

# PERMANENCE PROPERTIES OF SPLINTERS VIA ULTRAPOWER

SHIJI LYU

ABSTRACT. We show that the splinter property ascends along regular residue field extensions, and along arbitrary regular maps in equal characteristic. We also study the splinter property of non-Noetherian rings, especially those related to ultrapowers, to the extent necessary for our main results.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A Noetherian ring is called a splinter if it is reduced and is a direct summand of every finite extension, see Definition 2.1 and Lemma 2.7 below. Definition being simple, the properties of this notion are usually difficult to prove or understand. The direct summand theorem, that a regular Noetherian ring is a splinter, is only recently fully proved, see the celebrated works [Hoc73, And18, Bha18].

The natural question to ask next is that if the splinter property ascends along a regular map. Built on recent advances [DT19, DT21], we show that this is true in equal characteristic (Theorem 1.1); In mixed characteristic, we show that the splinter property ascends along a “regular residue field extension” (Theorem 1.3).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $S \rightarrow A$  be a regular homomorphism of Noetherian rings. Assume that  $S$  contains a field. If  $S$  is a splinter, so is  $A$ .*

Taking  $S = \mathbf{Q}$  or  $\mathbf{F}_p$  for a prime number  $p$ , we obtain the direct summand theorem in equal characteristic [Hoc73, Theorem 2].

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $A$  be a regular Noetherian ring that contains a field. Then  $A$  is a splinter.*

The following theorem is valid in arbitrary characteristic and has no excellence hypothesis. In view of [DT19, Lemma 2.1.3], this theorem generalizes [DT19, Theorem A], a theorem used in the proof. We also note that taking  $S'$  to be the completion of  $S$  we obtain an alternative proof of [DT19, Theorem C].

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S', \mathfrak{m}')$  be a regular homomorphism of Noetherian local rings with  $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{m}S'$ . If  $S$  is a splinter, so is  $S'$ .*

The following corollary is the special case where  $S$  is a G-ring, in view of a theorem of André ([And74] or [Stacks, Tag 07PM]). We will explain this in detail after the proof of Theorem 1.3 for the reader’s convenience. Readers not familiar with the notion of a G-ring can refer to [Stacks, Tags 07GG and 07QS]. We mention that all excellent rings are G-rings by definition, and that for a Noetherian local ring being a G-ring is the same as being quasi-excellent, see [Mat80, (34.A)].

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m}, k) \rightarrow (S', \mathfrak{m}', k')$  be a flat homomorphism of Noetherian local rings. Assume that  $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{m}S'$ , that  $k'/k$  is separable, and that  $S$  is a G-ring. If  $S$  is a splinter, so is  $S'$ .*

Here, a separable extension of fields does not need to be algebraic, finitely generated, or separably generated; see [Stacks, Tags 0300 and 0322].

We now discuss the strategy to prove the theorems above. See Section 4 for explicit arguments. For Theorem 1.3, we dominate the ring  $S'$  with an ultrapower of  $S$  which we introduce and study in an ad-hoc fashion in Section 3. For the splinter property to be well-defined and preserved, we need to study non-Noetherian splinters in Section 2, which is partially done in [AD21]. In this section we also establish an openness result (Theorem 2.14) parallel to the main result of [DT21]. For Theorem 1.1, we can reduce to the case of a polynomial algebra as observed in [DT19], whose symmetry we can exploit with Theorem 1.3 and the openness result established in Section 2.

We close our introduction by announcing that using similar methods (but with more technical preparations and struggles) the author is able to establish Theorem 1.3 for birational derived splinters and openness and regular ascent for  $F$ -pure birational derived splinters. Combined with [Kov17], the same results hold if we replace birational derived splinters by rational singularities, once we make a good definition. This is work in preparation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We thank Takumi Murayama for helpful discussions and comments on a draft. We thank Rankeya Datta and Linquan Ma for various communications, including reading a draft and give comments, as well as inspirations that helped significantly simplify the current exposition. We also thank Kevin Tucker for helpful discussions.

