

Filling curves for $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

Masaaki Homma
 Department of Mathematics and Physics
 Kanagawa University
 Hiratsuka 259-1293, Japan
 homma@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

Seon Jeong Kim *
 Department of Mathematics, and RINS
 Gyeongsang National University
 Jinju 52828, Korea
 skim@gnu.kr

Abstract

We determine the minimal bi-degree(s) of an irreducible filling curve over \mathbb{F}_q for $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. It is $(q + 1, q + 1)$ if $q \neq 2$, and they are $(4, 3)$ and $(3, 4)$ if $q = 2$.

Key Words: Finite field, Projective curve, Rational point

MSC: 14G15, 14H50, 14G05, 14Q05

1 Introduction

A plane filling curve over \mathbb{F}_q is a curve C in \mathbb{P}^2 such that the set of \mathbb{F}_q -points $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of C coincides with $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of \mathbb{P}^2 . If C is a plane filling curve of degree d , then $d \geq q + 1$ and equality occurs only if C is a union of $q + 1$ \mathbb{F}_q -lines passing through a common \mathbb{F}_q -point. Hence if a plane filling curve is irreducible, then $d \geq q + 2$; and such a curve of degree $q + 2$ actually exists, which is due to Tallini [9]. The family of plane filling curves of degree $q + 2$ has been studied by several authors [1, 5, 6, 8, 9] from several points of view, for example, the specific defining equations, classification, their automorphism group, and their “degenerations”.

We want to study curves on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ from a similar point of view. As the first step, in this paper, we determine the minimal bi-degree of irreducible filling curves for $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

*Partially supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2016R1D1A1B01011730).

Let C be an irreducible curve on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by a bi-homogeneous polynomial $F(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1]$ of bi-degree (a, b) . Here the first two variables X_0, X_1 are coordinates of the first factor \mathbb{P}^1 of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and the second Y_0, Y_1 are those of the second one.

It is easy to show that if

$$C(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q), \quad (1)$$

then $a \geq q + 1$ and $b \geq q + 1$ (Lemma 2.1).

If a curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ has the property (1), the curve is called a $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -filling curve over \mathbb{F}_q .

For the existence problem, we can prove that

- (i) when $q \neq 2$, there is an irreducible $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -filling curve over \mathbb{F}_q of bi-degree $(q + 1, q + 1)$;
- (ii) when $q = 2$, there are no irreducible $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -filling curves over \mathbb{F}_q of bi-degree $(3, 3)$, but there are irreducible $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -filling curves over \mathbb{F}_q of bi-degree $(4, 3)$ and $(3, 4)$.

At the end of Introduction, we add a parenthetic remark. For an algebraic variety X over \mathbb{F}_q , $N_q(X)$ denotes the cardinality of the set of \mathbb{F}_q -points of X . Let C be a nondegenerate irreducible curve of degree d over \mathbb{F}_q in \mathbb{P}^r . Then

$$N_q(C) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(q-1)(q^{r+1}-1)}{q(q^r-1)-r(q-1)} d \right\rfloor$$

which was shown in [4, Theorem 3.2]. The curve of bi-degree $(4, 3)$ (and also $(3, 4)$) in (ii) above attains this upper bound via Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$. Actually,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{(q-1)(q^{r+1}-1)}{q(q^r-1)-r(q-1)} d \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{15}{2 \cdot 7 - 3} 7 \right\rfloor = \lfloor 9.5... \rfloor = 9$$

which coincides with $N_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$.

2 A lemma

Lemma 2.1 *Let $F(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1]$ be an irreducible bi-homogeneous polynomial of bi-degree (a, b) , and C be the curve on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by $F = 0$. Suppose that*

$$C(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Then $a \geq q + 1$ and $b \geq q + 1$. More precisely, there are bi-homogeneous polynomials f and g in $\mathbb{F}_q[X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1]$ of bi-degree $(a - (q + 1), b)$ and $(a, b - (q + 1))$ respectively such that

$$F = f \cdot (X_0^q X_1 - X_0 X_1^q) + g \cdot (Y_0^q Y_1 - Y_0 Y_1^q).$$

Proof. Let $\pi_1 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the first projection. Since C is irreducible, $\pi_1^{-1}(P)$ is not a component of C for any $P \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Hence

$$N_q(C) \leq \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)} (C \cdot \pi_1^{-1}(P)) = b(q+1).$$

Since $N_q(C) = (q+1)^2$, we have $b \geq q+1$. Similarly, $a \geq q+1$.

