# BRACKET WORDS: A GENERALISATION OF STURMIAN WORDS ARISING FROM GENERALISED POLYNOMIALS

BORIS ADAMCZEWSKI AND JAKUB KONIECZNY

Abstract. Generalised polynomials are maps constructed by applying the floor function, addition, and multiplication to polynomials. Despite superficial similarity, generalised polynomials exhibit many phenomena which are impossible for polynomials. In particular, there exist generalised polynomial sequences which take only finitely many values without being periodic; examples of such sequences include the Sturmian words, as well as more complicated sequences like  $\left|2\left\{\pi n^2+\sqrt{2}n\right|\sqrt{3}n\right|\right\}$ .

The purpose of this paper is to investigate letter-to-letter codings of finitelyvalued generalised polynomial sequences, which we call bracket words, from the point of view of combinatorics on words. We survey existing results on generalised polynomials and their corollaries in terms of bracket words, and also prove several new results. Our main contribution is a polynomial bound on the subword complexity of bracket words.

### **CONTENTS**



Key words and phrases. generalised polynomial; Sturmian word; subword complexity.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Generalised polynomial sequences are expressions built up from the usual polynomials with the use of addition, multiplication, and the floor function, such as

$$
g(n) = 2n \left[ \sqrt{2n} \lfloor \sqrt{3n} \rfloor^2 + \sqrt{5n^3} \right] - 7n^2.
$$

They have been investigated by many authors, with particular emphasis placed on problems involving uniform distribution and taking their source in Weyl's equidis-tribution theorem for classical polynomials. See, for instance, [Hål93, Hål94, [BH96,](#page-54-0) [BL07,](#page-54-1) [Lei12,](#page-55-2) [BHKS20\]](#page-54-2) and the references therein.

An important feature that distinguishes generalised polynomials from ordinary polynomials is that they can be bounded without being constant. As a basic example, for any polynomial  $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , the sequence of fractional parts  $(\{p(n)\})_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a generalised polynomial sequence with values in  $[0, 1)$ , which is non-constant for most choices of  $p$ . In fact, it is also possible for non-constant generalised polynomials sequences to take only finitely many values. A notable example of a class of such finitely-valued generalised polynomial sequences is provided by Sturmian words. Indeed, every Sturmian word  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  over  $\{0, 1\}$  can be defined by a generalised polynomial formula

<span id="page-1-1"></span>(1) 
$$
a_n = \lfloor \alpha n + \beta \rfloor - \lfloor \alpha (n-1) + \beta \rfloor
$$

for some  $\alpha \in [0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\beta \in [0,1)$  (possibly with the floor function  $|\cdot|$  replaced by the ceiling function  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ ).

Motivated by this fundamental example, we endeavour to investigate finitelyvalued generalised polynomials from the perspective of combinatorics on words. More precisely, we study letter-to-letter codings of finitely-valued generalised polynomial sequences, which we dub bracket words (cf. Definition [2.2\)](#page-5-0). Thus, a bracket word  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  takes the form  $a_n = c(g(n))$ , were  $g : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a finitely-valued generalised polynomial sequence and  $c$  is a map from the finite set  $g(\mathbb{N}_0)$  to  $\Sigma$ . Throughout the paper, we let  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  denote the set of positive integers and put  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Bracket words are thus first thought of as a broad arithmetical generalisation of Sturmian words.

Among the several equivalent definitions of Sturmian words, one involves codings of circle rotations. Indeed, the word a defined by [\(1\)](#page-1-1) can be described with the help of the rotation  $R_{\alpha} : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, x \mapsto x + \alpha$ , as

<span id="page-1-2"></span>(2) 
$$
a_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } R^n_{\alpha}(\beta) \in [0, \alpha), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

A seminal paper of Bergelson and Leibman [\[BL07\]](#page-54-1) provides a dynamical representation of any bounded generalised polynomial sequence in terms of nilrotations, which are translations on nilmanifolds, hence linking the theory of generalised polynomials with nilpotent dynamics. As a consequence, each bracket word can be obtained as a coding of a nilrotation with respect to a semiagebraic partition. Conversely, each coding of a nilrotation which involves a semiagebraic partition gives rise to a bracket word.

Nilsystems have received a considerable amount of attention in the past two decades. A major source of interest stems from their significance in the study of multiple ergodic averages, as demonstrated by Host and Kra [\[HK05\]](#page-55-3) and Ziegler [\[Zie07\]](#page-55-4). Nilsystems also play a key role in additive combinatorics, specifically in the

relatively modern branch of higher order Fourier analysis, initiated by Gowers in his work on an alternative proof of Szemerédi's theorem  $[Gow01]$ . The importance of nilsystems was revealed by Green, Tao, and Ziegler, who characterised Gowers uniformity in terms of correlations with nilsequences [\[GTZ12\]](#page-55-5), see also [\[GT10\]](#page-54-4). For an introduction to higher order Fourier analysis, we refer to [\[Tao12\]](#page-55-6). Thus, the characterisation of bracket words mentioned above, which we discuss in more detail in Section [4,](#page-7-0) allows us to take advantage of deep pre-existing results.

A great number of combinatorial results concerning Sturmian words can be derived from the continued fraction expansion of the irrational parameter  $\alpha$  and some related expansion, the so-called Ostrowski expansion, of the real parameter  $\beta$  (these parameters being defined as in  $(1)$  or  $(2)$ ). In contrast, the study of bracket words involves much more general Diophantine problems related to simultaneous rational approximations of real numbers. For this reason, one cannot expect to always obtain results as accurate as for Sturmian words. For instance, Sturmian words can be charaterised in terms of their subword complexity. Recall that for an infinite word **a**, the subword complexity  $p_{a}(N)$  is the count of distinct length-N subwords which appear in **a**, and that **a** is Sturmian if and only if  $p_a(N) = N + 1$  for each  $N \geq 1$ , which is the slowest rate of growth possible for a word which is not eventually periodic. Contrary to Sturmian words, bracket words cannot be characterised in terms of their subword complexity, but we still prove the following polynomial upper bound, which is our main new result. It is also shown in Section [9](#page-26-0) that this result is essentially the best possible (c.f. Propositions [9.3](#page-27-0) and [9.4\)](#page-27-1).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** Let a be a bracket word. Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(N) = O(N^C)$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In another direction, Sturmian words can also be characterised in terms of balance: a word **a** over  $\{0,1\}$  is Sturmian if it is not eventually periodic and for each  $N \geq 1$  and each pair u, v of length-N subwords of a, the number of times the symbol 1 appears in u and in v is either the same or differs by 1. For bracket words, we have weaker estimates on frequencies of symbols, which we explore in Sections [7](#page-15-0) and [8.](#page-20-0)

A different source from which we draw inspiration is the theory of automatic sequences, and computation theory in general. Recall that a word  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is k-automatic if there exists a deterministic finite automaton which, given the base-k expansion of n as input, produces  $a_n$  as output. We note that k-automatic and bracket words enjoy similar nice closure properties that Sturmian words do not necessarily have (see Section [6\)](#page-12-0). For instance, bracket words taking values in a ring, equipped with coordinatewise addition and multiplication, form a ring. Access to these properties allows us to look at bracket words from a computational perspective. For example, given three bracket words  $\mathbf{a}^{(0)}, \mathbf{a}^{(1)} \in \Sigma^{\infty}$ , and  $\mathbf{b} \in$  ${0,1}^{\infty}$ , we can construct a new bracket word **a** given by

$$
a_n = \begin{cases} a_n^{(0)} & \text{if } b_n = 0 \,, \\ a_n^{(1)} & \text{if } b_n = 1 \,. \end{cases}
$$

As a consequence, bracket words can encode any finite computation including real constants, the basic arithmetic operations  $+, \times$ , the operation of taking the integer part  $|\cdot|$  and conditional statements involving  $=$  and  $\lt$  (c.f. Proposition [5.2\)](#page-10-1).

In this context, it is natural to ask which properties of bracket words can be tested algorithmically. In [\[Lei12\]](#page-55-2), Leibman constructed a "canonical" representation of a bounded generalised polynomial, which is essentially unique. As a consequence, it is possible to verify if a generalised polynomial sequence is zero almost everywhere, or if two bracket words are equal almost everywhere. Here, a statement  $\varphi(n)$ , involving a parameter  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , is said to hold almost everywhere if the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \neg \varphi(n)\}\$ of positions where it is false has asymptotic density zero. Somewhat surprisingly, the problem of verifying equality everywhere turns out to be undecidable, as shown in Section [10.](#page-28-0) (For terminology used, see Section [2.2.](#page-4-1))

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Theorem 1.2. There exists no algorithm which, given representations of two bracket words with algebraic coefficients **a** and **b** defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ , decides whether  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$ .

Finally, we discuss examples of "naturally occurring" words for which we can show that they are, or that they are not, bracket words. In the positive direction, we note that the characteristic word  $\mathbf{1}_F = 1111010010010001 \cdots$  of the Fibonacci numbers is a bracket word, which can be traced back to the observation that the golden ratio  $(1+\sqrt{5})/2$  is a Pisot unit and the group of units of the field it generates has rank 1. In Section [11](#page-32-0) we discuss generalisations of this example, corresponding to other Pisot and Salem numbers. Similar but slightly weaker results were obtained in [\[BK18\]](#page-54-5).

In the negative direction, several criteria for proving that an infinite word is not a bracket word follow from results discussed in the reminder of the paper. Several other techniques were developed in a series of papers by Byszewski and the secondnamed author [\[BK18,](#page-54-5) [BK20,](#page-54-6) [Kon21\]](#page-55-7), leading to a proof that automatic sequences which are not eventually periodic are not bracket words. As explicit applications of the aforementioned methods, we mention the characteristic words of primes and of squares are not bracket words. The same applies to many words coming from number theory, such as  $(\varphi(n) \mod q)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , where  $\varphi$  denotes the totient function and  $q \geq 3$ .

We point out that a significant part of the paper is devoted to a survey of known results concerning generalised polynomial sequences and their interpretation in terms of bracket words. Beyond that, we prove several new results, including Theorems [1.1](#page-2-0) and [1.2.](#page-3-0) The paper is organised as follows.

Organisation of the paper. Sections [2](#page-4-0) and [3](#page-6-0) are concerned with setting up the terminology and providing examples of bracket words. In Section [4,](#page-7-0) we discuss the connection between dynamics on nilmanifolds and generalised polynomials, which is one of the key tools used in subsequent sections. For the sake of readability, we delegate some related material to Appendix [A.](#page-51-0) In Sections [5](#page-10-0) and [6,](#page-12-0) we discuss closure properties of bracket words and other ways in which bracket words can be constructed. These results allow us to perform many basic operations on bracket words later in the paper. Sections [7](#page-15-0)[–10](#page-28-0) each concern a different facet of bracket words and can mostly be read independently from one another. In Sections [7](#page-15-0) and [8,](#page-20-0) we discuss frequencies of symbols and subwords in bracket words; qualitative results are included in [7](#page-15-0) and quantitative — in [8.](#page-20-0) In Section [9,](#page-26-0) we introduce the polynomial estimate on subword complexity of bracket words, and we prove it in Sections [13–](#page-41-0)[15.](#page-48-0) In Section [10,](#page-28-0) we discuss the canonical representation of bracket words, based on [\[Lei12\]](#page-55-2), and its consequences in terms of decidability. In Section [11,](#page-32-0) we consider a class of bracket words, consisting of characteristic words of certain sparse sets. In Section [12,](#page-35-0) we consider the problem of proving that a given word

is not a bracket word. We collect several criteria from previous sections and from [\[BK18,](#page-54-5) [Kon21\]](#page-55-7), and give several examples.

Acknowledgements. The second-named author works within the framework of the LABEX MILYON (ANR-10-LABX-0070) of Université de Lyon, within the program "Investissements d'Avenir" (ANR-11-IDEX- 0007) operated by the French National Research Agency (ANR). We are also grateful to Jakub Byszewski and Sam Chow for helpful comments.

### 2. Definitions and notation

<span id="page-4-0"></span>2.1. Combinatorics on words. An alphabet  $\Sigma$  is a finite set of symbols, also called letters. A finite word over  $\Sigma$  is a finite sequence of letters in  $\Sigma$  or, equivalently, an element of  $\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^{\ell}$ , the free monoid generated by  $\Sigma$  with respect to the concatenation of finite words. The length of a finite word  $w$ , that is, the number of symbols in w, is denoted by |w|. We let  $\epsilon$  denote the empty word, the neutral element of  $\Sigma^*$ . An infinite word  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  over  $\Sigma$  is an element of  $\Sigma^{\infty}$ , or, equivalently, an infinite sequence with values in  $\Sigma$ , (*i.e.*, a map from  $\mathbb{N}_0$  to  $\Sigma$ ). It is sometimes represented as  $\mathbf{a} = a_0 a_1 \cdots$ . Throughout, we use bold symbols  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \ldots$ to denote infinite words.

Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be two alphabets. A *morphism* is a map  $\sigma: \Sigma^* \to \Pi^*$  that obeys the identity  $\sigma(uv) = \sigma(u)\sigma(v)$  for all words  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ . Note that a morphism  $\sigma$  is uniquely determined by the knowledge of  $\sigma(x)$  for all  $x \in \Sigma$ . A map from  $\Sigma$  to  $\Pi^*$ naturally (and uniquely) extends as a morphism from  $\Sigma^*$  to  $\Pi^*$ . A morphism is said to be *non-erasing* if  $\sigma(x) \neq \epsilon$  for all  $x \in \Sigma$ . A morphism  $\sigma$  over  $\Sigma^*$  is said to be k-uniform if  $|\sigma(a)| = k$  for every letter a in  $\Sigma$ , and just uniform if it is k-uniform for some k. A 1-uniform morphism is called a *coding*. Furthermore, there is a natural way to extend the action of a non-erasing morphism to infinite words, that is, as a map from  $\Sigma^{\infty}$  to  $\Pi^{\infty}$  defined by  $\sigma(\mathbf{a}) = \sigma(a_0)\sigma(a_1)\cdots$  for  $\mathbf{a} \in \Sigma^{\infty}$ . Such a map is still called a morphism and denoted by  $\sigma$ .

<span id="page-4-1"></span>2.2. Generalised polynomials and bracket words. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we let  $|x| \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote the integer part of  $x$  (also known as the floor), which is the unique integer with  $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x < \lfloor x \rfloor + 1$ . Similarly, we let  $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor \in [0,1)$  denote the fractional part,  $[x] = -[-x] \in \mathbb{Z}$  — the ceiling,  $[x] = [x + 1/2] \in \mathbb{Z}$  — the nearest integer, and  $||x|| = |x - \lfloor x \rfloor| \in [0, 1/2]$  — the distance to the nearest integer. We extend the notions introduced above to  $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for  $d \geq 2$  coordinate-wise, meaning that  $\lfloor x \rfloor = (\lfloor x_i \rfloor)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , etc. Similarly, for a map  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  (where X is any set) we define  $[f]: X \to \mathbb{Z}$  by  $[f](x) = [f(x)].$ 

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define GP maps (or generalised polynomial maps)  $\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  as the smallest family such that

- <span id="page-4-3"></span>(*i*) each polynomial map  $\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is a GP map;
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>(*ii*) if  $g, h: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  are GP maps then  $g + h$  and  $g \cdot h$  are GP maps;
- (*iii*) if  $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is a GP map then  $\lfloor g \rfloor$  is a GP map.

Note that  $x \mapsto \{x\}$  is a GP map, and the definition of a GP map does not change if in [\(iii\)](#page-4-2) we replace  $|\cdot|$  with  $\{\cdot\}.$ 

<span id="page-4-4"></span>**Remark 2.1.** If  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $h : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  are GP maps, then  $g \circ h$  is also a GP map.

A GP map on a domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  (e.g.  $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}^d$  or  $\mathbb{N}_0^d$ ) is simply the restriction of a GP map on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In particular, each GP map on  $\Omega$  can be extended to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , but the extensions is usually not unique. In this paper, we are particularly interested in finitely-valued GP sequences (i.e., GP maps  $\mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ ), as seen from the perspective of combinatorics on words. This motivates us to pose the following definition.[1](#page-5-1)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Definition 2.2.** A *bracket word* over a (finite) alphabet  $\Sigma$  is an infinite word  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \Sigma^{\infty}$  of the form  $a_n = c(g(n))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  is a finitely-valued GP map and  $c: g(\mathbb{N}_0) \to \Sigma$  is an arbitrary map.

Remark 2.3. In analogy with Definition [2.2,](#page-5-0) one can define d-dimensional bracket *words* over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  to be d-dimensional infinite words

$$
\mathbf{a} = (a_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d})_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d = 0}^{\infty} \in \Sigma^{\infty} \times \Sigma^{\infty} \times \dots \times \Sigma^{\infty}
$$

of the form  $a_{n_1,n_2,...,n_d} = c(g(n_1,n_2,...,n_d))$  where  $g: \mathbb{N}_0^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is a finitely-valued GP map and  $c: g(\mathbb{N}_0^d) \to \Sigma$  is an arbitrary map. We limit the discussion to the 1-dimensional case for the sake of clarity, but many of the results have their multidimensional analogues.

<span id="page-5-3"></span>**Remark 2.4.** For a ring  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  we define GP maps with coefficients in A in a fully analogous way, except that in [\(i\)](#page-4-3) we only include polynomial maps with coefficients in A. We will be especially interested in GP maps with algebraic coefficients, i.e.,  $A = \overline{Q}$ . One can also define the set of coefficients of a generalised polynomial (see e.g. [\[H˚al94\]](#page-55-1)), but we avoid using this notion since it depends on the choice of a representation, which is usually not unique (see Sec. [10](#page-28-0) for further discussion). Slightly informally, we will say that a bracket word **a** over  $\Sigma$  arises from a GP map with algebraic coefficients if  $a_n = c(g(n))$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  is a finitely-valued GP map with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $c: g(\mathbb{N}_0) \to \Sigma$  is a map.

For  $k \geq 2$ , a map  $g = (g_i)_{i=1}^k : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^k$  is GP if for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ , the coordinate map  $g_i$  is GP. In most cases, we find it simpler to speak of k-tuples of  $GP$  maps  $\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  instead.

A GP subset of  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  (or simply a GP set, if  $\Omega$  is clear from the context) is the zero locus of a GP map, that is, a set  $E \subseteq \Omega$  which takes the form  $E =$  ${x \in \Omega \mid g(x) = 0}$  for some GP map  $g: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ . As we will see in Section [6,](#page-12-0)  $E \subseteq \Omega$ is a GP set if and only if  $\mathbf{1}_E$  is a bracket word (Here and elsewhere,  $\mathbf{1}_E \in \{0,1\}^\infty$  is given by  $(\mathbf{1}_E)_n = 1$  if  $n \in E$  and  $(\mathbf{1}_E)_n = 0$  otherwise). We stress that this notion depends on  $\Omega$ ; in particular, a GP subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  will usually not be a GP subset of Z.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>2.3. Other notation. We briefly summarise some other pieces of notation we use.

For  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we let  $[N] = \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$ . For a quantity X, we let  $O(X)$ denote any quantity bounded in absolute value by  $CX$ , where  $C$  is a constant. When the C is additionally allowed to depend on a parameter Y, we write  $O_Y(X)$ instead. If  $X = O(Y)$  and  $Y = O(X)$ , we write  $Y = \Theta(X)$ . We occasionally also use the notation  $Y \ll X$  when  $Y = O(Y)$ . Lastly, we write  $o_{n\to\infty}(X)$  for any

<span id="page-5-1"></span><sup>1</sup>Several authors have expressed the sentiment that a better name for "generalised polynomials" would have been "bracket polynomials", and the main reason to not adopt the latter name is that it is already used in knot theory (see e.g. [\[Lei12\]](#page-55-2)). Fortunately, similar considerations do not apply to the term "bracket word".

quantity Y with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Y/X = 0$ ; if the parameter n is clear from the context, we write  $o(X)$  instead.

In what follows, we will use the Iverson bracket notation. For a sentence  $\varphi$  we put  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket = 1$  if  $\varphi$  is a true and  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket = 0$  otherwise. By a slight abuse of notation, we also use the Iverson bracket to define infinite words over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ . For instance, if X, Y are sets with  $Y \subseteq X$  and  $f: \mathbb{N}_0 \to X$  is a map then  $[[f \in Y]] \in$  $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$  is given by  $\llbracket f \in Y \rrbracket_n = \llbracket f(n) \in Y \rrbracket$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

# 3. Examples

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Let us now present several examples of bracket words. In some cases, the fact that the sequence under consideration indeed is a bracket word will follow directly from the definition, while in other cases it may be more surprising.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and let **a** be the bracket word defined by  $a_n :=$  $\lfloor 1 - \{n\alpha\}\rfloor$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}} = 100 \cdots$ . More generally, all eventually constant sequences are bracket words. Explicitly, if **a** is a word over  $\Sigma$  with  $a_n = b$ for all  $n \geq N$  then  $a_n = c(g(n))$ , where  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to \{-1, 0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$  is the GP map given by

$$
g(n) = -1 + \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} (m+1) \left[ 1 - \{(n-m)\alpha\} \right],
$$

and  $c: \{-1, 0, 1, \ldots, N-1\} \to \Sigma$  is given by  $c(n) = a_n$  if  $n \neq -1$  and  $c(-1) = b$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $Q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\alpha \in [Q]^\infty$  defined by  $a_n = n \mod Q = Q \{n/Q\}$ is a bracket word. More generally, all eventually periodic words are bracket words. For details, see Section [6.](#page-12-0)

<span id="page-6-3"></span>Example 3.3. One way to define Sturmian words is by an explicit formula. Namely, an infinite word **a** over  $\{0, 1\}$  is Sturmian if it is the discrete derivative of a Beatty sequence, meaning that it takes one of the following forms:

<span id="page-6-1"></span>(3) 
$$
a_n = \lfloor n\alpha + \beta \rfloor - \lfloor \alpha(n-1) + \beta \rfloor, \text{ or}
$$

<span id="page-6-2"></span>(4) 
$$
a_n = \lceil n\alpha + \beta \rceil - \lceil \alpha(n-1) + \beta \rceil,
$$

for some  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$  with  $\alpha$  irrational. (Note that [\(3\)](#page-6-1) and [\(4\)](#page-6-2) differ for at most one value of  $n$ .) Thus, Sturmian words are bracket words (while Beatty sequences are unbounded GP sequences). We also point out that Sturmian words arise from codings of rotations, which gives another way to see that they are bracket words; we explore this point of view further in Section [4.](#page-7-0)

More concretely, setting  $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$  and  $\beta = 0$  we obtain the Fibonacci word, whose initial values are:

## 10101101011011010110101101101011011010110101101101011010 · · ·

**Example 3.4.** As a generalisation of Example [3.3,](#page-6-3) let  $p: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a polynomial and let  $I \subseteq [0, 1)$  be an interval (or a finite union thereof). Let **a** be the infinite word over  $\{0,1\}$  defined as

$$
a_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \{p(n)\} \in I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Then **a** is a bracket word. As a concrete illustration, let  $p(x) = \varphi x^2$ , where  $\varphi = \sqrt{5} \cdot 1$ , where  $\varphi = \sqrt{5} \cdot 1$  $\frac{5+1}{2}$  is the golden ratio, and  $I = [0, 1/4) \cup (3/4, 1)$ . Then

$$
a_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \|\varphi n^2\| < 1/4, \\ 0 & \text{if } \|\varphi n^2\| \ge 1/4. \end{cases}
$$

The initial values of a are:

# 1000101001111011101110111010011111011101111000111110111 · · ·

**Example 3.5.** Let  $F = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, ...\}$  be the set of all Fibonacci numbers. Then  $\mathbf{1}_F$  is a bracket word. Similarly, let  $(t_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  be the sequence given by  $t_0 = 0$ ,  $t_1 = t_2 = 1$  and  $t_{i+3} = t_{i+2}+t_{i+1}+t_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , sometimes called the Tribonacci numbers, and let  $T = \{t_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{1}_T$  is a bracket word. These are special cases of Proposition [11.1.](#page-32-1) In an upcoming preprint by the second-named author and Byszewski [\[BK22\]](#page-54-7), it is shown that, more generally, for each  $E \subseteq F$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_E$  is a bracket word.

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Example 3.6.** Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then the infinite word **a** over  $\{0, 1\}$  defined by

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
a_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \neq 0 \text{ and } ||\alpha n|| \cdot ||\beta n|| < \varepsilon/n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

is a bracket word, as follows from Proposition [5.2.](#page-10-1) We point out that a famous conjecture in Diophantine approximation, the Littlewood conjecture, is equivalent to the statement that, for each choice of  $\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon$ , the bracket word a defined above is not identically zero. Indeed, in its usual formulation, Littlewood's conjecture asserts that

(5) 
$$
\liminf_{n \to \infty} n \cdot ||\alpha n|| \cdot ||\beta n|| = 0
$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ . A landmark result toward its resolution is due to Einsiedler, Katok and Lindenstrauss [\[EKL06\]](#page-54-8): the set of possible exceptions (*i.e.*, the set of pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$  for which [\(5\)](#page-7-1) is false) has Hausdorff dimension zero.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $(n_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of positive integers with  $n_{i+1} \geq n_i^2$  for all *i*, such as  $n_i = 2^{2^i}$ . Put  $E = \{n_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{1}_E$  is a bracket word. This is a special case of Proposition [8.2.](#page-21-0)

### 4. Dynamical representation

<span id="page-7-0"></span>In this section, we discuss a dynamical description of bounded GP sequences and bracket words. Specifically, we briefly introduce basic facts about nilmanifolds and nilsystems and explain their relation to generalised polynomials, as established by Bergelson and Leibman [\[BL07\]](#page-54-1).

4.1. Nilsystems and generalized polynomials. Classical theory of nilpotent dynamics can be found in [\[AGH63\]](#page-53-1). In order to maintain the introductory nature of this section, we delegate some of the more technical results to Appendix [A.](#page-51-0) We also refer to cited references, such as [\[BL07\]](#page-54-1), for precise definitions and a more detailed discussion.

4.1.1. Nilpotent Lie groups. Let G be a group. The lower central series  $(G_i)_{i>0}$  is the chain of subgroups of G inductively defined by  $G_0 := G_1 := G$  and  $G_{i+1} :=$  $[G, G_i]$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Here, we let  $[G, H]$  denote the group generated by the commutators  $[g, h] := ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$  for  $g \in G, h \in H$ . The group G is nilpotent if there exists s such that  $G_{s+1} = {\text{id}}_G$ . The smallest such s is called the nilpotency class of G and G is said to be nilpotent of class s or a s-step nilpotent group. We recall that a Lie group is a smooth manifold obeying the group properties and that satisfies the additional condition that the group operations are differentiable. A nilpotent Lie group is a Lie group that is nilpotent.

