# A NOTE ABOUT RATIONAL SURFACES AS UNIONS OF AFFINE PLANES 

JORGE CARAVANTES ${ }^{1}$, J. RAFAEL SENDRA ${ }^{1}$, DAVID SEVILLA ${ }^{2}$ AND CARLOS VILLARINO ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

We prove that any smooth rational projective surface over the field of complex numbers has an open covering consisting of 3 subsets isomorphic to affine planes.


Since all smooth rational curves are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, they can be seen as the union of two affine lines. In dimension two, as a consequence of the structure Theorem 1.2 below, all rational surfaces admit a covering of open subsets isomorphic to the affine plane. However, up to the authors' knowledge, no general results are known on the minimal number of open subsets of such a covering, while some advances are known by computer algebrists in terms of surjectivity of parametrizations BR95, SSV17, CSSV18, CSSV21. In this short note we prove that all projective smooth rational surfaces behave like the projective plane in this aspect.

## 1. Main Result

Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ be a projective smooth rational surface over the complex field. Then, there are three open subsets $U_{0}, U_{1}, U_{2} \subset X$ such that:
(1) $U_{0} \cup U_{1} \cup U_{2}=X$.
(2) For all $i=0,1,2, U_{i}$ is isomorphic to the affine plane.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we will use the following well-known result:
Theorem 1.2. (see e.g. Bea83, Theorem V.10]) Every non-singular rational surface can be obtained by repeatedly blowing up either $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ or the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-n)\right)$ (the Hirzebruch surface $\left.\Sigma_{n}\right)$, for $n \neq 1$.

By Theorem 1.2, there exists a chain of morphisms $\pi=\pi_{1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{r}: X \rightarrow M$ such that $M$ is either $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ or a Hirzebruch surface and $\pi_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow X_{i-1}$ is the blowup of a smooth surface at a single point. Let $E$ be the exceptional divisor of $\pi$ and $E_{i}$ the exceptional divisor of $\pi_{i}$. Then, $\pi(E) \subset M$ is a finite set of closed points and $\pi_{i}\left(E_{i}\right)$ is one closed point. Moreover, $E_{i} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $E$ is a finite union of smooth rational curves (in fact, $E_{1}$ and the proper transforms of all the $E_{2}, \ldots, E_{r}$ ). We begin by proving Theorem 1.1 for $X=M$ with care for the centers of the blowups:

Lemma 1.3. In the above conditions, there exist three open subsets $U_{0}^{0}, U_{1}^{0}, U_{2}^{0}$ such that:
(1) $U_{0}^{0} \cup U_{1}^{0} \cup U_{2}^{0}=M$.
(2) For all $i=0,1,2, U_{i}$ is isomorphic to the affine plane.
(3) $\pi(E) \subset U_{0}^{0} \cap U_{1}^{0} \cap U_{2}^{0}$.

[^0]Proof. The case $M=\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is well-known. Since $\pi(E)$ is finite and we work over an infinite field, one can choose three different projective lines $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ such that $\pi(E) \cap\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup L_{3}\right)=\emptyset=$ $L_{1} \cap L_{2} \cap L_{3}$.

If $M$ is a Hirzebruch surface, then it is the projective bundle of a rank two vector bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-m)$ over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. This means that there is a surjective morphism $p: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that, for any point $P \in \mathbb{P}^{1}, p^{-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\{P\}\right) \simeq\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\{P\}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then, since we work over an infinite field, one can choose a closed point $P_{0} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}-p(\pi(E))$ with its isomorphism $q_{0}: p^{-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\left\{P_{0}\right\}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then, we choose a line $L_{0}=\mathbb{A}^{1} \times\left\{Q_{0}\right\}$, such that $q_{0}(\pi(E)) \cap L_{0}$ is empty. With this choice, $U_{0}^{0}=q_{0}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}-L_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ and contains $\pi(E)$.

Then, $M-U_{0}^{0}$ is the union of two rational curves $C_{1}:=p^{-1}\left(P_{0}\right)$ and $C_{2}:=\overline{q_{0}^{-1}\left(L_{0}\right)}$. Choosing $P_{1} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}-\left(p(\pi(E)) \cup\left\{P_{0}\right\}\right)$ (again, the complement of a finite set), together with the isomorphism $q_{1}: p^{-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\left\{P_{1}\right\}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, we have that $p^{-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\left\{P_{1}\right\}\right)$ contains $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ with the exception of the point $R_{1}:=C_{2} \cap p^{-1}\left(P_{1}\right)$ (the intersection of a section $\mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with a fiber). We now choose a line $L_{1}=\mathbb{A}^{1} \times\left\{Q_{1}\right\}$ such that:

- $Q_{1} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is not in the second projection of $q_{1}(\pi(E)) \in \mathbb{A}^{1} \times P^{1}$; and
- $L_{1} \neq q_{1}\left(C_{2}\right)$ (i.e. we are asking a constant section not to coincide with a given one, which is an open condition for $Q_{1}$ ), so the intersection of the two curves is finite.

