

Towards the standard Majorana representations of 3-transposition groups

Albert Gevorgyan^{a,*}

^a*Department of Mathematics, Imperial College, 180 Queen's Gt., London, SW7 2AZ, UK*

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss 3-transposition groups. In particular, we find sizes of maximal symmetric subgroups of the groups, which are in Fischer list. In addition, we build faithful representations of symmetric groups in orthogonal, symplectic, and unitary spaces, which fully verify the main result. Thus, we find candidate groups, for which one may be able to build standard Majorana representations.

Keywords: 3-transposition group, Fischer group, symmetric subgroup, Monster group, Majorana representation

2010 MSC: 05E15, 20E07

1. Introduction

Assume that G is a group generated by a set of its involutions T , which is a union of conjugacy classes of T . In addition, let W be a linear representation of G , which is also equipped with a positive definite form and an algebra product.

Definition 1. *Let the quintet*

$$(G, T, W, \varphi, \psi)$$

be such that $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(W)$ is faithful, $\psi : T \rightarrow W$ is injective with $(t^\psi)^{g^\psi} = (t^g)^\psi$ for all $g \in G, t \in T$. If the quintet satisfies the axioms (M1)-(M8) described

*Corresponding author

Email address: a.gevorgyan20@imperial.ac.uk (Albert Gevorgyan)

Research supported by Imperial College London President's PhD Scholarships

in [6], then it is called a Majorana representation of G .

From the definition one may deduce that if $T' \subseteq T$ and H is the subgroup of G generated by the involutions in T' , then $(H, T', W, \varphi|_H, \psi|_{T'})$ is a Majorana representation of H .

In [5] the author shows that if the group G admits a Majorana representation, then the order of the product of any pair of involutions from T is at most 6. Later in [6] the authors build all the existing Majorana representations of the group S_4 . Moreover, in [7] and [8] authors build Majorana representations of the groups A_5 , A_6 , and A_7 , where there the set T of the involutions is the set of bitranspositions (the set of elements of A_n of cycle type 2^2).

In [4], the authors discuss whether the group A_{13} admits a standard Majorana representation. The authors assume that such a representation V exists and under that assumption show that the form defined on V is not positive definite. Thus, they deduce that if A_n admits a standard Majorana representation, then $n \leq 12$.

Definition 2. ([1]) Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. We call the pair (G, D) , where G is a group and D is a set of involutions of G stable under conjugation, an n -transposition group, if the set D generates G and $o(d_1 d_2) \leq n$ for all $d_1, d_2 \in D$.

Sometimes, when it is obvious from the context to which involutions D we refer, by abuse of terminology, we say that " G is an n -transposition group". In [5] it is shown that the Monster group M is generated by a conjugacy class of $2A$ -involutions. It is also known that the order of the product of any two $2A$ -involutions is at most 6. Therefore, we obtain that $(M, 2A)$ is a 6-transposition group. Furthermore, there exists a Majorana representation $(M, 2A, V_M, \varphi, \psi)$ of the Monster group M .

The main objects of interest of this paper are the 3-transposition groups. Our

main goal is to find groups G , which are candidates to admit Majorana representations.

Theorem 1. *Suppose (G, D) is a finite 3-transposition group. Let $S = \{p \cdot q \mid p \neq q \in D, [p, q] = 1\}$ and H be the subgroup generated by the elements in S . Then, (H, S) is a 6-transposition group.*

This theorem is proven in [9] and is an important starting point for this paper. In particular, it is known that some 3-transposition groups are embedded into the Monster, so that the images of the elements of S are $2A$ -involutions. In addition, if such an embedding does not exist, there may still exist a Majorana representation for these groups.

Theorem 2. *(Fischer, [1, 2, 3]) Assume that (G, D) is a 3-transposition group so that $Z(G)$ is trivial and $[G, G]$ is simple. Then one of the following holds:*

- $G \cong S_n$, D is the set of all transpositions;
- $G \cong Sp_n(2)$, D is the set of transvections $t(v)$, where $v \neq 0$;
- $G \cong U_n(2)$, D is the set of transvections $t(v)$, where $v \neq 0$ is singular;
- $G \cong O_n^\epsilon(2)$, D is the set of transvections $t(v)$, where v is non-singular;
- $G \cong PO_n^{\mu, \pi}(3)$, D is the conjugacy class of reflections $t(v)$, where $t(v) = \pi$;
- G is of type $M(22)$, $M(23)$ or $M(24)$ and D is a uniquely determined class of transpositions of G ;

In this paper for each of above groups (G, D) we find the maximal number n , so that there exists a monomorphism $\varphi : S_n \rightarrow G$ with $\varphi(ij) \in D$, for all transpositions (ij) . If we have embedding $\varphi : S_n \rightarrow G$, then we may restrict it to $\varphi' : A_n \rightarrow G$, and images of bitranspositions are the products of commuting transpositions of D , which form a set of 6-transpositions by the theorem 1. Thus, if G admits a standard Majorana representation, then so does A_n . Therefore,

$n \leq 12$. We will show that the classification of the candidate groups is the following:

Theorem 3. *Assume that G is a group from the Fischer's list such that $\varphi(G) \leq 12$. Then one of the following holds:*

- $G \cong S_n$, with $n \leq 12$;
- $G \cong Sp_n(2)$, with $n \leq 10$;
- $G \cong U_n(2)$, with $n \leq 11$;
- $G \cong O_n^{+,+}(3)$, with $n \leq 11$;
- $G \cong O_n^{-,+}(3)$, with $n \leq 12$;
- $G \cong O_n^\epsilon(2)$, with $n \leq 11$;
- G is one of the Fischer groups $M(22)$, $M(23)$ and $M(24)$.

In this paper, we adopt an elementary combinatorial approach for finding the maximal size of symmetric subgroups of these groups. We do not consider any character tables and all the calculations are carried out by hand. In addition, we build geometrical representations of these groups, which verify the main result for the classical groups.

2. Notation

In this paper we use the following notation for the classical groups, which agrees with the notation of [1]:

- For an even integer n we write $Sp_n(2)$ for the isometry group of the n -dimensional symplectic space V over the 2-element field. This group is generated by transvections $t(v) : w \rightarrow w + (v, w)v$, where v is a non-zero vector.