4.1.2. Nilrotations. A nilmanifold is a quotient space  $G/\Gamma$  where G is a nilpotent Lie group and  $\Gamma$  is a discrete cocompact subgroup. A *nilsystem* is a dynamical system of the form  $(G/\Gamma, T_q)$ , where  $G/\Gamma$  is a nilmanifold and  $T_q$  is a nilrotation. That is, there exists some  $g \in G$  such that  $T_g(h\Gamma) = gh\Gamma$  for all  $h \in G$ . In general, there is no guarantee that  $G$  is connected, and we let  $G^{\circ}$  denote the connected component of  $id_G$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $G^{\circ}$  is simply connected. The simplest example of a nilsystem is the aforementioned rotation on the torus, where we take  $G = \mathbb{R}, \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$  (see Section [4.2\)](#page-9-0).

4.1.3. Mal'cev basis. Let G be a connected and simply connected s-step nilpotent Lie group and let  $\Gamma < G$  be a discrete cocompact subgroup. In this case, for  $q \in G$ and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , one can use the Lie algebra of G to define  $g^t \in G$ . We let  $\dim G$ denote the dimension of G as a Lie group. A  $Mal'cev$  basis of G is a sequence  $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_d \in \Gamma$  satisfying the following conditions.

- (*i*) Every  $g \in G$  has a unique representation  $h_1^{t_1} h_2^{t_2} \cdots h_d^{t_d}$  with  $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $(ii)$  There exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers

$$
1 = k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_s = d + 1
$$

such that for each  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , the quotient  $G_j/G_{j+1}$  is spanned by  $h_{k_j}, \ldots, h_{k_{j+1}-1}$ . Existence of such bases was established by Mal'cev [\[Mal49,](#page-55-8)[Mal51\]](#page-55-9). Given a Mal'cev basis, we let  $\tilde{\tau}: G \to \mathbb{R}^d$  denote the coordinate map, characterised by the property that

$$
\widetilde{\tau}\left(h_1^{t_1}h_2^{t_2}\cdots h_d^{t_d}\right)=(t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_d),\qquad t_i\in\mathbb{R}.
$$

This induces also the coordinate map  $\tau: G/\Gamma \to [0,1)^d$ , similarly characterised by

$$
\tau\left(h_1^{t_1}h_2^{t_2}\cdots h_d^{t_d}\Gamma\right) = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_d), \qquad t_i \in [0, 1).
$$

Thus, the nilmanifold  $G/\Gamma$  can be identified with a cube  $[0, 1]$ <sup>dim G</sup> via  $Mal'cev$ coordinates  $\tau: G/\Gamma \to [0,1)^{\dim G}$ . The coordinate map  $\tau$  is a bijection;  $\tau^{-1}$  is continuous, its restriction to  $(0, 1)^{\dim G}$  is a diffeomorphism. The nilmanifold  $G/\Gamma$ carries a natural probability measure, the Haar measure, which we denote by  $\mu_{G/\Gamma}$ .

4.1.4. Semialgebraic sets. A semialgebraic set is a set defined by a finite number of polynomial equations and inequalities, or a finite union of sets of this form. A map  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is piecewise polynomial if there exists a partition  $\mathbb{R}^d = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \cdots \cup S_r$ into semialgebraic pieces such that, for every  $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ , the restriction  $f|_{S_i}$  is a polynomial map. A map  $f: G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  is piecewise polynomial if it takes the form  $f = \overline{f} \circ \tau$  for a piecewise polynomial map  $\overline{f} : \mathbb{R}^{\dim G} \to \mathbb{R}$ . The notion of a piecewise polynomial map is independent of the choice of Mal'cev basis (see [\[BL07,](#page-54-1) Sec.  $(0.18)$ .

4.1.5. Connectedness. Let us now return to the general case, where G may be disconnected. If  $G/\Gamma$  is connected, then it remains true that  $G/\Gamma = G^{\circ}/\Gamma \cap G^{\circ}$ , and the previous discussion applies verbatim (note, however, that not every translation  $T_g$ , with  $g \in G$ , can be represented as  $T_h$ , with  $h \in G^{\circ}$ ). If  $G/\Gamma$  is disconnected, then it can be decomposed as a finite union of connected components which again are nilmanifolds, and we can apply the discussion above to each component separately. A map  $f: G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  is piecewise polynomial if its restriction to each connected component of  $G/\Gamma$  is piecewise polynomial.

Finally, we recall that a topological dynamical system  $(X, T)$  is *minimal* if there is no closed subset  $Y \subseteq X$  with  $T(Y) \subseteq Y$ . We have now introduced all the terminology which is needed to state the 1-dimensional case of the main result of [\[BL07\]](#page-54-1).

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Theorem 4.1** ([\[BL07,](#page-54-1) Thm. A]). Any bounded GP map  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  admits a representation  $g(n) = f(T^n(x))$ , where  $(X, T)$  is a minimal nilsystem,  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is piecewise polynomial, and  $x \in X$ . Conversely, for any nilsystem  $(X,T)$ , any piecewise polynomial map  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , and any  $x \in X$ , the map  $n \mapsto f(T^n(x))$  from  $\mathbb{Z}$ to  $\mathbb R$  is a bounded GP map.

For future reference, we record the following special case of Theorem [4.1](#page-9-1) applicable to bracket words.

<span id="page-9-3"></span>**Theorem 4.2.** For any bracket word a over an alphabet  $\Sigma$ , there exists a minimal nilsystem  $(X, T)$ , a point  $x \in X$ , and a partition  $X = \bigcup_{i \in \Sigma} S_i$  into pairwise disjoint semialgebraic pieces, such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in \Sigma$ ,

<span id="page-9-2"></span>(6)  $a_n = i$  if and only if  $T^n(x) \in S_i$ .  $T^n(x) \in S_i$ .

Conversely, for any nilsystem  $(X, T)$ , any point  $x \in X$  and any partition  $X =$  $\bigcup_{i\in\Sigma}S_i$  into pairwise disjoint semialgebraic pieces, [\(6\)](#page-9-2) defines a bracket word.

*Proof.* Pick a representation  $a_n = c(g(n))$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ), where g is a finitely-valued GP map and c is a coding. Let  $g(n) = f(T^n(x))$  be the representation of g as in Theorem [4.1.](#page-9-1) Then, for each  $i \in \Sigma$ ,  $S_i := f^{-1}(c^{-1}(i))$  is a semialgebraic set such that [\(6\)](#page-9-2) holds. The converse implication follows along similar lines.  $\Box$ 

Now, we give two emblematic examples of nilsystems and the corresponding GP maps.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>4.2. One dimensional torus and Strurmian words. As already mention in the introduction, Sturmian words can be dynamically represented as codings of irrational translations on the one-dimensional torus. In this case, we simply take  $G = \mathbb{R}, \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ , and the nilrotation  $T = T_{\alpha}$  is just an irrational translation on  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . More precisely, let **a** be the Sturmian word defined by [\(3\)](#page-6-1) (or, respectively, by [\(4\)](#page-6-2)). Let  $T_\alpha: \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  denote the translation by  $\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , meaning that  $T_{\alpha}(x) = x + \alpha \ (x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  be the interval  $[0, \alpha)$  (resp.  $(0, \alpha]$ ). Then,  $(3)$  (resp.  $(4)$ ) is equivalent to:

$$
a_n = 1_I(T_\alpha^n(\beta)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T_\alpha^n(\beta) \in I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

4.3. Heisenberg group. Another helpful example to keep in mind is the Heisenberg nilsystem. Pick any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , and set

$$
G := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{R} & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Gamma := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad h := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \beta & \gamma + \alpha \beta/2 \\ 0 & 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \,.
$$

One possible choice for Mal'cev coordinates is given by

$$
\tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & y & z \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = (x, y, z).
$$

Then we can compute that

$$
h^{n}\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n\beta & n\gamma + n^{2}\alpha\beta/2 \\ 0 & 1 & n\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Gamma
$$
  
= 
$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \{n\beta\} & \{n\beta\{n\alpha\} - n^{2}\alpha\beta/2 + \gamma n\} \\ 0 & 1 & \{n\alpha\} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Gamma.
$$

Hence, using the nilsystem  $(G/\Gamma, T_h)$  and taking  $f = \tau_3$  (*i.e.*, the third entry of the coordinate map  $\tau$ ), we obtain a representation of the bounded GP map

$$
g(n) = \{n\beta \{n\alpha\} - n^2\alpha\beta/2 + \gamma n\}.
$$

A slightly more complicated but similar construction involving matrices in dimension 4 discussed in [\[BL07,](#page-54-1) Sec. 0.14] provides a dynamical representation of the sequence  $\{n\beta \{n\alpha\}\}.$ 

### 5. Constructions

<span id="page-10-0"></span>In this section we discuss methods by which bracket words can be constructed. In Section [6,](#page-12-0) we show how bracket words can be combined to produce new bracket words, so we do not include examples of that type here.

We begin with a simple fact which clarifies the relation between bracket words and finitely-valued GP maps.

<span id="page-10-3"></span>**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $f: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a map taking finitely many values. Then the two following properties are equivalent.

- <span id="page-10-2"></span>(i) The word  $\mathbf{f} = (f(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a bracket word.
- (*ii*) The map  $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  is a GP map.

*Proof.* It is clear that [\(ii\)](#page-10-2) implies [\(i\):](#page-10-3) in Definition [2.2,](#page-5-0) we can take  $g := f$  and  $c :=$ id. For the converse implication, suppose that  $f(n) = c(g(n))$ , where  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ has finite image and  $c: g(\mathbb{N}_0) \to \mathbb{R}$ . There exists a polynomial map  $p: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $p(x) = c(x)$  for all  $x \in a(\mathbb{N}_0)$ . Hence,  $f = p \circ a$  is a GP map. that  $p(x) = c(x)$  for all  $x \in g(\mathbb{N}_0)$ . Hence,  $f = p \circ g$  is a GP map.

In what follows, we will use the Iverson bracket notation (see Section [2.3\)](#page-5-2).

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a GP map and  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an interval (possibly infinite or degenerate). Then  $[\![ g\in I ]\!]$  is a bracket word.

*Proof.* The case where I is bounded is covered by [\[BK18,](#page-54-5) Lemma 1.2], while the case where I is unbounded follows from [\[Kon21,](#page-55-7) Lemma B.3].  $\square$  **Remark 5.3.** We point out that the analogous result with  $\mathbb{N}_0$  replaced by  $\mathbb{Z}$  is true for bounded  $I$  and false for unbounded  $I$ . This is one of the reasons why we focus on one-sided bracket words  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , rather than on their two-sided analogues  $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ .

We will use later the following slight refinement of Proposition [5.2](#page-10-1) in the case  $I = \{0\}.$ 

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a GP map. Then  $[g = 0]$  is a bracket word. Moreover, if g has algebraic coefficients then so does  $\llbracket g = 0 \rrbracket$ .

*Proof.* Note that the only solution to  $\{x\} = \{\sqrt{2}x\} = 0$  in  $\mathbb R$  is  $x = 0$ . Hence,

$$
\left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\lbrace x\rbrace - \frac{1}{2}\lbrace\sqrt{2}x\rbrace\right] = \left[x = 0\right], \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R}).
$$

It follows that

$$
[\![g(n) = 0]\!] = \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \{g(n)\} - \frac{1}{2} \{\sqrt{2}g(n)\}\right], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.
$$

One of the main reasons for interest in Lemma [5.4](#page-11-0) is that it gives an alternative definition of bracket words, phrased in terms of fibres.

<span id="page-11-1"></span>Corollary 5.5. Let a be an infinite word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Then the two following properties are equivalent.

(i) The word a is a bracket word.

(ii) For every  $x \in \Sigma$ , the fibre  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid a_n = x\}$  is a GP subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ .

In particular, for a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ , E is a GP set if and only if  $\mathbf{1}_E$  is a bracket word.

*Proof.* Let us assume that **a** is a bracket word defined over  $\Sigma$  and let  $x \in \Sigma$ . Let  $a_n = c(g(n))$  be a representation of **a**, where  $g : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  is a finitely valued GP map and c is a map from  $g(\mathbb{N}_0)$  to  $\Sigma$ . Then  $h := \prod_{a \in c^{-1}(x)} (g - a)$  is a GP map and it follows from Lemma [5.4](#page-11-0) that  $[[h(n) = 0]] = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid a_n = x\}$  is a GP subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ .

Conversely, let us assume that, for every  $x \in \Sigma$ , the fibre  $F_x := \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid a_n = x\}$ is a GP subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Hence  $\mathbf{1}_{F_x}$  is a GP map. Let us assume that  $\left|\Sigma\right| = N$  and let  $x_1, \ldots, x_N$  denote an enumeration of the elements of  $\Sigma$ . Set  $h := \sum_{i=1}^N i \mathbf{1}_{F_{x_i}}$  and let c be the map defined by  $c(i) = x_i, 1 \leq i \leq N$ . Then h is a GP map and thus  $a_n = c(h(n))$  is a bracket word.

As a consequence, for each bracket word we can construct a particularly convenient representation.

<span id="page-11-2"></span>Corollary 5.6. Let a be a bracket word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  and let  $N = |\Sigma|$ . Then there exist a GP map g:  $\mathbb{N}_0 \to [N]$  and a map  $c: [N] \to \Sigma$  such that  $a_n = c(g(n))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

*Proof.* Pick any bijective map  $\varphi \colon \Sigma \to [N]$ . Then set  $c = \varphi^{-1}$  and  $g(n) = \varphi(a_n)$ for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then g is a finitely-valued GP map by Corollary [5.5.](#page-11-1)

With some basic algebraic manipulations, one can extend Proposition [5.2](#page-10-1) to apparently more complicated conditions, as shown by the following example.

**Example 5.7.** Let g and h be GP maps from  $\mathbb{N}_0$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and assume that  $h(n) > 0$ for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $\llbracket g < 1/h \rrbracket = \llbracket gh < 1 \rrbracket$  is a bracket word. More generally, for each rational exponent  $\lambda = p/q \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ , also  $\llbracket g < 1/h^{\lambda} \rrbracket = \llbracket g^q h^p < 1 \rrbracket$  is a bracket word. As an explicit application, for every pair  $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ , with c and  $\lambda$ positive, the formula  $a_n = \llbracket \|\alpha n\| < c/n^{\lambda} \rrbracket$  defines a bracket word **a** which detects denominators of good rational approximations to  $\alpha$ .

As we will see in Section [10,](#page-28-0) it is not always possible to decide if a given GP map  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  is finitely-valued (and hence relevant to the study of bracket words) or not. On the other hand, for suitably constructed GP maps, it is easy to see that they must be finitely-valued. For instance, if  $g(n) = |2\{h(n)\}\|$  for some GP map  $h: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ , then evidently  $g(\mathbb{N}_0) \subseteq \{0,1\}$ . In general, one can always represent a finitely-valued GP map in a form which makes it easy to estimate the cardinality of the image. We stress that Lemma [5.8](#page-12-1) provides a concrete way to generate all finitely valued GP maps from  $\mathbb{N}_0$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and hence all bracket words.

<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Lemma 5.8.** Let  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a finitely-valued GP map. Then g can be written in the form  $g(n) = f\left(\lfloor N\{h(n)\}\rfloor\right)$ , where f and h are GP maps from  $\mathbb{N}_0$  to  $\mathbb R$  and  $N = |g(\mathbb{N}_0)|$ . Conversely, any map  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  of the form  $g(n) = f(|N\{h(n)\}|)$ , where f and h are maps from  $\mathbb{N}_0$  to  $\mathbb R$  and  $N \in \mathbb N$ , takes at most N distinct values.

*Proof.* By Corollary [5.6,](#page-11-2) we can find a representation  $g(n) = c(g'(n))$  for some GP map  $g' : \mathbb{N}_0 \to [N]$  and  $c : [N] \to \mathbb{R}$ . Let h be the map defined by  $h(n) := g'(n)/N$ for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and let f be any polynomial such that  $f(n) = c(n)$  for all  $n \in [N]$ .<br>Then h and f are suitable GP maps. The converse direction holds trivially. Then  $h$  and  $f$  are suitable GP maps. The converse direction holds trivially.

# 6. Closure

<span id="page-12-0"></span>We will now discuss ways in which known instances of bracket words can be used to construct new ones. Compared to the earlier section, the results discussed here have a more computational flavour. For instance, we point out that, with the sole exception of Proposition [6.14,](#page-15-1) all results in this section are analogues of standard results about automatic sequences (see [\[AS03,](#page-54-9) Sec. 5]).

6.1. Coding and products. It is an almost immediate consequence of Definition [2.2](#page-5-0) that bracket words are preserved under coding.

<span id="page-12-3"></span>**Lemma 6.1.** Let a be a bracket word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  and let  $\varphi\colon \Sigma\to \Pi$  be a map to some other finite alphabet  $\Pi$ . Then  $(\varphi(a_n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a bracket word over Π.

*Proof.* If  $a_n = c(g(n))$  is the representation of **a** as in Definition [2.2,](#page-5-0) then  $\varphi(a_n) =$  $c'(g(n))$  where  $c'$  $:= \varphi \circ c.$ 

Next, we note that the direct product of two bracket words is again a bracket word.

<span id="page-12-2"></span>Proposition 6.2. Let a and a' be bracket words respectively defined over some finite alphabets  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$ . Then  $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{a}' = ((a_n, a'_n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a bracket word over  $\Sigma \times \Sigma'$ .

*Proof.* Recall that, by definition of a bracket word, **a** has a representation  $a_n =$  $c(g(n))$ , where  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to A$  is a GP map taking values in some finite set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , and  $c: A \to \Sigma$  is an arbitrary map. Let  $a'_n = c'(g'(n))$  be an analogous representation of  $\mathbf{a}'$ .

Replacing g with  $C_g$  for a sufficiently large positive real number  $C > 0$  (and modifying A and c accordingly), we may assume that the only solutions to  $x + x' =$  $y + y'$  with  $(x, y) \in A^2$  and  $(x', y)' \in A'^2$  are the trivial ones:  $x = x'$  and  $y = y'$ . Let B denote the sumset  $A + A' = \{x + x' \mid x \in A, x' \in A'\}$ , let h denote the GP map  $g + g' : \mathbb{N}_0 \to B$ , and let  $d: B: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \{0, 1\}$  denote the unique map such that  $d(x + x') = (c(x), c'(x'))$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $x' \in A'$ . Then  $(a_n, a'_n) = d(h(n))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**Remark 6.3.** It follows that replacing, in Definition [2.2,](#page-5-0) GP maps  $\mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  with GP maps  $\mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}^d$  for arbitrary  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , leaves unchanged the set of words so defined.

In practice, Proposition [6.2](#page-12-2) is mostly used via the following corollary.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Corollary 6.4. Let a and a' be bracket words respectively defined over some finite alphabets  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$ , and let  $f: \Sigma \times \Sigma' \to \Pi$  be a map to some other finite alphabet  $\Pi$ . Then  $(f(a_n, a'_n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a bracket word over  $\Pi$ .

*Proof.* This follows directly from Proposition [6.2](#page-12-2) and Lemma [6.1.](#page-12-3)  $\Box$ 

**Remark 6.5.** In particular, for any ring R, bracket words taking values in R, equipped with coordinatewise addition and multiplication, form a ring.

As a consequence of the two previous results, we see that bracket words can be defined in a "case-by-case" manner.

<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Proposition 6.6.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^r S_i$  be a partition of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ into pairwise disjoint GP subsets, and  $\mathbf{a}^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , be bracket words over  $\Sigma$ . Let **a** be defined by  $a_n = a_n^{(i)}$  if  $n \in S_i$ . Then **a** is a bracket word.

Proof. We first infer from a recursive use of Proposition [6.2](#page-12-2) that the word

 $(\mathbf{1}_{S_1},\ldots,\mathbf{1}_{S_r},\mathbf{a}^{(1)},\ldots,\mathbf{a}^{(r)})$ 

defined over the alphabet  $\{0,1\}^r \times \Sigma^r$  is a bracket word. Now, letting f be any function from  $\{0,1\}^r \times \Sigma^r$  to  $\Sigma$  such that

$$
f(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_r,x_1,\ldots,x_r)=x_i
$$
 if  $\varepsilon_i=1$  and  $\varepsilon_j=0$  when  $j\neq i$ ,

we infer from Corollary [6.4](#page-13-0) that  $f(\mathbf{1}_{S_1},...,\mathbf{1}_{S_r},\mathbf{a}^{(1)},...,\mathbf{a}^{(r)})$  is a bracket word. It remains to see that  $\mathbf{a} = f(\mathbf{1}_{S_1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{S_r}, \mathbf{a}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{(r)}).$ 

**Example 6.7.** Let **a** be the infinite word over the alphabet  $\{-2, -1, 0, \ldots, 10\}$ given by

$$
a_n = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor n \left\{ \sqrt{2n} \right\} \right\rfloor & \text{if } n \left\{ \sqrt{2n} \right\} \le 10 \,, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \left\{ \sqrt{2n} \right\} > 10 \text{ and } n^2 \left\{ \sqrt{2n} \left\lfloor \sqrt{3n} \right\rfloor \right\} - n \left\{ \sqrt{5n} \right\} + 7 > 0 \,, \\ -2 & \text{if } n \left\{ \sqrt{2n} \right\} > 10 \text{ and } n^2 \left\{ \sqrt{2n} \left\lfloor \sqrt{3n} \right\rfloor \right\} - n \left\{ \sqrt{5n} \right\} + 7 \le 0 \,.\end{cases}
$$

Then a is a bracket word.

Since each eventually constant sequence is a bracket word, it follows that bracket words are also closed under finite modifications.

Corollary 6.8. Let  $a, a'$  be infinite words over a finite alphabet. If  $a$  is a bracket word and  $a'_n = a_n$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  then  $a'$  is a bracket word.

Proof. The result follows directly from Proposition [6.6](#page-13-1) and the fact that all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  are GP.

6.2. Rearrangements and morphisms. Many natural operations on infinite words can be described in terms of extracting or inserting entries in a regular manner. We record a simple observation, which can be used to find examples of operations of the aforementioned type which preserve bracket words.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Lemma 6.9.** Let a be a bracket word and let  $h: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$  be a GP map. Then  $(a_{h(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a bracket word.

*Proof.* If  $a_n = c(g(n))$  is the representation of **a** as in Definition [2.2,](#page-5-0) then  $a_{h(n)} =$  $c(g'(n))$ , where  $g' = g \circ h$  is a GP map (see Remark [2.1\)](#page-4-4).

Below, we list some applications of this result. Recall that, for  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ , the map  $\mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$  given by  $n \mapsto N \text{ mod } A = A \{N/A\}$  is a GP map.

<span id="page-14-2"></span>**Corollary 6.10.** Let a be a bracket word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ , let  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $\diamondsuit$  be a symbol not belonging to  $\Sigma$ , and let  $\pi: [A] \to [A]$  be a map. Then the following infinite words are also bracket words.

- (i)  $(a_{An+B})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbf{a}'$  defined over  $\Sigma \cup \{\diamondsuit\}$  by  $a'_n = a_{n/A}$  if  $A \mid n$  and  $a'_n = \diamondsuit$  otherwise.
- (iii)  $(a_{\lfloor n/A\rfloor+\pi(n \bmod A)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ .

Proof. The first item follows directly from Lemma [6.9.](#page-14-0) The second and third items follow from Lemma [6.9](#page-14-0) and Proposition [6.6.](#page-13-1)

<span id="page-14-1"></span>**Lemma 6.11.** Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$  be two alphabets. Let **a** be a bracket word over  $\Sigma$  and σ be a morphism of constant length from  $\Sigma^*$  to  $\Pi^*$ . Then  $\sigma(\mathbf{a})$  is a bracket word.

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $\sigma$  has constant length k, and set  $\mathbf{a}' := \sigma(\mathbf{a})$ . For each  $i \in [k]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have  $a'_{kn+i} = \sigma(a_n)_i$ , where we let  $\sigma(a_n)_i$  denote the *i*th letter occurring in  $\sigma(a_n)$ . It remains to apply Proposition [6.6](#page-13-1) and Lemmas [6.9](#page-14-0) and  $6.1.$ 

By similar techniques, we can show a result in the reverse direction: bracket words are preserved under grouping blocks of constant length, or, in other words, if the image of a word a by an injective morphism of constant length is a bracket word, then a itself is also a bracket word.

**Lemma 6.12.** Let a be an infinite word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the infinite word  $\mathbf{a}'$  over  $\Sigma^k$  given by  $a'_n = a_{kn} a_{kn+1} \cdots a_{kn+k-1}$ . Then  $a'$  is a bracket word if and only if  $a$  is a bracket word.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the one of Lemma [6.11.](#page-14-1)

6.3. Orbit closure. Given an infinite word a defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ , we let  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a})$  denote the orbit of  $\mathbf{a}$  under the shift, that is, the set of all infinite words  $\mathbf{a}'$  given by  $a'_n = a_{n+m}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**Proposition 6.13.** Let  $\mathbf{a}$  be a bracket word and let  $\mathbf{a}' \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a})$ . Then  $\mathbf{a}'$  is a bracket word.

*Proof.* This follows from (i) of Corollary [6.10](#page-14-2) in the special case where  $A = 1$ .  $\Box$ 

More generally, we can consider the orbit closure  $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a})}$ , that is, the closure of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a})$  with respect to the product topology on  $\Sigma^{\infty}$ . Explicitly,  $\mathbf{a}'$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a})$ if, for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists m such that  $a'_n = a_{n+m}$  for all  $n \in [N]$ .

<span id="page-15-1"></span>**Proposition 6.14.** Let  $\mathbf{a}$  be a bracket word and let  $\mathbf{a}' \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a})$ . Then  $\mathbf{a}'$  is a bracket word.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemmas [A.5](#page-53-2) and [A.6](#page-53-3) given in Appendix  $\Box$ 

**Example 6.15.** Let **a** be the bracket word given by  $a_n = \left[ \frac{\sqrt{2}n}{\sqrt{3}n} \right] < 1/4 \right]$ . Then each  $\mathbf{a}' \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a})$  can be written in the form

$$
a'_n = \left[ \left\{ (\sqrt{2}n + \alpha) \left\{ \sqrt{3}n + \beta \right\} + \gamma n + \delta \right\} < 1/4 \right],
$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1)$ . Conversely,  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a})$  contains all sequences  $\mathbf{a}'$  of the aforementioned form, as well as all sequences obtained from them by replacing any instances of  $\{x\}$  with  $\{x\}' := 1 - \{-x\}$ , or by replacing the strict inequality  $\lt$  with  $\leq$ .

6.4. GP sets. The closure properties of bracket words directly translate into closure properties of GP subsets of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  thanks to Corollary [5.5.](#page-11-1) In fact, we have already used this connection at several places. For ease of reference, we gather these properties here.

<span id="page-15-2"></span>**Proposition 6.16.** The family of GP subsets of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is a field of sets. In other words, it contains the empty set and if  $E, F \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  are GP sets then so are  $\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus E$ ,  $E \cup F$ ,  $E \cap F$ .

*Proof.* The result follows from Proposition [6.6.](#page-13-1)

**Proposition 6.17.** Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  be a GP set. Then the following sets are also GP sets.

