

# Flux Qubit Based on Hybrid Ferromagnetic-Superconducting Device

Filipp N. Rybakov<sup>\*1,2</sup> and Egor Babaev<sup>†2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden*

We propose a realization of flux qubit based on the hybrid ferromagnetic-superconducting device where the flux bias is induced purely by vector potential of the vanishing magnetic field. We support our conclusions with theoretical analysis and self-consistent three-dimensional simulations for material specific parameters.

## Introduction

One of the promising strategy to realize a quantum bit is based on creation of a superposition of circulating currents in a superconducting device [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Such qubit realization, termed “flux qubit” is realized by creating a superconducting loop subject to external magnetic field (Fig. 1a). When the loop encloses (nearly) half of the superconducting flux quantum,  $\Phi \approx \frac{1}{2}\Phi_0$ , there is an energy near degeneracy of clock-wise (CW) and counter-clockwise (CCW) circulation of superconducting currents which is exploited to create a quantum bit [1, 7, 8]. Some of the scalability issues are naturally related to necessity of creating stable external field in large area that also should be calibrated. Here we propose a realization of flux qubit without the external magnetic field that will provide a compact design.

We propose biasing the device in a superconductor-ferromagnet hybrid realization where the flux bias is induced purely by vector potential from the ferromagnet component (Fig. 1b). First, we give analytical estimates for the idealized case, and then a self-consistent calculation for realistic material constants and geometries.




Figure 1: **Sketches of flux qubits.** **a**, Thin superconducting loop in a uniform external magnetic field. **b**, Same as **a** but instead of the applied field, the system includes a magnet.

## Theoretical analysis

In idealized scenarios, i.e. in the case (Fig. 1a) with a uniform applied magnetic field and in the case

(Fig. 1b) with an infinitely long whisker magnetized to saturation, the vector potential is a function of the distance  $\rho$  to the axis of symmetry and, respectively, can be written as

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2} B_{\text{ext}} \rho \hat{e}_\varphi, \quad (1a)$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 M_s \rho_m \hat{e}_\varphi \begin{cases} \frac{\rho}{\rho_m} & (\rho \leq \rho_m), \\ \frac{\rho_m}{\rho} & (\rho_m < \rho), \end{cases} \quad (1b)$$

where  $\mu_0$  is the vacuum permeability,  $M_s$  is magnetization and  $\rho_m$  is the radius of the whisker. Direct calculation of the magnetic field,  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ , from (1b) gives  $B = \mu_0 M_s$  inside the magnet and  $B = 0$  in the exterior. Despite the zero magnetic fields in the exterior, the vector potential is non-trivial at any distance from the magnet. Accordingly, the condensate of Cooper pairs of electrons in a coaxial superconducting coil is affected by this vector potential. The corresponding effect on the condensate energy density is proportional to  $|\psi|^2 |\hbar \nabla \theta + 2e\mathbf{A}|^2$ , where  $\theta$  is the phase of the wave function  $\psi = |\psi|e^{i\theta}$  and  $e$  is the absolute electron charge. Considering that the phase winding,  $N = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \nabla \theta \cdot d\mathbf{l}$  is an integer, we find that if the setup provides  $A(\rho_s) = \hbar/4e\rho_s$ , then there is a two-degenerate energy minima (corresponding to the values  $N = -1$  and  $N = 0$ ). In our notation,  $\rho_s$  denotes the radius of a negligibly thin superconducting loop. Taking into account equations (1) and the fact that  $\Phi_0 \equiv h/2e \approx 2.07 \times 10^{-15}$  Wb, the condition of inducing half of a flux quantum by either an externally applied magnetic field or by an enclosed (at infinity) ferromagnet, respectively, is

$$B_{\text{ext}} \pi \rho_s^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_0, \quad (2a)$$

$$\mu_0 M_s \pi \rho_m^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_0. \quad (2b)$$

It is important to emphasize that among the geometrical parameters, the radius of the superconducting loop plays a fundamental role in the first case, while in the second case it is the radius of the magnetic whisker. Accordingly, in the first case, taking into account (2a), the compact design entails an undesirable

\*philipp.rybakov@physics.uu.se

†babaev@kth.se




Figure 2: **Calculated equilibrium states of a flux qubit based on a ferromagnet.** The computing box contains a superconducting domain in the form of a rectangular loop and a centered ferromagnetic cuboid. The insets show the distribution of supercurrents near the corner of the loop, as well as the distribution of the magnetic field and magnetization near the ends of the ferromagnet.

strong increase in the bias field. While in the case of using a ferromagnet, taking into account (2b), the radius of the superconducting loop can theoretically be reduced down to tens of nanometers, due to the fact that the field inside the magnet,  $\mu_0 M_s$  can be about 2 Tesla.

