

## THREE COLORING VIA TRIANGLE COUNTING

Zachary Hamaker<sup>†</sup> and Vincent Vatter<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics  
University of Florida  
Gainesville, Florida USA

September 13, 2022

In the first partial result toward Steinberg’s now-disproved three coloring conjecture, Abbott and Zhou used a counting argument to show that every planar graph without cycles of lengths 4 through 11 is 3-colorable. Implicit in their proof is a fact about plane graphs: in any plane graph of minimum degree 3, if no two triangles share an edge, then triangles make up strictly less than  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the faces. We show how this result, combined with Kostochka and Yancey’s resolution of Ore’s conjecture for  $k = 4$ , implies that every planar graph without cycles of lengths 4 through 8 is 3-colorable.

In a 1975 letter, Steinberg asked if a planar graph without 4- or 5-cycles is necessarily 3-colorable [10, Problem 9.1]. There was little to no progress on Steinberg’s conjecture until 1990. Surely some of this lack of progress was because Steinberg’s conjecture is actually false, as established in 2017:

**Theorem 1** (Cohen-Addad, Hebdige, Král’, Li, and Salgado [6]). *There exists a planar graph without cycles of length 4 or 5 that is not 3-colorable.*

In 1990, Erdős asked [10, Problem 9.2] if there is an integer  $k$  such every planar graph without cycles lengths 4 through  $k$  is 3-colorable. The first answer to Erdős’s conjecture appeared only a year after he posed it.

**Theorem 2** (Abbott and Zhou [1]). *Every planar graph without cycles of lengths 4 through 11 is 3-colorable.*

Abbott and Zhou’s proof was at its heart a counting argument. A series of improvements to Theorem 2 have been achieved, all using discharging rather than counting arguments. First, Borodin [3] proved that it suffices to forbid cycles of lengths 4 through 10. Then, Borodin [2] and Sanders and Zhao [9] proved independently that it suffices to forbid cycles of lengths 4 through 9. The current state-of-the-art is the following.

---

<sup>†</sup>Hamaker’s research was partially supported by the NSF via award number 2054423.

<sup>‡</sup>Vatter’s research was partially supported by the Simons Foundation via award number 636113.

**Theorem 3** (Borodin, Glebov, Raspaud, and Salavatipour [4]). *Every planar graph without cycles of lengths 4 through 7 is 3-colorable.*

Given that Theorem 1 shows that forbidding cycles of lengths 4 and 5 does not ensure a 3-coloring, this leaves an open problem.

**Open Problem 4.** *If a planar graph does not have cycles of lengths 4, 5, or 6, is it necessarily 3-colorable?*

Our goal in this note is to revisit Abbott and Zhou's proof of Theorem 2 and show how combining their approach with a recent theorem of Kostochka and Yancey yields a result nearly as good as Theorem 3 with very little effort. We begin by making explicit a result about plane graphs that is hidden in Abbott and Zhou's proof of Theorem 2:

**Theorem 5.** *If  $G$  is a connected plane graph of minimum degree 3 in which no two triangles share an edge, then triangles make up strictly less than  $2/3$  of its faces.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a connected plane graph with  $n$  vertices,  $e$  edges, and  $f$  faces. Further let  $n_3$  denote the number of degree 3 vertices in  $G$ , let  $f_3$  denote the number of triangular faces of  $G$ , and let  $e_3$  denote the number of edges contained in a triangular face. Note that since no two triangles share an edge,  $f_3 = e_3/3$ . By double counting edges, since the minimum degree of  $G$  is 3, we have

$$2e = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg v \geq 3n_3 + 4(n - n_3) = 4n - n_3,$$

so  $n_3 \geq 4n - 2e$ .

Now let  $v$  be a vertex of degree 3 in  $G$ . Since no edge is contained in two triangles, at least one of the edges incident to  $v$  must not be part of a triangle, and so contributes to  $e - e_3$ . As this edge might be incident to two vertices of degree 3, the most we can claim is that  $e - e_3 \geq n_3/2$ , or after rearranging,  $e_3 \leq e - n_3/2$ . Combining this with our inequality on  $n_3$ , we have

$$f_3 = \frac{e_3}{3} \leq \frac{e - n_3/2}{3} \leq \frac{2e - 2n}{3} = \frac{2f - 4}{3},$$

where the final equality follows by Euler's formula,  $f + n = e + 2$ . This proves the result.  $\square$

Theorem 5 quickly leads to a proof of Theorem 2:

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $G$  be a plane graph with  $n$  vertices,  $e$  edges, and  $f$  faces, and without cycles of lengths 4 through 11. We prove the result by induction on  $n$ , the base case  $n = 0$  holding trivially. If  $G$  has a vertex  $v$  of degree at most 2, then  $G - v$  is 3-colorable by induction, and we may extend such a coloring to 3-color  $G$ . Thus we may assume that the minimum degree of  $G$  is 3. Similarly, we may assume that  $G$  is connected.