- $m \cdot E = \{ nm \mid n \in E \}, where m \in \mathbb{N}.$
- $E/m = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid nm \in E\}$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- $E m = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid n + m \in E\}$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

<span id="page-15-0"></span>*Proof.* This is a consequence of Corollary [6.10.](#page-14-2)

# 7. Recurrence

In this section, we collect some recurrence properties of bracket words. Most of them are consequences of results concerning uniform distribution of nilrotations, and hence are related to the dynamical characterization of bracket words discussed in Section [4.](#page-7-0)

7.1. Uniform frequency. Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet. Given an infinite word  $\mathbf{a} \in \Sigma^{\infty}$  and a letter  $x \in \Sigma$ , the (asymptotic) *frequency* of x in **a** is defined as

freq(**a**, x) := 
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} |\{n \in [N] | a_n = x\}|/N
$$
,

provided that the limit exists. More generally, given a finite word  $w \in \Sigma^{\ell}$ , the frequency of  $w$  in  $a$  is defined as

$$
\operatorname{freq}({\mathbf{a}}, w) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \left| \left\{ n \in [N] \; \middle| \; {\mathbf{a}}_{[n,n+\ell)} = w \right\} \right| / N,
$$

provided that the limit exists. Here and elsewhere,  $a_{[n,n+\ell)}$  denotes the finite subword  $a_n a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+\ell-1}$ . We define also the *recurrence function* of w in **a** as the least length of a segment of  $a$  that is guaranteed to contain an occurrence of  $w$ :

$$
\operatorname{rec}(\mathbf{a}, w) := \inf \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} \; \middle| \; \text{for each } m \text{ there exists } n \in [m, m+r) \right\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{ \infty \}.
$$

For bracket words, we have a strong result about the existence of frequencies, which is a rephrasing of [\[BL07,](#page-54-1) Thm. B].

<span id="page-16-1"></span>**Theorem 7.1.** Let a be a bracket word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  and  $\ell$ be a positive integer. Then for each  $w \in \Sigma^{\ell}$ , the frequency freq(**a**, *w*) exists and, moreover, one has

$$
\big|\big\{n\in [M,M+N)\ \big|\ \mathbf{a}_{[n,n+\ell)}=w\big\}\big|\, /N\to \text{freq}(\mathbf{a},w)
$$

uniformly in M as  $N \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* By definition, there exist a finitely valued GP map  $g : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  and a coding  $c : g(\mathbb{N}_0) \to \Sigma$  such that  $a_n = c(g(n))$ . We deduce from [\[BL07,](#page-54-1) Thm. B] that the desired conclusion holds for the sequence  $g(n)$ . The same conclusion remains true after applying a coding.

<span id="page-16-2"></span>**Corollary 7.2.** For a and w as above, either freq( $a, w$ ) = 0 or rec( $a, w$ ) <  $\infty$ .

**Remark 7.3.** It can happen that freq(a,  $w$ ) = 0 but still w appears in a infinitely often. Hence, bracket words are not guaranteed to be uniformly recurrent <sup>[2](#page-16-0)</sup>.

The same result can be stated in terms of densities of GP sets. Recall that the asymptotic density of a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  is defined by

$$
d(E) = \lim_{N \to \infty} |E \cap [N]| / N
$$

provided that the limit exists. In general, we let  $\overline{d}(E)$  and  $\underline{d}(E)$  denote the upper and lower asymptotic densities, obtained by replacing lim with lim sup and lim inf respectively. Additionally, we define the upper and lower uniform (Banach) densities by

$$
d^*(E) = \limsup_{N \to \infty} \sup_M |E \cap [M, M + N)| / N
$$

and

$$
d_*(E) = \liminf_{N \to \infty} \inf_M |E \cap [M, M + N)| / N.
$$

In general, we have the chain of inequalities:  $d_*(E) \leq \underline{d}(E) \leq d(E) \leq d^*(E)$ .

<span id="page-16-3"></span>**Theorem 7.4.** Let E be a GP subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $d(E)$  exists. Moreover,  $d^*(E)$  =  $d_*(E) = d(E).$ 

<span id="page-16-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A sequence **a** is uniformly recurrent if, for every finite word w which appears in **a**, there exists  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $w$  appears in the length- $\ell$  segment  $\mathbf{a}|_{[n,n+\ell)}$  for all  $n.$ 

<span id="page-17-2"></span>7.2. Distribution along subsequences. Many of the desirable properties of bracket words are preserved under passing to subsequences. As a first instance of this principle, we consider the simple case of polynomial subsequences.

**Proposition 7.5.** Let a be a bracket word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ , p:  $\mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$  be a polynomial, and  $\ell$  be a positive integer. Then for each  $w \in \Sigma^{\ell}$ , the frequency freq  $((a_{p(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}, w)$  exists.

*Proof.* Let  $a_n = c(g(n))$  be a representation of **a**, where  $g : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  is a GP map. Then  $g \cdot p : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$  is also a GP map for GP maps are closed under composition, and  $a_{n(n)} = c(q \circ p(n))$ . The results follows now from Theorem 7.1. and  $a_{p(n)} = c(g \circ p(n))$ . The results follows now from Theorem [7.1.](#page-16-1)

We point out that even in the simplest cases, passing to a subsequence can alter frequencies with which symbols occur. For instance, if  $a = 101010 \cdots$  then freq(**a**, 1) = 1/2 but freq( $(a_{2n})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , 1) = 1.

Next, let us consider distribution along the primes. In [\[GT12a\]](#page-55-10), Green and Tao obtained quantitative estimates on correlations between the M¨obius function and nilsequences, which have important consequences for the question at hand, (cf. [\[GT12a,](#page-55-10) Sec. 5]). Extending the techniques developed by Green and Tao, and the connection between GP maps and nilsystems, Bergelson, Håland-Knutson and Son [\[BHKS20\]](#page-54-2) showed that bounded GP maps have asymptotic distribution along the primes. The following result is obtained by specialising [\[BHKS20,](#page-54-2) Theorem 5.1] to finitely-valued sequences. Below, we let  $p_n$  denote the n-th prime. We also mention related work of Eisner [\[Eis20\]](#page-54-10) concerning convergence of ergodic averages along primes in nilsystems.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Theorem 7.6.** Let a be a bracket word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Then for each  $x \in \Sigma$ , the frequency freq  $((a_{p_n})_{n=0}^{\infty}, x)$  exists.

Proof. This follows from [\[BHKS20,](#page-54-2) Thm. 5.1] using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem [7.1.](#page-16-1)  $\Box$ 

Considering  $\mathbf{a} = 101010 \cdots$  again, we see that the frequencies freq  $((a_{p_n})_{n=0}^{\infty}, x)$ need not be equal to freq  $(a, x)$ . One can also inquire if it possible to generalise Theorem [7.6](#page-17-0) from frequencies of symbols  $x \in \Sigma$  to frequencies of words  $w \in \Sigma^{\ell}$ ,  $\ell \geq 2$ . While it seems plausible that this generalisation is true, it is out of reach of the current techniques. Indeed, already in the case where  $\mathbf{a} = (n \mod q)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a periodic word with period  $q \geq 3$ , such a generalisation would require us to understand the asymptotic behaviour of

<span id="page-17-1"></span>(7) 
$$
\frac{1}{N} |\{n < N \mid p_n \equiv w_1, p_{n+1} \equiv w_2, \dots, p_{n+\ell-1} = w_{n+\ell-1} \bmod q\}|.
$$

It is conjectured that the expression in [\(7\)](#page-17-1) converges to  $1/\varphi(q)^{\ell}$ , where  $\varphi$  denotes the totient function; in fact, the Main Conjecture in [\[LOS16\]](#page-55-11) gives a more precise asymptotic expression. However, such estimate remains unknown for any  $\ell \geq 2$ , as discussed in [\[LOS16\]](#page-55-11).

Lastly, we consider a class of subsequences which preserve the frequencies of symbols. As already alluded to earlier, Theorem [4.1](#page-9-1) leads to a close connection between equidistribution results for nilsystems and statements about frequencies of symbols in bracket words. Recall that each nilmanifold  $X$  comes equipped with the Haar measure  $\mu_X$ . A sequence  $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is *equidistributed* in X if for each continuous

map  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  we have

<span id="page-18-0"></span>(8) 
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(x_n) = \int_X f d\mu_X.
$$

<span id="page-18-1"></span>**Definition 7.7.** Let  $t: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$ . We say that t is good for equidistribution in nilsystems if, for all minimal nilsystems  $(X, T)$  and all  $x \in X$ , the sequence  $(T^{t(n)}(x))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed in X.

<span id="page-18-2"></span>**Proposition 7.8.** Let a be a bracket word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ , and let  $t: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$  be good for equidistribution in nilsystems. Then the frequencies of letters in a along the subsequence  $t(n)$  exist and remain the same as in a:

$$
\operatorname{freq}\left(\left(a_{t(n)}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty},x\right)=\operatorname{freq}(\mathbf{a},x)\qquad\forall x\in\Sigma.
$$

Proof. This is a consequence of the representation of a coming from Theorem [4.2.](#page-9-3) Indeed, though the indicator functions  $\mathbf{1}_{S_i}$  of the semialgebraic sets  $S_i$  from Theorem [4.2](#page-9-3) are not continuous, they can be efficiently approximated by continuous functions to which Equality [\(8\)](#page-18-0) can be applied.  $\square$ 

Hopefully, the following result of Frantzikinakis [\[Fra09\]](#page-54-11) provides a plentiful source of sequences that satisfy Definition [7.7](#page-18-1) and make Proposition [7.8](#page-18-2) relevant.

**Theorem 7.9** ([\[Fra09,](#page-54-11) Thm. 1.1]). Let us assume that  $f: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  satisfies the following properties.

- (i) The function f belongs to some Hardy field.
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>(ii) There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $f(x) = O(x^C)$  as  $x \to \infty$ .

(iii) For every 
$$
p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]
$$
 and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{|f(x) - cp(x)|}{\log x} = \infty$ .

Then  $|f|$  is good for equidistribution in nilsystems.

For a definition of a Hardy field, we refer the reader to [\[Fra09\]](#page-54-11). Here, we just point out that one example of a Hardy field is the logarithmic-exponential functions, that is, real-valued functions defined on some interval [ $c, \infty$ ),  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , that can be constructed from polynomials using addition, multiplication, and the functions exp and log. Hence, the following formulae define sequences that are good for equidistribution in nilsystems:  $\left\lfloor n^{3/2} \right\rfloor$ ,  $\left\lfloor n^2 \log^2 n \right\rfloor$ ,  $\left\lfloor n^2 + \log^2 n \right\rfloor$ , and  $\left\lfloor n^2 + \sqrt{2}n \right\rfloor$ . Conversely, because of item [\(iii\),](#page-18-3) there is no guarantee that the same should apply to  $|n^2 + \log n|$  or  $|\sqrt{2}n|$ . In fact, one can compute that

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\lfloor\sqrt{2}n\right\rfloor = n + \frac{\left\{\sqrt{2}n\right\}}{\sqrt{2}} \equiv \frac{\left\{\sqrt{2}n\right\}}{\sqrt{2}} \bmod 1,
$$

so  $\lfloor\sqrt{2}n\rfloor$  is not good for equidistribution for the circle rotation by  $1/\sqrt{2}$ . Extensions of some results in [\[Fra09\]](#page-54-11) were recently obtained by Richter [\[Ric20\]](#page-55-12).

It is not possible to directly generalise Proposition [7.8](#page-18-2) to frequencies of words instead of symbols. For instance, the sequence  $t(n) = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$  is good for equidistribution in nilsystems and constant on each interval  $[N^2, (N+1)^2)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, already for the periodic word  $\mathbf{a} = 101010 \cdots$  and  $w \in \{0, 1\}^2$  we have

$$
\text{freq}\left((a_{t(n)})_{n=0}^\infty,w\right)=\begin{cases}1/2 & \text{if }w\in\{00,11\},\\ 0 & \text{if }w\in\{01,10\}.\end{cases}
$$

Thus, the frequencies of words in  $(a_{t(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$  bear little resemblance to the frequencies of symbols and words in a.

On the other hand, under additional growth conditions, one can obtain positive results. The key technical component is the following theorem of Bergelson, Moreira and Richter. Below, we let  $f^{(j)}$  denote the j-th derivative of f.

<span id="page-19-0"></span>**Theorem 7.10** ([\[BMR20,](#page-54-12) Thm. 5.6, special case]). Let  $(X, T)$  be a minimal nilsystem, let  $z \in X$ , and let  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k$  be functions belonging to the same Hardy field. Suppose further that the following two conditions hold.

<span id="page-19-1"></span>(i) For each  $h \in \text{span}\left\{f_i^{(j)}\right\}$  $\left(1 \leq i \leq k, j \geq 0\right\}$  and each  $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , we have either  $|h(x) - p(x)| = O(1)$  or  $|h(x) - p(x)| / \log x \to \infty$  as  $x \to \infty$ .

<span id="page-19-2"></span>(ii) For each non-zero  $h \in \text{span} \{f_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  and each  $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , we have  $|h(x) - p(x)| \to \infty \text{ as } x \to \infty.$ 

Then  $((T^{\lfloor f_1(n)\rfloor}(z), T^{\lfloor f_2(n)\rfloor}(z), \ldots, T^{\lfloor f_k(n)\rfloor}(z)))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed in  $X^k$ .

Let now f be a function belonging to a Hardy field, and put  $t := |f|$  and  $f_i(x) := f(x + i)$  for every i such that  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Using standard techniques, such as the Taylor expansion and estimates on derivatives of functions in Hardy fields [\[Fra09,](#page-54-11) Lem. 2.1], one can verify that conditions [7.10.](#page-19-0)[\(i\)](#page-19-1) and [7.10.](#page-19-0)[\(ii\)](#page-19-2) are satisfied if

<span id="page-19-3"></span>
$$
\frac{f(x)}{x^k \log x} \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f(x)}{x^{k+1}} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty.
$$

Combining the conclusion of Theorem [7.10](#page-19-0) with Theorem [4.2,](#page-9-3) one could show that for each bracket word **a** and each word  $w \in \Sigma^k$  we have

(9) 
$$
\operatorname{freq}\left(\left(a_{t(n)}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}, w\right) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \operatorname{freq}\left(\mathbf{a}, w_i\right).
$$

We emphasise that the frequencies in [\(9\)](#page-19-3) are, in general, not equal to freq( $\mathbf{a}, w$ ); rather, they are the values which one would expect if t exhibited random-like behaviour. We leave the details to the interested reader.

7.3. IP recurrence. Apart from asymptotic density, one can also inquire into combinatorial richness of GP subsets of N. In order to state the relevant results, we introduce some terminology. A set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is an IP-set if it contains all finite sums of a sequence of positive integers, that is, if there exists a sequence of positive integers  $(n_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\sum_{i\in I} n_i \in E$  for all finite set  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . A set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is IP<sup>\*</sup> if  $E \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for every IP-set E, or, equivalently, if for every sequence of positive integers  $(n_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  there exists a finite set  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with  $\sum_{i \in I} n_i \in F$ . We also define shifted variants of these notions. A set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is IP<sub>+</sub> if  $(E + n) \cap \mathbb{N}$  is an IP-set for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Likewise, a set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is  $IP^*_{+}$  if  $(F + n) \cap \mathbb{N}$  is an  $IP^*$ -set for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Each IP<sup>\*</sup>-set is *syndetic*, meaning that for every IP<sup>\*</sup>-set F there exists an integer N such that  $F \cap [n, n + N) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . In particular, all IP<sup>\*</sup>-sets have positive lower uniform density. Moreover, since for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the multiples of m form an IP-set, all IP<sup>\*</sup>-sets contain a multiple of m. The class of IP<sup>\*</sup>-sets is closed under intersection, meaning that for all pairs  $F, F' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  of IP<sup>\*</sup>-sets,  $F \cap F'$ is also an IP<sup>\*</sup>-set. In what follows, we say that a statement  $\varphi(n)$  holds for *almost* all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  if  $d\left(\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \neg \varphi(n)\}\right) = 0$ .

<span id="page-20-1"></span>**Theorem 7.11** ([\[BL07,](#page-54-1) Thm.D]). Let a be a bracket word over  $\Sigma$ . Then for almost all  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and all sequences of positive integers  $(n_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , there exists a finite set  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_{n_0 + \sum_{i \in I} n_i} = a_{n_0}$ . In other words, if  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is a GP set with  $d(E) > 0$ , then E is  $IP^*_+$ . Furthermore,  $(E - n) \cap \mathbb{N}$  is  $IP^*$  for almost all  $n \in E$ .

Remark 7.12. One can obtain more precise versions of this result. Specifically, it follows from [\[BL18\]](#page-54-13) that one can additionally require that  $I \subseteq [r]$  for some integer r that only depends on **a** and  $n_0$ . In a different direction, it follows from [\[Kon17\]](#page-55-13) that one can additionally require that the gaps between consecutive elements of I are bounded from above by some integer d that only depends on a.

# 8. Rates of growth

<span id="page-20-0"></span>Let **a** be a bracket word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ . In addition to the asymptotic frequency freq( $\mathbf{a}, x$ ) with which a symbol  $x \in \Sigma$  appears in  $\mathbf{a}$ , one can inquire into more quantitative estimates on the count of occurrences of  $x$  in  $a$ . This leads us to consider the counting function

(10) 
$$
\pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N) := |\{n \in [N] \mid a_n = x\}|.
$$

For example, if **a** is the Sturmian word given by  $a_n = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor - \lfloor \alpha(n-1) \rfloor$ , then we have the estimate  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) = \alpha N + O(1)$ , which is significantly stronger than  $freq(**a**, 1) = \alpha$ .

In this section, our aim is to study the possible rates of growth of  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N)$ , when **a** is a bracket word. This quantitative approach is especially relevant in the case where  $freq(a, x) = 0$ . For instance, if we let  $F := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, ...\}$ denote the set of Fibonacci numbers,  $\varphi := (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  be the golden ratio, and  $E := \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid ||\varphi n|| < 1/\sqrt{n}\},\$  then the frequencies  $f_{\text{req}}(\mathbf{1}_E, 1)$  and  $\text{freq}(\mathbf{1}_F, 1)$  are both zero, but  $\pi(\mathbf{1}_F, 1; N) = \Theta(\log N)$  grows much more slowly than  $\pi(\mathbf{1}_E, 1; N) =$  $\Theta(\sqrt{N})$  (cf. Proposition [8.8\)](#page-22-0).

For context, we mention that similar questions have been studied for other classes of sequences of combinatorial interest. For instance, it is well-known (see e.g. [\[Rig14,](#page-55-14) Sec. 1.2) that for each k-automatic word **a** over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  and each  $x \in \Sigma$ , the count of integers n with  $a_n = x$  whose base-k expansion has length  $L \geq 1, \pi(\mathbf{a}, x; k^L) - \pi(\mathbf{a}, x; k^{L-1}),$  satisfies a linear recurrence in L. Thus, either  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N) = \Theta(\log^d N)$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$  or  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N) = \Omega(N^c)$  for some  $c \in (0, 1]$ [\[Rig14,](#page-55-14) Thm. 1.48]. In particular, if  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N) = N^{o(1)}$  then  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N) = \log^{O(1)} N$ . Another convenient consequence is that if  $freq(a, x) = 0$  then  $\pi(a, x; N) = O(N^c)$ for some  $c \in (0,1)$ .

8.1. Extreme behaviours. Of course, we have  $0 \leq \pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N) \leq N$ . To begin with, we note that  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N)$  can have linear growth.

**Lemma 8.1.** For every  $\alpha \in (0,1]$ , there exists a bracket word over  $\{0,1\}$  with  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) = \alpha N + O(1).$ 

*Proof.* Consider the Sturmian word a given by  $a_n = |\alpha n| - |\alpha(n-1)|$ .

At the other extreme, we also have general results for slow rates of growth.

As a first example, we mention a result [\[BK18,](#page-54-5) Theorem C] asserting that any "sufficiently sparse" subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is a GP set.

<span id="page-21-0"></span>**Proposition 8.2** ([\[BK18,](#page-54-5) Thm. C]). Let  $E = \{n_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  be a set with

$$
\liminf_{i \to \infty} \frac{\log n_{i+1}}{\log n_i} > 1.
$$

Then  $\mathbf{1}_E$  is a bracket word.

The proof of Proposition [8.2](#page-21-0) relies on an application of Proposition [5.2](#page-10-1) for a GP map of the form  $g(n) = ||\alpha n|| < 1/n^d$  with large  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and a carefully constructed  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Of course, this result provides examples of bracket words over  $\{0, 1\}$  for which the growth of  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N)$  tends to infinity as slowly as wanted.

Now, we prove the following general result that also covers growth order of type  $\log N$ .

**Proposition 8.3.** Let  $f: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be a non-decreasing map and assume that there exists a positive real number C such that  $f(2n) \leq f(n) + C$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists a bracket word a over  $\{0,1\}$  such that  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) = f(N) + O(1)$ .

*Proof.* Let F be the set of all Fibonacci numbers, and let  $F' = F + [C]$ . Note that for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $|F' \cap [n, 2n)| \ge C$ . In [\[BK22\]](#page-54-7), it is shown that any subset E of F is a GP set, and hence the same also applies to each  $E \subseteq F'$ . One can inductively construct a set  $E \subseteq F'$  such that  $|E \cap [N]| = f(N) + O(1)$  for all N: for each  $n \in F'$ , assuming that  $E \cap [n]$  has already been constructed, we let  $n \in E$  if and only if  $f(n) > |E \cap [n]|$ . It remains to set  $\mathbf{a} := \mathbf{1}_E$ .

**Example 8.4.** For every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , there is a bracket word **a** over  $\{0, 1\}$  such that  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) = \alpha \log N + O(1).$ 

For the sake of completeness, we mention that for even slower rates of growth, we can reformulate [\[BK18,](#page-54-5) Thm. C] to obtain a slightly more precise result which does not involve an error term.

**Proposition 8.5.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be a continuously differentiable increasing function with  $\sup_x |f'(x)| < \infty$ . Then there exists a bracket word **a** over  $\{0,1\}$  with  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) = |f(\log \log N)|$  for all sufficiently large N.

*Proof.* Since  $f(\log \log x)$  is increasing in x and  $(f \circ \log \circ \log)'(x) \to 0$  as  $x \to \infty$ , we can construct a set  $E = \{m_1 < m_2 < \ldots\}$  with  $|E \cap [N]| = |f(\log \log N)|$  for all sufficiently large  $N$ . Thus, for sufficiently large  $n$  we have

$$
f(\log\log m_n) < n \le f(\log\log(m_n + 1)).
$$

As a consequence, we find that

$$
f^{-1}(n - 1/2) \le \log \log m_n \le f^{-1}(n)
$$
.

Applying this bound to n and  $n + 1$ , we obtain

$$
\frac{\log m_{n+1}}{\log m_n} \ge \exp\left(f^{-1}(n+1/2) - f^{-1}(n)\right).
$$

Since  $f'(x)$  is bounded as  $x \to \infty$ , we have

$$
\liminf_{n \to \infty} (f^{-1}(n + 1/2) - f^{-1}(n)) > 0,
$$

and consequently

$$
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log m_{n+1}}{\log m_n} > 1.
$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{1}_E$  is a bracket word by Proposition [8.2.](#page-21-0)

**Example 8.6.** There are bracket words **a** over  $\{0,1\}$  such that  $\pi(\mathbf{a},1;N)$  is any of the following:  $\lfloor \alpha \log \log N \rfloor$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\lfloor (\log \log N)^c \rfloor$  for any  $c \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\log \log \log N$ , and so on.

8.2. Moderate behaviours. Bearing in mind the examples mentioned above, we are left with the question of determining which rates of growth between linear and logarithmic are possible for  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N)$ . Towards this end, we will make use of results concerning the asymptotic behaviour of Diophantine expressions of the form  $\prod_{i=1}^d ||\alpha_i n||$ , which have received considerable attention in connection with the Littlewood conjecture (see Example [3.6\)](#page-7-2). While the conjecture remains unsolved, one can obtain considerably more precise estimates for a generic choice of  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d)$ . For future reference, let us define the set

$$
E(\lambda,\alpha):=\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}\;\Bigg|\; \prod_{i=1}^d\|n\alpha_i\|
$$

where  $d \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in [0,1],$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Note that  $E(\lambda, \alpha)$  is a GP set if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

<span id="page-22-2"></span>**Proposition 8.7.** For every  $\lambda \in [0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there exists a bracket word **a** over  $\{0,1\}$  with  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) = \Theta(N^{\lambda} \log^c N)$ .

Proof. It follows from a variant of the main result in [\[WY81\]](#page-55-15), as cited in [\[CT22,](#page-54-14) Thm. 1.6 and specialised to  $\psi(n) = n^{-1+\lambda}$ , that for each  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and almost all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have the asymptotic formula

<span id="page-22-1"></span>(11) 
$$
|E(\lambda, \alpha) \cap [N]| = \begin{cases} \Theta(x^{\lambda} \log^{d-1} x) & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0, \\ \Theta(\log^d x) & \text{if } \lambda = 0. \end{cases}
$$

If  $\lambda = c = 0$  then one can simply take  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}$ , so suppose this is not the case. Let  $d = c + 1$  if  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $d = c$  if  $\lambda = 0$ . It follows from [\(11\)](#page-22-1) that for almost all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we may take  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{1}_{E(\lambda, \alpha)}$ .  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we may take  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{1}_{E(\lambda,\alpha)}$ .

Unfortunately, the main result in [\[WY81\]](#page-55-15) does not provide any explicit example of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for which [\(11\)](#page-22-1) holds, and as a consequence our proof of Proposition [8.7](#page-22-2) does not provide any explicit example of bracket words **a** such that  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N)$  has prescribed asymptotic behaviour. However, such examples can be constructed in the special case where (using notation from Proposition [8.7\)](#page-22-2)  $d = 0$ . Below, we let  $\varphi$  denote the golden ratio.