Then, $U_{1}^{0}=q_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}-L_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ and contains $\pi(E)$.
Now, $M-\left(U_{0}^{0} \cup U_{1}^{0}\right)=\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)-U_{1}^{0}$ is the finite set $A:=\left\{R_{1}\right\} \cup q_{1}^{-1}\left(L_{1} \cap q_{1}\left(C_{2}\right)\right)$. Finally, we have again the complement of a finite set to choose $P_{2} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}-\left(p(\pi(E)) \cup p(A) \cup\left\{P_{0}, P_{1}\right\}\right)$ with the isomorphism $q_{2}: p^{-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\left\{P_{2}\right\}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, so we have $A \subset p^{-1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\left\{P_{2}\right\}\right)$. We now choose $L_{2}=$ $\mathbb{A}^{1} \times\left\{Q_{2}\right\}$ such that $Q_{2} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is not in the second projection of the finite set $q_{2}(A \cup \pi(E)) \subset \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, and we define $U_{2}^{0}=q_{2}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}-L_{2}\right) \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}$. Then $\pi(E) \subset U_{2}^{0}$ and, since $A \subset U_{2}^{0}$, we have that $U_{0}^{0} \cup U_{1}^{0} \cup U_{2}^{0}=M$.

Remark 1.4. Let $\mathrm{Bl}_{P}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$ be the blowup of the affine plane at a point $P$. Then, there exists one morphism $\pi_{l}: U_{l} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2} \mapsto \mathbb{A}^{2}$ for each affine line $l \subset \mathbb{A}^{2}$ passing through $P$, such that:
(1) for $l_{1} \neq l_{2}, U_{l_{1}} \cup U_{l_{2}}=\operatorname{Bl}_{P}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$.
(2) if $E_{\mathbb{A}^{2}}$ is the exceptional divisor of $\mathrm{Bl}_{P}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)$, for any line $l$ passing through $p, E_{\mathbb{A}^{2}}-U_{l}$ consists in one point, given by the isomorphism between $E_{\mathbb{A}^{2}}$ and the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of all lines through $P$.
(3) the restriction $\left.\pi_{l}\right|_{U_{l}-E_{\mathbb{A}^{2}}}$ is an isomorphism between $U_{l}-E_{\mathbb{A}^{2}}$ and $\mathbb{A}^{2}-l$.

Lemma 1.5. Let $X$ be a smooth rational surface such that there exist three open subsets $U_{0}, U_{1}, U_{2} \subset$ $X$ with
(1) $U_{0} \cup U_{1} \cup U_{2}=X$.
(2) For all $i=0,1,2, U_{i}$ is isomorphic to the affine plane.

Consider a finite set $A_{1} \subset U_{0} \cap U_{1} \cap U_{2}$. Let $P \in\left(U_{0} \cap U_{1} \cap U_{2}\right)-A_{1}$ be a point and consider $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ to be the blowup of $X$ at $P$. Consider also a finite set $A_{2}$ in the exceptional divisor $E=\pi^{-1}(P) \subset Y$. Then, there are three open subsets $U_{0}^{\prime}, U_{1}^{\prime}, U_{2}^{\prime} \subset Y$ such that
(1) $U_{0}^{\prime} \cup U_{1}^{\prime} \cup U_{2}^{\prime}=Y$.
(2) For all $i=0,1,2, U_{i}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the affine plane.
(3) Both $A_{2}$ and the proper transform of $A_{1}$ are contained in $U_{0}^{\prime} \cap U_{1}^{\prime} \cap U_{2}^{\prime}$

Remark 1.6. In the conditions of Lemma 1.5, note that for any $i, j=0,1,2, i \neq j, X-\left(U_{i} \cup U_{j}\right)$ is a Zariski closed subset of a projective surface which is contained in $U_{k} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}$, with $i \neq k \neq j$. Since it is a projective scheme in an affine space, it must be finite.

Proof. Taking into account Remark 1.4, consider a line $l_{0} \subset U_{0} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}$ through $P$ such that

- The intersection of $l_{0}$ with the finite set $X-\left(U_{1} \cup U_{2}\right)$ (see Remark (1.6) is empty.
- $A_{1} \cap l_{0}=\emptyset$.
- The intersection point of the proper transform of $l_{0}$ with the exceptional divisor is not in $A_{2}$.