## 2. SPLINTERS

**Definition 2.1** (see [AD21, Definition 5.2.2]). A ring  $S$  is a *splinter* if every finite and finitely presented ring map  $S \rightarrow T$  that induces a surjective map of spectra splits as a map of  $S$ -modules. Equivalently,  $S$  is a splinter if every finite ring map  $S \rightarrow T$  that induces a surjective map of spectra is pure, see [AD21, Lemma 5.2.1]. Here, and everywhere in this article, “pure” means “universally injective” as in [Stacks, Tag 058I].

The next two lemmas are included in [AD21, Proposition 5.2.5].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $S \rightarrow S'$  be a pure (for example faithfully flat) ring map. If  $S'$  is a splinter, so is  $S$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *A direct limit of splinters is a splinter.*

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be an index set,  $S_x$  ( $x \in X$ ) a family of splinters. Then the direct product  $S = \prod_x S_x$  is a splinter.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : S \rightarrow T$  be a finite and finitely presented ring map that induces a surjective map of spectra. We need to show  $\varphi$  splits.

Let  $p_x : S \rightarrow S_x$  be the projection, and  $\varphi_x : S_x \rightarrow T_x$  the base change of  $\varphi$  along  $p_x$ . Then  $\varphi_x$  is a finite and finitely presented ring map that induces a surjective map of spectra. Since  $S_x$  is a splinter,  $\varphi_x$  splits as a map of  $S_x$ -modules. Taking product, we see that the map  $\psi : S = \prod_x S_x \rightarrow \prod_x T_x$  splits as a map of  $S$ -modules and canonically factors through  $\varphi$ , thus  $\varphi$  splits, as desired. (Actually  $\psi = \varphi$ , see [Stacks, Tag 059K].)  $\square$

The next few lemmas are probably well-known in the Noetherian case, but not necessarily in this generality. We give proofs.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be a ring,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ . Let  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow B_1$  be a finite and finitely presented ring map that induces a surjective map of spectra. Then there exists a finite and finitely presented ring map  $A \rightarrow B$  that induces a surjective map of spectra such that  $B_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B'$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $B_1$ . Then  $B_1 = B'_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by [Stacks, Tag 0307]. Since  $B_1$  is finite over  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , there exists a finite  $A$ -subalgebra  $B''$  of  $B'$  such that  $B''_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_1$ . Write  $B'' = \text{colim}_i B''_i$  where each  $B''_i$  is finite and finitely presented over  $A$  and the transition maps are surjective, see [Stacks, Tag 09YY]. In particular  $B''_i \rightarrow B''$  is surjective for each  $i$ . For large enough  $i$ ,  $(B''_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow B''_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_1$  is split injective as a map of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebras, see [Stacks, Tag 00Q0], thus an isomorphism.

We have now obtained a finite and finitely presented  $A$ -algebra  $B_0 = B''_i$  such that  $(B_0)_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_1$ . Since  $\text{Spec}(B_1) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is surjective, there exists  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\text{Spec}((B_0)_f) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_f)$  is surjective, see [Stacks, Tag 07RR]. Set  $B = B_0 \times A/fA$ . Then by our choice,  $B_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_1$ ,  $B$  is a finite and finitely presented  $A$ -algebra, and  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is surjective, as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6** (cf. [DT19, Lemma 2.1.3]). *The followings are equivalent for an arbitrary ring  $S$ .*

- (1)  $S$  is a splinter.
- (2) For every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $S$ ,  $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a splinter.
- (3) For every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $S$ ,  $S_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a splinter.

