

Asymptotic distributions of the number of zeros of random polynomials in Hayes equivalence class over a finite field

Zhicheng Gao
 School of Mathematics and Statistics
 Carleton University
 Ottawa, Ontario
 Canada K1S5B6
 Email: zgao@math.carleton.ca

October 25, 2022

Abstract

Hayes equivalence is defined on monic polynomials over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q in terms of the prescribed leading coefficients and the residue classes modulo a given monic polynomial Q . We study the distribution of the number of zeros in a random polynomial over finite fields in a given Hayes equivalence class. It is well known that the number of distinct zeros of a random polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q is asymptotically Poisson with mean 1. We show that this is also true for polynomials in any given Hayes equivalence class. Asymptotic formulas are also given for the number of such polynomials when the degree of Q and the number of prescribed leading coefficients are relatively small. When the equivalence class is defined by leading coefficients only, the problem is equivalent to the study of the distance distribution in Reed-Solomon codes and our asymptotic formulas extend some earlier results.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field with q elements of characteristic p . In this paper, we study the distribution of the number of zeros in a random polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q in a given Hayes equivalence class (the precise definition of Hayes equivalence will be given below). There are two motivations for the current study. First, there has been considerable interest in the study of distributions of parameters in general combinatorial structures. See, e.g., [1, 9] for general combinatorial structures, and [13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22] for polynomials over finite fields with respect to factorization patterns. Second, there is a close connection between the distance distribution over Reed-Solomon codes and the distribution of the number of distinct zeros in a random polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q in a given equivalence class defined by leading coefficients; see [10, 12, 21, 22] for related discussions.

Many interesting parameters of random combinatorial structures are known to be asymptotically Poisson. Two well-known examples are the number of small cycles in a random permutation and the number of zeros in a random polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q . There are basically two approaches to the study of such problems. One is based on analytic combinatorics [9] using generating functions, and the other is probabilistic using the famous Chen-Stein method [2, 3, 5]. The latter approach requires that the random variable under consideration can be expressed as a sum of nearly independent indicator variables.

In this paper, we apply the generating function approach to study the number of distinct zeros in a random polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q in a given Hayes equivalence class. This includes polynomials with prescribed leading and/or ending coefficients.

Before stating our main results, we introduce some notations, which will be used throughout the paper.

- \mathcal{M} denotes the set of monic polynomials over \mathbb{F}_q , and \mathcal{M}_d denotes the set of polynomials in \mathcal{M} of degree d .
- $\deg(f)$ denotes the degree of the polynomial f .
- For a polynomial f , $\hat{f} = x^{\deg(f)}f(1/x)$ is called the *reciprocal* of f .

Fix a non-negative integer ℓ and a polynomial $Q \in \mathcal{M}$. Two polynomials $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ are said to be *Hayes equivalent* with respect to ℓ and Q if $\gcd(f, Q) = \gcd(g, Q) = 1$ and

$$\hat{f}(x) \equiv \hat{g}(x) \pmod{x^{\ell+1}}, \quad (1)$$

$$f(x) \equiv g(x) \pmod{Q}. \quad (2)$$

The coefficients $[x^{\deg(f)-j}]f, 1 \leq j \leq \ell$ are known as the ℓ *leading coefficients* of f , and $[x^j]f, 0 \leq j \leq t$ are the t *ending coefficients* of f . Hence condition (1) says that f and g have the same ℓ leading coefficients. The following two special cases are particularly interesting.

- $Q = 1$. In this case, condition (2) is null, and Hayes equivalence is defined by the ℓ leading coefficients.
- $Q = x^t$ for some $t > 0$. In this case, Hayes equivalence is defined by the ℓ leading and t ending coefficients of polynomials with nonzero constant terms.

Let $\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$ denote the set of all Hayes equivalence classes with respect to ℓ, Q , and let $\langle f \rangle$ denote the equivalence class represented by a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{M}$. It is known [8, 14, 15] that $\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$ is a group under the operation $\langle f \rangle \langle g \rangle = \langle fg \rangle$.

Given a set $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$, and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$, let $\mathcal{M}_{k+t+\ell}(\varepsilon)$ denote the set of polynomials in $\mathcal{M}_{k+t+\ell}$ which are equivalent to ε , and $Y_k(\varepsilon)$ be the number of zeros in D of a random polynomial $f \in \mathcal{M}_{k+t+\ell}(\varepsilon)$ (under uniform distribution).

Since $\gcd(f, Q) = 1$, we will assume, without loss of generality, that D does not contain any zero of Q . In the rest of the paper, we will also set $|D| = n$.

The paper [10] focused on obtaining exact expression of the distribution of $Y_k(\varepsilon)$ with $Q = 1$ and $\ell \leq 2$. The paper [12] focused on the problem whether $\mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) > 0$ when $Q = 1$ and k, ℓ, r are large, as it is closely related to the deep hole conjecture about Reed-Solomon codes. In this paper we study the asymptotic distribution of $Y_k(\varepsilon)$ for general ℓ, Q . Define

$$\mu_m(r) = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{n-r}{j} q^{-j}. \quad (3)$$

Recall that p is the characteristic of \mathbb{F}_q . Our main results are the following three theorems.