Next we show the additional assertion. Let $x = X_1/X_0$ and $y = Y_1/Y_0$. Then

$$F(1, x; 1, y) = \frac{F(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1)}{X_0^a Y_0^b}$$

is a polynomial in x and y , and vanishes on

$$\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{F}_q) = ((\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{X_0 = 0\}) \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{Y_0 = 0\}))(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Hence, there are polynomials $u(x, y), v(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x, y]$ such that

$$F(1, x; 1, y) = u(x, y)(x - x^q) + v(x, y)(y - y^q).$$

Therefore, there are bi-homogeneous polynomials $U = U(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1)$ and $V = V(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1)$ of bi-degree $(a - q, b)$ and $(a, b - q)$ respectively such that

$$F = U \cdot (X_0^{q-1} X_1 - X_1^q) + V \cdot (Y_0^{q-1} Y_1 - Y_1^q). \quad (2)$$

Then

$$F(0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1) = -U(0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1)X_1^q + V(0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1)(Y_0^{q-1}Y_1 - Y_1^q). \quad (3)$$

Since U is of bi-degree $(a - q, b)$,

$$U(0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1) = f_1(Y_0, Y_1)X_1^{a-q} \quad (4)$$

for a certain homogeneous polynomial $f_1(Y_0, Y_1)$ in Y_0, Y_1 of degree b . Since the leftmost and the rightmost terms of (3) vanish on $\{(0, 1) \times (1, \beta) \mid \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$, $f_1(1, \beta) = 0$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Hence there is a homogeneous polynomial $f_2(Y_0, Y_1)$ of degree $b - q$ such that

$$f_1(Y_0, Y_1) = f_2(Y_0, Y_1)(Y_0^{q-1}Y_1 - Y_1^q). \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, from (4), there exists a bi-homogeneous polynomial U_0 of bi-degree $(a - q - 1, b)$ such that

$$U(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1) = U_0(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1)X_0 + f_1(Y_0, Y_1)X_1^{a-q}. \quad (6)$$

Let

$$V_0 = X_1^{a-q}f_2(Y_0, Y_1)(X_0^{q-1}X_1 - X_1^q) + V,$$

which is of bi-degree $(a, b - q)$. Then, from (2), (5) and (6),

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1) = & U_0(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1)(X_0^q X_1 - X_0 X_1^q) \\ & + V_0(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1)(Y_0^{q-1} Y_1 - Y_1^q). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Substitute $Y_0 = 0$ in (7). Then we have

$$F(X_0, X_1; 0, Y_1) = U_0(X_0, X_1; 0, Y_1)(X_0^q X_1 - X_0 X_1^q) - V_0(X_0, X_1; 0, Y_1)Y_1^q.$$

Since V_0 is bi-homogeneous of degree $(a, b - q)$, there is a homogeneous polynomial $g_1(X_0, X_1)$ of degree a such that $V_0(X_0, X_1; 0, Y_1) = g_1(X_0, X_1)Y_1^{b-q}$. Since $F(\alpha_0, \alpha_1; 0, 1) = 0$ for any $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and the first term in the right side of (7) vanishes at $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1; 0, 1)$ for any $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$, so is $g_1(X_0, X_1)$. Hence

$$g_1(X_0, X_1) = f_3(X_0, X_1)(X_0^q X_1 - X_0 X_1^q)$$

for a homogeneous polynomial $f_3(X_0, X_1)$ of degree $a - (q + 1)$. Therefore there is a bi-homogeneous polynomial $g(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1)$ of bi-degree $(a, b - q - 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} V_0(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1) = & g(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1)Y_0 \\ & + f_3(X_0, X_1)Y_1^{b-(q+1)}(X_0^q X_1 - X_0 X_1^q). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Finally, taking $f(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1)$ as

$$f = U_0 + f_3(X_0, X_1)Y_1^{b-(q+1)},$$

we have

$$F = f \cdot (X_0^q X_1 - X_0 X_1^q) + g \cdot (Y_0^q Y_1 - Y_0 Y_1^q)$$

by (7) and (8). □

3 Existence for $q \neq 2$

Our method for proving the existence is rather heuristic. In general, for a curve C on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of bi-degree (a, b) defined by $F = F(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1) = 0$, if C is nonsingular with $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, then C is irreducible¹; and the nonsingularity of C is guaranteed by the condition that the simultaneous equations

$$F = F_{X_0} = F_{X_1} = F_{Y_0} = F_{Y_1} = 0 \quad (9)$$

¹Actually, if C is reducible, we can pick up two components D_1 of type (a', b') with $a' > 0$ and D_2 of type (a'', b'') with $b'' > 0$. Then $(D_1, D_2) = a'b'' + a''b' > 0$, which implies $D_1 \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$.

has only trivial solutions. Here the subscript variable of a polynomial indicates taking the partial derivative of the polynomial by the assigned variable, and a trivial solution means a solution of the form $(0, 0) \times (*, *)$ or $(*, *) \times (0, 0)$. The strategy to show the existence is to find a polynomial F equipped with the above property.