*Proof.* Lemma 2.5 gives that (1) implies (2); (2) trivially implies (3); and (3) implies (1) because purity can be checked locally, see [Stacks, Tag 05CL].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7** (cf. [DT19, Lemma 2.1.1]). *Let  $S$  be a splinter. Then  $S$  is reduced and integrally closed in its total fraction ring. If  $S$  has finitely many minimal primes, then  $S$  is a finite product of normal domains.*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in S$  be nilpotent. Then  $S \rightarrow S/aS$  is a finite and finitely presented ring map that induces a surjective map on spectra. Therefore it is split injective and  $a = 0$ . Thus  $S$  is reduced.

Let  $T$  be a finite  $S$ -subalgebra of the total fraction ring  $K$  of  $S$ . Then  $S \rightarrow T$  is injective and finite, so  $\text{Spec}(T) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S)$  is surjective. Thus  $S \rightarrow T$  is pure (Definition 2.1), so  $aT \cap S = aS$  for all  $a \in S$ . Since  $K$  is a localization of  $S$  we see  $S = T$ .

The last statement follows from [Stacks, Tag 030C].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $S$  be a domain containing  $\mathbf{Q}$ , the field of rational numbers. Then  $S$  is a splinter if and only if  $S$  is normal.*

*Proof.* The “only if” part is contained in Lemma 2.7, whereas the “if” part follows immediately from [Hoc73, Lemmas 2 and 3].  $\square$

The following result is essentially due to Datta-Tucker [DT19].

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $S$  be a Noetherian splinter and let  $S'$  be a direct limit of étale  $S$ -algebras. Then  $S'$  is a splinter.*

*Proof.* Combine [DT19, Theorem A] and Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

In the rest of this section we establish a result parallel to [DT21, Theorem 1.0.1]. We first introduce the following definitions.

**Definition 2.10** (cf. [DT21, §4]). Let  $X$  be a scheme. The *splinter locus*  $\text{Spl}(X)$  of  $X$  is the set of points  $x \in X$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a splinter. For a ring  $A$  we write  $\text{Spl}(A)$  for  $\text{Spl}(\text{Spec}(A))$ .

Note that by Corollary 2.6,  $\text{Spl}(X)$  is stable under generalization and a ring  $A$  is a splinter if and only if  $\text{Spl}(A) = \text{Spec}(A)$ .

**Definition 2.11** (cf. [DT21, Definition 3.2.1]). Let  $A$  be a ring and  $B$  be an  $A$ -algebra. The *trace of  $B$  over  $A$*  is the ideal

$$\mathfrak{t}_{B/A} = \text{im}(\text{Hom}_A(B, A) \rightarrow A)$$

where  $\text{Hom}_A(-, -)$  denotes the inner hom in the category of  $A$ -modules and the map is the evaluation at  $1 \in B$ .

For a ring  $A$  we let  $\Sigma_A = \Sigma_A^{f,fp,s}$  be the collection of all ideals  $\mathfrak{t}_{B/A}$  where  $B$  is a finite and finitely presented  $A$ -algebra such that  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is surjective.

**Proposition 2.12.** *The followings hold for an arbitrary ring  $A$ .*

(1) *For all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  we have  $\Sigma_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \{\mathfrak{a}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma_A\}$ ; and*

(2)  *$\text{Spl}(A) = \text{Spec}(A) \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma_A} V(\mathfrak{a})$ .*

*In particular, if  $\Sigma_A$  is finite, then  $\text{Spl}(A)$  is open.*

*Proof.* (1) follows from Lemma 2.5 and [DT21, Lemma 3.2.3]. For (2), we note that a ring map  $A \rightarrow B$  splits as a map of  $A$ -modules if and only if  $\mathfrak{t}_{B/A} = A$ . Thus (2) follows from (1).  $\square$

One more preparation.