Theorem 1 For any $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$ and fixed non-negative integer r , as $n, k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) = e^{-n/q} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{n}{q}\right)^r (1 + o(1)).$$

That is, $Y_k(\varepsilon)$ is asymptotically Poisson with mean n/q .

Theorem 2 Let $\deg(Q) = t$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$. Suppose either $Q = x^t$ or Q is irreducible. Let $n = q - |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q : Q(x) = 0\}|$. Suppose $0 \leq r \leq k + t + \ell \leq n$. Then, as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_k = r) = \mu_{k+t+\ell-r}(r) \binom{n}{r} q^{-r} (1 + o(1)),$$

provided that either of the following conditions holds:

- there are constants $c, c' \in (0, 1)$ such that $t + \ell \leq c'\sqrt{n}$, $k \leq cn$ and

$$\frac{p-1}{p} c \ln \frac{1}{c} + (1-c) \ln \frac{1}{1-c} - \frac{1+c}{p} \ln(1+c) > c' \ln(2p).$$

(b) there are constants $c, c' \in (0, 1)$ such that $t + \ell \leq c'\sqrt{n}$, $k \leq cn$, $p \geq c/c' \geq 1$ and

$$(1 - c) \ln \frac{1}{1 - c} > c' \ln \frac{1}{c'}.$$

Recall that the standard Reed-Solomon code $\mathcal{RS}_{q,k}$ consists of the codewords $(g(x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q)$ where g is a polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q of degree less than k . When $Q = 1$, we recall [6, 21] that $q - Y_k(\langle f \rangle)$ is the distance between a received word $f \in \mathcal{M}_{k+\ell}$ and a random codeword in $\mathcal{RS}_{q,k}$. Let $N(f, r)$ be the number of codewords in $\mathcal{RS}_{q,k}$ which are at distance $q - r$ from a received word f .

Theorem 3 Suppose $0 \leq r \leq k + \ell \leq q$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{M}_{k+\ell}$ we have, as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$N(f, r) = \mu_{k+\ell-r}(r) \binom{q}{r} q^{k-r} (1 + o(1)),$$

provided that either of the following conditions holds:

(a) there are constants $c, c' \in (0, 1)$ such that $\ell \leq c'\sqrt{q}$, $k \leq cq$ and

$$\frac{p-1}{p} c \ln \frac{1}{c} + (1-c) \ln \frac{1}{1-c} - \frac{1+c}{p} \ln(1+c) > c' \ln(2p).$$

(b) there are constants $c, c' \in (0, 1)$ such that $\ell \leq c'\sqrt{q}$, $k \leq cq$, $p \geq c/c' \geq 1$ and

$$(1 - c) \ln \frac{1}{1 - c} > c' \ln \frac{1}{c'}.$$

Remark When $q = p$, we have $q > \sqrt{q} \geq 1/c' > c/c'$ for any constants $c, c' \in (0, 1)$ satisfying $\ell \leq c'\sqrt{q}$. Hence Theorem 3(b) covers the prime field case and it significantly extends [21, Corollary 1.9], which establishes the asymptotics of $N(f, r)$ for $q = p$, $k = cp$, $\ell = p^\delta$, $r = k + p^\lambda$, where $c \in (0, 1)$, $\delta \in (0, 1/4)$ and $\lambda \in (0, \delta)$.

The remaining paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some preliminary results about Hayes equivalence, Weil bounds, and sieve formulas. In Section 3 we extend the generating function approach from [10, 12] to general Hayes equivalence classes and give a proof of Theorem 1. Section 4 provides detailed error estimates for some interesting special cases. Section 5 contains proofs of Theorems 2 and 3. Section 6 concludes the paper.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic results needed to prove our main results. Hayes' theory of equivalence was first introduced in [14]. For $Q \in \mathcal{M}_t$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(Q) &= |\{g \in \mathcal{M} : \deg(g) < t, \gcd(g, Q) = 1\}|, \\ \Phi_j(Q) &= |\{g \in \mathcal{M} : \deg(g) = j, \gcd(g, Q) = 1\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since a monic polynomial $g \in \mathcal{M}_j$ can be written uniquely as $g = aQ + b$ for some polynomials $a \in \mathcal{M}_{j-t}$ and b with $\deg(b) < t$, we have

$$\Phi_j(Q) = q^{j-t} \Phi(Q). \quad (j \geq t) \tag{4}$$

In the rest of the paper, we shall use Iverson's bracket $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ which has value 1 if the predicate P is true and 0 otherwise. It is also easy to see [7, 8, 11] that

$$|\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}| = q^\ell \Phi(Q), \tag{5}$$

$$\Phi_j(Q) = (q - \llbracket t > 0 \rrbracket) q^{j-1}, \quad (Q = x^t) \tag{6}$$

$$\Phi_j(Q) = \llbracket j < t \rrbracket q^j + \llbracket j \geq t \rrbracket q^{j-t} (q^t - 1). \quad (Q \text{ is irreducible}) \tag{7}$$