- For an integer n we write $U_n(2)$ for the isometry group of the n -dimensional unitary space V over the 4-element field. This group is generated by transvections $t(v) : w \rightarrow w + (v, w)v$, where v is a non-zero singular vector.
- For an integer n we write $O_n^\epsilon(2)$ ($\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$) for the isometry group of the n -dimensional orthogonal space V of type ϵ over the 2-element field. This group is generated by transvections $t(v) : w \rightarrow w + (v, w)v$, where v is a non-singular vector.
- For an integer n we write $O_n^{\mu, \pi}(3)$ ($\mu, \pi \in \{+, -\}$) for the subgroup of the isometry group of the n -dimensional orthogonal space V over the 3-element field, a Gram matrix of a basis of which has determinant equal to μ , generated by the reflections $t(v)$ for vectors with $Q(v) = \pi$.

In each case $t(v)$ and $t(u)$ commute if and only if $(v, u) = 0$.

It also known that, when n is odd, then $O_n^+(2) \cong O_n^-(2) \cong Sp_{n-1}(2)$. Furthermore, $O_n^{\mu, \pi}(3) \cong O_n^{\mu, -\pi}(3)$, if n is even, and $O_n^{\mu, \pi}(3) \cong O_n^{-\mu, -\pi}(3)$, if n is odd.

In what follows, $\varphi(G)$ denotes the maximal number n , so that there exists a monomorphism $\varphi : S_n \rightarrow G$ with $\varphi(ij) \in D$, for all transpositions (ij) .

3. Auxiliary results

In this section, we prove several lemmas, which later help us to prove the results of the main part.

Definition 3. *Let (G, D) be a 3-transposition group. We define a chain of transpositions as a sequence of pairwise distinct transpositions g_1, \dots, g_m , for which $[g_i, g_j] = 1$ (with $i \neq j$) if and only if $|i - j| \neq 1$. We call m the length of the chain.*

Recall that, when G is an orthogonal, symplectic or unitary group, then the transpositions are of form $t(v)$ for some vectors v of the corresponding vector space, and the transpositions commute if and only if the corresponding vectors are orthogonal. Thus, while working with such groups, if the transpositions $g_1 = t(v_1), \dots, g_m = t(v_m)$ form a chain, we say that the vectors v_1, \dots, v_m form a chain of length m . Note that if v_1, \dots, v_m form a chain, then no pair of vectors may be collinear.

Lemma 1. *(big) Let (G, D) be a 3-transposition group. Let m be the length of the maximal chain of transpositions of G . Then, $\varphi(G) = m + 1$.*

Proof. First, let us note that if there exists a monomorphism $\psi : S_n \rightarrow G$, then the transpositions $d_1 = \psi(1, 2), d_2 = \psi(2, 3), \dots, d_{n-1} = \psi(n-1, n)$ form a chain. Hence, $m \geq \varphi(G) - 1$, so $\varphi(G) \leq m + 1$.

On the other hand, suppose there exist transpositions d_1, \dots, d_m forming a chain. Then, if we create the non-commuting graph of $\{d_1, \dots, d_m\}$, it is a Coxeter graph of form A_m . It is well-known fact that the corresponding Coxeter group is isomorphic to S_{m+1} . Thus, there exists a homomorphism $\psi : S_{m+1} \rightarrow \langle d_1, \dots, d_m \rangle$ with $\psi(i, i+1) = d_i$.

Note that $\ker(f) \trianglelefteq S_{m+1}$. Moreover, the normal subgroups of S_{m+1} are $\{1\}$, A_{m+1} and S_{m+1} and possibly the Klein 4-group (if $m+1 = 4$). In addition, if $\ker(f) \neq \{1\}$, then the factor group $S_{m+1}/\ker(f)$ does not contain m involutions. Therefore, $\ker(f)$ is trivial and f is an isomorphism. Thus $\varphi(G) \geq m + 1$. \square

In addition, we use the following classical result, which can be found in [1].

Lemma 2. *(Witt): Let V be an orthogonal, symplectic or unitary space. Let U and W be subspaces of V and suppose that $\alpha : U \rightarrow W$ is an isometry of subspaces. Then, α extends to an isometry of V .*

Lemma 3. *(small): Let V be an orthogonal, symplectic or unitary space.*

Let the vectors $v_1, \dots, v_{n+1} \in V$ form a chain. Then the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, there exists a non-trivial linear combination $c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n = 0$. Let m be the maximal number with $c_m \neq 0$. Then, $c_1v_1 + \dots + c_mv_m = 0$. Therefore, $0 = (0, v_{m+1}) = (c_1v_1 + \dots + c_mv_m, v_{m+1}) = c_1(v_1, v_{m+1}) + \dots + c_m(v_m, v_{m+1}) = c_m(v_m, v_{m+1}) \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4. (main): Let V be an orthogonal, symplectic or unitary space. Let v_1, \dots, v_m be linearly independent vectors in V , which form a chain. Then, v_1, \dots, v_m can be continued to a maximal chain of V .

Proof. Let w_1, \dots, w_n be a maximal chain of V . If $n = m$, then the statement is true. Otherwise, $m \leq n-1$, so by the small lemma the vectors w_1, \dots, w_m are linearly independent. Furthermore, let $w'_1 = w_1$. Then, we can substitute w_2 with $w'_2 = c_2w_2$ for some constant $c_2 \neq 0$ so that $(v_1, v_2) = (w'_1, w'_2)$. Similarly, we can substitute w_3, \dots, w_m with their scalar multiples so that $(v_i, v_{i+1}) = (w'_i, w'_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. Let $U = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ and $W = \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_m)$. Then, v_1, \dots, v_m form a basis for U and w'_1, \dots, w'_m form a basis for W . Hence, we can define a linear map $L : W \rightarrow U$ with $L(w'_i) = v_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then, by the choice of w'_1, \dots, w'_m , L is an isometry. Therefore, by the Witt's lemma, L can be extended to an isometry T of V . Denote, $v_{m+1} = T(w_{m+1}), \dots, v_n = T(w_n)$. From the fact that T is isometry, one may deduce that $v_1 = T(w'_1), \dots, v_n = T(w_n)$ is a chain as well. \square

It is well known that if V is a symplectic, unitary or orthogonal space, $v \in V$ and c is a constant, then $t(v) = t(cv)$. Therefore, if we have a chain of vectors v_1, \dots, v_n and multiply some of these vectors by non-zero constants, then it will also be a chain.

4. Main results

Now, after stating and proving important lemmas in the previous sections, we are ready for proving the main results of this paper. We compute the value of $\varphi(G)$ for each group in Fischer's list.

Proposition 1. *If $G \cong S_n$, then $\varphi(G) = n$.*

The statement is elementary.