In this section, we suppose that $q \neq 2$, and restrict our consideration within the following situation.

Setup 3.1 Let C be a curve on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ over \mathbb{F}_q of bi-degree $(q+1, q+1)$ defined by $F = 0$. Here $F = F(X_0, X_1; Y_0, Y_1)$ is defined as

$$F = f(Y_0, Y_1)(X_0^q X_1 - X_0 X_1^q) + g(X_0, X_1)(Y_0^q Y_1 - Y_0 Y_1^q),$$

where $f(Y_0, Y_1)$ and $g(X_0, X_1)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $q+1$. Furthermore, suppose that both f and g have no multiple zeros on \mathbb{P}^1 , and no \mathbb{F}_q -zeros.

Lemma 3.2 *Under Setup 3.1, a point on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ satisfies the equations (9) if and only if it satisfies*

$$\frac{gX_1}{X_0^q} = -\frac{gX_0}{X_1^q} = -\frac{fY_1}{Y_0^q} = \frac{fY_0}{Y_1^q}. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Let us consider five polynomials

$$F = f(Y_0, Y_1)(X_0^q X_1 - X_0 X_1^q) + g(X_0, X_1)(Y_0^q Y_1 - Y_0 Y_1^q) \quad (11)$$

$$F_{X_0} = -X_1^q f(Y_0, Y_1) + g_{X_0}(X_0, X_1)(Y_0^q Y_1 - Y_0 Y_1^q) \quad (12)$$

$$F_{X_1} = X_0^q f(Y_0, Y_1) + g_{X_1}(X_0, X_1)(Y_0^q Y_1 - Y_0 Y_1^q) \quad (13)$$

$$F_{Y_0} = f_{Y_0}(Y_0, Y_1)(X_0^q X_1 - X_0 X_1^q) - Y_1^q g(X_0, X_1) \quad (14)$$

$$F_{Y_1} = f_{Y_1}(Y_0, Y_1)(X_0^q X_1 - X_0 X_1^q) + Y_0^q g(X_0, X_1). \quad (15)$$

Step 1. Let $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0, \beta_1) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be a solution of (9). Then

- (i) $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \notin \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $(\beta_0, \beta_1) \notin \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$;
- (ii) $f(\beta_0, \beta_1) \neq 0$ and $g(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \neq 0$;
- (iii) $g_{X_0}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \neq 0$, $g_{X_1}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \neq 0$, $f_{Y_0}(\beta_0, \beta_1) \neq 0$ and $f_{Y_1}(\beta_0, \beta_1) \neq 0$.

For (i), suppose that $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Since $(\alpha_0^q \alpha_1 - \alpha_0 \alpha_1^q) = 0$ and $F_{Y_0}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1; \beta_0, \beta_1) = F_{Y_1}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1; \beta_0, \beta_1) = 0$, we have $g(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = 0$ by (14) and (15), which contradicts the setup on g . Similarly, we have $(\beta_0, \beta_1) \notin \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

For (ii), suppose that $f(\beta_0, \beta_1) = 0$. Then

$$0 = F(\alpha_0, \alpha_1; \beta_0, \beta_1) = g(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)(\beta_0^q \beta_1 - \beta_0 \beta_1^q).$$

Since $(\beta_0, \beta_1) \notin \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ by (i), $g(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = 0$. Similarly, we have $g_{X_0}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = 0$ and $g_{X_1}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = 0$ by using (12) and (13). So (α_0, α_1) is a multiple zero of g , which contradicts the setup on g .

For (iii), suppose that, for example, $g_{X_0}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = 0$. Then, from (12) and a result in (ii), $\alpha_1 = 0$. Namely $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = (1, 0)$, which contradicts a result in (i).