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -algebra. Assume that  $R$  is  $F$ -pure. Then there exists a flat local ring map  $R \rightarrow R'$  such that  $R'$  is Noetherian, local,  $F$ -pure, and  $F$ -finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $R$ ,  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ , and let  $k'$  be a field extension of  $k$  that is  $F$ -finite (say the algebraic closure of  $k$ ). Let  $R^\wedge$  be the completion of  $R$ , so  $R^\wedge \cong k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/I$  by Cohen structure theorem. Let  $R' = k'[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/Ik'[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ , a complete Noetherian local ring. Then it is clear that  $R \rightarrow R'$  is flat and local and that  $R'$  is  $F$ -finite. Finally,  $R'/\mathfrak{m}R' = k'$ , so  $R'$  is  $F$ -pure by [Has10, Lemma 3.26] (take  $c = 1$ ).  $\square$

Here is our promised result.

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -algebra. Assume that  $R$  is  $F$ -pure. Then for every smooth  $R$ -scheme  $X$ ,  $\text{Spl}(X)$  is open.*

*Proof.* We may assume  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$  affine. Let  $R \rightarrow R'$  be as in Lemma 2.13 and let  $A' = A \otimes_R R'$ . Then  $A'$  is a smooth  $R'$ -algebra, thus Noetherian,  $F$ -finite, and  $F$ -pure (see [Has10, Proposition 2.4]), hence  $F$ -split. By [DT21, Proposition 3.4.1], we see that  $A'$  has finitely many uniformly  $F$ -compatible ideals, see [DT21, Definition 3.1.1].

For each  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma_A$  (Definition 2.11),  $\mathfrak{a}A'$  is a uniformly  $F$ -compatible ideal by [DT21, Lemma 3.2.3]. Since  $A \rightarrow A'$  is faithfully flat, we see  $\Sigma_A$  is finite, thus  $\text{Spl}(A)$  is open by Proposition 2.12.  $\square$

*Remark.* We can weaken the assumption to that  $X$  is covered by affine opens  $\text{Spec}(A)$  such that  $R \rightarrow A$  is  $F$ -pure, see [Has10, (2.1) and Proposition 2.4].

### 3. ULTRAPRODUCT OF LOCAL RINGS

The notion of ultraproduct is ubiquitous in model theory. For a general definition and treatment specializing to commutative algebra, see [Sch10]. Here we give an equivalent and convenient definition for local rings.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be an index set and  $A_x$  ( $x \in X$ ) be a family of local rings. An *ultraproduct* of the rings  $A_x$  ( $x \in X$ ) is the localization of the ring  $\prod_x A_x$  at a maximal ideal.

An *ultrapower* of a local ring  $A$  is an ultraproduct of a family  $A_x$  ( $x \in X$ ) where each  $A_x = A$ .

*Remark.* The relation between our definition and the usual definition (cf. [Sch10, Chapter 2]) is as follows. For a subset  $U$  of  $X$ , we have an idempotent  $e_U \in \prod_x A_x$  defined by  $(e_U)_x = 1$  when  $x \in U$  and  $(e_U)_x = 0$  when  $x \notin U$ . Given a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $\prod_x A_x$ , we get a family of subsets

$\mathcal{U} := \{U \subseteq X \mid 1 - e_U \in \mathfrak{M}\}$  of  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is a (possibly principal) ultrafilter on  $X$ , and the ultraproduct of the rings  $A_x$  with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$  is the localization  $(\prod_x A_x)_{\mathfrak{M}}$ . (This idea appears in the proof of Lemma 3.4 below.) Therefore our ultraproducts are ultraproducts in the usual sense. Conversely, every ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  comes from a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$ . We leave the proof to the interested reader; the fact that  $A_x$  are local is essential.

Immediately from Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.6, we have

**Lemma 3.2.** *An ultraproduct of local splinters is a splinter. In particular, an ultrapower of a local splinter is a splinter.*

For the next result, recall the following structure theorem of regular maps. See [Stacks, Tag 07GC].

**Theorem 3.3** (Popescu). *A regular homomorphism of Noetherian rings  $R \rightarrow A$  is a direct limit of smooth homomorphisms  $R \rightarrow A_i$ .*

Note that an ultrapower of a local ring  $A$  is canonically an  $A$ -algebra via the diagonal map.