Proposition 2. *If $G \cong Sp_{2n}(2)$ and $n \geq 2$, then $\varphi(G) = 2n + 2$.*

Proof. Assume that V is a symplectic space of dimension $2n$ over the 2-element field. Let v_1, \dots, v_{2n} be a basis for V with $(v_i, v_j) = 1$ if $\{i, j\} \in \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \dots, \{2n-1, 2n\}\}$ and $(v_i, v_j) = 0$ otherwise.

Note that the following vectors are linearly independent and form a chain:

$$v_1 + v_2, v_2 + v_3, \dots, v_{2n-1} + v_{2n}, (v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_{2n-2}) + v_{2n}.$$

Thus, by the main lemma, this may be continued to a maximal chain. Let $c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_{2n} v_{2n}$ be the next vector in the chain. Then, it is orthogonal to each of the vectors

$$v_1 + v_2, v_2 + v_3, \dots, v_{2n-1} + v_{2n}.$$

Therefore, $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_{2n}$. Moreover, the vector is non-zero, therefore $c_1 = 1$. Hence, the next vector in this chain is $v_1 + \dots + v_{2n}$. Note that this chain cannot be continued anymore, as there are no other non-zero vectors orthogonal to vectors $v_1 + v_2, v_2 + v_3, \dots, v_{2n-1} + v_{2n}$. Hence, the maximal chain has length $2n + 1$, so by the big lemma, $\varphi(G) = (2n + 1) + 1 = 2n + 2$. \square

Proposition 3. *Let $G \cong U_n(2)$. Then, $\varphi(G) = n + 1$, when $n \geq 5$ is odd, and $\varphi(G) = n + 2$, when $n \geq 4$ is even.*

Proof. Assume that V is a unitary space of dimension n over the 4-element field \mathbb{F}_4 . Let v_1, \dots, v_n be an orthonormal basis. We write $0, 1, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}$ for the elements

of \mathbb{F}_4 and \bar{x} for the conjugate of an element x in \mathbb{F}_4 .

Now, assume that $n \geq 5$ is odd. Then, the following vectors form a chain and are linearly independent:

$$v_1 + v_2, v_2 + v_3, \dots, v_{n-1} + v_n.$$

According to the main lemma, this chain can be continued to a maximal chain. Let $c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n$ be the next vector in this chain. Then, it is orthogonal to the vectors $v_1 + v_2, \dots, v_{n-2} + v_{n-1}$. Therefore, $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_{n-1}$. Moreover, this is a singular vector, so $0 = (c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n, c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n) = (n-1)c_1\bar{c}_1 + c_n\bar{c}_n = c_n\bar{c}_n$, so $c_n = 0$. Therefore, up to a constant multiple, there is a unique vector $v_1 + \dots + v_{n-1}$, which continues the chain. Note that $(v_1 + v_2) + (v_3 + v_4) + \dots + (v_{n-2} + v_{n-1}) + (v_1 + \dots + v_{n-1}) = 0$, so the vectors in this chain are linearly dependent, therefore by the small lemma, the chain cannot be continued any further. Thus, the maximal chain has length n and $\varphi(G) = n + 1$.

Now, assume that $n \geq 4$ is even. Then, the following vectors are linearly independent and form a chain:

$$v_1 + v_2, v_2 + v_3, \dots, v_{n-1} + v_n, v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_{n-1} + \alpha v_n.$$

According to the main lemma, this chain can be continued to a maximal chain. Let $c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n$ be the next vector in this chain. Then, it is orthogonal to the vectors $v_1 + v_2, \dots, v_{n-1} + v_n$. Hence, $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_n$. Therefore, up to a constant multiple, there is a unique vector $v_1 + \dots + v_n$, which continues the chain. Note that $(v_1 + v_2) + (v_3 + v_4) + \dots + (v_{n-2} + v_{n-1}) + (v_1 + \dots + v_n) = 0$, so the vectors in this chain are linearly dependent. Thus, by the small lemma, the chain cannot be continued any further. Thus, the maximal chain has length $n + 1$ and $\varphi(G) = (n + 1) + 1 = n + 2$. \square

Proposition 4. *Let $G \cong PO_n^{+,+}(3)$ and $n \geq 3$. Then, $\varphi(G) = n$, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, and $\varphi(G) = n + 1$ otherwise.*

Proof. Assume that (V, Q) is an n -dimensional orthogonal space over the 3-element field. Let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis for V with $(v_i, v_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $(v_i, v_i) = 1$. Note that $Q(v) = 2(v, v) = -(v, v)$.

Then, the following vectors are linearly independent and form a chain:

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, \dots, v_{n-1} - v_n.$$

According to the main lemma, this chain can be continued to a maximal chain. Let $v = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n$ be the next vector in the chain. Then, it is orthogonal to the vectors $v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, \dots, v_{n-2} - v_{n-1}$, so $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_{n-1}$. Note that if $c_1 = 0$, then $Q(c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n) = Q(c_n v_n) = c_n^2 Q(v_n) = -c_n^2 \neq 1$. So, $c_1 \neq 0$. Note that if $c_1 = -1$, then we can multiply v by -1 . Then, $v = (v_1 + \dots + v_{n-1}) + c_n v_n$.

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $1 = Q(v) = -(v, v) = -(-1 + c_n^2) = 1 - c_n^2$, thus $c_n^2 = 0$, which implies $c_n = 0$. Thus, $v = v_1 + \dots + v_{n-1}$. Note that v is the only vector (up to a constant multiple) with $Q(v) = 1$, which is orthogonal to $v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, \dots, v_{n-2} - v_{n-1}$. Thus, this chain cannot be continued anymore. So, the maximal chain has length n and $\varphi(G) = n + 1$.

If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $1 = Q(v) = -(v, v) = -(0 + c_n^2) = -c_n^2$, which is impossible. So, the chain $v_1 - v_2, \dots, v_{n-1} - v_n$ is a maximal chain, and $\varphi(G) = (n - 1) + 1 = n$.