The above argument is based on simple generic considerations. However, to implement the device in practice, one needs to address several problems which are not present in the case of bias magnetic fields. Namely, because the device does not involve external tuning tools, it must be designed as accurately as possible in relation to the main requirement – the energy (near-) equivalence of two quantum states. Accordingly, it is important to take into account a number of features that matter when considering realistic materials and shapes. For example, a magnetic whisker must have a finite size. Which, in turn, entails stray fields, and also the magnetization inside the whisker becomes inhomogeneous. In addition, the supercurrent induces its own magnetic field, which contributes to the energy balance. Finally, for circuit elements, rectangular shapes may be preferable to cylindrical ones. Below, we demonstrate that these issues are addressed using self-consistent material-specific simulation of the device. We have designed an example device in such a way as to achieve matching the energies of quantum states with clock-wise and counter-clock-wise currents.

## Simulations

The static Hamiltonian for a hybrid system of superconductors and magnets coupled through magnetic

potential could be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H = \int_{\Omega_s} d\mathbf{r} & \left( \frac{1}{2m_*} |(-i\hbar\nabla - q_*\mathbf{A})\psi|^2 + \alpha|\psi|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}|\psi|^4 \right) \\
 & + \int_{\Omega_m} d\mathbf{r} \left( \mathcal{A} \sum_{i=x,y,z} |\nabla m_i|^2 - M_s \mathbf{m} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \right) \\
 & + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{r} \sum_{i=x,y,z} |\nabla A_i|^2, \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega_s$  and  $\Omega_m$  – superconducting and magnetic domains respectively, and  $\mathbb{R}^3$  denotes three-dimensional space. Expression (3) is a combination of the Ginzburg-Landau and micromagnetic functionals based on the uniform consideration of the vector potential [9, 10]. The parameter  $m_*$  denotes the effective mass of the Cooper pair whose effective charge is  $q_* = -2e$ . Phenomenological parameters  $\alpha < 0$  and  $\beta$  are representable via the critical field,  $B_c$  and the Ginzburg-Landau parameter,  $\kappa$ :  $\alpha = -\frac{B_c \kappa \hbar |q_*|}{\sqrt{2} m_*}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{\kappa^2 \hbar^2 \mu_0 q_*^2}{2 m_*^2}$ . The parameter  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes the exchange stiffness of a ferromagnet. The unit vector field,  $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r})$  represents the magnetization texture in a ferromagnet.

We consider (as  $\Omega_s$ ) a superconducting rectangular loop 200 nm wide with squared 20×20 nm cross-section. We choose the material parameters of the superconductor loop similar to those fitted for nanosamples of aluminum at low temperatures [11]:  $B_c = 0.02$  T and  $\kappa = 1$ . It is important to note that the Ginzburg-Landau functional with appropriately fitted parameters can provide quantitative description of a superconductor whose temperature is much lower than the critical one [12, 13].

We consider (as  $\Omega_m$ ) a centered ferromagnetic whisker of size  $24 \times 24 \times (280 - 330)$  nm. Similar Fe- and Co-based nano-whiskers can be synthesized, for example, via physical vapor deposition [14]. We choose the material parameters of the ferromagnet similar to those for iron [15]:  $\mathcal{A} = 10^{-11}$  J m $^{-1}$ ,  $M_s = 1.7 \times 10^6$  A m $^{-1}$ .

The solutions for (3) were obtained by numerical minimization technique by using Excalibur software [16]. A summary of the underlying discretization and minimization methods can be found in [17]. The discretization grid parameter was equal to 2 nm in all three dimensions. The expression (3) has been converted to a dimensionless form so that  $\psi$  is assumed to be in the units of  $\sqrt{|\alpha|/\beta}$ , distances – in the units of  $l_0 = 10^{-9}$  m, vector potential – in the units of  $B_0 l_0$ , energy density – in the units of  $B_0^2/\mu_0$ , where  $B_0 = 1$  T.

By adjusting the length of the magnetic whisker, we found that the length corresponding to the equality of the total energies for CW and CCW currents is approximately equal to 316 nm. Figure 2 illustrates the corresponding numerical solution. We note that calculations show that the supercurrent density is almost uniformly distributed over the cross-section of the superconductor (only slightly except at the corners).