**Lemma 3.4** (cf. [Sch10, Theorem 7.1.1]). *Let  $R \rightarrow A$  be a regular homomorphism of Noetherian rings. Assume that  $R$  is local and strictly Henselian. Then there exists an ultrapower of  $R$  that admits an  $R$ -algebra map from  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $A = \text{colim}_i A_i$  where each  $A_i$  is smooth over  $R$ , possible by Popescu's Theorem 3.3. For each  $i$ , fix a section  $\psi_i : A_i \rightarrow R$ , possible as  $R$  strictly Henselian (cf. [Stacks, Tag 055U]).

Let  $X$  be the family of all finitely generated  $R$ -subalgebras of  $A$ . Each  $x \in X$  is finitely presented over  $R$  since  $R$  is Noetherian, so the inclusion  $x \subseteq A$  factors through some  $A_i$ , see [Stacks, Tag 00Q0]. Composing with  $\psi_i$ , we get a section  $\varphi_x^\circ : x \rightarrow R$ . Now, let  $\varphi_x : A \rightarrow R$  be the map that is identically 0 outside  $x$  and  $\varphi_x^\circ$  on  $x$ . This is of course not a ring map in general, but we do get a map of sets  $\varphi : A \rightarrow R^X$  sending  $a \in A$  to  $(\varphi_x(a))_{x \in X}$ . We will find a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $R^X$  such that the composition  $A \rightarrow R^X \rightarrow (R^X)_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is a map of rings. Note that by construction,  $\varphi$  restricts to the diagonal map on  $R$ , so this ring map will be an  $R$ -algebra map and the proof will be finished.

Now, for each  $x \in X$ , let  $e(x)$  be the idempotent of  $R^X$  given by  $e(x)_y = 0$  when  $x \not\subseteq y$  and 1 otherwise. For finitely many  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  and an element  $r$  in the ideal of  $R^X$  generated by  $e(x_1), \dots, e(x_n)$ , we always have  $r_y = 0$  if  $y \in X$  contains all  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Such a  $y$  always exists – for example, it can be the  $R$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Therefore the elements  $e(x)$  ( $x \in X$ ) do not generate the unit ideal, so there exists a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  that contains all  $e(x)$  ( $x \in X$ ). In particular  $1 - e(x) \notin \mathfrak{M}$  for all  $x \in X$ .

We now show that the composition  $A \rightarrow R^X \rightarrow (R^X)_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is a map of rings, that is, the elements  $\mu := \varphi(a + b) - \varphi(a) - \varphi(b)$  and  $\nu := \varphi(ab) - \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$

are mapped to zero in  $(R^X)_{\mathfrak{M}}$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . To this end, let  $x_0$  be the  $R$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$  and  $b$ . If  $y \in X, x_0 \subseteq y$ , then  $\varphi_y(c) = \varphi_y^\circ(c)$  for all  $c \in x_0$ . Since  $\varphi_y^\circ$  is a ring map,  $\varphi_y(a+b) = \varphi_y(a) + \varphi_y(b)$  and  $\varphi_y(ab) = \varphi_y(a)\varphi_y(b)$ , so  $\mu_y = \nu_y = 0$ . Therefore  $(1 - e(x_0))\mu = (1 - e(x_0))\nu = 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (R', \mathfrak{m}')$  be a flat homomorphism of Noetherian local rings with  $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{m}R'$ . Then any  $R$ -algebra map from  $R'$  to an ultrapower  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  of  $R$  is pure.*

*Proof.* Write  $R_{\mathfrak{q}} = (R^X)_{\mathfrak{M}}$ . We know from [Stacks, Tags 05CZ and 05CY] that  $R^X$  is flat over  $R$ . We conclude that  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is flat over  $R$ . Since  $R'$  is also flat over  $R$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{R'}(R_{\mathfrak{q}}, R'/\mathfrak{m}R') = \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R_{\mathfrak{q}}, R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0.$$

