = \infty, \tag{3.2.15}$$

then E is not an eigenvalue of H_ω .

Proof. For the sake of establishing a contradiction, assume that $\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ is an eigenfunction of H_ω for the eigenvalue E . Let $\Psi(n) = (\psi_n, \psi_{n-1})^\top$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathcal{M}_m = M_E(\omega_{[0, n_m - 1]})$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that

$$\mathcal{M}_m^{-1} \Psi(n_m) = \Psi(0) = \mathcal{M}_m \Psi(-n_m) \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}$$

by (3.2.14). Then, due to the Caley–Hamilton theorem,

$$\Psi(n_m) + \Psi(-n_m) = x_m(E) \Psi(0).$$

Normalizing ψ according to $\|\Psi(0)\|_2 = 1$, and using $2|a|^2 + 2|b|^2 \geq |a + b|^2$, this yields

$$|\psi_{-n_m}|^2 + |\psi_{-n_m+1}|^2 + |\psi_{n_m}|^2 + |\psi_{n_m+1}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |x_m(E)|^2. \tag{3.2.16}$$

Without loss of generality, we can restrict $(n_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ to a subsequence such that $n_{m+1} > n_m + 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We then obtain by (3.2.16),

$$2\|\psi\|^2 \geq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |x_m(E)|^2 = \infty,$$

in contradiction to $\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. □

Lemma 3.2.12. *Let $s = (a_k, n_k)$ be such that $n_k = 3$ for all k larger than some $k_1 \geq k_0$. Then, for all $\omega \in \Omega(s, p)$, the Schrödinger operator H_ω has no eigenvalues.*

Proof. Again, we restrict our attention to the case $\omega \in \Omega(s, p)_0$ without loss of generality. Assume $E \in \sigma(H_\omega)$ is an eigenvalue with corresponding eigenfunction $\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. If ω is *not* of the form $v_k w_k \hat{w}_k v_k$ for all k larger than k_1 , we can argue as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.10 and reach a contradiction. Hence, the assumptions imply that ω is of the form $v_k w_k \hat{w}_k v_k$ around the origin for all $k \geq k_1$. This implies

$$\omega_{[|w_k|-1, -1]} = \omega_{[0, |w_k|-1]},$$

and the trace of the corresponding transfer matrix is given by $x_k(E)$. By Proposition 3.2.8 and the assumption that E is an eigenvalue, we have $x_k \neq y_k$ for all $k \geq k_1$. By Lemma 3.2.11, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_k(E)|^2 = \infty \tag{3.2.17}$$

in order to obtain a contradiction. For all $k \geq k_1$, the assumption $n_k = 3$ implies that the trace map is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= (x_k^2 - 1)y_k - 2x_k, \\ y_{k+1} &= (x_k^2 - 1)x_k - 2x_k. \end{aligned}$$

Again, we directly obtain (3.2.17) unless $x_k \rightarrow 0$, which we assume in the following. This yields

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{k+1} + 2x_k}{x_k^2 - 1} = 0.$$

Let $k_2 \geq k_1$ be such that $|x_k| < 1/2$ for all $k \geq k_2$. For each such k , iterating (3.2.13) yields

$$|x_{k+1} - y_{k+1}| = |x_{k_2} - y_{k_2}| \prod_{m=k_2}^k |x_m^2 - 1|.$$

By $x_k, y_k \rightarrow 0$ we find that $\log |x_{k+1} - y_{k+1}| \rightarrow -\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and thereby,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=k_2}^k \log |1 - x_m^2| = -\infty.$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\log |1 - x_m^2| > -cx_m^2$ for some $c > 0$, due to the requirement $|x_m| < 1/2$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{m=k_2}^{\infty} |x_m|^2 \geq -\frac{1}{c} \sum_{m=k_2}^{\infty} \log |1 - x_m^2| = \infty$$

and the contradiction follows. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2.3. This follows from Lemma 3.2.10 and Lemma 3.2.12. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2.3. This follows from Theorem 3.2.3 by choosing a sampling function of the form $f(x, m) = g(x_n, m)$ where $g : \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of the form $g(b, m) = g_1(b) + g_2(m)$. □

3.2.2. *Almost-Sure Exclusion of Eigenvalues.* In this section, we use Gordon's criterion in order to exclude Schrödinger eigenvalues almost surely for systems that exhibit a sufficient degree of local repetitions. As always, we work with locally constant sampling functions f .

Definition 3.2.13. Let (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) be an ergodic subshift. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathbb{X}(n) = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : x_{[-n-1]} = x_{[0, n-1]} = x_{[n, 2n-1]}\}.$$

We say that (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) satisfies the *Gordon condition* if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\mathbb{X}(n)) > 0$.

By general results, every subshift that satisfies the Gordon condition exhibits almost sure absence of eigenvalues for the associated Schrödinger operators.

Proposition 3.2.14. *If (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) satisfies the Gordon condition, then for any locally constant sampling function $f_1 : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the operator $H_x = \Delta + V_x$ has purely continuous spectrum for μ -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{X}$ (with V_x defined in (1.2.2)).*

Proof. Given a locally constant function f_1 , denote by $\mathbb{X}_c(f_1)$ the set of $x \in \mathbb{X}$ for which H_x has purely continuous spectrum, and write

$$\mathbb{X}_g := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{X}(n) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{k \geq n} \mathbb{X}(k).$$

If \mathbb{X} satisfies the Gordon condition, one has $\mu(\mathbb{X}_g) > 0$. One also has $\mathbb{X}_g \subseteq \mathbb{X}_c(f_1)$. This is an immediate consequence of the three-block version of the Gordon lemma when f_1 has window size one [18, 45]. When the window size of f is larger than 1, the potentials V_x may not exactly satisfy the three-block Gordon condition. However, modifying the potentials in a neighborhood of the boundary of the three-block structure can repair this at the cost of a fixed multiplicative constant on the size of the transfer matrices. Since $\mathbb{X}_c(f)$ is shift-invariant, it follows that $\mathbb{X}_c(f_1)$ has full μ -measure. \square

Recall that we denote by p the period of the subshift (\mathbb{X}', S') . In the following, let ρ be a fixed but arbitrary ergodic measure on $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$.

Proposition 3.2.15. *Suppose (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) satisfies $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\mathbb{X}(pn)) > 0$. Then, the operator H_ω has no eigenvalues for ρ -almost every $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$.*

Proof. Let $m = s(p)$ and let $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_m$ denote the S^p -minimal components as described in Fact 2.1.3. Fix $x' \in \mathbb{X}'$ and define $\nu = \mu \times \mu'$. Every ergodic measure ρ on $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ is of the form $\rho = m \nu|_{\mathbb{Y}_j}$, where

$$\mathbb{Y}_j = \bigcup_{k=0}^{p-1} T^k(\mathbb{X}_j \times \{x'\}),$$

for $1 \leq j \leq m$, is one of the T -minimal components of $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$. Viewing \mathbb{Y}_j as a subshift over the alphabet $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}'$, and using that $S(\mathbb{X}_s) = \mathbb{X}_{s+1}$ (with indices modulo m), we find

$$\mathbb{Y}_j(pn) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{p-1} \mathbb{X}_{j+k}(pn) \times \{(S')^k x'\}$$

because the pn -periodic block structure of $(S')^k x'$ is automatic. Note that $\mathbb{X}(pn) = \bigcup_{k=1}^m \mathbb{X}_k(pn)$ as a disjoint union because \mathbb{X} can be partitioned into $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_m$. Hence, $\mu(\mathbb{X}(pn)) = \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(\mathbb{X}_k(pn))$.

Using $\mu'(\{(S')^k x'\}) = 1/p$, we obtain

$$\rho(\mathbb{Y}_j(pn)) = m \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \mu(\mathbb{X}_{j+k}(pn)) = \frac{m}{p} \frac{p}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(\mathbb{X}_{j+k}(pn)) = \mu(\mathbb{X}(pn)),$$

which yields $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\mathbb{Y}_j(pn)) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\mathbb{X}(pn)) > 0$ and hence for ρ -almost every $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$ the associated Schrödinger operator H_ω has no eigenvalues by the Gordon criterion. \square

One can show that the Gordon criterion holds for substitution subshifts whenever words generated by the substitution have suitable repetitions. To make this precise, we define the *index* of a word $u \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$ by

$$\text{ind}(u) = \sup \left\{ r \in \frac{1}{|u|} \mathbb{Z}_+ : u^r \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X}) \right\}.$$

Recall that rational powers of a word u are defined as follows. For $r = n + \frac{\ell}{|u|}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $0 \leq \ell < |u|$, one defines

$$u^r = u^n u_1 \dots u_\ell.$$

For substitution subshifts we obtain the following criterion, which is an adaptation of [28, Thm. 3].