Step 2. Continuously, let $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0, \beta_1)$ be a solution of (9). Then

$$\beta_0^q \beta_1 - \beta_0 \beta_1^q = \frac{\alpha_1^q f(\beta_0, \beta_1)}{g_{X_0}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)} = -\frac{\alpha_0^q f(\beta_0, \beta_1)}{g_{X_1}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)} \quad (16)$$

by (12) and (13). Similarly,

$$\alpha_0^q \alpha_1 - \alpha_0 \alpha_1^q = \frac{\beta_1^q g(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)}{f_{Y_0}(\beta_0, \beta_1)} = -\frac{\beta_0^q g(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)}{f_{Y_1}(\beta_0, \beta_1)} \quad (17)$$

by (16) and (15). Since $f(\beta_0, \beta_1) \neq 0$ and $g(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \neq 0$ by Step 1 (ii), (16) and (17) imply that the point $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0, \beta_1)$ satisfies the equations

$$\frac{X_1^q}{g_{X_0}} = -\frac{X_0^q}{g_{X_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{Y_1^q}{f_{Y_0}} = -\frac{Y_0^q}{f_{Y_1}}.$$

On the other hand, substitute the first equality of (16) and that of (17) into

$$f(\beta_0, \beta_1)(\alpha_0^q \alpha_1 - \alpha_0 \alpha_1^q) + g(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)(\beta_0^q \beta_1 - \beta_0 \beta_1^q) = 0,$$

we know that the point also satisfies $\frac{X_1^q}{g_{X_0}} = -\frac{Y_1^q}{f_{Y_0}}$. So the point satisfies (10).

Step 3. Conversely, suppose that (10) holds at a point $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \times (\beta_0, \beta_1)$. Those equations imply the following four equations:

$$X_0^q f_{Y_1} + Y_0^q g_{X_1} = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$X_0^q f_{Y_0} - Y_1^q g_{X_1} = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$X_1^q f_{Y_1} - Y_0^q g_{X_0} = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$X_1^q f_{Y_0} + Y_1^q g_{X_0} = 0. \quad (21)$$

Making

$$[\text{Eq. (18)}] \times X_1 Y_1 + [\text{Eq. (19)}] \times X_1 Y_0 - [\text{Eq. (20)}] \times X_0 Y_1 - [\text{Eq. (21)}] \times X_0 Y_0,$$

we have

$$(Y_0 f_{Y_0} + Y_1 f_{Y_1})(X_0^q X_1 - X_0 X_1^q) + (X_0 g_{X_0} + X_1 g_{X_1})(Y_0^q Y_1 - Y_0 Y_1^q) = 0,$$

which means $F = 0$ by the Euler identity. Similarly, making $[\text{Eq. (18)}] \times Y_1 + [\text{Eq. (19)}] \times Y_0$, $-([\text{Eq. (20)}] \times Y_1 + [\text{Eq. (21)}] \times Y_0)$, $[\text{Eq. (18)}] \times X_1 - [\text{Eq. (20)}] \times X_0$ and $[\text{Eq. (19)}] \times X_1 - [\text{Eq. (21)}] \times X_0$, we have $F_{X_1} = 0$, $F_{X_0} = 0$, $F_{Y_1} = 0$ and $F_{Y_0} = 0$ respectively. \square

Theorem 3.3 *If $q \neq 2$, then there is a nonsingular irreducible $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -filling curve over \mathbb{F}_q of bi-degree $(q+1, q+1)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.2, it is sufficient to find two homogeneous polynomials $f(Y_0, Y_1)$ and $g(X_0, X_1)$ such that

- (i) $f = 0$ and $g = 0$ have no multiple solutions on \mathbb{P}^1 ;
 - (ii) $f = 0$ and $g = 0$ have no \mathbb{F}_q -solutions;
 - (iii) the simultaneous equations (10) has no nontrivial solutions.
- (I) Suppose that q is odd and $q \geq 5$. Since

$$|\mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{u^2 \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}| = \frac{q-1}{2} \geq 2,$$

we can choose two distinct elements $-\delta, -\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{u^2 \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$. Let $f(Y_0, Y_1) = Y_0^{q+1} + \delta Y_1^{q+1}$ and $g(X_0, X_1) = X_0^{q+1} + \gamma X_1^{q+1}$. Obviously, they satisfy the condition (i). By the choice of δ and γ , they satisfy the condition (ii). For our f and g above, the simultaneous equations (10) can be reduced to

$$\frac{\gamma X_1^q}{X_0^q} = -\frac{X_0^q}{X_1^q} = -\frac{\delta Y_1^q}{Y_0^q} = \frac{Y_0^q}{Y_1^q}.$$

Put $\frac{X_1}{X_0} = x$ and $\frac{Y_1}{Y_0} = y$. Then

$$\gamma x^q = -\frac{1}{x^q} = -\delta y^q = \frac{1}{y^q},$$

which imply

$$\gamma = -\frac{1}{x^{2q}}, \quad \delta = -\frac{1}{y^{2q}} \quad \text{and} \quad x^q = -y^q.$$

Hence $\gamma = \delta$, which is a contradiction.