For typographical convenience, we shall omit the superscripts and simply use \mathcal{E} to denote the group $\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$ when there is no danger of confusion.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the group of characters over \mathcal{E} , and $\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}$ be a nontrivial character. Define $\chi(f) = \chi(\langle f \rangle)$ if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\gcd(f, Q) = 1$. Also set $\chi(f) = 0$ if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\gcd(f, Q) \neq 1$ (This is the so called Dirichlet character). By [8, Ex. 5.2 #2] (see also [15, Theorem 1.3] and the paragraph before [15, eq. (4)]), for each nontrivial character $\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}$, the associated L -function

$$P(z, \chi) := \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}} \chi(f) z^{\deg(f)}$$

is a polynomial of degree at most $\ell + t - 1$. The roots of $P(z, \chi)$ are either 1 or have modulus $1/\sqrt{q}$. Moreover, there is at most one root which is equal to 1. Thus we can write

$$P(z, \chi) := \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}} \chi(f) z^{\deg(f)} = \prod_{j=1}^{\deg(P(\chi, z))} (1 - z\rho_j),$$

$$\deg(P(z, \chi)) \leq \ell + t - 1, \quad |\rho_j| \leq \sqrt{q}.$$

It follows that

$$\left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}_j} \chi(f) \right| \leq \binom{t + \ell - 1}{j} q^{j/2}. \quad (8)$$

Let $\mathbb{E}(Y)$ denote the expected value of a random variable Y . The following well-known result expresses the probabilities in terms of the factorial moments [4, Corollary 11].

Proposition 1 *Let Y be any random variable which takes non-negative integer values $0, 1, \dots, n$. We have*

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = r) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-j} (-1)^j \binom{j+r}{r} \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y}{j+r} \right).$$

Moreover, for each $r \leq m \leq n$, we have

$$\left| \mathbb{P}(Y = r) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-r-1} (-1)^j \binom{j+r}{r} \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y}{j+r} \right) \right| \leq \binom{m}{r} \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y}{m} \right). \quad (9)$$

The following inequality [4, (5)] will also be used to estimate binomial numbers.

$$\binom{n}{m} \geq \left(\frac{n}{2\pi m(n-m)} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{n}{m} \right)^m \left(\frac{n}{n-m} \right)^{(n-m)} e^{-1/6}. \quad (0 < m < n) \quad (10)$$

The following are some simple observations about $\mu_m(r)$ defined in (3). Since

$$\frac{\binom{n-r}{j+1} q^{-j-1}}{\binom{n-r}{j} q^{-j}} = \frac{n-r-j}{q(j+1)} \leq \frac{1}{j+1},$$

$\mu_m(r)$ is an alternating sum and the absolute values of the terms are strictly decreasing. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_m(r) &\leq \mu_0(r) = 1, \\ \mu_1(r) &= \frac{1+r}{q}, \\ \mu_m(r) &\geq e^{-3/2} - \frac{1}{6} \geq 0.05, \end{aligned} \quad (m \neq 1) \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_m(r) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \right)^{n-r} (1 + o(1)) \quad (m \rightarrow \infty) \quad (12) \\ &= e^{-(n-r)/q} (1 + o(1)). \quad (q, m \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we recall the “coordinate-sieve” formula by Li and Wan [20, 21]. Let \mathcal{S}_j be the symmetric group on $\{1, 2, \dots, j\}$. Let X denote the set of all j -tuples of elements from the set D , $\bar{X} \subset X$ consists of these tuples with distinct coordinates. For each $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_j$, define $X_\tau \subseteq X$ such that $x_a = x_b$ when a, b belong to the same cycle of τ . Let h be a complex-valued function defined on X , and define

$$\bar{H} = \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_j) \in \bar{X}} h(x_1, \dots, x_j), \quad H(\tau) = \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_j) \in X_\tau} h(x_1, \dots, x_j).$$

Theorem 3.1 of [21] states

$$\bar{H} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_j} (-1)^{j-l(\tau)} H(\tau), \quad (13)$$

where $l(\tau)$ denotes the number of cycles of τ .

3 Generating functions, probabilities, and moments

In this section, we use the generating function method developed in [11] to study the distribution of Y_k . Given $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$, we shall also use $\mathcal{M}_d(\varepsilon)$ to denote the set of polynomials in \mathcal{M}_d which are equivalent to ε . It is also convenient to define $\langle f \rangle = 0$ when $\gcd(f, Q) \neq 1$.

Define generating functions

$$F(z) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}} \langle f \rangle z^{\deg(f)},$$

$$G(z, u) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}} \langle f \rangle z^{\deg(f)} u^{r(f)},$$

where $r(f)$ is the number of distinct zeros of f that are in D .