Proposition 3.2.16. *Let (\mathbb{X}, S) be a substitution subshift generated by a primitive substitution ϑ . Assume that there exists a word $u \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$ with $\text{ind}(u) > 3$ and such that p divides $|\vartheta^n(u)|$ for infinitely many n . Then H_ω has no eigenvalues for ρ -almost every $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$.*

Proof. Since the claim is obvious if (\mathbb{X}, S) is periodic, we can assume that ϑ is aperiodic. Let $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that p divides $|\vartheta^{n_k}(u)|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 3.2.15 it suffices to show that $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\mathbb{X}(|\vartheta^{n_k}(u)|)) > 0$. Let u_0 be the first letter of u and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $uuuu_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$, the same holds for $\vartheta^{n_k}(u)\vartheta^{n_k}(u)\vartheta^{n_k}(u)\vartheta^{n_k}(u_0)$. This word contains precisely $|\vartheta^{n_k}(u_0)| + 1$ blocks of the form www , where $|w| = |\vartheta^{n_k}(u)|$. By the unique ergodicity of (\mathbb{X}, S) , it suffices to bound the frequency of (non-overlapping) appearances of such a pattern in an arbitrary element $x \in \mathbb{X}$. A lower bound for this is given by the frequency of times that $(S^j x)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ enters the set $\vartheta^{n_k}([uuuu_0])$. Denoting by $\lambda > 1$ the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue of the substitution matrix, this is given by

$$\mu(\vartheta^{n_k}([uuuu_0])) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{n_k}} \mu([uuuu_0]),$$

which follows because ϑ is aperiodic and primitive [64, Theorem 5.10 and Corollary 5.11]. Since each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $S^j x \in \vartheta^{n_k}([uuuu_0])$ contributes $|\vartheta^{n_k}(u_0)| + 1$ occurrences of a three block structure with the required length, we get

$$\mu(\mathbb{X}(|\vartheta^{n_k}(u)|)) \geq |\vartheta^{n_k}(u_0)| \mu(\vartheta^{n_k}([uuuu_0])) \geq \frac{|\vartheta^{n_k}(u_0)|}{\lambda^{n_k}} \mu([uuuu_0]).$$

By primitivity, $\lambda^{-n_k} |\vartheta^{n_k}(u_0)|$ converges to the corresponding entry $L_{u_0} > 0$ of the left Perron–Frobenius eigenvector L as $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ and the assertion follows. \square

Example 3.2.17. Assume that ϑ is a substitution of constant length ℓ and that p is a divisor of ℓ^m for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If the index of the associated subshift (\mathbb{X}, S) , given by $\text{ind}(\mathbb{X}) = \sup\{\text{ind}(u) : u \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})\}$, is greater than 3, Proposition 3.2.16 yields almost sure absence of Schrödinger eigenvalues. This applies in particular to the case that ϑ is given by the period-doubling substitution $\vartheta : a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto aa$ and $p = 2^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If the substitution matrix M associated to ϑ (cf. Def. 3.1.23) is invertible over the integers, we get the following consequence of Proposition 3.2.15.

Corollary 3.2.18. *Let (\mathbb{X}, S) be a substitution subshift for some primitive substitution ϑ with a substitution matrix M that is invertible over \mathbb{Z} . If there exists a word $u \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$ such that $\text{ind}(u) > 3$ and p divides $|u|$ then H_ω has no eigenvalues for ρ -almost every $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi(u)$ be the abelianization of u , that is $\Phi(u)_a = |u|_a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The length of u is then given by $|u| = \|\Phi(u)\|_1$. By construction, $\Phi(\vartheta^n(u)) = M^n \Phi(u)$ and hence $|\vartheta^n(u)| = \|M^n \Phi(u)\|_1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since M has only integer entries, Mv coincides with Mv' modulo p whenever the entries of v and v' coincide modulo p . This shows that $(M^n v)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is eventually periodic modulo p for every vector v . Since M^{-1} also has integer entries by assumption, the sequence $(M^n v)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is in fact periodic modulo p . Applying this to $v = \Phi(u)$ and taking the 1-norm, we find that $(|\vartheta^n(u)|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is periodic modulo p . Since $|u| \equiv 0$ modulo p , the same holds for $|\vartheta^n(u)|$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus Proposition 3.2.16 yields the desired result. \square

Example 3.2.19. Let ϑ be the Fibonacci substitution $\vartheta: a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto a$. The substitution matrix has determinant -1 and is hence invertible over \mathbb{Z} . The corresponding subshift (\mathbb{X}, S) is a Sturmian subshift. In the notation of Berstel [7] we have that $\vartheta^n(a) = s_n$, with directive sequence $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$. By [7, Prop. 4], the index of $\vartheta^n(a)$ is larger than 3 for large enough n . On the other hand, as detailed in the proof of Corollary 3.2.18, $(M^n \Phi(a))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is periodic modulo p for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$M^{-2} \Phi(a) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

it follows that $|\vartheta^n(a)| = (1, 1)M^n \Phi(a)$ is divisible by p for a lattice of integers n . Hence H_ω has no eigenvalues for ρ -almost every $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$.

3.2.3. Sturmian Sequences. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ be an irrational number with continued fraction expansion

$$\alpha = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots] := \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots}}}.$$

For $\theta \in [0, 1)$, consider the Sturmian sequences $s(\alpha, \theta)$ and $s'(\alpha, \theta)$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} s_n(\alpha, \theta) &= \chi_{[1-\alpha, 1)}(n\alpha + \theta \bmod 1), \\ s'_n(\alpha, \theta) &= \chi_{(1-\alpha, 1]}(n\alpha + \theta \bmod 1), \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where χ_A denotes the characteristic function of the set A . The Sturmian subshift (\mathbb{X}_α, S) of slope α , with

$$\mathbb{X}_\alpha = \{s(\alpha, \theta) : \theta \in [0, 1)\} \cup \{s'(\alpha, \theta) : \theta \in [0, 1)\}$$

is a strictly ergodic subshift of $(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, S)$, satisfying the Boshernitzan condition [22]. Let μ denote the unique ergodic measure.

It is worth mentioning that $\mathbb{X}_\alpha \setminus \{s(\alpha, \theta) : \theta \in [0, 1)\}$ is a countable set and has therefore μ -measure 0. For measure-theoretic purposes we therefore restrict our attention to the measurable subset $\mathbb{X}'_\alpha = \{s(\alpha, \theta) : \theta \in [0, 1)\}$ and identify μ with the restriction of μ to \mathbb{X}'_α . The bijective map $f: [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}'_\alpha, \theta \mapsto s(\alpha, \theta)$ gives an explicit parametrization and μ coincides with the pushforward of the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1)$ under this map. In particular, every property that holds μ -almost surely is fulfilled for Lebesgue almost every $\theta \in [0, 1)$.

The restriction of $s(\alpha, \theta)$ to \mathbb{N} coincides with $w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n$, where

$$w_{-1} = 1, \quad w_0 = 0, \quad w_1 = w_0^{a_1 - 1} w_{-1}, \quad w_n = w_{n-1}^{a_n} w_{n-2} \text{ for } n \geq 2. \quad (3.2.18)$$

It was shown in [25] that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every bi-infinite sequence $x \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$ has a unique partition into words of the form w_{n-1} and w_n . More precisely,

$$x = \dots w_{n-1} w_n^{\ell_{-1}} w_{n-1} w_n^{\ell_0} w_{n-1} w_n^{\ell_1} w_{n-1} \dots, \quad (3.2.19)$$

where the origin is somewhere in the block $w_{n-1} w_n^{\ell_0}$ and $\ell_i \in \{a_{n+1}, a_{n+1} + 1\}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.2.20. *Assume that \mathbb{X}' has period p , that $\alpha = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$ satisfies*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \geq 4p, \quad (3.2.20)$$

and that ρ is a T -ergodic measure on $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$. Then, H_ω has no eigenvalues for ρ -almost every $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.2.15, it suffices to show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\mathbb{X}_\alpha(pn)) > 0. \quad (3.2.21)$$

Let $(n_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of integers such that $n_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and $a_{n_j+1} \geq 4p$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The assumption on a_{n_j+1} implies that the word $w_{n_j}^{4p}$ is legal and contains blocks of the form www with $|w| = |w_{n_j}^p|$ at precisely $|w_{n_j}^p| + 1$ positions. Given $x \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$, whenever two occurrences of $w_{n_j}^{4p}$ are separated by at least $|w_{n_j}^p|$, all of these blocks www indeed appear at different positions within x . Using the structure in (3.2.19), we can find an increasing sequence of positions $(q_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

- (1) $x_{[q_i+1, q_i+m]} = w_{n_j}^{4p}$, where $m = |w_{n_j}^{4p}|$,
- (2) $|w_{n_j}^p| \leq q_{i+1} - q_i \leq 2|w_{n_j}^{4p}|$.

Hence, the sequence $(q_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is relatively dense in \mathbb{Z} with frequency at least $1/(8p|w_{n_j}|)$. The separation by $|w_{n_j}^p|$ ensures that each such occurrence of $w_{n_j}^{4p}$ contributes $(|w_{n_j}^p| + 1)$ occurrences of 3-blocks www , satisfying $|w| = p|w_{n_j}|$ within x . This yields

$$\mu(\mathbb{X}_\alpha(p|w_{n_j}|)) \geq \frac{1}{8p|w_{n_j}|} p|w_{n_j}| = \frac{1}{8}.$$

Taking the lim sup as $j \rightarrow \infty$ yields (3.2.21). □

Corollary 3.2.21. *Assume that \mathbb{X}' has period p and that $\alpha = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$ has unbounded continued fraction expansion, that is,*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty. \quad (3.2.22)$$

Then, H_ω has no eigenvalues for ρ -almost every $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$.

Corollary 3.2.22. *Let x' be a periodic sequence and $t(\alpha, \theta) = s(\alpha, \theta) \times x'$. Then, $H_{t(\alpha, \theta)}$ has no eigenvalues for Lebesgue almost every α and almost every θ .*

Proof. Let (\mathbb{X}', S') be the periodic subshift generated by x' . By [52, Thm. 29], Lebesgue almost every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ has an unbounded continued fraction expansion and hence Corollary 3.2.21 applies to $\mathbb{X}_\alpha \times \mathbb{X}'$ for almost every α . Since it holds for every ergodic measure ρ and $\nu = \mu \times \mu'$ is a finite linear combination of those by Choquet's theorem (see, e.g., [63]), it also follows that H_ω has no

eigenvalues for ν -almost every $\omega \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha \times \mathbb{X}'$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nu(\{t(\alpha, \theta) \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha \times \mathbb{X}' : H_{t(\alpha, \theta)} \text{ has eigenvalues}\}) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \mu(\{s(\alpha, \theta) \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha : H_{t(\alpha, \theta)} \text{ has eigenvalues}\}) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \text{Leb}(\{\theta \in [0, 1] : H_{t(\alpha, \theta)} \text{ has eigenvalues}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for almost every α and almost every θ it holds that $H_{t(\alpha, \theta)}$ has no eigenvalues. \square

3.2.4. Quasi-Sturmian Subshifts. Suppose the subshift (\mathbb{X}, S) is (quasi-)Sturmian, that is, \mathbb{X} is minimal and there exist $m, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p(n) = n + m$ for all $n \geq n_0$, where $p(n) = \#\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{X})$ denotes the complexity function of \mathbb{X} . Every quasi-Sturmian subshift (\mathbb{X}, S) is a Boshernitzan subshift [29, Cor. 1]. Compare [15] and [26] for the following result.