(II) Suppose that $q = 2^e$ with $e \geq 2$. Since

$$|\mathbb{F}_{2^e} \setminus \{u + u^2 \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^e}\}| = 2^{e-1} \geq 2,$$

we can choose two distinct elements $\delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^e} \setminus \{u + u^2 \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^e}\}$. Let $f(Y_0, Y_1) = Y_0^{q+1} + Y_0 Y_1^q + \delta Y_1^{q+1}$ and $g(X_0, X_1) = X_0^{q+1} + X_0 X_1^q + \gamma X_1^{q+1}$. Then, by similar arguments in (I), we know that f and g satisfy the above conditions (i), (ii) and (iii).

(III) Suppose that $q = 3$. Let $f(Y_0, Y_1) = Y_0^4 + Y_1^4$ and $g(X_0, X_1) = X_0^4 + X_0 X_1^3 + 2X_1^4$. It is easy to see that f and g satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii). For our f and g , the equations (10) can be reduced to

$$\frac{2X_1^3}{X_0^3} = 2\frac{X_0^3 + X_1^3}{X_1^3} = 2\frac{Y_1^3}{Y_0^3} = \frac{Y_0^3}{Y_1^3}.$$

Put $\frac{X_1}{X_0} = x$ and $\frac{Y_1}{Y_0} = y$. Then the simultaneous equations can be written as

$$2x^3 = 2\left(\frac{1}{x^3} + 1\right) = 2y^3 = \frac{1}{y^3},$$

and has no solutions. Actually, from the first and third terms, we have $x = y$. Then the second and the fourth terms imply $x = y = 1$. But this solutions do not agree with the first equality. \square

4 Non-existence and existence for $q = 2$

Theorem 4.1 *Assume that $q = 2$.*

- (a) *There are no irreducible $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -filling curves over \mathbb{F}_2 of bi-degree $(3, 3)$.*
- (b) *There are nonsingular irreducible $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ -filling curves over \mathbb{F}_2 of bi-degree $(4, 3)$, and of bi-degree $(3, 4)$.*

Proof. (a) Let C be an irreducible curve on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ over \mathbb{F}_2 of bi-degree $(3, 3)$. Through the Segre embedding $s : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$, $s(C)$ is a nondegenerate irreducible curve of degree 6. Therefore, by [4, Theorem 3.2],

$$N_2(C) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(2-1)(2^4-1)}{2(2^3-1) - 3(2-1)} 6 \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{90}{11} \right\rfloor < 9 = N_2(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1).$$

(b) Let us consider the curve C on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ over \mathbb{F}_2 of bi-degree $(4, 3)$ defined by $F = 0$, where

$$F = (X_0Y_0^3 + X_1Y_1^3)(X_0^2X_1 + X_0X_1^2) + (X_0^2 + X_0X_1 + X_1^2)^2(Y_0^2Y_1 + Y_0Y_1^2).$$

We want to confirm that C is nonsingular. Partial derivatives of F by each variable are

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X_0} &= Y_0^3(X_0^2X_1 + X_0X_1^2) + X_1^2(X_0Y_0^3 + X_1Y_1^3) \\ F_{X_1} &= Y_1^3(X_0^2X_1 + X_0X_1^2) + X_0^2(X_0Y_0^3 + X_1Y_1^3) \\ F_{Y_0} &= X_0Y_0^2(X_0^2X_1 + X_0X_1^2) + (X_0^2 + X_0X_1 + X_1^2)^2Y_1^2 \\ F_{Y_1} &= X_1Y_1^2(X_0^2X_1 + X_0X_1^2) + (X_0^2 + X_0X_1 + X_1^2)^2Y_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

We will try to find a nontrivial solution for (9), and expect this trial to fail.

If $X_0 = 0$, then $X_1^4Y_1^2 = 0$ and $X_1^4Y_0^2 = 0$ from $F_{Y_0} = 0$ and $F_{Y_1} = 0$ respectively, which means that we get only trivial solutions.

