# BOUNDARY POINTS, MINIMAL $L^{2}$ INTEGRALS AND CONCAVITY PROPERTY II: ON WEAKLY PSEUDOCONVEX KÄHLER MANIFOLDS 

QI'AN GUAN, ZHITONG MI, AND ZHENG YUAN


#### Abstract

In this article, we consider minimal $L^{2}$ integrals on the sublevel sets of plurisubharmonic functions on weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds with Lebesgue measurable gain related to modules at boundary points of the sublevel sets, and establish a concavity property of the minimal $L^{2}$ integrals. As applications, we present a necessary condition for the concavity degenerating to linearity, a concavity property related to modules at inner points of the sublevel sets, an optimal support function related to the modules, a strong openness property of the modules and a twisted version, an effectiveness result of the strong openness property of the modules.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\varphi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on a complex manifold $M$ (see [12]). Recall that the multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)$ is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions $f$ such that $|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}$ is locally integrable, which plays an important role in several complex variables, complex algebraic geometry and complex differential geometry (see e.g. [50, 45, 46, 15, 16, 13, 17, 43, 48, 49, 14, 37]).

The strong openness property of multiplier ideal sheaves [33], i.e. $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)=$ $\mathcal{I}_{+}(\varphi):=\bigcup_{\epsilon>0} \mathcal{I}((1+\epsilon) \varphi$ ) (conjectured by Demailly 13$)$ is an important feature of multiplier ideal sheaves and has opened the door to new types of approximation technique (see e.g. [33, 44, 41, 4, 5, 19, 7, 51, 36, 52, 53, 20, 42, 8). Guan-Zhou 33 proved the strong openness property (the 2-dimensional case was proved by Jonsson-Mustaţă 39]). After that, Guan-Zhou 34] established an effectiveness result of the strong openness property by considering the minimal $L^{2}$ integral on the pseudoconvex domain $D$.

Considering the minimal $L^{2}$ integrals on the sublevel sets of the weight $\varphi$, Guan [23] obtained a sharp version of Guan-Zhou's effectiveness result, and established a concavity property of the minimal $L^{2}$ integrals (see also [22], [25], [26], [28], [27]).

Recall that the minimal $L^{2}$ integrals on the sublevel sets of $\varphi$ in 23 (see also [22, ,25], [26], 28, ,27]) are related to the modules (ideals) at the inner points of the sublevel sets. In [2], Bao-Guan-Yuan considered the minimal $L^{2}$ integrals related to modules at boundary points of the sublevel sets of plurisubharmonic functions on pseudoconvex domains, and gave a concavity property of the minimal $L^{2}$ integrals, which deduced a sharp effectiveness result related to a conjecture posed

[^0]by Jonsson-Mustaţă [39, and completed the approach from the conjecture to the strong openness property.

In the present article, we consider minimal $L^{2}$ integrals on sublevel sets of plurisubharmonic functions on weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds with Lebesgue measurable gain related to modules at boundary points of the sublevel sets, and establish a concavity property of the minimal $L^{2}$ integrals.
1.1. Main result. Let $M$ be a complex manifold. Let $X$ and $Z$ be closed subsets of $M$. We call that a triple $(M, X, Z)$ satisfies condition $(A)$, if the following two statements hold:
I. $X$ is a closed subset of $M$ and $X$ is locally negligible with respect to $L^{2}$ holomorphic functions; i.e., for any local coordinated neighborhood $U \subset M$ and for any $L^{2}$ holomorphic function $f$ on $U \backslash X$, there exists an $L^{2}$ holomorphic function $\tilde{f}$ on $U$ such that $\left.\tilde{f}\right|_{U \backslash X}=f$ with the same $L^{2}$ norm;
$I I . Z$ is an analytic subset of $M$ and $M \backslash(X \cup Z)$ is a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifold.

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional complex manifold, and let $(M, X, Z)$ satisfy condition $(A)$. Let $K_{M}$ be the canonical line bundle on $M$. Let $F$ be a holomorphic function on $M$. We assume that $F$ is not identically zero. Let $\psi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $M$. Let $\varphi_{\alpha}$ be a Lebesgue measurable function on $M$ such that $\varphi_{\alpha}+\psi$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $M$.

Let $T \in[-\infty,+\infty)$. Denote that

$$
\Psi:=\min \{\psi-2 \log |F|,-T\} .
$$

For any $z \in M$ satisfying $F(z)=0$, we set $\Psi(z)=-T$. Note that for any $t \geq T$, the holomorphic function $F$ has no zero points on the set $\{\Psi<-t\}$. Hence $\Psi=\psi-2 \log |F|=\psi+2 \log \left|\frac{1}{F}\right|$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $\{\Psi<-t\}$.

Definition 1.1. We call that a positive measurable function c (so-called "gain") on $(T,+\infty)$ is in class $P_{T, M, \Psi}$ if the following two statements hold:
(1) $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$;
(2) There exist $T_{1}>T$ and a closed subset $E$ of $M$ such that $E \subset Z \cap\{\Psi(z)=$ $-\infty\}$ and for any compact subset $K \subset M \backslash E$, $e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c\left(-\Psi_{1}\right)$ has a positive lower bound on $K$, where $\Psi_{1}:=\min \left\{\psi-2 \log |F|,-T_{1}\right\}$.

Let $z_{0}$ be a point in $M$. Denote that $\tilde{J}(\Psi)_{z_{0}}:=\{f \in \mathcal{O}(\{\Psi<-t\} \cap V): t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V$ is a neighborhood of $\left.z_{0}\right\}$. We define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\tilde{J}(\Psi)_{z_{0}}$ as follows: for any $f, g \in \tilde{J}(\Psi)_{z_{0}}$, we call $f \backsim g$ if $f=g$ holds on $\{\Psi<-t\} \cap V$ for some $t \gg T$ and open neighborhood $V \ni \underset{\tilde{J}}{\ni}$. Denote $\tilde{J}(\Psi)_{z_{0}} / \backsim$ by $J(\Psi)_{z_{0}}$, and denote the equivalence class including $f \in \tilde{J}(\Psi)_{z_{0}}$ by $f_{z_{0}}$.

If $z_{0} \in \cap_{t>T}\{\Psi<-t\}$, then $J(\Psi)_{z_{0}}=\mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$ (the stalk of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{M}$ at $z_{0}$ ), and $f_{z_{0}}$ is the germ $\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ of holomorphic function $f$.

Let $f_{z_{0}}, g_{z_{0}} \in J(\Psi)_{z_{0}}$ and $\left(h, z_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$. We define $f_{z_{0}}+g_{z_{0}}:=(f+g)_{z_{0}}$ and $\left(h, z_{0}\right) \cdot f_{z_{0}}:=(h f)_{z_{0}}$. Note that $(f+g)_{z_{0}}$ and $(h f)_{z_{0}}\left(\in J(\Psi)_{z_{0}}\right)$ are independent of the choices of the representatives of $f, g$ and $h$. Hence $J(\Psi)_{z_{0}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$-module.

For $f_{z_{0}} \in J(\Psi)_{z_{0}}$ and $a, b \geq 0$, we call $f_{z_{0}} \in I\left(a \Psi+b \varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{z_{0}}$ if there exist $t \gg T$ and a neighborhood $V$ of $z_{0}$, such that $\int_{\{\Psi<-t\} \cap V}|f|^{2} e^{-a \Psi-b \varphi_{\alpha}}<+\infty$. Note that
 $I_{z_{0}}=\mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$, where $I_{z_{0}}:=I\left(0 \Psi+0 \varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{z_{0}}$.

Let $Z_{0}$ be a subset of $M$. Let $f$ be a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\} \cap V$, where $V \supset Z_{0}$ is an open subset of $M$ and $t_{0}>T$ is a real number. Let $J_{z_{0}}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$-submodule of $J(\Psi)_{z_{0}}$ such that $I\left(\Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{z_{0}} \subset J_{z_{0}}$, where $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. Denote

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\inf \left\{\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|\tilde{f}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi): \tilde{f} \in H^{0}\left(\{\Psi<-t\}, \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.\&(\tilde{f}-f)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}, \text { for any } z_{0} \in Z_{0}\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{array}
$$

by $G\left(t ; c, \Psi, \varphi_{\alpha}, J, f\right)$, where $t \in[T,+\infty), c$ is a nonnegative function on $(T,+\infty)$ and $|f|^{2}:=\sqrt{-1}^{n^{2}} f \wedge \bar{f}$ for any $(n, 0)$ form $f$. Without misunderstanding, we simply denote $G\left(t ; c, \Psi, \varphi_{\alpha}, J, f\right)$ by $G(t)$. For various $c, \psi$ and $\varphi_{\alpha}$, we simply denote $G\left(t ; c, \Psi, \varphi_{\alpha}, J, f\right)$ by $G(t ; c), G(t ; \Psi)$ and $G\left(t ; \varphi_{\alpha}\right)$ respectively.

In this article, we obtain the following concavity property of $G(t)$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $c \in \mathcal{P}_{T, M, \Psi}$. If there exists $t \in[T,+\infty)$ satisfying that $G(t)<$ $+\infty$, then $G\left(h^{-1}(r)\right)$ is concave with respect to $r \in\left(\int_{T_{1}}^{T} c(t) e^{-t} d t, \int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t\right)$, $\lim _{t \rightarrow T+0} G(t)=G(T)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} G(t)=0$, where $h(t)=\int_{T_{1}}^{t} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}$ and $T_{1} \in$ $(T,+\infty)$.

When $M$ is a pseudoconvex domain $D$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \varphi_{\alpha} \equiv 0, c(t) \equiv 1$ and $T=0$, Theorem 1.2 degenerates to the concavity property in [2].

Remark 1.3. Let $c \in \mathcal{P}_{T, M, \Psi}$. If $\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t=+\infty$ and $f_{z_{0}} \notin \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for some $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$, then $G(t)=+\infty$ for any $t \geq T$. Thus, when there exists $t \in[T,+\infty)$ satisfying that $G(t) \in(0,+\infty)$, we have $\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t<+\infty$ and $G\left(\hat{h}^{-1}(r)\right)$ is concave with respect to $r \in\left(0, \int_{T}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t\right)$, where $\hat{h}(t)=$ $\int_{t}^{+\infty} c(l) e^{-l} d l$.

Let $c(t)$ be a nonnegative measurable function on $(T,+\infty)$. Set

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{H}^{2}(c, t)=\left\{\tilde{f}: \int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|\tilde{f}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty, \tilde{f} \in H^{0}\left(\{\Psi<-t\}, \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.\left.\&(\tilde{f}-f)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}, \text { for any } z_{0} \in Z_{0}\right)\right\},
\end{array}
$$

where $t \in[T,+\infty)$.
As a corollary of Theorem [1.2, we give a necessary condition for the concavity property degenerating to linearity.

Corollary 1.4. Let $c \in \mathcal{P}_{T, M, \Psi}$. Assume that $G(t) \in(0,+\infty)$ for some $t \geq$ $T$, and $G\left(\hat{h}^{-1}(r)\right)$ is linear with respect to $r \in\left[0, \int_{T}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right)$, where $\hat{h}(t)=$ $\int_{t}^{+\infty} c(l) e^{-l} d l$.

Then there exists a unique holomorphic ( $n, 0$ ) form $\tilde{F}$ on $\{\Psi<-T\}$ such that $(\tilde{F}-f)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ holds for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$, and $G(t)=\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$ holds for any $t \geq T$.

## Furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{-t_{1} \leq \Psi<-t_{2}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} a(-\Psi)=\frac{G\left(T_{1} ; c\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t} \int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}} a(t) e^{-t} d t \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any nonnegative measurable function a on $(T,+\infty)$, where $T \leq t_{2}<t_{1} \leq$ $+\infty$ and $T_{1} \in(T,+\infty)$.

Remark 1.5. If $\mathcal{H}^{2}\left(\tilde{c}, t_{0}\right) \subset \mathcal{H}^{2}\left(c, t_{0}\right)$ for some $t_{0} \geq T$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(t_{0} ; \tilde{c}\right)=\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \tilde{c}(-\Psi)=\frac{G\left(T_{1} ; c\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t} \int_{t_{0}}^{+\infty} \tilde{c}(s) e^{-s} d s \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c}$ is a nonnegative measurable function on $(T,+\infty)$ and $T_{1} \in(T,+\infty)$.
1.2. Applications. In this section, we give some applications of Theorem 1.2
1.2.1. Concavity property at inner points. Let $M$ be a complex manifold. Let $X$ and $Z$ be closed subsets of $M$. Assume that the triple $(M, X, Z)$ satisfies condition $(A)$. Let $\psi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $M$. Let $\varphi$ be a Lebesgue measurable function on $M$, such that $\psi+\varphi$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $M$. Denote $T=-\sup _{M} \psi$.

Definition 1.6. We call a positive measurable function c (so-called "gain") on $(T,+\infty)$ in class $P_{T, M}$ if the following two statements hold:
(1) $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$;
(2) there is a closed subset $E$ of $M$ such that $E \subset Z \cap\{\psi(z)=-\infty\}$ and for any compact subset $K \subset M \backslash E$, $e^{-\varphi} c(-\psi)$ has a positive lower bound on $K$.

Let $Z_{0}$ be a subset of $\{\psi=-\infty\}$ such that $Z_{0} \cap \operatorname{Supp}(\mathcal{O} / \mathcal{I}(\varphi+\psi)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $U \supset Z_{0}$ be an open subset of $M$, and let $f$ be a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form on $U$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{z_{0}} \supset \mathcal{I}(\varphi+\psi)_{z_{0}}$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$.

Denote

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\inf \left\{\int_{\{\psi<-t\}}|\tilde{f}|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c(-\psi): \tilde{f} \in H^{0}\left(\{\psi<-t\}, \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)\right)\right.  \tag{1.4}\\
\left.\&(\tilde{f}-f) \in H^{0}\left(Z_{0},\left.\left(\mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right) \otimes \mathcal{F}\right)\right|_{Z_{0}}\right)\right\}
\end{array}
$$

by $G(t ; c, \psi, \varphi, f, \mathcal{F})$, where $t \in[T,+\infty), c$ is a nonnegative function on $(T,+\infty)$, $|f|^{2}:=\sqrt{-1}^{n^{2}} f \wedge \bar{f}$ for any $(n, 0)$ form $f$, and $(\tilde{f}-f) \in H^{0}\left(Z_{0},\left.\left(\mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right) \otimes \mathcal{F}\right)\right|_{Z_{0}}\right)$ means $\left(\tilde{f}-f, z_{0}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right) \otimes \mathcal{F}\right)_{z_{0}}$ for all $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$.

Using Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following concavity property of $G(t ; c, \psi, \varphi, f, \mathcal{F})$.
Corollary 1.7. Assume that $c \in \mathcal{P}_{T, M}$. If there exists $t_{0} \geq T$ satisfying that $G\left(t_{0} ; c, \psi, \varphi, f, \mathcal{F}\right)<+\infty$, then $G\left(h^{-1}(r) ; c, \psi, \varphi, f, \mathcal{F}\right)$ is concave with respect to $r \in$ $\left(\int_{T_{1}}^{T} c(t) e^{-t} d t, \int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t\right), \lim _{t \rightarrow T+0} G(t ; c, \psi, \varphi, f, \mathcal{F})=G(T ; c, \psi, \varphi, f, \mathcal{F})$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} G(t ; c, \psi, \varphi, f, \mathcal{F})=0$, where $h(t)=\int_{T_{1}}^{t} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}$ and $T_{1} \in(T,+\infty)$.

It follows from Corollary 1.4 and Corollary 1.7 that we have the following remark.

Remark 1.8. Let $c \in \mathcal{P}_{T, M}$. Assume that $G(t ; c, \psi, \varphi, f, \mathcal{F}) \in(0,+\infty)$ for some $t \geq T$, and $G\left(\hat{h}^{-1}(r) ; c, \psi, \varphi, f, \mathcal{F}\right)$ is linear with respect to $r \in\left[0, \int_{T}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right)$, where $\hat{h}(t)=\int_{t}^{+\infty} c(l) e^{-l} d l$.

Then there exists a unique holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}$ on $M$ such that $(\tilde{F}-f) \in$ $H^{0}\left(Z_{0},\left.\left(\mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right) \otimes \mathcal{F}\right)\right|_{Z_{0}}\right)$ and $G(t ; c, \psi, \varphi, f, \mathcal{F})=\int_{\{\psi<-t\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c(-\psi)$ holds for any $t \geq T$.

Furthermore

$$
\int_{\left\{-t_{1} \leq \psi<-t_{2}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi} a(-\psi)=\frac{G\left(T_{1} ; c, \psi, \varphi, f, \mathcal{F}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t} \int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}} a(t) e^{-t} d t
$$

holds for any nonnegative measurable function a on $(T,+\infty)$, where $T \leq t_{2}<t_{1} \leq$ $+\infty$ and $T_{1} \in(T,+\infty)$.

When $\psi$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $M$, and $\{\psi<-t\} \backslash(X \cup Z)$ is a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifold for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, Corollary 1.7 and Remark 1.8 can be referred to [27] (see also [26] and [1]).
1.2.2. An optimal support function related to $I(a \Psi)$. Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional complex manifold. Let $X$ and $Z$ be closed subsets of $M$, and let $(M, X, Z)$ satisfy condition $(A)$. Let $K_{M}$ be the canonical line bundle on $M$. Let $F$ be a holomorphic function on $M$. Assume that $F$ is not identically zero. Let $\psi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $M$.

Denote that

$$
\Psi:=\min \{\psi-2 \log |F|, 0\} .
$$

For any $z \in M$ satisfying $F(z)=0$, we set $\Psi(z)=0$. Denote that $M_{t}:=\{z \in M$ : $-t \leq \Psi(z)<0\}$. Let $Z_{0}$ be a subset of $M$, and let $f$ be a holomorphic ( $\left.n, 0\right)$ form on $\{\Psi<0\}$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \inf \left\{\int_{M_{t}}|\tilde{f}|^{2}: f \in H^{0}\left(\{\Psi<0\}, \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\qquad \&(\tilde{f}-f)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right) \otimes I(\Psi)_{z_{0}} \text { for any } z_{0} \in Z_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

by $C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ for any $t \geq 0$. When $C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)=0$ or $+\infty$, we set $\frac{\int_{M_{t}}|f|^{2} e^{-\Psi}}{C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)}=+\infty$.
We obtain the following optimal support function of $\frac{\int_{M_{t}}|f|^{2} e^{-\Psi}}{C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)}$.
Proposition 1.9. Assume that $\int_{\{\Psi<-l\}}|f|^{2}<+\infty$ holds for any $l>0$. Then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{M_{t}}|f|^{2} e^{-\Psi}}{C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)} \geq \frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $t \geq 0$, where $\frac{t}{1-e^{-t}}$ is the optimal support function.
Proposition 1.9 can be referred to [29] when $M$ is a pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, $F \equiv 1, Z_{0}=\left\{z_{0}\right\} \subset M$ and $\psi\left(z_{0}\right)=-\infty$.

Take $M=\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}, Z_{0}=o$ the origin of $\mathbb{C}, F \equiv 1, \psi=2 \log |z|$ and $f \equiv d z$. It is clear that $\int_{M}|f|^{2}<+\infty$. Note that $C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)=2 \pi\left(1-e^{-t}\right)$ and $\int_{M_{t}}|f|^{2} e^{-\Psi}=$ $2 t \pi$. Then $\frac{\int_{M_{t}}|f|^{2} e^{-\Psi}}{C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)}=\frac{t}{1-e^{-t}}$, which shows the optimality of the support function $\frac{t}{1-e^{-t}}$.
1.2.3. Strong openness property of $I(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$ and a twisted version. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a pseudoconvex domain containing the origin $o$, and let $\psi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $D$. Let $F \not \equiv 0$ be a holomorphic function on $D$, and let $\varphi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $D$. Denote that

$$
\Psi:=\min \{\psi-2 \log |F|, 0\}
$$

For any $z \in M$ satisfying $F(z)=0$, we set $\Psi(z)=0$.
Recall that $f_{o} \in I(a \Psi+b \varphi)_{o}$ if and only if there exist $t \gg 0$ and a neighborhood $V$ of $o$ such that $\int_{\{\Psi<-t\} \cap V}|h|^{2} e^{-a \Psi-b \varphi}<+\infty$, where $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Denote that

$$
I_{+}(a \Psi+b \varphi)_{o}:=\cup_{s>a} I(s \Psi+b \varphi)_{o}
$$

for any $b \geq 0$. In [2], Bao-Guan-Yuan gave the strong openness property of $I(a \Psi)_{o}$.
Theorem $1.10([2]) . I(a \Psi)_{o}=I_{+}(a \Psi)_{o}$ holds for any $a \geq 0$.
When $F \equiv 1$ and $\psi(o)=-\infty$, Theorem 1.10 is the strong openness property of multiplier ideal sheaves [33, i.e. $\mathcal{I}(\psi)_{o}=\mathcal{I}_{+}(\psi)_{o}:=\cup_{s>1} \mathcal{I}(s \psi)_{o}$. We present the following strong openness property of $I(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$.
Theorem 1.11. $I(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}=I_{+}(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$ holds for any $a \geq 0$.
Let $p$ be a positive real number. Denote that

$$
c_{o, p}^{h}(\varphi):=\sup \left\{c \geq 0:|h|^{p} e^{-2 c \varphi} \text { is } L^{1} \text { on a neighborhood of } o\right\} .
$$

When $p=2, c_{o, 2}^{h}(\psi)$ is the jumping number $c_{o}^{h}(\psi)$ (see 40]). Note that the strong openness property of multiplier ideal sheaves is equivalent to $|h|^{2} e^{-2 c_{o}^{h}(\psi) \psi}$ is not integrable near $o$ for any holomorphic function $h$ on a neighborhood of $o$.

Using the strong openness property of multiplier ideal sheaves, Fornæss [18] obtained the following strong openness property of multiplier ideal sheaves in $L^{p}$ :
$|h|^{p} e^{-2 c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi}$ is not integrable near o for any holomorphic function $h$ on a neighborhood of o.

In 31, Guan-Yuan gave the following twisted version of strong openness property of multiplier ideal sheaves in $L^{p}$ (some related results can be referred to [35] and [6]).

Theorem $1.12([31)$. Let $p \in(0,+\infty)$, and let $a(t)$ be a positive measurable function on $(-\infty,+\infty)$. If one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $a(t)$ is decreasing near $+\infty$;
(2) $a(t) e^{t}$ is increasing near $+\infty$,
then the following three statements are equivalent:
$\left(A^{\prime}\right) a(t)$ is not integrable near $+\infty$;
( $\left.B^{\prime}\right) a\left(-2 c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi\right) \exp \left(-2 c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi+p \log |h|\right)$ is not integrable near o for any $\psi$ and $h$ satisfying $c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi)<+\infty$;
$\left(C^{\prime}\right) a\left(-2 c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi+p \log |h|\right) \exp \left(-2 c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi+p \log |h|\right)$ is not integrable near o for any $\psi$ and $h$ satisfying $c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi)<+\infty$.

Denote that

$$
a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi):=\sup \left\{a \geq 0: f_{o} \in I(2 a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}\right\}
$$

for any $f_{o} \in I(\varphi)_{o}$. Especially, $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)$ is the jumping number $c_{o}^{f}(\psi)$ (see [40]) when $F \equiv 1, \psi(o)=-\infty$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$.

Note that the strong openness property of $I(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$ is equivalent to that $f_{o} \notin I\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}$ for any $f_{o} \in I(\varphi)_{o}$ satisfying $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)<+\infty$. We present a twisted version of the strong openness property of $I(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$.

