

# On a characterization of exponential and double exponential distributions

Reza Rastegar\*

Alexander Roitershtein †

March 22, 2022

## Abstract

Recently, G. Yanev [7] obtained a characterization of the exponential family of distributions in terms of a functional equation for certain mixture densities. The purpose of this note is twofold: we extend Yanev's theorem by relaxing a restriction on the sign of mixture coefficients and, in addition, obtain a similar characterization for the Laplace family of distributions.

*MSC2020:* 62E10; 60G50; 60E10

*Keywords:* Laplace distribution; exponential distribution; double exponential distribution; hypo-exponential distribution; characterization of distributions; sums of independent random variables; characteristic functions

## 1 Introduction

Our aim is to prove certain characterizations of the exponential and double exponential families of distributions. We will use the notation  $X \sim \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$  to indicate that  $X$  is an exponential random variable with parameter  $\lambda > 0$ , that is  $P(X > x) = e^{-\lambda x}$  for all  $x > 0$ . We will write  $X \in \mathcal{E}$  if  $X \sim \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Similarly, will write  $X \in \mathcal{L}$  if  $X$  has a Laplace (double exponential) distribution [4], that is, for some  $\lambda > 0$  and  $Y \sim \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ ,

$$P(X > x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( P(Y > x) + P(-Y > x) \right) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_x^\infty e^{-\lambda|y|} dy, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For the exponential random variable we have:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be independent copies of a random variable  $X$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  be distinct non-zero real numbers. Let  $\varphi(t) = E(e^{itX})$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , be the characteristic function of  $X$ , and suppose that  $\varphi$  is infinitely differentiable at zero and, furthermore,*

$$\prod_{k=1}^n \varphi(\mu_k t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k \varphi(\mu_k t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\theta_k = \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^n \frac{\mu_k}{\mu_k - \mu_j}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

\*Occidental Petroleum Corporation, Houston, TX 77046, USA; e-mail: reza\_rastegar2@oxy.com

†Dept. of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA; e-mail: roiterst@tamu.edu

If, in addition,

$$\sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in W_{n,m}} \prod_{j=1}^n \mu_j^{k_j} \neq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k^m \quad \text{for any integer } m \geq 2, \quad (3)$$

where

$$W_{n,m} := \left\{ (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : k_j \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^n k_j = m \right\}, \quad (4)$$

then, either  $P(X = 0) = 1$  or  $E(X) \neq 0$  and  $X \cdot \text{sign}(E(X)) \sim \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$  with  $\lambda = 1/E(X)$ .

The proof of the theorem is given in Section 2. Theorem 1.1 is an extension of a similar result of G. Yanév [7] obtained under the additional assumption that the coefficients  $\mu_k$  are positive. In that case, the key technical condition (3) is trivial as the left-hand side contains the  $\mu_k^m$  terms and hence is always larger than the right-hand side.

To ensure the existence of the derivatives of  $\varphi$  at zero one can impose Cramér's condition, namely assume that there is  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $E(e^{tX}) < \infty$  for all  $t \in (-t_0, t_0)$ . Note also that the equality in (3) for any fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  describes a low-dimensional manifold in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and hence Theorem 1.1 is true for almost every vector  $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  chosen at random from a continuous distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The identity in (1) with  $\theta_k$  introduced in (6) holds for any  $X \in \mathcal{E}$ , and Theorem 1.1 can be seen as a converse to this result. Equations (1) and (6) give an expression of the characteristic function of the sum

$$S = \mu_1 X_1 + \dots + \mu_n X_n \quad (5)$$

as a linear combination of  $\varphi(\mu_k t)$ 's. If  $X \sim \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ , then  $\varphi(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - it}$ , and thus (1) is the partial fraction decomposition of the complex-valued rational function  $\psi(t) := E(e^{itS})$ . In the particular case when  $X \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\mu_k = \frac{1}{L-k+1}$  for some integer  $L > n$ , the random variable  $S/\lambda$  is distributed as the  $n$ -th order statistic of a sample of  $L$  independent copies of  $X$  (this is the Rényi representation of order statistics; see, for instance, [3, p. 18]). For further background and earlier versions (particular cases) of Yanév's characterization theorem see [1, 6, 7].

It was pointed out in [7] that an extension of their result to a more general class of coefficients  $\mu_k$  would be of interest from the viewpoint of both theory and applications. When all the coefficients  $\mu_k$  are positive and  $X$  is an exponential random variable, the random variable  $S = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k X_k$  has a hypoexponential distribution. When some of the coefficients are negative,  $S$  is a difference of two hypoexponential random variables. Some applications of such differences are discussed, for instance, in [5]. An insightful theoretical exploration of the densities of hypoexponential distributions can be found in [2].

We remark that the theorem is not true if the particular form of the coefficients  $\theta_k$  in (6) is not enforced. For instance, for the Laplace distribution we have:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be independent copies of a random variable  $X$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  be distinct positive numbers. Let  $\varphi(t) = E(e^{itX})$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , be the characteristic function of  $X$ , and suppose that  $\varphi$  is infinitely differentiable at zero and, furthermore, (1) holds with*

$$\theta_k = \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^n \frac{\mu_k^2}{\mu_k^2 - \mu_j^2}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (6)$$

Then, either  $P(X = 0) = 1$  or  $X$  has a Laplace distribution.