It is convenient to introduce the following element in $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}$:

$$E = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}} \varepsilon. \quad (14)$$

Since \mathcal{E} is a group, we have

$$E\varepsilon = E \quad \forall \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}, \quad E^2 = E. \quad (15)$$

We first prove the following result which is an extension of [10, Prop. 2]:

Proposition 2 *Let E be defined by (14). Then*

$$F(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{t+\ell-1} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}_d} \langle f \rangle z^d + \frac{|\mathcal{E}|}{1-qz} z^{t+\ell} E, \quad (16)$$

$$G(z, u) = F(z) \prod_{\alpha \in D} (\langle 1 \rangle + (u-1)z\langle \alpha \rangle). \quad (17)$$

Proof The proof is similar to that of [10, Prop. 2]; see also [10, p.27]. We first note that for each $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ and $d \geq t + \ell$,

$$|\{f \in \mathcal{M}_d : \langle f \rangle = \varepsilon\}| = |\{(g, h) : g \in \mathcal{M}_{d-t}, \langle gQ + h \rangle = \varepsilon, \deg(h) < t\}| = q^{d-\ell-t}.$$

(h is uniquely determined by $\langle f \rangle$, the ℓ leading coefficients are uniquely determined by the leading coefficients of Q and f , and hence there are $d - t - \ell$ free coefficients in g .) It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \sum_{d=0}^{t+\ell-1} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}_d} \langle f \rangle z^d + \sum_{d \geq t+\ell} q^{d-t-\ell} z^d \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}} \varepsilon \\ &= \sum_{d=0}^{t+\ell-1} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}_d} \langle f \rangle z^d + \frac{|\mathcal{E}|}{1-qz} z^{t+\ell} E, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (16). Let \mathcal{M}' denote the subset of \mathcal{M} consisting of all polynomials with no zeros in D . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(z, u) &= \prod_{\alpha \in D} \left(\langle 1 \rangle + u \sum_{j \geq 1} z^j \langle x + \alpha \rangle^j \right) \sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}'} z^{\deg(g)} \langle g \rangle \\ &= \left(\prod_{\alpha \in D} \left(\frac{u}{\langle 1 \rangle - z \langle x + \alpha \rangle} + (1 - u) \langle 1 \rangle \right) \right) \left(F(z) \prod_{\alpha \in D} (\langle 1 \rangle - z \langle x + \alpha \rangle) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (17). \blacksquare

As in [12], let D_j denote the set of all j -subsets of D . For $k + 1 \leq j \leq d$, define

$$W_j(\varepsilon) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_{d-j}} \sum_{S \in D_j} \left[\langle g \rangle \prod_{\alpha \in S} \langle x + \alpha \rangle = \varepsilon \right]. \quad (18)$$

Recall that $G(z, u)$ is a formal power series in z, u with coefficients from $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{E}$. For $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$, we use $[z^d \varepsilon]G(z, u)$ to denote the coefficient of $z^d \varepsilon$ in $G(z, u)$ and so on. Our next result gives all the factorial moments expressed in terms of $W_j(\varepsilon)$. This extends the corresponding results in [12].

Theorem 4 *For each $Q \in \mathcal{M}_t$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y_k(\varepsilon)}{j} \right) = \llbracket j \leq k \rrbracket \binom{n}{j} q^{-j} + \llbracket k + 1 \leq j \leq k + t + \ell \rrbracket q^{-k} W_j(\varepsilon). \quad (19)$$

Proof Using Proposition 2 and (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(z, u) &= \frac{|\mathcal{E}|}{1 - qz} z^{t+\ell} (1 + (u-1)z)^n E \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{t+\ell-1} z^j \sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_j} \langle g \rangle \right) \prod_{\alpha \in D} (\langle 1 \rangle + (u-1)z \langle x + \alpha \rangle), \\ [z^d \varepsilon] G(z, u) &= [z^k] \frac{1}{1 - qz} (1 + (u-1)z)^n \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=k+1}^d (u-1)^j [\varepsilon] \sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_{d-j}} \sum_{S \in D_j} \langle g \rangle \prod_{\alpha \in S} \langle x + \alpha \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n}{j} q^{k-j} (u-1)^j + \sum_{j=k+1}^d W_j(\varepsilon) (u-1)^j. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Using (20), we obtain the following probability generating function of $Y_k(\varepsilon)$:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k+\ell}(u, \varepsilon) &= q^{-k} [z^{k+\ell} \varepsilon] G(z, u) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k q^{-j} \binom{n}{j} (u-1)^j + q^{-k} \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+\ell} W_j(\varepsilon) (u-1)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y_k(\varepsilon)}{j} \right) &= \frac{1}{j!} \frac{d^j}{(du)^j} p_{k+\ell}(u) \Big|_{u=1} \\ &= \llbracket j \leq k \rrbracket \binom{n}{j} q^{-j} + \llbracket k + 1 \leq j \leq k + \ell \rrbracket q^{-k} W_j(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

which is (19). \blacksquare

Corollary 1 For $Q \in \mathcal{M}_t$ and each $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$, we have, as $k - r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) = \binom{n}{r} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)^{n-r} (1 + o(1)).$$

That is, $Y_k(\varepsilon)$ is asymptotically binomial.