Since  $R'/\mathfrak{m}R' = R'/\mathfrak{m}'$  is a field, the  $\mathfrak{m}R'$ -adic completion  $C$  of the  $R'$ -algebra  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is flat over  $R'$  by [Stacks, Tag 0AGW]. Now note that  $\mathfrak{M}$  lies above  $\mathfrak{m}$  by [Stacks, Tag 0AME]. Therefore  $C/\mathfrak{m}C = R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  (cf. [Stacks, Tag 05GG]) is nonzero and  $C$  is faithfully flat over  $R'$ . Therefore  $R' \rightarrow C$  is pure and so is  $R' \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

First, a remark on regular ring maps.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $A$  be a ring,  $B$  a direct limit of étale  $A$ -algebras,  $C$  a  $B$ -algebra. Assume that  $B$  and  $C$  are Noetherian and that  $A \rightarrow C$  is regular [Stacks, Tag 07BZ]. Then  $B \rightarrow C$  is regular.*

*Proof.* Flatness of  $B \rightarrow C$  follows from [Stacks, Tag 092C], see [Stacks, Tags 092B and 092N]. Now we need to show that for each  $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Spec}(B)$  the Noetherian ring  $C \otimes_B \kappa(\mathfrak{q})$  is geometrically regular over  $\kappa(\mathfrak{q})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$  be the preimage of  $\mathfrak{q}$  in  $A$ . The ring  $C \otimes_A \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  is geometrically regular over  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  and the ring  $B \otimes_A \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$  is a finite direct product of separable algebraic extensions of  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ , see [Stacks, Tag 0AH1]. Therefore  $C \otimes_B \kappa(\mathfrak{q})$  is a direct factor of  $C \otimes_A \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ , and  $\kappa(\mathfrak{q})$  is separable algebraic over  $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ . We conclude by [Stacks, Tag 07QH].  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \longrightarrow & S' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^{sh} & \longrightarrow & S'^{sh} \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are strict Henselization, see [Stacks, Tag 04GU]. The rings  $S^{sh}$  and  $S'^{sh}$  are Noetherian by [Stacks, Tag 06LJ]; and  $S \rightarrow S^{sh}$  is regular by [Stacks, Tags 07EP and 07QI]; thus  $S^{sh} \rightarrow S'^{sh}$  is regular by Lemma 4.1. By flat descent (Lemma 2.2) it suffices to show  $S'^{sh}$  a splinter. By Theorem 2.9, we may therefore assume  $S$  strictly Henselian.

By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, there exists a pure ring map  $S' \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{h}}$ , where  $S_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is an ultrapower of  $S$ . We conclude by Lemmas 2.2 and 3.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.4.* We need to show that our  $S \rightarrow S'$  is regular. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \longrightarrow & S' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^\wedge & \longrightarrow & S'^\wedge \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are completion. The left vertical arrow is regular by the definition of a G-ring and the right vertical arrow is faithfully flat. By [Stacks, Tags 07QI and 07NT] it suffices to show  $S^\wedge \rightarrow S'^\wedge$  regular. This follows from [Stacks, Tag 07PM], see [Stacks, Tag 0322].  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By Popescu's Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 2.3, we may assume  $A$  a smooth  $S$ -algebra. We must show  $\text{Spl}(A) = \text{Spec}(A)$ , see Definition 2.10. By Theorem 2.9 and [Stacks, Tag 054L], we may assume  $A$  a polynomial ring over  $S$ , and by induction on the number of variables, it suffices to show  $S[Y]$  a splinter, where  $Y$  is an indeterminate.

By Corollary 2.6, we may assume  $(S, \mathfrak{m}_S, k_S)$  local, and by induction on  $\dim S$ , that  $S[Y]$  is a splinter outside  $Z := V(\mathfrak{m}_S S[Y])$ . We may also assume  $k_S$  separably closed, in particular infinite, by taking the strict Henselization of  $S$ . Here we used Theorem 2.9 and Lemma 2.2, and the fact that the strict Henselization of a Noetherian local ring is a Noetherian local ring, see [Stacks, Tag 06LJ].