If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $1 = Q(v) = -(v, v) = -(-1 + c_n^2) = 1 - c_n^2$, so $c_n^2 = 0$, which implies $c_n \in \{-1, 1\}$. But v is not orthogonal to $v_{n-1} - v_n$, so $c_n = -1$. Note that, $(v_1 + \dots + v_{n-1} - v_n) - (v_1 - v_2) + (v_2 - v_3) - (v_4 - v_5) + (v_5 - v_6) - \dots - (v_{n-4} - v_{n-3}) + (v_{n-3} - v_{n-2}) - (v_{n-1} - v_n) = 0$. So, the system is linearly dependent, and by the small lemma this chain cannot be continued. Therefore, the maximal chain has length n and $\varphi(G) = n + 1$. \square

Proposition 5. *Let $G \cong PO_n^{-,+}(3)$ and $n \geq 4$. Then, $\varphi(G) = n$, if $n \equiv 0$*

$(\text{mod } 3)$, $\varphi(G) = n + 1$, if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $\varphi(G) = n + 2$, if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

Proof. Assume that (V, Q) is an n -dimensional orthogonal space over the 3-element field. Let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis for V with $(v_i, v_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$, $(v_i, v_i) = 1$, if $i < n$ and $(v_n, v_n) = -1$. Note that $Q(v) = 2(v, v) = -(v, v)$.

Now, assume that $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ with $n \geq 4$. Then, the following vectors form a chain:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, \dots, v_{n-2} - v_{n-1}, (v_1 + \dots + v_{n-2}) - v_{n-1} + v_n, \\ (v_1 + \dots + v_{n-2} + v_{n-1}) - v_n, v_1 + \dots + v_n. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, as a consequence of the small lemma, there cannot be a chain of length more than $n + 1$. Thus, the longest chain has length $n + 1$ and $\varphi(G) = (n + 1) + 1 = n + 2$.

Now, assume that $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ with $n \geq 5$. Then, the following vectors are linearly independent and form a chain:

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, \dots, v_{n-2} - v_{n-1}, (v_1 + \dots + v_{n-2}) + v_n.$$

Therefore, this chain can be continued to a maximal chain. Let $v = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n$ be the next vector. Then, it is orthogonal to vectors $v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, \dots, v_{n-2} - v_{n-1}$. Therefore, $c_1 = \dots = c_{n-1}$. Note that if $c_1 \neq 0$, then $1 = Q(v) = (n - 1)c_1^2 + c_n^2 = (n - 1) + c_n^2 = 1 + c_n^2$, so $c_n = 0$. But then, $(v, (v_1 + \dots + v_{n-2}) + v_n) = n - 2 = 0$. So, $c_1 = 0$. Then, $v = v_n$ (or $-v_n$, these differ by a multiple of -1). Note that this chain cannot be continued, because $((v_1 + \dots + v_{n-2}) + v_n) - (v_1 - v_2) + (v_2 - v_3) - (v_4 - v_5) + (v_5 - v_6) - \dots - (v_{n-4} - v_{n-3}) + (v_{n-3} - v_{n-2}) - v_n = 0$, so the vectors in this chain are linearly dependent. So, the maximal chain has length n and $\varphi(G) = n + 1$.

Finally, assume that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n \geq 6$. Then, the following vectors are linearly independent and form a chain:

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, \dots, v_{n-2} - v_{n-1}.$$

By the main lemma, this can be continued to a maximal chain. Let $c = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n$ be the next vector. Then, $c_1 = \dots = c_{n-2}$. Then $1 = Q(v) = -(v, v) = -(1 + c_{n-1}^2 - c_n^2)$. The only possibility is $c_n = 0$ and $c_{n-1} \neq 0$. But then $(v, v_{n-2} - v_{n-1}) \neq 0$, so $c_{n-1} = -1$. So, $v = (v_1 + \dots + v_{n-2}) - v_{n-1}$. Note that this chain cannot be continued, because $((v_1 + \dots + v_{n-2}) - v_{n-1}) - (v_1 - v_2) + (v_2 - v_3) - (v_4 - v_5) + (v_5 - v_6) - \dots + (v_{n-4} - v_{n-3}) - (v_{n-2} - v_{n-1}) = 0$, is linearly dependent. Thus, the maximal chain has length $n - 1$ and $\varphi(G) = (n - 1) + 1 = n$. \square

In [1] it is proven that if n is odd, then $PO_n^{+,-}(3) \cong PO_n^{-,+}(3)$ and $PO_n^{-,-}(3) \cong PO_n^{+,+}(3)$. Moreover, if n is even, then $PO_n^{+,-}(3) \cong PO_n^{+,+}(3)$ and $PO_n^{-,-}(3) \cong PO_n^{-,+}(3)$. Therefore, the previous propositions can be used to find the results for the groups $PO_n^{\mu,-}(3)$ as well. Moreover, if n is odd, then $O_n^+(2) \cong O_n^-(2) \cong Sp_{n-1}(2)$ as a 3-transposition group.

Proposition 6. *Let $G \cong O_n^+(2)$, $n \geq 6$ be even. Then, $\varphi(G) = n$, if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, $\varphi(G) = n + 2$, if $n \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ and $\varphi(G) = n + 1$, if $4|n$ and $n \geq 8$.*

Proof. Assume that V is an $2n$ -dimensional orthogonal space of $+$ type. Let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis with $Q(v_1) = \dots = Q(v_{n-1}) = 1$ and $Q(v_n) = 1$ if and only if $4|n$. Also, $(v_1, v_2) = (v_3, v_4) = \dots = (v_{n-1}, v_n) = 1$ and the other products are 0.

First, assume $8|n$. Then the following vectors are linearly independent and form a chain:

$$v_1 + v_2, v_2 + v_3 + v_{n-1}, v_3 + v_4, v_4 + v_5 + v_{n-1}, \dots, v_{n-3} + v_{n-2}, \\ (v_1 + \dots + v_{n-4}) + v_{n-2}, v_1 + v_2 + v_5 + v_6 + \dots + v_{n-3} + v_{n-2} + v_n, v_{n-1}.$$

By the main lemma, this chain can be continued to a maximal chain. Note that if the set w_1, \dots, w_n is a basis for V , then the system of equations $(w, w_1) = \dots = (w, w_{n-1}) = 0$, $(w, w_n) = 1$ has a unique solution. Note that $w_1 + w_3 + \dots + w_{n-1}$ is a solution for this system. However, $Q(w_1 + w_3 + \dots + w_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2} = 0$ is singular. Thus, the maximal chain has length n and $\varphi(G) = n + 1$.