The result is closely related to the one stated in Theorem 1.1 because  $X \in \mathcal{L}$  implies that for a suitable  $Y \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

$$E(e^{itX}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( E(e^{itY}) + E(e^{-itY}) \right).$$

The proof of the theorem is similar to that of Theorem 1.1, and therefore is omitted. The key technical ingredient of the proof, namely an analogue of Lemma 2.1 for Laplace distributions, follows immediately from Lemma 2-(iii) in [7], and the rest of the proof of Theorem 1.1 can be carried over verbatim to the double exponential setup of Theorem 1.2.

We conclude the introduction with a brief discussion of condition (8). The equality with  $n = 2$  and some  $m \geq 2$  reads  $\sum_{j=0}^m \mu_1^j \mu_2^{m-j} = \mu_1^m + \mu_2^m$ , which is equivalent to  $\frac{\mu_1^{m+1} - \mu_2^{m+1}}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} = \mu_1^m + \mu_2^m$ . The latter implies that  $\mu_2^{m-1} = \mu_1^{m-1}$ , and hence  $m$  is odd and  $\mu_2 = -\mu_1$ . In that case, (1) becomes

$$\varphi(t)\varphi(-t) = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(t) + \varphi(-t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7)$$

The equation is satisfied when  $X$  is a Bernoulli random variable with  $P(X = 0) = P(X = a) = \frac{1}{2}$  for some constant  $a > 0$ , in which case  $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{iat})$ . More generally, (7) holds if and only if  $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{i\rho(t)})$ , where  $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an odd function. Unfortunately, we are not aware of any example where  $\varphi$  in this form would be a characteristic function of a random variable beyond the linear case  $\rho(t) = at$  and linear fractional  $\rho(t) = \frac{\lambda - ti}{\lambda + ti}$ ,  $\rho(t) = \frac{\lambda + ti}{\lambda - ti}$  which correspond to, respectively,  $X \in \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$  and  $-X \in \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ .

Our proof technique differs significantly from the one used in [7]. However, interestingly enough, both rely on the validity of (3). Nevertheless, we believe that the following might be true:

**Conjecture.** For  $n \geq 3$ , (3) is an artifact of the proof and is not necessary.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The following is a suitable version of Lemma 2-(iii) in [7].

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume (3). Then, for any integer  $m \geq 2$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k \mu_k^m \neq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k^m.$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* Assume  $X \in \mathcal{E}$  and recall  $S$  from (5). It follows from (1) that

$$E(S^m) = \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k \mu_k^m E(X_1^m) = \frac{m!}{\lambda^m} \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k \mu_k^m,$$

and hence, with  $W_{n,m}$  introduced in (4), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k \mu_k^m &= \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} E(S^m) = \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in W_{n,m}} \frac{\lambda^m}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \prod_{j=1}^n \mu_j^{k_j} E(X_j^{k_j}) \\ &= \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in W_{n,m}} \prod_{j=1}^n \mu_j^{k_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which yields the result in view of (3). □

Differentiating both sides of (1)  $m$  times we obtain the identity

$$\frac{d^m}{dt^m} \prod_{k=1}^n \varphi(\mu_k t) \Big|_{t=0} = \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k \mu_k^m \varphi^{(m)}(0), \quad m \geq 2. \quad (9)$$

In view of Lemma 2.1 and the fact that  $\varphi(0) = 1$ , these identities can be used to determine all the derivatives of  $\varphi$  at zero in terms of  $\varphi'(0)$ , first  $\varphi''(0)$  in terms of the parameter  $\varphi'(0)$ , then  $\varphi'''(0)$  in terms of  $\varphi'(0)$  and  $\varphi''(0)$ , and hence in terms of  $\varphi'(0)$  only, and so on. For instance, (9) with  $m = 2$  yields

$$\varphi''(0) \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k^2 (\theta_k - 1) = \varphi'(0) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n \mu_i \mu_j.$$

Let now  $Z \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\psi(t) = E(e^{itZ})$ . The derivatives  $\psi''(0), \psi'''(0), \dots$  as functions of the parameter  $\psi'(0)$  can be in principle derived using the same inductive algorithm. Therefore,  $\varphi'(0) = 0$  implies  $P(X = 0) = 1$  while  $\varphi'(0) = \psi'(0) = \lambda^{-1}$  for some  $\lambda > 0$  implies that  $\varphi^{(m)}(0) = \psi^{(m)}(0)$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and hence (since  $\varphi$  is analytic under the conditions of the theorem)  $\varphi(t) = \psi(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - it}$  as desired. Finally, the case  $\varphi(0) = -\lambda^{-1} < 0$  can be reduced to the previous one by switching from  $X$  to  $-X$  in the above argument.  $\square$

## References

- [1] B. C. Arnold and J. A. Villasenor, *Exponential characterizations motivated by the structure of order statistics in samples of size two*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **83** (2013), 596–601.
- [2] A. Belton, D. Guillot, A. Khare, and M. Putinar, *Hirschman-Widder densities*, 2021, arXiv: 2101.02129.
- [3] H. A. David and H. N. Nagaraja, *Order statistics*, Wiley, 2004.
- [4] S. Kotz, T. J. Kozubowski, K. Podgórski, *The Laplace Distribution and Generalizations. A Revisit with Applications to Communications, Economics, Engineering, and Finance*, Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [5] K. H. Li and C. T. Li, *Linear combination of independent exponential random variables*, Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab. **21** (2019), 253–277.
- [6] B. Milošević and M. Obradović, *Some characterizations of the exponential distribution based on order statistics*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **10** (2016), 394–407.
- [7] G. P. Yanev, *Exponential and hypoexponential distributions: some characterizations*, Mathematics **8** (2020), 2207.