<span id="page-22-0"></span>**Proposition 8.8.** Let  $\lambda \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{1}_E$ , where

$$
E := \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid ||n\varphi|| \leq n^{-1+\lambda} \right\}.
$$

Then  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) = \Theta(N^{\lambda}).$ 

*Proof.* Recall that every positive integer  $n$  has a unique expansion

$$
n=\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\epsilon_i(n)f_i\,,
$$

where  $f_i$  is the *i*-th Fibonacci number,  $\epsilon_i(n) \in \{0,1\}$  and  $(\epsilon_i(n), \epsilon_{i+1}(n)) \neq (1, 1)$ for all  $i \geq 2$ . Let  $\nu(n)$  denote the least index  $i \geq 1$  such that  $\epsilon_{i+1}(n) = 1$ , and let  $\mu(n) := -\log_{\varphi} ||n\varphi||$ . It follows from [\[DMS18,](#page-54-15) Lemma 1] that the difference between  $\nu(n)$  and  $\mu(n)$  is bounded; in fact,  $|\mu(n) - \nu(n)| \leq 3$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It is a standard exercise to show that for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , the number of sequences in  $\{0,1\}^{\ell}$  with no pair of consecutive 1s is  $f_{\ell+2} = \Theta(\varphi^{\ell})$ . As a consequence, for each sufficiently large  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $c \in (-10, 10)$ , we have

(12) 
$$
|\{n \in [f_{\ell-1}, f_{\ell}) \mid \nu(n) \geq (1-\lambda)\ell + c\}| = \Theta\left(\varphi^{\lambda\ell}\right).
$$

Since  $|\mu(n) - \nu(n)| \leq 3$  and  $\left| \log_{\varphi} n - \ell \right| < 2$  for all  $n \in [f_{\ell-1}, f_{\ell}),$  it follows that

(13) 
$$
\left| \left\{ n \in [f_{\ell-1}, f_{\ell}) \middle| \mu(n) \ge (1 - \lambda) \log_{\varphi} n \right\} \right| = \Theta \left( \varphi^{\lambda \ell} \right).
$$

Let N be a large integer, and let i be the index with  $f_{i-1} \leq N < f_i$ . Summing [\(13\)](#page-23-0) over all  $\ell \leq i$  (resp.  $\ell \leq i-1$ ), we conclude that

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
|E \cap [N]| = |\{n \in [N] \mid \mu(n) \ge (1 - \lambda) \log_{\varphi} n\}| = \Theta(\varphi^{\lambda i}) = \Theta(N^{\lambda}). \qquad \Box
$$

In general, it is not clear how to construct  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$  which satisfy [\(11\)](#page-22-1) for  $d \geq 2$ . However, some progress in that direction follows from recent work of Chow and Technau. For instance, it follows as a special case of [\[CT22,](#page-54-14) Theorem 1.9], that for each  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and each  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  which is not a Liouville number  $(i.e., ||n\alpha_1|| \gg 1/n^C)$ for some constant C), for almost all  $\alpha_2$  we have the we have the one-sided variant of [\(11\)](#page-22-1),

$$
|E(\lambda,(\alpha_1,\alpha_2))\cap [N]|\gg \Psi_{2,\lambda}(N).
$$

8.3. Almost linear growth. It might come as a surprise that there exist bracket words **a** over  $\{0, 1\}$  such that the growth rate  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N)$  is slower than, but arbitrarily close to, linear. This is closely related to a classical result of Khinchine asserting that there exist pairs  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$  linearly independent over Q, such that  $\min_{|n| \le N} ||n_1 \alpha_1 + n_2 \alpha_2||$  tends to 0 arbitrarily fast as  $N \to \infty$ , see e.g. [\[Cas72,](#page-54-16) Ch. V, Thm. XIV]. We will need the following elementary lemma.

<span id="page-23-1"></span>**Lemma 8.9.** Let  $(N_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of positive integers and  $(\varepsilon_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence with values in  $(0, 1)$  such that

$$
N_{i+1}\geq 2N_i/\varepsilon_i\,.
$$

Then there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  with  $||N_i \alpha|| \leq \varepsilon_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* For every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set

$$
A_j := \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \mid ||N_i \alpha|| \le \varepsilon_i \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le j \}.
$$

It will suffice to show that the set  $A := \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$  is uncountable.

Note that, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A_{j+1}$  is the intersection of  $A_j$  with the periodic set  $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \mid ||N_{j+1}\alpha|| \leq \varepsilon_{j+1}\},\$  which is the union of the intervals

$$
I_{j+1}^{(m)}:=\left[\frac{m-\varepsilon_{j+1}}{N_{j+1}},\frac{m+\varepsilon_{j+1}}{N_{j+1}}\right],\qquad m\in[N_{j+1}].
$$

This motivates us to recursively define a family of sets  $A'_{j}$  by  $A'_{1} = A_{1}$  and

$$
A'_{j+1} = \bigcup \left\{ I_{j+1}^{(m)} \mid m \in [N_{j+1}] \text{ and } I_{j+1}^{(m)} \subseteq A'_{j} \right\}.
$$

A routine inductive argument shows that  $A'_j \subseteq A_j$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and it follows directly from the definition that  $A'_{j}$  is a union of intervals with lengths  $2\varepsilon_{j}/N_{j}$ . Set  $A' := \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A'_j \subseteq A.$ 

<span id="page-24-0"></span>Since  $2\varepsilon_j/N_i \geq 4/N_{j+1}$ , for each  $m \in [N_j]$  there exists  $m' \in [N_{j+1}]$  such that

(14) 
$$
I_{j+1}^{(m')}, I_{j+1}^{(m'+1)} \subseteq \left[\frac{m'-1}{N_{j+1}}, \frac{m'+2}{N_{j+1}}\right] \subseteq I_j^{(m)}.
$$

Applying this observation inductively and using Cantor's intersection theorem, we conclude that  $I_j^{(m)} \cap A' \neq \emptyset$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in [N_j]$  such that  $I_j^{(m)} \subseteq A_j$ . In fact, since the left hand side of [\(14\)](#page-24-0) includes two disjoint intervals, we can use a similar argument to produce an injective map from  $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  to A'. Thus, A' is uncountable, and so is  $A$ .

<span id="page-24-1"></span>**Proposition 8.10.** Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \to (0,1)$  be any function with  $f(N) \to 0$  as  $N \to \infty$ . There exists a bracket word a over  $\{0,1\}$  such that freq(a, 1) = 0 but  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) \geq$  $f(N)N$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $f(n) \geq 1/n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and that f is non-increasing. For each  $N$ , let  $h(N)$  denote the least positive integer with  $f(h(N)) < 1/N$ , and let  $(N_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be the sequence defined by  $N_1 = 1$  and

$$
N_{i+1} := 2h(N_i)^2.
$$

Put also  $\varepsilon_i := N_i/h(N_{i+1})^2 = 2N_i/N_{i+2}$ . Note that  $h(N) > N$  so  $N_{i+1} > 2N_i^2$ , and in particular the sequence  $N_i$  is increasing.

By Lemma [8.9,](#page-23-1) there exist  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\|\alpha_j N_i\| \leq \varepsilon_i$  for all  $j \in \{0, 1\}$ and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $i \equiv j \mod 2$ . For  $j \in \{0, 1\}$ , set

$$
E_j := \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid ||n\alpha_j|| \leq 1/n \},
$$

 $E := E_0 \cup E_1 \cup [h(N_1)],$  and  $\mathbf{a} := \mathbf{1}_E$ . We claim that a satisfies the required conditions. Since  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  are irrational, we have

freq(**a**, 1) = 
$$
d(E) \leq d(E_0) + d(E_1) = 0
$$
.

It remains to show that  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) = |E \cap [N]| \ge f(N)N$  for all N. If  $N \le h(N_1)$ then  $[N] \subseteq E$ , so we may assume that  $N > h(N_1)$ . Let i denote the unique index such that  $h(N_i) \leq N < h(N_{i+1})$ , and put  $\alpha := \alpha_{i \mod 2}$ . Since  $||N_i \alpha|| \leq \varepsilon_i$ , we have

$$
||mN_i\alpha|| \le m ||N_i\alpha|| \le m\varepsilon_i \le \frac{1}{mN_i}
$$

and thus  $mN_i \in E$  for all integers m with  $1 \leq m \leq h(N_{i+1})/N_i$ . It follows that

$$
|E \cap [N]| \ge N/N_i \ge f(h(N_i))N \ge f(N)N.
$$

**Example 8.11.** There exists a bracket word **a** over  $\{0,1\}$  with freq(a, 1) = 0 and  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) \geq N/\log \log N$  for all sufficiently large N. Note, however, that Proposition [8.10](#page-24-1) does not ensure the existence of a bracket word **a** with  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) =$  $\Theta(N/\log\log\log N)$ .

8.4. Algebraic coefficients. The construction in Proposition [8.10](#page-24-1) relies on finding a pair of real numbers  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$  with some rather unusual Diophantine properties. If we restrict our attention to bracket words with algebraic coefficients (c.f. Remark [2.4\)](#page-5-3), such constructions are no longer possible and some gap appears in the possible growth rates.

**Proposition 8.12.** Let a be a bracket word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  which arises from a GP map with algebraic coefficients and let  $x \in \Sigma$ . If freq(a, x) = 0, then there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N) = O(N^{1-c})$ .

Proof. In this proof, we will make extensive use of the material discussed in Appendix [A.](#page-51-0)

By Theorem [A.1,](#page-51-1) there exists a nilmanifold  $X = G/\Gamma$  with G connected and simply connected, as well as a polynomial sequence  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to G$  and a semialgebraic set  $S \subseteq X$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have the equivalence:  $a_n = x \iff g(n) \Gamma \in$ S. The nilmanifold X can be equipped with a Mal'cev basis X. We put  $d := \dim G$ , and let  $\tilde{\tau}: G \to \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\tau: G/\Gamma \to [0,1)^d$  denote the corresponding coordinate maps. Furthermore, inspecting the construction in  $[BL07]$ , we see that X can be equipped with a Mal'cev basis  $\chi$  such that  $\tilde{\tau} \circ q$  is a polynomial with algebraic coefficients and  $\tau(S)$  is defined by equations and inequalities with algebraic coefficients. Since freq(**a**, x) = 0, S is contained in a variety  $V \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^d$ , which is defined over Q.

Now, we pick a non-zero polynomial  $p: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  that vanishes on  $V \cup \partial [0,1]^d$ . Throughout the argument, we allow all implicit constants to depend on  $X$ ,  $q$ , and S. There are now two cases to consider, depending on the distribution of  $g(n)\Gamma$ .

Suppose first that the sequence  $(g(n)\Gamma)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed in X. Then by Lemma [A.4,](#page-52-0) there exists  $c_1 > 0$  such that for each N the sequence  $(g(n)\Gamma)_{n=0}^{N-1}$  is  $O(N^{-c_1})$ -equidistributed for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $\delta > 0$ , consider the function  $H_\delta: X \to$  $[0, 1]$  defined by

$$
H_{\delta}(x) := \max\left(1 - \frac{|p(\tau(x))|}{\delta}, 0\right).
$$

Then  $||H_{\delta}||_{\text{Lip}} = O(1/\delta)$  and  $\int H_{\delta}d\mu_X = O(\delta^{c_2})$  for some  $c_2 > 0$ . We can now estimate

$$
\pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} H_{\delta}(g(n)\Gamma) \ll \frac{N^{1-c_1}}{\delta} + \frac{N}{\delta^{c_2}}.
$$

Letting  $\delta = N^{-c/c_2}$  for a sufficiently small  $c > 0$ , we obtain  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N) = O(N^{1-c})$ .

Let us assume now that the sequence  $(g(n)\Gamma)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is not equidistributed in X. In that case, there exists a horizontal character  $\eta: X \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\eta \circ g$  is constant. Let G' be the connected component of ker  $\eta$  and let  $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cap G'$ . Then,  $g(n)$  can be decomposed as  $g(n) = g'(n)\gamma(n)$ , where g' takes values in G' and  $\gamma$  is periodic. This can be shown by adapting the proof of [\[GT12b,](#page-55-16) Prop. 9.2], or using this result as a black-box and passing to the limit  $\delta \to 0$ ; periodicity of  $\gamma$  follows from Lemma A.12 therein. Let q denote a period of  $\gamma$ . Each of the sequences  $\mathbf{a}^{(i)}$ ,  $0 \leq i < q$ , defined by  $a_n^{(i)} := a_{qn+i}$  can be represented using the nilmanifold  $G'/\Gamma'$ rather than  $X$ . Reasoning by induction with respect to the dimension  $d$ , we may assume that for each  $i, 0 \leq i < q$ , there exists  $c_i$  such that  $\pi(\mathbf{a}^{(i)}, x; N) = O(N^{1-c_i})$ . Letting  $c := \min_{0 \leq i < q} c_i$ , we see that  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, x; N) = O(N^{1-c})$  $\Box$ 

8.5. Concluding remarks. We close this section by mentioning several rates of growth about which we do not know if they can be realised. It is also not clear if restriction to bracket words with algebraic coefficients influences the answer.

**Question 8.13.** Does there exist a bracket word **a** over  $\{0, 1\}$  such that one of the following rate of growth holds?

(*i*)  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) = \Theta(N^{\lambda})$ , where  $\lambda \in (0, 1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . (*ii*)  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) = \Theta(\log^c N)$ , where  $c \in (1, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ . (*iii*)  $\pi(\mathbf{a}, 1; N) = \Theta\left(e^{\sqrt{\log N}}\right)$ .

#### 9. Subword complexity

<span id="page-26-0"></span>Since generalised polynomials are defined by relatively simple formulae, it is natural to inquire if they also have low complexity when viewed from different perspectives. In particular, we investigate subword complexity, that is, the number  $p_{\bf a}(N)$  of different length-N factors (or subwords) of an infinite word **a**. An overview of various results concerning subword complexity can be found in a number of surveys, such as [\[CN10\]](#page-54-17) or [\[All94\]](#page-53-4), and connections with the theory of dynamical systems are discussed in [\[Fer99\]](#page-54-18).

9.1. **Background.** For an infinite word  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  defined over an alphabet  $\Sigma$ , the complexity function of **a** is the function that associates with each positive integer  $n$  the positive integer

$$
p_{\mathbf{a}}(N) = |\{a_n a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+N-1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}|.
$$

The simplest sequences, in terms of subword complexity, are the eventually periodic ones: If **a** is eventually periodic then  $p_a(N)$  is bounded. Conversely, it was shown by Morse and Hedlund that if there exists N with  $p_a(N) \leq N$  then a must be eventually periodic (see, for instance, [\[MH38\]](#page-55-17) or [\[Fer99,](#page-54-18) Prop. 2]). Thus, for any sequence **a**, which is not eventually periodic, and every N we have  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(N) \geq N + 1$ . The Sturmian words, discussed in Section [4.2,](#page-9-0) are characterised by the property that  $p_{\bf a}(N) = N+1$  for all N. Recall that Sturmian words are, in particular, bracket words. At the other extreme, if  $|\Sigma| = k$ , we have the upper bound  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(N) \leq k^N$  for all  $N$ , and there exist sequences for which equality holds. Because of the elementary inequality  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(N + M) \leq p_{\mathbf{a}}(N)p_{\mathbf{a}}(M)$ , the limit

$$
h(\mathbf{a}) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log p_{\mathbf{a}}(N)}{N}
$$

exists for any finitely-valued sequence **a**; its value is called the *entropy* of **a**, and is closely connected to the notion of topological entropy coming from the theory of dynamical systems.

9.2. New result. Because bracket words can be represented using nilsystems as in Theorem [4.2](#page-9-3) and because nilsystems have zero entropy, one could show<sup>[3](#page-26-1)</sup> that  $h(\mathbf{a}) = 0$  for each bracket word **a**, meaning that  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(N) = \exp(o(N))$  as  $N \to \infty$ . Our main new result concerning the complexity of bracket words is a polynomial bound, given in Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) and restated below as Theorem [9.1](#page-26-2) for the reader's convenience.

<span id="page-26-2"></span>**Theorem 9.1.** Let **a** be a bracket word. Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(N) = O(N^C)$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The proof of this result is carried out in Sections [13,](#page-41-0) [14,](#page-45-0) and [15.](#page-48-0)

Remark 9.2. We note that related results concerning topological complexity of nilsystems were obtained in [\[HKM14\]](#page-55-18), but are not directly applicable in our context (the crucial obstacle is the fact that the representation in Theorem [4.2](#page-9-3) involves a partition into semialgebraic sets  $S_i$  which are neither open nor closed, while the results in [\[HKM14,](#page-55-18) Sec. 3] are applicable to open covers).

<span id="page-26-1"></span><sup>3</sup>Since we are about to prove a more precise estimate, we do not go into the details of the argument. If in Theorem [4.2](#page-9-3) the sets  $S_i$  were open, then the conclusion would be an immediate consequence of standard facts about topological entropy. In general, the sets  $S_i$  are not open, but their boundaries  $\partial S_i$  have zero  $\mu_{G/\Gamma}$ -measure, which is sufficient for this application.

9.3. Complementary results. In view of Theorem [9.1,](#page-26-2) it is natural to inquire into more precise asymptotics for  $p_{a}(N)$ , where **a** is a bracket word. Let

$$
\lambda(\mathbf{a}) := \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log p_{\mathbf{a}}(N)}{\log N}
$$

be the smallest exponent such that  $p_{\bf a}(N) \leq N^{\lambda({\bf a})+o(1)}$  as  $N \to \infty$ . Theorem [9.1](#page-26-2) asserts that  $\lambda(\mathbf{a})$  is finite for all bracket words **a**. The exact value of  $\lambda(\mathbf{a})$ is known only in the simplest examples, such as the Sturmian words. Already in relatively simple cases, such as  $a_n = \left[ \left\{ \sqrt{2n} \, | \, \sqrt{3n} \right\} \right\} > 1/4 \right]$ , it would be interesting to compute  $\lambda(\mathbf{a})$  exactly.

Theorem 3.4 of [\[HKM14\]](#page-55-18) asserts that the topological complexity  $S(\varepsilon, N)$  of a minimal nilsystem  $(X, T)$  obeys the bounds  $C(\varepsilon)N^c \leq S(\varepsilon, N) \leq C'(\varepsilon)N^c$ , where  $c$  is the total commutator dimension of  $X$  (see the original paper for the relevant definitions). Let **a** be a bracket word represented on the nilsystem  $(X, T)$  as in Theorem [4.2.](#page-9-3) It seems plausible that, under mild additional assumptions, similar estimates might hold for  $p_{a}(N)$ , implying in particular that  $\lambda(a) = c$ .

We prove now that  $\lambda(\mathbf{a})$  can be arbitrarily large. In fact, for each  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can construct a bracket word **a** with  $\lambda(\mathbf{a}) = d$ .

<span id="page-27-0"></span>**Proposition 9.3.** Fix  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d$ are Q-linearly independent. For every i,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , let  $\mathbf{a}^{(i)}$  be the Sturmian word defined by  $a_n^{(i)} = \lfloor n\alpha_i \rfloor - \lfloor (n-1)\alpha_i \rfloor$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and set  $\mathbf{a} := \prod_{i=1}^d \mathbf{a}^{(i)}$ . Then  $\mathbf{a}$ is a bracket word with  $\lambda(\mathbf{a}) = d$ .

Proof. We first observe that, according to Corollary [6.4,](#page-13-0) and since Sturmian words are bracket words, the word a is a bracket word.

For each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ , we have  $p_{\mathbf{a}^{(i)}}(N) = N+1$ . As a general fact about products, we have  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(N) \le \prod_{i=1}^d p_{\mathbf{a}^{(i)}}(N)$ . It follows that  $\lambda(\mathbf{a}) \le \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda(\mathbf{a}^{(i)}) = d$ .

Conversely, for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ , and each length-N subword  $w^{(i)}$  of  $\mathbf{a}^{(i)}$ , there exists an interval (not degenerate to a point)  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  such that, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{a}^{(i)}|_{[n,n+N)} = w^{(i)}$  if and only if  $n\alpha_i \mod \mathbb{Z} \in I$ . Since by assumption the numbers  $1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d$  are Q-linearly independent, the sequence  $(n\alpha_1, n\alpha_2, \ldots, n\alpha_d)$  mod  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  is equidistributed in  $\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ . It follows that for any d-tuple  $w^{(1)}, w^{(2)}, \ldots, w^{(d)}$  of factors of  $\mathbf{a}^{(1)}, \mathbf{a}^{(2)}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}^{(d)}$  respectively,  $\prod_{i=1}^{d} w^{(i)}$  is a factor of **a**. Hence,  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(N) \geq$  $\prod_{i=1}^d p_{\mathbf{a}^{(i)}}(N)$ , and consequently  $\lambda(\mathbf{a}) \geq d$ . This ends the proof.

We end this section with an explicit example showing that  $\lambda(\mathbf{a})$  takes on arbitrarily large values for bracket words a, even when the size of the alphabet of a remains bounded.

<span id="page-27-1"></span>**Proposition 9.4.** Fix  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and let a be the bracket word over  $\{0, 1, \ldots, 9\}$  given by  $a_n = \lfloor 10 \{ \alpha n^d \} \rfloor$ . Then  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(N) \gg_d N^{d(d-1)/2}$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* For each pair  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we can compute that  $a_{n+m} = \lfloor 10 \{ h_m(n) \} \rfloor$ , where

$$
h_m(n) = \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i(m) n^{d-i} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i(m) := \left\{ \alpha m^i \binom{d}{i} \right\}, \quad 0 \le i \le d.
$$

Note that  $\alpha_0(m) = {\alpha}$  for all m. Let  $\alpha(m) = (\alpha_i(m))_{i=1}^d \in [0,1]^d$ , and let  $A = {\alpha(m) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . By Weyl's equidistribution theorem, A is dense in  $[0, 1]^d$ .

Let N be a sufficiently large integer. Suppose that for some  $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $a|_{[m,m+N)} = a|_{[m',m'+N)}$ . Then

<span id="page-28-1"></span>(15) 
$$
||h_m(n) - h_{m'}(n)|| \le 1/5, \quad \forall n \in [N].
$$

In general, if  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d-1}) \in [0, 1)^d$  is such that  $\left\| \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \beta_i n^i \right\| \leq 1/5$  for all  $n \in [N]$ , then it follows from the quantitative version of the Weyl equidistribution theorem that there exists a non-zero integer  $q = O_d(1)$  such that  $||q\beta_i|| =$  $O_d(N^{-(d-i)})$  for all  $i, 0 \le i \le d$ . (This can be derived using [\[Vau97,](#page-55-19) Lemma 2.4], or as a special case of a much more general statement in Theorem [A.3.](#page-52-1)) Applying this observation with  $\beta = \alpha(m) - \alpha(m')$ , we see that there exists a set  $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$ , which is a union of  $O_d(1)$  rectangles with side lengths  $O_d(N^{-(d-i)})$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , such that [\(15\)](#page-28-1) implies  $\alpha(m) - \alpha(m') \mod \mathbb{Z}^d \in R$ . Note that the measure of R is

$$
0 < \lambda(R) = O_d\left(N^{-(d-1)}N^{-(d-2)}\cdots N^0\right) = O_d\left(N^{-d(d-1)/2}\right)
$$

Put  $r := |1/\lambda(R)|$ . We can inductively construct an increasing sequence of integers  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r$  with the property that  $\alpha(m_j) - \alpha(m_i) \mod \mathbb{Z}^d \notin R$  for all  $1 \leq i <$  $j \leq r$ . Indeed, if  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{j-1}$  have already been constructed  $(1 \leq j \leq r)$  then it is enough to pick any  $m_i$  with

$$
\alpha(m_j) \mod \mathbb{Z}^d \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} (R + \alpha(m_i)),
$$

which is possible because the measure of the union above is strictly less than 1 and A is dense in  $[0,1]^d$ . By construction, all factors  $\mathbf{a}|_{[m_i,m_i+N)}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq r$  are distinct. It follows that  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(N) \geq r \gg_d N^{d(d-1)/2}$ . .

# 10. Computability

<span id="page-28-0"></span>As we have already seen, a single bracket word can be represented by many different formulae. This happens, for instance, in Lemma [5.4.](#page-11-0) An even simpler example is provided by the bracket word  $a = 1<sub>0</sub>$ , which can be represented as  $a_n = |1 - {\alpha n}|$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . A more surprising representation of the same word is attributed to Håland Knutson in  $[GO10, p. 2]$ :

$$
a_n = \left\lfloor \lfloor \sqrt{2n} \rfloor 2\sqrt{2n} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \sqrt{2n} \right\rfloor^2 - 2n^2 + 1.
$$

Existence of multiple representations of a single bracket word is not, in and of itself, surprising or worrying. After all, the same phenomenon occurs for usual polynomials, say with real coefficients. The reason why this ambiguity does not lead to problems in that case is that each polynomial  $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  has a canonical representation  $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \alpha_i x^d$ , where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha_d \neq 0$ .

Leibman [\[Lei12\]](#page-55-2) constructed a similar canonical representation for GP sequences. The full statement of the main result, [\[Lei12,](#page-55-2) Thm.  $0.1 \& 0.2$ ], is rather technical, so we state only a simplified version. Specifically, we restrict our attention to bracket words (Leibmans's result concerns arbitrary bounded GP maps from  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ) and do not discuss the details of the construction (Leibman explicitly describes the families  $\mathcal{F}_M$  appearing below).

<span id="page-28-2"></span>**Theorem 10.1.** Let a be a bracket word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Then there exist families  $\mathcal{F}_M$ ,  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , of GP maps from  $\mathbb{N}_0$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , depending only on polynomials which appear in a given representation of  $a$ , with the following properties.

.

(i) For each  $M, d \in \mathbb{N}$ , and each d-tuple of different maps  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d \in \mathcal{F}_M$ , the sequence  $({v_1(n)}, {v_2(n)}, \ldots, {v_d(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equdistributed in  $[0,1)^d$ .

<span id="page-29-0"></span>(ii) There exist integers  $M, Q, d \in \mathbb{N}$ , maps  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d \in \mathcal{F}_M$ , and a partition of

$$
\{0, 1/Q, \ldots, (Q-1)/Q\} \times [0, 1)^d
$$

into pairwise disjoint semialgebraic pieces  $S_i$ ,  $i \in \Sigma$ , such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in \Sigma$ ,

(16)  $a_n = i$  if and only if  $({n/Q}, {v_1(n)}, {v_2(n)}, \ldots, {v_d(n)}) \in S_i$ .

**Remark 10.2.** (i) The families  $\mathcal{F}_M$  are explicitly constructed in [\[Lei12\]](#page-55-2). We start with polynomial maps  $p_1(x), p_2(x), \ldots \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  that span the Q-algebra generated by the polynomials which appear in some fixed representation of a. As the first step,  $p_1/M, p_2/M, \ldots \in \mathcal{F}_M$ . In subsequent steps, we add to  $\mathcal{F}_M$  some elements of the form  $u \{v\}$  where  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}_M$  have already been constructed. For details on exactly which of such elements should be added, we refer to the original paper.

 $(ii)$  The representation in [\(ii\)](#page-29-0) can be explicitly computed for a given representation of the bracket word **a**. For fixed  $M$ ,  $Q$ , and  $d$ , the sets  $S_i$  are determined uniquely up to a set of measure zero.

As a consequence of Theorem [10.1,](#page-28-2) for a given bracket word a over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  and  $i \in \Sigma$ , one can check if  $a_n = i$  for almost all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Indeed, it is enough to verify if  $S_i$  has full measure. Since, for any two bracket words **a** and **b** over the same alphabet, we can construct the bracket word  $(\llbracket a_n = b_n \rrbracket)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , one can determine whether  $a_n = b_n$  for almost all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The situation is totally different when we insist on exact equality, even when restricting our attention to bracket words with algebraic coefficients.

<span id="page-29-1"></span>Theorem 10.3. There exists no algorithm which, given a representation of a GP map g:  $\mathbb{N}_0 \to \{0,1\}$  with algebraic coefficients, decides if one of the following properties hold.

