GEOMETRY OF ELLIPTIC NORMAL CURVES OF DEGREE 6

ANATOLI SHATSILA

ABSTRACT. In our work we focus on the geometry of elliptic normal curves of degree 6 embedded in \mathbb{P}^5 . We determine the space of quadric hypersurfaces through an elliptic normal curve of degree 6 and find the explicit equations of generators of $I(\operatorname{Sec}(C_6))$. We study the images C_p and C_{pq} of a sextic C_6 under the projection from a general point $P \in \mathbb{P}^5$ and a general line $\overline{PQ} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. In particular, we show that C_p is k-normal for all $k \geq 2$ and $I(C_p)$ is generated by three homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 and two homogeneous polynomials of degree 3. We then show that C_{pq} is k-normal for all $k \geq 3$ and $I(C_{pq})$ is generated by two homogeneous polynomials of degree 3 and three homogeneous polynomials of degree 4.

1. INTRODUCTION

Elliptic normal curves, which are elliptic curves embedded in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} as degree *n* curves and not contained in any hyperplane, have been studied since the late 19-th century ([Bian80, Kl85, Hur86]). The cases n = 3 and n = 4 are classical and well-known. The case n = 5 has been considered in [Hul86]. In the monograph K. Hulek studies the interrelation between the Horrocks-Mumford vector bundle and the normal bundle of elliptic curves of degree 5. Many beautiful geometric constructions and configurations arise in this monograph. Inspired by the work of Hulek, we explore the geometry of several geometric objects related to normal elliptic curves of degree 6 embedded in \mathbb{P}^5 .

In section 2 we describe an embedding of elliptic curve as a normal curve of degree n in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} by means of Weierstrass sigma-function. Under such embedding, translation by n-torsion points and -1involution of the curve take a particularly simple form, which allows one to use the symmetries of the curve to study its geometry.

In section 3 we study the action of the Heisenberg group H_6 on the space $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2))$ of quadric hypersurfaces containing the curve C_6 of degree 6. Careful analysis of the decomposition of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2))$ allows us to find the basis of this space explicitly. We use this result to find the generators of $I(\text{Sec } C_6)$. In [KK20] Kaneko and Kuwata consider an embedding defined by theta functions and find the equations of quadrics using the Jacobi's identity. Although their method allows to compute the equations for any integer n, in case n = 6 our approach avoids the use of algebraic identities involving embedding functions, hence it is more explicit.

In the last section we study the images C_p and C_{pq} of C_6 under the projection from a general point and a general line. We prove the following theorem, which we consider as the main result of the paper:

Theorem 1.1. The ideal $I(C_p)$ of the curve C_p is generated by three polynomials of degree 2 and two polynomials of degree 3.

The ideal $I(C_{pq})$ of the curve C_{pq} is generated by two polynomials of degree 3 and three polynomials of degree 4.

We prove this result in a few steps. Firstly, we use the k-normality property and Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity theory to find the dimensions of $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(2))$ and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(3))$ and show that $I(C_p)$ is generated in degree 3. Then we consider a certain elementary geometric construction to show that quadric hypersurfaces, whose equations form a basis of $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(2))$, intersect in a curve. This implies that there are no linear syzygies between them and allows us to finish the proof by counting the total contribution

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14H52.

of the basis of $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(2))$ in $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(3))$. The proof of the result for C_{pq} is analogous and even easier due to the fact that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_{pq}}(3)) = 2$.

2. Preliminaries

We work over \mathbb{C} . By the Riemann-Roch Theorem, we can embed any elliptic curve C as an elliptic normal curve of degree n in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} via the complete linear system $|\mathcal{O}_C(n \cdot O)|$, where O is the origin in C. Suppose that the embedding is given by

$$\varphi: C = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2) \ni z \mapsto \varphi(z) = (X_0(z): X_1(z): \ldots: X_{n-1}(z)) \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

By C_n we denote the image $\varphi(C)$. Recall the definition of the discrete Heisenberg group.

Definition 2.1. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ be a vector space with the standard basis $\{e_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$. Define $\sigma, \tau \in GL(V)$ by

$$\sigma(e_m) := e_{m-1}, \qquad \tau(e_m) := \varepsilon^m e_m,$$

where $\varepsilon = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$.

The subgroup $H_n \subset GL(V)$ generated by σ and τ is called the discrete Heisenberg group of dimension n.

We will consider embeddings under which C_n admits an action of the Heisenberg group and is invariant under this action so that for any $z \in C$ both $\sigma(\varphi(z)) = (X_{n-1}(z) : X_0(z) : \ldots : X_{n-3}(z) : X_{n-2}(z))$ and $\tau(\varphi(z)) = (X_0(z) : \varepsilon X_1(z) : \ldots : \varepsilon^{n-1} X_{n-1}(z))$ are in C_n . In addition, we will assume the existence of the point $c \in C$ that satisfies $X_i(c) = 0 \Leftrightarrow i = 0$.

Note that such embeddings exist: the functions $\{x_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ considered in [Hul86, Section I.2] and defined using Weierstrass sigma-functions induce an immersion satisfying the above conditions (see [Hul86, Theorems I.2.3 and I.2.5]). In this case we can take $c := \frac{\omega_1}{2} + \frac{\omega_2}{2n}$. For convenience, we will assume that C is embedded by these functions, although all results (excluding the values of α, β and γ in Section 3) and proofs are valid for any immersion with the mentioned properties.

Let us finish the section with three classical results.

Lemma 2.2 ([Hul86], Lemma IV.1.1). Let P_1, \ldots, P_k be k different points on C_n . Then these points are linearly independent if $k \leq n-1$, i.e. they span a subspace of dimension k-1.

Proposition 2.3 ([Hul86], Proposition IV.1.2). Every elliptic curve C_n of degree $n \ge 3$ is projectively normal.

Proposition 2.4 ([Hul86], Theorem IV.1.3). Every elliptic normal curve $C_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ of degree $n \ge 4$ is a scheme-theoretic intersection of the quadrics of rank 3 which contain it.

3. Elliptic normal curves and quadric hypersurfaces

In this section we want to determine the space of quadric hypersurfaces through an elliptic normal curve of degree 6.

Theorem 3.1. Let $C = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2)$ be an elliptic curve and $C_6 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be its embedding as a normal elliptic sextic. Then there exists a 9-dimensional space of quadric hypersurfaces containing C_6 . A basis of this space is given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Q_0 &=& x_0^2 + x_3^2 + \alpha (x_2 x_4 + x_5 x_1), \\ Q_1 &=& x_1^2 + x_4^2 + \alpha (x_3 x_5 + x_0 x_2), \\ Q_2 &=& x_2^2 + x_5^2 + \alpha (x_4 x_0 + x_1 x_3), \\ Q'_0 &=& x_0^2 - x_3^2 + \beta (x_2 x_4 - x_5 x_1), \\ Q'_1 &=& x_1^2 - x_4^2 + \beta (x_3 x_5 - x_0 x_2), \\ Q'_2 &=& x_2^2 - x_5^2 + \beta (x_4 x_0 - x_1 x_3), \\ Q''_0 &=& x_0 x_1 + x_3 x_4 + \gamma x_2 x_5, \\ Q''_1 &=& x_1 x_2 + x_4 x_5 + \gamma x_3 x_0, \\ Q''_2 &=& x_2 x_3 + x_5 x_0 + \gamma x_4 x_1 \end{array}$$

with

where $\omega = \frac{\omega_1}{2} + \frac{\omega_2}{12}$.