Note that  $S$  is a normal domain (Lemma 2.7), hence so is  $S[Y]$ . If  $S$  contains  $\mathbf{Q}$ , then  $S[Y]$  is a splinter by Lemma 2.8. Thus we may assume  $S$  is of prime characteristic.

Let  $W = \text{Spl}(S[Y])$ . Note that the splinter  $S$  is  $F$ -pure; indeed, the Frobenius map  $F : S \rightarrow F_* S$  is integral and induces a bijective map of spectra, so it is a direct limit of finite ring maps from  $S$  that induces a surjective map of spectra, thus pure (cf. [Stacks, Tag 058J]). Now  $W$  is open in  $\text{Spec}(S[Y]) = \mathbf{A}_S^1$  by Theorem 2.14. On the other hand,  $W$  is stable under translations by all  $a \in S$  (in fact all ring automorphisms of  $S[Y]$ ); and by Theorem 1.3,  $S[Y]_{\mathfrak{m}_S S[Y]}$  is a splinter. Thus  $Z \cap W$  is a non-empty open subset of  $Z$  stable under translations by all  $a \in S$ , thus corresponds to a non-empty open subset of  $\mathbf{A}_{k_S}^1$  stable under translations by all  $a \in k_S$ . Such a set must be the whole of  $\mathbf{A}_{k_S}^1$  since  $k_S$  is infinite. Therefore  $Z \cap W = Z$  and  $W = \text{Spec}(S[Y])$  as desired.  $\square$

*Remark.* The only reason the argument above cannot be applied in mixed characteristic is that the openness result is not available. The same proof as above yields Theorem 1.1 in arbitrary characteristic (possibly with an additional excellence hypothesis) if one can prove a result similar to Theorem 2.14 or [DT21, Theorem 1.0.1] in mixed characteristic, or even just that for



every mixed-characteristic Noetherian complete local splinter  $S$ ,  $\text{Spl}(S[Y])$  is open, which in turn implies  $\text{Spl}(S[Y]) = \text{Spec}(S[Y])$ .

However, we also suggest this to be not easy, since Theorem 1.1 in arbitrary characteristic implies the direct summand theorem. See [DT19, Remark 4.0.1].

## REFERENCES

- [AD21] B. Antieau and R. Datta, *Valuation rings are derived splinters*. Math. Zeitschrift 299 (2021), 827–851.
- [And74] M. André, *Localisation de la lissité formelle*, Manuscripta Math. 13 (1974), 297–307.
- [And18] Y. André, *La conjecture du facteur direct*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 127 (2018), 71–93.
- [Bha18] B. Bhatt, *On the direct summand conjecture and its derived variant*, Invent. Math. 212(2) (2018), 297–317.
- [DT19] R. Datta and K. Tucker, *On some permanence properties of (derived) splinters*. (2019). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.06891>
- [DT21] R. Datta and K. Tucker, *Openness of splinter loci in prime characteristic*. (2021). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.10525>
- [Has10] M. Hashimoto, *F-pure homomorphisms, strong F-regularity, and F-injectivity*. Comm. Algebra 38.12 (2010), 4569–4596.
- [Hoc73] M. Hochster, *Contracted ideals from integral extensions of regular rings*, Nagoya Math. J. 51 (1973), 25–43.
- [Kov17] S.J. Kovács, *Rational singularities*. (2017). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.02269>
- [Mat80] H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*. Second ed. Mathematics Lecture Note Series Vol. 56, Reading, MA: Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc. (1980).
- [Sch10] H. Schoutens, *The Use of Ultraproducts in Commutative Algebra*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1999, Springer (2010).
- [Stacks] The Stacks Project authors, *The Stacks Project*. (2022). <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/>