<span id="page-29-4"></span><span id="page-29-2"></span>(i)  $g(n) = 0$  for at least one  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

<span id="page-29-5"></span>(*ii*)  $q(n) = 0$  infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

<span id="page-29-3"></span>(iii)  $g(n) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

 $(iv)$  g(n) = 0 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Corollary 10.4. There exists no algorithm which, given a representation of a GP map  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  with algebraic coefficients, decides if g takes only finitely many values.

*Proof.* Take an arbitrary GP map  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \{0,1\}$  and consider the GP map  $h: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $h(n) = g(n)n$ . Then h takes finitely many values if and only if  $g(n) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . only if  $g(n) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Remark 10.5. Theorem [10.3](#page-29-1)[\(i\)](#page-29-2) is reminiscent of the undecidability of Hilbert's tenth problem, concerning the existence of integer solutions to polynomial equations. In fact, our argument proceeds by a reduction to this problem. Another related result, due to Allouche and Shallit [\[AS92,](#page-53-5) Thm. 5.2], asserts that it is undecidable if a given k-regular sequence with integer values has at least one vanishing term. We point out that, conversely to Theorem  $10.3(iv)$ , it is decidable if a polynomial or a k-regular sequence is identically zero (this is trivial in the case of polynomials, and follows from [\[KS22,](#page-55-20) Thm. A] for k-regular sequences).

A key component in the proof of Theorem [10.3](#page-29-1) is the existence of a surjective GP map from  $\mathbb{N}_0$  to  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ , which in turn relies on the following quantitative equidistribution estimate.

<span id="page-30-0"></span>**Lemma 10.6.** There exist  $N_0 > 0$  and  $c > 0$  such that for each  $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $N \ge N_0$ , and  $(x, y) \in [0, 1)^2$ , there exists  $n \in [M, M + N)$  such that

$$
\max\left\{\left\|\sqrt{2}n-x\right\|, \left\|\sqrt{3}n-y\right\|\right\} \le 1/N^{c}.
$$

*Proof.* A more precise version follows from [\[Che00,](#page-54-20) Thm 1.(ii)] combined with standard estimates on the quality of approximate rational relations between algebraic numbers. Specifically, we have the well-known estimate

$$
\min_{(n,m)\in[N]^2\setminus\{(0,0)\}} \|n\sqrt{2} + m\sqrt{3}\| \gg N^{-3}.
$$

The theorem is applied with  $n = 2, m = 1, \alpha_1 = x, \alpha_2 = y, T_1 = M, T'_1 = M + N$ ,  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 1$ , and  $M = N^{1/7}$ ; then  $\Delta = 2$ ,  $\Lambda_2 \gg N^{-3}$ , and  $||S(T)|| = N$ . The conclusion, after elementary manipulations, asserts that there exists  $n \in [M, M+N)$ such that

$$
\max\left\{\left\|\sqrt{2}n-x\right\|, \left\|\sqrt{3}n-y\right\|\right\} \ll N^{-2/7}
$$

.

Alternatively, one can also derive this estimate as a special case of Theorem [A.3.](#page-52-1)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-30-1"></span>**Lemma 10.7.** For  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the map  $g_A: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0^2$  by

$$
g_A(n) := \left( \left\lfloor {\{\sqrt{2n}\}^A n} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor {\{\sqrt{3n}\}^A n} \right\rfloor \right).
$$

There exists a constant  $A_0$  such that for all  $A > A_0$ , for all  $(k, l) \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the set

$$
\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid g_A(n) = (k, l)\}
$$

is infinite. In particular,  $g_A$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Let c be the constant from Lemma [10.6](#page-30-0) and let  $A > 3/c$ . Fix  $(k, l) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ , let  $N$  be a sufficiently large integer (to be determined in the course of the argument), and put  $M := N^2$ . It will suffice to show that there exists  $n \in [M, M + N)$  with  $g_A(n) = (k, l).$ 

Pick  $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$  such that  $x^A M = k$  and  $y^A M = l$  (that is,  $x = (k/M)^{1/A}$ and  $y = (l/M)^{1/A}$ . By Lemma [10.6,](#page-30-0) we can find  $n \in [M, M + N)$  such that  $x \leq {\sqrt{2n}} < x + 1/N^c$  and  $y \leq {\sqrt{3n}} < y + 1/N^c$ . It remains to show that

(17) 
$$
k \le {\sqrt{2n}}^A n < k+1
$$
 and  $l \le {\sqrt{3n}}^A n < l+1$ .

We only consider the first of these two conditions, the second one is fully analogous. The lower bound is immediate:

$$
\{\sqrt{2}n\}^A n \ge x^A n = kn/M \ge k.
$$

For the upper bound, we first obtain that

$$
\{\sqrt{2}n\}^{A}n < (x + N^{-c})^{A}(M + N).
$$

If  $k = 0$ , then  $x = 0$  and thus  $(x + N^{-c})^A (M + N) < 2N^{2-A_c} < 1$  (recall that  $A > 3/c$ ). If  $k \ge 1$ , then  $x > N^{-2c/3}$  and hence

$$
(x+N^{-c})^A(N_0+N) = x^A N_0 (1+N^{-c}/x)^A (1+N/M)
$$
  
\$\leq k(1+N^{-c/3})^A (1+1/N) \leq k \exp \left((A+1)N^{-c/3}\right)\$.

Thus, we can find  $N_0 = N_0(k) = O_A(k^{3/c})$  such that, for all  $N \ge N_0$ , we have

$$
\{\sqrt{2}n\}^A n \le k \exp\left((A+1)N^{-c/3}\right) < k+1,
$$

as needed.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-31-0"></span>**Corollary 10.8.** For each  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a GP map  $h_d$  from  $\mathbb{N}_0$  to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  with algebraic coefficients such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  there exist infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with  $h_d(n) = x$ . In particular,  $h_d$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $g_2$ :  $\mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0^2$  denote the map constructed in Lemma [10.7.](#page-30-1) For  $d \geq 2$ , define  $g_d \colon \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0^d$  inductively by

$$
g_{d+1}(n) := (g_2(n)_1, g_i(g_2(n)_2)).
$$

An inductive argument shows that for each  $x \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , there are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with  $g_d(n) = x$ . Next, define  $h_d: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{Z}^d$  by

$$
h_d(n) := (g_{2d}(n)_1 - g_{2d}(n)_{d+1}, g_{2d}(n)_2 - g_{2d}(n)_{d+2}, \ldots, g_{2d}(n)_d - g_{2d}(n)_{2d}).
$$

Since the map  $\mathbb{N}_0^2 \to \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(n,m) \mapsto n-m$  is surjective, for each  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , there are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $h_d(n) = x$ .

*Proof of Theorem [10.3.](#page-29-1)* Let p be an arbitrary polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$  and let  $h: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{Z}^d$  be the map constructed in Corollary [10.8.](#page-31-0) Define  $g_p$  by  $g_p(n) :=$  $\llbracket p(h(n)) = 0 \rrbracket$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $g_p$  is a GP map from  $\mathbb{N}_0$  to  $\{0, 1\}$  with algebraic coefficients, and the following conditions are equivalent.

- (a) There exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $g_p(n) = 0$ .
- (b) There exist infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $g_p(n) = 0$ .
- (c) There exist  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_d \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $p(x_1, x_2, ..., x_d) = 0$ .

Hilbert's tenth problem is known to be undecidable (see, for instance, [\[Mat93\]](#page-55-21)). Hence, comparing (a) and (c), we conclude that there is no algorithm to determine whether an element of the set  $\{g_p \mid d \geq 1, p \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1,\ldots,x_d]\}$  has a zero. Similarly, comparing (b) and (c) we conclude that there is no algorithm to determine whether an element of the aforementioned set has infinitely many zeroes. This finishes the proof in cases [\(i\)](#page-29-2) and [\(ii\).](#page-29-4)

To derive case [\(iii\)](#page-29-5) from [\(ii\),](#page-29-4) it is enough to notice that, for any map  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to$  $\{0, 1\}$ , the condition that  $g(n) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is equivalent to the condition that there are finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $1 - g(n) = 0$ . Likewise, [\(iv\)](#page-29-3) follows from [\(i\)](#page-29-2) and the observation that,  $g(n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is equivalent<br>to the condition that there does not exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $1 - g(n) = 0$ . to the condition that there does not exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $1 - g(n) = 0$ .

10.1. Consequences for bracket words. As a consequence of Theorem [10.3,](#page-29-1) we deduce Theorem [1.2,](#page-3-0) which we restate below for the reader's convenience as Corollary [10.9.](#page-31-1)

<span id="page-31-1"></span>Corollary 10.9. There exists no algorithm which, given representations of two bracket words with algebraic coefficients **a** and **b** defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ . decides whether  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$ .

*Proof.* Given an arbitrary GP map  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \{0,1\}$ , define **a** by  $a_n := g(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and let **b** be defined by  $b_n := 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$  if and only if  $q(n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Thus, the results follows from Theorem 1.2.  $g(n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Thus, the results follows from Theorem [1.2.](#page-3-0)

#### 11. Linear recurrences

<span id="page-32-0"></span>In earlier sections, we have encountered examples of bracket words related to linear recurrence sequences, such as the Fibonacci numbers. Here, we discuss these results in more detail and provide some new arguments.

11.1. **Results.** We recall that a *Pisot number* is a real algebraic integer  $\beta > 1$  such that all Galois conjugates of  $\beta$  have absolute value strictly less than 1. Similarly, a Salem number is a real algebraic integer  $\beta > 1$  whose conjugate roots all have absolute value no greater than 1, and at least one of which has absolute value exactly 1. The minimal polynomial for a Salem number must be reciprocal, which implies that  $1/\beta$  is a Galois conjuagte of  $\beta$ , and that all other roots have absolute value exactly one. As a consequence, a Salem number is a unit in the ring of algebraic integers.

<span id="page-32-1"></span>**Theorem 11.1.** Let  $\beta > 1$  be an algebraic unit with minimal polynomial  $p(x) =$  $x^d - \sum_{i=1}^d x^{d-i} a_i$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $(n_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of non-negative integers satisfying the linear recurrence

$$
n_{i+d} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i n_{i+d-1}, \qquad i \in \mathbb{N}_0,
$$

<span id="page-32-2"></span>and put  $E = \{n_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{1}_E$  is a bracket word if one of the following holds.

(i)  $d = 2$  (in this case,  $\beta$  must be a Pisot number).

<span id="page-32-5"></span><span id="page-32-3"></span>(ii)  $d = 3$  and  $\beta$  is a Pisot number with no real Galois conjugate (i.e., the discriminant of  $p$  is negative).

(*iii*)  $\beta$  *is a Salem number.* 

Proof. Case [\(i\)](#page-32-2) is covered by [\[BK18,](#page-54-5) Thm. B], using an argument which relies on best rational approximations to quadratic irrationals. Case [\(ii\)](#page-32-3) likewise follows from [\[BK18,](#page-54-5) Thm. B] under mild additional assumptions. Below in Theorem [11.2](#page-32-4) we give a complete proof of Case [\(ii\),](#page-32-3) which also has the advantage of being shorter and more conceptual than the corresponding argument in [\[BK18\]](#page-54-5). Finally, case [\(iii\)](#page-32-5) is covered in the upcoming preprint [\[BK22\]](#page-54-7) using similar methods.  $\square$ 

11.2. Cubic Pisot units. The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem [11.1](#page-32-1)[\(ii\)](#page-32-3) is the following result. In fact, the two results are equivalent due to a reduction obtained in [\[BK18,](#page-54-5) Prop. 5.1].

<span id="page-32-4"></span>**Theorem 11.2.** Let  $\beta > 1$  be a cubic Pisot unit with a pair of complex Galois conjugates  $\alpha, \overline{\alpha}$ . Then  $\{ \lfloor \beta^i \rfloor \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \}$  is a GP subset of  $\overline{\mathbb{N}_0}$ .

*Proof.* We will devise a procedure that verifies if, for a given integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

<span id="page-32-6"></span>(18) 
$$
n = \left[\beta^i\right] \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N}_0.
$$

Later, we will explain how this procedure can be encoded using a generalised polynomial formula. Throughout, we assume that  $n$  is sufficiently large, which we may do because GP sets are closed under finite modifications. Set  $L := \mathbb{Q}(\beta, \alpha, \overline{\alpha})$  and  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ , and let  $p(x) := x^3 - ax^2 - bx - 1$  denote the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$ .

Suppose for a moment that [\(18\)](#page-32-6) holds. Since  $\beta^{i} + \alpha^{i} + \overline{\alpha}^{i}$  is an integer and  $|\alpha^i| = \beta^{-i/2} \ll 1/\sqrt{n}$ , we see that

(19) 
$$
n = \beta^i + \alpha^i + \overline{\alpha}^i.
$$

Similarly, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$
\beta^{j} n = \beta^{i+j} + \alpha^{i+j} + \overline{\alpha}^{i+j} + O(\beta^{j}/\sqrt{n}),
$$

and, as a consequence, bearing in mind that  $n$  is large enough, we obtain

(20) 
$$
[\beta n] = \beta^{i+1} + \alpha^{i+1} + \overline{\alpha}^{i+1}
$$

(21)  $|\beta^2 n| = \beta^{i+2} + \alpha^{i+2} + \overline{\alpha}^{i+2}.$ 

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $g(n)$ ,  $h(n)$ , and  $h^*(n)$  be the solution to the system of equations

<span id="page-33-0"></span>(22) 
$$
g(n) + h(n) + h^*(n) = n
$$

(23) 
$$
g(n)\beta + h(n)\alpha + h^*(n)\overline{\alpha} = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor
$$

<span id="page-33-1"></span>(24)  $g(n)\beta^2 + h(n)\alpha^2 + h^*(n)\overline{\alpha}^2 = |\beta^2 n|.$ 

Note that  $(22)-(24)$  $(22)-(24)$  is non-singular, so  $g(n)$ ,  $h(n)$ , and  $h^*(n)$  are well-defined and unique. In fact, one can explicitly compute that

<span id="page-33-2"></span>(25) 
$$
g(n) = \frac{\lfloor \beta n \rfloor (\alpha + \overline{\alpha}) - \alpha \overline{\alpha} n - \lfloor \beta^2 n \rfloor}{(\beta - \alpha)(\beta - \overline{\alpha})} = \frac{\lfloor \beta n \rfloor (a - \beta) - n/\beta - \lfloor \beta^2 n \rfloor}{2\beta^2 - a\beta + 1/\beta}
$$

(26) 
$$
h(n) = \frac{\lfloor \beta n \rfloor (\beta + \overline{\alpha}) - \beta \overline{\alpha} n - \lfloor \beta^2 n \rfloor}{(\alpha - \overline{\alpha})(\alpha - \beta)} = \frac{\lfloor \beta n \rfloor (a - \alpha) - n/\alpha - \lfloor \beta^2 n \rfloor}{2\alpha^2 - a\alpha + 1/\alpha}
$$

<span id="page-33-3"></span>(27) 
$$
h^*(n) = \frac{\lfloor \beta n \rfloor (\alpha + \beta) - \alpha \beta n - \lfloor \beta^2 n \rfloor}{(\overline{\alpha} - \alpha)(\overline{\alpha} - \beta)} = \frac{\lfloor \beta n \rfloor (a - \overline{\alpha}) - n/\overline{\alpha} - \lfloor \beta^2 n \rfloor}{2\overline{\alpha}^2 - a\overline{\alpha} + 1/\overline{\alpha}}.
$$

It is apparent from formulae  $(25)-(27)$  $(25)-(27)$  (or from the symmetries of  $(22)-(24)$  $(22)-(24)$ ) that  $h^*(n) = h(n)$  and  $g(n) \in K = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ ,  $h(n) \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We also see that  $g(n)$  is a linear combination of n,  $\lfloor \beta n \rfloor$ , and  $\lfloor \beta^2 n \rfloor$ . Hence g is a GP map. For the same reason,  $\text{Re}(h)$  and  $\text{Im}(h)$  are GP maps<sup>[4](#page-33-4)</sup>.

Since  $g(n) \in \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there exists a decomposition

(28) 
$$
g(n) = u(n) + v(n)\beta + w(n)\beta^{2},
$$

where  $u(n)$ ,  $v(n)$ , and  $w(n) \in \mathbb{Q}$ . If  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is an automorphism with  $\sigma(\beta) = \alpha$ , then  $\sigma(g(n)) = h(n)$ , and as a consequence we also have

(29) 
$$
h(n) = u(n) + v(n)\alpha + w(n)\alpha^2
$$

(30) 
$$
h^*(n) = u(n) + v(n)\overline{\alpha} + w(n)\overline{\alpha}^2.
$$

Arguing along similar lines as above, we can express  $u(n)$ ,  $v(n)$ , and  $w(n)$  as linear combinations of  $g(n)$ ,  $h(n)$ , and  $h^*(n)$ , and hence also as a linear combination of *n*,  $\lfloor \beta n \rfloor$ , and  $\lfloor \beta^2 n \rfloor$ . For instance,

$$
u(n) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left( \left( -2a^3 + a^2b^2 - 10ab + 4b^3 - 9 \right) n + \left( a^3b - a^2 + 4ab^2 + 6b \right) \left[ \beta n \right] + \left( -a^2b + 3a - 4b^2 \right) \left[ \beta^2 n \right] \right),
$$

where  $\Delta = -4a^3 + a^2b^2 - 18ab + 4b^3 - 27$  is the discriminant of p. In particular, u,  $v$ , and  $w$  are GP maps.

If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that [\(18\)](#page-32-6) holds, then  $(\beta^i, \alpha^i, \overline{\alpha}^i)$  is a solution to the system [\(22\)](#page-33-0)– [\(24\)](#page-33-1), and hence (by uniqueness)  $g(n) = \beta^i$  and  $h(n) = \alpha^i$ . Thus,  $g(n) \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and

<span id="page-33-4"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Note that we have not introduced the notion of a GP map  $\mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ . However, if one identifies  $\mathbb C$  with  $\mathbb R^2$  in the standard way, then h is a GP map under this identification.

 $g(n)h(n)h^*(n) = 1$ . Suppose, conversely, that for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $g(n) \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and  $g(n)h(n)h^*(n) = 1$ . Then  $g(n)$  is a unit, since its norm  $N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(g(n))$  is equal to 1. By the Dirichlet unit theorem, we know that the group of units of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  has rank 1.

Assume for now that  $\beta$  is a fundamental unit, meaning that all units in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  take the form  $\pm \beta^i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We address the general case at the end of the proof. In particular, we have  $g(n) = \pm \beta^i$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If additionally  $g(n) \geq n-1$  then  $g(n) = \beta^i$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, for *n* sufficiently large, [\(18\)](#page-32-6) is equivalent to

<span id="page-34-0"></span>(31) 
$$
x(n) \in \mathcal{O}_K
$$
,  $g(n)h(n)h^*(n) = 1$ , and  $g(n) \ge n - 1$ .

It remains to check that the set of  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  satisfying [\(31\)](#page-34-0) is a GP set. Since g,  $\text{Re}(h)$  and  $\text{Im}(h)$  are generalised polynomials, it follows that

$$
E_1 := \{ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid g(n)h(n)h^*(n) = 1 \} \text{ and } E_2 := \{ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid g(n) \ge n - 1 \}
$$

are GP sets. Let us consider the set

$$
\Lambda := \left\{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Q}^3 \middle| x + y\beta + z\beta^2 \in \mathcal{O}_K \right\}.
$$

Clearly,  $\Lambda$  is a lattice. It follows that  $\Lambda$  is a GP subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Indeed, if  $\Lambda = A\mathbb{Z}^3$ for a matrix  $A \in GL(3;\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$
\Lambda = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \left\{ \left( A^{-1} x \right)_1 \right\} + \left\{ \left( A^{-1} x \right)_2 \right\} + \left\{ \left( A^{-1} x \right)_3 \right\} = 0 \right\}.
$$

Recalling that  $u, v$ , and  $w$  are GP maps, we conclude that

$$
E_3 := \{ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid g(n) \in \mathcal{O}_K \} = \{ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid (u(n), v(n), w(n)) \in \Lambda \}
$$

is a GP set. Hence,  $E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3$  is a GP set by Proposition [6.16,](#page-15-2) and it is precisely the set of all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  which satisfy [\(31\)](#page-34-0), as needed.

Let us now return to the case where the fundamental unit of K is some  $\tilde{\beta} \neq \pm \beta$ . We may assume that  $\tilde{\beta} > 0$ . Since  $\beta$  is a unit, we have  $\beta = \tilde{\beta}^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $\tilde{\beta}$  is again a cubic Pisot unit with a pair of complex conjugates, say  $(\gamma, \overline{\gamma})$ , so the above discussion applies with  $\tilde{\beta}$  in place of  $\beta$ . Set  $\widetilde{n}_i := \widetilde{\beta}^i + \gamma^i + \overline{\gamma}^i$ , for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $(\widetilde{n}_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  satisfies a linear recurrence relation, and, for all sufficiently large *i*, we have  $\widetilde{n}_i = \lfloor \widetilde{\beta}^i \rfloor$  and  $\widetilde{n}_{i+1} = \lfloor \widetilde{\beta} \widetilde{n}_i \rfloor$ . Furthermore,  $E := \{ \widetilde{n}_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \}$  is a GP subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . One can find an integer m such that the sequence  $(\tilde{n}_i \mod m)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  is periodic with minimal period  $\ell$  that is a multiple of k [\[War33\]](#page-55-22)<sup>[5](#page-34-1)</sup>. Note that, for each sufficiently large i and for each j,  $0 \leq j < \ell$ , we have

$$
\left[\widetilde{\beta}^j \widetilde{n}_i\right] \equiv \widetilde{n}_{i+j \bmod \ell} \bmod m.
$$

This allows us to identify, for each residue class  $r, 0 \le r < \ell$ , the elements  $n \in \widetilde{E}$ which take the form  $n = \tilde{n}_i$  with  $i \equiv r \mod l$ . With finitely many exceptions, these are precisely those  $n \in \widetilde{E}$  for which  $\left[\widetilde{\beta}^j \widetilde{n}\right] \equiv \widetilde{n}_{r+j \bmod \ell}$  mod m for all  $j, 0 \leq j < \ell$ . It follows that the set  $\{ \lfloor \beta^i \rfloor \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \}$  differs by finitely many elements from

<span id="page-34-2"></span>(32) 
$$
\left\{ n \in \widetilde{E} \mid (\exists 0 \le a < \ell/k) \ (\forall 0 \le j < \ell) \ [\widetilde{\beta}^j n] \equiv \widetilde{n}_{ak+j} \text{ mod } m \right\}.
$$

<span id="page-34-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>More precisely, by [\[War33,](#page-55-22) Thm. 1], it is enough to consider the case where k is a power of a prime p. By [\[War33,](#page-55-22) Thm. 10.2 & Cor. 3], the period of  $(\tilde{n}_i \mod p^N)_i$  is a multiple of the order of  $\tilde{\beta}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[\tilde{\beta}]^{\times}$ , where  $q = p^{N-C}$  for a constant C. In particular, the period of  $(\tilde{n}_i \mod p^N)_i$  is a multiple of  $k$  for sufficiently large  $N$ .

Since the set in [\(32\)](#page-34-2) is a GP set, and since the property of being a GP set is preserved under finite modifications, we conclude that the set  $\{ \lfloor \beta^{i} \rfloor \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \}$  is also a GP set.  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Theorem [11.1\(](#page-32-1)ii).* Follows immediately from Theorem [11.2](#page-32-4) and [\[BK18,](#page-54-5) Prop. 5.1].

11.3. Concluding remarks. Let us now briefly discuss potential generalisations of Theorem [11.1.](#page-32-1) Let  $p(x) = x^d - \sum_{i=1}^d a_i x^{d-i} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  with a root  $\beta > 1$  which is either a Pisot unit or a Salem number, and let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1}$  denote the remaining roots.

Much of the reasoning in Theorem [11.2](#page-32-4) carries through to this more general context, except that the group of units of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  now no longer has rank 1 (here,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ . Adapting the argument, we can hope to show that

$$
F := \left\{ \text{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu) \middle| \mu \text{ is a unit of } \mathcal{O}_K \right\}
$$

is a GP subset of Z, where  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d-1})$  is the splitting field of p.

Consider also the sequence given by  $n_i := \text{Tr}(\beta^i) = \beta^i + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \alpha_k^i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and note that  $n_i$  obeys the linear recurrence

$$
n_{i+d} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j n_{i+d-j}, \qquad i \in \mathbb{N}_0.
$$

Set  $E := \{n_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \cap \mathbb{N}$ . Note that the assumption on  $\beta$  ensures that  $n_i =$  $\lfloor \beta^i \rfloor + O(1)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . In analogy with Theorem [11.1,](#page-32-1) one can ask if E is a GP subset of N.

In the case where the group of units of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  has rank 1, the set F is essentially equal to  $E$ , which is one of the key observations behind the proof of Theorem [11.2.](#page-32-4) In general, we have the inclusion  $E \supset F$ , but E will usually be a proper subset of  $F$  and there is no clear way of describing  $E$  inside of  $F$  with a GP formula.

In the case where  $\beta$  is a Pisot number but not a unit, we have a negative result for  $d = 1$ : if  $k \geq 2$  is and integer, then  $E = \{k^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is not a GP set. (We revisit this example in Section [12.](#page-35-0)) When  $d \geq 2$ , nothing is known, but, based on the previously mentioned result, it seems reasonable to expect a negative result. In the case where  $\beta$  is neither a Pisot nor a Salem number (*i.e.*, either  $\beta$  is transcendental or  $\beta$  has a Galois conjugate with absolute value greater than 1) nothing is known, and the techniques discussed in this section appear not to be applicable. We end this section with the following two general problems.

**Problem 11.3.** (i) Determine for which linear recurrent sequences  $(n_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of positive integers the set  $\{n_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is a GP subset of N.

<span id="page-35-0"></span>(*ii*) Determine for which real number  $\beta > 1$  the set  $\{ \lfloor \beta^i \rfloor \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \}$  is a GP subset of N.

# 12. Negative results

In this section we discuss the problem of proving that a given infinite word cannot be described by a generalised polynomial formula. We remark that, as a general principle, it is often harder to verify that a sequence does not admit a representation of a specified form than it is to find such a representation when it exists. This phenomenon is particularly prevalent in computation theory. For context, we also note that Allouche, Shallit, and Yassawi [\[ASY21\]](#page-54-21) recently survey ways in which one can show that a sequence is not automatic.

12.1. General conditions. Let us now review criteria that can be used to show that a given infinite word a is not a bracket word. In principle, each "positive" result about bracket words gives rise to such a criterion, and vice versa. For each property P which can apply to finitely-valued sequences, the implication "If a is a bracket word then  $P(a)$ " is tantamount to "If  $\neg P(a)$  then a is not a bracket word". In practice, depending on the aesthetic appeal of the property  $P(a)$  and on the ease of verifying  $\neg P(\mathbf{a})$ , one of these implications is more interesting than the other. The following proposition gathers several such properties that arise from positive results discussed earlier.