Proof. Consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C_6}(2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_6}(2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since C_6 is projectively normal, we obtain

$$h^{0}(\mathcal{I}_{C_{6}}(2)) = h^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{5}}(2)) - h^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{C_{6}}(2)) = 21 - 12 = 9.$$

In what follows, we often use two basic facts from representation theory which can be found in [FH04, Proposition 1.5 and Proposition 1.8]. We leave related computations to the reader. Let $V^* = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(1))$. In order to find $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_6}(2))$ consider the H_6 -module

$$S^2 V^* = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2))$$

which has the following decomposition into irreducible H_6 modules:

(3.1)
$$S^2 V^* = V_0^+ \oplus V_0^- \oplus V_1^+ \oplus V_1^- \oplus V_2^+ \oplus V_2^- \oplus V_3$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} V_0^{\pm} &=& \langle x_0^2 \pm x_3^2, x_1^2 \pm x_4^2, x_2^2 \pm x_5^2 \rangle, \\ V_1^{\pm} &=& \langle x_0 x_1 \pm x_3 x_4, x_1 x_2 \pm x_4 x_5, x_2 x_3 \pm x_5 x_0 \rangle, \\ V_2^{\pm} &=& \langle x_0 x_2 \pm x_3 x_5, x_1 x_3 \pm x_4 x_0, x_2 x_4 \pm x_5 x_1 \rangle, \\ V_3 &=& \langle x_0 x_3, x_1 x_4, x_2 x_5 \rangle. \end{array}$$

Let V_{τ} be the subspace of $S^2 V^*$ consisting of elements invariant under τ . Then obviously

$$V_{\tau} = \langle x_0^2, x_3^2, x_2 x_4, x_5 x_1 \rangle$$

Denote by $H_6(V_{\tau})$ the smallest subspace of S^2V^* containing V_{τ} and invariant under H_6 . Then

$$H_6(V_{\tau}) = V_0^+ \oplus V_0^- \oplus V_2^+ \oplus V_2^-.$$

Analogously,

$$H_6(V_{\sigma^3}) = V_0^+ \oplus V_1^+ \oplus V_2^+ \oplus V_3.$$

From those two decompositions we can conclude that V_0^+ can be isomorphic (as an H_6 -module) only to V_2^+ , V_0^- can be isomorphic (as an H_6 -module) only to V_2^- and V_1^+ only to V_3 . Those pairs of H_6 -modules are indeed isomorphic, hence those are all isomorphisms between the modules of decomposition (3.1).

We have decomposition of $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_6}(2))$ into irreducible H_6 -modules

$$H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_6}(2)) = W_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus W_2$$

with W_i invariant under H_6 and dim $(W_i) = 3$. Note that the intersection of each W_i with each H_6 -module in the decomposition (3.1) is either trivial or the whole W_i . It cannot be W_i in any of these cases because then there is a module in the decomposition (3.1) which is contained in $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_6}(2))$, which is impossible. Indeed, since $x_i(\frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 + \frac{\omega_2}{6})) = 0$ if and only if i = 0 we can see that each module contains a generator which does not vanish on the whole C_6 . It follows that none of W_i is isomorphic to V_1^- as H_6 -modules. Indeed, otherwise such W_i would be equal to V_1^- .

Now, if any two of W_i 's (suppose that W_0 and W_1 without loss of generality) are isomorphic to the same H_6 -module in decomposition (3.1) (suppose that V_0^+ without loss of generality) then, since there is only one subrepresentation of S^2V^* isomorphic to $V_0^+ \oplus V_2^+$, we have $W_0 \oplus W_1 = V_0^+ \oplus V_2^+$ hence $V_0^+ \subset H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_6}(2))$ - contradiction. Thus,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} W_0 & \subset & V_0^+ \oplus V_2^+, \\ W_1 & \subset & V_0^- \oplus V_2^-, \\ W_2 & \subset & V_1^+ \oplus V_3 \end{array}$$

up to a permutation of W_i 's.

Since W_0 is isomorphic to V_0^+ and V_2^+ as an H_6 -module we can choose a basis Q_0, Q_1, Q_2 of W_0 such that $Q_{i+1} = \sigma(Q_i)$ and $\tau(Q_0) = Q_0$. Hence

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Q_0 &=& x_0^2 + x_3^2 + \alpha (x_2 x_4 + x_5 x_1), \\ Q_1 &=& x_1^2 + x_4^2 + \alpha (x_3 x_5 + x_0 x_2), \\ Q_2 &=& x_2^2 + x_5^2 + \alpha (x_4 x_0 + x_1 x_3) \end{array}$$

with

$$\alpha = -\frac{x_3^2(\omega)}{x_2(\omega)x_4(\omega) + x_5(\omega)x_1(\omega)}, \text{ where } \omega = \frac{\omega_1}{2} + \frac{\omega_2}{12}$$

Analogously, the basis of W_1 is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Q_0' &=& x_0^2 - x_3^2 + \beta(x_2 x_4 - x_5 x_1), \\ Q_1' &=& x_1^2 - x_4^2 + \beta(x_3 x_5 - x_0 x_2), \\ Q_2' &=& x_2^2 - x_5^2 + \beta(x_4 x_0 - x_1 x_3) \end{array}$$

with

$$\beta = \frac{x_3^2(\omega)}{x_2(\omega)x_4(\omega) - x_5(\omega)x_1(\omega)}, \text{ where } \omega = \frac{\omega_1}{2} + \frac{\omega_2}{12}.$$

Since W_2 is isomorphic to V_1^+ and V_3 as an H_6 -module we can choose a basis Q_0'', Q_1'', Q_2'' of W_2 such that $Q_{i+1}'' = \sigma(Q_i'')$ and $\tau(Q_0'') = \varepsilon \cdot Q_0''$. Hence

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Q_0'' &=& x_0 x_1 + x_3 x_4 + \gamma x_2 x_5, \\ Q_1'' &=& x_1 x_2 + x_4 x_5 + \gamma x_3 x_0, \\ Q_2'' &=& x_2 x_3 + x_5 x_0 + \gamma x_4 x_1 \end{array}$$

with

$$\gamma = -\frac{x_3(\omega)x_4(\omega)}{x_2(\omega)x_5(\omega)}, \text{ where } \omega = \frac{\omega_1}{2} + \frac{\omega_2}{12}.$$

Thus, we have determined the basis of $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_6}(2))$.