Theorem 1.13. Let $a(t)$ be a positive measurable function on $(-\infty,+\infty)$. If one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $a(t)$ is decreasing near $+\infty$;
(2) $a(t) e^{t}$ is increasing near $+\infty$,
then the following two statements are equivalent:
(A) a(t) is not integrable near $+\infty$;
(B) for any $\Psi, \varphi$ and $f_{o} \in I(\varphi)_{o}$ satisfying $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)<+\infty$, we have

$$
a\left(-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi\right) \exp \left(-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi-\varphi+2 \log |f|\right) \notin L^{1}(U \cap\{\Psi<-t\})
$$

where $U$ is any neighborhood of $o$ and $t>0$.
Remark 1.14. Theorem 1.13 is a generalization of Theorem1.12. We prove this remark in Section 7 .
1.2.4. Effectiveness of the strong openness property of $I(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$. Let $D$ be a pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ containing the origin $o$, and let $\psi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $D$. Let $F \not \equiv 0$ be a holomorphic function on $D$, and let $\varphi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $D$. Denote that

$$
\Psi:=\min \{\psi-2 \log |F|, 0\}
$$

If $F(z)=0$ for some $z \in M$, we set $\Psi(z)=0$. Let $f$ be a holomorphic function on $\{\Psi<0\}$. Denote that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{K_{\Psi, f, a}(o)}:=\inf \left\{\int_{\{\Psi<0\}}|\tilde{f}|^{2} e^{-\varphi-(1-a) \Psi}:(\tilde{f}-f)_{o} \in I_{+}\left(\varphi+2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi\right)_{o}\right. \\
\& \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\{\Psi<0\})\}
\end{array}
$$

where $a \in(0,+\infty)$.
We present an effectiveness result of the strong openness property of $I(a \Psi+\varphi)_{z_{0}}$.
Theorem 1.15. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be two positive constants. If there exists $a>0$, such that
(1) $\int_{\{\Psi<0\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi} \leq C_{1}$;
(2) $\frac{1}{K_{\Psi, f, a}(o)} \geq C_{2}$.

Then for any $q>1$ satisfying

$$
\theta_{a}(q)>\frac{C_{1}}{C_{2}}
$$

we have $f_{o} \in I(q \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$, where $\theta_{a}(q)=\frac{q+a-1}{q-1}$.
When $F \equiv 1$ and $\psi(o)=-\infty$, Theorem 1.15 degenerates to the effectiveness result of strong openness property of multiplier ideal sheaves in $L^{p}$ [30] (some related results can be referred to [34, 23]).

## 2. Some preparations

In this section, we do some preparations.
2.1. $L^{2}$ method. Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds. Let $\psi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $M$. Let $F$ be a holomorphic function on $M$. We assume that $F$ is not identically zero. Let $\varphi_{\alpha}$ be a Lebesgue measurable function on $M$ such that $\varphi_{\alpha}+\psi$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $M$.

Let $\delta$ be a positive integer. Let $T$ be a real number. Denote

$$
\varphi:=\varphi_{\alpha}+(1+\delta) \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}
$$

and

$$
\Psi:=\min \{\psi-2 \log |F|,-T\} .
$$

If $F(z)=0$ for some $z \in M$, we set $\Psi(z)=-T$.
Let $c(t)$ be a positive measurable function on $[T,+\infty)$ such that $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect $t$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let $B \in(0,+\infty)$ and $t_{0}>T$ be arbitrarily given. Let $f$ be a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\} \cap K}|f|^{2}<+\infty,
$$

for any compact subset $K \subset M$, and

$$
\int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty
$$

Then there exists a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}$ on $M$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M}\left|\tilde{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b_{t_{0}, B}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<s<-t_{0}\right\}} d s, v_{t_{0}, B}(t)=\int_{-t_{0}}^{t} b_{t_{0}, B}(s) d s-t_{0}$.
We introduce the following property of gain function $c(t)$. Let $T_{0} \in[-\infty,+\infty)$. Denote

$$
\Psi_{0}:=\min \left\{\psi-2 \log |F|,-T_{0}\right\}
$$

If $F(z)=0$ for some $z \in M$, we set $\Psi(z)=-T_{0}$. Let $c(t) \in \mathcal{P}_{T_{0}, M, \Psi_{0}}$, i.e.,
(1) $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$;
(2) There exist $T_{1}>T_{0}$ and a closed subset $E$ of $M$ such that $E \subset Z \cap\{\Psi(z)=$ $-\infty\}$ and for any compact subset $K \subset M \backslash E, e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c\left(-\Psi_{1}\right)$ has a positive lower bound on $K$, where $\Psi_{1}:=\min \left\{\psi-2 \log |F|,-T_{1}\right\}$.
Proposition 2.2. Let $c(t) \in \mathcal{P}_{T_{0}, M, \Psi_{0}}$. Let $T$ be a real number such that $T>T_{0}$. Then for any compact subset $K \subset M \backslash E$, we have $e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$ has a positive lower bound on $K$, where $\Psi:=\min \{\psi-2 \log |F|,-T\}$.

Proof. We note that $c(t)$ is positive on $\left[T_{1}, T\right]$ (or $\left[T, T_{1}\right]$ ) and has positive lower bound and upper bound on $\left[T_{1}, T\right]$ (or $\left[T, T_{1}\right]$ ). Hence $\frac{c(-\Psi)}{c\left(-\Psi_{1}\right)}$ has a positive lower bound on $M$. Then we know that for any compact subset $K \subset M \backslash E, e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$ has a positive lower bound on $K$.

Let $T_{0} \in[-\infty,+\infty)$. Let $c(t) \in \mathcal{P}_{T_{0}, M, \Psi_{0}}$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Let $(M, X, Z)$ satisfies condition $(A)$. Let $B \in(0,+\infty)$ and $t_{0}>$ $T>T_{0}$ be arbitrarily given. Let $f$ be a holomorphic ( $n, 0$ ) form on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}$ on $M$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M}\left|\tilde{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c(t) e^{-t} d t\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}, \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $b_{t_{0}, B}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<s<-t_{0}\right\}} d s$ and $v_{t_{0}, B}(t)=\int_{-t_{0}}^{t} b_{t_{0}, B}(s) d s-t_{0}$.
Proof. It follows from inequality (2.1) and $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$ that

$$
\int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty
$$

Note that $c(t) \in \mathcal{P}_{T_{0}, M, \Psi_{0}}$ and there exists a closed subset $E \subset Z \cap\{\Psi=-\infty\}$ such that $e^{-\varphi} c(-\Psi)$ has locally positive lower bound on $M \backslash E$. For any compact subset $K \subset M \backslash E$, we obtain from inequality (2.1) that

$$
\int_{K \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2}<+\infty .
$$

As $(M, X, Z)$ satisfies condition $(A), M \backslash(Z \cup X)$ is a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifold. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exists a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}_{Z}$ on $M \backslash(Z \cup X)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M \backslash(Z \cup X)}\left|\tilde{F}_{Z}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $z \in((Z \cup X) \backslash E)$, there exists an open neighborhood $V_{z}$ of $z$ such that $V_{z} \Subset M \backslash E$.

Note that $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$ and $v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi) \geq \Psi$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M \backslash(Z \cup X)}\left|\tilde{F}_{Z}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & \int_{M \backslash(Z \cup X)}\left|\tilde{F}_{Z}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right)<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\varphi=\varphi_{\alpha}+(1+\delta) 2 \log |F|$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$. We have

$$
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c(-\Psi)=\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty .
$$

Note that there exists a positive number $C>0$ such that $c(-\Psi) e^{-\varphi}>C$ on $V_{z}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{V_{z} \backslash(Z \cup X)}\left|\tilde{F}_{Z}\right|^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{C} \int_{V_{z} \backslash(Z \cup X)}\left|\tilde{F}_{Z}\right|^{2} c(-\Psi) e^{-\varphi} \\
\leq & \frac{2}{C}\left(\int_{V_{z} \backslash(Z \cup X)}\left|\tilde{F}_{Z}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c(-\Psi)\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{V_{z} \backslash(Z \cup X)}\left|\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c(-\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \frac{2}{C}\left(\int_{M \backslash(Z \cup X)}\left|\tilde{F}_{Z}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c(-\Psi)\right)<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $Z \cup X$ is locally negligible with respect to $L^{2}$ holomorphic function, we can find a holomorphic extension $\tilde{F}_{E}$ of $\tilde{F}_{Z}$ from $M \backslash(Z \cup X)$ to $M \backslash E$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M \backslash E}\left|\tilde{F}_{E}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $E \subset\{\Psi=-\infty\} \subset\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ and $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ is open, then for any $z \in E$, there exists an open neighborhood $U_{z}$ of $z$ such that $U_{z} \Subset\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$.

As $v_{t_{0}, B}(t) \geq-t_{0}-\frac{B}{2}$, we have $c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) e^{v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)} \geq c\left(t_{0}+\frac{B}{2}\right) e^{-t_{0}-\frac{B}{2}}>0$. Note that $\varphi+\Psi$ is plurisubharmonic on $M$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{U_{z} \backslash E}\left|\tilde{F}_{E}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{C_{1}} \int_{U_{z} \backslash E}\left|\tilde{F}_{E}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right)<+\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is some positive number.
As $U_{z} \Subset\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$, we have

$$
\int_{U_{z} \backslash E}\left|\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} \leq\left(\sup _{U_{Z}}\left|F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2}\right) \int_{U_{z}}|f|^{2}<+\infty
$$

Hence we have

$$
\int_{U_{z} \backslash E}\left|\tilde{F}_{E}\right|^{2}<+\infty
$$

As $E$ is contained in some analytic subset of $M$, we can find a holomorphic extension $\tilde{F}$ of $\tilde{F}_{E}$ from $M \backslash E$ to $M$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M}\left|\tilde{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c(t) e^{-t} d t\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.3 is proved.

Let $T_{0} \in[-\infty,+\infty)$. Let $c(t) \in \mathcal{P}_{T_{0}, M, \Psi_{0}}$. Using Lemma 2.3, we have the following lemma, which will be used to prove Theorem 1.2

Lemma 2.4. Let $(M, X, Z)$ satisfies condition $(A)$. Let $B \in(0,+\infty)$ and $t_{0}>$ $t_{1} \geq T>T_{0}$ be arbitrarily given. Let $f$ be a holomorphic ( $n, 0$ ) form on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b_{t_{0}, B}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<s<-t_{0}\right\}} d s, v_{t_{0}, B}(t)=\int_{-t_{0}}^{t} b_{t_{0}, B}(s) d s-t_{0}$.
Proof of Lemma 2.4. Denote that

$$
\tilde{\varphi}:=\varphi_{\alpha}+(1+\delta) \max \left\{\psi+t_{1}, 2 \log |F|\right\}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\Psi}:=\min \left\{\psi-2 \log |F|,-t_{1}\right\}
$$

As $t_{0}>t_{1} \geq T$, we have $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}=\left\{\tilde{\Psi}<-t_{0}\right\}$. It follows from inequality (2.4) and Lemma 2.3 that there exists a holomorphic function $\tilde{F}_{\delta}$ on $M$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M}\left|\tilde{F}_{\delta}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\tilde{\Psi})\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\tilde{\varphi}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\tilde{\Psi})-\tilde{\Psi}} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\tilde{\Psi})\right) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\tilde{\Psi}<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\tilde{\Psi}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}$, we have $\Psi=\tilde{\Psi}=\psi-2 \log |F|$ and $\tilde{\varphi}=\varphi=\varphi_{\alpha}+(1+$ $\delta) 2 \log |F|$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{\delta}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
= & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{\delta}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\tilde{\Psi})\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\tilde{\varphi}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\tilde{\Psi})-\tilde{\Psi}} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\tilde{\Psi})\right) \\
\leq & \int_{M}\left|\tilde{F}_{\delta}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\tilde{\Psi})\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\tilde{\varphi}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\tilde{\Psi})-\tilde{\Psi}} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\tilde{\Psi})\right) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\tilde{\Psi}<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\tilde{\Psi}} \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $F_{\delta}:=\frac{\tilde{F}_{\delta}}{F^{\delta}}$ be a holomorphic function on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}$. Then it follows from (2.5) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|F_{\delta}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $K$ be any compact subset of $M \backslash E$. Note that $\inf _{K} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \geq$ $\left(c\left(t_{0}+\frac{2}{B}\right) e^{-t_{0}-\frac{2}{B}}\right) \inf _{K} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\psi}>0$. It follows from (2.6) that we have

$$
\sup _{\delta} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap K}\left|F_{\delta}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F\right|^{2}<+\infty
$$

We also note that

$$
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap K}\left|\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F\right|^{2} \leq\left(\sup _{K}|F|^{2}\right) \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\} \cap K}|f|^{2}<+\infty
$$

Then we know that

$$
\sup _{\delta} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap K}\left|F_{\delta}\right|^{2}<+\infty
$$

and there exists a subsequence of $\left\{F_{\delta}\right\}$ (also denoted by $F_{\delta}$ ) compactly convergent to a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}_{1}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \backslash E$. It follows from Fatou's Lemma and inequality (2.6) that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \backslash E}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{\delta \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \backslash E}\left|F_{\delta}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{\delta \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|F_{\delta}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{\delta \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} \\
\leq & \left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $E \subset\{\Psi=-\infty\} \subset\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}$ is open, then for any $z \in E$, there exists an open neighborhood $U_{z}$ of $z$ such that $U_{z} \Subset\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}$. Note that $\varphi_{\alpha}+\psi$ is plurisubharmonic function on $M$. As $v_{t_{0}, B}(t) \geq-t_{0}-\frac{B}{2}$, we have $c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}\left(\Psi_{1}\right)\right) e^{v_{t_{0}, B}\left(\Psi_{1}\right)} \geq c\left(t_{0}+\frac{B}{2}\right) e^{-t_{0}-\frac{B}{2}}>0$. Thus by (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{U_{z} \backslash E}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F\right|^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{C_{1}} \int_{U_{z} \backslash E}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right)<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}:=c\left(t_{0}+\frac{B}{2}\right) e^{-t_{0}-\frac{B}{2}} \inf _{U_{z}} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\psi}$ is some positive number.
As $U_{z} \Subset\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}$, we have

$$
\int_{U_{z} \backslash E}\left|\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F\right|^{2} \leq\left(\sup _{U_{z}}|F|^{2}\right) \int_{U_{z}}|f|^{2}<+\infty
$$

Hence we have

$$
\int_{U_{z} \backslash E}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}\right|^{2}<+\infty
$$

${\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{F}}}_{0} E$ is contained in some analytic subset of $M$, we can find a holomorphic extension $\tilde{F}_{0}$ of $\tilde{F}_{1}$ from $\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \backslash E$ to $\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{0}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote $\tilde{F}:=\frac{\tilde{F}_{0}}{F}$. Note that on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}$, we have $\Psi=\psi-2 \log |F|$. It follows from (2.8) that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.4 is proved.
2.2. Properties of $\mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$-module $J_{z_{0}}$. In this section, we present some properties of $\mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$-module $J_{z_{0}}$.

Since the case is local, we assume that $F$ is a holomorphic function on a pseudoconvex domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ containing the origin $o \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Let $\psi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $D$. Let $\varphi_{\alpha}$ be a Lebesgue measurable function on $D$ such that $\psi+\varphi_{\alpha}$ is plurisubharmonic. Let $T_{0} \in[-\infty,+\infty)$ and $T>T_{0}$ be a real number. Denote

$$
\varphi_{1}:=2 \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}
$$

and

$$
\Psi:=\min \{\psi-2 \log |F|,-T\} .
$$

If $F(z)=0$ for some $z \in D$, we set $\Psi(z)=-T$.
Let $c(t)$ be a positive measurable function on $\left(T_{0},+\infty\right)$ such that
(1) $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect $t$;
(2) $c(-\Psi) e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}$ has a positive lower bound on $D$.

Denote that $H_{o}:=\left\{f_{o} \in J(\Psi)_{o}: \int_{\{\Psi<-t\} \cap V_{0}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty\right.$ for some $t>$ $T_{0}$ and $V_{0}$ is an open neighborhood of o $\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{o}:=\left\{(F, o) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}: \int_{U_{0}}|F|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi)<\right.$ $+\infty$ for some open neighborhood $U_{0}$ of $\left.o\right\}$.

As $c(-\Psi) e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}$ has a positive lower bound on $D$ and $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, we have $I\left(\Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o} \subset H_{o} \subset I_{o}$. We also note that $\mathcal{H}_{o}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$.

Lemma 2.5. For any $f_{o} \in H_{o}$, there exist a pseudoconvex domain $D_{0} \subset D$ containing o and a holomorphic function $\tilde{F}$ on $D_{0}$ such that $(\tilde{F}, o) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$ and

$$
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}-f F^{2}\right| e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty
$$

for some $t_{1}>T$.
Proof. It follows from $f_{o} \in H_{o}$ that there exists $t_{0}>T>T_{0}$ and a pseudoconvex domain $D_{0} \subset D$ containing $o$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\} \cap D_{0}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, it follows from inequality (2.9) that we have $\int_{D_{0}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-1<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty$. As $c(-\Psi) e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}$ has a positive lower bound on $D$, we have $\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\} \cap D_{0}}|f|^{2}<+\infty$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exists a holomorphic function $\tilde{F}$ on $D_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{D_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}+v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+1} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{D_{0}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-1<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b_{t_{0}}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-1<s<-t_{0}\right\}} d s, v_{t_{0}}(t)=\int_{-t_{0}}^{t} b_{t_{0}}(s) d s-t_{0}$. Denote $C:=$ $c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s$, we note that $C$ is a positive number.

Note that $v_{t_{0}}(t)>-t_{0}-1$. We have $e^{v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) \geq c\left(t_{0}+1\right) e^{-\left(t_{0}+1\right)}>0$. As $b_{t_{0}}(t) \equiv 0$ on $\left(-\infty,-t_{0}-1\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{D_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}-1\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}-f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{c\left(t_{0}+1\right) e^{-\left(t_{0}+1\right)}} \int_{D_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi+v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \frac{C}{c\left(t_{0}+1\right) e^{-\left(t_{0}+1\right)}} \int_{D_{0}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-1<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\},|F|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{1}}=1$. As $v_{t_{0}}(\Psi) \geq \Psi$, we have $c\left(-v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) e^{v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)} \geq$ $c(-\Psi) e^{-\Psi}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{D_{0}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & 2 \int_{D_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi) \\
+ & 2 \int_{D_{0}}\left|\left(1-b_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & 2 \int_{D_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi+v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) \\
+ & 2 \int_{D_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
< & +\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we know that $(\tilde{F}, o) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$.
For any $(\tilde{F}, o) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$ and $\left(\tilde{F}_{1}, o\right) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$ such that $\int_{D_{1} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}-f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<$ $+\infty$ and $\int_{D_{1} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty$, for some open neighborhood $D_{1}$ of $o$ and $t_{1} \geq T$, we have

$$
\int_{D_{1} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty
$$

As $(\tilde{F}, o) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$ and $\left(\tilde{F}_{1}, o\right) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$, there exists a neighborhood $D_{2}$ of $o$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{2}}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we have $c(-\Psi) e^{\Psi} \geq c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}}$ on $\left\{\Psi \geq-t_{1}\right\}$. It follows from inequality (2.11) that we have

$$
\int_{D_{2} \cap\left\{\Psi \geq-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty
$$

Hence we have $\left(\tilde{F}-\tilde{F}_{1}, o\right) \in \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$.
Thus it follows from Lemma 2.5 that there exists a map $\tilde{P}: H_{o} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{o} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\right.$ $\left.\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$ given by

$$
\tilde{P}\left(f_{o}\right)=[(\tilde{F}, o)]
$$

for any $f_{o} \in H_{o}$, where $(\tilde{F}, o)$ satisfies $(\tilde{F}, o) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$ and $\int_{D_{1} \cap\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\tilde{F}-f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<$ $+\infty$, for some $t>T$ and some open neighborhood $D_{1}$ of $o$, and $[(\tilde{F}, o)]$ is the equivalence class of $(\tilde{F}, o)$ in $\mathcal{H}_{o} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$.

Proposition 2.6. $\tilde{P}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$-module homomorphism and $\operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{P})=I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\right.$ $\Psi)_{o}$.
Proof. For any ${\underset{\tilde{F}}{o}}, g_{o} \in H_{o}$. Denote that $\tilde{P}\left(f_{o}\right)=[(\tilde{F}, o)], \tilde{P}\left(g_{o}\right)=[(\tilde{G}, o)]$ and $\tilde{P}\left(f_{o}+g_{o}\right)=[(\tilde{H}, o)]$.

Note that there exists an open neighborhood $D_{1}$ of $o$ and $t \geq T$ such that $\int_{D_{1} \cap\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\tilde{F}-f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty, \int_{D_{1} \cap\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\tilde{G}-g F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<$ $+\infty$, and $\int_{D_{1} \cap\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\tilde{H}-(f+g) F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty$. Hence we have

$$
\int_{D_{1} \cap\{\Psi<-t\}}|\tilde{H}-(\tilde{F}+\tilde{G})|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty
$$

As $(\tilde{F}, o),(\tilde{G}, o)$ and $(\tilde{H}, o)$ belong to $\mathcal{H}_{o}$, there exists an open neighborhood $\tilde{D}_{1} \subset$ $D_{1}$ of $o$ such that $\int_{\tilde{D}_{1}}|\tilde{H}-(\tilde{F}+\tilde{G})|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty$. As $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, we have $c(-\Psi) e^{\Psi} \geq c(t) e^{-t}$ on $\{\Psi \geq-t\}$. Hence we have
$\int_{\tilde{D}_{1} \cap\{\Psi \geq-t\}}|\tilde{H}-(\tilde{F}+\tilde{G})|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi} \leq \frac{1}{c(t) e^{-t}} \int_{\tilde{D}_{1} \cap\{\Psi \geq-t\}}|\tilde{H}-(\tilde{F}+\tilde{G})|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty$.
Thus we have $\int_{\tilde{D}_{1}}|\tilde{H}-(\tilde{F}+\tilde{G})|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty$, which implies that $\tilde{P}\left(f_{o}+g_{o}\right)=$ $\tilde{P}\left(f_{o}\right)+\tilde{P}\left(g_{o}\right)$.

For any $(h, o) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$. Denote $\tilde{P}\left((h f)_{o}\right)=\left[\left(\tilde{F}_{h}, o\right)\right]$. Note that there exists an open neighborhood $D_{2}$ of $o$ and $t \geq T$ such that $\int_{D_{2} \cap\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{h}-(h f) F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<$ $+\infty$. It follows from $\int_{D_{2} \cap\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\tilde{F}-f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty$ and $h$ is holomorphic on $\overline{D_{2}}$ (shrink $D_{2}$ if necessary) that $\int_{D_{2} \cap\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|h \tilde{F}-h f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty$. Then we have

$$
\int_{D_{2} \cap\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{h}-h \tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty .
$$

Note that $(h \tilde{F}, o)$ and $\left(\tilde{F}_{h}, o\right)$ belong to $\mathcal{H}_{o}$, we have $\int_{D_{2}}\left|\tilde{F}_{h}-h \tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi)<$ $+\infty$. As $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, we have $c(-\Psi) e^{\Psi} \geq c(t) e^{-t}$ on $\{\Psi \geq-t\}$. Hence we have
$\int_{D_{2} \cap\{\Psi \geq-t\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{h}-h \tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi} \leq \frac{1}{c(t) e^{-t}} \int_{D_{2} \cap\{\Psi \geq-t\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{h}-h \tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty$.
Thus we have $\int_{D_{2}}\left|\tilde{F}_{h}-h \tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty$, which implies that $\tilde{P}\left(h f_{o}\right)=$ $(h, o) \tilde{P}\left(f_{o}\right)$.

Now we have proved that $\tilde{P}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$-module homomorphism.
Next, we prove $\operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{P})=I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o}$.
If $f_{o} \in I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o}$. Denote $\tilde{P}\left(f_{o}\right)=[(\tilde{F}, o)]$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $(\tilde{F}, o) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$ and there exists an open neighborhood $D_{3}$ of $o$ and a real number $t_{1}>T$ such that

$$
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{3}}\left|\tilde{F}-f F^{2}\right| e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty
$$

As $f_{o} \in I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o}$, shrink $D_{3}$ and $t_{1}$ if necessary, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{3}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi} \\
\leq & 2 \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{3}}\left|\tilde{F}-f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}+2 \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{3}}\left|f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}  \tag{2.12}\\
\leq & 2 \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{3}}\left|\tilde{F}-f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}+2 \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{3}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} \\
< & +\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

As $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t, c(-\Psi) e^{\Psi} \geq C_{0}>0$ for some positive number $C_{0}$ on $\left\{\Psi \geq-t_{1}\right\}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{\Psi \geq-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{3}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi} \leq \frac{1}{C_{0}} \int_{\left\{\Psi \geq-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{3}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining inequality (2.12) and inequality (2.13), we know that $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\right.$ $\Psi)_{o}$, which means $\tilde{P}\left(f_{o}\right)=0$ in $\mathcal{H}_{o} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$. Hence we know $I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o} \subset$ $\operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{P})$.