<span id="page-36-2"></span>**Proposition 12.1.** Let a be an infinite word defined over a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Then a is not a bracket word if one of the following conditions hold.

- (i) There is  $w \in \Sigma^*$  such that freq(**a**, *w*) does not exist.
- (*ii*) There is  $w \in \Sigma^*$  such that freq(**a**,  $w$ ) > 0 but rec(**a**,  $w$ ) =  $\infty$ .
- (iii) The subword complexity of a satisfies  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \log(p_{\mathbf{a}}(N))/\log N = \infty$ .

(iv) There exists  $x \in \Sigma$  with freq( $a, x$ ) < 1 and  $t: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$  that is good for equidistribution on nilsystems (cf. Sec. [7.2\)](#page-17-2) such that  $a_{t(n)} = x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Proof. These are immediate consequences of Theorem [7.1,](#page-16-1) Corollary [7.2,](#page-16-2) Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) and Proposition [7.8.](#page-18-2)

Another way to discriminate bracket words that we want to mention is related to periodicity. Following [\[BK20\]](#page-54-6), we say that an infinite word a is weakly periodic if for every infinite arithmetic progression  $(kn + r)_{n=0}^{\infty}$   $(k \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  there exist two distinct sub-progressions with equal steps  $(k'n + r')_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $(k'n + r'_{n=0}^{\infty}$   $(k' \in \mathbb{N})$ and  $k \mid k'$ , r' and r'' belong to  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $r' \equiv r'' \equiv r \mod k$ , and  $r' \neq r''$ ) such that the corresponding restrictions of **a** are the same:  $a_{k'n+r'} = a_{k'n+r''}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . For instance, all automatic sequences are weakly periodic, all Toeplitz sequences are weakly periodic, and the characteristic sequence of the square-free integers is weakly periodic.<sup>[6](#page-36-0)</sup> We will also say that  $a$  is *almost everywhere periodic* if there exists an infinite periodic word  $a'$  such that  $a_n = a'_n$  for almost all n, that is,  $d(\lbrace n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid a_n \neq a'_n \rbrace) = 0$ . The following result is a rephrasing of [\[BK20,](#page-54-6) Thm. 2.6].

<span id="page-36-3"></span>**Proposition 12.2** ([\[BK20,](#page-54-6) Thm. 2.6]). Let a be an infinite word that is weakly periodic but not almost everywhere periodic. Then a is not a bracket word.

The proof relies on Theorem [4.1](#page-9-1) and the fact that each nilsystem  $(X, T)$  can be partitioned into a finite number of components,  $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \cdots \cup X_d$ , such that  $(X_i, T^d)$  is a totally minimal<sup>[7](#page-36-1)</sup> dynamical system for all  $i, 1 \le i \le d$ . Next, we observe that, for a totally minimal dynamical system  $(X, T)$ ,  $x \in X$ , open  $S \subseteq X$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}, r, r' \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $r \neq r'$ , if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have the implication

$$
T^{kn+r}(x) \in S \Rightarrow T^{kn+r'}(x) \in S \,,
$$

<span id="page-36-0"></span><sup>6</sup>A sequence **a** is a Toeplitz sequence if for each n there exists a period  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_{n+qm} = a_n$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ; see, for instance, [\[Dow05\]](#page-54-22) for background. An integer n is square-free if, for every prime p, one has  $p^2 \nmid n$ .

<span id="page-36-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>A topological dynamical system  $(X, T)$  is said to be totally minimal if  $(X, T<sup>d</sup>)$  is minimal for every  $d \geq 1$ .

then S is either empty or dense in X (see [\[BK20,](#page-54-6) Lem. 2.4]).

Example 12.3. The indicator function of the square-free integers is not a bracket word (which can also be derived from item (iii) of Proposition [12.1\)](#page-36-2). A non-periodic Toeplitz sequence is not a bracket word (note that a Toeplitz sequence is periodic if and only if it is almost everywhere periodic). It was shown in [\[CK97\]](#page-54-23) that a large class of Toeplitz sequences have polynomial subword complexity, so item (iii) of Proposition [12.1](#page-36-2) cannot be applied in this case, nor can item (ii) Proposition [12.1.](#page-36-2)

The final two conditions we mention are more natural to state in terms of GP sets. As a counterpart to Theorem [7.11,](#page-20-1) it is shown in [\[BK18\]](#page-54-5) that any GP subset  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $d(E) = 0$  is very poor in terms of additive structure. Here, we cite a slightly weaker (but more succinct) variant of [\[BK18,](#page-54-5) Thm. A].

<span id="page-37-4"></span>**Proposition 12.4** ([\[BK18,](#page-54-5) Thm. A]). Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  be a set. Suppose that  $d(E) = 0$ and E contains an IP set. Then E is not a GP set.

**Example 12.5.** The set  $\left\{ \sum_{n\in I} n! \mid I \subseteq \mathbb{N}, |I| < \infty \right\}$  is not a GP set.

Finally, we cite a result recently obtained by the second-named author [\[Kon21,](#page-55-7) Thm. A]. Recall that a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  is thick if its complement is not syndetic, or equivalently if for each  $\ell$  there exists n with  $n, n+1, \ldots, n+\ell \in E$ .

<span id="page-37-0"></span>**Theorem 12.6** ([\[Kon21,](#page-55-7) Thm. A].). Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer, let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ , and put  $F := \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid mk^n \in E \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$ 

If  $\{k^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subseteq E$  and  $\mathbb{N} \setminus F$  is thick then E is not a GP set.

**Example 12.7.** Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Then the set  $\{k^n | n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is not a GP subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ .

For a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set  $E/k := \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid kn \in E\}.$ 

<span id="page-37-3"></span>Corollary 12.8. Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . If  $E \neq \emptyset$ ,  $d(E) = 0$ , and  $E/k = E$ , then E is not a GP set.

*Proof.* Pick  $n_0 \in E$  and let  $E' = E/n_0$ . Then  $d(E') = 0$ ,  $k^n \in E'$  for all n, and

 $F' := \{ m \in \mathbb{N} \mid mk^n \in E' \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \} = E'.$ 

Since  $d(F') = 0$ , the set  $\mathbb{N} \setminus F'$  is thick and we can apply Theorem [12.6.](#page-37-0)

12.2. Primes and squares. Let us consider two standard examples: the primes  $\mathcal{P} = \{2, 3, 5, 7, \dots\}$  and the squares  $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 4, 9, \dots\}$ . In both cases, we can show that the corresponding characteristic word is not a bracket word. The arguments are short and rely heavily on theorems from nilpotent dynamics.

<span id="page-37-2"></span>**Proposition 12.9.** The characteristic word  $1_p$  of the set of primes is not a bracket word.

*Proof.* As a special case of [\[GT12a,](#page-55-10) Theorem 5.2], for each GP map  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to [0, 1]$ and for all sufficiently large integers  $N$ , we have

<span id="page-37-1"></span>(33) 
$$
\left| \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{n < N} \mu(n) g(n) \right| = O(\log^{-2} N),
$$

where we let  $\mu(n)$  denote the Möbius function (*i.e.*,  $\mu(n) = 0$  if n is divisible by a square and  $\mu(n) = (-1)^k$  if n is the product of k different primes), and the implicit

constant is allowed to depend on g. If  $1<sub>p</sub>$  was a bracket word, then [\(33\)](#page-37-1) would imply that

(34) 
$$
|\mathcal{P} \cap [N]| = O(N/\log^2 N),
$$

which would contradict the Prime Number Theorem.

**Remark 12.10.** Note, in particular, that the cited theorem implies that  $\mu$  is not a bracket word. The same applies, with virtually the same proof, to the Liouville function, which is defined by  $\lambda(n) = (-1)^k$  if n is the product of k primes (counting multiplicities). Results from [\[FH17\]](#page-54-24) allow one to extend this observation to a wider class of multiplicative functions. In a different direction, using the results in [\[GT12a\]](#page-55-10), one should be able to strengthen the result of Proposition [12.9](#page-37-2) by showing that for each bounded GP map  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ , the averages  $\mathbb{E}_{p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [N]}(g(p))$  converge to the same limit as the averages  $\mathbb{E}_{n\in[N]}g(n)$  as  $N\to\infty$ .

We next consider the set of squares.

**Proposition 12.11.** The characteristic word  $\mathbf{1}_S$  of the set of squares is not a bracket word.

This follows immediately from the following more general result.

**Proposition 12.12.** Let  $p: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  be a polynomial with  $p(\mathbb{N}_0) \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\deg p \geq 2$ . Then the set  $\{p(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is not a GP subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ .

*Proof.* We will prove marginally more, namely that if  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  are polynomials with  $p_j(\mathbb{N}_0) \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\deg p_j \geq 2$  for all  $j, 1 \leq j \leq r$ , then the set

<span id="page-38-0"></span>(35) 
$$
E := \bigcup_{j=1}^r p_j(\mathbb{N}_0)
$$

is not a GP subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . For the sake of contradiction, suppose that the converse is true. Throughout the proof, we use terminology introduced in Appendix [A.](#page-51-0)

Applying Theorem [A.1,](#page-51-1) we can find a nilmanifold  $X = G/\Gamma$  with G connected and simply connected, a semialgebraic subset  $S \subseteq X$ , and a polynomial sequence  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to G$  such that  $E = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid g(n) \Gamma \in S\}.$ 

Let us first consider the case where  $(g(n)\Gamma)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed in X. Since  $d(E) = 0$ , we have  $\mu_X(S) = 0$ . The polynomial sequence  $(\pi_{ab}(g(n)\Gamma))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed in  $X_{ab}$ . Hence, we can conclude from Weyl's equidistribution theorem that  $(\pi_{ab}(g(p(n)\Gamma)))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed in  $X_{ab}$  for each non-constant polynomial map  $p: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$ . It now follows from Theorem [A.2](#page-52-2) (that is, [\[Lei05,](#page-55-23) Thm. C]) that  $(g(p(n)\Gamma))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed in X. In particular  $g(p(n))\Gamma \notin S$  for almost all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Taking  $p = p_1$  we reach a contradiction.

Suppose next that  $(g(n)\Gamma)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is not equidistributed in X. Then, it follows from [\[Lei05,](#page-55-23) Thm. B] that there exists  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and sub-nilmanifolds  $Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_{q-1} \subseteq X$ such that  $(g(qn+i)\Gamma)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed in  $Y_i$  for each  $i \in [q]$ . It remains to apply the previously considered special case to the sets  $(E - i)/q$  for each  $i \in [q]$  (note that these sets again take the form (35), possibly for some larger r). (note that these sets again take the form  $(35)$ , possibly for some larger r).

12.3. Number-theoretical functions. Number theory provides a plentiful source of examples of finitely-valued sequences, for which one can inquire into the existence of a generalised polynomial representation. Recall that a sequence  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$  is multiplicative if  $f(nm) = f(n)f(m)$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $gcd(n, m) = 1$ . In this section we investigate infinite words of the form  $((f(n) \mod q)_{n=1}^{\infty})$ , where  $q \ge 2$  is

an integer and  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is multiplicative. Analogous questions, with automatic sequences in place of bracket words, were investigated in [\[Yaz01\]](#page-55-24).

As an illustrative example, we begin with the Euler totient function  $\phi$ , given by

$$
\phi(n) = |(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*| = n \prod_{\mathcal{P} \ni p \mid n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

**Proposition 12.13.** Let  $q \geq 3$  be an integer. Then  $(\phi(n) \mod q)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not a bracket word.

*Proof.* Let us assume by contradiction that  $(\phi(n) \mod q)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a bracket word. Replacing q with a divisor, we may assume that  $q = 4$  or q is a prime.

We first assume that  $q = 4$ . By direct inspection we have:

$$
\phi(n) \bmod 4 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \{1, 2\}; \\ 2 & \text{if } n = 4 \text{ or } n \in \{p, 2p\} \text{ for a prime } p \equiv 3 \bmod 4; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Since  $(\phi(n) \mod 4)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a bracket word, we deduce that  $\mathcal{P} \cap (4\mathbb{Z} + 3)$  is a GP set. This is impossible by direct repetition of the argument in Proposition [12.9.](#page-37-2)

Suppose now that  $q$  is a prime and set

$$
E := \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \phi(n) \not\equiv 0 \bmod q \} .
$$

Alternatively, E is described by the condition:  $n \in E$  if and only if  $q^2 \nmid n$  and n has no prime divisors congruent to 1 modulo q. Hence, applying the prime number theorem in arithmetic progressions, we conclude that  $d(E) = 0$ . By assumption, E is a GP set. Pick a prime  $p > q$  with  $q \nmid p-1$ . Then  $E/p = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid pn \in E\} = E$ , and hence we infer from Corollary [12.8](#page-37-3) that  $E$  is not a GP set. This provides a contradiction.

Applying the same ideas, we can obtain the following result, which can be used to deal with most other "naturally occurring" multiplicative sequences.

<span id="page-39-2"></span>**Proposition 12.14.** Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$  be a multiplicative sequence and let  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that the two following properties hold.

<span id="page-39-0"></span>(i) There exists  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  such that the sequence  $(f(p^n) \mod q)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is eventually periodic but not eventually zero.

<span id="page-39-1"></span>(ii) There exist infinitely many  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $f(p^n) \equiv 0 \mod q$  for some  $n\in\mathbb{N}.$ 

Then  $(f(n) \mod q)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not a bracket word.

*Proof.* We argue by contradiction, assuming that  $(f(n) \mod q)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a bracket word. Using Lemma [6.1,](#page-12-3) we deduce that  $(f(n) \mod p)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is also a bracket word for every  $p \mid q$ . Replacing q with a prime divisor if necessary, we may thus assume that  $q$  is prime. Consider the GP set

$$
E := \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f(n) \not\equiv 0 \bmod q \} .
$$

Let p be a prime satisfying the conditions in [\(i\).](#page-39-0) Let  $c \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $f(p^{n+d}) \equiv f(p^n) \mod q$  for all  $n \geq c$  and  $f(p^c) \not\equiv 0 \mod q$ . Let E' be the GP set defined by  $E' := E/p^c = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid p^c n \in E\}$ . Then  $E'/p^d = E'$ .

Next, we show that  $d(E) = 0$ . Suppose, conversely, that  $d(E) > 0$ . Then, by Theorem [7.4,](#page-16-3)  $E$  is syndetic, meaning that we can find  $N$  such that  $E$  intersects

any interval  $[n, n + N]$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ . By [\(ii\),](#page-39-1) we can pick pairwise coprime integers  $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_{N-1}$  with  $f(r_i) \equiv 0 \mod q$  for all  $i \in [N]$ . By the Chinese remainder theorem, we can find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n + i \equiv r_i \mod r_i^2$  for all  $i \in [N]$ . Then we have  $f(n + i) \equiv 0 \mod q$  and  $n + i \notin E$  for all  $i \in [N]$ , contradicting the defining condition of N. Hence  $d(E) = 0$ .

Since  $d(E) = 0$ , we also have  $d(E') = 0$ . Thus, we infer from Corollary [12.8](#page-37-3) that  $E'$  is not a GP set, which contradicts previous observations.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**Example 12.15.** Given an integer  $k \geq 0$ , we let  $\sigma_k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  denote the k-th power divisor-sum function defined by

$$
\sigma_k(n) := \sum_{d|n} d^k = \prod_{p|n} \frac{p^{k(\nu_p(n)+1)} - 1}{p^k - 1}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

where the sum runs over all positive integers d that divide n. Note that  $\sigma_0(n) = d(n)$ is the number of divisors of n and  $\sigma_1(n) = \sigma(n)$  is the sum of divisors. For each integer  $q \ge 2$ , the assumptions of Proposition [12.14](#page-39-2) are satisfied: if  $k \ge 1$  then in [\(i\)](#page-39-0) we can take  $p = q$ , and in [\(ii\)](#page-39-1) we can take any  $p \equiv 1 \mod q$  and  $n = 1$  (infinitude of such primes follows from Dirichlet's theorem). If  $k = 0$ , both [\(i\)](#page-39-0) and [\(ii\)](#page-39-1) hold for all primes p. Hence,  $(\sigma_k(n) \mod q)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not a bracket word.

**Example 12.16.** Given an integer  $k \geq 1$ , we let  $\tau_k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  denote the number of representations as the product of k positive integers, that is

$$
\tau_k(n) := \left| \left\{ (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \; \middle| \; \prod_{i=1}^k d_i = n \right\} \right| = \prod_{p \mid n} {k + \nu_p(n) - 1 \choose k - 1}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

For each integer  $q \geq 2$ , the assumptions of Proposition [12.14](#page-39-2) are satisfied: both [\(i\)](#page-39-0) and [\(ii\)](#page-39-1) hold for all primes p. Hence,  $(\tau_k(n) \mod q)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not a bracket word.

We close this section on multiplicative functions with an another result, Corollary [12.18,](#page-40-0) which applies to multiplicative sequences that exhibit non-trivial behaviour only for a finite set of primes. In particular, these sequences do not satisfy condition (ii) of Proposition [12.14.](#page-39-2) In fact, we can state a somewhat more general result. For a prime p and an integer n, we let  $\nu_p(n)$  denote the p-adic valuation of n.

<span id="page-40-1"></span>**Proposition 12.17.** Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_\ell$  be primes, let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet, and let  $F: \mathbb{N}_0^{\ell} \to \Sigma$ . Let **a** be the infinite word defined over  $\Sigma$  by

$$
a_n := F(\nu_{p_1}(n), \nu_{p_2}(n), \ldots, \nu_{p_\ell}(n)), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Suppose that a is not almost everywhere periodic. Then a is not a bracket word.

Proof. By Proposition [12.2,](#page-36-3) it will suffice to check that a is weakly periodic. Pick any  $k \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We can find k' with  $k \mid k'$  such that  $\nu_{p_i}(k') > \nu_{p_i}(r)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . Thus,  $a_{k'n+r} = a_{k'n+k'+r}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , so, with notation as in the definition of weak periodicity, we may take  $r' = r$  and  $r'' = r + k'$ . — П

<span id="page-40-0"></span>**Corollary 12.18.** Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$  be a multiplicative sequence and let  $q \in \mathcal{P}$ . Suppose that  $(f(n) \mod q)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not periodic and that there exists  $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $f(p^n) \equiv 1 \bmod q$  for all primes  $p \geq p_0$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(f(n) \bmod q)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not a bracket word.

*Proof.* Note that the sequence  $(f(n) \mod q)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Toeplitz, since, for every positive integer n, one has  $f(n+dm) = f(n)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any choice of  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\nu_p(d) > \nu_p(n)$  for all  $p < p_0$ . Note also that  $(f(n) \mod q)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not periodic, and thus it is also not almost everywhere periodic. It remains to apply Proposition [12.17.](#page-40-1)  $\Box$ 

**Example 12.19.** The sequence  $((-1)^{\nu_2(n)+\nu_3(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not a bracket word.

12.4. Automatic sequences. Let us now consider the problem of classifying automatic sequences which are bracket words. To begin with, we very briefly recall the definition of an automatic sequence; for extensive background, see [\[AS03\]](#page-54-9).

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Sigma_k = \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$  denote the base-k alphabet, and let  $\Omega$  be a finite set. A sequence **a** over  $\Omega$  is k-automatic if there exists a finite k-automaton which computes a. More explicitly, this means that there exist a finite set of states Q, a distinguished state  $q_0 \in Q$ , a transition function  $\delta: Q \times \Sigma_k \to Q$ , and an output map  $\tau: Q \to \Omega$  such that for each integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with base-k expansion  $n = n_0 + k n_1 + k^2 n_2 + \dots + k^{\ell} n_{\ell}$  we have

$$
a_n = \tau \left( \delta \Big( \delta \big( \ldots \delta(\delta(q_0, n_0), n_1) \ldots, n_{\ell-1} \big), n_{\ell} \Big) \right) .
$$

It is relatively easy to show that if an automatic sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  coincides with a bracket word  $\mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  almost everywhere, that is, if

$$
d\left(\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid a_n \neq b_n\right\}\right) = 0,
$$

then **a** must also be periodic almost everywhere (*i.e.*, there exists a periodic word **c** such that  $d\left(\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid a_n \neq c_n\right\}\right) = 0$ . Indeed, this result follows from Proposition [12.2](#page-36-3) combined with the fact that a  $k$ -automatic sequence has a finite  $k$ -kernel; see [\[BK20\]](#page-54-6) for details. Here, the k-kernel of a sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is defined as the set of subsequences  $\{(a_{k^n n+r})_{n=0}^{\infty} \mid r, i \in \mathbb{N}_0, r < k^i\}.$ 

As a consequence of this "density 1" result, in order to classify all automatic sequences which are bracket words, it is enough to consider sequences **a** over  $\{0, 1\}$ , with freq(a, 1) = 0. Equivalently, we let  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{1}_E$ , where  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $d(E) = 0$ . In [\[BK18\]](#page-54-5), we investigated such "sparse" bracket words and showed a slightly stronger variant of Proposition [12.4.](#page-37-4) Combining it with facts concerning additive richness of automatic sets, a full classification was obtained in [\[BK20\]](#page-54-6), conditional on the conjecture that for  $k \geq 2$  the set  $\{k^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  of powers of k is not a GP subset of N0. This conjecture was finally proved in[\[Kon21\]](#page-55-7), leading to the following result.

**Theorem 12.20** ([\[Kon21,](#page-55-7) Thm. B]). Let a be an automatic sequence that is not eventually periodic. Then a is not a bracket word.

Note that this theorem extends the classical result claiming that a Sturmian word cannot be automatic.

### 13. PROOF OF THEOREM [1.1:](#page-2-0) NOTATION AND INDUCTION

<span id="page-41-0"></span>In this section we set up the inductive scheme and introduce notation which will be used in the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0)

13.1. Height. One of several measures of complexity of a generalised polynomial is the *height*, that is, the number of nested instances of the floor function. Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\text{GP}_0$  denote the polynomial maps from  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Inductively, for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\text{GP}_i$  be the smallest class of maps from  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathbb R$  that is closed under sums and products, and which contains g and |g| for each  $g \in \text{GP}_{i-1}$ . By definition, for each GP map  $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ , there exists an integer  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $g \in \text{GP}_i$ . The height of a GP map  $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ , denoted by ht $(g)$ , is the least of such integers  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

More generally, if  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $g: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a GP map then  $\text{ht}(g)$  is defined as the least possible value of ht( $\tilde{g}$ ) where  $\tilde{g}$ :  $\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is a GP map and  $\tilde{g}|_{\Omega} = g$ . For instance, if g is the GP map  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  given by  $g(n) = \lfloor \sqrt{2n} \lfloor \sqrt{3n} \rfloor + \sqrt{5n^2} \rfloor$ then  $\mathrm{ht}(g) \leq 2$ .

<span id="page-42-2"></span>**Lemma 13.1.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be GP map. Then g can be written as

<span id="page-42-0"></span>(36) 
$$
g = \sum_{i=0}^{s} p_i \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \lfloor h_{i,j} \rfloor = p_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \lfloor h_{i,j} \rfloor,
$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $p_i: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$   $(1 \leq i \leq s)$  are polynomials,  $r_0 = 0$ , and for each  $1 \leq$  $i \leq s, r_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $h_{i,j} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$   $(1 \leq j \leq r_i)$  are a GP maps with  $\text{ht}(h_{i,j}) < \text{ht}(g)$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $h(g)$ . If g is a polynomial, there is nothing to prove, since we can take  $s = 0$  and  $p_0 = g$ . If  $g = \lfloor h \rfloor$  for some h with  $h(h) < h(f)$ then we can take  $s = 1, r_1 = 1, p_0 = 0, p_1 = 1,$  and  $h_{1,1} = h$ . If  $g = h + h'$  or  $g = h \cdot h'$  for some h and h' with  $\max\{ht(h), ht(h')\} < ht(g)$ , then a representation of g of the form  $(36)$  can be obtained from the analogous representations of h and h ′ .

13.2. Parametric generalised polynomials. It will be convenient to state some of our results in terms of parametric GP maps, by which we mean families of GP maps which include real-valued parameters as coefficients. For instance, the formula

$$
g_{\alpha,\beta}(n) = \left\lfloor \alpha n \left\lfloor \beta n \right\rfloor + \sqrt{2}n^2 \right\rfloor
$$

defines, for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , a GP map  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ , and we will refer to  $g_{\bullet}$  as a parametric GP map<sup>[8](#page-42-1)</sup>  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ . We make this notion precise in the following definition. Below and elsewhere, if  $I \subseteq J$  are finite sets and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^J$ , we let  $\beta | I \in \mathbb{R}^I$  denote the restriction of  $\beta$  to I, that is,  $(\beta|I)_i = \beta_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

**Definition 13.2.** Let I be a finite set. A parametric GP map  $g_{\bullet} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  with index set I is a map  $\mathbb{R}^I \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\alpha \mapsto g_\alpha$ , such that the combined map  $\mathbb{R}^I \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\alpha, n) \mapsto g_\alpha(n)$  is a GP map. The height of the parametric GP map  $g_{\bullet}$ , denoted by ht( $g_{\bullet}$ ), is the height of the corresponding GP map  $(\alpha, n) \mapsto g_{\alpha}(n)$ .

**Definition 13.3.** Let  $g_{\bullet}, h_{\bullet}: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  be two parametric GP maps with index sets I and J respectively. We define the sum  $g_{\bullet} + h_{\bullet}$  to be the parametric GPs with index set  $I \cup J$  given by  $(g+h)_{\alpha}(n) := g_{\alpha|I}(n) + h_{\alpha|J}(n)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{I \cup J}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The product  $g_{\bullet} \cdot h_{\bullet}$  is defined accordingly by  $(g \cdot h)_{\alpha}(n) := g_{\alpha|I}(n) \cdot h_{\alpha|J}(n), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{I \cup J}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

<span id="page-42-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>We use "•" as a placeholder for a variable. Thus, in the discussion above, we let  $g_{\bullet}$  denote the map  $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto g_{\alpha, \beta}$ , which can be identified with a map  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  in a natural way.

Our interest in parametric GP maps stems largely from the following lemma, which allows us to replace the study of subwords in GP sequences by the study of prefixes in parametric GP sequences.

<span id="page-43-3"></span>**Lemma 13.4.** Let  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded GP map. Then there exists a parametric  $GP$  map  $\widetilde{g}_{\bullet}$  with index set I, such that, for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists  $\alpha \in [0,1)^{I}$ such that  $g(n+m) = \tilde{g}_{\alpha}(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof. This is just a rephrasing of Lemma [A.5.](#page-53-2)

$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

In the examples below, we use, as in Section [5,](#page-10-0) the Iverson bracket convention:  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket = 1$  if  $\varphi$  is a true sentence and  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket = 0$  otherwise.