Remark 3.2. In [KK20, Proposition 7.7 and Section 9] Kaneko and Kuwata use different method based on the properties of theta-functions and the classical Jacobi's identity and obtain similar results. If we denote the space $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_6}(2)) \cap \{x_i x_j \mid i+j \equiv k \mod 6\}$ by V_k one can see that both

$$a_1^2 X_0^2 + a_2^2 X_3^2 - a_0^2 X_1 X_5 - a_3^2 X_2 X_4,$$

$$a_2^2 X_0^2 + a_1^2 X_3^2 - a_3^2 X_1 X_5 - a_0^2 X_2 X_4$$

found by them and our Q_0, Q'_0 from Theorem 3.1 form two bases of the space V_0 and similarly for V_k with 0 < k < 6.

Lemma 3.3. Let α , β and γ denote the constants defined in Theorem 3.1. Then the following relations hold:

$$\alpha\beta(\alpha+\beta) = -2,$$
$$\gamma = \alpha\beta.$$

Proof. Let $\omega = \frac{\omega_1}{2} + \frac{\omega_2}{12}$ be as in the previous theorem. Note that α, β and γ are non-zero because $x_i(\omega) = 0 \Leftrightarrow i = 0$. Using the equations defining Q_0 and Q'_0 we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} = -\frac{x_2(\omega)x_4(\omega) + x_5(\omega)x_1(\omega)}{x_3^2(\omega)}$$
$$\frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{x_2(\omega)x_4(\omega) - x_5(\omega)x_1(\omega)}{x_3^2(\omega)}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = -\frac{2x_5(\omega)x_1(\omega)}{x_3^2(\omega)}$$

and, in particular, $\alpha \neq -\beta$.

Now, using the equations defining Q_1 and Q'_1 we get

$$\alpha + \beta = -\frac{2x_1^2(\omega)}{x_3(\omega)x_5(\omega)}$$

Analogously, from the equations of Q_2 and Q'_2

(3.2)
$$\alpha + \beta = -\frac{2x_5^2(\omega)}{x_1(\omega)x_3(\omega)}$$

Multiplying the equations above we obtain

$$(\alpha + \beta)^2 = \frac{4x_1(\omega)x_5(\omega)}{x_3^2(\omega)} = -2\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta}\right).$$

We can multiply both sides by $\frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+\beta}$ to finally get

(3.3) $(\alpha + \beta)\alpha\beta = -2.$

From equations (3.2) and (3.3) we get

$$\alpha\beta = \frac{x_1(\omega)x_3(\omega)}{x_5^2(\omega)}.$$

Now, using the equations defining Q_0'' and Q_1'' we get

$$\gamma = -rac{x_3(\omega)x_4(\omega)}{x_2(\omega)x_5(\omega)}, \quad x_2(\omega) = -rac{x_4(\omega)x_5(\omega)}{x_1(\omega)}.$$

It follows that

$$\gamma = \frac{x_1(\omega)x_3(\omega)}{x_5^2(\omega)} = \alpha\beta.$$

Now let us find the generators of $I(\operatorname{Sec} C_6)$ using the basis we found in Proposition 2.4. Let $C_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be an elliptic normal curve. Let us start with two lemmas.

Lemma 3.4 ([F14], Lemma 3.2). If $n \ge 6$ then $I(Sec(C_n))$ is generated by cubics. A cubic form f vanishes on $Sec(C_n)$ if and only if it is singular at every point on C_n , equivalently $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \in I(C_n)$ for all $0 \le i \le n-1$.

Lemma 3.5 ([F14], Lemma 3.4). The space of cubics vanishing on $Sec(C_n)$ has dimension at most $\frac{n(n-4)(n-5)}{6}$.

We can see that $I(Sec(C_6))$ is generated by at most two cubics. Moreover, we can find explicit equations of those cubics using the second part of Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.6. Recall that $\sigma(x_n) = x_{n-1}$ for all n and α, β and γ are defined in Theorem 3.1. Then the ideal $I(\text{Sec}(C_6))$ is generated by two cubic surfaces F_1 and F_2 given by

$$F_{1} = 2(\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - \alpha - \beta)x_{0}x_{2}x_{4} + \sum_{i=0}^{2}\sigma^{i}(-2x_{0}^{3} + 2(\beta - \alpha)x_{1}x_{2}x_{3} + \alpha\beta(\beta - \alpha)x_{0}x_{3}^{2}),$$

$$F_{2} = 2(\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - \alpha - \beta)x_{1}x_{3}x_{5} + \sum_{i=0}^{2}\sigma^{i}(-2x_{1}^{3} + 2(\beta - \alpha)x_{2}x_{3}x_{4} + \alpha\beta(\beta - \alpha)x_{1}x_{4}^{2}).$$

Proof. Note that all monomials in F_1 and F_2 are distinct and $F_1 = \sigma(F_2)$ and $F_2 = \sigma(F_1)$. Therefore, they are linearly independent and it is enough to check that $\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_0}, \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} \in I(C_6)$. One can easily check that

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_0} = \left(-3 + \frac{\alpha\beta(\beta - \alpha)}{2}\right) \cdot Q_0 + \left(-3 - \frac{\alpha\beta(\beta - \alpha)}{2}\right) \cdot Q'_0 \in I(C_6)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} = 2(\beta - \alpha) \cdot Q_2'' \in I(C_6),$$

where Q_0, Q'_0 and Q''_2 are quadrics defined in Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.7. We used a computer algebra system Singular ([S20]) to compute F_1 and F_2 .

4. Geometry of an elliptic normal curve $C_6 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$

In this section we will prove the main results of the paper.

We will denote the image of C_6 under the projection from point $P \notin \text{Sec}(C_6)$ by C_p . The curve C_p is an elliptic curve of degree 6 in \mathbb{P}^4 .

Proposition 4.1. The curve C_p is k-normal for all $k \geq 2$ and

$$h^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(2)) = 3,$$

 $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(3)) = 17.$

Proof. Let π be a projection map from P. Then the following diagram commutes and the rows are short exact sequences:

Since C_6 is projectively normal we obtain a commutative diagram with rows being exact

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{0}(\mathcal{I}_{C_{6}}(k)) \longrightarrow H^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{5}}(k)) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{C_{6}}(k)) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\uparrow^{\pi_{1}^{*}} \qquad \uparrow^{\pi_{2}^{*}} \qquad \uparrow^{\pi_{3}^{*}}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{0}(\mathcal{I}_{C_{p}}(k)) \longrightarrow H^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(k)) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{C_{p}}(k))$$

It is enough to show that ψ is surjective. Note that π_i^* 's (maps induced by π^*) are injective. From $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_6}(k)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_p}(k)) = 6k$ it follows that π_3^* is an isomorphism. Thus, it is enough to show that $\varphi \circ \pi_2^*$ is surjective. Take any $\langle v \rangle \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_6}(k))$. It has codimension 6k - 1 in $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_6}(k))$ and, since φ is a surjection, codimension of $\varphi^{-1}(\langle v \rangle)$ in $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^5}(k))$ is also equal to 6k - 1 hence

$$\dim \varphi^{-1}(\langle v \rangle) = \binom{5+k}{5} - (6k-1).$$

But then

$$\dim \pi_2^*(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(k))) + \dim \varphi^{-1}(\langle v \rangle) = \binom{4+k}{4} + \binom{5+k}{5} - 6k+1,$$

which is greater than $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(k)) = \binom{5+k}{5}$ for all $k \ge 2$ so

$$\pi_2^*(H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(k))) \cap \varphi^{-1}(\langle v \rangle) \neq \{0\}.$$

It follows that $\varphi \circ \pi_2^*$ is indeed surjective.