Now, assume $n \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$. Then the following vectors are linearly independent and form a chain:

$$\begin{aligned} &v_1 + v_2, v_2 + v_3 + v_{n-1}, v_3 + v_4, v_4 + v_5 + v_{n-1}, \dots, v_{n-3} + v_{n-2}, \\ &(v_1 + \dots + v_{n-4}) + v_{n-2} + v_{n-1}, v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the main equation this chain can be continued to a maximal chain. Let $v = c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n$ be the next vector in the chain. Then, because of conditions of orthogonality, $c_1 = c_2, c_3 = c_4, \dots, c_{n-3} = c_{n-2}$. In addition, $c_1 + c_3 + c_n = c_3 + c_5 + c_n = \dots = c_{n-5} + c_{n-3} + c_n = 0$. In addition, $c_{n-3} + c_n = 0$ and $c_n = 1$. So, $v = (v_3 + v_4) + (v_7 + v_8) + \dots + (v_{n-3} + v_{n-2}) + (cv_{n-1} + v_n)$, which is singular. Thus, this is a maximal chain and $\varphi(G) = (n - 1) + 1 = n$.

First, assume $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. Then the following vectors are linearly independent and form a chain:

$$\begin{aligned} &v_1 + v_2, v_2 + v_3 + v_{n-1}, v_3 + v_4, \\ &v_4 + v_5 + v_{n-1}, \dots, v_{n-3} + v_{n-2}, (v_1 + \dots + v_{n-4}) + v_{n-2}, \\ &v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_{n-2}, (v_3 + v_4) + (v_7 + v_8) + \dots + (v_{n-5} + v_{n-4}) + v_n. \end{aligned}$$

By the main lemma, this chain can be continued to a maximal chain. Note that if the set w_1, \dots, w_n is a basis for V , then the system of equations $(w, w_1) = \dots = (w, w_{n-1}) = 0, (w, w_n) = 1$ has a unique solution. Note that $w_1 + w_3 + \dots + w_{n-1}$ is a solution for this system. However, $Q(w_1 + w_3 + \dots + w_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2} = 0$ is singular. Thus, the maximal chain has length n and $\varphi(G) = n + 1$.

First, assume $n \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$. Then the following vectors are linearly independent and form a chain:

$$\begin{aligned} &v_1 + v_2, v_2 + v_3 + v_{n-1}, v_3 + v_4, v_4 + v_5 + v_{n-1}, \dots, v_{n-3} + v_{n-2}, \\ &(v_1 + \dots + v_{n-4}) + v_{n-2} + v_{n-1}, \\ &v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_{n-1}, (v_3 + v_4) + (v_7 + v_8) + \dots + (v_{n-5} + v_{n-4}) + v_n, v_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this is a maximal chain, because by the small lemma, the chain has length at most $n + 1$. Therefore, $\varphi(G) = (n + 1) + 1 = n + 2$. \square

Proposition 7. *Let $G \cong O_n^-(2)$, $n \geq 4$ be even. Then, $\varphi(G) = n$, if $n \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$, $\varphi(G) = n + 2$, if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, $\varphi(G) = n + 1$, if $4|n$.*

The proof of this proposition is analogical to the proof of the previous proposition.

Proposition 8. *For the small groups we have the following results:*

- $\varphi(Sp_2(2)) = 3$.
- $\varphi(U_1(2)) = 1$.
- $\varphi(U_2(2)) = 3$.
- $\varphi(U_3(2)) = 3$.
- $\varphi(PO_1^{+,+}(3)) = 1$.
- $\varphi(PO_2^{+,+}(3)) = 2$.
- $\varphi(PO_1^{-,+}(3)) = 2$.
- $\varphi(PO_2^{-,+}(3)) = 2$.
- $\varphi(PO_3^{-,+}(3)) = 2$.
- $\varphi(O_2^+(2)) = 2$.
- $\varphi(O_4^+(2)) = 3$.
- $\varphi(O_2^-(2)) = 3$.

Proof. These results can be verified elementary using the technique of the proof of the above propositions. \square

Proposition 9. *Let G be of type $M(22)$. Then $\varphi(G) = 10$.*

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a chain of transpositions of G . Then, x_1, \dots, x_{n-2} is a chain of transpositions for $C_{x_n}(G)/\langle x_n \rangle \cong U_6(2)$. Therefore, by the previous propositions, $n-2 \leq 7$ and $n \leq 9$.

Now, let us construct a chain of length 9. Let us pick a pair of non-commuting transpositions x_1, x_2 . Then, $C_{x_1, x_2}(G) \cong PO_6^{+,+}(3)$. Therefore, there exists a chain of involutions x_4, \dots, x_9 commuting with x_1 and x_2 . Now, let $f : C_{x_1}(G)/\langle x_1 \rangle \rightarrow U_6(2)$ be an isomorphism. Then, $f(x_i) = t(v_i)$, where v_i are non-zero singular vectors. Let $v_3 = v_5 + v_7 + v_9$. Then, $v_3 \neq 0$ is singular. Denote, $x_3 = f^{-1}(t(v_3))$. Note that x_3, \dots, x_9 is a chain in $C_{x_1}(G)$, but not in $C_{x_1, x_2}(G)$, because the maximal chain there has length 6. So, x_2 and x_3 do not commute. Hence x_1, \dots, x_9 is a chain and it is maximal. Therefore, $\varphi(G) = 9 + 1 = 10$. \square

Proposition 10. *Let G be of type $M(23)$. Then, $\varphi(G) = 12$.*

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a chain of transpositions of G . Then, x_1, \dots, x_{n-2} is a chain of transpositions for $C_{x_n}(G)/\langle x_n \rangle$, which is of type $M(22)$. Therefore, by the previous proposition, $n-2 \leq 9$ and $n \leq 11$. Furthermore, let us prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let H be a group of $M(22)$ type and g_1, \dots, g_8 be a chain of transpositions. Then, there exists a unique transposition g_9 so that g_1, \dots, g_9 is a chain.*

Proof. First of all, as we can see from the proof of the previous part if such an element g_9 exists, then $g_3, \dots, g_9 \in C_H(g_1)$, so g_9 is defined uniquely. Therefore, it suffices to prove that the number of the chains of length 8 and the number of chains of length 9 are equal. Let $K \cong PO_6^{+,+}(3)$ and for $1 \leq n \leq 6$ and let v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} form a chain. Let k_n be the number of vectors v_n so that v_1, \dots, v_n is a linearly independent chain. By the main lemma, this number is independent of the choice of v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} .