Proposition 3.2.23. *A subshift (\mathbb{X}, S) is quasi-Sturmian if and only if there exists a Sturmian subshift (\mathbb{X}_α, S) and an aperiodic substitution ϕ on $\{0, 1\}$ such that every $x \in \mathbb{X}$ can be written as*

$$x = S^j \phi(y),$$

for some $y \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In that situation, we call (α, ϕ) a *production pair* of (\mathbb{X}, S) . Recall that we denote by ρ an ergodic measure on $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$.

Proposition 3.2.24. *Let (\mathbb{X}, S) be a quasi-Sturmian subshift with production pair (α, ϕ) . Assume that (\mathbb{X}', S') has period p and that $\alpha = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$ satisfies $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \geq 4p$. Then, for ρ -almost every $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$, H_ω has no eigenvalues.*

We omit the proof as it is completely analogous to the proof of Proposition 3.2.20. This is because the structure used in the proof of Proposition 3.2.20 is preserved under the substitution ϕ .

Remark 3.2.25. Let (α, ϕ) be a production pair of the quasi-Sturmian subshift (\mathbb{X}, S) and let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be the period of (\mathbb{X}', S') . In the special case that p divides both $|\phi(0)|$ and $|\phi(1)|$, the system $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}', T)$ decomposes into p minimal components. This follows from the fact that every aperiodic substitution acting on a subshift over a binary alphabet is recognizable [8, Thm. 3.1]. Given $x' \in \mathbb{X}'$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}_n$, with n large enough, each of the sets $[u] \times \{(S')^j x'\}$, for $1 \leq j \leq p$, is contained in precisely one of the T -minimal components. Hence, each of the T -minimal components has a complexity function that eventually coincides with the complexity function of \mathbb{X} , and thereby comprises a quasi-Sturmian subshift for which uniform absence of Schrödinger eigenvalues and fractional Hausdorff continuity of the spectrum is known [26].

3.3. Periodic and Bernoulli. The discussion presented in this subsection is motivated by the following problem. In the study of the Anderson model (i.e., the potential is given by i.i.d. random variables), a fundamental result states that the spectrum of the random operator is almost surely equal to an explicit set. This set is given by the Minkowski sum of the spectrum of the Laplacian and the topological support of the single-site distribution. Thus, we have the pleasant feature that the almost sure spectrum of the sum of the Laplacian and a random potential is given by the sum of the spectrum of the Laplacian and the almost sure spectrum of the random potential. As we are generally interested in this paper in retaining crucial spectral features after the addition of a periodic background potential, the specific question we are facing here is whether there is an

explicit description³ of the almost sure spectrum of a Schrödinger operator whose potential is given by a sum of a periodic term and a term of Anderson type. This question appears to be open and surprisingly difficult for periods greater than one. What we accomplish in this section is to provide an answer in the case of period two (and finitely supported single-site distribution) and to explain why the natural extension of the period-two result fails in the case of period three. In fact, the relevant question is purely topological in nature, that is, the almost-sure spectrum only depends on the support of the single-site measure, not on the particular choice of probabilities.

In the following we consider the product space $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Z}_p, T)$, where $\mathbb{X} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the full shift on $m \in \mathbb{N}$ symbols and $T: (x, j) \mapsto (Sx, j + 1)$, with addition modulo p in the second coordinate. In this case, $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Z}_p, T)$ is (topologically conjugate to) a subshift of finite type. We equip the full shift with the Bernoulli measure $\mu = \mu_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for a measure μ_0 on \mathcal{A} which can be chosen to satisfy $\mu_0(\{a\}) > 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ without loss of generality. One can specify a family of random potentials by fixing a locally constant sampling function f of window size one. Up to shifting, we may assume that f is of the form

$$f(x, j) = g(x_0, j) \tag{3.3.1}$$

for some $g: \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

In what follows, we further make the simplifying assumption that $p = 2$, such that $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_2$ is two-periodic. In this case it turns out that it suffices to consider the m^2 two-periodic sequences

$$\omega_{ab} = \left((ab)^{\mathbb{Z}}, 0 \right)$$

for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. The individual spectra can be determined using the trace of the corresponding monodromy matrix. More precisely, let

$$M_E(a, b) = M_E[(b, 1)]M_E[(a, 0)] = \begin{bmatrix} (E - g(b, 1))(E - g(a, 0)) - 1 & g(b, 1) - E \\ E - g(a, 0) & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

the trace of which is given by $P_{a,b}(E) := (E - g(b, 1))(E - g(a, 0)) - 2$. The spectrum

$$\sigma(H_{\omega_{ab}}) = \{E \in \mathbb{R} : P_{a,b}(E) \in [-2, 2]\}$$

is given by the union of two intervals that can be calculated explicitly.

Denoting by μ' the normalized counting measure on \mathbb{Z}_2 it is straightforward to verify that $\nu = \mu \times \mu'$ is an T -ergodic measure. Indeed, this follows easily from the fact that μ is S^2 -ergodic.

Theorem 3.3.1. *For ν -almost every $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, the spectrum of the corresponding Schrödinger operator is given by*

$$\sigma(H_\omega) = \bigcup_{a,b \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma(H_{\omega_{ab}}). \tag{3.3.2}$$

Moreover, one has

$$\sigma(H_\omega) \subseteq \bigcup_{a,b \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma(H_{\omega_{ab}}). \tag{3.3.3}$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Remark 3.3.2. As one can readily see from the proof below, the full-measure set on which (3.3.2) holds contains every $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ that has a dense T -orbit. In particular, the almost-sure spectrum of H_ω depends only on the support of the single-site distribution μ_0 .

³By “explicit” we mean effective. For example, for any given E , can we decide in finite time whether it belongs to the almost sure spectrum? Also, can we answer questions of the following type: does the almost sure spectrum have only finitely many gaps?

Proof of Theorem 3.3.1. The inclusion $\sigma(H_{\omega_{ab}}) \subseteq \sigma(H_\omega)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and almost every ω follows from strong approximation and the fact that almost every ω has a dense orbit. It therefore suffices to prove (3.3.3) for all ω . We will show that

$$\bigcap_{a,b \in \mathcal{A}} \varrho(H_{\omega_{ab}}) \subseteq \varrho(H_\omega)$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. To that end, assume that $E \in \varrho(H_{\omega_{ab}})$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $M_E(a, b)$ is hyperbolic for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. For a moment, let us fix $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and set $x = x_b = E - g(b, 1)$ and $y = y_a = E - g(a, 0)$. The expanding eigendirection of $M_E(a, b)$ is given by $v^+ = (v_1^+, 1)^\top$ and the contracting eigendirection by $v^- = (v_1^-, 1)^\top$ where

$$v_1^\pm = v_1^\pm(a, b) = \frac{x}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{xy - 4}{xy}} \right).$$

The statement $E \in \varrho(H_{\omega_{ab}})$ is equivalent to $xy \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 4]$. For a moment let us fix $y \neq 0$. A direct calculation yields that v_1^- is monotonically decreasing in x and that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} v_1^- = 1/y$. From the boundary cases $v_1^- = x/2 = 2/y$ for $xy = 4$ and $v_1^- = 0$ for $x = 0$, we infer that v_1^- lies strictly between 0 and $2/y$ for all x with $xy \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 4]$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} y_+ &= \inf\{y_a : a \in \mathcal{A}, y_a > 0\} \\ y_- &= \sup\{y_a : a \in \mathcal{A}, y_a < 0\} \end{aligned}$$

be the smallest positive and the largest negative value of y , respectively where we adopt the conventions $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$ to deal with cases in which one of the sets of y 's is empty. If $y_a = y_+$, then $E \in \varrho(H_{\omega_{ab}})$ implies that $x_b < 0$ or $x_b > 4/y_+$ for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$. Similarly we obtain that $x_b > 0$ or $x_b < 4/y_-$. Note that this is still valid for the degenerate cases $y_+ = \infty$ and $y_- = -\infty$. In summary, we have

$$x_b < \frac{4}{y_-} \quad \text{or} \quad x_b > \frac{4}{y_+}$$

for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$. For a moment, assume that $x_b, y_a > 0$. Then,

$$v_1^+ > \frac{x_b}{2} > \frac{2}{y_+} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < v_1^- < \frac{2}{y_a} \leq \frac{2}{y_+}.$$

Exhausting all possible cases in a similar manner we obtain that

$$v_1^-(a, b) \in \left(\frac{2}{y_-}, \frac{2}{y_+} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad v_1^+(a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left[\frac{2}{y_-}, \frac{2}{y_+} \right] \quad (3.3.4)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Identify in the following all vectors with their representatives on the real projective space \mathbb{P}^1 . The dichotomy in (3.3.4) amounts to the observation that there exists an open interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $M_E(a, b)$ acts as a contraction on I for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. By [5, Thm. 2.2] this implies that every cocycle that is built from the matrices $\{M_E(a, b)\}$ is uniformly hyperbolic. Hence, $E \in \varrho(H_\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}'$ by Johnson's theorem [21, 50, 77]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2.4. This follows immediately from Theorem 3.3.1 and a suitable choice of sampling function. \square

Remark 3.3.3. At first, we might expect that we could get a similar result for larger periods as well. However, if $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_3$ is 3-periodic, the situation is already different. For a concrete example, consider $\mathbb{X} = \{0, 3\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, let

$$\omega_{abc} = ((abc)^{\mathbb{Z}}, 0),$$

for $a, b, c \in \{0, 3\}$, and let the corresponding sampling function be given by $g(a, j) = g_1(a) + g_2(j)$, with $g_1(a) = a$ for $a \in \{0, 3\}$, and

$$g_2: \begin{cases} 0 \mapsto 0, \\ 1 \mapsto 2, \\ 2 \mapsto 3. \end{cases}$$

By explicit calculations we obtain that the spectrum of H_ω with the sequence $\omega = ((000333)^\mathbb{Z}, 0)$ contains the interval $[1.385, 1.423]$ which is disjoint from $\sigma(H_{\omega_{abc}})$, for all $a, b, c \in \{0, 3\}$. Hence,

$$\bigcup_{a,b,c \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma(H_{\omega_{abc}}) \subsetneq \sigma(H_{\omega^*}),$$

where ω^* is any point with a dense orbit in $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. The natural analogue of Theorem 3.3.1 therefore fails in the 3-periodic case.