From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C_p}(2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_p}(2) \longrightarrow 0$$

we obtain

$$h^{0}(\mathcal{I}_{C_{p}}(2)) = h^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(2)) - h^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{C_{p}}(2)) = 15 - 12 = 3$$

and similarly

$$h^{0}(\mathcal{I}_{C_{p}}(3)) = h^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4}}(3)) - h^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{C_{p}}(3)) = 35 - 18 = 17.$$

We can go further and consider an image of C_p under a projection from a point $Q \in \mathbb{P}^4 \setminus \operatorname{Sec}^2(C_p)$. We will denote this image by C_{pq} . The proof of the following result is analogous.

Proposition 4.2. The curve C_{pq} is k-normal for all $k \geq 3$ and

$$h^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_{pq}}(3)) = 2,$$

 $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_{pq}}(4)) = 11.$

Our next aim is to show that ideals of C_p and C_{pq} are generated in degree 3 and 4 respectively. We will use the theory of regularity of coherent sheaves to do this.

Definition 4.3. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^n . We say that \mathcal{F} is m-regular if

$$H^{i}(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{F}(m-i)) = 0 \quad for \ all \ i > 0.$$

Proposition 4.4 ([Mum66], Lecture 14). Let \mathcal{F} be an *m*-regular coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^n . Then $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(k))$ is spanned by

 $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(k-1)) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) \quad \text{if } k > m.$

This proposition applied to \mathcal{I}_X of some projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ says that if \mathcal{I}_X is *m*-regular, then I(X) is generated in degree *m*.

Lemma 4.5. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a curve and m > 0 be a positive integer. Then \mathcal{I}_C is m-regular if and only if C is (m-1)-normal and $H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(m-2)) = 0$.

Proof. Recall that for any k we have an exact sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C(k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(k) \longrightarrow 0.$

If \mathcal{I}_C is *m*-regular, then $H^1(\mathcal{I}_C(m-1)) = 0$, hence we have a short exact sequence

 $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m-1)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(m-1)) \longrightarrow 0.$

Therefore, C is (m-1)-normal. From the following fragment of the long exact sequence of cohomologies

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m-2)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(m-2)) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{I}_C(m-2))$$

and the fact that both $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m-2))$ (see [Gr61, III proposition 2.1.12]) and $H^2(\mathcal{I}_C(m-2))$ (since \mathcal{I}_C is *m*-regular) are equal to zero, we get $H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(m-2)) = 0$.

For the proof of the opposite direction, consider the following fragment of the long exact sequence of cohomologies for q > 1

$$H^{q-1}(\mathcal{O}_C(m-q)) \longrightarrow H^q(\mathcal{I}_C(m-q)) \longrightarrow H^q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m-q))$$

Since C is a one-dimensional projective variety, we have $H^{q-1}(\mathcal{O}_C(m-q)) = 0$ for q > 2 and $H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(m-2)) = 0$ from the assumptions. Also, by [Gr61, III proposition 2.1.12], $H^q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m-q)) = 0$ for $q \neq n$. Note that $H^n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m-n))$ is also equal to 0 because $m-n \geq -n$. Thus, we always have zeros on the left and on the right in the exact sequence. It follows that $H^q(\mathcal{I}_C(m-q)) = 0$.

For q = 1 we have an exact sequence

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m-1)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(m-1)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{I}_C(m-1)) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since C is (m-1)-normal, $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m-1)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(m-1))$ is surjective, hence $H^1(\mathcal{I}_C(m-1)) = 0$.

Proposition 4.6. The following hold:

- (1) The ideal of the curve C_p is generated in degree 3.
- (2) The ideal of the curve C_{pq} is generated in degree 4.

Proof. Note that for any elliptic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ we have $H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(t)) = 0$ for any t > 0. Indeed, by Serre duality $H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(t)) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(-t)) = 0$.

- (1) Since C_p is 2-normal and $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{C_p}(1)) = 0$, we get that \mathcal{I}_{C_p} is 3-regular by Lemma 4.5.
- (2) Analogously, C_{pq} is 3-normal and $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{C_{pq}}(2)) = 0$, hence $\mathcal{I}_{C_{pq}}$ is 4-regular.

Therefore, by Proposition 4.4, ideals of C_p and C_{pq} are generated in degree 3 and 4 respectively.

Our next aim is to show that if P is a general point of \mathbb{P}^5 then the ideal $I(C_p)$ of the curve C_p is generated by three polynomials of degree 2 and two polynomials of degree 3.

It follows from ([Lan84, Lemma 1 and main Theorem]) that dim $\operatorname{Sec}^3(C_6) = 5$ hence $\operatorname{Sec}^3(C_6) = \mathbb{P}^5$.

Definition 4.7. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a closed, irreducible subvariety of dimension n, not lying on a hyperplane and such that $\dim \operatorname{Sec}^k(X) = k(n+1) - 1$. Then through a general point of $\operatorname{Sec}^k(X)$ there passes a finite number of k-secant (k-1)-planes. In this case, let $\operatorname{sec}_k(X)$ denote the number of such (k-1)-planes.

For the rest of this chapter we impose two conditions on P:

- (1) The point P is a general point of $\operatorname{Sec}^3(C_6) = \mathbb{P}^5$ in the sense of Definition 4.7,
 - i. e. the number of 3-secant 2-planes passing through P is exactly $\sec_k(C_6)$.
- (2) The point P is not a vertex of a quadric hypersurface of rank 3 in \mathbb{P}^5 containing C_6 .

Remark 4.8. Although the set of points $P \in \mathbb{P}^5$, satisfying the above conditions contains an open subset of \mathbb{P}^5 (we will see the proof of this fact in Lemma 4.10), it is important to mention that the conditions can be weakened:

The first condition can be weakened to: "the number of 3-secant 2-planes passing through P is greater than 1".

The second condition is essential for the proof of Lemma 4.10 and, perhaps, can also be weakened. In this case the proof of Lemma 4.10 must be modified, and we leave this direction for further research.

Since C_6 is not a rational normal curve we have $\sec_3(C_6) > 1$ (see [CJ01, Theorem 3.4]). From our assumptions there exist at least two planes spanned by triples of points on C_6 containing P. Note that such triples are disjoint. Indeed, if there are $m \in \{1, 2\}$ common points then the intersection of planes contains an *m*-plane spanned by those *m* points and *P*. It follows that those 6 - m points span a linear subspace of dimension 4 - m which contradicts Lemma 2.2. We will denote these points by (R_1, R_2, R_3) and (T_1, T_2, T_3) . Let $S_1 = \operatorname{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3)$, $S_2 = \operatorname{span}(T_1, T_2, T_3)$ and H = $\operatorname{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3, T_1, T_2, T_3)$. Since $P \in S_1 \cap S_2$ and $\operatorname{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3, T_1, T_2) \simeq \mathbb{P}^4$, we have H = $\operatorname{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3, T_1, T_2, T_3) \simeq \mathbb{P}^4$. Let $f \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(2))$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree two vanishing on C_p and $g = \pi_1^* f \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2))$ be its pullback. Then g vanishes on the lines $\overline{PR_1}$, $\overline{PR_2}$, $\overline{PR_3}$. Since S_1 has degree 1 and V(g) is a hypersurface of degree 2 by Bézout's theorem their intersection is either the whole H or a curve of degree 2. But the intersection contains three lines so it cannot be a curve of degree two, hence $S_1 \subset V(g)$. Thus, the line $\pi(S_1)$ is contained in V(f). Similarly, the line through $\pi(T_1), \pi(T_2)$ and $\pi(T_3)$ is contained in V(f).