Now, let us compute the number of 9-chains: there are 3510 choices for g_1 and then there are 2816 choices for g_2 . Then, $C_{g_1, g_2} \cong PO_6^{+,+}(3)$. Thus, there are k_1 choices for g_3 , k_2 choices for g_4 , ..., k_6 choices for g_9 . Finally, from the proof of the previous part, $g_1, g_2, g_4, g_5, \dots, g_9$ define g_3 in a unique way. Thus, the number of the 9-chains is $3510 \cdot 2816 \cdot k_1 \cdot \dots \cdot k_6$. Now, let us compute the number of 8-chains. Again, there are $3510 \cdot 2816$ choices for the ordered pair (g_1, g_2) , k_1 choices for g_3 , ..., k_5 choices for g_4 . Note that g_4, \dots, g_8 is a chain in $C_{g_1}(H)$. Let $f : C_{g_1}(H) / \langle g_1 \rangle \rightarrow SU_6(2)$ be an isomorphism and $f(g_i) = t(v_i)$. Then, the system of equations of $(v_3, v_4) = 1, (v_3, v_5) = \dots = (v_3, v_8) = 0$ has 2 solutions (by Witt's lemma, it is sufficient to verify this argument just for one example - pick $v_4 = (110000), v_5 = (011000), \dots, v_8 = (000011)$ with respect to the unitary basis, then there are two such vectors $(\overline{\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha})$ and $(\alpha\overline{\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha\alpha})$). Hence, there are 2 such involutions $g_3 = f^{-1}(t(v_3)), k_6$ of which are in $C_{g_1, g_2}(H)$. Therefore, the number of 8-chains is $3510 \cdot 2816 \cdot k_1 \cdot \dots \cdot k_5 \cdot (2 - k_6)$. Thus, it is sufficient to verify that $3510 \cdot 2816 \cdot k_1 \cdot \dots \cdot k_6 = 3510 \cdot 2816 \cdot k_1 \cdot \dots \cdot k_5 \cdot (2 - k_6)$ or equivalently $k_6 = 1$. k_6 does not depend on the choice v_1, \dots, v_5 so pick $v_1 = (120000), v_2 = (012000), \dots, v_5 = (000012)$ with respect to the orthogonal basis. Then, if $v_6 = (c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 c_5 c_6)$, then $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_5$. Moreover, $Q(v_6) = 1$, so $c_6 = 0$. Hence, either $v_6 = (111110)$ or $v_6 = (222220)$, so $g_6 = t(111110) = t(222220)$ is unique! \square

Now, let us construct a chain of length 11. Let us pick a pair of non-commuting transpositions x_1, x_2 . Then, $C_{x_1, x_2}(G) \cong PO_7^{+,+}(3)$. Therefore, there exists a chain of involutions x_4, \dots, x_{11} commuting x_1 and x_2 . By the lemma, we can continue the chain x_{11}, \dots, x_4 of $C_{x_1}(G) / \langle x_1 \rangle$ with x_3 . Note that x_3, \dots, x_{11} is a chain in $C_{x_1}(G)$, but not in $C_{x_1, x_2}(G)$, because the maximal chain there has length 8. So, x_2 and x_3 do not commute. Hence x_1, \dots, x_{11} is a chain and it is maximal. Therefore, $\varphi(G) = 11 + 1 = 12$. \square

Proposition 11. *Let G be of type $M(24)$. Then, $\varphi(G) = 12$.*

Proof. Firstly, note that G has a subgroup of type $M(23)$, so $\varphi(G) \geq 12$. Furthermore, if g_1, \dots, g_n is a chain of transpositions, then $g_4, \dots, g_n \in C_{g_1, g_2}(G)$,

which is isomorphic to a triple cover of $PO_8^{+,+}(3)$. By the previous propositions, the maximal chain there has length 8. So, $n - 3 \leq 8$ and $n \leq 11$. So, $\varphi(G) \leq 11 + 1 = 12$. Thus, $\varphi(G) = 12$, as desired. \square

5. Geometrical interpretation of the main results

In this section, we discuss the inverse of the problem in the previous section for the classical groups. We build representations of symmetric groups S_n in the spaces with symplectic, unitary, or orthogonal forms. From the previous part, one may easily deduce, that if G is a symplectic, unitary or orthogonal group of dimension n , then $n \leq \varphi(G) \leq n + 2$. Therefore, we anticipate that S_n should act on a space of dimension m , with $n - 2 \leq m \leq n$. For that purpose, we build a symplectic, unitary, or orthogonal space V with basis v_1, \dots, v_n and allow S_n to permute the basis. Then, we take the subspace, which is orthogonal to some vector, and, if possible, factorize it over some vector. If needed, for fixing the sign of an orthogonal space, we take a direct sum with a one-dimensional space.

In this section we look for a representation of S_n with $n \geq 5$. Actually, in this case, the action of S_n on the corresponding space is faithful, because the kernel of the action is a normal subgroup of S_n , that is $\{1\}$, A_n or S_n . And the image of the representation has more than two elements, so the kernel is the trivial subgroup.

5.1. Symplectic spaces over the 2-element field

Let n be an even integer. Suppose that W is an n -dimensional symplectic space over the 2-element field. Let w_1, \dots, w_n be a basis for W with $(w_i, w_j) = 1$, if $i \neq j$. Let $W' = \{x \in W : (x, w_1 + \dots + w_n) = 0\}$. Then, W' is a degenerate space : $w_1 + \dots + w_n \in W'$. Thus, if we factor W' by the subspace generated by the vector $w_1 + \dots + w_n$ we obtain the space $V = W' / \langle w_1 + \dots + w_n \rangle$ which is a symplectic space of dimension $n - 2$. Let $\tau \in S_n$. Then, let $\psi(\tau) : W \rightarrow W$ be a linear operator for which $\psi(\tau)(w_i) = w_{\tau(i)}$. Furthermore, one may restrict $\psi(\tau)$

on V . Note that $\psi(\tau)$ preserves the symplectic form on V , so ψ is the natural embedding of S_n into $Sp_{n-2}(2)$. Finally, $\psi(ij)(w_i) = w_j$, $\psi(ij)(w_j) = w_i$, and $\psi(ij)(w_k) = w_k$ if $k \neq i, j$ in W . Note that the transvection $t(w_i + w_j)$ defined on W acts in the same way on the basis (v_1, \dots, v_n) . On the induced space V , $t(w_i + w_j)$ is also a transvection, as $w_i + w_j \in W'$. Thus, $\psi(ij) = t(w_i + w_j)$ on V . Therefore, we verified that the images of transpositions under τ are transvections of V .