If $f_{o} \in \operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{P})$, we know $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$. We can assume that $\tilde{F}$ satisfies $\int_{D_{4}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty$ for some open neighborhood $D_{4}$ of $o$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{4}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} \\
= & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{4}}\left|f F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}  \tag{2.14}\\
\leq & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{4}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}+\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\} \cap D_{4}}\left|\tilde{F}-f F^{2}\right| e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi} \\
\leq & +\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

By definition, we know $f_{o} \in I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o}$. Hence $\operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{P}) \subset I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o}$.
$\operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{P})=I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o}$ is proved.

Now we can define an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$-module homomorphism $P: H_{o} / I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{H}_{o} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$ as follows,

$$
P\left(\left[f_{o}\right]\right)=\tilde{P}\left(f_{o}\right)
$$

for any $\left[f_{o}\right] \in H_{o} / I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o}$, where $f_{o} \in H_{o}$ is any representative of $\left[f_{o}\right]$. It follows from Proposition 2.6 that $P\left(\left[f_{o}\right]\right)$ is independent of the choices of the representatives of $\left[f_{o}\right]$.

Let $(\tilde{F}, o) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$, i.e. $\int_{U}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty$ for some neighborhood $U$ of $o$. Note that $|F|^{4} e^{-\varphi_{1}} \equiv 1$ on $\{\Psi<-T\}$. Hence we have $\int_{U \cap\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\frac{\tilde{F}}{F^{2}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<$ $+\infty$ for some $t>T$, i.e. $\left(\frac{\tilde{F}}{F^{2}}\right)_{o} \in H_{o}$. And if $(\tilde{F}, o) \in \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$, it is easy to verify that $\left(\frac{\tilde{F}}{F^{2}}\right)_{o} \in I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o}$. Hence we have an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$-module homomorphism $Q: \mathcal{H}_{o} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o} \rightarrow H_{o} / I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o}$ defined as follows,

$$
Q([(\tilde{F}, o)])=\left[\left(\frac{\tilde{F}}{F^{2}}\right)_{o}\right]
$$

The above discussion shows that $Q$ is independent of the choices of the representatives of $[(\tilde{F}, o)]$ and hence $Q$ is well defined.

Proposition 2.7. $P: H_{o} / I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{o} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$-module isomorphism and $P^{-1}=Q$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.6 that we know $P$ is injective.
Now we prove $P$ is surjective.
For any $[(\tilde{F}, o)]$ in $\mathcal{H}_{o} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$. Let $(\tilde{F}, o)$ be any representatives of $[(\tilde{F}, o)]$ in $\mathcal{H}_{o}$. Denote that $\left[\left(f_{1}\right)_{o}\right]:=\left[\left(\frac{\tilde{F}}{F^{2}}\right)_{o}\right]=Q([(\tilde{F}, o)])$. Let $\left(f_{1}\right)_{o}:=\left(\frac{\tilde{F}}{F^{2}}\right)_{o} \in$ $H_{o}$ be the representative of $\left[\left(f_{1}\right)_{o}\right]$. Denote $\left[\left(\tilde{F}_{1}, o\right)\right]:=\tilde{P}\left(\left(f_{1}\right)_{o}\right)=P\left(\left[\left(f_{1}\right)_{o}\right]\right)$. By the construction of $\tilde{P}$, we know that $\left(\tilde{F}_{1}, o\right) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$ and

$$
\int_{D_{1} \cap\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-f_{1} F^{2}\right| e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty
$$

where $t>T$ and $D_{1}$ is some neighborhood of $o$. Note that $\left(f_{1}\right)_{o}:=\left(\frac{\tilde{F}}{F^{2}}\right)_{o}$. Hence we have

$$
\int_{D_{1} \cap\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-\tilde{F}\right| e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty
$$

It follows from $(\tilde{F}, o) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$ and $\left(\tilde{F}_{1}, o\right) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$ that there exists a neighborhood $D_{2} \subset D_{1}$ of $o$ such that

$$
\int_{D_{2}}\left|\tilde{F}-\tilde{F}_{1}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty
$$

Note that on $\{\Psi \geq-t\}$, we have $c(-\Psi) e^{\Psi} \geq c(t) e^{-t}>0$. Hence we have

$$
\int_{D_{2} \cap\{\Psi \geq-t\}}\left|\tilde{F}-\tilde{F}_{1}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty
$$

Thus we know that $\left(\tilde{F}_{1}-\tilde{F}, o\right) \in \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$, i.e. $[(\tilde{F}, o)]=\left[\left(\tilde{F}_{1}, o\right)\right]$ in $\mathcal{H}_{o} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$. Hence we have $P \circ Q([(\tilde{F}, o)])=[(\tilde{F}, o)]$, which implies that $P$ is surjective.

We have proved that $P: H_{o} / I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{o} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o^{-}}$ module isomorphism and $P^{-1}=Q$.

We recall the following property of closedness of holomorphic functions on a neighborhood of $o$.

Lemma 2.8 (see [21). Let $N$ be a submodule of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}^{q}, 1 \leq q<+\infty$, let $f_{j} \in$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}(U)^{q}$ be a sequence of $q$-tuples holomorphic in an open neighborhood $U$ of the origin o. Assume that the $f_{j}$ converge uniformly in $U$ towards a $q$-tuples $f \in$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}(U)^{q}$, assume furthermore that all germs $\left(f_{j}, o\right)$ belong to $N$. Then $(f, o) \in N$.

The following lemma shows the closedness of submodules of $H_{o}$.
Lemma 2.9. Let $J_{o}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$-submodule of $H_{o}$ such that $I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o} \subset J_{o}$. Assume that $f_{o} \in J(\Psi)_{o}$. Let $U_{0}$ be a Stein open neighborhood of o. Let $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ be a sequence of holomorphic functions on $U_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}$ for any $j \geq 1$, where $t_{j}>T>T_{0}$. Assume that $t_{0}:=\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} t_{j} \in[T,+\infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{U_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq C<+\infty \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(f_{j}-f\right)_{o} \in J_{o}$. Assume that $e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$ has a positive lower bound on $U_{o}$. Then there exists a subsequence of $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ compactly convergent to a holomorphic function $f_{0}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\} \cap U_{0}$ which satisfies

$$
\int_{U_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq C,
$$

and $\left(f_{0}-f\right)_{o} \in J_{o}$.
Proof. It follows from $\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{U_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq C<+\infty$ and $e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$ has a positive lower bound on $U_{o}$ that

$$
\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{U_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2}<+\infty .
$$

As $t_{0}:=\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} t_{j} \in[T,+\infty)$, by Montel's theorem, there exists a subsequence of $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ (also denoted by $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ ) compactly convergent to a holomorphic function $f_{0}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\} \cap U_{0}$. It follows from Fatou's Lemma that

$$
\int_{U_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{U_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq C
$$

Now we prove $\left(f_{0}-f\right)_{o} \in J_{o}$. We firstly recall some constructions in Lemma 2.5

As $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, it follows from inequality (2.15) that we have $\sup _{j \geq 1}\left(\int_{U_{0}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{j}-1<\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}\right)<+\infty$. As $c(-\Psi) e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}$ has a positive lower bound on $U_{0}$, we have $\sup _{j \geq 1}\left(\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}\right\} \cap U_{0}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2}\right)<+\infty$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exists a holomorphic function $\tilde{F}_{j}$ on $U_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{U_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}-\left(1-b_{t_{j}}(\Psi)\right) f_{j} F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}+v_{t_{j}}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{j}}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{j}+1} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{U_{0}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-1<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}, \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $b_{t_{j}}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{j}-1<s<-t_{j}\right\}} d s, v_{t_{j}}(t)=\int_{-t_{j}}^{t} b_{t_{j}}(s) d s-t_{j}$. Denote $C_{j}:=$ $c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{j}+1} c(s) e^{-s} d s$. As $t_{0}:=\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} t_{j} \in[T,+\infty)$, we can assume that there exists a positive number $C_{0}<+\infty$ such that $C_{j} \leq C_{0}$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Note that $v_{t_{j}}(t)>-t_{j}-1$. We have $e^{v_{t_{j}}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{j}}(\Psi)\right) \geq c\left(t_{j}+1\right) e^{-\left(t_{j}+1\right)}>0$. As $b_{t_{j}}(t) \equiv 0$ on $\left(-\infty,-t_{j}-1\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{U_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}-1\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}-f_{j} F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{c\left(t_{j}+1\right) e^{-\left(t_{j}+1\right)}} \int_{U_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}-\left(1-b_{t_{j}}(\Psi)\right) f_{j} F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi+v_{t_{j}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{j}}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \frac{C}{c\left(t_{j}+1\right) e^{-\left(t_{j}+1\right)}} \int_{U_{0}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{j}-1<\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty . \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\left|F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{1}}=1$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}$. As $v_{t_{j}}(\Psi) \geq \Psi$, we have $c\left(-v_{t_{j}}(\Psi)\right) e^{v_{t_{j}}(\Psi)} \geq$ $c(-\Psi) e^{-\Psi}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{U_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & 2 \int_{U_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}-\left(1-b_{t_{j}}(\Psi)\right) f_{j} F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi) \\
+ & 2 \int_{U_{0}}\left|\left(1-b_{t_{j}}(\Psi)\right) f_{j} F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi)  \tag{2.18}\\
\leq & 2 \int_{U_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}-\left(1-b_{t_{j}}(\Psi)\right) f_{j} F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi+v_{t_{j}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{j}}(\Psi)\right) \\
+ & 2 \int_{U_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
< & +\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we know that $\left(\tilde{F}_{j}, o\right) \in \mathcal{H}_{o}$.
It follows from inequality (2.15), $\sup _{j \geq 1}\left(\int_{U_{0}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{j}-1<\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}\right)<$ $+\infty$ and inequality (2.18) that we actually have $\sup _{j}\left(\int_{U_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi)\right)<$ $+\infty$. Note that $\varphi_{1}$ is a plurisubharmonic function and $c(-\Psi) e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}$ has a positive lower bound on $U_{0}$. We have (shrink $U_{0}$ if necessary)

$$
\sup _{j}\left(\int_{U_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}\right|^{2}\right)<+\infty
$$

Hence we know there exists a subsequence of $\left\{\tilde{F}_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ (also denoted by $\left\{\tilde{F}_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ ) compactly convergent to a holomorphic function $\tilde{F}_{0}$ on $U_{0}$. It follows from Fatou's Lemma and inequality (2.18) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}_{0}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi) \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{U_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $f_{j}$ converges to $f_{0}$, it follows from Fatou's Lemma and inequality (2.16) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{U_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}_{0}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) f_{0} F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}+v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{U_{0}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}-\left(1-b_{t_{j}}(\Psi)\right) f_{j} F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}+v_{t_{j}}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{j}}(\Psi)\right) \\
< & +\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}-1\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{0}-f_{0} F^{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\varphi_{1}-\Psi}<+\infty . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from inequality (2.17), inequality (2.18), inequality (2.19), inequality (2.20) and definition of $P: H_{o} / I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{o} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}$ that for any $j \geq 0$, we have

$$
P\left(\left[\left(f_{j}\right)_{o}\right]\right)=\left[\left(\tilde{F}_{j}, o\right)\right]
$$

As $\left(f_{j}-f\right)_{o} \in J_{o}$ for any $j \geq 1$, we have $\left(f_{j}-f_{1}\right)_{o} \in J_{o}$ for any $j \geq 1$. It follows from Proposition 2.7 that there exists an ideal $\tilde{J}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$ such that
$\mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o} \subset \tilde{J} \subset \mathcal{H}_{o}$ and $\tilde{J} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\left.P\right|_{J_{o} / I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o}}\right)$. It follows from $\left(f_{j}-f_{1}\right)_{o} \in J_{o}$ and $P\left(\left[\left(f_{j}\right)_{o}\right]\right)=\left[\left(F_{j}, o\right)\right]$ for any $j \geq 1$ that we have

$$
\left(\tilde{F}_{j}-\tilde{F}_{1}\right) \in \tilde{J}
$$

for any $j \geq 1$.
As $\tilde{F}_{j}$ compactly converges to $\tilde{F}_{0}$, using Lemma 2.8, we obtain that $\left(\tilde{F}_{0}-\tilde{F}_{1}, o\right) \in$ $\tilde{J}$. Note that $P$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$-module isomorphism and $\tilde{J} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}+\Psi\right)_{o}=$ $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left.P\right|_{J_{o} / I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\Psi\right)_{o}}\right)$. We have $\left(f_{0}-f_{1}\right)_{o} \in J_{o}$, which implies that $\left(f_{0}-f\right)_{o} \in J_{o}$.

Lemma 2.9 is proved.
Let $\varphi_{\alpha}$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $D$, and let $c \equiv 1$. Note that $H_{o}=$ $I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{o}=\mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}\right)_{o}$. We know that $I\left(a \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o} \subset I\left(a^{\prime} \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$ for any $0 \leq a^{\prime}<a<+\infty$. Denote that $I_{+}\left(a \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}:=\cup_{p>a} I\left(p \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$-submodule of $H_{o}$, where $a \geq 0$.
Lemma 2.10. There exists $a^{\prime}>a$ such that $I\left(a^{\prime} \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}=I_{+}\left(a \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$ for any $a \geq 0$.
Proof. By the definition of $I_{+}\left(a \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$, we know $I\left(p \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o} \subset I_{+}\left(a \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$ for any $p>a$. It suffices to prove that there exists $a^{\prime}>a$ such that $I_{+}\left(a \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o} \subset$ $I\left(a^{\prime} \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$.

Let $k>a$ be an integer. Denote that $\tilde{\varphi}_{1}:=k \varphi_{1}=2 \max \left\{k \psi+k T, 2 \log \left|F^{k}\right|\right\}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}:=k \Psi=\min \left\{k \psi-2 \log \left|F^{k}\right|,-k T\right\}$. Proposition 2.7 shows that there exists an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$-module isomorphism $P$ from $I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o} / I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\tilde{\Psi}\right)_{o} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\varphi_{1}\right)_{o} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\right.$ $\left.\tilde{\varphi}_{1}+\tilde{\Psi}\right)_{o}$, which implies that there exists an ideal $K_{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$ such that

$$
P\left(I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+p \Psi\right)_{o} / I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\tilde{\Psi}\right)_{o}\right)=K_{p} / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\tilde{\varphi}_{1}+\tilde{\Psi}\right)_{o}
$$

where $p \in(0, k)$. Denote that

$$
L:=\cup_{a<p<k} K_{p}
$$

be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$. Hence $\left.P\right|_{I_{+}\left(a \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o} / I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\tilde{\Psi}\right)_{o}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o-m o d u l e ~ i s o m o r p h i s m ~}$ from $I_{+}\left(a \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o} / I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\tilde{\Psi}\right)_{o}$ to $L / \mathcal{I}\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\tilde{\varphi}_{1}+\tilde{\Psi}\right)_{o}$. As $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$ is a Noetherian ring (see [38), we get that $L$ is finitely generated. Hence there exists a finite set $\left\{\left(f_{1}\right)_{o}, \ldots,\left(f_{m}\right)_{o}\right\} \subset I_{+}\left(a \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$, which satisfies that for any $f_{o} \in I_{+}\left(a \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$ there exists $\left(h_{j}, o\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, o}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that

$$
f_{o}-\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(h_{j}, o\right) \cdot\left(f_{j}\right)_{o} \in I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\tilde{\Psi}\right)_{o}
$$

By the definition of $I_{+}\left(a \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$, there exists $a^{\prime} \in(a, k)$ such that $\left\{\left(f_{1}\right)_{o}, \ldots,\left(f_{m}\right)_{o}\right\} \subset$ $I\left(a^{\prime} \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$. As $\left(h_{j}, o\right) \cdot\left(f_{j}\right)_{o} \in I\left(a^{\prime} \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $I\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\tilde{\Psi}\right)_{o}=$ $I\left(k \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o} \subset I\left(a^{\prime} \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$, we obtain that $I_{+}\left(a \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o} \subset I\left(a^{\prime} \Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{o}$.

Thus, Lemma 2.10 holds.

## 3. Properties of $G(t)$

Following the notations in Section 1.1 we present some properties of the function $G(t)$ in this section.
Lemma 3.1 (see [28). Let $M$ be a complex manifold. Let $S$ be an analytic subset of $M$. Let $\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j=1,2, \ldots}$ be a sequence of nonnegative Lebesgue measurable functions on $M$, which satisfies that $g_{j}$ are almost everywhere convergent to $g$ on $M$ when
$j \rightarrow+\infty$, where $g$ is a nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function on $M$. Assume that for any compact subset $K$ of $M \backslash S$, there exist $s_{K} \in(0,+\infty)$ and $C_{K} \in(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\int_{K} g_{j}^{-s_{K}} d V_{M} \leq C_{K}
$$

for any $j$, where $d V_{M}$ is a continuous volume form on $M$.
Let $\left\{F_{j}\right\}_{j=1,2, \ldots}$ be a sequence of holomorphic ( $n, 0$ ) form on M. Assume that $\liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M}\left|F_{j}\right|^{2} g_{j} \leq C$, where $C$ is a positive constant. Then there exists a subsequence $\left\{F_{j_{l}}\right\}_{l=1,2, \ldots}$, which satisfies that $\left\{F_{j_{l}}\right\}$ is uniformly convergent to a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $F$ on $M$ on any compact subset of $M$ when $l \rightarrow+\infty$, such that

$$
\int_{M}|F|^{2} g \leq C
$$

Let $c(t) \in P_{T, M, \Psi}$. The following lemma will be used to discuss the convergence property of holomorphic forms on $\{\Psi<-t\}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $f$ be a holomorphic ( $n, 0)$ form on $\left\{\Psi<-\hat{t}_{0}\right\} \cap V$, where $V \supset Z_{0}$ is an open subset of $M$ and $\hat{t}_{0}>T$ is an real number. For any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$, let $J_{z_{0}}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$-submodule of $J(\Psi)_{z_{0}}$ such that $I\left(\Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{z_{0}} \subset J_{z_{0}}$.

Let $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ be a sequence of holomorphic (n,0) form on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}$. Assume that $t_{0}:=\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} t_{j} \in[T,+\infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq C<+\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(f_{j}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. Then there exists a subsequence of $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}$compactly convergent to a holomorphic ( $n, 0$ ) form $f_{0}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ which satisfies

$$
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq C
$$

and $\left(f_{0}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$.
Proof. As $e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$ has positive lower bound on any compact subset of $M \backslash Z$, where $Z$ is some analytic subset of $M$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}$(also denoted by $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}$) that compactly convergent to a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $f_{0}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ which satisfies

$$
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c(-\Psi) \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c(-\Psi) \leq C .
$$

Next we prove $\left(f_{0}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$.
For any $z_{0} \in Z_{0} \cap\{\Psi=-\infty\}$, we know that $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 0}$ and $f$ are holomorphic $(n, 0)$ forms on some neighborhood $U_{z_{0}}$ of $z_{0}$. It is also easy to verify that $J(\Psi)_{z_{0}}=$ $I_{z_{0}}=\mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$ and $J_{z_{0}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$-submodule of $\mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$. As $J_{z_{0}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{M, z_{0}}$-submodule, it follows from Lemma 2.8 $\left(f_{j}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ and $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}$compactly converges to $f_{0}$ that we know $\left(f_{0}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$, for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0} \cap\{\Psi=-\infty\}$.

Let $z_{0} \in Z_{0} \backslash\{\Psi=-\infty\}$. As $\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{j}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq C<+\infty$, we know $\left(f_{j}-f_{1}\right) \in H_{z_{0}}$. The definition of $H_{z_{0}}$ can be referred to Section 2.2, It follows from $\left(f_{j}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in J_{z_{0}}$ that we know $\left(f_{j}-f_{1}\right)_{z_{0}} \in J_{z_{0}}$. Hence we have $\left(f_{j}-f_{1}\right) \in H_{z_{0}} \cap J_{z_{0}}$. We note that $e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$ has a positive lower bound on
some open neighborhood of $z_{0}$. It follows from inequality (3.1), $\left(f_{j}-f_{1}\right) \in H_{z_{0}} \cap$ $J_{z_{0}}$, the uniqueness of limit function and Lemma 2.9 that we know $\left(f_{0}-f_{1}\right)_{z_{0}} \in$ $\mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes\left(H_{z_{0}} \cap J_{z_{0}}\right)$. Hence we know that $\left(f_{0}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0} \backslash\{\Psi=-\infty\}$.

Now we have $\left(f_{0}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. Lemma 3.2 is proved.
Lemma 3.3. Let $t_{0}>T$. The following two statements are equivalent,
(1) $G\left(t_{0}\right)=0$;
(2) $f_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$, for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$.

Proof. If $f_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$, for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$, then take $\tilde{f} \equiv 0$ in the definition of $G(t)$ and we get $G\left(t_{0}\right) \equiv 0$.

If $G\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, by definition, there exists a sequence of holomorphic $(n, 0)$ forms $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}}$on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c(-\Psi)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(f_{j}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$, for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$ and $j \geq 1$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}$compactly convergent to a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $f_{0}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ which satisfies

$$
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=0
$$

and $\left(f_{0}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. It follows from $\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|f_{0}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=$ 0 that we know $f_{0} \equiv 0$. Hence we have $f_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. Statement (2) is proved.

The following lemma shows the existence and uniqueness of the holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form related to $G(t)$.
Lemma 3.4. Assume that $G(t)<+\infty$ for some $t \in[T,+\infty)$. Then there exists $a$ unique holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $F_{t}$ on $\{\Psi<-t\}$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|F_{t}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=G(t)
$$

and $\left(F_{t}-f\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$, for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$.
Furthermore, for any holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\hat{F}$ on $\{\Psi<-t\}$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|\hat{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty
$$

and $(\hat{F}-f) \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$, for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. We have the following equality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|F_{t}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)+\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\hat{F}-F_{t}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)  \tag{3.3}\\
= & \int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|\hat{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We firstly show the existence of $F_{t}$. As $G(t)<+\infty$, then there exists a sequence of holomorphic $(n, 0)$ forms $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}$on $\{\Psi<-t\}$ such that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=G(t)
$$

and $\left(f_{j}-f\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$, for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$ and any $j \geq 1$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}$compactly convergent to a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $F$ on $\{\Psi<-t\}$ which satisfies

$$
\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|F|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq G(t)
$$

and $(F-f)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. By the definition of $G(t)$, we have $\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|F|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=G(t)$. Then we obtain the existence of $F_{t}(=F)$.

We prove the uniqueness of $F_{t}$ by contradiction: if not, there exist two different holomorphic $(n, 0)$ forms $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ on $\{\Psi<-t\}$ satisfying $\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|f_{1}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}$ $c(-\Psi)=\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|f_{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=G(t),\left(f_{1}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$ and $\left(f_{2}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\frac{f_{1}+f_{2}}{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)+\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\frac{f_{1}-f_{2}}{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|f_{1}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)+\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|f_{1}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)\right)=G(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

then we obtain that

$$
\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|\frac{f_{1}+f_{2}}{2}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<G(t)
$$

and $\left(\frac{f_{1}+f_{2}}{2}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$, which contradicts to the definition of $G(t)$.