Then, we define $U_{0}^{\prime}$ to be the open subset $U_{l_{0}}$ of the blowup of $U_{0}$ at $P . U_{0}$ is isomorphic to the affine plane, as said in Remark 1.4, and $Y-U_{0}^{\prime}$ consists in the proper transform of $l_{0} \cup\left(X-U_{0}\right)$. Therefore, it is one-dimensional.

Now, we choose a line $l_{1} \subset U_{1} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}$ such that the following open conditions are satisfied:
(1) The intersection of $l_{1}$ with the finite set $X-\left(U_{0} \cup U_{2}\right)$ (see Remark 1.6) is empty.
(2) the intersection multiplicity of $l_{1}$ and $l_{0}$ at $P$ is (note that $l_{1}$ is smooth at $P$, so we are asking that $l_{1}$ is not the tangent line at $P$ to $l_{0}$, when we see them in $U_{1}$ ).
(3) $l_{1}$ does not contain any point in $l_{0} \cap\left(X-U_{2}\right)$ (note that $l_{0}$ is irreducible and $P \subset l_{0} \cap U_{2}$, so such intersection is finite).
(4) $A_{1} \cap l_{1}=\emptyset$.
(5) The intersection point of the proper transform of $l_{1}$ with the exceptional divisor is not in $A_{2}$.

Since we work over an infinite field, these conditions define a nonempty Zariski open subset to choose $l_{1}$ from. Now, we define $U_{1}^{\prime}$ to be $U_{l_{1}} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}$. The whole exceptional divisor is in $U_{0}^{\prime} \cup U_{1}^{\prime}$. Then, $Y-\left(U_{0}^{\prime} \cup U_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is the proper transform of the finite sets $B_{1}=\left[l_{0} \cup\left(X-U_{0}\right)\right] \cap l_{1}$ and $B_{2}=X-\left(U_{0} \cup U_{1}\right)$.

Note that $P \notin B_{1} \cup B_{2} \subset U_{2}$, so we choose a last line $l_{2} \subset U_{2} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}$ such that

- the intersection of $l_{2}$ with the finite set $X-\left(U_{0} \cup U_{1}\right)$ (see Remark 1.6) is empty,
- $\left(A_{1} \cup B_{1} \cup B_{2}\right) \cap l_{2}=\emptyset$, and
- the intersection point of the proper transform of $l_{2}$ with the exceptional divisor is not in $A_{2}$.

Defining $U_{2}^{\prime}=U_{l_{2}}$, one concludes the proof.

Remark 1.7. It is likely that a generalisation of Lemma 1.5 to higher dimension is possible. However, it is not yet known if all rational varieties of dimension greater than 2 are covered by open subsets isomorphic to open subsets of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ (see Gro89] for the original question). These varieties are known as plain BHSVU08 or uniformly rational BB14 and it is possible that the main result can be extended to higher dimension for this type of varieties.

Proof. (of Theorem 1.1) By Lemma 1.3, we have that $M=X_{0}=U_{0}^{0} \cup U_{1}^{0} \cup U_{2}^{0}$ with $U_{i}^{0} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2}$ and $\pi(E) \subset U_{0}^{0} \cap U_{1}^{0} \cap U_{2}^{0}$. Now we apply Lemma 1.5 to $\pi_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow X_{i-1}$, choosing

$$
A_{1}=\left[\pi_{i} \circ \pi_{i+1}\left(E_{i+1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup \pi_{i} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{r}\left(E_{r}\right)\right]-\left\{P_{i}\right\}
$$

(i.e. the points to be the center of future blowups outside $\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ ) and

$$
A_{2}=\left[\pi_{i+1}\left(E_{i+1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup \pi_{i+1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{r}\left(E_{r}\right)\right] \cap E_{i}
$$

(i.e. the points to be center of future blowups in $E_{i}$ ). Note that any curve contracted by $\pi_{i+1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{i}$ is contracted to a point in $\pi_{i}^{-1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cup A_{2}$. We then get $U_{0}^{i}, U_{1}^{i}, U_{2}^{i}$ from $U_{0}^{i-1}, U_{1}^{i-1}, U_{2}^{i-1}$ all isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{2}$ and covering $X_{i}$, with all centers of future blowups in the intersection of the three open subsets. Then $U_{0}^{r}, U_{1}^{r}$ and $U_{2}^{r}$ are the three open subsets in the statement.
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