5.2. Unitary spaces over the 4-element field

Let n be an even integer. Suppose that W is an n -dimensional unitary space over the 4-element field. Let w_1, \dots, w_n be a basis for W with $(w_i, w_i) = 1$ and $(w_i, w_j) = 0$, if $i \neq j$. Let $W' = \{x \in W : (x, w_1 + \dots + w_n) = 0\}$. Then, W' is a degenerate space : $w_1 + \dots + w_n \in W'$. Thus, if we factor W' by the subspace generated by the vector $w_1 + \dots + w_n$ we obtain the space $V = W' / \langle w_1 + \dots + w_n \rangle$ which is a unitary space of dimension $n-2$. Let $\tau \in S_n$. Then, let $\psi(\tau) : W \rightarrow W$ be a linear operator for which $\psi(\tau)(w_i) = w_{\tau(i)}$. Furthermore, one may restrict $\psi(\tau)$ on V . Note that $\psi(\tau)$ preserves the unitary form on V , so ψ is the natural embedding of S_n into $U_{n-2}(2)$. Finally, $\psi(ij)(w_i) = w_j$, $\psi(ij)(w_j) = w_i$, and $\psi(ij)(w_k) = w_k$ if $k \neq i, j$ in W . Note that the transvection $t(w_i + w_j)$ defined on W acts in the same way on the basis (w_1, \dots, w_n) . On the induced space V , $t(w_i + w_j)$ is also a transvection, as $w_i + w_j \in W'$. Thus, $\psi(ij) = t(w_i + w_j)$ on V and $w_i + w_j$ is singular. Therefore, we verified that the images of transpositions under τ are transvections of V .

5.3. Orthogonal spaces over the 3-element field

Let n be an integer congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 3. Suppose that W is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional orthogonal space over the 3-element field of type " - ". Let w_1, \dots, w_n, w_{n+1} be a basis for W with $Q(w_i) = -1$, $(w_i, w_i) = 1$, for $i = \overline{1, \dots, n}$, $Q(w_{n+1}) = 1$, $(w_{n+1}, w_{n+1}) = -1$ and $(w_i, w_j) = 0$, if $i \neq j$. Let $v = w_1 + \dots + w_n$ if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $v = w_1 + \dots + w_{n+1}$ if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Let $W' = \{x \in W : (x, v) = 0\}$. Then, W' is a degenerate space : $v \in W'$.

Thus, if we factor W' by the subspace generated by the vector v , we obtain the space $V = W' / \langle v \rangle$ which is a unitary space of dimension $n - 1$. Let $\tau \in S_n$. Then, let $\psi(\tau) : W \rightarrow W$ be a linear operator for which $\psi(\tau)(w_i) = w_{\tau(i)}$. Furthermore, one may restrict $\psi(\tau)$ on V . Note that $\psi(\tau)$ preserves the orthogonal form on V .

If, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then the images of the vectors $w_1 - w_2, w_1 - w_3, \dots, w_1 - w_n$ form a basis for V . Let us construct the Gram matrix: note that if $i \neq j$, then $(w_1 - w_i, w_1 - w_j) = -1$ and $(w_1 - w_i, w_1 - w_i) = 1$. So, the Gram matrix is of form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that, $(A - I)^2 = 0$, So $A - I$ has all eigenvalues 0. Hence, all the eigenvalues of A are 1 and $\det(A) = 1$. So, V is of type " + ".

Furthermore, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then the images of the vectors $w_1 - w_2, w_1 - w_3, \dots, w_1 - w_{n-1}, w_{n+1}$ form a basis for V . Let us construct the Gram matrix: note that if $i \neq j$, then $(w_1 - w_i, w_1 - w_j) = -1$ and $(w_1 - w_i, w_1 - w_i) = 1$. Also, $(w_{n+1}, w_{n+1}) = -1$ and w_{n+1} is orthogonal to all other vectors. So, the Gram matrix is of form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that, $\text{rank}(A - I) = 2$, So $A - I$ has all eigenvalues, but 2 eigenvalues equal 0. Furthermore, it has 2 vectors corresponding to the eigenvalue 1. So, $\text{Sp}(A - I) = \{0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 1\}$. Thus, $\text{Sp}(A) = \{1, 1, \dots, 1, -1, -1\}$ and $\det(A) = 1$.

Therefore, V is of type " + ".

Finally, if $(ij) \in S_n$, then $\psi(ij) = t(w_i - w_j)$ and $Q(w_i - w_j) = Q(w_i) + Q(w_j) = 1$. Thus, ψ is the natural embedding of S_n into $O_{n-1}^{+,+}(3)$.

Let n be a multiple of 3. Suppose that W is an n -dimensional orthogonal space over the 3-element field of type " + ". Let w_1, \dots, w_n be a basis for W with $Q(w_i) = -1$, $(w_i, w_i) = 1$, and $(w_i, w_j) = 0$, if $i \neq j$. Let $W' = \{x \in W : (x, w_1 + \dots + w_n) = 0\}$. Then, W' is a degenerate space : $w_1 + \dots + w_n \in W'$. Thus, if we factor W' by the subspace generated by the vector $w_1 + \dots + w_n$, we obtain the space $V = W' / \langle w_1 + \dots + w_n \rangle$ which is an orthogonal space of dimension $n - 2$. Let $\tau \in S_n$. Then, let $\psi(\tau) : W \rightarrow W$ be a linear operator for which $\psi(\tau)(w_i) = w_{\tau(i)}$. Furthermore, one may restrict $\psi(\tau)$ on V . Note that if $(ij) \in S_n$, then $\psi(ij) = t(v_i - v_j)$ and $Q(v_i - v_j) = 1$. Moreover, calculations, similar to the calculations carried out in the previous subcase show that the space V is of type "-". Thus, ψ is the natural embedding of S_n into $PO_{n-2}^{-,+}(3)$.

5.4. Orthogonal spaces over the 2-element field

Let n be a multiple of 4. Let W be a symplectic space over the 2-element field with basis w_1, \dots, w_n such that $(w_i, w_j) = 1$ if $i \neq j$. Equip W with an orthogonal form such that $Q(w_1) = \dots = Q(w_n) = 0$. Let $W' = \{x \in W : (x, w_1 + \dots + w_n) = 0\}$. Then, W' is a degenerate space : $w_1 + \dots + w_n \in W'$. Moreover, $Q(w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus, if we can factor W' by the subspace generated by the vector $w_1 + \dots + w_n$ and obtain the space $V = W' / \langle w_1 + \dots + w_n \rangle$ which is an orthogonal space of dimension $n - 2$. Let $\tau \in S_n$. Then, let $\psi(\tau) : W \rightarrow W$ be a linear operator for which $\psi(\tau)(w_i) = w_{\tau(i)}$. Furthermore, one may restrict $\psi(\tau)$ on V . Note that if $(ij) \in S_n$, then $\psi(ij) = t(v_i + v_j)$ and $Q(v_i + v_j) = 1$. Thus, ψ is the natural embedding of S_n into $O_{n-2}^\epsilon(2)$ Also, from the previous section, one may easily deduce, that $\epsilon = -$, if $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ and the subspace is of type $\epsilon = +$ if $8|n$.