Now we prove the equality (3.3). Let $h$ be any holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form on $\{\Psi<-t\}$ such that $\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|h|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty$ and $h \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. It is clear that for any complex number $\alpha, F_{t}+\alpha h$ satisfying $\left(\left(F_{t}+\alpha h\right)-\right.$ $f) \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$ and $\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|F_{t}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq \int_{\{\Psi<-t\}} \mid F_{t}+$ $\left.\alpha h\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$. Note that

$$
\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|F_{t}+\alpha h\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)-\int_{\{\psi<-t\}}\left|F_{t}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \geq 0
$$

(By considering $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ ) implies

$$
\mathfrak{R} \int_{\{\Psi<-t\}} F_{t} \bar{h} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=0
$$

then we have

$$
\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|F_{t}+h\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left(\left|F_{t}\right|^{2}+|h|^{2}\right) e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)
$$

Letting $h=\hat{F}-F_{t}$, we obtain equality (3.3).
The following lemma shows the lower semicontinuity property of $G(t)$.
Lemma 3.5. $G(t)$ is decreasing with respect to $t \in[T,+\infty)$, such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} G(t)=$ $G\left(t_{0}\right)$ for any $t_{0} \in[T,+\infty)$, and if $G(t)<+\infty$ for some $t>T$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} G(t)=0$.
Especially, $G(t)$ is lower semicontinuous on $[T,+\infty)$.

Proof. By the definition of $G(t)$, it is clear that $G(t)$ is decreasing on $[T,+\infty)$. If $G(t)<+\infty$ for some $t>T$, by the dominated convergence theorem, we know $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} G(t)=0$. It suffices to prove $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} G(t)=G\left(t_{0}\right)$. We prove it by contradiction: if not, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} G(t)<G\left(t_{0}\right)$.

By using Lemma 3.4, for any $t>t_{0}$, there exists a unique holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $F_{t}$ on $\{\Psi<-t\}$ satisfying $\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|F_{t}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=G(t)$ and $\left(F_{t}-f\right) \in$ $\mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. Note that $G(t)$ is decreasing with respect to $t$. We have $\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|F_{t}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c(-\psi) \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} G(t)$ for any $t>t_{0}$. If $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} G(t)=+\infty$, the equality $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} G(t)=G\left(t_{0}\right)$ obviously holds, thus it suffices to prove the case $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} G(t)<+\infty$. It follows from $\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|F_{t}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} G(t)<+\infty$ holds for any $t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$ (where $t_{1}>t_{0}$ is a fixed number) and Lemma 3.2 that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{F_{t}\right\}$ (denoted by $\left\{F_{t_{j}}\right\}$ ) compactly convergent to a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\hat{F}_{t_{0}}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|\hat{F}_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} G(t)<+\infty
$$

and $\left(\hat{F}_{t_{0}}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$.
Then we obtain that $G\left(t_{0}\right) \leq \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|\hat{F}_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} G(t)$, which contradicts $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} G(t)<G\left(t_{0}\right)$. Thus we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} G(t)=G\left(t_{0}\right)$.

We consider the derivatives of $G(t)$ in the following lemma.
Lemma 3.6. Assume that $G\left(t_{1}\right)<+\infty$, where $t_{1} \in(T,+\infty)$. Then for any $t_{0}>t_{1}$, we have

$$
\frac{G\left(t_{1}\right)-G\left(t_{0}\right)}{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}} c(t) e^{-t} d t} \leq \liminf _{B \rightarrow 0+0} \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B\right)}{\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+B} c(t) e^{-t} d t}
$$

i.e.

$$
\frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{t_{0}} c(t) e^{-t} d t-\int_{T_{1}}^{t_{1}} c(t) e^{-t} d t} \geq \limsup _{B \rightarrow 0+0} \frac{G\left(t_{0}+B\right)-G\left(t_{0}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(t) e^{-t} d t-\int_{T_{1}}^{t_{0}} c(t) e^{-t} d t} .
$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that $G(t)<+\infty$ for any $t>t_{1}$. By Lemma 3.4 there exists a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $F_{t_{0}}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$, such that $\left(F_{t_{0}}-f\right) \in$ $\mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$ and $G\left(t_{0}\right)=\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$.

It suffices to consider that $\liminf _{B \rightarrow 0+0} \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B\right)}{\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+B} c(t) e^{-t} d t} \in[0,+\infty)$ because of the decreasing property of $G(t)$. Then there exists $1 \geq B_{j} \rightarrow 0+0($ as $j \rightarrow+\infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B_{j}\right)}{\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+B_{j}} c(t) e^{-t} d t}=\liminf _{B \rightarrow 0+0} \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B\right)}{\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+B} c(t) e^{-t} d t} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left\{\frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B_{j}\right)}{\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+B_{j}} c(t) e^{-t} d t}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}$is bounded. As $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing and positive on $(t,+\infty)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B_{j}\right)}{\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+B_{j}} c(t) e^{-t} d t} & =\left(\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B_{j}\right)}{B_{j}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} c(t) e^{-t}}\right) \\
& =\left(\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B_{j}\right)}{B_{j}}\right)\left(\frac{e^{t_{0}}}{\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+0} c(t)}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\left\{\frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B_{j}\right)}{B_{j}}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}$is uniformly bounded with respect to $j$.
As $t \leq v_{t_{0}, j}(t)$, the decreasing property of $c(t) e^{-t}$ shows that

$$
e^{-\Psi+v_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(\Psi)\right) \geq c(-\Psi)
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that, for any $B_{j}$, there exists holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}_{j}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(\Psi)\right) F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(\Psi)\right) F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} e^{-\Psi+v_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B_{j}} c(t) e^{-t} d t \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}} \frac{1}{B_{j}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B_{j}<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} \\
\leq & \frac{e^{t_{0}+B_{j}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B_{j}} c(t) e^{-t} d t}{\inf _{t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{0}+B_{j}\right)} c(t)} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}} \frac{1}{B_{j}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B_{j}<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
= & \frac{e^{t_{0}+B_{j} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B_{j}} c(t) e^{-t} d t}}{\inf _{t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{0}+B_{j}\right)} c(t)} \times\left(\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}} \frac{1}{B_{j}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}} \frac{1}{B_{j}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}-B_{j}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \frac{e^{t_{0}+B_{j} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B_{j}} c(t) e^{-t} d t}}{\inf _{t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{0}+B_{j}\right)} c(t)} \times \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B_{j}\right)}{B_{j}}<+\infty . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $b_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(t)=0$ for $t \leq-t_{0}-B_{j}, b_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(t)=1$ for $t \geq t_{0}, v_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(t)>$ $-t_{0}-B_{j}$ and $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$. It follows from inequality (3.6) that $\left(F_{j}-F_{t_{0}}\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes I\left(\Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{z_{0}} \subset \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$.

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & 2 \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(\Psi)\right) F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)+2 \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(\Psi)\right) F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & 2 \frac{e^{t_{0}+B_{j}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B_{j}} c(t) e^{-t} d t}{\inf _{t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{0}+B_{j}\right)} c(t)} \times \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B_{j}\right)}{B_{j}}+2 \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We also note that $B_{j} \leq 1, \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B_{j}\right)}{B_{j}}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $j$ and $G\left(t_{0}\right)=\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$. It follows from inequality (3.7) that we know $\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $j$.

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{\tilde{F}_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}$compactly convergent to a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}$ which satisfies

$$
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty,
$$

and $\left(\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-F_{t_{0}}\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$.
Note that $\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} b_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(t)=\mathbb{I}_{\left\{t \geq-t_{0}\right\}}$ and

$$
v_{t_{0}}(t):=\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} v_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(t)= \begin{cases}-t_{0} & \text { if } x<-t_{0} \\ t & \text { if } x \geq t_{0}\end{cases}
$$

It follows from inequality (3.6) and Fatou's lemma that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)+\int_{\left\{-t_{0} \leq \Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-\mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}} F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} e^{-\Psi+v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(\Psi)\right) F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\frac{e^{t_{0}+B_{j}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B_{j}} c(t) e^{-t} d t}{\inf _{t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{0}+B_{j}\right)} c(t)} \times \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B_{j}\right)}{B_{j}}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 3.4, equality (3.4), equality (3.5) and inequality (3.8) that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)-\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)+\int_{\left\{-t_{0} \leq \Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-\mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}} F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} e^{-\Psi+v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{j}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B_{j}}(\Psi)\right) F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)  \tag{3.9}\\
\leq & \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\frac{e^{t_{0}+B_{j}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B_{j}} c(t) e^{-t} d t}{\inf _{\left.t \in t_{0}, t_{0}+B_{j}\right)} c(t)} \times \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B_{j}\right)}{B_{j}}\right) \\
\leq & \left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}} c(t) e^{-t} d t\right) \liminf _{B \rightarrow 0+0} \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B\right)}{\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+B} c(t) e^{-t} d t} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\left(\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-F_{t_{0}}\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. It follows from the definition of $G(t)$ and inequality (3.9) that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(t_{1}\right)-G\left(t_{0}\right) \\
\leq & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)-\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-\mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}} F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)  \tag{3.10}\\
\leq & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-\mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}} F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} e^{-\Psi+v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}} c(t) e^{-t} d t\right) \liminf _{B \rightarrow 0+0} \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B\right)}{\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+B} c(t) e^{-t} d t} .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.6 is proved.

The following property of concave functions will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 3.7 (see [23]). Let $H(r)$ be a lower semicontinuous function on $(0, R]$. Then $H(r)$ is concave if and only if

$$
\frac{H\left(r_{1}\right)-H\left(r_{2}\right)}{r_{1}-r_{2}} \leq \liminf _{r_{3} \rightarrow r_{2}-0} \frac{H\left(r_{3}\right)-H\left(r_{2}\right)}{r_{3}-r_{2}}
$$

holds for any $0<r_{2}<r_{1} \leq R$.

## 4. Proofs of Theorem 1.2 , Remark 1.3 , Corollary 1.4 and Remark 1.5

We firstly prove Theorem 1.2
Proof. We firstly show that if $G\left(t_{0}\right)<+\infty$ for some $t_{0}>T$, then $G\left(t_{1}\right)<+\infty$ for any $T<t_{1}<t_{0}$. As $G\left(t_{0}\right)<+\infty$, it follows from Lemma 3.4 that there exists a unique holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $F_{t_{0}}$ on $\{\Psi<-t\}$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=G\left(t_{0}\right)<+\infty
$$

and $\left(F_{t_{0}}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$, for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$.
It follows from Lemma 2.4 that there exists a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}_{1}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $b_{t_{0}, B}(t)=0$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}-B\right\}$ and $v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)>-t_{0}-B$. We have $e^{v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right)$ has a positive lower bound. It follows from inequality (4.1) that we have $\left(F_{1}-F_{t_{0}}\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes I\left(\Psi+\varphi_{\alpha}\right)_{z_{0}} \subset \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$, which implies that $\left(F_{1}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$, for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. As
$v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi) \geq \Psi$ and $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, it follows from inequality (4.1) that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
\leq & \left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & 2 \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)+2 \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
\leq & 2\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}+2 \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
< & +\infty \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we have $G\left(t_{1}\right) \leq \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{1}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<+\infty$.
Now, it follows from Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7 that we know $G\left(h^{-1}(r)\right)$ is concave with respect to $r$. It follows from Lemma3.5 that $\lim _{t \rightarrow T+0} G(t)=$ $G(T)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} G(t)=0$.

Theorem 1.2 is proved.

Now we prove Remark 1.3
Proof. Note that if there exists a positive decreasing concave function $g(t)$ on $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $g(t)$ is not a constant function, then $b<+\infty$.

Assume that $G\left(t_{0}\right)<+\infty$ for some $t_{0} \geq T$. As $f_{z_{0}} \notin \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for some $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$, Lemma 3.3 shows that $G\left(t_{0}\right) \in(0,+\infty)$. Following from Theorem 1.2 we know $G\left(h^{-1}(r)\right)$ is concave with respect to $r \in\left(\int_{T_{1}}^{T} c(t) e^{-t} d t, \int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t\right)$ and $G\left(h^{-1}(r)\right)$ is not a constant function, therefore we obtain $\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t<+\infty$, which contradicts to $\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t=+\infty$. Thus we have $G(t) \equiv+\infty$.

When $G\left(t_{2}\right) \in(0,+\infty)$ for some $t_{2} \in[T,+\infty)$, Lemma 3.3 shows that $f_{z_{0}} \notin$ $\mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$, for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. Combining the above discussion, we know $\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t<+\infty$. Using Theorem 1.2, we obtain that $G\left(\hat{h}^{-1}(r)\right)$ is concave with respect to $r \in\left(0, \int_{T}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t\right)$, where $\hat{h}(t)=\int_{t}^{+\infty} c(l) e^{-l} d l$.

Thus, Remark 1.3 holds.
Now we prove Corollary 1.4
Proof. As $G\left(h^{-1}(r)\right)$ is linear with respect to $r \in\left[0, \int_{T}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right)$, we have $G(t)=\frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{t}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s$ for any $t \in[T,+\infty)$ and $T_{1} \in(T,+\infty)$.

We follow the notation and the construction in Lemma 3.6. Let $t_{0}>t_{1}>T$ be given. It follows from $G\left(h^{-1}(r)\right)$ is linear with respect to $r \in\left[0, \int_{T}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right)$ that we know that all inequalities in (3.10) should be equalities, i.e., we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(t_{1}\right)-G\left(t_{0}\right) \\
= & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)-\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
= & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-\mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}} F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)  \tag{4.4}\\
= & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-\mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}} F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} e^{-\Psi+v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right) \\
= & \left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}} c(t) e^{-t} d t\right) \liminf _{B \rightarrow 0+0} \frac{G\left(t_{0}\right)-G\left(t_{0}+B\right)}{\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+B} c(t) e^{-t} d t} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $G\left(t_{0}\right)=\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$. Equality (4.4) shows that $G\left(t_{1}\right)=$ $\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$.

Note that on $\left\{\Psi \geq-t_{0}\right\}$, we have $e^{-\Psi+v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right)=c(-\Psi)$. It follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-\mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}} F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
= & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-\mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}} F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} e^{-\Psi+v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that we have (note that $v_{t_{0}}(\Psi)=-t_{0}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)  \tag{4.5}\\
= & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} e^{-\Psi-t_{0}} c\left(t_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

As $\int_{T}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t<+\infty$ and $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, we know that there exists $t_{2}>t_{0}$ such that $c(t) e^{-t}<c\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-t_{0}}-\epsilon$ for any $t \geq t_{2}$, where $\epsilon>0$ is a constant. Then equality (4.5) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{2}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} \\
\leq & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{2}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}\left(e^{-\Psi-t_{0}} c\left(t_{0}\right)-c(-\Psi)\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
\leq & \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}-F_{t_{0}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}\left(e^{-\Psi-t_{0}} c\left(t_{0}\right)-c(-\Psi)\right) \\
= & 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} \geq e^{-\left(\varphi_{\alpha}+\psi\right)}|F|^{2}, \varphi_{\alpha}+\psi$ is a plurisubharmonic function and the integrand in (4.6) is nonnegative, we must have $\left.\tilde{F}_{t_{1}}\right|_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}=F_{t_{0}}$.

It follows from Lemma 3.4 that for any $t>T$, there exists a unique holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $F_{t}$ on $\{\Psi<-t\}$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|F_{t}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=G(t)
$$

and $\left(F_{t}-f\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$, for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. By the above discussion, we know $F_{t}=F_{t^{\prime}}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-\max \left\{t, t^{\prime}\right\}\right\}$ for any $t \in(T,+\infty)$ and $t^{\prime} \in(T,+\infty)$. Hence combining $\lim _{t \rightarrow T+0} G(t)=G(T)$, we obtain that there exists a unique holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}$ on $\{\Psi<-T\}$ satisfying $(\tilde{F}-f)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$ and $G(t)=\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$ for any $t \geq T$.

Secondly, we prove equality (1.2).
As $a(t)$ is a nonnegative measurable function on $(T,+\infty)$, then there exists a sequence of functions $\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} a_{i j} \mathbb{I}_{E_{i j}}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}\left(n_{i}<+\infty\right.$ for any $\left.i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right)$satisfying that $\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} a_{i j} \mathbb{I}_{E_{i j}}$ is increasing with respect to $i$ and $\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} a_{i j} \mathbb{I}_{E_{i j}}=a(t)$ for any $t \in(T,+\infty)$, where $E_{i j}$ is a Lebesgue measurable subset of $(T,+\infty)$ and $a_{i j} \geq 0$ is a constant for any $i, j$. It follows from Levi's Theorem that it suffices to prove the case that $a(t)=\mathbb{I}_{E}(t)$, where $E \subset \subset(T,+\infty)$ is a Lebesgue measurable set.

Note that $G(t)=\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=\frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{t}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s$ where $T_{1} \in(T,+\infty)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{-t_{1} \leq \Psi<-t_{2}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)=\frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}} c(s) e^{-s} d s \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $T \leq t_{2}<t_{1}<+\infty$. It follows from the dominated convergence theorem and equality (4.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in N\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $N \subset \subset(T,+\infty)$ such that $\mu(N)=0$, where $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$.

As $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing on $(T,+\infty)$, there are at most countable points denoted by $\left\{s_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}$such that $c(t)$ is not continuous at $s_{j}$. Then there is a decreasing sequence of open sets $\left\{U_{k}\right\}$, such that $\left\{s_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} \subset U_{k} \subset(T,+\infty)$ for any $k$, and $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \mu\left(U_{k}\right)=0$. Choosing any closed interval $\left[t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right] \subset(T,+\infty)$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{-t_{1}^{\prime} \leq \Psi<-t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
= & \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right] \backslash U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left[t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right] \cap U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in I_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left[t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right] \cap U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}, \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{i, n}=\left(t_{1}^{\prime}-(i+1) \alpha_{n}, t_{1}^{\prime}-i \alpha_{n}\right]$ and $\alpha_{n}=\frac{t_{1}^{\prime}-t_{2}^{\prime}}{n}$. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in I_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
\leq & \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\inf _{I_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}} c(t)} \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in I_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) . \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from equality (4.7) that inequality (4.10) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in I_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
\leq & \frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0} \frac{1}{\inf _{I_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}} c(t)} \int_{I_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}} c(s) e^{-s} d s . \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that $c(t)$ is uniformly continuous and has positive lower bound and upper bound on $\left[t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right] \backslash U_{k}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\inf _{I_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}} c(t)} \int_{I_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}} c(s) e^{-s} d s \\
\leq & \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\sup _{I_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}} c(t)}{\inf _{I_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}} c(t)} \int_{I_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}} e^{-s} d s  \tag{4.12}\\
= & \int_{\left(t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime} \backslash U_{k}\right.} e^{-s} d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining inequality (4.9), (4.11) and (4.12), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{-t_{1}^{\prime} \leq \Psi<-t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
= & \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right] \backslash U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left[t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right] \cap U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}  \tag{4.13}\\
\leq & \frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{\left(t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right] \backslash U_{k}} e^{-s} d s+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left[t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right] \cap U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $k \rightarrow+\infty$, following from equality (4.8) and inequality (4.13), then we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{-t_{1}^{\prime} \leq \psi<-t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \leq \frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{t_{2}^{\prime}}^{t_{1}^{\prime}} e^{-s} d s \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following from a similar discussion we can obtain that

$$
\int_{\left\{-t_{1}^{\prime} \leq \psi<-t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \geq \frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{t_{2}^{\prime}}^{t_{1}^{\prime}} e^{-s} d s
$$

Then combining inequality (4.14), we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{-t_{1}^{\prime} \leq \Psi<-t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}=\frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{t_{2}^{\prime}}^{t_{1}^{\prime}} e^{-s} d s \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is clear that for any open set $U \subset(T,+\infty)$ and compact set $V \subset(T,+\infty)$,

$$
\int_{\{z \in M ;-\Psi(z) \in U\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}=\frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{U} e^{-s} d s
$$

and

$$
\int_{\{z \in M ;-\Psi(z) \in V\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}=\frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{V} e^{-s} d s
$$

As $E \subset \subset(T,+\infty)$, then $E \cap\left(t_{2}, t_{1}\right]$ is a Lebesgue measurable subset of $\left(T+\frac{1}{n}, n\right)$ for some large $n$, where $T \leq t_{2}<t_{1} \leq+\infty$. Then there exists a sequence of compact sets $\left\{V_{j}\right\}$ and a sequence of open subsets $\left\{V_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ satisfying $V_{1} \subset \ldots \subset$ $V_{j} \subset V_{j+1} \subset \ldots \subset E \cap\left(t_{2}, t_{1}\right] \subset \ldots \subset V_{j+1}^{\prime} \subset V_{j}^{\prime} \subset \ldots \subset V_{1}^{\prime} \subset \subset(T,+\infty)$ and $\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \mu\left(V_{j}^{\prime}-V_{j}\right)=0$, where $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left\{-t_{1}^{\prime} \leq \Psi<-t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \mathbb{I}_{E}(-\Psi) & =\int_{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in E \cap\left(t_{2}, t_{1}\right]}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
& \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in V_{j}^{\prime}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
& \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{V_{j}^{\prime}} e^{-s} d s \\
& \leq \frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{E \cap\left(t_{2}, t_{1}\right]} e^{-s} d s \\
& =\frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}} e^{-s} \mathbb{I}_{E}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left\{-t_{1}^{\prime} \leq \Psi<-t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \mathbb{I}_{E}(-\Psi) & \geq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in V_{j}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
& \geq \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{V_{j}} e^{-s} d s \\
& =\frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}} e^{-s} \mathbb{I}_{E}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\int_{\left\{-t_{1}^{\prime} \leq \Psi<-t_{2}^{\prime}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \mathbb{I}_{E}(-\Psi)=\frac{G\left(T_{1}\right)}{\int_{T_{1}}^{+\infty} c(s) e^{-s} d s} \int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}} e^{-s} \mathbb{I}_{E}(s) d s
$$

Hence we know that equality (1.2) holds.
Corollary 1.4 is proved.
Now we prove Remark 1.5
Proof of Remark 1.5. By the definition of $G(t ; \tilde{c})$, we have $G\left(t_{0} ; \tilde{c}\right) \leq \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \tilde{c}(-\Psi)$, where $\tilde{F}$ is the holomorphic ( $n, 0$ ) form on $\{\Psi<-T\}$ such that $G(t)=\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)$ for any $t \geq T$. Hence we only consider the case $G\left(t_{0} ; \tilde{c}\right)<+\infty$.