**Example 13.5.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $g(n) = \left[ \left\{ \sqrt{2}n^d \right\} < \frac{1}{2} \right]$ . Pick any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $g(n+m) = \tilde{g}_{\alpha}(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i=0}^d$  is given by  $\alpha_i = \left\{ \sqrt{2}m^i {d \choose i} \right\}$  $(0 \leq i \leq d)$  and  $\widetilde{g}_{\bullet}$  is given by

$$
\widetilde{g}_{\alpha}(n) = \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{d} \alpha_i n^{d-i} \right\} < \frac{1}{2} \right\| , \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \, .
$$

Example 13.6. Let  $g(n) = \left[ \left\{ \sqrt{2n} \left[ \sqrt{3}n \right] \right\} < \frac{1}{2} \right]$ **Example 13.6.** Let  $g(n) = \left[ \left\{ \sqrt{2n} \lfloor \sqrt{3n} \rfloor \right\} < \frac{1}{2} \right]$ . Pick any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $a = \left[ \sqrt{2m} \right], \alpha = \sqrt{2m}, b = \left[ \sqrt{3m} \right], \beta = \left\{ \sqrt{3m} \right\}, \gamma = \left\{ \sqrt{2}b \right\},$  and  $\delta = \{ \alpha b \}$ . Then

$$
g(n+m) = \left[ \left\{ (\sqrt{2}n + a + \alpha) \left( \left[ \sqrt{3}n + \beta \right] + b \right) \right\} < \frac{1}{2} \right]
$$
  
= 
$$
\left[ \left\{ (\sqrt{2}n + \alpha) \left[ \sqrt{3}n + \beta \right] + \sqrt{2}bn + \alpha b \right\} < \frac{1}{2} \right]
$$
  
= 
$$
\left[ \left\{ (\sqrt{2}n + \alpha) \left[ \sqrt{3}n + \beta \right] + \gamma n + \delta \right\} < \frac{1}{2} \right]
$$
  
= 
$$
\widetilde{g}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(n),
$$

where  $\widetilde{g}_{\bullet}$  is the parametric GP map with index set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  given by

$$
\widetilde{g}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4}(n) = \left[ \left\{ (\sqrt{2}n + \alpha_1) \left[ \sqrt{3}n + \alpha_2 \right] + \alpha_3 n + \alpha_4 \right\} < \frac{1}{2} \right].
$$

When the dependence of a parametric GP map on the parameters becomes too complicated, it is often more convenient to instead work with a parametric GP map which has more parameters but depends on them in a simpler way. For instance, if  $g_{\bullet}$  is a parametric GP map of the form

<span id="page-43-0"></span>(37) 
$$
g_{\alpha}(n) = f_1(\alpha)h_{\alpha}^{(1)}(n) + f_2(\alpha)h_{\alpha}^{(2)}(n) + f_3(\alpha)h_{\alpha}^{(3)}(n),
$$

where  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , and  $f_3$  are GP maps and  $h_•^{(1)}$ ,  $h_•^{(2)}$ , and  $h_•^{(3)}$  are parametric GP maps, then it might be preferable to instead work with the parametric GP map

<span id="page-43-1"></span>(38) 
$$
g'_{\alpha,\beta}(n) = \beta_1 h_{\alpha}^{(1)}(n) + \beta_2 h_{\alpha}^{(2)}(n) + \beta_3 h_{\alpha}^{(3)}(n).
$$

We make this idea precise in the following definition.

<span id="page-43-2"></span>**Definition 13.7.** Let  $g_{\bullet}$  and  $h_{\bullet}$  be two parametric GP maps with index sets I and J respectively. Then we say that  $h_{\bullet}$  extends  $g_{\bullet}$ , denoted  $h_{\bullet} \succeq g_{\bullet}$ , if there exists a GP map  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^I \to \mathbb{R}^J$  such that  $g_{\alpha} = h_{\varphi(\alpha)}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^I$ .

It is routine to check that the relation  $\succeq$  defined above is a partial order.

**Example 13.8.** If  $g_{\bullet}$  and  $g'_{\bullet}$  are respectively given by [\(37\)](#page-43-0) and [\(38\)](#page-43-1), then  $g'_{\bullet} \succeq g_{\bullet}$ . One can take  $\varphi(\alpha) = (\alpha, f_1(\alpha), f_2(\alpha), f_3(\alpha)).$ 

13.3. Induction scheme. Using the terminology introduced above, we are ready to explain the induction scheme that will be used in the proof of our main result. It can be construed as an analogue of the inductive definition of generalised polynomials, but restricted to Z-valued sequences. Note that GP maps  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  can be identified with parametric GP maps with an empty index set.

<span id="page-44-4"></span><span id="page-44-0"></span>**Proposition 13.9.** Let G be a family of parametric GP maps  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  with index sets contained in  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Suppose that G has the following closure properties.

- <span id="page-44-3"></span>(i) All GP maps  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}$ .
- <span id="page-44-2"></span>(ii) For every  $g_{\bullet}$  and  $h_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{G}$ , it holds that  $g_{\bullet} + h_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $g_{\bullet} \cdot h_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{G}$ .

(iii) For every  $g_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  contains all the parametric  $GP$  maps  $g'_{\bullet} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying  $g_{\bullet} \succeq g'_{\bullet}$ .

<span id="page-44-1"></span>(iv) For every pair of disjoint finite sets  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , and every sequence of parametric GP maps  $h_{\bullet}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $i \in I$ , with index set J, G contains the parametric  $GP$  map  $g_{\bullet}$  defined by

$$
g_{\alpha,\beta}(n) := \left\lfloor \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i h_{\beta}^{(i)}(n) \right\rfloor, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^I, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^J.
$$

Then G contains all parametric GP maps  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  with index sets contained in  $\mathbb{N}_0$ .

*Proof.* Since each parametric GP map  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  takes the form  $|g_{\bullet}|$  for some parametric GP map  $g_{\bullet} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ , it suffices to show that  $|g_{\bullet}| \in \mathcal{G}$  for each parametric GP  $g_{\bullet}$  with index set  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ . We proceed by induction on  $\text{ht}(g_{\bullet})$ .

Suppose first that  $ht(g_{\bullet}) = 0$ , that is,  $g_{\bullet}$  is a polynomial. Expanding, we can write

$$
g_{\alpha}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} q_i(\alpha) n^i, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^I,
$$

where  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $q_i: \mathbb{R}^I \to \mathbb{R}$  are polynomials. It follows from [\(i\)](#page-44-0) and [\(iv\)](#page-44-1) that  $\mathcal{G}$ also contains the parametric GP map with index set  $0, 1, \ldots, d$  given by

$$
g'_{\alpha}(n) = \left\lfloor \sum_{i=0}^{d} \alpha_i n^i \right\rfloor, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.
$$

Since  $g'_{\bullet} \succeq \lfloor g_{\bullet} \rfloor$ , it follows from [\(iii\)](#page-44-2) that  $g_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{G}$ .

Suppose next that  $\text{ht}(g_{\bullet}) \geq 1$ . Using Lemma [13.1](#page-42-2) and expanding out the polynomial contributions, we can represent  $g_{\bullet}$  in the form

$$
g_{\beta}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} q_i(\beta) \widetilde{h}_{\beta}^{(i)}(n) , \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^J ,
$$

where for every i,  $0 \leq i \leq s$ ,  $q_i$  is a polynomial, and  $\tilde{h}^{(i)}_{\bullet}$  is a parametric GP map of the form

$$
\widetilde{h}_{\beta}^{(i)}(n) = n^{d_i} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \left[ h_{\beta}^{(i,j)}(n) \right] \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^J,
$$

where  $d_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $r_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $\mathrm{ht}(h_{\bullet}^{(i,j)}) < \mathrm{ht}(g_{\bullet})$  for all  $j, 0 \leq j \leq r_i$ . By the inductive assumption, for each pair  $(i, j)$ , with  $0 \le i \le s$  and  $1 \le j \le r_i$ , we have  $h_{\bullet}^{(i,j)}$ •  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}$ . Consequently, applying [\(i\)](#page-44-0) and [\(ii\),](#page-44-3) we conclude that also  $\widetilde{h}_{\bullet}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{G}$ .

For notational convenience, assume that  $\min J > s$  and put  $I = \{0, 1, \ldots, s\}$ (since the ordering of the parameters does not play any role, this does not decrease the level of generality). It follows from [\(iv\)](#page-44-1) that  $G$  also contains the parametric GP map with index set  $I \cup J$  that is defined by

$$
g'_{\alpha,\beta}(n) = \left[ \sum_{i=0}^s \alpha_i \widetilde{h}_{\beta}^{(i)}(n) \right], \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^I, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^J.
$$

<span id="page-45-0"></span>Since  $g'_\bullet \succeq \lfloor g_\bullet \rfloor$ , it follows from [\(iii\)](#page-44-2) that  $\lfloor g_\bullet \rfloor \in \mathcal{G}$ . This ends the proof.

# 14. Proof of Theorem [1.1:](#page-2-0) auxiliary results

In this section we discuss some results in additive combinatorics and Diophantine approximation which will be used in the course of the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0)

14.1. Additive geometry. Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . A symmetric generalised arithmetic progression of rank r in an Abelian group Z with steps  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r \in Z$  and side lengths  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is defined as the set

$$
\mathsf{P}(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_r; \ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_r) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r n_i x_i \middle| \ -\ell_i < n_i < \ell_i \text{ for all } i, \ 1 \leq i \leq r \right\} \, .
$$

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . By a *lattice* in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  we mean a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In particular, we do not require  $\Lambda$  to have full rank, which is slightly non-standard but consistent (in particular with [\[TV06\]](#page-55-25)). If  $\Lambda < \mathbb{R}^d$  is a lattice of full rank, we let covol  $\Lambda =$  $vol(\mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda)$  denote its covolume (that is, the measure of a fundamental domain of  $\Lambda$ ). By a *convex body* in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we mean a convex, open, non-empty, and bounded set, and by a half-space, we always mean a closed half-space, that is, a set of the form

$$
\mathsf{H}(x,t) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x \cdot y \ge t \right\}
$$

for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The classical John's theorem asserts that each symmetric convex body  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ The cassivest bonn's chosen asserts that each symmetric convention, soay B in the sense of the end of  $E$ , in the sense that  $E \subseteq B \subseteq \sqrt{dE}$ . We will use a discrete analogue of this result.

<span id="page-45-1"></span>**Theorem 14.1** (Discrete John's Theorem, [\[TV06,](#page-55-25) Thm. 3.36]). Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a symmetric convex body, and  $\Lambda < \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice of rank r. Then there exist vectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and integers  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$
\mathsf{P}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r; \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r) \subseteq B \cap \Lambda
$$
  

$$
\subseteq \mathsf{P}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r; r^{2r} \ell_1, r^{2r} \ell_2, \dots, r^{2r} \ell_r).
$$

We also mention an estimate on the number of partitions of a finite set using a hyperplane.

<span id="page-45-2"></span>**Theorem 14.2** ( $\text{[Har67, Thm. 1]}$  $\text{[Har67, Thm. 1]}$  $\text{[Har67, Thm. 1]}$ ). Let d and n be two positive integers, and let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a set with  $|S| = n$ . Then the number of sets of the form  $S \cap H$ , where *H* is a half-space, is at most  $2\sum_{i=0}^{d} \binom{n-1}{i}$ .

In the course of the argument, we will need estimates on the number of sets that can be obtained from a given convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by intersecting it with a sub-lattice of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and a half-space.

<span id="page-46-3"></span>**Proposition 14.3.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Gamma < \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice, and  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a symmetric convex body. Then the number of pairs of sets of the form  $(\Lambda \cap B, \Lambda \cap B \cap H)$ , where  $\Lambda < \Gamma$  is a lattice and H is a half-space, belongs to  $O_d(|B \cap \Gamma|^{2d})$ .

*Proof.* Put  $M := |B \cap \Gamma|$ . Let  $\Lambda < \Gamma$  be a sub-lattice. It follows form Theorem [14.1](#page-45-1) that there exist vectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_d \in \Lambda \cap B$  such that  $\Lambda \cap B \subseteq \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{v_1, \ldots, v_d\}$ . As a consequence,

$$
\Lambda \cap B = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{v_1, \ldots, v_d\} \cap B.
$$

In particular, the set  $\Lambda \cap B$  is completely determined by the vectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_d$  which belong to  $B \cap \Gamma$ , and hence can be chosen in at most  $M^d$  ways.

For a fixed choice of  $\Lambda$ , we infer from Theorem [14.2](#page-45-2) that the number of sets of the form  $\Lambda \cap B \cap H$ , where H is a half-space, belongs to  $O_d(M^d)$ . Combining these two estimates yields the claim.

14.2. Diophantine approximation. In this subsection, we briefly discuss approximate linear relations with integer coefficients. Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given a vector  $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d, \, \varepsilon > 0, \, \text{and} \, N \in \mathbb{N}, \, \text{we set}$ 

(39) 
$$
\mathcal{R}_N(\alpha,\varepsilon) := \left\{ n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid ||n||_{\infty} < N, \left| \sum_{i=1}^d n_i \alpha_i \right| < \varepsilon \right\}.
$$

These sets naturally appear in the theory of Diophantine approximation. For instance, a classical theorem of Dirichlet asserts that for all  $\alpha \in [0,1]^d$ , the set  $\mathcal{R}_N(\alpha, N^{-1/(d-1)})$  is non-empty, and the exponent  $-1/(d-1)$  cannot be improved in general [\[Cas55\]](#page-54-25). In our application, we will be interested in the case where  $\varepsilon$ is considerably smaller. In this regime, the sets  $\mathcal{R}_N(\alpha,\varepsilon)$  can be approximated by lattices in a sense that is made precise by the following proposition.

<span id="page-46-1"></span>**Proposition 14.4.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists a positive real number  $C_d$  such that for each  $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a lattice  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\alpha, \varepsilon, N)$ satisfying

<span id="page-46-0"></span>(40) 
$$
\mathcal{R}_N(\alpha,\varepsilon) \subseteq \Lambda \cap (-N,N)^d \subseteq \mathcal{R}_N(\alpha,C_d N^d \varepsilon).
$$

For later reference, we let  $\Lambda(\alpha,\varepsilon,N)$  denote a lattice satisfying [\(40\)](#page-46-0). The remaining part of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition [14.4.](#page-46-1) We begin with a lemma concerning finite groups, where the situation is simpler. Recall that, for a set S contained in an additive group G and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let kS denote the k-fold sumset  $S + S + \cdots + S = \{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k \mid a_i \in S\}.$ 

<span id="page-46-2"></span>**Lemma 14.5.** Let G be a finite abelian group with order  $M \geq 2$  and exponent q, and let  $S \subseteq G$  be a generating set with  $e_G \in S$ . Then there exists  $k \leq q \log M / \log q$ such that  $kS = G$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on M. Pick any  $g \in S \setminus \{e_G\}$  and let  $\ell = \text{ord}(g)$ . Since G is non-trivial, we may assume that  $\ell \geq 2$ . Put  $f(x) = x/\log x$   $(x > 1)$  and note that  $f(n) \le f(m)$  for all pairs of integers  $(n, m)$  with  $m \ge n \ge 2$  and  $n \mid m$ . Our goal is to find  $k \leq f(q) \log M$  with  $kS = G$ .

Let  $\overline{G} = G/\langle q \rangle$ , and let  $\pi: G \to \overline{G}$  be the quotient map. Then G has order  $\overline{M} := M/\ell$  and exponent  $\overline{q}$  which divides q. The set  $\overline{S} := \pi(S)$  generates  $\overline{G}$ . If  $\overline{G}$ is trivial then G is the cyclic group generated by g, and hence  $q = M$  and  $kS = G$ for  $k = f(q) \log M = M$ , as needed. (Note that in this step we use the assumption that  $e_G \in S$ .) Suppose next that  $\overline{G}$  is non-trivial, meaning that  $\overline{M} \geq 2$ . By the inductive assumption, there exists  $\overline{k} \leq f(\overline{q}) \log \overline{M}$  with  $\overline{kS} = \overline{G}$ . It follows that  $kS = G$ , where  $k := \overline{k} + \ell$ . We can estimate

$$
k \le f(\overline{q}) \log \overline{M} + \ell = f(q) \log M - (f(q) - f(\overline{q})) \log M + (f(\ell) - f(\overline{q})) \log \ell.
$$

Thus, it is enough to show that

$$
(f(q) - f(\overline{q})) \log M \ge (f(\ell) - f(\overline{q})) \log \ell
$$

which follows from the observations that  $M \geq \ell, \ell \mid q$ , and  $\overline{q} \mid q$ .

<span id="page-47-1"></span>**Lemma 14.6.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be symmetric convex body, and  $S \subseteq B$  be a symmetric set with  $0 \in S$ . Put  $\Lambda := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} S$  and assume that  $\Lambda$  is a lattice. Then there exists  $k = O_d(|\Lambda \cap B|)$  such that  $kS \cap B = \Lambda \cap B$ .

*Proof.* Put  $M := |\Lambda \cap B|$ . We proceed by induction on d, including the degenerate case  $d = 0$  for which one can take  $k = 1$ . Assume that  $d \ge 1$  and that the claim is proved for  $d-1$ . We may also assume without loss of generality that  $\Lambda$  has full rank, since otherwise we could replace  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the subspace spanned by  $\Lambda$ .

Applying Theorem [14.1,](#page-45-1) we conclude that there exist vectors  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_d \in \Lambda$ and side lengths  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$
\mathsf{P}(w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_d;\ell_1,\ell_2,\ldots,\ell_d)\subseteq B\cap\Lambda\subseteq\mathsf{P}(w_1,w_2,\ldots,w_d;D\ell_1,D\ell_2,\ldots,D\ell_d)\,,
$$

where  $D := d^{2d} = O_d(1)$ . Since  $\Lambda$  has rank d, the vectors  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_d$  form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Note that  $\prod_{i=1}^d \ell_i \leq M$ . Applying a change of basis, we may assume that  $w_i = e_i$ , the *i*-th standard basis vector, for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ . In particular,  $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

Pick any d-tuple of linearly independent vectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d \in S$ . Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be the matrix satisfying  $Ae_i = v_i$ , and put  $q := \det A = \text{covol}(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d)).$ Then  $q \neq 0$  and the Leibniz formula gives the estimate  $|q| \leq d! D^d \prod_{i=1}^d \ell_i = O_d(M)$ . Using Cramer's rule to find the inverse of A, we observe that the matrix  $qA^{-1}$ has integer entries and satisfies  $|q(A^{-1})_{i,j}| = O_d(M/\ell_i)$  for each pair  $(i, j)$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ . Since  $qe_i = \sum_{j=1}^d q(A^{-1})_{i,j}v_j$  and since  $0 \in S$ , we conclude that there exists  $p = O_d(M)$  such that  $qe_i \in [p/\ell_i]$  S for every  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ .

Pick any  $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap B$ . Since  $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , by Lemma [14.5](#page-46-2) applied to the group  $G = \mathbb{Z}^d / q \mathbb{Z}^d$  and the set<sup>[9](#page-47-0)</sup> (S mod q)  $\subseteq G$ , there exists  $u' = (u'_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that  $u \in qu' + nS$  for some  $n \le dq$ . Then for every  $i, 1 \le i \le d$ , we have  $|u'_i| \le D\ell_i$ , so  $qu' \in mS$ , where

$$
m := \sum_{i=1}^{d} |u'_i| \left\lfloor p/\ell_i \right\rfloor \le dDp = O_d(M) .
$$
  
table 
$$
h = n + m = O_d(M).
$$

It follows that we can take  $k = n + m = O_d(M)$ .

<span id="page-47-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Note that span<sub>Z</sub>  $S := \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Hence it makes sense to consider the set S mod q. Furthermore, since by assumption  $0 \in S$ , it follows that  $0 \in S$  mod q as needed.

**Example 14.7.** Pick any  $d, N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $S = \{0, \pm v_1, \pm v_2, \dots, \pm v_d\}$ , where  $v_1 = e_1$ and  $v_i = e_i - Ne_{i-1}$  for every  $i, 2 \le i \le d$ . Thus,  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(S) = \mathbb{Z}^d$ . We can compute that

$$
e_d = v_d + Nv_{d-1} + \dots + N^{d-1}v_1.
$$

Taking  $B = (-2N, 2N)^d$ , we see that  $|B \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| \leq (4N)^d$  and if  $kS \supset B \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  then  $k \geq N^d$ . Hence, the bound in Lemma [14.6](#page-47-1) is tight up to a constant factor.

*Proof of Proposition [14.4.](#page-46-1)* Apply Lemma [14.6](#page-47-1) to  $B = (-N, N)^d$  and  $S = \mathcal{R}_N(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ . It is immediate from the definition that  $\mathcal{R}_N(\alpha,\varepsilon) \subseteq \Lambda \cap B$ , where  $\Lambda = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} S$  is a sub-lattice of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . For the other inclusion, we note that  $\Lambda \cap B = kS = k\mathcal{R}_N(\alpha, \varepsilon) \subseteq$  $\mathcal{R}_N(\alpha, k\varepsilon)$ , where  $k = O_d(|\Lambda \cap B|) = O_d(N^d)$ . ).  $\qquad \qquad \square$ 

## 15. Proof of Theorem [1.1:](#page-2-0) combining the ingredients

<span id="page-48-0"></span>We are now ready to prove a proposition which serves as the inductive step in the proof Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0) In the argument, we will use the following elementary fact.

<span id="page-48-1"></span>**Lemma 15.1.** Let x and  $x^*$  be two real numbers and assume that  $|x-x^*|$  <  $\min(|x - \lfloor x^* \rfloor|, 1/2)$ . Then  $\lfloor x \rfloor = \lfloor x^* \rfloor$ .

*Proof.* If  $\{x^*\}\in [0, 1/2)$ , then  $|x - \lfloor x^* \rfloor| > |x - x^*|$  implies that  $x > \lfloor x^* \rfloor = \lfloor x^* \rfloor$ , and  $|x-x^*| < 1/2$  implies that  $x < \lfloor x^* \rfloor + 1$ . Thus,  $\lfloor x \rfloor = \lfloor x^* \rfloor$ . The case where  ${x^*} \in [1/2, 1)$  is analogous.

<span id="page-48-2"></span>**Proposition 15.2.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_d$ :  $[N] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be sequences, with  $||h_i||_{\infty} \leq H$  for every i,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we let  $g_{\alpha} \colon [N] \to \mathbb{Z}$  denote the sequence defined by

$$
g_{\alpha}(n) := \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i} h_{i}(n) \right], \quad n \in [N].
$$

Then  $|\{g_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in [-R, R)^d\}| = O_d(R^d H^{3d^2}).$ 

*Proof.* Note that  $g_{\alpha}(n) = g_{\{\alpha\}}(n) + g_{|\alpha|}(n)$ , where  $\{\alpha\} \in [0,1]^d$  and  $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor \in$  $\{-R, -R+1, \ldots, R-2, R-1\}^d$ , which is a set whose cardinality is in  $O_d(R^d)$ . Thus, it remains to show that the cardinality of the set  $\{g_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in [0,1)^d\}$  is in  $O_d(H^{3d^2}).$ 

Pick any  $\alpha \in [0, 1]^d$ . Our plan is to construct an alternative description of  $g_{\alpha}$ that, instead of  $\alpha$ , includes a finite number of parameters chosen from sets whose cardinality can be estimated in an easier way.

Put  $\varepsilon = 1/((100C_d(dH))^d)$ , where  $C_d$  is the constant from Proposition [14.4.](#page-46-1) Let  $\alpha^* = (\alpha_i^*)_{i=1}^d \in [0,1]^d$  be any vector such that  $|\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*| < \varepsilon/dH$  and  $\alpha_i^* \in (\varepsilon/dH)\mathbb{Z}$ for every  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ . This ensures that

(41) 
$$
\left| \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i h_i(n) - \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i^* h_i(n) \right| < \varepsilon, \qquad \forall \ n \in [N].
$$

Let  $h_0: [N] \to \mathbb{Z}$  be the map given by

$$
h_0(n) = -\left[\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i^* h_i(n)\right]
$$

and let  $h = (h_0, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_d)$ :  $[N] \to \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ . Note that  $||h_0||_{\infty} \le dH$  and, since  $\varepsilon <$ 1/2, that  $h_0(n) + g_\alpha(n) \in \{0, -1\}$  for all  $n \in [N]$ . Put  $B := [-dH, dH] \times [-H, H]^d$ . Let also  $\Lambda = \Lambda(1\alpha, \varepsilon, dH)$  be the lattice constructed in Proposition [14.4](#page-46-1) and set

$$
\Lambda^+ := \{ m \in \Lambda \mid 1\alpha \cdot m \ge 0 \} .
$$

Here and elsewhere, we use the shorthand  $1\alpha = (1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)$ . Hence  $(1\alpha)_0 = 1$ and  $(1\alpha)_i = \alpha_i$  for every  $i \geq 1$ .

We have now introduced all the objects needed to obtain a more well-behaved formula for  $g_{\alpha}(n)$ . We consider two cases, depending on whether  $h(n) \in \Lambda$  or not. If  $h(n) \in B \setminus \Lambda$ , then  $|1\alpha \cdot h(n)| > \varepsilon$  and hence, by Lemma [15.1,](#page-48-1) we find

<span id="page-49-0"></span>(42) 
$$
g_{\alpha}(n) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i h_i(n) \right] = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i^* h_i(n) \right].
$$

Next, if  $h(n) \in B \cap \Lambda$ , then  $|1\alpha \cdot h(n)| < C_d (dH)^d \varepsilon < 1/2$ . Since  $h_0(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

<span id="page-49-1"></span>(43) 
$$
g_{\alpha}(n) = -h_0(n) + \lfloor 1\alpha \cdot h(n) \rfloor.
$$

If  $n \in \Lambda^+$ , then  $1\alpha \cdot h(n) \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  and hence  $\lfloor 1\alpha \cdot h(n) \rfloor = 0$ . Similarly, if  $h(n) \in$  $\Lambda \setminus \Lambda^+$ , then  $1\alpha \cdot h(n) \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$  and hence  $\lfloor 1\alpha \cdot h(n) \rfloor = -1$ . Combining [\(42\)](#page-49-0) and  $(43)$ , and expanding out the definition of  $h_0$ , we conclude that

<span id="page-49-2"></span>(44) 
$$
g_{\alpha}(n) = \begin{cases} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i}^{*} h_{i}(n) \right] & \text{if } h(n) \in B \setminus \Lambda, \\ \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i}^{*} h_{i}(n) + \frac{1}{2} \right] & \text{if } h(n) \in B \cap \Lambda^{+}, \\ \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i}^{*} h_{i}(n) - \frac{1}{2} \right] & \text{if } h(n) \in B \cap \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^{+}. \end{cases}
$$

It follows from [\(44\)](#page-49-2) that, in order to determine  $g_{\alpha}|_{[N]}$ , it is sufficient to know the following data:  $\alpha^*, B \cap \Lambda$ , and  $B \cap \Lambda^+$ . The number of possible choices of  $\alpha^*$  is in  $O_d(H^{d^2})$  directly by the definition. Furthermore, it follows from Proposition [14.3,](#page-46-3) applied with  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ , that the number of possible choices for  $B \cap \Lambda$  and  $B \cap \Lambda^+$  are both in  $O_d(H^{2d^2})$ . Consequently, the number of distinct sequences of the form  $g_{\alpha}|_{[N]}$  is in  $O_d\left(H^{3d^2}\right)$ . This ends the proof.