Proof Substituting $m = k$ into (9) and using (19) and

$$\binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{r} = \binom{n}{r} \binom{n-r}{j-r}, \quad (21)$$

we obtain

$$\left| \mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) - \binom{n}{r} q^{-r} \sum_{j=0}^{k-r-1} (-1)^j \binom{n-r}{j} q^{-j} \right| \leq \binom{n}{r} \binom{n-r}{k-r} q^{-k}.$$

Using the definition of $\mu_m(r)$ in (3) and

$$\binom{n-r}{k-r} \leq \frac{1}{(k-r)!} q^{k-r},$$

we obtain

$$\left| \mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) - \mu_{k-1-r}(r) \binom{n}{r} q^{-r} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(k-r)!} \binom{n}{r} q^{-r}.$$

Using (12), we complete the proof. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 1: Theorem 1 follows immediately from Corollary 1 by noting

$$\binom{n}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)^{n-r} = \frac{n^r}{r!} e^{-n/q} (1 + o(1)). \quad (r \text{ is fixed as } n \rightarrow \infty)$$

4 Estimates of $W_j(\varepsilon)$

In [12], we derived estimate for $W_j(\varepsilon)$ with $Q = 1$ and $D = \mathbb{F}_q$. In this section we carry out more detailed estimate for $W_j(\varepsilon)$ and for general Q . Throughout this section, D is the set of all elements in \mathbb{F}_q which are not zeros of Q . Thus

$$n = q - |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q : Q(x) = 0\}|. \quad (22)$$

We also set

$$q_1 = \min\{n, (t + \ell - 1)\sqrt{q}\}, \quad c' = q_1/n, \quad d = k + t + \ell. \quad (23)$$

Recall our convention that $\langle f \rangle = 0$ when $\gcd(f, Q) \neq 1$.

As in [21], the sum in (18) can be estimated using the ‘‘coordinate-sieve’’ formula (13). Recall that S_m denotes the set of all permutations of $1, 2, \dots, m$. For $\tau \in S_m$, $l(\tau)$ denotes the total number of cycles of τ . We shall also use $l'(\tau)$ to denote the number of cycles of τ which are not multiples of p . The standard generating function argument [9, 10] gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\tau \in S_m} u^{l(\tau)} w^{l'(\tau)} z^m &= \exp \left(u \sum_{j \geq 1, p \nmid j} z^j / j + uw \sum_{j \geq 1, p \mid j} z^j / j \right) \\ &= (1 - z)^{-uw} (1 - z^p)^{-(u-uw)/p}. \end{aligned}$$

As in [10, 12], we define

$$A_j(u, w) = \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_j} u^\ell w^{\ell'} \quad (24)$$

$$= [z^j] \left((1-z)^{-uw} (1-z^p)^{-(u-uw)/p} \right) \quad (25)$$

$$= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j/p} \binom{uw + j - ip - 1}{j - ip} \binom{(u-uw)/p + i - 1}{i}.$$

Then we have the following estimate of $W_j(\varepsilon)$.

Proposition 3 *Let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$, $k+1 \leq j \leq d$, n and q_1 be defined in (22) and (23). Then*

$$\left| W_j(\varepsilon) - \frac{\Phi_{d-j}(Q)}{\Phi(Q)} \binom{n}{j} q^{-\ell} \right| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{E}| - 1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \binom{\ell - 1}{\ell + k - j} q^{(\ell+k-j)/2} A_j(n, q_1/n). \quad (26)$$

Proof Let $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the set of characters over the group \mathcal{E} . Using (18) and orthogonality of the characters, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_j(\varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_{d-j}} \sum_{S \in D_j} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}} \chi \left(\varepsilon^{-1} \langle g \rangle \prod_{\alpha \in S} \langle x + \alpha \rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}} \chi(\varepsilon^{-1}) \left(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_{d-j}} \chi(g) \right) \sum_{S \in D_j} \chi \left(\prod_{\alpha \in S} \langle x + \alpha \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the trivial character χ , we have $\chi(\varepsilon^{-1}) = 1$ and

$$\sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_{d-j}} \chi(g) = \Phi_{d-j}(Q).$$

It follows that

$$W_j(\varepsilon) = \frac{\Phi_{d-j}(Q)}{|\mathcal{E}|} \binom{n}{j} + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}|} \sum_{\chi \neq 1} \chi(\varepsilon^{-1}) \left(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_{d-j}} \chi(g) \right) \sum_{S \in D_j} \chi \left(\prod_{\alpha \in S} \langle x + \alpha \rangle \right). \quad (27)$$

Applying (8), we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_{d-j}} \chi(g) \right| \leq \binom{\ell + t - 1}{d - j} q^{(d-j)/2}. \quad (28)$$

For a permutation $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_m$, let c_j be the number of cycles of length j in τ , X_τ be the set of j -tuples of elements from D which is constant in each cycle of τ . As in [21], we set

$$G_\tau := \sum_{\vec{x} \in X_\tau} \prod_{i=1}^j \chi(x + x_i).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} G_\tau &= \prod_i \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi^i(x + \alpha) \right)^{c_i} \\ &= \left(\prod_{i, p \nmid i} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi^i(x + \alpha) \right)^{c_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i, p \mid i} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi^i(x + \alpha) \right)^{c_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since χ^i is nontrivial when $p \nmid i$, we may apply (8) to obtain

$$|G_\tau| \leq \prod_{i,p \nmid i} q_1^{c_i} \prod_{i,p|i} n^{c_i} = q_1^{l'(\tau)} n^{l(\tau)-l'(\tau)}.$$