By the definition of $G(t ; \tilde{c})$, we can choose a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}$ on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ satisfying $\left(F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-f\right)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes J_{z_{0}}$, for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$ and
$\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \tilde{c}(-\Psi)<+\infty$. As $\mathcal{H}^{2}\left(\tilde{c}, t_{0}\right) \subset \mathcal{H}^{2}\left(c, t_{0}\right)$, we have $\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)<$ $+\infty$. Using Lemma 3.4, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) & =\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
& +\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $t \geq t_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\left\{-t_{3} \leq \Psi<-t_{4}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) & =\int_{\left\{-t_{3} \leq \Psi<-t_{4}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)  \tag{4.16}\\
& +\int_{\left\{-t_{3} \leq \Psi<-t_{4}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)
\end{align*}
$$

holds for any $t_{3}>t_{4} \geq t_{0}$. It follows from the dominant convergence theorem, equality (4.16), (4.8) and $c(t)>0$ for any $t>T$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\{z \in M:-\Psi(z)=t\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}=\int_{\{z \in M:-\Psi(z)=t\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $t>t_{0}$.
Choosing any closed interval $\left[t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right] \subset\left(t_{0},+\infty\right) \subset(T,+\infty)$. Note that $c(t)$ is uniformly continuous and have positive lower bound and upper bound on $\left[t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right] \backslash U_{k}$, where $\left\{U_{k}\right\}$ is the decreasing sequence of open subsets of $(T,+\infty)$, such that $c$ is continuous on $(T,+\infty) \backslash U_{k}$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \mu\left(U_{k}\right)=0$. Take $N=\cap_{k=1}^{+\infty} U_{k}$. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{-t_{3}^{\prime} \leq \Psi<-t_{4}^{\prime}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right] \cap U_{k}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
\leq & \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\inf _{S_{i, n}} c(t)} \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
& +\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right] \cap U_{k}\right\}} \mid F_{t_{0},\left.\tilde{c}\right|^{2}} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}, \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{i, n}=\left(t_{4}^{\prime}-(i+1) \alpha_{n}, t_{3}^{\prime}-i \alpha_{n}\right]$ and $\alpha_{n}=\frac{t_{3}^{\prime}-t_{4}^{\prime}}{n}$. It follows from equality (4.16), (4.17), (4.8) and the dominated theorem that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
= & \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) . \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

As $c(t)$ is uniformly continuous and have positive lower bound and upper bound on $\left[t_{3}^{\prime}, t_{4}^{\prime}\right] \backslash U_{k}$, combining equality (4.19), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\inf _{S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}} c(t)} \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) \\
&=\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\inf _{S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}} c(t)}\left(\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi)\right) \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\sup _{S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}} c(t)}{\inf _{S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}} c(t)}\left(\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in S_{i, n} \backslash U_{k}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}\right) \\
&= \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime} \backslash \backslash U_{k}\right\}\right.}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime} \backslash \backslash U_{k}\right\}\right.}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} . \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

If follows from inequality (4.18) and (4.20) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{-t_{3}^{\prime} \leq \Psi<-t_{4}^{\prime}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
\leq & \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime} \backslash \backslash U_{k}\right\}\right.}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime} \backslash \backslash U_{k}\right\}\right.}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
& +\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right] \cap U_{k}\right\}} \mid F_{t_{0},\left.\tilde{c}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} .} \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from $F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}} \in \mathcal{H}^{2}\left(c, t_{0}\right)$ that $\int_{\left\{-t_{3}^{\prime} \leq \Psi<-t_{4}^{\prime}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}<+\infty$. Let $k \rightarrow$ $+\infty$, by equality (4.8), inequality (4.21) and the dominated theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{-t_{3}^{\prime} \leq \Psi<-t_{4}^{\prime}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
\leq & \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right]\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right] \backslash N\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}  \tag{4.22}\\
& +\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right] \cap N\right\}} \mid F_{t_{0},\left.\tilde{c}\right|^{2}} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By similar discussion, we also have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{-t_{3}^{\prime} \leq \Psi<-t_{4}^{\prime}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
\geq & \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right]\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime} \backslash \backslash N\right\}\right.}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
& +\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right] \cap N\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

then combining inequality (4.22), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{-t_{3}^{\prime} \leq \Psi<-t_{4}^{\prime}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
= & \int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right]\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}+\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime} \backslash \backslash N\right\}\right.}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}  \tag{4.23}\\
& +\int_{\left\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in\left(t_{4}^{\prime}, t_{3}^{\prime}\right] \cap N\right\}} \mid F_{t_{0},\left.\tilde{c}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} .}
\end{align*}
$$

Using equality (4.8), 4.17) and Levi's Theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in U\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
= & \int_{\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in U\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi}+\int_{\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in U \backslash N\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}  \tag{4.24}\\
& +\int_{\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in U \cap N\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for any open set $U \subset \subset\left(t_{0},+\infty\right)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in V\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \\
= & \int_{\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in V\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi}+\int_{\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in V \backslash N\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}-\tilde{F}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}  \tag{4.25}\\
& +\int_{\{z \in M:-\Psi(z) \in V \cap N\}} \mid F_{t_{0},\left.\tilde{c}\right|^{2}} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for any compact set $V \subset\left(t_{0},+\infty\right)$. For any measurable set $E \subset \subset\left(t_{0},+\infty\right)$, there exists a sequence of compact set $\left\{V_{l}\right\}$, such that $V_{l} \subset V_{l+1} \subset E$ for any $l$ and $\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \mu\left(V_{l}\right)=\mu(E)$, hence by equality 4.25), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \mathbb{I}_{E}(-\psi) & \geq \lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{\psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \mathbb{I}_{V_{j}}(-\psi) \\
& \geq \lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{\psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \mathbb{I}_{V_{j}}(-\psi)  \tag{4.26}\\
& =\int_{\left\{\psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi^{-}} \mathbb{I}_{V_{j}}(-\psi)
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that for any $t>t_{0}$, there exists a sequence of functions $\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \mathbb{I}_{E_{i, j}}\right\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ defined on $(t,+\infty)$, satisfying $E_{i, j} \subset \subset(t,+\infty)$, $\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i+1}} \mathbb{I}_{E_{i+1, j}}(s) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \mathbb{I}_{E_{i, j}}(s)$ and $\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \mathbb{I}_{E_{i, j}}(s)=\tilde{c}(s)$ for any $s>t$. Combining Levi's Theorem and inequality (4.26), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|F_{t_{0}, \tilde{c}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \tilde{c}(-\Psi) \geq \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \tilde{c}(-\Psi) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $G\left(t_{0}, \tilde{c}\right)$, we have $G\left(t_{0}, \tilde{c}\right)=\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|\tilde{F}|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} \tilde{c}(-\Psi)$. Equality (1.3) is proved.

## 5. Proof of Corollary 1.7

In this section, we prove Corollary 1.7
Proof. Let $Z_{0} \subset\{\psi=-\infty\}$. Let $F \equiv 1$ on $M$. Denote $\varphi_{\alpha}:=\varphi$. As $\psi<-T$ on $M$, we have $\Psi:=\min \{\psi-2 \log |F|,-T\}=\psi$. which implies that $H_{z_{0}}=\mathcal{H}_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$. Denote $J_{z_{0}}:=\mathcal{F}_{z_{0}}$ for any $z_{0} \in Z_{0}$.

Let $c(t) \in P_{T, M}$. Now we prove that $c(t)$ belongs to $P_{T, M, \Psi}$. Let $T_{1}>T$ be a real number. Denote $\Psi_{1}:=\min \left\{\psi,-T_{1}\right\}$. Let $K$ be any compact subset of $M \backslash E$. If $K \cap\left\{\psi<-T_{1}\right\} \neq \emptyset$, as $\psi=\Psi_{1}$ on $\left\{\psi<-T_{1}\right\}$, it follows from $e^{-\varphi} c(-\psi)$ has a positive lower bound on $K$ that $e^{-\varphi} c\left(-\Psi_{1}\right)$ has a positive lower bound on $K \cap\left\{\psi<-T_{1}\right\}$. If $K \cap\left\{\psi \geq-T_{1}\right\} \neq \emptyset$, as $\varphi+\psi$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $M$, then the function $e^{-\varphi} c\left(-\Psi_{1}\right)=e^{-\varphi-\psi} c\left(-\Psi_{1}\right) e^{\psi} \geq e^{-(\varphi+\psi)} c\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-T_{1}}$ has a positive lower bound on $K \cap\left\{\psi \geq-T_{1}\right\}$. Hence $e^{-\varphi} c\left(-\Psi_{1}\right)$ has a positive lower bound on any compact set $K \subset M \backslash E$. We know $c(t) \in P_{T, M, \Psi}$.

By the definition (1.1) of $G\left(t ; c, \Psi, \varphi_{\alpha}, J, f\right)$ and the definition (1.4) of $G(t ; c, \psi, \varphi, \mathcal{F}, f)$, we know that $G\left(t ; c, \Psi, \varphi_{\alpha}, J, f\right)=G(t ; c, \psi, \varphi, \mathcal{F}, f)$ for any $t \in[T,+\infty)$. As there exists a $t_{0} \geq T$ such that $G\left(t_{0} ; c, \psi, \varphi, f, \mathcal{F}\right)<+\infty$, we know that $G\left(t_{0} ; c, \Psi, \varphi_{\alpha}, J, f\right)<$ $+\infty$.

Then it follows from Theorem 1.2 that Corollary 1.7 holds.

## 6. Proof of Proposition 1.9

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.9 by using Theorem 1.2 ,
Proof. Let $h(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}e^{-\frac{1}{1-(x-1)^{2}}} & \text { if }|x-1|<1 \\ 0 & \text { if }|x-1| \geq 1\end{array}\right.$ be a real function defined on $\mathbb{R}$, and let $g_{n}(x)=\frac{n}{(n+1) d} \int_{0}^{n x} h(s) d s$, where $d=\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(s) d s$. Note that $h(x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $h(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we get that $g_{n}(x)$ is increasing with respect to $x$, $g_{n}(x) \leq g_{n+1}(x)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} g_{n}(x)=\mathbb{I}_{\{s \in \mathbb{R}: s>0\}}(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Setting $c_{t}^{n}(x)=1-g_{n}(x-t)$, where $t$ is the given positive number in Proposition 1.9, it follows from the properties of $\left\{g_{n}(x)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that $c_{t}^{n}(x)$ is decreasing with respect to $x, c_{t}^{n}(x) \geq c_{t}^{n+1}(x)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} c_{t}^{n}(x)=$ $\mathbb{I}_{\{s \in \mathbb{R}: s \leq t\}}(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varphi_{\alpha} \equiv 0$. Note that $c_{t}^{n}(x) \in\left[\frac{1}{n+1}, 1\right]$ on $(0,+\infty)$, then $c_{t}^{n}(x) \in P_{0, M, \Psi}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf \left\{\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|\tilde{f}|^{2} c_{t}^{n}(-\Psi)\right. & : \tilde{f} \in H^{0}\left(\{\Psi<-t\}, \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)\right) \\
& \left.\&(\tilde{f}-f)_{z_{0}} \in \mathcal{O}\left(K_{M}\right)_{z_{0}} \otimes I(\Psi)_{z_{0}} \text { for any } z_{0} \in Z_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

by $G_{t, n}(s)$. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\{\Psi<-l\}}|f|^{2} c_{t}^{n}(-\Psi) \geq G_{t, n}(l) \geq \frac{\int_{l}^{+\infty} c_{t}^{n}(s) e^{-s} d s}{\int_{0}^{+\infty} c_{t}^{n}(s) e^{-s} d s} G_{t, n}(0) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $l>0$. Following from $\int_{\{\Psi<-l\}}|f|^{2}<+\infty$ for any $l>0$, the properties of $\left\{c_{t}^{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\{\Psi<-l\}}|f|^{2} c_{t}^{n}(-\Psi)=\int_{\{-t \leq \Psi<-l\}}|f|^{2} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $c_{t}^{n}(x) \geq \mathbb{I}_{\{s \in \mathbb{R}: s \leq t\}}(x)$ for any $x>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then it follows from the definitions of $G_{t, n}(0)$ and $C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{t, n}(0) \geq C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining inequality (6.1), equality (6.2), and inequality (6.3), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{-t \leq \Psi<-l\}}|f|^{2} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\{\Psi<-l\}}|f|^{2} c_{t}^{n}(-\Psi) \\
& \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\int_{l}^{+\infty} c_{t}^{n}(s) e^{-s} d s}{\int_{0}^{+\infty} c_{t}^{n}(s) e^{-s} d s} C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right) \\
& =\frac{e^{-l}-e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $l \in(0, t)$. Following from the difinition of $C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)$, we have $\int_{\{-t \leq \Psi<0\}}|f|^{2} \geq$ $C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\{-t \leq \Psi<-l\}}|f|^{2} \geq \frac{e^{-l}-e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $l \in[0, t)$.
Following from Fubini's Theorem and inequality (6.4), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M_{t}}|f|^{2} e^{-\Psi} & =\int_{M_{t}}\left(|f|^{2} \int_{0}^{e^{-\Psi}} d r\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\int_{M_{t} \cap\left\{r<e^{-\Psi}\right\}}|f|^{2}\right) d r \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{t}\left(\int_{\{-t \leq \Psi<\min \{-l, 0\}\}}|f|^{2}\right) e^{l} d l \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left(\int_{\{-t \leq \Psi<\min \{-l, 0\}\}}|f|^{2}\right) e^{l} d l+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{\{-t \leq \Psi<-l\}}|f|^{2}\right) e^{l} d l \\
& \geq C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{l} d l+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1-e^{l-t}}{1-e^{-t}} d l\right) \\
& =\frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} C_{f, \Psi, t}\left(Z_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then Proposition 1.9 has thus been proved.

## 7. Proofs of Theorem 1.11, Theorem 1.13 and Remark 1.14

The following estimate will be used in the proofs of Theorem 1.11 and Theorem 1.13 .

Proposition 7.1. Let $\varphi$ be a plurisubharmonic function $D$, and let $f$ be a holomorphic function on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ such that $f_{o} \in I(\varphi)_{o}$. Assume that $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)<+\infty$, then we have $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)>0$ and

$$
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \int_{\left\{a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi<\log r\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} \geq G\left(0 ; c \equiv 1, \Psi, \varphi, I_{+}\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}, f\right)>0
$$

holds for any $r \in\left(0, e^{-a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) t_{0}}\right]$, where the definition of $G\left(0 ; c \equiv 1, \Psi, \varphi, I_{+}\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\right.\right.$ $\left.\varphi)_{o}, f\right)$ can be found in Section 1.1.

Proof. Lemma 2.10 tells us that there exists $p_{0}>2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)$ such that $I\left(p_{0} \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}=$ $I_{+}\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}$. Following from the definition of $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)$ and Lemma 3.3 we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(0 ; c \equiv 1, \Psi, \varphi, I_{+}\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}, f\right)>0 . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, assume that there exists $t>t_{0}$ such that $\int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}<$ $+\infty$. Denote that $t_{1}:=\inf \left\{t \geq t_{0}: \int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}<+\infty\right\}$. Denote

$$
\inf \left\{\int_{\{p \Psi<-t\}}|\tilde{f}|^{2} e^{-\varphi}: \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\{p \Psi<-t\}) \&(\tilde{f}-f)_{o} \in I(p \Psi+\varphi)_{o}\right\}
$$

by $G_{p}(t)$, where $t \in[0,+\infty)$ and $p>2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)$. Then we know that $G_{p}(0) \geq$ $G\left(0 ; c \equiv 1, \Psi, \varphi, I_{+}\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}, f\right)$ for any $p>2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)$. Note that

$$
p \Psi=\min \left\{p \psi+(2\lceil p\rceil-2 p) \log |F|-2 \log \left|F^{\lceil p\rceil}\right|, 0\right\},
$$

where $\lceil p\rceil=\min \{n \in \mathbb{Z}: n \geq p\}$, and

$$
G_{p}(p t) \leq \int_{\{\Psi<-t\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}<+\infty
$$

for any $t>t_{1}$. Theorem 1.2 shows that $G_{p}(-\log r)$ is concave with respect to $r \in(0,1]$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} G_{p}(t)=0$, which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}} \int_{\left\{p \Psi<2 \log r_{1}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} & \geq \frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}} G_{p}\left(-2 \log r_{1}\right) \\
& \geq G_{p}(0)  \tag{7.2}\\
& \geq G\left(0 ; c \equiv 1, \Psi, \varphi, I_{+}\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}, f\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<r_{1} \leq e^{-\frac{p t_{0}}{2}}$.
We prove $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)>0$ by contradiction: if $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)=0$, as $\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}-1\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}<$ $+\infty$, it follows from the dominated convergence theorem and inequality (7.2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}} \int_{\{\Psi=-\infty\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} & =\lim _{p \rightarrow 0+0} \frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}} \int_{\left\{p \Psi<2 \log r_{1}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}  \tag{7.3}\\
& \geq G\left(0 ; c \equiv 1, \Psi, \varphi, I_{+}\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}, f\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\mu(\{\Psi=-\infty\})=\mu(\{\psi=-\infty\})=0$, where $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Inequality (7.3) implies that $G\left(0 ; c \equiv 1, \Psi, \varphi, I_{+}\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}, f\right)=0$, which contradicts inequality (7.1). Thus, we get that $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)>0$.

For any $r_{2} \in\left(0, e^{-a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) t_{1}}\right)$, note that $\frac{2 \log r_{2}}{p}<-t_{1}$ for any $p \in\left(2 a_{0}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi),-\frac{2 \log r_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)$, which implies that $\int_{\left\{p \Psi<2 \log r_{2}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}<+\infty$ for any $p \in\left(2 a_{0}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi),-\frac{2 \log r_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)$. Then it follows from the dominated convergence theorem and inequality (7.2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{r_{2}^{2}} \int_{\left\{2 a_{0}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi \leq 2 \log r_{2}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} & =\lim _{p \rightarrow 2 a_{0}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)+0} \frac{1}{r_{2}^{2}} \int_{\left\{p \Psi<2 \log r_{2}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}  \tag{7.4}\\
& \geq G\left(0 ; c \equiv 1, \Psi, \varphi, I_{+}\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}, f\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For any $r \in\left(0, e^{-a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) t_{0}}\right]$, if $r>e^{-a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) t_{1}}$, we have $\int_{\left\{a_{0}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi<\log r\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}=$ $+\infty>G\left(0 ; c \equiv 1, \Psi, \varphi, I_{+}\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}, f\right)$, and if $r \in\left(0, e^{-a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) t_{1}}\right]$, it follows from $\left\{a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi<\log r\right\}=\cup_{0<r_{2}<r}\left\{a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi<\log r_{2}\right\}$ and inequality (7.4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left\{a_{0}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi<\log r\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} & =\sup _{r_{2} \in(0, r)} \int_{\left\{2 a_{0}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi \leq 2 \log r_{2}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} \\
& \geq \sup _{r_{2} \in(0, r)} r_{2}^{2} G\left(0 ; c \equiv 1, \Psi, \varphi, I_{+}\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}, f\right) \\
& =r^{2} G\left(0 ; c \equiv 1, \Psi, \varphi, I_{+}\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}, f\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, Proposition 7.1 holds.
Proof of Theorem 1.11. It is clear that $I_{+}(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o} \subset I(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$, hence it suffices to prove that $I(a \Psi+\varphi) \subset I_{+}(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$.

If there exists $f_{o} \in I(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$ such that $f_{o} \notin I_{+}(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$, then $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)_{o}=\frac{a}{2}<$ $+\infty$. Proposition 7.1 shows that $a>0$. Without loss of generality, assume that $f$ is a holomorphic function on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\} \cap D$, where $t_{0}>0$. For any neighborhood $U \subset D$ of $o$, it follows from Proposition 7.1 that there exists $C_{U}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \int_{\{a \Psi<2 \log r\} \cap U}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} \geq C_{U} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r \in\left(0, e^{-\frac{a t_{0}}{2}}\right]$. For any $t>a t_{0}$, it follows from Fubini's Theorem and inequality (7.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{a \Psi<-t\} \cap U}|f|^{2} e^{-a \Psi-\varphi} & =\int_{\{a \Psi<-t\} \cap U}\left(|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} \int_{0}^{e^{-a \Psi}} d l\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\int_{\left\{l<e^{-a \Psi}\right\} \cap\{a \Psi<-t\} \cap U}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}\right) d l \\
& \geq \int_{e^{t}}^{+\infty}\left(\int_{\{a \Psi<-\log l\} \cap U}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}\right) d l \\
& \geq C_{U} \int_{e^{t}}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{l} d l \\
& =+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts $f_{o} \in I(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$. Thus, there is no $f_{o} \in I(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$ such that $f_{o} \notin I_{+}(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$, which implies that $I(a \Psi+\varphi)=I_{+}(a \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$ for any $a \geq 0$.

We recall two lemmas, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.13,
Lemma 7.2 (see [31]). Let $a(t)$ be a positive measurable function on $(-\infty,+\infty)$, such that $a(t) e^{t}$ is increasing near $+\infty$, and $a(t)$ is not integrable near $+\infty$. Then there exists a positive measurable function $\tilde{a}(t)$ on $(-\infty,+\infty)$ satisfying the following statements:
(1) there exists $T<+\infty$ such that $\tilde{a}(t) \leq a(t)$ for any $t>T$;
(2) $\tilde{a}(t) e^{t}$ is strictly increasing and continuous near $+\infty$;
(3) $\tilde{a}(t)$ is not integrable near $+\infty$.

Lemma 7.3 (see [35]). For any two measurable spaces $\left(X_{i}, \mu_{i}\right)$ and two measurable functions $g_{i}$ on $X_{i}$ respectively $(i \in\{1,2\})$, if $\mu_{1}\left(\left\{g_{1} \geq r^{-1}\right\}\right) \geq \mu_{2}\left(\left\{g_{2} \geq r^{-1}\right\}\right)$ for any $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]$, then $\int_{\left\{g_{1} \geq r_{0}^{-1}\right\}} g_{1} d \mu_{1} \geq \int_{\left\{g_{2} \geq r_{0}^{-1}\right\}} g_{2} d \mu_{2}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.13. We prove Theorem 1.13 in two cases, that $a(t)$ satisfies condition (1) or condition (2).

Case (1). a(t) is decreasing near $+\infty$.
Firstly, we prove $(B) \Rightarrow(A)$. Consider $F \equiv f \equiv 1, \varphi \equiv 0$ and $\psi=\log \left|z_{1}\right|$ on the unit polydisc $\Delta^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Note that $a_{o}^{1}\left(\log \left|z_{1}\right| ; 0\right)=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Delta_{r_{0}}^{n}} a\left(-2 \log \left|z_{1}\right|\right) \frac{1}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}} & =\left(\pi r_{0}^{2}\right)^{n-1} \int_{\Delta_{r_{0}}} a\left(-2 \log \left|z_{1}\right|\right) \frac{1}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}} \\
& =\left(\pi r_{0}^{2}\right)^{n-1} 2 \pi \int_{0}^{r_{0}} a(-2 \log r) r^{-1} d r \\
& =\left(\pi r_{0}^{2}\right)^{n-1} \pi \int_{-2 \log r_{0}}^{+\infty} a(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

hence we obtain $(B) \Rightarrow(A)$.
Then, we prove $(A) \Rightarrow(B)$. Theorem 1.11 shows that $f_{o} \notin I\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}$ and $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)>0$. Now we assume that there exist $t_{0}>0$ and a pseudoconvex domain $D_{0} \subset D$ containing $o$ such that $\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\} \cap D_{0}}|f|^{2} e^{-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi-\varphi} a\left(-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi\right)<$ $+\infty$ to get a contradiction. As $f_{o} \in I(\varphi)_{o}$, there exist $t_{1}>t_{0}$ and a pseudoconvex domain $D_{1} \subset D_{0}$ containing $o$ such that $\int_{D_{1} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}<+\infty$. Set $c(t)=a(t) e^{t}+1$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{1} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{1}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c\left(-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi\right)<+\infty \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, assume that $a(t)$ is decreasing on $\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) t_{1},+\infty\right)$. Note that $c(t) e^{-t}=a(t)+e^{-t}$ is decreasing on $\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) t_{1},+\infty\right)$ and $\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} c(t)>$ 0 . As $a(t)$ is not integrable near $+\infty$, so is $c(t) e^{-t}$. Note that there exist a plurisubharmonic function $\psi_{1}$ and a holomorphic function $F_{1}$ on $D_{1}$ such that

$$
\psi_{1}-2 \log \left|F_{1}\right|=2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)(\psi-2 \log |F|)
$$

on $D_{1}$. Denote that $\Psi_{1}:=\min \left\{\psi_{1}-2 \log \left|F_{1}\right|,-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) t_{1}\right\}$ on $D_{1}$. Using Remark 1.3 (replacing $M, \Psi$ and $T$ by $D_{1}, \Psi_{1}$ and $2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) t_{1}$ respectively), as $f_{o} \notin$ $I\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o}=I\left(\Psi_{1}+\varphi\right)_{o}$, then we have $G\left(2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) t_{1} ; c, \Psi_{1}, \varphi, I\left(\Psi_{1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\varphi)_{o}, f\right)=+\infty$, which contradicts to inequality (7.6). Thus, we obtain $(A) \Rightarrow(B)$.

Case (2). a(t) $e^{t}$ is increasing near $+\infty$.
In this case, the proof of $(B) \Rightarrow(A)$ is the same as the case (1), therefore it suffices to prove $(A) \Rightarrow(B)$.