Our fully-self-consistent calculations confirm that this ferromagnetic material of this size and shape is in a single-domain state, hence allowing this qubit set up. Furthermore, as shown in the insets of the Figure 2, the stray fields are relatively small. At the same time, the magnetization near the ends is substantially inhomogeneous. However, we find that the stability of the magnetic state is very high, and the initial guess with a two-domain configuration and a domain wall in the middle of the upper/lower half of the whisker is unstable and minimizes to the solution shown in Figure 2.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, by using a fully self-consistent simulation of superconducting loop coupled to a ferromagnet we demonstrated that it is possible to realize a quantum bit where the flux is induced by a ferromagnet. The compact design, due to high internal magnetic fields in the ferromagnet, and at the same time the robustness of this magnetic state is a potential advantage of such a device, and the degree of freedom associated with the almost arbitrary loop area opens up a wide range for circuit engineering. The shown numerical solvability of the problem also allows designing more complicated geometries such as linked superconducting and ferromagnetic loops that would diminish stray fields of such a qubit.

## Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge support from the Swedish Research Council (including grants No. 2016-06122, 2018-03659), Olle Engkvists stiftelse and VINNOVA.

## References

- [1] J. E. Mooij et al. “Josephson Persistent-Current Qubit”. *Science* 285 (1999), pp. 1036–1039. URL: <https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.285.5430.1036>.
- [2] T. P. Orlando et al. “Superconducting persistent-current qubit”. *Phys. Rev. B* 60 (1999), pp. 15398–15413. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.15398>.
- [3] F. Yan et al. “The flux qubit revisited to enhance coherence and reproducibility”. *Nature Communications* 7 (2016), p. 12964. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12964>.
- [4] Q. Ficheux et al. “Fast Logic with Slow Qubits: Microwave-Activated Controlled-Z Gate on Low-Frequency Fluxoniums”. *Phys. Rev. X* 11 (2021), p. 021026. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.021026>.
- [5] A. Somoroff et al. “Millisecond coherence in a superconducting qubit”. *arXiv:2103.08578* (2021). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.08578>.
- [6] H. Zhang et al. “Universal Fast-Flux Control of a Coherent, Low-Frequency Qubit”. *Phys. Rev. X* 11 (2021), p. 011010. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.011010>.
- [7] I. Chiorescu et al. “Coherent Quantum Dynamics of a Superconducting Flux Qubit”. *Science* 299 (2003), pp. 1869–1871. URL: <https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.1081045>.
- [8] J. Clarke and F. K. Wilhelm. “Superconducting quantum bits”. *Nature* 453 (2008), pp. 1031–1042. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07128>.
- [9] Q. Du and X. Wu. “Numerical Solution of the Three-Dimensional Ginzburg–Landau Models Using Artificial Boundary”. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* 36 (1999), pp. 1482–1506. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036142997330317>.
- [10] G. Di Fratta et al. “Variational Principles of Micromagnetics Revisited”. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 52 (2020), pp. 3580–3599. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1137/19M1261365>.
- [11] D. J. van Woerkom, A. Geresdi, and L. P. Kouwenhoven. “One minute parity lifetime of a NbTiN Cooper-pair transistor”. *Nature Physics* 11 (2015), pp. 547–550. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3342>.

- [12] A. Kanda et al. “Experimental Evidence for Giant Vortex States in a Mesoscopic Superconducting Disk”. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93 (2004), p. 257002. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.257002>.
- [13] M. Silaev and E. Babaev. “Microscopic derivation of two-component Ginzburg-Landau model and conditions of its applicability in two-band systems”. *Phys. Rev. B* 85 (2012), p. 134514. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.134514>.
- [14] W. Huang et al. “Synthesis of magnetic Fe and Co nano-whiskers and platelets via physical vapor deposition”. *Materials and Design* 208 (2021), p. 109914. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264127521004676>.
- [15] G. S. Abo et al. “Definition of Magnetic Exchange Length”. *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics* 49 (2013), pp. 4937–4939.
- [16] F. N. Rybakov and E. Babaev. *Excalibur software*. URL: <http://quantumandclassical.com/excalibur/>.
- [17] F. N. Rybakov. “Topological excitations in field theory models of superconductivity and magnetism”. PhD thesis. Stockholm: KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 2021. URL: <http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:kth:diva-301652>.