Let q_1, q_2 and q_3 be a basis of $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(2))$ and $Q_i = V(q_i)$. Our aim is to show that $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3$ is a complete intersection, i.e., it is a curve. But firstly, we need an additional result. Let us start with the definition.

Definition 4.9 ([CC06]). Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a projective variety. An abstract k-th secant variety Sec_X^k of X, $\operatorname{Sec}_X^k \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}^k(X) \times \mathbb{P}^r$ is the Zariski closure of the set of all pairs $([P_0, \ldots, P_{k-1}], x)$ such that $P_0, \ldots, P_{k-1} \in X$ are linearly independent, smooth points and $x \in \operatorname{span}(P_0, \ldots, P_{k-1})$.

One has the surjective map $p_X^k : \operatorname{Sec}_X^k \to \operatorname{Sec}^k(X) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$, that is, the projection to the second factor, which we call the k-secant map of X.

Lemma 4.10. There exist 4 points $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 \in C_6$ different from R_i, T_i defined above, such that span (A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) contains P.

Proof. Note that the general fibre of the 4-secant map $p_{C_6}^4$ has dimension 2. Let us show that the set

$$M_{R_1} = \{([R_1, P_0, P_1, P_2], P)\} \subset \operatorname{Sec}_{C_6}^4,$$

where P_0, P_1, P_2 are arbitrary points of C_6 such that $P \in \operatorname{span}(R_1, P_0, P_1, P_2)$, has dimension 1. It is enough to show that for a generic point $A \in C_6$ there exist at most finitely many pairs $(B_1^i, B_2^i) \in C_6 \times C_6$ such that $P \in \operatorname{span}(R_1, A, B_1^i, B_2^i)$. In fact, we can show this for any $A \in C_6 \setminus \{R_1, R_2, R_3\}$. So let A be such point and $\{(B_1^i, B_2^i)\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of all pairs of points of C_6 such that $P \in \operatorname{span}(R_1, A, B_1^i, B_2^i)$ for all $i \in I$. Let $C'_6 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the image of C_6 by the composition $\pi_{\pi_{R_1}(A)} \circ \pi_{R_1}$ of projections from R_1 and then from $\pi_{R_1}(A)$. Also, let $E_j^i = \pi_{\pi_{R_1}(A)} \circ \pi_{R_1}(B_j^i)$, $R'_2 = \pi_{\pi_{R_1}(A)} \circ \pi_{R_1}(R_2)$ and $R'_3 = \pi_{\pi_{R_1}(A)} \circ \pi_{R_1}(R_3)$. Then $P' = \pi_{\pi_{R_1}(A)} \circ \pi_{R_1}(P) \in \overline{E_1^i E_2^i}$ for all $i \in I$. Let $E \in C'_6$ be any point other than R'_2, R'_3 . Then R'_2, R'_3 and E span a projective plane which intersects C'_6 at some point E' (since C'_6 has degree 4). Since the lines $\overline{EE'}$ and $\overline{R'_2R'_3}$ span a projective plane and not \mathbb{P}^3 , they intersect at some point J. Thus, we have a function φ from an open subset of C'_6 to $\overline{R'_2R'_3} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\varphi(E) = J$. Note that this function is a rational map. Thus, the image of φ is either a point, so $\{P'\}$, or an open subset of \mathbb{P}^1 .

If Im $\varphi = \{P'\}$ then the restriction of projection map from P', $\pi_{P'|C'_6} : C'_6 \to \pi_{P'}(C'_6)$ is 2 to 1 so $\pi_{P'}(C'_6)$ is a curve of degree 2 in \mathbb{P}^2 hence it is a conic of rank 3 (it can be written as $XY - Z^2$ after some change of coordinates). Thus, P' is a vertex of a quadric surface of rank 3 in \mathbb{P}^3 . From [Hul86, page 28] we know that there are four singular quadric surfaces containing C'_6 (since C'_6 is a normal elliptic curve of degree 4 in \mathbb{P}^3). Thus, P' is one of four vertices of these quadric cones. The set of points

$$K = \bigcup_{A \in C_6} \pi_{\pi_{R_1}(A)}^{-1}(P')$$

for a fixed R_1 is a constructible set of dimension 2 since for any A the set $\pi_{\pi_{R_1}(A)}^{-1}(P')$ is a line, hence a one-dimensional set, and we choose A from C_6 , which is one-dimensional as well. Analogously, the set of points

$$K' = \bigcup_{R_1 \in C_6} \pi_{R_1}^{-1}(K)$$

is a 4-dimensional constructible set. But we have chosen P to be a general point which, in particular, does not belong to K'. Thus, $\operatorname{Im} \varphi$ is not a point.

On the other hand, if Im φ is an open subset of \mathbb{P}^1 then, since both C'_6 and \mathbb{P}^1 are one-dimensional, the fibers of φ , and, in particular, the fiber over P', are finite. Therefore, I is a finite set, hence the set M_{R_1} is indeed one-dimensional.

The same result holds for points R_2, R_3, T_1, T_2, T_3 and, since the fibre over P is at least twodimensional, we can conclude, that there exist some A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 different from R_i and T_i and such that $([A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4], P) \subset \text{Sec}_{C_6}^4$.

Proposition 4.11. The intersection of quadric hypersurfaces Q_1 , Q_2 and Q_3 is a curve.