Assume that statement $(A)$ holds. It follows from Lemma 7.2 that there exists a positive function $\tilde{a}(t)$ on $(-\infty,+\infty)$ satisfying that: $\tilde{a}(t) \leq a(t)$ near $+\infty ; \tilde{a}(t) e^{t}$ is strictly increasing and continuous near $+\infty ; \tilde{a}(t)$ is not integrable near $+\infty$. Thus, it suffices to prove that for any $\Psi, \varphi$ and $f_{o} \in I(\varphi)_{o}$ satisfying $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)<+\infty$,
$\tilde{a}\left(-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi\right) \exp \left(-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi-\varphi+2 \log |f|\right) \notin L^{1}(U \cap\{\Psi<-t\})$, where $U$ is any neighborhood of $o$ and $t>0$.

Take any $t_{0} \gg 0$ and any small pseudoconvex domain $D_{0} \subset D$ containing the origin $o$ such that $f \in \mathcal{O}\left(D_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}\right)$. Let $\mu_{1}(X)=\int_{X}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}$, where $X$ is a Lebesgue measurable subset of $D_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$, and let $\mu_{2}$ be the Lebeague measure on $(0,1]$. Denote that $Y_{r}=\left\{-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi \geq-\log r\right\}$. Proposition 7.1 shows that there exists a positive constant $C$ such that $\mu_{1}\left(Y_{r}\right) \geq C r$ holds for any $r \in\left(0, e^{-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) t_{0}}\right]$.

Let $g_{1}=\tilde{a}\left(-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi\right) \exp \left(-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi\right)$ and $g_{2}(x)=\tilde{a}(-\log x+\log C) C x^{-1}$. As $\tilde{a}(t) e^{-t}$ is strictly increasing near $+\infty$, then $g_{1} \geq \tilde{a}(-\log r) r^{-1}$ on $Y_{r}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}\left(\left\{g_{1} \geq \tilde{a}(-\log r) r^{-1}\right\}\right) \geq \mu_{1}\left(Y_{r}\right) \geq C r \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $r>0$ small enough. As $\tilde{a}(t) e^{t}$ is strictly increasing near $+\infty$, then there exists $r_{0} \in\left(0, e^{-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi) t_{0}}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{2}\left(\left\{x \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]: g_{2}(x) \geq \tilde{a}(-\log r) r^{-1}\right\}\right)=\mu_{2}(\{0<x \leq C r\})=C r \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]$. As $\tilde{a}(-\log r) r^{-1}$ converges to $+\infty($ when $r \rightarrow 0+0)$ and $\tilde{a}(t)$ is continuous near $+\infty$, we obtain that

$$
\mu_{1}\left(\left\{g_{1} \geq r^{-1}\right\}\right) \geq \mu_{2}\left(\left\{x \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]: g_{2}(x) \geq r^{-1}\right\}\right)
$$

holds for any $r>0$ small enough. Following from Lemma 7.3 and $\tilde{a}(t)$ is not integrable near $+\infty$, we obtain $\tilde{a}\left(-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi\right) \exp \left(-2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \Psi-\varphi+2 \log |f|\right) \notin$ $L^{1}\left(D_{0} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}\right)$.

Thus, Theorem 1.13 holds.
The following lemma will be used in the proof of Remark 1.14 ,
Lemma 7.4. Let $h$ be a holomorphic function on a pseudoconvex domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ containing the origin $o$, and let $\psi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $D$ such that $c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi)<+\infty$, where $p>0$. Then we have $a_{o}^{1}(\Psi ; 0)=\frac{1}{2}$, where $\Psi=$ $\min \left\{2 c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi-p \log |h|, 0\right\}$.

Proof. As $\psi$ is a plurisubharmonic function, there exist $\delta>0$ and a neighborhood $U$ of $o$ such that $\int_{U} e^{-\delta \psi}<+\infty$. For any $r \in(0,1)$, there exist $r^{\prime} \in(0, r)$ and a neighborhood $U^{\prime} \Subset U$ of $o$ such that $2 \frac{r-r^{\prime}}{1-r} c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi)<\delta$ and $\int_{U^{\prime}}|h|^{p} e^{-2 \frac{r^{\prime}}{r} c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi}<$ $+\infty$. Following from Hölder Inequality, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{U^{\prime}}|h|^{p r} e^{-2 r c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi} & =\int_{U^{\prime}}|h|^{p r} e^{-2 r^{\prime} c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi} e^{-2\left(r-r^{\prime}\right) c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{U^{\prime}}|h|^{p} e^{-2 \frac{r^{\prime}}{r} c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi}\right)^{r} \times\left(\int_{U^{\prime}} e^{-2 \frac{r-r^{\prime}}{1-r} c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi}\right)^{1-r} \\
& <+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $a_{o}^{1}(\Psi ; 0) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. We prove $a_{o}^{1}(\Psi ; 0)=\frac{1}{2}$ by contradiction: if not, there exist $s>\frac{1}{2}, t>0$ and a neighborhood $V \Subset U$ of $o$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\{\Psi<-t\} \cap V}|h|^{2 s p} e^{-4 s c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi}=\int_{\{\Psi<-t\} \cap V} e^{-2 s \Psi}<+\infty . \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists $s^{\prime}>1$ such that $\frac{4 s\left(s^{\prime}-1\right)}{2 s-1} c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi)<\delta$. Following from inequality (7.9) and Hölder Inequality, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\{\Psi<-t\} \cap V}|h|^{p} e^{-2 s^{\prime} c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi} \\
= & \int_{\{\Psi<-t\} \cap V}|h|^{p} e^{-2 c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi} e^{-2\left(s^{\prime}-1\right) c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi} \\
\leq & \left(\int_{\{\Psi<-t\} \cap V}|h|^{2 s p} e^{-4 s c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 s}} \times\left(\int_{\{\Psi<-t\} \cap V} e^{-\frac{4 s\left(s^{\prime}-1\right)}{2 s-1} c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{2 s}} \\
< & +\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts to the definition of $c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi)$. Thus, we obtain $a_{o}^{1}(\Psi ; 0)=\frac{1}{2}$.
Proof of Remark 1.14. We give a proof of Theorem 1.12 by using Theorem 1.13 The proofs of $\left(B^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(C^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ are as the same as the proof of $(B) \Rightarrow(A)$ in Theorem 1.13. It suffices to prove $\left(A^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow\left(C^{\prime}\right)$.

Assume that statement $\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ holds, then we have the statement $(B)$ in Theorem 1.13 holds.

For any $h$ and $\psi$ satisfying $c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi)<+\infty$, let $F \equiv 1, f=h^{\left\lceil\frac{p}{2}\right\rceil}$ and $\varphi=$ $\left(2\left\lceil\frac{p}{2}\right\rceil-p\right) \log |h|$, where $\lceil m\rceil:=\min \{n \in \mathbb{Z}: n \geq m\}$. Note that $|h|^{p}=|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi}$, $\psi(o)=-\infty$ and $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)=c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi)$. The holding of statement $(B)$ in Theorem 1.13 implies that $a\left(-2 c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi\right) \exp \left(-2 c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi+p \log |h|\right)$ is not integrable near $o$.

For any $h$ and $\psi$ satisfying $c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi)<+\infty$, let $\Psi=\min \left\{2 c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi-p \log |h|, 0\right\}$, $\varphi \equiv 0$ and $f \equiv 1$. Lemma 7.4 shows that $a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)=\frac{1}{2}$. The holding of statement $(B)$ in Theorem 1.13 implies that $a(-\Psi) \exp (-\Psi) \notin L^{1}(U \cap\{\Psi<0\})$ for any neighborhood $U$ of $o$, which implies that $a\left(-2 c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi+p \log |h|\right) \exp \left(-2 c_{o, p}^{h}(\psi) \psi+\right.$ $p \log |h|)$ is not integrable near $o$.

## 8. Proof of Theorem 1.15

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.15 by using Theorem 1.2 ,
Proof of Theorem 1.15. Following from Fubini's Theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\{\Psi<0\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi} \\
= & \int_{\{\Psi<0\}}\left(|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi+a \Psi} \int_{0}^{e^{-a \Psi}} d s\right) \\
= & \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\int_{\{\Psi<0\} \cap\left\{s<e^{-a \Psi\}}\right.}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi+a \Psi}\right) d s  \tag{8.1}\\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\int_{\left\{\Psi<-\frac{l}{a}\right\} \cap\{\Psi<0\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi+a \Psi}\right) e^{l} d l \\
= & \int_{\{\Psi<0\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi+a \Psi}+\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\int_{\left\{\Psi<-\frac{l}{a}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi+a \Psi}\right) e^{l} d l .
\end{align*}
$$

Denote

$$
\inf \left\{\int_{\left\{q^{\prime} \Psi<-t\right\}}|\tilde{f}|^{2} e^{-\varphi} c\left(-q^{\prime} \Psi\right):(\tilde{f}-f)_{o} \in I\left(q^{\prime} \Psi+\varphi\right)_{o} \& \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\left\{q^{\prime} \Psi<-t\right\}\right)\right\}
$$

by $G_{c, q^{\prime}}(t)$, where $c$ is a Lebesgue measurable function on $(0,+\infty)$ and $q^{\prime}>$ $2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi) \geq 1$.

Next we prove inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-\frac{l}{a}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi+a \Psi} \geq e^{-\frac{a-1+q^{\prime}}{a} l} \frac{1}{K_{\Psi, f, a}(o)} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $l \geq 0, a>0$ and $q^{\prime}>2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)$.
We prove inequality (8.2) for the case $a \in(0,1]$. Let $c_{1}(t)=e^{\frac{1-a}{q^{\prime}} t}$ on $(0,+\infty)$. Note that $c_{1}(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing on $(0,+\infty)$ and $e^{-\varphi} c_{1}\left(-q^{\prime} \Psi\right)=e^{-\varphi-(1-a) \Psi}$ has a positive lower bound on any compact subset of $D$. Theorem 1.2 shows that $G_{c_{1}, q^{\prime}}\left(h^{-1}(r)\right)$ is concave with respect to $r$, where $h(t)=\int_{t}^{+\infty} c_{1}(s) e^{-s} d s$. Note that $G_{c_{1}, q^{\prime}}(0) \geq \frac{1}{K_{\Psi, f, a}(o)}$ for any $q^{\prime}>2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-\frac{l}{a}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-(1-a) \Psi} & \geq G_{c_{1}, q^{\prime}}\left(\frac{q^{\prime} l}{a}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\int_{\frac{q}{}^{\prime} l}^{a} c_{1}(s) e^{-s} d s}{\int_{0}^{+\infty} c_{1}(s) e^{-s} d s} G_{c_{1}, q^{\prime}}(0) \\
& \geq e^{-\frac{a-1+q^{\prime}}{a} l} \frac{1}{K_{\Psi, f, a}(o)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We prove inequality (8.2) for the case $a>1$. Let $\tilde{c}_{m}(t)$ be a continuous function on $(0,+\infty)$ such that $\tilde{c}_{m}(t)=e^{\frac{1-a}{q^{\prime}} t}$ on $(0, m)$ and $\tilde{c}_{m}(t)=e^{\frac{1-a}{q^{\prime}} m}$ on $(m,+\infty)$ for any positive integer $m$. Note that $\tilde{c}_{m}(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing on $(0,+\infty)$ and $e^{-\varphi} \tilde{c}_{m}\left(-q^{\prime} \Psi\right)$ has a positive lower bound on any compact subset of $D$. Theorem 1.2 shows that $G_{\tilde{c}_{m}, q^{\prime}}\left(h_{m}^{-1}(r)\right)$ is concave with respect to $r$, where $h_{m}(t)=$ $\int_{t}^{+\infty} \tilde{c}_{m}(s) e^{-s} d s$. Note that $G_{\tilde{c}_{m}, q^{\prime}}(0) \geq \frac{1}{K_{\Psi, f, a}(o)}$ for any $q^{\prime}>2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-\frac{l}{a}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} \tilde{c}_{m}\left(-q^{\prime} \Psi\right) & \geq G_{\tilde{c}_{m}, q^{\prime}}\left(\frac{q^{\prime} l}{a}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\int_{q^{\prime} l}^{+\infty} \tilde{c}_{m}(s) e^{-s} d s}{\int_{0}^{+\infty} \tilde{c}_{m}(s) e^{-s} d s} G_{\tilde{c}_{m}, q^{\prime}}(0)  \tag{8.3}\\
& \geq \frac{\int_{\frac{q^{\prime} l}{a}}^{+\infty} \tilde{c}_{m}(s) e^{-s} d s}{\int_{0}^{+\infty} \tilde{c}_{m}(s) e^{-s} d s} \frac{1}{K_{\Psi, f, a}(o)} .
\end{align*}
$$

As $\int_{\{\Psi<0\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi} \leq C_{1}<+\infty$, it follows from $\tilde{c}_{m}\left(-q^{\prime} \Psi\right) \leq e^{-\Psi}$, the dominated convergence theorem and inequality (8.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left\{\Psi<-\frac{l}{a}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-(1-a) \Psi} & =\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\left\{\Psi<-\frac{l}{a}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} \tilde{c}_{m}\left(-q^{\prime} \Psi\right) \\
& \geq \lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\int_{\frac{q}{} l}^{+\infty} \tilde{c}_{m}(s) e^{-s} d s}{\int_{0}^{+\infty} \tilde{c}_{m}(s) e^{-s} d s} \frac{1}{K_{\Psi, f, a}(o)} \\
& =e^{-\frac{a-1+q^{\prime}}{a} l} \frac{1}{K_{\Psi, f, a}(o)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining equality (8.1), inequality (8.2) and the definition of $K_{\Psi, f, a}(o)$, we obtain that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \int_{\{\Psi<0\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi} \\
= & \int_{\{\Psi<0\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi+a \Psi}+\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\int_{\left\{\Psi<-\frac{l}{a}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi+a \Psi}\right) e^{l} d l  \tag{8.4}\\
\geq & \left(1+\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{-1+q^{\prime}}{a}} l\right.
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{K_{\Psi, f, a}(o)} \quad \begin{aligned}
& a+q^{\prime}-1 \\
& q^{\prime}-1
\end{aligned} \frac{1}{K_{\Psi, f, a}(o)}
$$

for any $q^{\prime}>2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)$. Let $q^{\prime} \rightarrow 2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)$, we get that inequality (8.4) also holds when $q^{\prime}=2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)$. Thus, if $q>1$ satisfies

$$
\frac{q+a-1}{q-1}>\frac{C_{1}}{C_{2}} \geq K_{\Psi, f, a}(o) \int_{\{\Psi<0\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi-\Psi}
$$

we have $p<2 a_{o}^{f}(\Psi ; \varphi)$, i.e. $f_{o} \in I(p \Psi+\varphi)_{o}$.

## 9. Appendix: Proof of Lemma 2.1

In this section, we prove Lemma 2.1

### 9.1. Some results used in the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 9.1 (see [11). Let $Q$ be a Hermitian vector bundle on a Kähler manifold $M$ of dimension $n$ with a Kähler metric $\omega$. Assume that $\eta, g>0$ are smooth functions on $M$. Then for every form $v \in D\left(M, \wedge^{n, q} T^{*} M \otimes Q\right)$ with compact support we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M}\left(\eta+g^{-1}\right)\left|D^{\prime \prime *} v\right|_{Q}^{2} d V_{M}+\int_{M} \eta\left|D^{\prime \prime} v\right|_{Q}^{2} d V_{M}  \tag{9.1}\\
\geq & \int_{M}\left\langle\left[\eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{Q}-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta-\sqrt{-1} g \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta, \Lambda_{\omega}\right] v, v\right\rangle_{Q} d V_{M}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 9.2 (Lemma 4.2 in 32). Let $M$ and $Q$ be as in the above lemma and $\theta$ be a continuous $(1,0)$ form on $M$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\sqrt{-1} \theta \wedge \bar{\theta}, \Lambda_{\omega}\right] \alpha=\bar{\theta} \wedge\left(\alpha\left\llcorner(\bar{\theta})^{\sharp}\right)\right. \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $(n, 1)$ form $\alpha$ with value in $Q$. Moreover, for any positive $(1,1)$ form $\beta$, we have $\left[\beta, \Lambda_{\omega}\right]$ is semipositive.

Lemma 9.3 (Remark 3.2 in [11). Let ( $M, \omega$ ) be a complete Kähler manifold equipped with a (non-necessarily complete) Kähler metric $\omega$, and let $Q$ be a Hermitian vector bundle over $M$. Assume that $\eta$ and $g$ are smooth bounded positive functions on $M$ and let $B:=\left[\eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{Q}-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta-\sqrt{-1} g \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta, \Lambda_{\omega}\right]$. Assume that $\delta \geq 0$ is a number such that $B+\delta I$ is semi-positive definite everywhere on $\wedge^{n, q} T^{*} M \otimes Q$ for some $q \geq 1$. Then given a form $v \in L^{2}\left(M, \wedge^{n, q} T^{*} M \otimes Q\right)$ such that $D^{\prime \prime} v=0$ and $\int_{M}\left\langle(B+\delta I)^{-1} v, v\right\rangle_{Q} d V_{M}<+\infty$, there exists an approximate solution $u \in L^{2}\left(M, \wedge^{n, q-1} T^{*} M \otimes Q\right)$ and a correcting term $h \in L^{2}\left(M, \wedge^{n, q} T^{*} M \otimes Q\right)$ such that $D^{\prime \prime} u+\sqrt{\delta} h=v$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left(\eta+g^{-1}\right)^{-1}|u|_{Q}^{2} d V_{M}+\int_{M}|h|_{Q}^{2} d V_{M} \leq \int_{M}\left\langle(B+\delta I)^{-1} v, v\right\rangle_{Q} d V_{M} \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 9.4 (Theorem 6.1 in [10, see also Theorem 2.2 in [52]). Let ( $M, \omega$ ) be a complex manifold equipped with a Hermitian metric $\omega$, and $\Omega \subset \subset M$ be an open set. Assume that $T=\widetilde{T}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$ is a closed (1,1)-current on $M$, where $\widetilde{T}$ is a smooth real (1,1)-form and $\varphi$ is a quasi-plurisubharmonic function. Let $\gamma$ be a continuous real (1,1)-form such that $T \geq \gamma$. Suppose that the Chern curvature tensor of $T M$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{T M}+\varpi \otimes I d_{T M}\right)\left(\kappa_{1} \otimes \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{1} \otimes \kappa_{2}\right) \geq 0  \tag{9.4}\\
\forall \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2} \in T M \quad \text { with } \quad\left\langle\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right\rangle=0
\end{gather*}
$$

for some continuous nonnegative (1,1)-form $\varpi$ on $M$. Then there is a family of closed (1,1)-current $T_{\zeta, \rho}=\widetilde{T}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_{\zeta, \rho}$ on $M\left(\zeta \in(0,+\infty)\right.$ and $\rho \in\left(0, \rho_{1}\right)$ for some positive number $\rho_{1}$ ) independent of $\gamma$, such that
(i) $\varphi_{\zeta, \rho}$ is quasi-plurisubharmonic on a neighborhood of $\bar{\Omega}$, smooth on $M \backslash E_{\zeta}(T)$, increasing with respect to $\zeta$ and $\rho$ on $\Omega$ and converges to $\varphi$ on $\Omega$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$.
(ii) $T_{\zeta, \rho} \geq \gamma-\zeta \varpi-\delta_{\rho} \omega$ on $\Omega$.
where $E_{\zeta}(T):=\{x \in M: v(T, x) \geq \zeta\}(\zeta>0)$ is the $\zeta$-upper level set of Lelong numbers and $\left\{\delta_{\rho}\right\}$ is an increasing family of positive numbers such that $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \delta_{\rho}=0$.

Remark 9.5 (see Remark 2.1 in [52]). Lemma 9.4 is stated in 10 in the case $M$ is a compact complex manifold. The similar proof as in 10 shows that Lemma 9.4 on noncompact complex manifold still holds where the uniform estimate (i) and (ii) are obtained only on a relatively compact subset $\Omega$.
Lemma 9.6 (Theorem 1.5 in [9). Let $M$ be a Kähler manifold, and $Z$ be an analytic subset of $M$. Assume that $\Omega$ is a relatively compact open subset of $M$ possessing $a$ complete Kähler metric. Then $\Omega \backslash Z$ carries a complete Kähler metric.

Lemma 9.7 (Lemma 6.9 in [9]). Let $\Omega$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $Z$ be a complex analytic subset of $\Omega$. Assume that $v$ is a ( $p, q-1$ )-form with $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}$ coefficients and $h$ is a $(p, q)$-form with $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$ coefficients such that $\bar{\partial} v=h$ on $\Omega \backslash Z$ (in the sense of distribution theory). Then $\partial v=h$ on $\Omega$.

Let $M$ be a complex manifold. Let $\omega$ be a continuous Hermitian metric on $M$. Let $d V_{M}$ be a continuous volume form on $M$. We denote by $L_{p, q}^{2}\left(M, \omega, d V_{M}\right)$ the spaces of $L^{2}$ integrable $(p, q)$ forms over $M$ with respect to $\omega$ and $d V_{M}$. It is known that $L_{p, q}^{2}\left(M, \omega, d V_{M}\right)$ is a Hilbert space.
Lemma 9.8. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ be a sequence of $(p, q)$ forms in $L_{p, q}^{2}\left(M, \omega, d V_{M}\right)$ which is weakly convergent to $u$. Let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ be a sequence of Lebesgue measurable real
functions on $M$ which converges pointwise to $v$. We assume that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that $\left|v_{n}\right| \leq C$ for any $n$. Then $\left\{v_{n} u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ weakly converges to $v u$ in $L_{p, q}^{2}\left(M, \omega, d V_{M}\right)$.

Proof. Let $g \in L_{p, q}^{2}\left(M, \omega, d V_{M}\right)$. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\left|\left\langle v_{n} u_{n}, g\right\rangle-\langle v u, g\rangle\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{M}\left(v_{n} u_{n}, g\right)_{\omega} d V_{M}-\int_{M}(v u, g)_{\omega} d V_{M}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{M}\left(v_{n} u_{n}-v u_{n}, g\right)_{\omega} d V_{M}\right|+\left|\int_{M}\left(v u_{n}-v u, g\right)_{\omega} d V_{M}\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{M}\left(u_{n}, v_{n} g-v g\right)_{\omega} d V_{M}\right|+\left|\int_{M}\left(u_{n}-u, v g\right)_{\omega} d V_{M}\right| \\
& \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\| \cdot \| v_{n} g-v g| |+\left|\int_{M}\left(u_{n}-u, v g\right)_{\omega} d V_{M}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote $I_{1}:=\left\|u_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|v_{n} g-v g\right\|$ and $I_{2}:=\left|\int_{M}\left(u_{n}-u, v g\right)_{\omega} d V_{M}\right|$. It follows from $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ weakly converges to $u$ that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $n$. Note that $\left|v_{n}\right|$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $n$. We know $|v|<C$ and then $v g \in L_{p, q}^{2}\left(M, \omega, d V_{M}\right)$. Hence we have $I_{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. It follows from Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} I_{1}=0$.

Hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} I=0$ and we know $\left\{v_{n} u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ weakly converges to $v u$ in $L_{p, q}^{2}\left(M, \omega, d V_{M}\right)$.

The following notations can be referred to 3.
Let $X$ be a complex manifold. An upper semi-continuous function $u: X \rightarrow$ $[-\infty,+\infty)$ is quasi-plurisubharmonic if it is locally of the form $u=\varphi+f$ where $\varphi$ is plurisubharmonic and $f$ is smooth. Let $\theta$ be a closed, real $(1,1)$ form on $X$. By Poincaré lemma, $\theta$ is locally of the form $\theta=d d^{c} f$ for a smooth real-valued function $f$ which is called a local potential of $\theta$. We call a quasi-plurisubharmonic function $u$ is $\theta$-plurisubharmonic if $\theta+d d^{c} u \geq 0$ in the sense of currents.