It follows from (13) and (24) that

$$\frac{1}{j!} \left| \sum_{\bar{x} \in \bar{X}^j} \prod_{i=1}^j \chi(x + x_i) \right| \leq \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\tau \in S_j} n^{l(\tau)-l'(\tau)} q_1^{l'(\tau)} = A_j(n, q_1/n). \quad (29)$$

Substituting (28) and (29) into (27), and using (5) and

$$\sum_{S \in D_j} \prod_{\alpha \in S} \chi(x + \alpha) = \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\bar{x} \in \bar{X}^j} \prod_{i=1}^j \chi(x + x_i),$$

we complete the proof. \blacksquare

Theorem 5 *Let $Q \in \mathcal{M}_t$, n and q_1 be defined in (22) and (23). We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) - \mu_{k+\ell-r}(r) \binom{n}{r} q^{-r} - \binom{n}{r} q^{-(k+\ell)} \sum_{j=k+\ell+1-r}^{d-r} (-1)^j \binom{n-r}{j} \frac{\Phi_{d-r-j}(Q)}{\Phi(Q)} \right| \\ & \leq q^{-k} \sum_{j=k+1}^d \binom{j}{r} \binom{t+\ell-1}{d-j} q^{(d-j)/2} A_j(n, q_1/n). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Proof Using Theorem 4 and Proposition 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) - \binom{n}{r} q^{-r} \sum_{j=0}^{k-r} (-1)^j \binom{n-r}{j} q^{-j} - q^{-(k+\ell)} \sum_{j=k+1}^d (-1)^{j-r} \binom{j}{r} \binom{n}{j} \frac{\Phi_{d-j}(Q)}{\Phi(Q)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{E}| - 1}{|\mathcal{E}|} q^{-k} \sum_{j=k+1}^d \binom{j}{r} \binom{t+\ell-1}{d-j} q^{(d-j)/2} A_j(n, q_1/n). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4) and (21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+\ell} (-1)^{j-r} \binom{j}{r} \binom{n}{j} \frac{\Phi_{d-j}(Q)}{\Phi(Q)} &= \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+\ell} (-1)^{j-r} \binom{j}{r} \binom{n}{j} q^{d-j-t} \\ &= \binom{n}{r} q^{k+\ell} \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+\ell} (-1)^{j-r} \binom{n-r}{j-r} q^{-j} \end{aligned}$$

Now (30) follows by changing the summation index $j := j + r$. \blacksquare

Corollary 2 *Let $Q \in \mathcal{M}_t$, n and q_1 be defined in (22) and (23).*

(a) *For $Q = x^t$, we have $n = q - \llbracket t > 0 \rrbracket$ and*

$$\left| \mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) - \mu_{d-r}(r) \binom{n}{r} q^{-r} \right| < q^{-k} \sum_{j=k+1}^d \binom{j}{r} \binom{t+\ell-1}{d-j} q^{(d-j)/2} A_j(n, q_1/n).$$

(b) *Suppose $t > 0$ and Q is irreducible. We have $n = q - \llbracket t = 1 \rrbracket$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) - \binom{n}{r} q^{-r} \left(\mu_{d-r}(r) + \frac{1}{q^t - 1} (\mu_{d-r}(r) - \mu_{d-t-r}(r)) \right) \right| \\ & < q^{-k} \sum_{j=k+1}^d \binom{j}{r} \binom{t+\ell-1}{d-j} q^{(d-j)/2} A_j(n, q_1/n). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Proof Part (a) follows immediately from Theorem 5 and (6). Part (b) follows immediately from Theorem 5 and (7). ■

The following lemma provides good upper bounds for $A_j(n, q_1/n)$, where part (a) is [12, Lemma 1].

Lemma 1 *Let n , q_1 and c' be defined in (22) and (23). Also set $c_j := j/n$.*

(a) *For all prime p , we have*

$$\ln A_j(n, q_1/n) \leq n \left(\frac{c_j}{p} \ln \frac{1+c_j}{c_j} + \frac{1-c'}{p} \ln(1+c_j) + c' \ln(2p) \right), \quad (32)$$

(b) *For $p \geq c_j/c' \geq 1$, we have*

$$\ln A_j(n, q_1/n) \leq n \left(c_j \ln \frac{c'+c_j}{c_j} + c' \ln \frac{c'+c_j}{c'} + \frac{\ln 4}{p} 2^{-p c'/c_j} \right). \quad (33)$$

Proof From (25), we have

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} A_j(n, q_1/n) z^j = (1-z)^{-q_1} (1-z^p)^{-(n-q_1)/p}.$$

It follows that, for $0 < y < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_j(n, q_1/n) &\leq y^{-j} (1-y)^{-q_1} (1-y^p)^{-(n-q_1)/p} \\ \ln A_j(n, q_1/n) &\leq -j \ln y - q_1 \ln(1-y) - \frac{n-q_1}{p} \ln(1-y^p). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