Let us return to the case of general periods. We consider again f of the form (3.3.1) where the periodic factor is given by \mathbb{Z}_p , with $p \in \mathbb{N}$. As before, let $\nu = \mu \times \mu'$, with μ' the normalized counting measure on \mathbb{Z}_p .

The Lyapunov exponent is given by

$$L(E) = L(E; \nu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega} \log \|A_E^n(\omega)\| d\nu(\omega).$$

One is naturally interested in proving positivity of L on a rich set of energies as a starting point towards a proof of Anderson localization. Indeed, we will show this happens as soon as the function g assumes different values.

Theorem 3.3.4. *If g is nonconstant, then $L(E) > 0$ for all but finitely many $E \in \mathbb{R}$.*

The following lemma is helpful. This does not need a random or ergodic setting. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $w = a_1 \dots a_n$, define M_E and M_E by (3.2.8) and (3.2.9) with $g(a) = a$.

Lemma 3.3.5. *Let u and v denote words. If $M_z(u) = M_z(v)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then $u = v$. That is $|u| = |v| =: \ell$ and $u_j = v_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$.*

Proof. If $M_z(u) \equiv M_z(v)$, it is clear that $|u| = |v|$ by degree considerations. We now proceed by induction on $\ell = |u| = |v|$. The claim is trivial when $\ell = 1$ and follows from the observation

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & u_2 - z \\ z - u_1 & * \end{bmatrix} = M_z(u_1 u_2) = M_z(v_1 v_2) = \begin{bmatrix} * & v_2 - z \\ z - v_1 & * \end{bmatrix}$$

when $\ell = 2$. Now assume $\ell \geq 3$ and $M_z(u) \equiv M_z(v)$. Write $u' = u_1 \dots u_{\ell-1}$. Notice that for any w , the degree of $[M_z(w)]_{21}$ is $|w| - 1$. Thus, we have the following (making use of $[M_z(u')]_{11} = [M_z(u)]_{21}$).

$$[M_z(u)]_{11} = (z - u_\ell)[M_z(u')]_{11} - [M_z(u')]_{21} = (z - u_\ell)[M_z(u)]_{21} + O(z^{\ell-2}).$$

Perform the analogous calculation for $[M_z(v)]_{11}$, use $[M_z(v)]_{21} \equiv [M_z(u)]_{21}$, and compare the $z^{\ell-1}$ terms to see that $u_\ell = v_\ell$. The result follows by induction. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3.4. Since L is positive away from the almost-sure spectrum, it suffices to show that L can only vanish on a discrete set. Consider the regrouped alphabet $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}^p$ and $\hat{\mu}_0 = \mu_0^p$.

For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $E \in \mathbb{C}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_E(a) &= M_E(a_p, p) \cdots M_E(a_1, 1) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} E - g(a_p, p) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E - g(a_{p-1}, p-1) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} E - g(a_1, 1) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and consider the induced cocycle (T, \hat{A}_E) on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with ergodic measure $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and its associated Lyapunov exponent

$$\hat{L}(E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \log \|\hat{A}_E^n(\hat{\omega})\| d\hat{\mu}(\hat{\omega}). \quad (3.3.5)$$

For $a, b \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, Lemma 3.3.5 implies that the commutator $[M_E(a), M_E(b)]$ vanishes identically in $E \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if $(g(a_1, 1), \dots, g(a_p, p)) = (g(b_1, 1), \dots, g(b_p, p))$. Thus, by the assumption on g , there exist $a, b \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $E \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $M_E(a)$ and $M_E(b)$ do not commute, and hence \hat{L} is positive away from a discrete set by the abstract Furstenberg criterion from [13]. The result then follows by using interpolation to note that $\hat{L}(E) = pL(E)$. \square

As one can see from the regrouping construction in the proof, the models discussed here are special cases of random word models, which are known to exhibit Anderson localization. Indeed, the result described in Theorem 3.3.4 was already known. We give the proof here, since it is much simpler than the argument from [30]. However, the spectrum (as a set) is not explicitly identified as in Theorem 3.3.1 in complete generality.

4. PERIODIC AND ONE-FREQUENCY QUASI-PERIODIC

We now turn to the second main family of examples of product systems: products of circle rotations and translations on finite cyclic groups. To keep the length of the paper in check, we do not attempt an exhaustive survey of all possible results in this scenario. Rather, we look at a selection of results that we consider interesting.

The motivating example is that of a quasi-periodic potential with a periodic background:

$$V(n) = V_x(n) + V_{\text{per}}(n),$$

where V_{per} has period p and $V_x(n) = f_1(n\alpha + x)$ for some $f_1 \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, $x \in \mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ irrational. One can clearly encode this via the product system (Ω, T) where

$$\Omega = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad T(x, k) = (x + \alpha, k + 1), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_p. \quad (4.0.1)$$

One generates $V_x + V_{\text{per}}$ as $V_{(x,0)}(n) = f(T^n(x, 0))$ via the sampling function $f(x, k) = f_1(x) + f_2(k)$ where $f_2(k) = V_{\text{per}}(\tilde{k})$ for any representative \tilde{k} of the residue class $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We will be mainly interested in this case, but we can be a bit more general, considering for example trigonometric polynomials on the space Ω (see Def. 4.2.3 below).

4.1. Generalities. Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ irrational and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, we consider the associated product system as in (4.0.1). As a consequence of the general discussion in Appendix B, let us note the following basic facts about this particular product system.

Proposition 4.1.1. *Given $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, let $\Omega = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $T(x, k) = (x + \alpha, k + 1)$.*

- (a) Ω is a compact abelian group.
- (b) (Ω, T) is minimal.
- (c) (Ω, T, μ) is ergodic, where $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$, μ denotes Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} , and μ_2 denotes normalized counting measure on \mathbb{Z}_p .

(d) (Ω, T) is uniquely ergodic with unique invariant measure μ as in part (c).

Let $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ be given. With the help of Proposition 4.1.1, we make a few observations. First, by minimality of (Ω, T) and continuity of f , there is a uniform set $\Sigma = \Sigma_{f, \alpha}$ with $\Sigma = \sigma(H_{f, \alpha, \omega})$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Recall the one-step cocycle map

$$A_z(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} z - f(T\omega) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.1.1)$$

and the associated Lyapunov exponent

$$L(z) = L(z, f, \alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega} \log \|A_z^n(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega).$$

One of the main ideas in the analysis of this family of product systems is to pass from $\Omega = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ to \mathbb{T} by regrouping. Concretely, define $B_z = B_{z, f, \alpha} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} B_z(x) &= \prod_{j=p}^1 \begin{bmatrix} z - f(T^j(x, 0)) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= A_z^p((x, 0)), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.2)$$

This map has iterates

$$\begin{aligned} B_z^n(x) &= B_z(x + (n-1)p\alpha) \cdots B_z(x + p\alpha) B_z(x) \\ &= A_z^{np}((x, 0)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.3)$$

Denote the corresponding Lyapunov exponent by $\tilde{L}(z) = \tilde{L}(z, f, \alpha)$:

$$\tilde{L}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \log \|B_z^n(x)\| dx.$$

Proposition 4.1.2. $\tilde{L} = pL$. In particular, $L(z) > 0 \iff \tilde{L}(z) > 0$.

Proof. This follows from (4.1.3). □

From Proposition 4.1.2, we define

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{E : L(E) = 0\} = \{E : \tilde{L}(E) = 0\}.$$

4.2. Consequences of Global Theory. Let us briefly recall the terminology from Avila's global theory of one-frequency analytic cocycles [4]. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be given, and suppose $B : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is real-analytic with analytic extension to a strip $\mathbb{T}_s = \{z : |\mathrm{Im}(z)| < s\}$ for some $s > 0$. For each $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\varepsilon| < s$, one may consider the cocycle $B_\varepsilon := B(\cdot + i\varepsilon)$ and the associated Lyapunov exponent

$$L(B_\varepsilon, \alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \|B_\varepsilon(x + (n-1)\alpha) \cdots B_\varepsilon(x + \alpha) B_\varepsilon(x)\| dx \quad (4.2.1)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \|B_\varepsilon^n(x)\| dx. \quad (4.2.2)$$

Theorem 4.2.1 (Avila (2015) [4]). *Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $B : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with analytic extension to \mathbb{T}_s , the function $\Lambda : \varepsilon \mapsto L(B_\varepsilon, \alpha)$ enjoys the following properties.*

(a) Λ is continuous, convex, and piecewise affine on $(-s, s)$.

(b) (*quantization of acceleration*). For all $|\varepsilon| < s$, the acceleration

$$\omega(B, \varepsilon) := \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi t} (\Lambda(\varepsilon + t) - \Lambda(\varepsilon))$$

exists and must lie in \mathbb{Z} .

In view of Theorem 4.2.1, one classifies cocycle maps as follows.