We have now collected all the components needed to prove our main result.

<span id="page-49-4"></span>**Theorem 15.3.** Let  $g_{\bullet}: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  be a parametric GP map with index set  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then there exist two positive real numbers  $B = B(g_{\bullet})$  and  $C = C(g_{\bullet})$  such that

.

<span id="page-49-3"></span>(45) 
$$
\left| \left\{ g_{\alpha}|_{[N]} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^I, \|\alpha\|_{\infty} \le R \right\} \right| = O_g \left( R^B N^C \right)
$$

*Proof.* We proceed by structural induction with respect to  $g_{\bullet}$ , using the scheme introduced by Proposition [13.9.](#page-44-4) Let  $\mathcal{G}'$  denote the set of all parametric GP maps  $g_{\bullet}$  such that [\(45\)](#page-49-3) holds.

(i) If  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is a GP map (viewed as a parametric GP map with empty index set), then the set in [\(45\)](#page-49-3) has only one element and thus  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  holds trivially.

(ii) For each  $g_{\bullet}, h_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{G}'$  with index sets  $I, J \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  respectively, and for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we note that  $(g+h)_{\gamma}|_{[N]}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{I \cup J}$ , is uniquely determined by  $g_{\alpha}|_{[N]}$  and  $h_{\beta}|_{[N]}$ , where  $\alpha = \gamma |I \text{ and } \beta = \gamma |J$ . As a consequence,  $g_{\bullet} + h_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{G}'$  and we can take

(46) 
$$
B(g_{\bullet} + h_{\bullet}) \leq B(g_{\bullet}) + B(h_{\bullet}) \quad \text{and} \quad C(g_{\bullet} + h_{\bullet}) \leq C(g_{\bullet}) + C(h_{\bullet}).
$$

The same reasoning also applies to the product  $g_{\bullet} \cdot h_{\bullet}$ , with the same bounds.

(*iii*) Next, let  $g_{\bullet}$  and  $g'_{\bullet}$  be two parametric GP maps with index sets  $I, J \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ respectively, and suppose that  $g_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{G}'$  and  $g_{\bullet} \succeq g'_{\bullet}$ . By Definition [13.7,](#page-43-2) there exists a GP map  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^J \to \mathbb{R}^I$  such that  $g'_\beta = g_{\varphi(\beta)}$  for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^J$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a GP map, there exists a constant  $D = D(\varphi)$  such that  $\|\varphi(\beta)\|_{\infty} \leq DR^D$  for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^J$  with  $\|\beta\|_{\infty} \leq R$ . Hence, for every pair of positive integers  $(N, R)$ , we have

$$
\left|\left\{g'_{\beta}|_{[N]}\middle|\ \beta\in\mathbb{R}^J,\ \left\|\beta\right\|_{\infty}\leq R\right\}\right|\leq \left|\left\{g_{\alpha}|_{[N]}\middle|\ \alpha\in\mathbb{R}^I,\ \left\|\alpha\right\|_{\infty}\leq DR^D\right\}\right|,
$$

which implies that  $g'_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{G}'$  and that we can take

(47) 
$$
B(g'_\bullet) \leq DB(g_\bullet) \quad \text{and} \quad C(g'_\bullet) \leq C(g_\bullet).
$$

(*iv*) Finally, let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  be a finite set, and, for every  $i \in I$ , let  $h^{(i)}_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{G}'$  be a parametric GP map with index sets  $J^{(i)} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus I$ . Set  $J := \bigcup_{i \in I} J^{(i)}$ . Our aim is to show that G' also contains the parametric GP map  $g_{\bullet}$  with index set  $I \cup J$ defined by

$$
g_{\alpha,\beta}(n) := \left\lfloor \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i h_{\beta}^{(i)}(n) \right\rfloor, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^I, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^J.
$$

Given any  $N, R \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set

$$
H:=\max_{i\in I}\max_{n\in[N]}\sup_{\|\beta\|_{\infty}\leq R}\left|h_{\beta}^{(i)}(n)\right|\,.
$$

Since the  $h^{(i)}_{\bullet}$ 's are parametric GP maps, we have  $H = O_g(R^D N^D)$  for some constant  $D = D(g_{\bullet})$ . For every  $i \in I$ , set  $B_i := B(h_{\bullet}^{(i)})$ ,  $C_i := C(h_{\bullet}^{(i)})$ , and

$$
\mathcal{H}_i := \left\{ h_{\beta}^{(i)}|_{[N]} \middle| \beta \in \mathbb{R}^J, \|\beta\|_{\infty} \leq R \right\}.
$$

By Proposition [15.2,](#page-48-2) for each  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2, \ldots, h_d \in \mathcal{H}_d$ , the number of sequences of the form  $\left[\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i h_i\right]$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\|\alpha\|_{\infty} \leq R$ , belongs to  $O_d(R^d H^{3d^2})$ . It follows that the number of distinct sequences of the form  $g_{\alpha,\beta}|_{[N]},$ where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^I$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^J$ , and  $\max\{\|\alpha\|_{\infty}, \|\beta\|_{\infty}\} \leq R$ , is at most

$$
\prod_{i=1}^d |\mathcal{H}_i| \cdot O_d\left(R^d H^{3d^2}\right) = O_g(R^B N^C),
$$

where

$$
B = \sum_{i \in I} B_i + d + 3d^2D
$$
 and  $C = \sum_{i \in I} C_i + 3d^2D$ .

In particular,  $g_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{G}'$  and we can take  $B(g_{\bullet}) \leq B$  and  $C(g_{\bullet}) \leq C$ .

It now follows from Proposition [13.9](#page-44-4) that  $\mathcal{G}'$  contains all parametric GP maps  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  with index sets contained in  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . This ends the proof. *Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0)* Let **a** be a bracket word defined over an alphabet  $\Sigma$ . We infer from Corollary [5.6](#page-11-2) that there exists a finitely-valued GP map  $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}$  and  $c: g(\mathbb{N}_0) \to \Sigma$  such that  $\mathbf{a} = (c(g(n)))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . Let  $\mathbf{g} = (g(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . It follows from Theorem [15.3](#page-49-4) and Lemma [13.4](#page-43-3) that  $p_{\mathbf{g}}(N) = O_q(N^C)$  for some  $C > 0$ . Since  $p_{\mathbf{a}}(N) \leq p_{\mathbf{g}}(N)$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , this ends the proof.

### Appendix A. Nilpotent dynamics

<span id="page-51-0"></span>A.1. Connectedness. A technical issue which often leads to complications is that there is in general no guarantee that the nilpotent Lie group  $G$  and the nilmanifold X in Theorem [4.1](#page-9-1) should be connected.

As for connectedness of  $X$ , in most application this is not a major problem. In this case, it follows from [\[Lei05,](#page-55-23) Thm. B] that there exists  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and connected sub-nilmanifolds  $Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_{q-1} \subseteq X$  such that  $(g(qn+i)\Gamma)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed in  $Y_i$  for each  $i \in [q]$ . Passing to an arithmetic progression of the form  $q\mathbb{N}_0+i$ , one can then work with the connected nilsystem  $(Y_i, T<sup>q</sup>)$ .

Connectedness of G is a more fundamental issue. For instance, for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , the GP map  $g(n) = \{\alpha n^2\}$  can be represented using the nilrotation  $(G/\Gamma, T_h)$ , where

$$
G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \,, \qquad \Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \,, \quad \text{and} \quad h = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -\alpha \\ 0 & 1 & 2\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Indeed, a simple computation shows that

$$
g^n\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \left\{n^2\alpha\right\} \\ 0 & 1 & \left\{2n\alpha\right\} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Gamma.
$$

However, if we pass to the connected component of  $G$ , then we obtain a much simpler nilsystem  $G^{\circ}/\Gamma \cap G^{\circ} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ , where it is no more possible to represent g. Fortunately, this problem disappears if one is ready to consider polynomial orbits in place of linear ones. Given a connected and simply connected Lie group  $G$ , a polynomial map  $p: \mathbb{Z} \to G$  is a sequence of the form

$$
p(n) = g_1^{p_1(n)} g_2^{p_2(n)} \cdots g_r^{p_r(n)},
$$

where  $g_i \in G$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $p_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  are polynomials.

<span id="page-51-1"></span>**Theorem A.1** ([\[BL07,](#page-54-1) Thm. A\*]). Any GP map  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to [0,1]$  has a representation  $g(n) = \tau_i(p(n)\Gamma)$ , where  $X = G/\Gamma$  is a nilmanifold with G connected and simply connected,  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_{\dim G})$ :  $G/\Gamma \to [0, 1]^{\dim G}$  are Mal'cev coordinates,  $p: \mathbb{Z} \to G$  is a polynomial map, and  $1 \leq j \leq \dim G$ . Conversely, any map  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  of the aforementioned form is a GP map.

A.2. Quantitative equidistribution. Let  $X = G/\Gamma$  be a nilmanifold, with G connected and simply connected. Throughout, we assume that X is equipped with a Mal'cev basis  $X$  as well as a metric  $d_X$ .

Recall that  $X$  is equipped with a natural choice of measure, namely the Haar measure  $\mu_X$ . A sequence  $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed in X if for each continuous map  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(x_n) = \int_X f d\mu_X.
$$

We will also need a quantitative variant of this property. For  $\delta > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}$  is said to be  $\delta$ -equidistributed in X if for every Lipschitz map  $f\colon X\to\mathbb{R},$ 

$$
\left| \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(x_n) - \int_X f d\mu_X \right| \le \delta \|f\|_{\text{Lip}} ,
$$

where  $||f||_{\text{Lip}} = \sup_{x \in X} |f| + \sup_{x,y \in X} |f(x) - f(y)| / d_X(x, y).$ 

We let  $X_{ab} = G/[G, G]\Gamma \simeq (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{d_{ab}}$  denote the so-called *horizontal torus*, which is the largest torus that is a factor of X, and we let  $\pi_{ab}$ :  $X \to X_{ab}$  denote the corresponding projection. We cite a criterion for equidistribution obtained by Leibman.

<span id="page-52-2"></span>**Theorem A.2** ([\[Lei05,](#page-55-23) Thm. C]). Let  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to G$  be a polynomial map. Then  $(g(n)\Gamma)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equdistributed in X if and only if  $(\pi_{ab}(g(n)\Gamma))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed in  $X_{ab}$ .

A horizontal character  $\eta: G \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  is a continuous homomorphism with  $\Gamma \subseteq$  $\ker(\eta)$ . Note that  $\eta$  vanishes on  $[G, G]\Gamma$  and hence induces a continuous homomorphism  $X_{ab} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Identifying  $X_{ab}$  with  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{d_{ab}}$  allows us to identify  $\eta$  with a vector  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d_{\text{ab}}}$ , and we set  $|\eta| := ||k||_{\infty}$ . The identification  $X_{\text{ab}}$  with  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{d_{\text{ab}}}$ is generally not unique, but Mal'cev coordinates provide one distinguished choice. We cite a simplified variant of the quantitative version of Leibman's theorem.

<span id="page-52-1"></span>**Theorem A.3** ([\[GT12b,](#page-55-16) Thm. 1.16]). Let  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to G$  be a polynomial map. Then there exists a positive real number  $C$ , which depends on  $X$ ,  $X$ , and  $g$ , such that the following holds. For any  $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the sequence  $(g(n)\Gamma)_{n=0}^{N-1}$ is not  $\delta$ -equidistributed, there exists a horizontal character  $\eta: G \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 < |\eta| \leq 1/\delta^C$  and  $||\eta \circ g||_{C^{\infty}[N]} \leq 1/\delta^C N$ .

We record the following consequence of Theorem [A.3,](#page-52-1) combined with the Schmidt subspace theorem. Below, we say that a polynomial map  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to G$  has algebraic coefficients if the corresponding map  $\tilde{\tau} \circ g$  is a polynomial with algebraic coefficients.

<span id="page-52-0"></span>**Lemma A.4.** Let  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to G$  be a polynomial map with algebraic coefficients. Suppose that the sequence  $(g(n)\Gamma)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed. Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  (dependent on  $G, \Gamma, X$  and g) such that for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  the sequence  $(g(n)\Gamma)_{n=0}^{N-1}$  is  $O(N^{-c})$ -equidistributed in X.

*Proof.* We argue by contradiction, assuming that the sequence  $(g(n)\Gamma)_{n=0}^{N-1}$  is not  $N^{-\delta}$ -equidistributed in X for some small  $\delta > 0$ , to be determined in the course of the argument. It follows from Theorem [A.3](#page-52-1) that there exists a real number  $C > 0$  and a horizontal character  $\eta: G \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|\eta| \le N^{C\delta}$  and  $\|\eta \circ g\|_{C^{\infty}[N]} \le N^{C\delta}$ .

Letting  $\pi_{ab} : X \to X_{ab}$  denote the projection to the abelian torus and identifying  $X_{ab}$  with  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{d_{ab}}$ , we can expand

(48) 
$$
\pi_{ab}(g(n)) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} \alpha^{(j)} n^{j},
$$

where for every  $j, 0 \leq j \leq r$ ,  $\alpha^{(j)} = (\alpha_i^{(j)})_{i=1}^{d_{\text{ab}}} \in X_{\text{ab}}$ . Identifying  $\eta$  with a vector  $k = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{d_{\text{ab}}}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d_{\text{ab}}}$ , we have

<span id="page-52-3"></span>(49) 
$$
\left\| k \cdot \alpha^{(j)} \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\text{ab}}} k_i \alpha_i^{(j)} \right\| \le N^{C\delta - j} \le N^{C\delta - 1},
$$

for every  $j, 0 \leq j \leq r$ . We have not guarantee that  $1, \alpha_1^{(j)}, \alpha_2^{(j)}, \ldots, \alpha_{d_{\text{alt}}}^{(j)}$  $d_{ab}^{(J)}$  are  $\mathbb Q$ -linearly independent for some j. However, we can find a linear combination  $\beta = (\beta_i)_{i=1}^{d_{\text{ab}}} = \sum_j w_j \alpha^{(j)}$ , with  $w_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that  $1, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{d_{\text{ab}}}$  are Q-linearly independent. Then, we infer from [\(49\)](#page-52-3) that

<span id="page-53-6"></span>(50) 
$$
\|k \cdot \beta\| = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{d_{\rm ab}} k_i \beta_i\right\| \ll N^{C\delta - 1}.
$$

On the other hand, it follows from the subspace theorem (see [\[Sch72\]](#page-55-27)) that

<span id="page-53-7"></span>(51) 
$$
\|k \cdot \beta\| = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{d_{\rm ab}} k_i \beta_i\right\| \gg \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq d_{\rm ab}} k_i\right)^{-d_{\rm ab}-1} \gg N^{-C\delta(d_{\rm ab}+1)}.
$$

Provided that N is sufficiently large and  $\delta$  is sufficiently small, [\(50\)](#page-53-6) and [\(51\)](#page-53-7) are  $\Box$ contradictory.

A.3. Orbit closures. Lastly, we discuss the behaviour of bounded GP sequences under shifts. First, we record a consequence of Theorem [4.1](#page-9-1) and other results in [\[BL07\]](#page-54-1). Roughly speaking, it asserts that the class of bounded GP maps representable by a formula of a given "shape" is closed under shifts. (See also Section [13](#page-41-0) for an alternative formulation.)

<span id="page-53-2"></span>**Lemma A.5.** Let  $g: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded GP map. Then there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and a GP map  $h: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that, for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists  $\alpha \in [0,1)^d$  such that  $g(n+m) = h(\alpha, n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g(n) = f(T^n(x))$  be the representation of g coming from Theorem [4.1](#page-9-1) (using the notation therein). Assume first that X is connected and let  $\tau: X \rightarrow$  $[0,1)^d$  be some Mal'cev coordinates on X. Set  $h(\alpha, n) := f(T^n(\tau^{-1}(\alpha)))$ . Then h is a GP map by [\[BL07,](#page-54-1) Sec. 1.15]. For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\alpha(m) := \tau(T^m(x))$ . Then  $h(\alpha(m), n) = g(n+m)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If X is not connected, then we can reduce to the connected case by passing to an arithmetic progression. the connected case by passing to an arithmetic progression.

Finally, we mention a closure property of bounded GP maps from  $\mathbb N$  to  $\mathbb R$ . We stress that the same conclusion does not hold after replacing N with Z.

<span id="page-53-3"></span>**Lemma A.6.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a GP map, and  $(x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  be a bounded sequence with values in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let us assume that the limit

$$
g(n) := \lim_{i \to \infty} h(x_i, n)
$$

exists for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then g is a GP map.

Proof. This is a special case of [\[Kon21,](#page-55-7) Prop. 2.16]. Note that the assumption that the limit above exists allows us to avoid the use of limits along ultrafilters, which appear in [\[Kon21\]](#page-55-7).  $\Box$ 

### <span id="page-53-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-53-1"></span>[AGH63] L. Auslander, L. Green, and F. Hahn. Flows on homogeneous spaces. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 53. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1963. With the assistance of L. Markus and W. Massey, and an appendix by L. Greenberg.
- <span id="page-53-4"></span>[All94] J.-P. Allouche. Sur la complexité des suites infinies. volume 1, pages 133–143. 1994. Journées Montoises (Mons, 1992).
- <span id="page-53-5"></span>[AS92] J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit. The ring of k-regular sequences. Theoret. Comput. Sci., 98(2):163–197, 1992.

- <span id="page-54-9"></span>[AS03] J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit. Automatic sequences. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. Theory, applications, generalizations.
- <span id="page-54-21"></span>[ASY21] J. P. Allouche, J. Shallit, and R. Yassawi. How to prove that a sequence is not automatic, 2021.
- <span id="page-54-0"></span>[BH96] V. Bergelson and I. J. Håland. Sets of recurrence and generalized polynomials. In Convergence in ergodic theory and probability (Columbus, OH, 1993), volume 5 of Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., pages 91–110. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.
- <span id="page-54-2"></span>[BHKS20] V. Bergelson, I. J. Håland Knutson, and Y. Son. An Extension of Weyl's Equidistribution Theorem to Generalized Polynomials and Applications. International Mathematics Research Notices, 03 2020. rnaa035.
- <span id="page-54-5"></span>[BK18] J. Byszewski and J. Konieczny. Sparse generalised polynomials. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 370(11):8081-8109, 2018.
- <span id="page-54-6"></span>[BK20] J. Byszewski and J. Konieczny. Automatic sequences and generalised polynomials. Canad. J. Math., 72(2):392–426, 2020.
- <span id="page-54-7"></span>[BK22] J. Byszewski and J. Konieczny. Generalised polynomial sets of integers with logarithmic growth, 2022+. In preparation.
- <span id="page-54-1"></span>[BL07] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman. Distribution of values of bounded generalized polynomials. Acta Math., 198(2):155–230, 2007.
- <span id="page-54-13"></span>[BL18] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman. IP<sup>\*</sup><sub>r</sub>-recurrence and nilsystems. Adv. Math., 339:642–656, 2018.
- <span id="page-54-12"></span>[BMR20] V. Bergelson, J. Moreira, and F. K. Richter. Multiple ergodic averages along functions from a Hardy field: convergence, recurrence and combinatorial applications, 2020. Preprint. [2006.03558 \[math.DS\].]( https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.03558)
- <span id="page-54-25"></span>[Cas55] J. W. S. Cassels. Simultaneous diophantine approximation. II. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 5:435-448, 1955.
- <span id="page-54-16"></span>[Cas72] J. W. S. Cassels. An introduction to Diophantine approximation. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 45. Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1972. Facsimile reprint of the 1957 edition.
- <span id="page-54-20"></span>[Che00] Y.-G. Chen. The best quantitative Kronecker's theorem. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 61(3):691–705, 2000.
- <span id="page-54-23"></span>[CK97] J. Cassaigne and J. Karhumäki. Toeplitz words, generalized periodicity and periodically iterated morphisms. European J. Combin., 18(5):497–510, 1997.
- <span id="page-54-17"></span>[CN10] J. Cassaigne and F. Nicolas. Factor complexity. In Combinatorics, automata and number theory, volume 135 of Encyclopedia Math. Appl., pages 163–247. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- <span id="page-54-14"></span>[CT22] S. Chow and N. Technau. Counting multiplicative approximations, 2022+. Preprint.
- <span id="page-54-15"></span>[DMS18] M. Drmota, C. Müllner, and L. Spiegelhofer. Möbius orthogonality for the Zeckendorf sum-of-digits function. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 146(9):3679–3691, 2018.
- <span id="page-54-22"></span>[Dow05] T. Downarowicz. Survey of odometers and Toeplitz flows. In Algebraic and topological dynamics, volume 385 of Contemp. Math., pages 7–37. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- <span id="page-54-10"></span>[Eis20] T. Eisner. Nilsystems and ergodic averages along primes. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 40(10):2769–2777, 2020.
- <span id="page-54-8"></span>[EKL06] M. Einsiedler, A. Katok, and E. Lindenstrauss. Invariant measures and the set of exceptions to Littlewood's conjecture. Ann. of Math. (2), 164(2):513–560, 2006.
- <span id="page-54-18"></span>[Fer99] S. Ferenczi. Complexity of sequences and dynamical systems. volume 206, pages 145– 154. 1999. Combinatorics and number theory (Tiruchirappalli, 1996).
- <span id="page-54-24"></span>[FH17] N. Frantzikinakis and B. Host. Higher order Fourier analysis of multiplicative functions and applications. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 30(1):67–157, 2017.
- <span id="page-54-11"></span>[Fra09] N. Frantzikinakis. Equidistribution of sparse sequences on nilmanifolds. J. Anal. Math., 109:353–395, 2009.
- <span id="page-54-19"></span>[GO10] R. Graham and K. O'Bryant. Can you hear the shape of a Beatty sequence? In Additive number theory, pages 39–52. Springer, New York, 2010.
- <span id="page-54-3"></span>[Gow01] W. T. Gowers. A new proof of Szemerédi's theorem. Geom. Funct. Anal., 11(3):465– 588, 2001.
- <span id="page-54-4"></span>[GT10] B. Green and T. Tao. An arithmetic regularity lemma, an associated counting lemma, and applications. In An irregular mind, volume 21 of Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., pages 261-334. János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2010.
- <span id="page-55-10"></span>[GT12a] B. Green and T. Tao. The Möbius function is strongly orthogonal to nilsequences. Ann. of Math. (2), 175(2):541–566, 2012.
- <span id="page-55-16"></span>[GT12b] B. Green and T. Tao. The quantitative behaviour of polynomial orbits on nilmanifolds. Ann. of Math. (2), 175(2):465–540, 2012.
- <span id="page-55-5"></span>[GTZ12] B. Green, T. Tao, and T. Ziegler. An inverse theorem for the Gowers  $U^{s+1}[N]$ -norm. Ann. of Math. (2), 176(2):1231–1372, 2012.
- <span id="page-55-0"></span>[Hål93] I. J. Håland. Uniform distribution of generalized polynomials. J. Number Theory, 45(3):327–366, 1993.
- <span id="page-55-1"></span>[Hål94] I. J. Håland. Uniform distribution of generalized polynomials of the product type. Acta Arith., 67(1):13–27, 1994.
- <span id="page-55-26"></span>[Har67] E. F. Harding. The number of partitions of a set of N points in k dimensions induced by hyperplanes. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2), 15:285–289, 1966/67.
- <span id="page-55-3"></span>[HK05] B. Host and B. Kra. Nonconventional ergodic averages and nilmanifolds. Ann. of Math.  $(2)$ , 161 $(1)$ :397-488, 2005.
- <span id="page-55-18"></span>[HKM14] B. Host, B. Kra, and A. Maass. Complexity of nilsystems and systems lacking nilfactors. J. Anal. Math., 124:261–295, 2014.
- <span id="page-55-13"></span>[Kon17] J. Konieczny. Combinatorial properties of Nil-Bohr sets. Israel J. Math., 220(1):333– 385, 2017.
- <span id="page-55-7"></span>[Kon21] J. Konieczny. Generalised polynomials and integer powers. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 2021.
- <span id="page-55-20"></span>[KS22] D. Krenn and J. Shallit. Decidability and k-regular sequences. Theoret. Comput. Sci., 907:34–44, 2022.
- <span id="page-55-23"></span>[Lei05] A. Leibman. Pointwise convergence of ergodic averages for polynomial sequences of translations on a nilmanifold. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 25(1):201–213, 2005.
- <span id="page-55-2"></span>[Lei12] A. Leibman. A canonical form and the distribution of values of generalized polynomials. Israel J. Math., 188:131–176, 2012.
- <span id="page-55-11"></span>[LOS16] R. J. Lemke Oliver and K. Soundararajan. Unexpected biases in the distribution of consecutive primes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 113(31):E4446–E4454, 2016.
- <span id="page-55-8"></span>[Mal49] A. I. Mal'cev. On a class of homogeneous spaces. Izvestiya Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Ser. Mat., 13:9–32, 1949.
- <span id="page-55-9"></span>[Mal51] A. I. Malcev. On a class of homogeneous spaces. Amer. Math. Soc. Translation, 1951(39):33, 1951.
- <span id="page-55-21"></span>[Mat93] Y. V. Matiyasevich. Hilbert's tenth problem. Foundations of Computing Series. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1993. Translated from the 1993 Russian original by the author, With a foreword by Martin Davis.
- <span id="page-55-17"></span>[MH38] M. Morse and G. A. Hedlund. Symbolic Dynamics. Amer. J. Math., 60(4):815–866, 1938.
- <span id="page-55-12"></span>[Ric20] F. K. Richter. Uniform distribution in nilmanifolds along functions from a hardy field, 2020.
- <span id="page-55-14"></span>[Rig14] M. Rigo. Formal languages, automata and numeration systems. 2. Networks and Telecommunications Series. ISTE, London; John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2014. Applications to recognizability and decidability, With a foreword by Valérie Berthé.
- <span id="page-55-27"></span>[Sch72] W. M. Schmidt. Norm form equations. Ann. of Math. (2), 96:526–551, 1972.
- <span id="page-55-6"></span>[Tao12] T. Tao. Higher order Fourier analysis, volume 142 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- <span id="page-55-25"></span>[TV06] T. Tao and V. Vu. Additive combinatorics, volume 105 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- <span id="page-55-19"></span>[Vau97] R. C. Vaughan. The Hardy-Littlewood method, volume 125 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1997.
- <span id="page-55-22"></span>[War33] M. Ward. The arithmetical theory of linear recurring series. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 35(3):600–628, 1933.
- <span id="page-55-15"></span>[WY81] Y. Wang and K. R. Yu. A note on some metrical theorems in Diophantine approximation. Chinese Ann. Math., 2(1):1–12, 1981.
- <span id="page-55-24"></span>[Yaz01] S. Yazdani. Multiplicative functions and k-automatic sequences. J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux, 13(2):651–658, 2001.
- <span id="page-55-4"></span>[Zie07] T. Ziegler. Universal characteristic factors and Furstenberg averages. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 20(1):53-97, 2007.