Proof. Let $V_p \subset V^*$ be the space of linear forms vanishing at P. By Lemma 4.10 we can choose 4 points A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 on C_6 such that each A_i does not belong to $\{R_1, R_2, R_3, T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ and $P \in \text{span}(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$. Let $h_0 \in V_p$ be such that $H = V(h_0)$. Let H_1^1 be a hyperplane spanned by R_1, R_2, R_3, A_1 and A_2 . Then, since C_6 has degree 6, there exists $P_1^1 \in C_6$ such that $R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + A_1 + A_2 + P_1^1 \sim \mathcal{O}_{C_6}(H)$. Using the same argument, we can define 12 hyperplanes:

(1) $H_1^1 = \operatorname{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3, A_1, A_2, P_1^1);$	$H_2^1 = \operatorname{span}(T_1, T_2, T_3, A_3, A_4, P_2^1).$
(2) $H_1^2 = \operatorname{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3, A_1, A_3, P_1^2);$	$H_2^2 = \operatorname{span}(T_1, T_2, T_3, A_2, A_4, P_2^2).$
(3) $H_1^3 = \operatorname{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3, A_1, A_4, P_1^3);$	$H_2^3 = \operatorname{span}(T_1, T_2, T_3, A_2, A_3, P_2^3).$
(4) $H_1^4 = \operatorname{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3, A_2, A_3, P_1^4);$	$H_2^4 = \operatorname{span}(T_1, T_2, T_3, A_1, A_4, P_2^4).$
(5) $H_1^5 = \operatorname{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3, A_2, A_4, P_1^5);$	$H_2^5 = \operatorname{span}(T_1, T_2, T_3, A_1, A_3, P_2^5).$
(6) $H_1^6 = \operatorname{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3, A_3, A_4, P_1^6);$	$H_2^6 = \operatorname{span}(T_1, T_2, T_3, A_1, A_2, P_2^6).$

Now, let us show that all 12 hyperplanes are different. Indeed, the hyperplanes from the first column are clearly different from the ones in the second by Bézout's theorem. Without loss of generality suppose that $H_1^1 = H_1^m$ for some m. Then

$$R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + A_1 + A_2 + A_j \sim \mathcal{O}_{C_6}(H)$$

for $j \in \{3, 4\}$. But then planes S_1 and span (A_1, A_2, A_3) intersect at some point $Q \neq P$. Thus, the line \overline{PQ} is contained in $S_1 \cap \text{span}(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$ hence $\{R_1, R_2, R_3, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ lie both on C_6 and a hyperplane, which contradicts Bézout's theorem.

Note that we have

$$A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 + P_1^1 + P_2^1 \sim (R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + A_1 + A_2 + P_1^1) + (T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + A_3 + A_4 + P_2^1) - (R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + T_1 + T_2 + T_3) \sim \mathcal{O}_{C_6}(H)$$

so there is a hyperplane H_3^1 intersecting C_6 at $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, P_1^1$ and P_2^1 . Analogously, there is a hyperplane H_3^k intersecting C_6 in $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, P_1^k, P_2^k$ for $2 \leq k \leq 6$. Let $h_i^j \in V_p$ be a linear form such that $H_i^j = V(h_i^j)$. Then $f_j = h_0 h_3^j - h_1^j h_2^j \in \text{Sym}^2 V_p$ and $V(f_j) \cap C_6$ contains $Z_j = \{R_1, R_2, R_3, T_1, T_2, T_3, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, P_1^j, P_2^j\}$ and we can multiply h_3^j by some constant so that $V(f_j)$ contains one more point of C_6 not from Z_j . It follows from Bézout's theorem that $C_6 \subset V(f_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 6$.

Note that $N = Q_1 \cap Q_2$ has dimension 2 since $Q_1 \neq Q_2$ and C_p is not contained in any hyperplane. Moreover, N has degree 4 by Bézout's theorem. If $\dim(N \cap Q_3) = 2$ then its degree is less than 4 so it is 3 ([EH87, Proposition 0]) - suppose this is the case. Let π_1^* be the pull-back map from $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(2))$ to $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_6}(2))$ induced from π . Then

$$S = V(\pi_1^* q_1) \cap V(\pi_1^* q_2) \cap V(\pi_1^* q_3) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$$

is a projective variety of dimension 3 and degree 3. We know that $H \cap S$ contains S_1 and S_2 so, by Bézout's theorem, $H \cap S$ is either a union of three planes S_1, S_2 and S_3 or two planes, but the intersection is not transversal along one of them.

Let us firstly consider the former case. It is enough to show that S_3 cannot be a subset of V(q')for some $q' \in \text{Sym}^2 V_p \cap H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_6}(2)) = \text{span}(\pi_1^*q_1, \pi_1^*q_2, \pi_1^*q_3)$. Since $S_3 \subset H$ and $S_3 \subset V(f_j)$ for each j by our assumption, S_3 is contained in $V(h_1^j h_2^j)$. But h_1^j and h_2^j are linear forms and S_3 is a linear space so S_3 is contained in one of H_1^j and H_2^j for each j.

Firstly, let us show that S_3 cannot be a subset of more than 3 of H_1^j (the same for H_2^j). Suppose that S_3 is a subset of 4 out of H_1^j 's. There are two possible cases, namely when the remaining two of

 H_1^j share one of A_i 's and when they do not. Without loss of generality, we can assume that those four H_i^j 's are

- (1) H_1^1 , H_1^2 , H_1^3 , H_1^4 : since $S_1 \neq S_3$ we have dim span $(S_1, S_3) \geq 3$. But since $S_1 \cup S_3 \subset H_1^j$ for $1 \leq j \leq 4$, we get span $(R_1, R_2, R_3, A_1) = H_1^1 \cap H_1^3 = \text{span}(S_1, S_3) = H_1^2 \cap H_1^4 = \text{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3, A_3)$ which contradicts lemma 2.2 (5 points of C_6 on a linear space of dimension 3).
- (2) $H_1^1, H_1^2, H_1^5, H_1^6$: we can consider $H_1^1 \cap H_1^2$ and $H_1^5 \cap H_1^6$ and repeat the reasoning of item 1.

Now we know that S_3 is contained in exactly 3 hyperplanes H_1^j and 3 hyperplanes H_2^j . Moreover, we know that if $S_3 \subset H_1^j$ then $S_3 \not\subseteq H_2^j$ and vice versa. Note that among those three hyperplanes H_1^j 's we can always find two sharing the same A_i . Without loss of generality we can assume that $S_3 \subset H_1^1 \cap H_1^2$. We have two cases:

(1) $S_3 \subset H_2^5 \cap H_2^6$: we have

$$S_1 \cup S_3 \subset H_1^1 \cap H_1^2 = \operatorname{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3, A_1)$$

and

$$S_2 \cup S_3 \subset H_2^5 \cap H_2^6 = \operatorname{span}(T_1, T_2, T_3, A_1).$$

But then we get

$$\dim(\operatorname{span}(S_1, S_3)) = \dim(\operatorname{span}(S_2, S_3)) = 3 = \dim(H_1^1 \cap H_1^2) = \dim(H_2^5 \cap H_2^6)$$

hence

$$span(S_1, S_3) = H_1^1 \cap H_1^2$$

and, similarly,

$$\operatorname{span}(S_2, S_3) = H_2^5 \cap H_2^6.$$

Therefore,

$$\{R_1, R_2, R_3, T_1, T_2, T_3, A_1\} \subset \operatorname{span}(S_1, S_2, S_3) = H,$$

which is a contradiction.

(2) $S_3 \not\subseteq H_2^5 \cap H_2^6$: without loss of generality let $S_3 \not\subseteq H_2^6$. This implies $S_3 \subset H_1^6$. But then we can consider H_1^2 and H_1^6 - we have $S_3 \subset H_2^5 \cap H_2^1$ and the remaining part is analogous to the previous case.