Definition 4.2.2. With B and α as above, we say that the cocycle (B, α) is:

- *subcritical* if for some $\delta > 0$, $L(B_\varepsilon, \alpha) = 0$ for all $|\varepsilon| < \delta$;
- *critical* if $L(B, \alpha) = 0$, but (B, α) is not subcritical; and
- *supercritical* if $L(B) > 0$ but (B, α) is not uniformly hyperbolic.

As discussed in the introduction, we will consider periodic decorations of quasi-periodic potentials generated by trigonometric polynomials, and this is most commonly accomplished with the addition of a periodic background. The arguments can handle a more general situation, which we now formulate precisely.

Definition 4.2.3. Recall that a *character* of a topological group G is a continuous homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and a *trigonometric polynomial* is a linear combination of characters. We write $\text{TP}(G)$ for the set of trigonometric polynomials on G .

The following well known characterization of trigonometric polynomials on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ will be helpful.

Proposition 4.2.4. One has $f \in \text{TP}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}_p)$ if and only if $f(\cdot, k) \in \text{TP}(\mathbb{T})$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proof. This is well known and not hard to show using unitarity of the discrete Fourier transform. For the reader's convenience, we give the arguments. The characters of $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ are of the form

$$\chi_{m,\ell} : (x, k) \mapsto e^{2\pi i(mx + k\ell/p)}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_p. \quad (4.2.3)$$

Thus, if $f \in \text{TP}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}_p)$, then

$$f = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_p} c_{m,\ell} \chi_{m,\ell} \quad (4.2.4)$$

for suitable coefficients $\{c_{m,\ell}\}$, which certainly implies $f(\cdot, k) \in \text{TP}(\mathbb{T})$ for each k .

Conversely, if $f(\cdot, k) \in \text{TP}(\mathbb{T})$ for each k , write

$$f(x, k) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{c}_{m,k} e^{2\pi i mx}$$

for some coefficients $\{\hat{c}_{m,k}\}$. To write f in the form (4.2.4), define

$$c_{m,\ell} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}_p} e^{-2\pi i k' \ell / p} \hat{c}_{m,k'}$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_p} c_{m,\ell} \chi_{m,\ell}(x, k) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \frac{1}{p} \hat{c}_{m,k'} e^{2\pi i mx} e^{2\pi i \ell(k-k')/p} \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{c}_{m,k} e^{2\pi i mx} \\ &= f(x, k), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

In view of Proposition 4.2.4, we can identify trigonometric polynomials on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ with p -tuples of trigonometric polynomials on \mathbb{T} . If $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a trigonometric polynomial, we call $f^{[k]} = f(\cdot, k)$ a *component* of f .

Theorem 4.2.5. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be given, and suppose f is a real-valued trigonometric polynomial on $\Omega = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that no component of f vanishes identically. If $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently large, then the cocycle $B_{E,\lambda f,\alpha}$ defined by (4.1.2) is supercritical for all $E \in \Sigma$. Indeed, one has*

$$\tilde{L}(E, \lambda f, \alpha) \geq \frac{1}{2}p \log |\lambda| \tag{4.2.5}$$

for all $E \in \Sigma$ and all $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large.

Proof. Let f be a real trigonometric polynomial on Ω . By Proposition 4.2.4, $f^{[k]} := f(\cdot, k)$ is a trigonometric polynomial on \mathbb{T} , and hence we may define $d_k = \deg(f^{[k]})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and

$$d = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_p} d_k.$$

To simplify notation, we view f , λ , and α as fixed and suppress them from the notation. For $E, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, let $B_{E,\varepsilon} = B_E(\cdot + i\varepsilon)$ denote the p -step cocycle map B_E with complexified phase.

Since the potential is real-valued, L is even in ε . By considering $|\varepsilon|$ large, we see

$$e^{-2\pi d|\varepsilon|} B_{E,\varepsilon} = \prod_{j=p-1}^0 \begin{bmatrix} c_j \lambda + o(1) & o(1) \\ o(1) & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

for suitable constants $c_k \neq 0$, and thus, denoting $\hat{c} = \log |c_0 \cdots c_{p-1}|$, we have

$$L(B_{E,\varepsilon}, p\alpha) = p \log |\lambda| + \hat{c} + 2\pi d|\varepsilon| \text{ for all } |\varepsilon| \text{ sufficiently large.} \tag{4.2.6}$$

By quantization, evenness in ε , and convexity, (4.2.5) follows for large enough $|\lambda|$. By Johnson's theorem [21, 50, 77], the cocycle $(B_E, p\alpha)$ cannot be uniformly hyperbolic for $E \in \Sigma$, which concludes the argument. \square

Remark 4.2.6. Let us make a few comments about Theorem 4.2.5.

- (a) The assumption that no component of f vanishes is essential. Indeed, consider the case $p = 2$ and $f \in \text{TP}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for which $f^{[1]} \equiv 0$ and $f^{[0]}$ is some nonconstant real-valued trigonometric polynomial on \mathbb{T} . For energy $E = 0$, one sees immediately

$$B_0(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -f^{[0]}(x) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -f^{[0]}(x) & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

leading to

$$B_0^n(x) = (-1)^n \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f^{[0]}(x + 2j\alpha) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.2.7}$$

This suffices to show that 0 is a generalized eigenvalue of $H_{f,\alpha,\omega}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{T}$ and that $\tilde{L}(0) = L(0) = 0$, so the associated 2-step cocycle is not supercritical, regardless of the size of f .

- (b) On the other hand, in the case in which one considers the product system associated with the sum of a quasi-periodic potential generated by $f_0 \in \text{TP}(\mathbb{T})$ and a periodic background V_{per} , the associated sampling function is of the form $f(x, k) = f_0(x) + f_1(k)$. In particular, the obstruction noted in (a) cannot occur in this setting.

One can also prove subcriticality at small coupling under suitable assumptions on f .

Theorem 4.2.7. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be given, and suppose f is a real-valued trigonometric polynomial on $\Omega = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that every component of f has the same degree, d_0 . If $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently small, then the cocycle $B_{E,\lambda f,\alpha}$ defined by (4.1.2) is subcritical for all $E \in \Sigma$. In particular, there is a constant $c = c(f) > 0$ such that $B_{E,\lambda f,\alpha}$ is subcritical on the spectrum whenever $|\lambda| \leq c$.*

Remark 4.2.8. (a) The constant $c(f)$ can be made explicit for specific examples. The dependence on f mainly enters through the Herman radius (see (4.2.8)), which can be computed or estimated for specific choices of trigonometric polynomials.

(b) Notice that this result applies in the case in which one considers periodic perturbations of a quasi-periodic operator whose potential is generated by a trigonometric polynomial on \mathbb{T} , since every component of f is then a shift of a single fixed trigonometric polynomial, and hence has the same degree; compare the discussion in Remark 4.2.6.

Proof of Theorem 4.2.7. This is an adaptation of the main argument of [62]. Normalize f by $\|f\|_\infty = 1$ and assume $|\lambda|$ is small; in particular, we assume *a priori* that $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

Define the Herman radius, $\varepsilon_H = \varepsilon_H(E, \lambda f)$, by

$$\varepsilon_H(E, \lambda f) = \sup \left\{ \varepsilon \geq 0 : \min_{(x,k) \in \Omega} |\lambda f(x + i\varepsilon, k) - E| \leq 2 \right\}. \quad (4.2.8)$$

By the assumption $\|f\|_\infty = 1$, and $|\lambda| \leq 1$, one has $\sigma(H_{\lambda f,\alpha,\omega}) \subseteq [-3, 3]$ for $\omega \in \Omega$. For $E \in [-3, 3]$, one observes the following bound (for large $|\varepsilon|$)

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda f(x + i\varepsilon, k) - E| &\geq |\lambda| |f(x + i\varepsilon, k)| - |E| \\ &\geq |\lambda| |f(x + i\varepsilon, k)| - 3 \\ &\geq \delta |\lambda| e^{2\pi d_0 |\varepsilon|} - 3, \end{aligned}$$

where d_0 denotes the degree of $f^{[k]}$ and $\delta > 0$ is a constant that depends on f . Consequently, one arrives at

$$|\lambda f(x + i\varepsilon, k) - E| > 2 \text{ when } |\varepsilon| \geq \frac{1}{2\pi d_0} \log \left(\frac{5}{\delta |\lambda|} \right). \quad (4.2.9)$$

This shows that

$$\varepsilon_H \leq \frac{1}{2\pi d_0} \log \left(\frac{5}{\delta |\lambda|} \right), \quad (4.2.10)$$

On the other hand, we have already seen in (4.2.6) that:⁴

$$L(B_{E,\varepsilon}, p\alpha) = p \log |\lambda| + \hat{c} + 2\pi d |\varepsilon| \text{ for all } |\varepsilon| \geq \varepsilon_0,$$

which holds with $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_H$ by the same argument⁵ as in the proof of [62, Theorem 1.1]. Now, assume some E in the spectrum is not subcritical. Then the acceleration at $\varepsilon = 0$ must be at least one, leading to the following estimate via convexity and (4.2.6)

$$L(B_{E,\varepsilon}, p\alpha) \leq p \log |\lambda| + \hat{c} + 2\pi d \varepsilon_H + 2\pi(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_H), \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_H,$$

⁴Recall that d denotes the sum of degrees of components of f in that proof.