If $Y_0 = 0$, then $X_1^3Y_1^3 = 0$ by $F_{X_0} = 0$. Since we are seeking a nontrivial solution, $X_1 = 0$. Hence $X_0^4Y_1^2 = 0$ by $F_{Y_0} = 0$, which is absurd.

Let $x = \frac{X_1}{X_0}$ and $y = \frac{Y_1}{Y_0}$. Then the problem can be reduced to solve the simultaneous equations:

$$(1 + xy^3)(x + x^2) + (1 + x + x^2)^2(y + y^2) = 0 \quad (22)$$

$$(x + x^2) + x^2(1 + xy^3) = 0 \quad (23)$$

$$(x + x^2)y^3 + (1 + xy^3) = 0 \quad (24)$$

$$(x + x^2) + (1 + x + x^2)^2y^2 = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$x(x + x^2)y^2 + (1 + x + x^2)^2 = 0. \quad (26)$$

Suppose that (x, y) is a solution of the above simultaneous equations. Then $x \neq 0, 1$. Actually $x = 0$ is not compatible with (24), neither is $x = 1$ with (26).

Making (23) + $x^2 \times$ (24) we get

$$(x + x^2)(1 + x^2y^3) = 0.$$

Hence $x^2y^3 = 1$. On the other hand, making (25) + $y^2 \times$ (26),

$$(x + x^2)(1 + xy^4) = 0.$$

Hence $xy^4 = 1$. Therefore $x = y \neq 0$. Substitute $y = x$ into (23) and (25). Then we get $x + x^6 = 0$ and $x + x^4 + x^6 = 0$, which is absurd. \square

5 Closing

The image of the Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ over \mathbb{F}_q is a nonsingular quadratic surface, and is called a hyperbolic quadratic surface [3, 5.2].

Corollary 5.1 *Let S be a hyperbolic quadratic surface over \mathbb{F}_q in \mathbb{P}^3 . The smallest degree of an S -filling irreducible curve is $2q + 2$ if $q \neq 2$, and 7 if $q = 2$.*

Remark 5.2 We have constructed a curve in Corollary 5.1 as a nonsingular one. But there is a nonsingular S -filling curve which is not irreducible; the curve $\cup_{P \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{P\}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \simeq S$ is such a curve, and of degree $q + 1$.

At the end of this paper, we pose a problem. For a given nonsingular surface S over \mathbb{F}_q in \mathbb{P}^3 , the existence of an S -filling nonsingular curve is known ([2] and [7]), however, we don't know whether an S -filling irreducible curve exists or not. But we strongly expect the existence of an S -filling irreducible curve. A problem is what the smallest degree of an S -filling irreducible curve is, if any. Especially the following three cases may be interesting:

- (i) the elliptic quadratic surface,

- (ii) the Hermitian surface of degree $\sqrt{q} + 1$,
- (iii) the space filling surface

$$X_0 X_1^q - X_0^q X_1 + X_2 X_3^q - X_2^q X_3 = 0.$$

References

- [1] G. Duran Cunha, *Curves containing all points of a finite projective Galois plane*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 222 (2018) 2964–2974.
- [2] O. Gabber, *On space filling curves and Albanese varieties*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 11 (2001), 1192–1200.
- [3] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, *Projective geometries over finite fields* (second edition), Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [4] M. Homma, *A bound on the number of points of a curve in a projective space over a finite field*, in: M. Lavrauw, G.L. Mullen, S. Nikova, D. Panario, and L. Storme (Eds.), *Theory and Applications of Finite Fields*, 103–110 Contemp. Math., vol. 579, AMS, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [5] M. Homma, *Fragments of plane filling curves of degree $q + 2$ over the finite field of q elements, and of affine-plane filling curves of degree $q + 1$* Linear Algebra Appl. 589 (2020) 9–27.
- [6] M. Homma and S. J. Kim, *Nonsingular plane filling curves of minimum degree over a finite field and their automorphism groups: Supplements to a work of Tallini*, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013) 969–985.
- [7] B. Poonen, *Bertini theorems over finite fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) 160 (2004), 1099–1127.
- [8] G. Tallini, *Le ipersuperficie irriducibili d'ordine minimo che invadono uno spazio di Galois*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. (8) 30 (1961) 706–712.
- [9] G. Tallini, *Sulle ipersuperficie irriducibili d'ordine minimo che contengono tutti i punti di uno spazio di Galois $S_{r,q}$* , Rend. Mat. e Appl. (5) 20 (1961) 431–479.