Thus, in all cases S_3 cannot be a subset of all $V(f_i)$'s. It follows that $S_3 \not\subseteq V(\pi_1^*q_1) \cap V(\pi_1^*q_2) \cap V(\pi_1^*q_3)$, which is a contradiction

Now, we need to consider the case when $H \cap S = S_1 \cup S_2$ and, without loss of generality, the intersection is not transversal along S_1 . Note that

$$H \cap H_1^1 \cap H_1^2 \cap H_2^1 \cap H_2^2 = (H \cap H_1^1 \cap H_1^2) \cap (H \cap H_2^1 \cap H_2^2) = S_1 \cap S_2 = \{P\}$$

hence h_0, h_1^1, h_1^2, h_2^1 is a basis of V_p . Note that h_1^1, h_1^2, h_1^3 are linearly dependent in V_p since they intersect in a linear space of dimension 3 hence $h_1^3 = a_1h_1^1 + a_2h_1^2$ (and, analogously, $h_2^3 = b_1h_2^1 + b_2h_2^2$). We can multiply h_1^1, h_1^2, h_2^1 and h_2^2 by some constants so that $h_1^3 = h_1^1 + h_1^2$ and $h_2^3 = h_1^2 + h_2^2$. We can also multiply h_3^1 and h_3^2 by certain constants so that $f_1 = h_0h_3^1 - h_1^1h_2^1$ and $f_2 = h_0h_3^2 - h_1^2h_2^2$ contain C_6 in their zero loci. Let $f_3 = h_0h_3^3 - h_1^3h_2^3 = h_0h_3^3 - (h_1^1 + h_1^2)(h_2^1 + h_2^2)$. Note that f_1, f_2, f_3 is a basis of $\operatorname{Sym}^2 V_p \cap H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_6}(2))$ since they are linearly independent in $\operatorname{Sym}^2 V_p$. This gives us a possibility to work with f_i instead of $\pi_1^*(q_i)$.

Since H and S don't intersect transversally along S_1 , for any $x \in S_1$ we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^3 T_x V(f_i) = T_x S \subset T_x H$. Fix some $g \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(1))$ such that $g(p) \neq 0$. Then $\frac{h_0}{g}, \frac{h_1}{g}, \frac{h_1^2}{g}, \frac{h_2}{g}, \frac{h_2}{g}$ is a system of coordinates on the affine set $\mathbb{P}^5 \setminus V(g)$. Since we deal with cones with vertex p, i.e., none of the equations concerning us involves g, we can by a slight abuse of notation denote the coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^5 \setminus V(g)$ by $h_0, h_1^1, h_2^1, h_2^1, h_2^2$. Furthermore, we may identify $T_x(\mathbb{P}^5 \setminus V(g))$ with $\mathbb{P}^5 \setminus V(g)$ (since $\mathbb{P}^5 \setminus V(g) \simeq \mathbb{A}^5$). Also, $T_x H = V(h_0)$ for every $x \in H \setminus V(g)$.

Therefore, for any $x \in S_1 \setminus V(g)$ we have $h_0 = L_H \in \text{span}(L_{xV(f_1)}, L_{xV(f_2)}, L_{xV(f_3)})$, where L_{xN} is a linear form defining the tangent space of N at x. Let us compute

$$L_{xV(f_i)} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial h_0}(x) \cdot h_0 + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial h_1^1}(x) \cdot h_1^1 + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial h_1^2}(x) \cdot h_1^2 + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial h_2^1}(x) \cdot h_2^1 + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial h_2^2}(x) \cdot h_2^2$$

for $x \in S_1 \setminus V(g)$.

(1) We have

 $L_{xV(f_1)} = h_3^1(x) \cdot h_0 - h_2^1(x) \cdot h_1^1 - h_1^1(x) \cdot h_2^1 + h_0(x) \cdot \nabla h_3^1.$

Now we can use the fact that for any $x \in S_1$ we have $h_0(x) = h_1^1(x) = h_1^2(x) = 0$ to get

$$L_{xV(f_1)} = h_3^1(x) \cdot h_0 - h_2^1(x) \cdot h_1^1$$

or, in other words,

$$L_{xV(f_1)} = (h_3^1(x), -h_2^1(x), 0, 0, 0)$$

(2) Analogously,

$$L_{xV(f_2)} = (h_3^2(x), 0, -h_2^2(x), 0, 0).$$

(3) Analogously,

$$L_{xV(f_3)} = (h_3^3(x), -(h_2^1(x) + h_2^2(x)), -(h_2^1(x) + h_2^2(x)), 0, 0).$$

Let us write $h_3^i = \alpha_i h_2^1 + \beta_i h_2^2$ + other terms. Then we have $h_3^i(x) = \alpha_i h_2^1(x) + \beta_i h_2^2(x)$ for $x \in S_1$. Note that $h_0 = (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0) \in \text{span}(L_{eV}(x), L_{eV}(x))$ for any $x \in S_1$ and for any $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$.

Note that $h_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \text{span}(L_{xV(f_1)}, L_{xV(f_2)}, L_{xV(f_3)})$ for any $x \in S_1$ and for any $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ we can find $x \in S_1$ such that $h_2^1(x) = a$ and $h_2^2(x) = b$. Let us take $x', x'' \in S_1$ such that $h_2^1(x') = h_2^2(x'') = 0$ and $h_2^2(x') = h_2^1(x'') = 1$. Then for some $D_1, D_2, D_3 \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(1,0,0,0,0) = D_1 \cdot (h_3^1(x'), -h_2^1(x'), 0, 0, 0) + D_2 \cdot (h_3^2(x'), 0, -h_2^2(x'), 0, 0) + D_3 \cdot (h_3^3(x'), -(h_2^1(x') + h_2^2(x')), -(h_2^1(x') + h_2^2(x')), 0, 0) = (D_1 h_3^1(x') + D_2 h_3^2(x') + D_3 h_3^3(x'), -D_3, -D_2 - D_3, 0, 0)$$

so $D_2 = D_3 = 0$ hence $h_3^1(x') \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \beta_1 \neq 0$. Analogously, using x'', we obtain $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. Now consider $x \in S_1$ such that $h_2^1(x) = 1$ and $h_2^2(x) \neq 0$. Then for some $A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(1,0,0,0,0) = (Ah_3^1(x) + Bh_3^2(x) + Ch_3^3(x), -A - C - Ch_2^2(x), -Bh_2^2(x) - C - Ch_2^2(x), 0, 0).$$

Hence $C \neq 0$ so we can redefine $A' := \frac{A}{C}$ and $B' := \frac{B}{C}$ and we have :

$$\left(\frac{1}{C}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right) = \left(A'h_3^1(x) + B'h_3^2(x) + h_3^3(x), -A' - 1 - h_2^2(x), -B'h_2^2(x) - 1 - h_2^2(x), 0, 0\right).$$

Therefore, A' = -1 - t and $B' = -\frac{1+t}{t}$, where $t = h_2^2(x)$. Also, we have $A'h_3^1(x) + B'h_3^2(x) + h_3^3(x) \neq 0$. Therefore

$$A'h_3^1(x) + B'h_3^2(x) + h_3^3(x) = (-1-t)(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 t) - \frac{1+t}{t} \cdot (\alpha_2 + \beta_2 t) + \alpha_3 + \beta_3 t = r(t)$$

has to be non-zero. But

$$-t \cdot r(t) = \beta_1 t^3 + (\beta_1 + \alpha_1 + \beta_2 - \beta_3)t^2 + (\alpha_1 + \beta_2 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)t + \alpha_2$$

with $\beta_1 \neq 0 \neq \alpha_2$ has 3 non-zero solutions s_i . Choosing $x_s \in S_1$ such that $h_2^1(x_s) = 1$ and $h_2(x_s) = s_1$ gives a contradiction with $h_0 \in \text{span}(L_{x_sV(f_1)}, L_{x_sV(f_2)}, L_{x_sV(f_3)})$.