To minimize the above upper bound, we choose y near the solution to the following saddle point equation

$$-\frac{j}{y} + q_1 \frac{1}{1-y} + (n-q_1) \frac{y^{p-1}}{1-y^p} = 0, \quad i.e., \quad q_1 \frac{y}{1-y} + (n-q_1) \frac{y^p}{1-y^p} = j. \quad (35)$$

Part (a) was proved in [12]. Since a similar argument will also be used for part (b), we repeat it here. When q_1 is much smaller than n , we may choose

$$y = \left(\frac{j}{n+j} \right)^{1/p}$$

to be an approximate solution to (35). Substituting this into (34), we obtain

$$\ln A_j(n, q_1/n) \leq \frac{j}{p} \ln \frac{n+j}{j} + \frac{n-q_1}{p} \ln \left(\frac{n+j}{n} \right) - q_1 \ln \left(1 - \left(\frac{j}{n+j} \right)^{1/p} \right). \quad (36)$$

Noting

$$e^{-t} \leq 1 - \frac{3t}{4}, \quad (0 \leq t \leq 0.6)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{j}{n+j} \right)^{1/p} &= \exp \left(\frac{-1}{p} \ln \frac{n+j}{j} \right) \leq \exp \left(\frac{-\ln 2}{p} \right) \leq 1 - \frac{3 \ln 2}{4p}, \\ -\ln \left(1 - \left(\frac{j}{n+j} \right)^{1/p} \right) &\leq -\ln \frac{3 \ln 2}{4p} \leq \ln(2p). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Substituting (37) into (36), we obtain (32).

(b) When $p \geq c_j/c'$, we choose

$$y = \frac{j}{q_1 + j}.$$

Substituting this into (34), we obtain

$$\ln A_j(n, q_1/n) \leq j \ln \frac{q_1 + j}{j} + q_1 \ln \left(\frac{q_1 + j}{q_1} \right) - \frac{n - q_1}{p} \ln \left(1 - \left(\frac{j}{q_1 + j} \right)^p \right). \quad (38)$$

Since $p \geq c_j/c' \geq 1$, we use the following elementary inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(1+t) &\geq t \ln 2, & (t \leq 1) \\ -\ln(1-t) &\leq t \ln 4, & (t \leq 1/2) \end{aligned}$$

and (38) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln A_j(n, q_1/n) &\leq nc_j \ln \frac{c_j + c'}{c_j} + nc' \ln \frac{c_j + c'}{c'} - \frac{n(1-c')}{p} \ln \left(1 - \exp \left(-p \ln \frac{c_j + c'}{c_j} \right) \right) \\ &\leq nc_j \ln \frac{c_j + c'}{c_j} + nc' \ln \frac{c_j + c'}{c'} - \frac{n(1-c')}{p} \ln \left(1 - \exp \left(-\frac{pc' \ln 2}{c_j} \right) \right) \\ &\leq nc_j \ln \frac{c_j + c'}{c_j} + nc' \ln \frac{c_j + c'}{c'} + \frac{n(1-c') \ln 4}{p} 2^{-pc'/c_j}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives (33). ■

5 Proof of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3

In this section we derive asymptotic expression of $\mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r)$ when relevant parameters lie in various ranges. Let n, q_1, d be defined in (22) and (23).

Theorem 6 *Let $k+1 \leq r \leq k+t+\ell$, $c = k/n$ and $c' = (t+\ell-1)/\sqrt{n}$. Assume $c' < 1$, $d \leq n$. Suppose either $Q = x^t$ or Q is irreducible. Then for each $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) = \mu_{d-r}(r) \binom{n}{r} q^{-r} (1 + o(1)), \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \quad (39)$$

provided that either of the following two conditions holds.

(a) c and c' are bounded away from 0 and 1, and

$$\frac{(p-1)c}{p} \ln \frac{1}{c} + (1-c) \ln \frac{1}{1-c} - \frac{1+c}{p} \ln(1+c) \geq \frac{3 \ln n}{\sqrt{n}} + c' \ln(2p). \quad (40)$$

(b) c and c' are bounded away from 0 and 1, $p \geq c_j/c' \geq 1$ and

$$c \ln(1-c) \ln \frac{1}{1-c} \geq \frac{4 \ln n}{\sqrt{n}} + c' \ln \frac{1}{c'}. \quad (41)$$

Proof We first consider the case that $Q = x^t$. By Corollary 2 and (11), Asymptotic formula (39) holds provided that

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^d \binom{j}{r} \binom{t+\ell-1}{d-j} q^{(t+\ell-k-j)/2} A_j = o \left(\binom{n}{r} q^{-r} \right). \quad (42)$$

Since the case $k-r \rightarrow \infty$ has already been covered by Theorem 1, we assume $r \geq k - \ln k$ below.