Lemma 9.9 (see [12], see also [3]). For arbitrary $\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{p}\right) \in(0,+\infty)^{p}$, the function

$$
M_{\eta}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{p}} \max \left\{t_{1}+h_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}+h_{p}\right\} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq p} \theta\left(\frac{h_{j}}{\eta_{j}}\right) d h_{1} \ldots d h_{p}
$$

possesses the following properties:
(1) $M_{\eta}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}\right)$ is non decreasing in all variables, smooth and convex on $\mathbb{R}^{p}$;
(2) $\max \left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}\right\} \leq M_{\eta}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}\right) \leq \max \left\{t_{1}+\eta_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}+\eta_{p}\right\}$;
(3) $M_{\eta}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}\right)=M_{\eta_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\eta}_{j}, \ldots, \eta_{p}}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, \hat{t}_{j}, \ldots, t_{p}\right)$ if $t_{j}+\eta_{j} \leq \max _{k \neq j}\left\{t_{k}-\eta_{k}\right\}$;
(4) $M_{\eta}\left(t_{1}+a, \ldots, t_{p}+a\right)=M_{\eta}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p}\right)+$ a for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$;
(5) if $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}$ are plurisubharmonic functions, then $u=M_{\eta}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right)$ is plurisubharmonic;
(6) if $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}$ are $\theta$-plurisubharmonic functions, then $u=M_{\eta}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right)$ is $\theta$-plurisubharmonic function.

Proof. The proof of (1)-(5) can be referred to 12 and the proof of (6) can be referred to 3]. For the convenience of the readers, we recall the proof of (6).

Let $f$ be a local potential of $\theta$. We know $f+u_{i}$ is plurisubharmonic function. It follows from (4) and (5) that $M_{\eta}\left(u_{1}+f, \ldots, u_{p}+f\right)=M_{\eta}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right)+f$ is plurisubharmonic. Hence $u=M_{\eta}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right)$ is $\theta$-plurisubharmonic function.
9.2. Proof of Lemma 2.1. Now we begin to prove Lemma 2.1,

Note that $M \backslash\{F=0\}$ is a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifold. The following remark shows that we can assume that $F$ has no zero points on $M$.

Remark 9.10. Assume that there exists a holomorphic ( $n, 0$ ) form $\hat{F}$ on $M \backslash\{F=$ $0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M \backslash\{F=0\}}\left|\hat{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M \backslash\{F=0\}} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi) \geq \Psi$ and $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, we have

$$
e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \geq e^{-\varphi} c(-\Psi)=e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}} c(-\Psi) e^{-(1+\delta) \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} .
$$

Let $K$ be any compact subset of $M$. Note that $\varphi+\Psi$ is plurisubharmonic function on $M, v_{t_{0}, B}(t) \geq-t_{0}-B$ and $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{(M \backslash\{F=0\}) \cap K}|\hat{F}|^{2} \\
\leq & 2 \int_{(M \backslash\{F=0\}) \cap K}\left|\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2}+2 \int_{(M \backslash\{F=0\}) \cap K}\left|\hat{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} \\
\leq & 2\left(\sup _{K}\left|F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2}\right) \int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\} \cap K}|f|^{2} \\
+ & \frac{2}{M_{K}} \int_{(M \backslash\{F=0\}) \cap K}\left|\hat{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
< & +\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{K}$ is a positive number. As $K$ is arbitrarily chosen, we know that there exists a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}$ on $M$ such that $\tilde{F}=\hat{F}$ on $M \backslash\{F=0\}$. And we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M}\left|\tilde{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following remark shows that we can assume that $c(t)$ is a smooth function.
Remark 9.11. We firstly introduce the regularization process of $c(t)$.
Let $f(x)=2 \mathbb{I}_{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)} * \rho(x)$ be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$, where $\rho$ is the kernel of convolution satisfying $\operatorname{supp}(\rho) \subset\left(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ and $\rho>0$.

Let $g_{i}(x)=\left\{\begin{aligned} i f(i x) & \text { if } \quad x \leq 0 \\ i f\left(i^{2} x\right) & \text { if } \quad x>0\end{aligned}\right.$, then $\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}$is a family of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying:
(1) $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subset\left[-\frac{1}{i}, \frac{1}{i}\right], g_{i}(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
(2) $\int_{-\frac{1}{i}}^{0} g_{i}(x) d x=1, \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{i}} g_{i}(x) d x \leq \frac{1}{i}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$.

Let $\tilde{h}(t)$ be an extension of the function $c(t) e^{-t}$ from $[T,+\infty)$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that
(1) $\underset{\sim}{h}(t)=h(t):=c(t) e^{-t}$ on $[T,+\infty)$;
(2) $\tilde{h}(t)$ is decreasing with respect to $t$;
(3) $\lim _{t \rightarrow T-0} \tilde{h}(t)=c(T) e^{-T}$.

Denote $c_{i}(t):=e^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{h}(t+y) g_{i}(y) d y$. By the construction of convolution, we know $c_{i}(t) \in C^{\infty}(-\infty,+\infty)$. For any $t \geq T$, we have

$$
c_{i}(t)-c(t) \geq e^{t}\left(\int_{-\frac{1}{i}}^{0}(\tilde{h}(t+y)-\tilde{h}(t)) g_{i}(y) d y\right) \geq 0
$$

As $\tilde{h}(t)$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, we know that $c_{i}(t) e^{-t}$ is also decreasing with respect to $t$. Hence $c_{i}(t) e^{-t}$ is locally $L^{1}$ integrable on $\mathbb{R}$.

As $\tilde{h}(t)$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, then set $\tilde{h}^{-}(t)=\lim _{s \rightarrow t-0} \tilde{h}(s) \geq h(t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $c^{-}(t):=\lim _{s \rightarrow t-0} \tilde{h}(s) e^{t} \geq c(t)$ for any $t \geq T$.

Now we prove $\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} c_{i}(t) e^{-t}=\tilde{h}^{-}(t)$. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|c_{i}(t) e^{-t}-\tilde{h}^{-}(t)\right| & \leq \int_{-\frac{1}{i}}^{0}\left|\tilde{h}(t+y)-h^{-}(t)\right| g_{i}(y) d y \\
& +\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{i}} \tilde{h}(t+y) g_{i}(y) d y \tag{9.5}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\left|h(t-\delta)-h^{-}(t)\right|<\epsilon$. Then $\exists N>0$, such that for any $n>N, t \geq t+y>t-\delta$ for all $y \in\left[-\frac{1}{i}, 0\right)$ and $\frac{1}{i}<\epsilon$. It follows from (9.5) that

$$
\left|c_{i}(t) e^{-t}-\tilde{h}^{-}(t)\right| \leq \epsilon+\epsilon \tilde{h}(t)
$$

hence $\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} c_{i}(t) e^{-t}=\tilde{h}^{-}(t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Especially, we have $\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} c_{i}(T) e^{-T}=$ $\tilde{h}^{-}(T)=c(T) e^{-T}$.

Assume that for each $i$, we have a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}_{i}$ on $M$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M}\left|\tilde{F}_{i}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c_{i}\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{i}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c_{i}(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} . \tag{9.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By construction of $c_{i}(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c_{i}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1} \\
= & \int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{h}\left(t_{1}+y\right) g_{i}(y) d y d t_{1} \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{i}(y)\left(\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} \tilde{h}\left(t_{1}+y\right) d t_{1}\right) d y  \tag{9.7}\\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{i}(y)\left(\int_{T+y}^{t_{0}+B+y} \tilde{h}(s) d s\right) d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{i}(y)\left(\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} \tilde{h}(s) d s+\int_{t_{0}+B}^{t_{0}+B+y} \tilde{h}(s) d s-\int_{T}^{T+y} \tilde{h}(s) d s\right) d y,
\end{align*}
$$

then it follows from the construction of $g_{i}(t), \tilde{h}(t)$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, inequality (9.7) and $\tilde{h}(t)=c(t) e^{-t}$ on $[T,+\infty)$ that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c_{i}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}=\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1} \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any compact subset $K$ of $M$, we have $\inf _{i} \inf _{K} e^{v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\varphi-\Psi} c_{i}\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \geq$ $\inf _{K} e^{v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\varphi-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right)$, then

$$
\sup _{i} \int_{K}\left|\tilde{F}_{i}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2}<+\infty .
$$

Note that

$$
\int_{K}\left|\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} \leq\left(\sup _{K}\left|F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2}\right) \int_{K \cap\left\{\psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2}<+\infty
$$

then $\sup _{i} \int_{K}\left|\tilde{F}_{i}\right|^{2}<+\infty$, which implies that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{\tilde{F}_{i}\right\}$ (also denoted by $\left\{\tilde{F}_{i}\right\}$ ), which is compactly convergent to a holomorphic ( $n, 0$ ) form $\tilde{F}$ on M. Then it follows from inequality (9.6) and Fatou's Lemma that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M}\left|\tilde{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \int_{M}\left|\tilde{F}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c^{-}\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{i \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M}\left|\tilde{F}_{i}-\left(1-b_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi+v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)-\Psi} c_{i}\left(-v_{t_{0}, B}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{i \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{i}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c_{i}(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c(s) e^{-s} d s\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following discussion, we assume that $F$ has no zero points on $M$ and $c(t)$ is smooth.

As $M$ is weakly pseudoconvex, there exists a smooth plurisubharmonic exhaustion function $P$ on $M$. Let $M_{j}:=\{P<j\}(k=1,2, \ldots$,$) . We choose P$ such that $M_{1} \neq \emptyset$.

Then $M_{j}$ satisfies $M_{1} \Subset M_{2} \Subset \ldots \Subset M_{j} \Subset M_{j+1} \Subset \ldots$ and $\cup_{j=1}^{n} M_{j}=M$. Each $M_{j}$ is weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifold with exhaustion plurisubharmonic function $P_{j}=1 /(j-P)$.

We will fix $j$ during our discussion until step 8.
Step 1: Regularization of $\Psi$ and $\varphi_{\alpha}+\psi$.
We note that there must exists a continuous nonnegative ( 1,1 )-form $\varpi$ on $M_{j+1}$ satisfying

$$
\left(\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{T M}+\varpi \otimes I d_{T M}\right)\left(\kappa_{1} \otimes \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{1} \otimes \kappa_{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

for $\forall \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2} \in T M$ on $M_{j+1}$.
Let $M=M_{j+1}, \Omega=M_{j}, T=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi, \gamma=0$ in Lemma 9.4, then there exists a family of functions $\psi_{\zeta, \rho}\left(\zeta \in(0,+\infty)\right.$ and $\rho \in\left(0, \rho_{1}\right)$ for some positive $\left.\rho_{1}\right)$ on $M_{j+1}$ such that
(1) $\psi_{\zeta, \rho}$ is a quasi-plurisubharmonic function on a neighborhood of $\overline{M_{j}}$, smooth on $M_{j+1} \backslash E_{\zeta}(\psi)$, increasing with respect to $\zeta$ and $\rho$ on $M_{j}$ and converges to $\psi$ on $M_{j}$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$,
(2) $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_{\zeta, \rho} \geq-\zeta \varpi-\delta \omega$ on $M_{j}$,
where $E_{\zeta}(\psi):=\{x \in M: v(\psi, x) \geq \zeta\}$ is the upper-level set of Lelong number and $\left\{\delta_{\rho}\right\}$ is an increasing family of positive numbers such that $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \delta_{\rho}=0$.

Let $\rho=\frac{1}{m}$. Let $\tilde{\delta}_{m}:=\delta_{\frac{1}{m}}$ and $\zeta=\tilde{\delta}_{m}$. Denote $\psi_{m}:=\psi_{\tilde{\delta}_{m}, \frac{1}{m}}$. Then we have a sequence of functions $\left\{\psi_{m}\right\}$ satisfying
(1') $\psi_{m}$ is quasi-plurisubharmonic function on $\overline{M_{j}}$, smooth on $M_{j+1} \backslash E_{m}(\psi)$, decreasing with respect to $m$ and converges to $\psi$ on $M_{j}$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$,
(2') $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_{m} \geq-\tilde{\delta}_{m} \varpi-\tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega$ on $M_{j}$,
where $E_{m}(\psi)=\left\{x \in X: v(\psi, x) \geq \frac{1}{m}\right\}$ is the upper level set of Lelong number and $\left\{\tilde{\delta}_{m}\right\}$ is an decreasing family of positive numbers such that $\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \tilde{\delta}_{m}=0$.

As $M_{j}$ is relatively compact in $M$, there exists a positive number $b \geq 1$ such that $b \omega \geq \varpi$ on $M_{j}$. Then condition (2') becomes
(2") $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_{m} \geq-\tilde{\delta}_{m} \varpi-\tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega \geq-2 b \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega$ on $M_{j}$.
Denote $h:=\varphi_{\alpha}+\psi$. Note that $h$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $M$. Denote $h_{l}:=\max \{h,-l\}$, where $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Note that $h_{l}$ is a plurisubharmonic function. As $h_{l} \geq-l$, we know $v\left(h_{l}, z\right)=0$ for all $z \in M$. By using the similarly discussion as above, we have a sequence of functions $\left\{h_{m^{\prime}, l}\right\}$ on $M_{j+1}$ such that
(i) $h_{m^{\prime}, l}$ is quasi-plurisubharmonic function on $\overline{M_{j}}$, smooth on $M_{j+1}$, decreasing with respect to $m^{\prime}$ and converges to $h_{l}$ on $M_{j}$ as $m^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty$,
(ii) $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{m^{\prime}, l} \geq-2 b \delta_{m^{\prime}, l} \omega$ on $M_{j}$,
where $\left\{\delta_{m^{\prime}, l}\right\}$ is an decreasing family of positive numbers such that $\lim _{m^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty} \delta_{m^{\prime}, l}=$ 0.

From now on, we will fix the positive integer $l$ during our discussion until step 7.

For fixed $l$, we can assume that $\tilde{\delta}_{n}$ and $\delta_{n, l}$ are the same sequence of variable $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, since we can replace them by the term $\max \left\{\tilde{\delta}_{n}, \delta_{n, l}\right\}$. We denote both $\tilde{\delta}_{n}$ and $\delta_{n, l}$ by $\tilde{\delta}_{n}$ for simplicity.

Let $\eta_{m}=\left\{\frac{t_{0}-T}{3 m}, \frac{t_{0}-T}{3 m}\right\}$ and we have the function $M_{\eta_{m}}\left(\psi_{m}+T, 2 \log |F|\right)$. Denote $M_{\eta_{m}}:=M_{\eta_{m}}\left(\psi_{m}+T, 2 \log |F|\right)$ for simplicity. Note that $\psi_{m}+T$ is a $2 b \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega-$ plurisubharmonic function. As $F$ is a holomorphic function, $\omega$ is a Kähler form and $b \tilde{\delta}_{m}>0$, we know that $2 \log |F|$ is a $2 b \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega$-plurisubharmonic function. It follows from Lemma 9.9 that $M_{\eta_{m}}$ is a $2 b \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega$-plurisubharmonic function, i.e.,

$$
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} M_{\eta_{m}} \geq-2 \pi b \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega
$$

Denote $\Psi_{m}:=\psi_{m}-M_{\eta_{m}}\left(\psi_{m}+T, 2 \log |F|\right)$. Then $\Psi_{m}$ is smooth on $M_{j} \backslash E_{m}$. It is easy to verify that when $m \rightarrow+\infty, \Psi_{m} \rightarrow \Psi$. It follows from Lemma 9.9 that we know
(1) if $\psi_{m}+T \leq 2 \log |F|-\frac{2\left(t_{0}-T\right)}{3 m}$ holds, we have $\Psi_{m}=\psi_{m}-2 \log |F|$;
(2) if $\psi_{m}+T \geq 2 \log |F|+\frac{2\left(t_{0}-T\right)}{3 m}$ holds, we have $\Psi_{m}=-T$;
(3) if $2 \log |F|-\frac{2\left(t_{0}-T\right)}{3 m}<\psi_{m}+T<2 \log |F|+\frac{2\left(t_{0}-T\right)}{3 m}$ holds, we have $\max \left\{\psi_{m}+\right.$ $T, 2 \log |F|\} \leq M_{\eta_{m}} \leq\left(\psi_{m}+T+\frac{t_{0}-T}{m}\right)$ and hence $-T-\frac{t_{0}-T}{m} \leq \Psi_{m} \leq-T$.

Thus we have $\left\{\Psi_{m}<-t_{0}\right\}=\left\{\psi_{m}-2 \log |F|<-t_{0}\right\} \subset\left\{\psi-2 \log |F|<-t_{0}\right\}=$ $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$. We also note that $\Psi_{m} \leq-T$ on $M_{j+1}$.

Step 2: Recall some constructions.
To simplify our notations, we denote $b_{t_{0}, B}(t)$ by $b(t)$ and $v_{t_{0}, B}(t)$ by $v(t)$.
Let $\epsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8} B\right)$. Let $\left\{v_{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8} B\right)}$ be a family of smooth increasing convex functions on $\mathbb{R}$, such that:
(1) $v_{\epsilon}(t)=t$ for $t \geq-t_{0}-\epsilon, v_{\epsilon}(t)=$ constant for $t<-t_{0}-B+\epsilon$;
(2) $v_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime \prime}(t)$ are convergence pointwise to $\frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left(-t_{0}-B,-t_{0}\right)}$, when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $0 \leq$ $v_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \frac{2}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left(-t_{0}-B+\epsilon,-t_{0}-\epsilon\right)}$ for ant $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
(3) $v_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime}(t)$ are convergence pointwise to $b(t)$ which is a continuous function on R when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \leq v_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime}(t) \leq 1$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

One can construct the family $\left\{v_{\epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8} B\right)}$ by setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{\epsilon}(t):= & \int_{-\infty}^{t}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{B-4 \epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{\left(-t_{0}-B+2 \epsilon,-t_{0}-2 \epsilon\right)} * \rho_{\frac{1}{4} \epsilon}\right)(s) d s\right) d t_{1} \\
& -\int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{B-4 \epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{\left(-t_{0}-B+2 \epsilon,-t_{0}-2 \epsilon\right)} * \rho_{\frac{1}{4} \epsilon}\right)(s) d s\right) d t_{1}-t_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{\frac{1}{4} \epsilon}$ is the kernel of convolution satisfying $\operatorname{supp}\left(\rho_{\frac{1}{4} \epsilon}\right) \subset\left(-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon, \frac{1}{4} \epsilon\right)$. Then it follows that

$$
v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{1}{B-4 \epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{\left(-t_{0}-B+2 \epsilon,-t_{0}-2 \epsilon\right)} * \rho_{\frac{1}{4} \epsilon}(t)
$$

and

$$
v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{B-4 \epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{\left(-t_{0}-B+2 \epsilon,-t_{0}-2 \epsilon\right)} * \rho_{\frac{1}{4} \epsilon}\right)(s) d s
$$

Let $\eta=s\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)$ and $\phi=u\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)$, where $s \in C^{\infty}([T,+\infty))$ satisfies $s \geq \frac{1}{\delta}$ and $u \in C^{\infty}([T,+\infty))$, such that $s^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ for any $t, u^{\prime \prime} s-s^{\prime \prime}>0$ and $s^{\prime}-u^{\prime} s=1$. Let $\Phi=\phi+h_{m^{\prime}, l}+\delta M_{\eta_{m}}$. Denote $\tilde{h}:=e^{-\Phi}$.

Step 3: Solving $\bar{\partial}$-equation with error term.

Set $B=\left[\eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{\tilde{h}}-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta-\sqrt{-1} g \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta, \Lambda_{\omega}\right]$, where $g$ is a positive function. We will determine $g$ by calculations. On $M_{j} \backslash E_{m}$, direct calculation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \bar{\partial} \eta & =-s^{\prime}\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \partial \bar{\partial}\left(v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)+s^{\prime \prime}\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \partial\left(v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \\
\eta \Theta_{\widetilde{h}} & =\eta \partial \bar{\partial} \phi+\eta \partial \bar{\partial} h_{m^{\prime}, l}+\eta \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta M_{\eta_{m}}\right) \\
& =s u^{\prime \prime}\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \partial\left(v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)-s u^{\prime}\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \partial \bar{\partial}\left(v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \\
& +s \partial \bar{\partial} h_{m^{\prime}, l}+s \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta M_{\eta_{m}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{\widetilde{h}}-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta-\sqrt{-1} g \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta \\
= & s \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{m^{\prime}, l}+s \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta M_{\eta_{m}}\right) \\
+ & \left(s^{\prime}-s u^{\prime}\right)\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)+v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\psi_{m}\right) \sqrt{-1} \partial\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \\
+ & {\left[\left(u^{\prime \prime} s-s^{\prime \prime}\right)-g s^{\prime 2}\right] \sqrt{-1} \partial\left(v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where we omit the term $-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)$ in $\left(s^{\prime}-s u^{\prime}\right)\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)$ and $\left[\left(u^{\prime \prime} s-s^{\prime \prime}\right)-g s^{\prime 2}\right]\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)$ for simplicity.

Let $g=\frac{u^{\prime \prime} s-s^{\prime \prime}}{s^{\prime 2}}\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)$ and note that $s^{\prime}-s u^{\prime}=1,0 \leq v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \leq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{\tilde{h}}-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta-\sqrt{-1} g \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta \\
= & s \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{m^{\prime}, l}+s \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta M_{\eta_{m}}\right)+v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)+v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\psi_{m}\right) \sqrt{-1} \partial\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \\
= & v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\psi_{m}\right) \sqrt{-1} \partial\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)+v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \\
& +s\left(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{m^{\prime}, l}+2 \pi b \tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}} \omega\right)-2 \pi b s \tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}} \omega+s\left(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta M_{\eta_{m}}\right)+2 \pi b \delta \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega\right)-2 \pi b s \delta \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega \\
\geq & v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\psi_{m}\right) \sqrt{-1} \partial\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)+v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\delta}\left(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{m^{\prime}, l}+2 \pi b \tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}} \omega\right)+\frac{1}{\delta}\left(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta M_{\eta_{m}}\right)+2 \pi b \delta \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega\right)-2 \pi b s\left(\tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}+\delta \tilde{\delta}_{m}\right) \omega \tag{9.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)+\left(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{m^{\prime}, l}+2 \pi b \tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}} \omega\right)+\left(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta M_{\eta_{m}}\right)+2 \pi b \delta \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega\right) \\
&=\left(1-v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)\left(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{m^{\prime}, l}+2 \pi b \tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}} \omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta M_{\eta_{m}}\right)+2 \pi b \delta \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega\right) \\
&+v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\left(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{m^{\prime}, l}+2 \pi b \tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}} \omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta M_{\eta_{m}}\right)+2 \pi b \delta \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega\right) \\
&+v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\left(\partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta \psi_{m}\right)-\partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta M_{\eta_{m}}\right)\right) \\
&=\left(1-v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)\left(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{m^{\prime}, l}+2 \pi b \tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}} \omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta M_{\eta_{m}}\right)+2 \pi b \delta \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega\right) \\
&+v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\left(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{m^{\prime}, l}+2 \pi b \tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}} \omega+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\delta \psi_{m}\right)+2 \pi b \delta \tilde{\delta}_{m} \omega\right) \\
& \geq 0 . \tag{9.10}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from inequality (9.9) and inequality (9.10) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{\widetilde{h}}-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta-\sqrt{-1} g \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta \\
\geq & v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \sqrt{-1} \partial\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)-2 \pi b s\left(\tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}+\delta \tilde{\delta}_{m}\right) \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

By the constructions of $s(t), v_{\epsilon}(t)$ and $\sup _{m} \sup _{M_{j}} \Psi_{m} \leq-T$, we have $s\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)$ is uniformly bounded on $M_{j}$ with respect to $\epsilon$ and $m$. Let $\tilde{M}$ be the uniformly upper bound of $s\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)$ on $M_{j}$. Then on $M_{j} \backslash E_{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{\tilde{h}}-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta-\sqrt{-1} g \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta \\
\geq & v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \sqrt{-1} \partial\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)-2 \pi b \tilde{M}\left(\tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}+\delta \tilde{\delta}_{m}\right) \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for any $(n, 1)$ form $\alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\left(B+2 \pi b \tilde{M}\left(\tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}+\delta \tilde{\delta}_{m}\right) I\right) \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}} \\
\geq & \left\langle\left[v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \partial\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right), \Lambda_{\omega}\right] \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}  \tag{9.11}\\
= & \left\langle\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \bar{\partial}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \wedge\left(\alpha\left\llcorner\left(\bar{\partial} \Psi_{m}\right)^{\sharp}\right)\right), \alpha\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 9.2 that $B+2 \pi b \tilde{M}\left(\tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}+\delta \tilde{\delta}_{m}\right) I$ is semi-positive. Using the definition of contraction, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and inequality (9.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left\langle v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \bar{\partial} \Psi_{m} \wedge \gamma, \widetilde{\alpha}\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}\right|^{2} & =\mid\left\langle v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \gamma,\left.\widetilde{\alpha}\left\llcorner\left(\bar{\partial} \Psi_{m}\right)^{\sharp}\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \leq\left\langle\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \gamma, \gamma\right)\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \mid \widetilde{\alpha}\left\llcorner\left.\left(\bar{\partial} \Psi_{m}\right)^{\sharp}\right|_{\widetilde{h}} ^{2}\right. \\
& =\left\langle\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \gamma, \gamma\right)\right\rangle_{\tilde{h}}\left\langle\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \bar{\partial} \Psi_{m} \wedge\left(\widetilde{\alpha}\left\llcorner\left(\bar{\partial} \Psi_{m}\right)^{\sharp}\right), \widetilde{\alpha}\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}\right. \\
& \left.\leq\left\langle\left(v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right) \gamma, \gamma\right)\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}\left\langle\left(B+2 \pi b \tilde{M}\left(\tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}+\delta \tilde{\delta}_{m}\right) I\right) \widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\alpha}\right)\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}} \tag{9.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $(n, 0)$ form $\gamma$ and $(n, 1)$ form $\widetilde{\alpha}$.
As $f F^{1+\delta}$ is holomorphic on $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$ and $\left\{\Psi_{m}<-t_{0}-\epsilon\right\} \subset\left\{\Psi_{m}<-t_{0}\right\} \subset$ $\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$, then $\lambda:=\bar{\partial}\left(\left(1-v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right)$ is well defined and smooth on $M_{j} \backslash E_{m}$.