Thus, $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3$ is a curve.

We already know that the intersection contains C_p and two lines. By Bézout's theorem, $\deg(\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} Q_i) = 2^3 = 8$ so $\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} Q_i$ is a union of C_p and two lines. We are ready to prove the main results of the paper.

Theorem 4.12. The ideal $I(C_p)$ of the curve C_p is generated by three polynomials of degree 2 and two polynomials of degree 3.

Proof. From Proposition 4.11 we know that the sequence (q_1, q_2, q_3) is regular. This implies that there are no linear syzygies between q_1, q_2, q_3 (e.g. from the fact that the Koszul complex of (q_1, q_2, q_3) is exact). Note that $x_i q_j \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(3))$ is a cubic containing C_p . Therefore, as a corollary, we have

$$\dim U = 3 \cdot 5 = 15$$

where $U \subset H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(3))$ is a vector space generated by all possible $x_i q_j$. Thus, by Proposition 4.1, there are two linearly independent cubics $F_1 = V(f_1)$ and $F_2 = V(f_2)$ with $f_1, f_2 \subset H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_p}(3))$ not in U such that

$$\operatorname{span}(f_1, f_2) \oplus U = H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_n}(3)).$$

Combining this with the first part of Proposition 4.6 we get the result.

Theorem 4.13. The ideal $I(C_{pq})$ of the curve C_{pq} is generated by two polynomials of degree 3 and three polynomials of degree 4.

Proof. From Proposition 4.2 we know that there are two linearly independent cubic polynomials in $I(C_{pq})$, which we will denote by g_1 and g_2 . Let $G_1 = V(g_1)$ and $G_2 = V(g_2)$. We will show that $G_1 \cap G_2$ is one-dimensional. Suppose it is not. Then g_1 and g_2 have a common factor. By Bézout's theorem, if the factor has degree one, then the intersection is a sum of a plane and a curve of degree 4. If the common factor has degree 2, then the intersection is a sum of surface of degree 2 and a line. The former case is impossible since C_{pq} is non-degenerate of degree 6, $C_{pq} \subset G_1 \cap G_2$ and C_{pq} is not a plane curve. In the latter case, $C_{pq} \subset G_1 \cap G_2 = Q \cup l$, where l is a line and Q = V(f) for some homogeneous polynomial f of degree two, therefore $C_{pq} \subset Q$. Then $x_0 f, x_1 f, x_2 f, x_3 f \in H^0(I(C_{pq}(3)))$ are cubics containing C_{pq} . They are linearly independent since if for some $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_3$ we have

$$\alpha_0 x_0 f + \alpha_1 x_1 f + \alpha_2 x_2 f + \alpha_3 x_3 f = 0$$

then

$$(\alpha_0 x_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \alpha_3 x_3)f = 0$$

implying $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$. But $H^0(I(C_{pq}(3)))$ is two-dimensional - contradiction. Thus, (g_1, g_2) is a regular sequence and there are no linear syzygies between g_1 and g_2 .

Now, arguing as before, we see that there are $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_{pq}}(4)) - 4 \cdot h^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_{pq}}(3)) = 11 - 8 = 3$ linearly independent quartics in $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{C_{pq}}(4))$, which do not come from g_1 and g_2 . Combining this with the second part of Proposition 4.6 we get the result.

Remark 4.14. For the sake of completeness, let us study the image of the curve C_{pq} under the generic projection onto \mathbb{P}^2 . From [Lan84, main Theorem] it follows that $\operatorname{Sec} C_{pq} = \mathbb{P}^3$. Thus, from the proof of [Har77, Theorem 3.10] we see that there is an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ such that the projection from each $O \in U$ is a birational morphism from C_{pq} to its image in \mathbb{P}^2 , and the image has at most nodes for singularities. Using the genus-degree formula we conclude that in this case the image of C_{pq} has arithmetic genus 10, hence it has exactly 9 nodes.

Acknowledgments

The results presented in this article are a part of the author's Bachelor Thesis at Jagiellonian University in Kraków. I am extremely thankful to my supervisor, Michał Farnik, for the insightful discussions, constant support and valuable guidance throughout my studies. I would like to thank Paweł Borówka for the advice and feedback on the article and Grzegorz Kapustka for suggesting the topic. The author has been supported by the Polish National Science Center project number 2019/35/ST1/0238.

References

[Bian80] L. Bianchi, Ueber die Normalformen dritter und fünfter Stufe des elliptischen Integrals erster Gattung, Math. Ann. 17 (1880), 234-262

[CC06] L. Chiantini, C. Ciliberto, On the concept of k-secant order of a variety, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 73 (2006), 436-454

- [Chan85] K. Chandrasekharan, Elliptic Functions, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 281 (1985)
- [CJ01] M.L. Catalano-Johnson, The homogeneous ideals of higher secant varieties, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 158 (2001), 123-129
- [EH87] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, On Varieties of Minimal Degree, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 46 (1987)
- [FH04] W. Fulton, J. Harris Representation theory, Vol. 129. Readings in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag New York, 2004
- [F14] T. Fisher, Minimal models for 6-coverings of elliptic curves, LMS J. Comput. Math. 17 (Special issue A) (2014), 112-127
- [Gr61] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, Éléments de géométrie algébrique, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1961-1967)
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer New York, 1977

[Hul86] K. Hulek, Projective geometry of elliptic curves, Soc. Math. de France, Asterisque 137 (1986)

- [Hur86] A. Hurwitz, Ueber endliche Gruppen linearer Substitutionen, welche in der Theorie der elliptischen Transcendenten auftreten, Math. Ann. 27 (1886), 183-233
- [Kl85] F. Klein, Über die elliptischen normalcurven der N^{ten} ordnung und zugehörige modulfunctionen der N^{ten} stufe, Des XIII. Bandes der Abhandlungen der mathematisch-physischen Classe der Königl. Sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften (1885)
- [KK20] M. Kaneko, M. Kuwata, Elliptic normal curves of even degree and theta functions, arXiv:2005.12449
- [Lan84] H. Lange, Higher secant varieties of curves and the theorem of Nagata on ruled surfaces, Manuscripta Math. 47 (1984), 263-269
- [Mum66] D. Mumford, Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface, Princeton University Press (1966)
- [S20] Wolfram Decker et al. Singular 4-2-0 A computer algebra system for polynomial computations (2020) url: http://www.singular.uni-kl.de

Institute of Mathematics, Jagiellonian University in Kraków, Poland *Email address*: anatoli.shatsila@student.uj.edu.pl