⁵Namely, the definition of the Herman radius ensures that $(B_{E,\varepsilon}, p\alpha)$ is uniformly hyperbolic for $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_H$. Since $\Lambda : \varepsilon \mapsto L(B_{E,\varepsilon}, p\alpha)$ is affine in a neighborhood of ε whenever $(B_{E,\varepsilon}, p\alpha)$ is uniformly hyperbolic, the slope of Λ cannot change above $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_H$.

which yields the following by taking $\varepsilon = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq p \log |\lambda| + \hat{c} + 2\pi(d-1)\varepsilon_H \\ &\leq p \log |\lambda| + \hat{c} + \frac{d-1}{d_0} [\log(5/\delta) - \log |\lambda|] \\ &= \frac{pd_0 - d + 1}{d_0} \log |\lambda| + \hat{c} + \frac{d-1}{d_0} \log(5/\delta) \\ &= \frac{1}{d_0} \log |\lambda| + \hat{c} + \frac{d-1}{d_0} \log(5/\delta), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that every component has the same degree to obtain $pd_0 = d$ in the last line. This in turn implies a lower bound on $|\lambda|$ in terms of \hat{c} , p , d , and δ . Turning this around, if $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently small, every energy in the spectrum must be subcritical, as promised. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2.5. Suppose f_0 is a nonconstant trigonometric polynomial on \mathbb{T} , and define $f_1(k) = V_{\text{per}}(\tilde{k})$ for any representative \tilde{k} of $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. The desired result then follows by applying Theorems 4.2.5 and 4.2.7 with the sampling function $f(x, k) = f_0(x) + f_1(k)$ which is clearly a trigonometric polynomial for which every component is a nonconstant polynomial of the same degree. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2.6. This follows immediately from Theorem 1.2.5. \square

APPENDIX A. ERGODIC MEASURES ON ACCELERATED SYSTEMS

Given a uniquely ergodic topological dynamical system (\mathbb{X}, S) , the setting of the present paper naturally motivates one to understand the structure of the space of S^m -invariant (and S^m -ergodic) measures on \mathbb{X} . We collect some basic results to that effect here. The results in this section are measure-theoretic analogs of statements from Section 2 in the topological setting. Throughout this part of the appendix, assume that (\mathbb{X}, S) is uniquely ergodic with unique invariant measure μ .

Lemma A.1. *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a measurable subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ and a number $q \in \mathbb{N}$ dividing m with the following properties. $A = S^q(A)$, and the set of ergodic probability measures on (\mathbb{X}, S^m) is given by*

$$\{q \mu|_A \circ S^{-j} : 0 \leq j \leq q-1\}.$$

Further, $\mu|_A \circ S^{-q} = \mu|_A$, $\mu(A) = 1/q$ and the set $\cup_{j=0}^{q-1} S^j(A)$ has full μ -measure.

Proof. Let ϱ be an S^m -ergodic probability measure on \mathbb{X} . First note that $\varrho \circ S^{-j}$ is S^m ergodic for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, if B is S^m -invariant, then so is $S^{-j}B$ and hence $\varrho \circ S^{-j}(B) = \varrho(S^{-j}B) \in \{0, 1\}$. Hence, either $\varrho \circ S^{-j} = \varrho$ or $\varrho \circ S^{-j} \perp \varrho$. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be minimal with the property that $\varrho = \varrho \circ S^{-q}$. Since ϱ is S^m -invariant, q needs to divide m . The measure

$$\bar{\varrho} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \varrho \circ S^{-j}$$

is an S -invariant probability measure on \mathbb{X} by construction. Due to the unique ergodicity of (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) it follows that $\bar{\varrho} = \mu$. Let A' be a measurable set such that $\varrho(A') = 1$ and $\varrho \circ S^{-j}(A') = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq q-1$. The same holds for the set $A = \cap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S^{jq}(A')$, which in addition satisfies $S^q(A) = A$. For an arbitrary subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ we obtain

$$\mu|_A(C) = \mu(A \cap C) = \bar{\varrho}(A \cap C) = \frac{1}{q} \varrho(C),$$

implying that $\varrho = q\mu|_A$. In particular, $\mu(A) = \mu|_A(A) = \varrho(A)/q = 1/q$. Further, we find

$$\mu(\cup_{j=0}^{q-1} S^j(A)) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \varrho(S^{-k}(\cup_{j=0}^{q-1} S^j(A))) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \varrho(A) = 1.$$

Suppose there is another S^m -ergodic probability measure ν on \mathbb{X} , which is then singular to each of the $\varrho \circ S^{-j}$. The same holds for each of the measures $\nu \circ S^{-j}$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By the same argument as above, there exists $q' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu = \bar{\nu} := 1/q' \sum_{j=0}^{q'-1} \nu \circ S^{-j}$. On the other hand, $\bar{\nu} \perp \bar{\varrho}$ leading to a contradiction. \square

Lemma A.2. *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $e^{2\pi i/m}$ is an eigenvalue of (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) if and only if (\mathbb{X}, S^m) has precisely m ergodic probability measures.*

Proof. Suppose $e^{2\pi i/m}$ is an eigenvalue of (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) with (almost-surely) normalized eigenfunction f . For $0 \leq j \leq m-1$, let

$$A_j = f^{-1}(\{e^{2\pi i\alpha} : j/m \leq \alpha < (j+1)/m\}).$$

By construction, all the A_j are disjoint and we have for $0 \leq j \leq m-2$ that $S(A_j) = A_{j+1}$ as well as $S(A_{m-1}) = A_0$ and hence $\mu(A_j)$ does not depend on j . Since $|f| = 1$ almost surely, the union $A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_{m-1}$ has full measure, implying that $\mu(A_j) = 1/m$ for all $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. The probability measures $\mu_j = m\mu|_{A_j}$ are S^m -invariant and pairwise singular to each other for all $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. By Lemma A.1, there are at most m ergodic measures for (\mathbb{X}, S^m) , so we obtain that the measures μ_j are in fact ergodic and that there are precisely m ergodic probability measures on (\mathbb{X}, S^m) .

Conversely, suppose that there are precisely m ergodic probability measures on (\mathbb{X}, S^m) . Let A be as in Lemma A.1. The function

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i j/m} \chi_{S^j(A)}(x)$$

almost surely satisfies $f(Sx) = e^{2\pi i/m} f(x)$ and is hence a measurable eigenfunction with eigenvalue $e^{2\pi i/m}$. \square

Recall from Definition 2.2.1 that $s'(m)$ denotes the number of S^m -ergodic Borel probability measures on \mathbb{X} .

Lemma A.3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let k be the largest divisor of m such that $e^{2\pi i/k}$ is an eigenvalue. Then, $s'(m) = k$.*

Proof. If m_1 divides m_2 , then $s'(m_2) \geq s'(m_1)$. This is because an S^{m_1} -invariant measure is also S^{m_2} -invariant. Hence, there are at least $s'(m_1)$ mutually singular measures that are S^{m_2} -invariant. Given $j, q \in \mathbb{N}$, an S^{jq} -ergodic measure μ' that is S^q -invariant is also S^q -ergodic. Therefore, if $s'(m) = q$, then $s'(q) = q$ due to Lemma A.1. By Lemma A.2, $e^{2\pi i/q}$ is an eigenvalue. It remains to show that q is maximal with this property. Suppose $\ell > q$ is also a divisor of m such that $e^{2\pi i/\ell}$ is an eigenvalue, implying $s'(\ell) = \ell$. Using our first observation in this proof, we obtain $s'(m) \geq s'(\ell) = \ell > q = s'(m)$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma A.4. *If m_1 and m_2 are relatively prime, then $s'(m_1 m_2) = s'(m_1) s'(m_2)$. Further, for each prime p there exists a number $\ell_p \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $s'(p^\ell) = \min\{p^\ell, p^{\ell_p}\}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. This follows essentially via the characterization in terms of eigenvalues. Let $s'(m_1 m_2) = k$. Since m_1, m_2 are coprime, k can be written uniquely as $k = k_1 k_2$ such that $k_1 | m_1$ and $k_2 | m_2$. Since

$e^{2\pi i/k}$ is an eigenvalue and the eigenvalues build a group, also $e^{2\pi i/k_1}$ and $e^{2\pi i/k_2}$ are eigenvalues. If there was an $\ell > k_1$ with $\ell|m_1$ and $e^{2\pi i/\ell}$ an eigenvalue, then also $e^{2\pi i/(\ell k_2)}$ would be an eigenvalue. This would imply $s'(m_1 m_2) \geq \ell k_2 > k$, a contradiction. Hence, k_1 is maximal with that property and $s'(m_1) = k_1$. Analogously, we find that $s'(m_2) = k_2$. This shows the first claim.

Given a prime p , let ℓ_p be the largest power such that $e^{2\pi i/p^{\ell_p}}$ is an eigenvalue of (\mathbb{X}, S, μ) . If $e^{2\pi i/p^\ell}$ is an eigenvalue for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, set $\ell_p = \infty$. Since the eigenvalues form a group, $e^{2\pi i/p^\ell}$ is also an eigenvalue and hence $s'(p^\ell) = p^\ell$ for all $0 \leq \ell \leq \ell_p$. If $\ell_p \neq \infty$ and $\ell > \ell_p$, the statement $s'(p^\ell) = p^\ell$ follows immediately from Lemma A.3. \square

APPENDIX B. STRICT ERGODICITY OF PRODUCT SYSTEMS

Here, we pursue the question under which condition the product of two uniquely ergodic/minimal systems is again uniquely ergodic/minimal. This is closely related to the joining theory of dynamical systems, pioneered by Furstenberg in [42]. We give an overview of some elementary results for the reader's convenience.

Here, a (topological) *dynamical system* (X, T) consists of a compact metric space X and a homeomorphism T on X .

Definition B.1. A (topological) joining of two dynamical systems (X_1, T_1) and (X_2, T_2) is a non-empty and closed, $T_1 \times T_2$ -invariant subset $Z \subseteq X_1 \times X_2$ such that $\pi_1(Z) = X_1$ and $\pi_2(Z) = X_2$, where π_1, π_2 denote the projections to the first and second coordinate, respectively.

We call (X_1, T_1) and (X_2, T_2) (topologically) *disjoint* if $(X_1 \times X_2, T_1 \times T_2)$ is their only joining. For the following, compare [44].