Let n be an integer congruent to 2 modulo 4. Let W be a symplectic space over the 2-element field with basis w_1, \dots, w_n such that $(w_i, w_j) = 1$ if $i \neq j$. Equip W with an orthogonal form such that $Q(w_1) = \dots = Q(w_n) = \epsilon$. Note that $W = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \oplus \langle w_1 + w_2 + w_3, w_1 + w_2 + w_4 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_{n-2} + w_{n-1}, w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_{n-2} + w_n \rangle$. Moreover, types of the spaces alternate. Thus, the spaces with $\epsilon = 0$ and $\epsilon = 1$ are not isomorphic. Furthermore, S_n acts coordinate-wise on both spaces, and a transposition (ij) on S_n has the same action as the transvection $t(v_i + v_j)$. Therefore, we described a faithful action of S_n on both $O_n^+(2)$ and $O_n^-(2)$.

Let n be an integer congruent to 1 modulo 4. Suppose that W is an orthogonal space of dimension $(n + 1)$. Let v_1, \dots, v_{n+1} be a basis for W with $(v_i, v_j) = 1$ if $v_i \neq v_j$ $Q(v_1) = \dots = Q(v_{n+1}) = 0$. The choice of $Q(v_{n+2})$ depends on the type of the orthogonal space. Note that $Q(v_1 + \dots + v_{n+1}) = \frac{(n+1)n}{4} = 0 \in Z_2$. Thus, if $W' = \{w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_{n+1}\}$ and $V = W' / \langle w_1 + \dots + w_{n+1} \rangle$, then V is an orthogonal space, which inherits the dot product and quadratic form from W . Let $\tau \in S_n$. Then, let $\psi(\tau) : W \rightarrow W$ be a linear operator for which $\psi(\tau)(w_i) = w_{\tau(i)}$, for $i = \overline{1, \dots, n+1}$. Moreover, one may restrict $\psi(\tau)$ on V . Note that $\psi(\tau)$ preserves the orthogonal form, and for $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ $\psi(ij) = t(v_i + v_j)$. Thus, ψ is the natural embedding of S_n into $O_{n-1}^\epsilon(2)$.

6. Conclusions

To conclude the results obtained in the previous sections, we have computed the following values for the groups in Fischer's list.

Theorem 4. *The maximal symmetric subgroups of the groups from the Fischer's group have the following sizes:*

- $\varphi(S_n) = n$;
- $\varphi(Sp_2(2)) = 3$, $\varphi(Sp_n(2)) = n + 2$, if $n \geq 4$ is even;

- $\varphi(U_n(2)) = n$, if $n \leq 3$, $\varphi(U_n(2)) = n + 1$, if $n \geq 4$ is odd, $\varphi(U_n(2)) = n + 2$, if $n \geq 4$ is even;
- $\varphi(PO_n^{+,+}(3)) = n$, if $n = 1 \pmod{3}$, $n + 1$, otherwise;
- $\varphi(PO_n^{-,+}(3)) = n + 2$, if $n = 1 \pmod{3}$, $n + 1$ if $n = 0 \pmod{3}$, n , if $n = 2 \pmod{3}$;
- $\varphi(O_4^+(2)) = 3$, $\varphi(O_n^+(2)) = n + 1$, if $n = 0 \pmod{4}$, $\varphi(O_n^+(2)) = n$, if $n = 2 \pmod{8}$ and $\varphi(O_n^+(2)) = n + 2$, if $n = 6 \pmod{8}$;
- $\varphi(O_2^-(2)) = 3$, $\varphi(O_n^+(2)) = n + 1$, if $n = 0 \pmod{4}$, $\varphi(O_n^+(2)) = n$, if $n = 6 \pmod{8}$ and $\varphi(O_n^+(2)) = n + 2$, if $n = 2 \pmod{8}$;
- $\varphi(M(22)) = 10$, $\varphi(M(23)) = 12$, $\varphi(M(24)) = 12$.

In addition, in the previous section, for each classical group G we have built a faithful representation of $S_{\varphi(G)}$ into the corresponding space of G , or into a smaller space, which is a direct geometrical interpretation of the main results.

The theorem 3 is a direct corollary of this theorem. The groups listed in the theorem 3 are candidates to admit standard Majorana representations. Note that if for such a group (G, D) there exists a monomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow M$, such that $\psi(D) \subseteq 2A$, then there is also a Majorana representation of G - it suffices to take the subalgebra of the algebra V_M generated by the axes corresponding to the involutions from $\psi(D)$. Therefore, we plan to find out the groups in this list, which admit a standard Majorana representation, and among these groups find these which can be embedded in the Monster.

7. Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my supervisor, A.A. Ivanov, for introducing me to the area of research and for his useful advice.

References

- [1] M. Aschbacher, 3-Transposition groups, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [2] B. Fischer, Finite groups generated by 3-transpositions, University of Warwick, Lecture notes, 1969.
- [3] B. Fischer, Finite groups generated by 3-transpositions, *Invent. Math.* 13 (1971) 232-246.
- [4] C. Franchi, A.A. Ivanov, M. Mainardis, Standard Majorana representation of the symmetric groups, *J. Alg. Combin.* 44 (2016) 265-292.
- [5] A.A. Ivanov, The Monster group and Majorana involutions, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [6] A.A. Ivanov, D.V. Pasechnik, A. Seress, S. Shpectorov, Majorana representations of the symmetric group of degree 4, *J. of Algebra* 324(2010) 2432-2463.
- [7] A.A. Ivanov, On Majorana representations of A_6 and A_7 , *Commun. in Math. Phys.* 307(2011) 1-16.
- [8] A.A. Ivanov, A. Seress, Majorana representations of A_5 , *Math. Z.* 272(2012) 269-295.
- [9] S.P. Norton, The Monster is fabulous, *Cont. Math.* 694(2017) 3-10.