Taking $\gamma=f F^{1+\delta}, \tilde{\alpha}=\left(B+2 \pi b \tilde{M}\left(\tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}+\delta \tilde{\delta}_{m}\right) I\right)^{-1}\left(\bar{\partial} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) \wedge f F^{1+\delta}$. Then it follows from inequality (9.12) that

$$
\left\langle\left(B+2 \pi b \tilde{M}\left(\tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}+\delta \tilde{\delta}_{m}\right) I\right)^{-1} \lambda, \lambda\right\rangle_{\tilde{h}} \leq v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\Phi}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\int_{M_{j} \backslash E_{m}}\left\langle\left(B+2 \pi b \tilde{M}\left(\tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}+\delta \tilde{\delta}_{m}\right) I\right)^{-1} \lambda, \lambda\right\rangle_{\tilde{h}} \leq \int_{M_{j} \backslash E_{m}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\Phi}
$$

Recall that $e^{-\Phi}=e^{-\phi-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m}}}$. Note that $h_{m^{\prime}, l} \geq-l$ and $\delta M_{\eta_{m}} \geq \delta 2 \log |F|$. By the construction of $\phi$, we know that $\sup e^{-\phi}<+\infty$. Then

$$
\int_{M_{j} \backslash E_{m}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\Phi} \leq \frac{\sup }{M_{j}}\left(e^{-\phi+l}|F|^{2}\right) \int_{\overline{M_{j}}} \frac{2}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi \leq-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2}<+\infty .
$$

By Lemma 9.6, $M_{j} \backslash E_{m}$ carries a complete Kähler metric. Then it follows from Lemma 9.3 that there exists

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j} \in L^{2}\left(M_{j} \backslash E_{m}, K_{M}\right), \\
h_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j} \in L^{2}\left(M_{j} \backslash E_{m}, \wedge^{n, 1} T^{*} M\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

such that $\bar{\partial} u_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}+\sqrt{2 \pi b \tilde{M}\left(\tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}+\delta \tilde{\delta}_{m}\right)} h_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}=\lambda$ holds on $M_{j} \backslash E_{m}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M_{j} \backslash E_{m}} \frac{1}{\eta+g^{-1}}\left|u_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2} e^{-\Phi}+\int_{M_{j} \backslash E_{m}}\left|h_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2} e^{-\Phi} \\
\leq & \int_{M_{j} \backslash E_{m}}\left\langle\left(B+2 \pi b \tilde{M}\left(\tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}+\delta \tilde{\delta}_{m}\right) I\right)^{-1} \lambda, \lambda\right\rangle_{\tilde{h}} \\
\leq & \int_{M_{j} \backslash E_{m}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\Phi}<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that we can choose $\eta$ and $\phi$ such that $\left(\eta+g^{-1}\right)^{-1}=e^{v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)} e^{\phi} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{j} \backslash E_{m}}\left|u_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2} e^{v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m}}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)+\int_{M_{j} \backslash E_{m}}\left|h_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2} e^{-\phi-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m}}} \\
\leq & \int_{M_{j} \backslash E_{m}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\phi-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m}}}<+\infty \tag{9.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By the construction of $v_{\epsilon}(t)$ and $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$, we know $c\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) e^{v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)}$ has a positive lower bound on $M_{j} \Subset M$. By the constructions of $v_{\epsilon}(t)$ and $u$, we know $e^{-\phi}=e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)}$ has a positive lower bound on $M_{j} \Subset M$. By the upper semi-continuity of $M_{\eta_{m}}$, we know $e^{-\delta M_{\eta_{m}}}$ has a positive lower bound on $M_{j} \Subset M$. Note that $h_{m^{\prime}, l}$ is smooth on $M_{j} \Subset M$. Hence it follows from inequality (9.13) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j} \in L^{2}\left(M_{j}, K_{M}\right), \\
h_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j} \in L^{2}\left(M_{j}, \wedge^{n, 1} T^{*} M\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows from Lemma 9.7 that we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} u_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}+\sqrt{2 \pi b \tilde{M}\left(\tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}+\delta \tilde{\delta}_{m}\right)} h_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}=\lambda \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on $M_{j}$. And we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{j}}\left|u_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2} e^{v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m}}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)+\int_{M_{j}}\left|h_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2} e^{-\phi-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m}}} \\
\leq & \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\phi-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m}}}<+\infty \tag{9.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 4: Letting $m \rightarrow+\infty$.
Note that $\sup _{m} \sup _{M_{j}} e^{-\phi}=\sup _{m} \sup _{M_{j}} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)}<+\infty, e^{-h_{m^{\prime}, l}} \leq e^{l}$ and $e^{-\delta M_{\eta_{m}}} \leq e^{-\delta 2 \log |F|}$. As $\left\{\Psi_{m}<-t_{0}-\epsilon\right\} \subset\left\{\Psi_{m}<-t_{0}\right\} \subset\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}$, we have

$$
v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\phi-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m}}} \leq \frac{2}{B}\left(\sup _{m} \sup _{M_{j}} e^{-\phi}\right) e^{l}\left(\sup _{M_{j}}|F|^{2}\right) \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2}
$$

holds on $M_{j}$. It follows from $\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\} \cap \overline{M_{j}}}|f|^{2}<+\infty$ and dominated convergence theorem that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-\phi-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m}}} \\
= & \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that
$v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} \leq \frac{2}{B}\left(\sup _{M_{j}} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)}|F|^{2}\right) e^{l} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2}$
holds on $M_{j}$. We have

$$
\int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}}<+\infty .
$$

Note that $\inf _{m} \inf _{M_{j}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) e^{-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}}>0$. It follows from Lemma 9.9 that $M_{\eta_{m}} \leq \max \left\{\psi_{m}+T, 2 \log |F|\right\}+\frac{t_{0}-T}{3 m} \leq \max \left\{\psi_{m}+T, 2 \log |F|\right\}+t_{0}-\underline{T \leq}$ $\max \left\{\psi_{1}+T, 2 \log |F|\right\}+t_{0}-T$. As $\psi_{1}$ is a quasi-plurisubharmonic function on $\overline{M_{j}}$, we know max $\left\{\psi_{1}+T, 2 \log |F|\right\}$ is upper semi-continuous function on $M_{j}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{m} \inf _{M_{j}} e^{-M_{\eta_{m}}} \geq \inf _{M_{j}} e^{-\max \left\{\psi_{1}+T, 2 \log |F|\right\}-t_{0}}>0 . \tag{9.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows from inequality (9.15) that

$$
\sup _{m} \int_{M_{j}}\left|u_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2}<+\infty .
$$

Therefore the solutions $u_{m, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}$ are uniformly bounded in $L^{2}$ norm with respect to $m$ on $M_{j}$. Since the closed unit ball of the Hilbert space is weakly compact, we can extract a subsequence $u_{m_{1}, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}$ weakly convergent to $u_{m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}$ in $L^{2}\left(M_{j}, K_{M}\right)$ as $m_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$.

Note that $\sup _{m} \sup _{M_{j}} e^{v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) e^{-h_{m^{\prime}, l}}<+\infty$. As $M_{\eta_{m}} \geq \max \left\{\psi_{m}+\right.$ $T, 2 \log |F|\} \geq 2 \log |F|$ and $F$ has no zero points on $M$, we have $\sup _{m} \sup _{M_{j}} e^{-M_{\eta_{m}}} \leq$ $\sup _{M_{j}} \frac{1}{|F|^{2}}<+\infty$. Hence we know

$$
\sup _{m} \sup _{M_{j}} e^{v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right) e^{-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m}}}<+\infty .
$$

It follows from Lemma 9.8 that we know $u_{m_{1}, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j} \sqrt{e^{v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)\right) e^{-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m_{1}}}}}$ weakly convergent to $u_{m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j} \sqrt{e^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right) e^{-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}}}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{j}}\left|u_{m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2} e^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{m_{1} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M_{j}}\left|u_{m_{1}, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2} e^{v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m_{1}}}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{m_{1} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m_{1}}}}  \tag{9.17}\\
\leq & \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}}<+\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\inf _{m_{1}} \inf _{M_{j}} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}}>0$. Combining inequality (9.15) and inequality (9.16) we know

$$
\sup _{m_{1}} \int_{M_{j}}\left|h_{m_{1}, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2}<+\infty
$$

Since the closed unit ball of the Hilbert space is weakly compact, we can extract a subsequence of $\left\{h_{m_{1}, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right\}$ (also denote by $h_{m_{1}, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}$ ) weakly convergent to $h_{m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}$ in $L^{2}\left(M_{j}, \wedge^{n, 1} T^{*} M\right)$ as $m_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$.

Note that $\sup _{m_{1}} \sup _{M_{j}} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}}<+\infty$ and $\sup _{m_{1}} \sup _{M_{j}} e^{-M_{\eta_{m_{1}}}} \leq$ $\sup _{M_{j}} \frac{1}{|F|^{2}}<+\infty$. We know

$$
\sup _{m_{1}} \sup _{M_{j}} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m_{1}}}}<+\infty
$$

It follows from Lemma 9.8 that we have $h_{m_{1}, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j} \sqrt{e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m_{1}}}}}$ is weakly convergent to $h_{m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j} \sqrt{e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}}}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{j}}\left|h_{m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} \\
\leq & \liminf _{m_{1} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M_{j}}\left|h_{m_{1}, m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m_{1}}}}  \tag{9.18}\\
\leq & \liminf _{m_{1} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m_{1}}\right)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta M_{\eta_{m_{1}}}} \\
\leq & \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}}<+\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Replace $m$ by $m_{1}$ in (9.14) and let $m_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} u_{m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}+\sqrt{2 \pi b \tilde{M} \tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime}}} h_{m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}=\bar{\partial}\left(\left(1-v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right) \tag{9.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 5: Letting $m^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty$.
When $\Psi<-t_{0}-\epsilon<-t_{0}$, we have $\psi-2 \log |F|<-T$ and then $\max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}=$ $2 \log |F|$. Hence

$$
\int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}}=\int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)|f F|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}}
$$

Note that

$$
v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)|f F|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}} \leq \frac{2}{B}\left(\sup _{M_{j}} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)+l}|F|^{2}\right) \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2}
$$

It follows from $\int_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\} \cap \overline{M_{j}}}|f|<+\infty$ and dominated convergence theorem that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{m^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)|f F|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}} \\
= & \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)|f F|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{l}}<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $h_{m^{\prime}, l} \leq h_{1, l}$ for any $m^{\prime}$ and $h_{1, l}$ is quasi-plurisubharmonic function on $\overline{M_{j}}$. Then

$$
\inf _{m^{\prime}} \inf _{M_{j}} e^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)-h_{m^{\prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right) \geq C_{j} \inf _{m^{\prime}} \inf _{M_{j}} e^{-h_{1, l}}>0
$$

where $C_{j}:=\inf _{M_{j}} e^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)$ is a positive number.
It follows from inequality (9.17) that

$$
\sup _{m^{\prime}} \int_{M_{j}}\left|u_{m^{\prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2}<+\infty
$$

Since the closed unit ball of the Hilbert space is weakly compact, we can extract a subsequence $u_{m^{\prime \prime}, l, \epsilon, j}$ weakly convergent to $u_{l, \epsilon, j}$ in $L^{2}\left(M_{j}, K_{M}\right)$ as $m^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow+\infty$.

Note that

$$
\sup _{m^{\prime \prime} M_{j}} \sup ^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)-h_{m^{\prime \prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right) \leq\left(\sup _{M_{j}} \frac{e^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)}{|F|^{2 \delta}}\right) e^{l}
$$

It follows from Lemma 9.8 that we have $u_{m^{\prime \prime}, l, \epsilon, j} \sqrt{e^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)-h_{m^{\prime \prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)}$ weakly convergent to $u_{l, \epsilon, j} \sqrt{e^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)-h_{l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)}$.

It follows from inequality (9.17) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{j}}\left|u_{l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2} e^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)-h_{l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{m_{1} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M_{j}}\left|u_{m^{\prime \prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2} e^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)-h_{m^{\prime \prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{m_{1} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)|f F|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime \prime}, l}}  \tag{9.20}\\
= & \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)|f F|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{l}}<+\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

As $\inf _{m^{\prime \prime}} \inf _{M_{j}} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{m^{\prime \prime}, l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} \geq \tilde{C}_{j} \inf _{M_{j}} e^{-h_{1, l}}>0$, where $\tilde{C}_{j}=\inf _{M_{j}} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}}$ is a positive number. Then it follows from inequality (9.18) that we know

$$
\sup _{m^{\prime \prime}} \int_{M_{j}}\left|h_{m^{\prime \prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2}<+\infty .
$$

Since the closed unit ball of the Hilbert space is weakly compact, we can extract a subsequence of $\left\{h_{m^{\prime \prime}, l, \epsilon, j}\right\}$ (also denote by $h_{m^{\prime \prime}, l, \epsilon, j}$ ) weakly convergent to
$h_{l, \epsilon, j}$ in $L^{2}\left(M_{j}, \wedge^{n, 1} T^{*} M\right)$ as $m^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow+\infty$. Then it follows from Lemma 9.8 and $\lim _{m^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow+\infty} \delta_{m^{\prime \prime}}=0$ that $\sqrt{2 \pi b \tilde{M} \tilde{\delta}_{m^{\prime \prime}}} h_{m^{\prime \prime}, l, \epsilon, j}$ is weakly convergent to 0 .

Replace $m^{\prime}$ by $m^{\prime \prime}$ in (9.19) and let $m^{\prime \prime}$ goes to $+\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} u_{l, \epsilon, j}=\bar{\partial}\left(\left(1-v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right) . \tag{9.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $F_{l, \epsilon, j}:=-u_{l, \epsilon, j}+\left(1-v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}$. It follows from (9.21) and inequality (9.20) that we know $F_{l, \epsilon, j}$ is a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form on $M_{j}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{l, \epsilon, j}-\left(1-v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)-h_{l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)  \tag{9.22}\\
\leq & \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)|f F|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{l}}<+\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Step 6: Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
Note that

$$
v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)|f F|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}\right)(\Psi)-h_{l}} \leq \frac{2}{B} \sup _{\epsilon} \sup _{M_{j}}\left(e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)+l}|F|^{2}\right) \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2}
$$

It follows from $\int \overline{M_{j} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2}<+\infty$ and dominated convergence theorem that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)|f F|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{l}} \\
= & \int_{M_{j}} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f F|^{2} e^{-u(-v(\Psi))-h_{l}}  \tag{9.23}\\
\leq & \left(\sup _{M_{j}} e^{-u(-v(\Psi))}\right) \int_{M_{j}} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f F|^{2} e^{-h_{l}}
\end{align*}
$$

When $\Psi<-t_{0}$, we know that $\max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}=2 \log |F|$. Note that $h+\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}=\varphi+\Psi=\varphi_{\alpha}+(1+\delta) \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}+\Psi$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{j}} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f F|^{2} e^{-h_{l}} \\
= & \int_{M_{j}} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-h_{l}-\delta 2 \log |F|} \\
\leq & \int_{M_{j}} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{-h-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}}  \tag{9.24}\\
= & \int_{M_{j}} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\inf _{\epsilon} \inf _{M_{j}} e^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)-h_{l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)>0 .
$$

We have

$$
\sup _{\epsilon} \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{l, \epsilon, j}-\left(1-v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2}<+\infty .
$$

We also note that

$$
\sup _{\epsilon} \int_{M_{j}}\left|\left(1-v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} \leq\left(\sup _{M_{j}}|F|^{2(1+\delta)}\right) \int_{\overline{M_{j} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}}|f|^{2}<+\infty .
$$

Then we know that

$$
\sup _{\epsilon} \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{l, \epsilon, j}\right|^{2}<+\infty
$$

and there exists a subsequence of $\left\{F_{l, \epsilon, j}\right\}$ (also denoted by $\left\{F_{l, \epsilon, j}\right\}$ ) compactly convergent to a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $F_{l, j}$ on $M_{j}$. It follows from Fatou's Lemma and inequalities (9.22), (9.23), (9.24) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{l, j}-(1-b(\Psi)) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{v(\Psi)-h_{l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c(-v(\Psi)) \\
\leq & \liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{l, \epsilon, j}-\left(1-v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(\Psi)\right) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)-h_{l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right) \\
\leq & \liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M_{j}} v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\Psi)|f F|^{2} e^{-u\left(-v_{\epsilon}(\Psi)\right)-h_{l}} \\
\leq & \left(\sup _{M_{j}} e^{-u(-v(\Psi))}\right) \int_{M_{j}} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f F|^{2} e^{-h_{l}} \\
\leq & \left(\sup _{M_{j}} e^{-u(-v(\Psi))}\right) \int_{M_{j}} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty \tag{9.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 7: Letting $l \rightarrow+\infty$.
It follows from $h_{l} \leq h_{1}$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $h_{1}$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $M$ that

$$
\inf \inf _{M_{j}} e^{v(\Psi)-h_{l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c(-v(\Psi)) \geq \hat{C}_{j} \inf _{M_{j}} e^{-h_{1}}>0
$$

where $\hat{C}_{j}=\inf _{M_{j}} e^{v(\Psi)-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c(-v(\Psi))$ is a positive number. By inequality (9.25), we have

$$
\sup _{l} \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{l, j}-(1-b(\Psi)) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2}<+\infty
$$

Note that

$$
\sup _{l} \int_{M_{j}}\left|(1-b(\Psi)) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} \leq\left(\sup _{M_{j}}|F|^{2(1+\delta)}\right) \int_{\overline{M_{j}} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2}<+\infty
$$

Hence we know that

$$
\sup _{l} \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{l, j}\right|^{2}<+\infty
$$

and there exists a subsequence of $\left\{F_{l, j}\right\}$ (also denoted by $\left\{F_{l, j}\right\}$ ) compactly convergent to a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $F_{j}$ on $M_{j}$. It follows from Fatou's Lemma and inequality (9.25) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{j}-(1-b(\Psi)) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{v(\Psi)-\varphi-\Psi} c(-v(\Psi)) \\
= & \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{j}-(1-b(\Psi)) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{v(\Psi)-h-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c(-v(\Psi)) \\
\leq & \liminf _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{l, j}-(1-b(\Psi)) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{v(\Psi)-h_{l}-\delta \max \{\psi+T, 2 \log |F|\}} c(-v(\Psi)) \\
\leq & \left(\sup _{M_{j}} e^{-u(-v(\Psi))}\right) \int_{M_{j}} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty . \tag{9.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 8: Letting $j \rightarrow+\infty$.
It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sup _{M_{j}} e^{-u(-v(\Psi))}\right) \int_{M_{j}} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}  \tag{9.27}\\
\leq & \left(\sup _{M} e^{-u(-v(\Psi))}\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

For fixed $j$, as $e^{v(\Psi)-\varphi-\Psi} c(-v(\Psi))$ has a positive lower bound on any $\overline{M_{j}}$, we have for $j_{1}>j$,

$$
\sup _{j_{1}} \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{j_{1}}-(1-b(\Psi)) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2}<+\infty
$$

Combining with

$$
\int_{M_{j}}\left|(1-b(\Psi)) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} \leq\left(\sup _{M_{j}}\left|F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2}\right) \int_{M_{j} \cap\left\{\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2}<+\infty
$$

we know that for $j_{1}>j, \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{j_{1}}\right|^{2}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $j_{1}$.
By diagonal method, there exists a subsequence of $\left\{F_{j}\right\}$ (also denoted by $\left\{F_{j}\right\}$ ) compactly convergent to a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $\tilde{F}$ on $M$. Then it follows from Fatou's Lemma, inequality (9.26) and inequality (9.27) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M}\left|\tilde{F}-(1-b(\Psi)) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{v(\Psi)-\varphi-\Psi} c(-v(\Psi)) \\
\leq & \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M_{j}}\left|F_{j}-(1-b(\Psi)) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{v(\Psi)-\varphi-\Psi} c(-v(\Psi)) \\
\leq & \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\sup _{M_{j}} e^{-u(-v(\Psi))}\right) \int_{M_{j}} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}  \tag{9.28}\\
\leq & \left(\sup _{M} e^{-u(-v(\Psi))}\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Step 9: ODE System.
Now we want to find $\eta$ and $\phi$ such that $\left(\eta+g^{-1}\right)=e^{-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)} e^{-\phi} \frac{1}{c\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)}$. As $\eta=s\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)$ and $\phi=u\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)$, we have $\left(\eta+g^{-1}\right) e^{v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)} e^{\Phi}=\left(\left(s+\frac{s^{\prime 2}}{u^{\prime \prime} s-s^{\prime \prime}}\right) e^{-t} e^{u}\right) \circ$
$\left(-v_{\epsilon}\left(\Psi_{m}\right)\right)$.
Summarizing the above discussion about $s$ and $u$, we are naturally led to a system of ODEs:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 1) }\left(s+\frac{s^{\prime 2}}{u^{\prime \prime} s-s^{\prime \prime}}\right) e^{u-t}=\frac{1}{c(t)}  \tag{9.29}\\
& \text { 2) } s^{\prime}-s u^{\prime}=1
\end{align*}
$$

when $t \in(T,+\infty)$.
We solve the ODE system (9.29) and get $u(t)=-\log \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right)$ and $s(t)=\frac{\int_{T}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{2}} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) d t_{2}+\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} c(T) e^{-T}}{\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}}$.

It follows that $s \in C^{\infty}([T,+\infty))$ satisfies $s \geq \frac{1}{\delta}$ and $u \in C^{\infty}([T,+\infty))$ satisfies $u^{\prime \prime} s-s^{\prime \prime}>0$.

As $u(t)=-\log \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right)$ is decreasing with respect to t , then it follows from $-T \geq v(t) \geq \max \left\{t,-t_{0}-B_{0}\right\} \geq-t_{0}-B_{0}$, for any $t \leq 0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{M} e^{-u(-v(\psi))} \leq \sup _{t \in\left[T, t_{0}+B\right]} e^{-u(t)}=\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1} \tag{9.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining with inequality (9.28), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M}\left|\tilde{F}-(1-b(\Psi)) f F^{1+\delta}\right|^{2} e^{v(\Psi)-\varphi-\Psi} c(-v(\Psi)) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{0}+B} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{M} \frac{1}{B} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-B<\Psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\alpha}-\Psi}<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.1 is proved.
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