Let  $f_3$  denote the number of triangles in  $G$ . No two triangles of  $G$  may share an edge because  $G$  does not contain any 4-cycles, so  $f_3 < 2f/3$  by Theorem 5. As every edge

lies on two faces and every non-triangular face of  $G$  has at least 12 edges, the number of non-triangular faces of  $G$  satisfies  $f - f_3 \leq (2e - 3f_3)/12$ . Thus we have

$$f \leq f_3 + \frac{2e - 3f_3}{12} = \frac{e}{6} + \frac{3f_3}{4} < \frac{e}{6} + \frac{f}{2}, \quad (\dagger)$$

so  $f < e/3$ . By Euler's formula we have  $e = n + f - 2$ , so

$$e = n + f - 2 < n + \frac{e}{3} - 2, \quad (\ddagger)$$

and thus  $e < 3n/2 - 3$ . This proves that  $G$  has average degree less than 3. Therefore, it must contain a vertex of degree at most 2, and so can be 3-colored by induction.  $\square$

If cycles of length 11 are allowed, then the inequality in  $(\dagger)$  must be changed to

$$f \leq f_3 + \frac{2e - 3f_3}{11} = \frac{2e}{11} + \frac{8f_3}{11} < \frac{2e}{11} + \frac{16f}{33}.$$

This implies that  $f < 6e/17$ , so  $(\ddagger)$  becomes

$$e = n + f - 2 < n + \frac{6e}{17} - 2,$$

which implies that  $e < 17n/11 - 34/11$ . This is not enough to guarantee a vertex of degree at most 2, and so the argument used by Abbott and Zhou cannot be used to prove a result stronger than Theorem 2.

There is, however, a different way to use Theorem 5 to prove a result about 3-coloring planar graphs without cycles. A graph is  $k$ -critical if it has chromatic number  $k$ , but all of its induced subgraphs have chromatic number strictly less than  $k$ . Kostochka and Yancey [8] recently nearly resolved Ore's conjecture on the minimum number of edges in a  $k$ -critical graph. They also gave [7] a short and self-contained proof in the case  $k = 4$ , where the result reduces to the following.

**Theorem 6** (Kostochka and Yancey [7, 8]). *If  $G$  is a 4-critical graph with  $n$  vertices and  $e$  edges, then*

$$e \geq \frac{5n - 2}{3}.$$

Kostochka and Yancey [7] showed how Theorem 6 leads to a very short proof of Grötsch's celebrated three color theorem (every triangle-free planar graph is 3-colorable). Borodin, Kostochka, Lidický, and Yancey [5] later showed how Theorem 6 can also be used to give a short proof of Grünbaum's three color theorem (every planar graph with at most three triangles is 3-colorable). Below, we use Theorem 6 together with the bound on triangles given by Theorem 5 to derive a result nearly as good as Theorem 3.

**Theorem 7.** *Every planar graph without cycles of lengths 4 through 8 is 3-colorable.*

*Proof.* Suppose that the result is not true and take  $G$  to be a plane graph of minimal order, say  $n$ , that is not 3-colorable despite having no cycles of lengths 4 through 8. Let  $e$  denote the number of edges of  $G$  and  $f$  denote the number of faces. As it is a minimal counterexample,  $G$  must be 4-critical, so we have  $e \geq 5n/3 - 2/3$  by Theorem 6. Let  $f_3$

denote the number of triangles in  $G$ ; again we have  $f_3 < 2f/3$  by Theorem 5. As the shortest non-triangular faces of  $G$  have length 9, the inequality (†) in our proof of Theorem 2 becomes

$$f \leq f_3 + \frac{2e - 3f_3}{9} = \frac{2e}{9} + \frac{2f_3}{3} < \frac{2e}{9} + \frac{4f}{9}.$$

This implies that  $f < 2e/5$ , so by applying Euler's formula, the inequality (‡) becomes

$$e = n + f - 2 < n + \frac{2e}{5} - 2.$$

However, this shows that  $e < 5n/3 - 10/3$ , which contradicts the fact that  $e \geq 5n/3 - 2/3$ .  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Zachary Hunter for discovering a mistake in a previous version of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ABBOTT, H. L., AND ZHOU, B. On small faces in 4-critical planar graphs. *Ars Combin.* 32 (1991), 203–207.
- [2] BORODIN, O. V. Structural properties of plane graphs without adjacent triangles and an application to 3-colorings. *J. Graph Theory* 21, 2 (1996), 183–186.
- [3] BORODIN, O. V. To the paper of H.L. Abbott and B. Zhou on 4-critical planar graphs. *Ars Combin.* 43 (1996), 191–192.
- [4] BORODIN, O. V., GLEBOV, A. N., RASPAUD, A., AND SALAVATIPOUR, M. R. Planar graphs without cycles of length from 4 to 7 are 3-colorable. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 93, 2 (2005), 303–311.
- [5] BORODIN, O. V., KOSTOCHKA, A. V., LIDICKÝ, B., AND YANCEY, M. P. Short proofs of coloring theorems on planar graphs. *European J. Combin.* 36 (2014), 314–321.
- [6] COHEN-ADDAD, V., HEBDIGE, M., KRÁL', D., LI, Z., AND SALGADO, E. Steinberg's conjecture is false. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 122 (2017), 452–456.
- [7] KOSTOCHKA, A. V., AND YANCEY, M. P. Ore's conjecture for  $k = 4$  and Grötzsch's theorem. *Combinatorica* 34, 3 (2014), 323–329.
- [8] KOSTOCHKA, A. V., AND YANCEY, M. P. Ore's conjecture on color-critical graphs is almost true. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 109 (2014), 73–101.
- [9] SANDERS, D. P., AND ZHAO, Y. A note on the three color problem. *Graphs Combin.* 11, 1 (1995), 91–94.
- [10] STEINBERG, R. The state of the three color problem. In *Quo Vadis, Graph Theory?*, J. Gimbel, J. W. Kennedy, and L. V. Quintas, Eds., vol. 55 of *Ann. Discrete Math.* North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1993, pp. 211–248.