Fact B.2. *Two minimal dynamical systems (X_1, T_1) and (X_2, T_2) are (topologically) disjoint if and only if their product system is minimal.*

There is a natural analogue of this observation in measure-theoretic terms. If (X, T) is a topological dynamical system and μ a T -invariant Borel probability measure on X , we call (X, T, μ) a (measure-preserving) dynamical system.

Definition B.3. The joining of two measure-preserving dynamical systems (X_1, T_1, μ_1) and (X_2, T_2, μ_2) is a $T_1 \times T_2$ -invariant Borel probability measure μ on $X_1 \times X_2$ such that $\mu_i = \mu \circ \pi_i^{-1}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

The dynamical systems (X_1, T_1, μ_1) and (X_2, T_2, μ_2) are called *disjoint* if $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$ is their only joining.

Lemma B.4. *Two uniquely ergodic dynamical systems (X_1, T_1, μ_1) and (X_2, T_2, μ_2) are disjoint if and only if their product system is uniquely ergodic.*

Proof. First, assume that (X_1, T_1, μ_1) and (X_2, T_2, μ_2) are not disjoint. Then, there exist at least two different joinings μ and ν on $(X_1 \times X_2, T_1 \times T_2)$. By assumption, μ and ν are both $T_1 \times T_2$ -invariant and hence, the product system is not uniquely ergodic.

Conversely, assume that (X_1, T_1, μ_1) and (X_2, T_2, μ_2) are disjoint and let μ be an arbitrary $T_1 \times T_2$ -invariant measure on $X_1 \times X_2$. Since $\mu \circ \pi_i^{-1}$ is T_i -invariant for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the unique ergodicity of (X_i, T_i, μ_i) implies that $\mu \circ \pi_i^{-1} = \mu_i$. That is, μ is a joining and as such unique. \square

At this point, we have reformulated the original problem in terms of the question under which conditions two minimal/uniquely ergodic dynamical systems are disjoint.

There is an abundance of useful characterizations and criteria for disjointness; compare for example [42, 44, 70]. In order to exclude disjointness, it suffices to find a non-trivial common factor of both dynamical systems. More precisely, we call (Y, S) a (topological) factor of (X, T) if there is a continuous surjective map $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\pi \circ T = S \circ \pi$. The factor is called trivial if it coincides with the identity map on a singleton. For the following, see [42, Prop. II.2].

Fact B.5. *If (X_1, T_1) and (X_2, T_2) have a non-trivial common topological factor, they are not disjoint.*

There is a natural analogue of this criterion in the measure-theoretic regime. Here, (Y, S, ν) is called a *factor* of (X, T, μ) if there is a measurable map $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\pi \circ T = S \circ \pi$ up to null sets, and $\nu = \mu \circ \pi^{-1}$. Such a factor is called trivial if it is isomorphic to the identity map on a singleton. The following analogue of Fact B.5 can be found in [42, Prop. I.2].

Fact B.6. *If (X_1, T_1, μ_1) and (X_2, T_2, μ_2) have a non-trivial common factor, they are not disjoint.*

Remark B.7. The converse is not true in general [66]. However, the more general statement in [44, Thm. 8.4] provides a characterization of disjointness in terms of factors and a more general concept, termed *quasifactors*.

There is a sufficient criterion for disjointness that relies on spectral properties of the dynamical systems [44, Thm. 6.28].

Fact B.8. *Two dynamical systems (X_1, T_1, μ_1) and (X_2, T_2, μ_2) are disjoint if their reduced maximal spectral measures are mutually singular.*

Remark B.9. Again, the converse of this result is not true. In fact, there are ergodic dynamical systems (X, T, μ) that are disjoint from their inverse (X, T^{-1}, μ) ; see for example [6, 32]. On the other hand, the reduced maximal spectral measures of (X, T, μ) and (X, T^{-1}, μ) are always equivalent; compare [59].

We are mostly concerned with ergodic dynamical systems. If we further restrict to the class of systems with pure point dynamical spectrum, disjointness has a simple spectral characterization.

Corollary B.10. *Two ergodic systems (X_1, T_1, μ_1) and (X_2, T_2, μ_2) with pure point dynamical spectrum are disjoint if and only if they do not have a common eigenvalue except 1.*

Proof. First, assume that 1 is the only shared eigenvalue. Because we assumed that both systems have pure point dynamical spectrum, this implies that the reduced maximal spectral measures are mutually singular, implying disjointness by Fact B.8.

Conversely, assume that both systems share an eigenvalue of the form $\lambda = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$, with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For a moment, assume that α is irrational. Then, ergodicity implies that the torus translation $R_\alpha: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, x \mapsto x + \alpha$, equipped with the normalized Haar measure is a factor of both systems by standard arguments; compare for example [40, Lemna 1.6.2]. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, we may assume that $\alpha = 1/r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ without loss of generality. In this case, the cyclic group $(\mathbb{Z}_r, +1, \nu)$, where ν is the normalized counting measure is a factor of both systems; see [40, Lemma 1.6.4]. In both cases, the systems cannot be disjoint, due to Fact B.6. \square

Almost-periodic potentials have attracted particular attention in the spectral study of Schrödinger operators. Recall that almost-periodic sequences are precisely those that can be obtained from a continuous sampling function f on some minimal group rotation (Ω, R) , where Ω is a

compact metrizable group. In fact, the subshift generated by an almost-periodic sequence has itself the structure of such a group rotation. There is a useful characterization of the strict ergodicity of rotations on a compact metric group [40, Thm. 1.4.10].

Fact B.11. *Let $R: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega, x \rightarrow ax$ be a rotation on a compact metric group Ω . The following are equivalent.*

- (1) (Ω, R) is minimal.
- (2) (Ω, R) is uniquely ergodic.
- (3) $\{a^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in Ω .

In this case, the group Ω is abelian.

It is further known that the rotation R on a compact abelian group Ω has pure point dynamical spectrum [40, Ch. 1].

Corollary B.12. *Let (Ω_1, R_1) and (Ω, R_2) be each a minimal group rotation on a compact metrizable group. Then, the following are equivalent*

- (1) (Ω_1, R_1) and (Ω, R_2) have no non-trivial shared eigenvalues.
- (2) $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, R_1 \times R_2)$ is uniquely ergodic.
- (3) $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, R_1 \times R_2)$ is minimal.

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) follows by combining Lemma B.4 with Corollary B.10. Since $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, R_1 \times R_2)$ is again a group rotation on a compact metrizable group, the equivalence of (2) and (3) follows from Fact B.11. \square

Remark B.13. Let $R: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega, x \mapsto ax$ be a minimal group rotation on a compact metrizable group Ω . A *character* on Ω is a continuous group homomorphism $\chi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. We denote by $\widehat{\Omega}$ the dual group of all characters on Ω . In this setup, the characters form a basis of eigenfunctions in $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$, where μ is the Haar measure on G , each with eigenvalue $\chi(a)$. Hence, the group of (topological) eigenvalues of (Ω, R) is precisely

$$G = \{\chi(a) : \chi \in \widehat{\Omega}\}.$$

The pullback of this group under the projection $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1, t \mapsto e^{2\pi it}$ is often called the *frequency module* of (Ω, R) , denoted by \mathfrak{M} . This object is central in the gap labeling theorem; compare [23, 33, 49]. By construction, the frequency module automatically contains the set \mathbb{Z} . We can easily adapt Corollary B.12 to the statement that the product of (Ω_1, R_1) and (Ω_2, R_2) is strictly ergodic if and only if their frequency modules have a trivial intersection, given by \mathbb{Z} .

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Asatryan and W. Kirsch. Random Schrödinger operators with a background potential. *Random Oper. Stoch. Equ.*, 27(4):253–259, 2019.
- [2] A. Avila. Almost reducibility and absolute continuity I. *preprint*. [arXiv:1006.0704](https://arxiv.org/abs/1006.0704).
- [3] A. Avila. Almost reducibility and absolute continuity II. *in preparation*.
- [4] A. Avila. Global theory of one-frequency Schrödinger operators. *Acta Math.*, 215(1):1–54, 2015.
- [5] A. Avila, J. Bochi, and J.-C. Yoccoz. Uniformly hyperbolic finite-valued $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -cocycles. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 85(4):813–884, 2010. [arXiv:0808.0133](https://arxiv.org/abs/0808.0133).
- [6] P. Berk, K. Fraczek, and T. de la Rue. On typicality of translation flows which are disjoint with their inverse. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 19(5):1677–1737, 2020.

- [7] J. Berstel. On the index of Sturmian words. In *Jewels are forever*, pages 287–294. Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [8] V. Berthé, W. Steiner, J. M. Thuswaldner, and R. Yassawi. Recognizability for sequences of morphisms. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 39(11):2896–2931, 2019. [arXiv:1705.00167](#).
- [9] M. Boshernitzan. A condition for minimal interval exchange maps to be uniquely ergodic. *Duke Math. J.*, 52(3):723–752, 1985.
- [10] M. D. Boshernitzan. A condition for unique ergodicity of minimal symbolic flows. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 12(3):425–428, 1992.
- [11] J. Bourgain and M. Goldstein. On nonperturbative localization with quasi-periodic potential. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 152(3):835–879, 2000.
- [12] X. Bressaud, F. Durand, and A. Maass. Necessary and sufficient conditions to be an eigenvalue for linearly recurrent dynamical Cantor systems. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 72(3):799–816, 2005.
- [13] V. Bucaj, D. Damanik, J. Fillman, V. Gerbuz, T. VandenBoom, F. Wang, and Z. Zhang. Positive Lyapunov exponents and a large deviation theorem for continuum Anderson models, briefly. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 277(9):3179–3186, 2019.
- [14] A. Cai, P. Duarte, and S. Klein. Mixed random-quasiperiodic cocycles. *preprint*. [arxiv:2109.09544](#).
- [15] J. Cassaigne. Sequences with grouped factors. In S. Bozpalidis, editor, *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference Developments in Language Theory*, pages 211–222. Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 1997.
- [16] J.-M. Combes and P. D. Hislop. Localization for some continuous, random Hamiltonians in d -dimensions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 124(1):149–180, 1994.
- [17] H. L. Cycon, R. G. Froese, W. Kirsch, and B. Simon. *Schrödinger operators with application to quantum mechanics and global geometry*. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, study edition, 1987.
- [18] D. Damanik. Gordon-type arguments in the spectral theory of one-dimensional quasicrystals. In *Directions in mathematical quasicrystals*, volume 13 of *CRM Monogr. Ser.*, pages 277–305. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [19] D. Damanik. Uniform singular continuous spectrum for the period doubling Hamiltonian. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 2(1):101–108, 2001.
- [20] D. Damanik. Schrödinger operators with dynamically defined potentials. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 37(6):1681–1764, 2017.
- [21] D. Damanik and J. Fillman. *One-Dimensional Ergodic Schrödinger Operators, I. General Theory*. Monograph in preparation.
- [22] D. Damanik and J. Fillman. *One-Dimensional Ergodic Schrödinger Operators, II. Specific Classes*. Monograph in preparation.
- [23] D. Damanik and J. Fillman. Gap labelling for discrete one-dimensional ergodic Schrödinger operators. 2022. [arXiv:2203.03696](#).
- [24] D. Damanik and A. Gorodetski. Must the spectrum of a random Schrödinger operator contain an interval? 2021. [arXiv:2112.02445](#).
- [25] D. Damanik and D. Lenz. Uniform spectral properties of one-dimensional quasicrystals. I. Absence of eigenvalues. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 207(3):687–696, 1999.
- [26] D. Damanik and D. Lenz. Uniform spectral properties of one-dimensional quasicrystals. IV. Quasi-Sturmian potentials. *J. Anal. Math.*, 90:115–139, 2003.

- [27] D. Damanik and D. Lenz. A condition of Boshernitzan and uniform convergence in the multiplicative ergodic theorem. *Duke Math. J.*, 133(1):95–123, 2006.
- [28] D. Damanik and D. Lenz. Substitution dynamical systems: characterization of linear repetitivity and applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 321(2):766–780, 2006.
- [29] D. Damanik and D. Lenz. Zero-measure Cantor spectrum for Schrödinger operators with low-complexity potentials. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 85(5):671–686, 2006.
- [30] D. Damanik, R. Sims, and G. Stolz. Localization for discrete one-dimensional random word models. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 208(2):423–445, 2004.
- [31] F. M. Dekking. The spectrum of dynamical systems arising from substitutions of constant length. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 41(3):221–239, 1977/78.
- [32] A. del Junco. Disjointness of measure-preserving transformations, minimal self-joinings and category. In *Ergodic theory and dynamical systems, I (College Park, Md., 1979–80)*, volume 10 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 81–89. Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1981.
- [33] F. Delyon and B. Souillard. The rotation number for finite difference operators and its properties. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 89(3):415–426, 1983.
- [34] T. Downarowicz. Survey of odometers and Toeplitz flows. In *Algebraic and topological dynamics*, volume 385 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 7–37. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [35] F. Durand and V. Goyheneche. Decidability, arithmetic subsequences and eigenvalues of morphic subshifts. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, 26(4):591–618, 2019.
- [36] A. Elgart and A. Klein. Ground state energy of trimmed discrete Schrödinger operators and localization for trimmed Anderson models. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 4(2):391–413, 2014.
- [37] A. Elgart and S. Sodin. The trimmed Anderson model at strong disorder: localisation and its breakup. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 7(1):87–110, 2017.
- [38] A. Fedotov and F. Klopp. On the absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators in the adiabatic limit. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 357(11):4481–4516, 2005.
- [39] S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit, and A. Nogueira. Substitution dynamical systems: algebraic characterization of eigenvalues. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 29(4):519–533, 1996.
- [40] N. P. Fogg. *Substitutions in dynamics, arithmetics and combinatorics*, volume 1794 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Edited by V. Berthé, S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit and A. Siegel.
- [41] J. Fröhlich, T. Spencer, and P. Wittwer. Localization for a class of one-dimensional quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 132(1):5–25, 1990.
- [42] H. Furstenberg. Disjointness in ergodic theory, minimal sets, and a problem in Diophantine approximation. *Math. Systems Theory*, 1:1–49, 1967.
- [43] F. Germinet and A. Klein. A comprehensive proof of localization for continuous Anderson models with singular random potentials. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 15(1):53–143, 2013.
- [44] E. Glasner. *Ergodic theory via joinings*, volume 101 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [45] A. J. Gordon. The point spectrum of the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 31(4(190)):257–258, 1976.
- [46] W. H. Gottschalk and G. A. Hedlund. *Topological dynamics*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. 36. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1955.
- [47] B. Host. Valeurs propres des systèmes dynamiques définis par des substitutions de longueur variable. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 6(4):529–540, 1986.

- [48] S. Jitomirskaya. Ergodic Schrödinger operators (on one foot). In *Spectral theory and mathematical physics: a Festschrift in honor of Barry Simon's 60th birthday*, volume 76 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 613–647. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [49] R. Johnson and J. Moser. The rotation number for almost periodic potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 84(3):403–438, 1982.
- [50] R. A. Johnson. Exponential dichotomy, rotation number, and linear differential operators with bounded coefficients. *J. Differential Equations*, 61(1):54–78, 1986.
- [51] R. Kenyon, L. Sadun, and B. Solomyak. Topological mixing for substitutions on two letters. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 25(6):1919–1934, 2005.
- [52] A. Y. Khinchin. *Continued fractions*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, russian edition, 1997. With a preface by B. V. Gnedenko, Reprint of the 1964 translation.
- [53] W. Kirsch and M. Krishna. Spectral statistics for Anderson models with sporadic potentials. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 10(2):581–597, 2020.
- [54] W. Kirsch, P. Stollmann, and G. Stolz. Anderson localization for random Schrödinger operators with long range interactions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 195(3):495–507, 1998.
- [55] W. Kirsch, P. Stollmann, and G. Stolz. Localization for random perturbations of periodic Schrödinger operators. *Random Oper. Stochastic Equations*, 6(3):241–268, 1998.
- [56] F. Klopp. Internal Lifshits tails for random perturbations of periodic Schrödinger operators. *Duke Math. J.*, 98(2):335–396, 1999.
- [57] F. Klopp. Correction to: “Internal Lifshits tails for random perturbations of periodic Schrödinger operators” [Duke Math J. **98** (1999), no. 2, 335–396; MR1695202 (2000m:82029)]. *Duke Math. J.*, 109(2):411–412, 2001.
- [58] P. Kurka. *Topological and symbolic dynamics*, volume 11 of *Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003.
- [59] M. Lemańczyk. Spectral theory of dynamical systems. In *Mathematics of complexity and dynamical systems. Vols. 1–3*, pages 1618–1638. Springer, New York, 2012.
- [60] Q.-H. Liu and Y.-H. Qu. Uniform convergence of Schrödinger cocycles over simple Toeplitz subshift. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 12(1):153–172, 2011.
- [61] C. A. Marx and S. Jitomirskaya. Dynamics and spectral theory of quasi-periodic Schrödinger-type operators. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 37(8):2353–2393, 2017.
- [62] C. A. Marx, L. H. Shou, and J. L. Wellens. Subcritical behavior for quasi-periodic Schrödinger cocycles with trigonometric potentials. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 8(1):123–163, 2018.
- [63] R. R. Phelps. *Lectures on Choquet's theorem*, volume 1757 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2001.
- [64] M. Queffélec. *Substitution dynamical systems-spectral analysis*, volume 1294. Springer, 2010.
- [65] C. Rojas-Molina. The Anderson model with missing sites. *Oper. Matrices*, 8(1):287–299, 2014.
- [66] D. J. Rudolph. An example of a measure preserving map with minimal self-joinings, and applications. *J. Analyse Math.*, 35:97–122, 1979.
- [67] A. Seelmann and M. Täufer. Band edge localization beyond regular Floquet eigenvalues. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 21(7):2151–2166, 2020.
- [68] E. Sorets and T. Spencer. Positive Lyapunov exponents for Schrödinger operators with quasi-periodic potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 142(3):543–566, 1991.
- [69] G. Teschl. *Jacobi operators and completely integrable nonlinear lattices*, volume 72 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.

- [70] J.-P. Thouvenot. Some properties and applications of joinings in ergodic theory. In *Ergodic theory and its connections with harmonic analysis (Alexandria, 1993)*, volume 205 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 207–235. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [71] W. A. Veech. The metric theory of interval exchange transformations. I. Generic spectral properties. *Amer. J. Math.*, 106(6):1331–1359, 1984.
- [72] I. Veselić. Localization for random perturbations of periodic Schrödinger operators with regular Floquet eigenvalues. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 3(2):389–409, 2002.
- [73] P. Walters. *An introduction to ergodic theory*, volume 79 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [74] Y. Wang, X. Xia, J. You, Z. Zheng, and Q. Zhou. Exact mobility edges for 1d quasiperiodic models. *preprint*. [arXiv:2110.00962](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.00962).
- [75] W. Wood. On the spectrum of the periodic Anderson–Bernoulli model. *preprint*, 2022.
- [76] X. D. Ye. D -function of a minimal set and an extension of Sharkovskii’s theorem to minimal sets. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 12(2):365–376, 1992.
- [77] Z. Zhang. Uniform hyperbolicity and its relation with spectral analysis of 1D discrete Schrödinger operators. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 10(4):1471–1517, 2020.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RICE UNIVERSITY, HOUSTON, TX 77005, USA

Email address: damanik@rice.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN MARCOS, TX 78666, USA

Email address: fillman@txstate.edu

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, POSTFACH 100131, 33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY

Email address: pgohlke@math.uni-bielefeld.de