For part (a), we first consider the case $r \geq k + 1$. Let

$$\delta = \frac{j-k}{n}, \quad \delta_1 = \frac{r-k}{n} \leq \delta, \quad (r \leq j \leq k+t+\ell).$$

We use (10) and

$$\ln(2\pi x(1-x)) \leq \ln(\pi/2) < 1, \quad (0 < x < 1)$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \binom{n}{r} &\geq n \left((c + \delta_1) \ln \frac{1}{c + \delta_1} + (1 - c - \delta_1) \ln \frac{1}{1 - c - \delta_1} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln n - \frac{2}{3} \\ &\geq n \left(c \ln \frac{1}{c} - \delta_1 + (1 - c - \delta_1) \ln \frac{1}{1 - c} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln n - \frac{2}{3} \\ &\geq n \left(c \ln \frac{1}{c} + (1 - c) \ln \frac{1}{1 - c} - \delta_1 - \delta_1 \ln \frac{1}{1 - c} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln n - \frac{2}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Using (32), $c_j = c + \delta$ and

$$0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{c'}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{n},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln A_j &\leq n \left(\frac{c + \delta}{p} \ln \frac{1 + c + \delta}{c + \delta} + \frac{1 - c'}{p} \ln(1 + c + \delta) + c' \ln(2p) \right) \\ &\leq n \left(\frac{c + \delta}{p} \ln \frac{1 + c}{c} + \frac{1 - c'}{p} \left(\ln(1 + c) + \frac{\delta}{1 + c} \right) + c' \ln(2p) \right) \\ &\leq n \left(\frac{c}{p} \ln \frac{1 + c}{c} + \frac{1 - c'}{p} \ln(1 + c) + c' \ln(2p) \right) + \frac{c' \sqrt{n}}{p} \left(\ln \frac{1 + c}{c} + \frac{1}{1 + c} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\omega(k)$ denote any function which goes to infinity as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then (42) holds provided that

$$\begin{aligned} &n \left(c \ln \frac{1}{c} + (1 - c) \ln \frac{1}{1 - c} - \delta_1 \ln \frac{e}{1 - c} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln n - \frac{2}{3} - \ln(t + \ell) - \omega(k) \\ &\geq \frac{2r + t + \ell - k - j}{2} \ln q + \ln \binom{j}{r} + \ln \binom{t + \ell - 1}{t + \ell + k - r} \\ &\quad + n \left(\frac{c}{p} \ln \frac{1 + c}{c} + \frac{1 - c'}{p} \ln(1 + c) + c' \ln(2p) \right) + \frac{c' \sqrt{n}}{p} \left(\ln \frac{1 + c}{c} + \frac{1}{1 + c} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \binom{j}{r} &= \ln \binom{j}{j-r} \leq (j-r) \ln j \leq c' \sqrt{n} \ln n, \\ \ln \binom{t + \ell - 1}{t + \ell + k - r} &\leq \ln 2^{t + \ell - 1} \leq (c' \ln 2) \sqrt{n}, \end{aligned}$$

inequality (44) is implied by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(p-1)c}{p} \ln \frac{1}{c} + (1-c) \ln \frac{1}{1-c} - \frac{1+c}{p} \ln(1+c) \\ &\geq c' \left(\ln(2p) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \ln(1+n) + \frac{\ln(2n)}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{p\sqrt{n}} \left(\ln \frac{1+c}{c} + \frac{1}{1+c} \right) \right) + \frac{2 \ln n}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

which is further implied by (40) when n is sufficiently large.

Now we consider $k - \ln k \leq r \leq k$. Let

$$\delta = \frac{j-k}{n} \leq \frac{c' \sqrt{n} + 1}{n}, \quad \delta_1 = \frac{k-r}{n} \leq \frac{\ln k}{n}.$$

It follows from (10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln \binom{n}{r} &\geq n \left((c - \delta_1) \ln \frac{1}{c - \delta_1} + (1 - c + \delta_1) \ln \frac{1}{1 - c + \delta_1} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln n - \frac{2}{3} \\
&\geq n \left((c - \delta_1) \ln \frac{1}{c} + (1 - c + \delta_1) \ln \frac{1}{1 - c + \delta_1} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln n - \frac{2}{3} \\
&\geq n \left(c \ln \frac{1}{c} + (1 - c) \ln \frac{1}{1 - c} - \delta_1 + \delta_1 \ln \frac{c}{1 - c + \delta_1} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln n - \frac{2}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln A_j &\leq n \left(\frac{c + \delta}{p} \ln \frac{1 + c + \delta}{c + \delta} + \frac{1 - c'}{p} \ln(1 + c + \delta) + c' \ln(2p) \right) \\
&\leq n \left(\frac{c}{p} \ln \frac{1 + c}{c} + \frac{1 - c'}{p} (\delta + \ln(1 + c)) + c' \ln(2p) \right) + n\delta \left(\frac{1}{p} \ln \frac{1 + c}{c} + \frac{1}{p} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (42) and (43) that Theorem 1 holds provided that

$$\begin{aligned}
&n \left(c \ln \frac{1}{c} + (1 - c) \ln \frac{1}{1 - c} - \delta_1 + \delta_1 \ln \frac{c}{1 - c + \delta_1} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln n - \frac{2}{3} - \ln(t + \ell) - \omega(k) \\
> &\frac{2r + t + \ell - k - j}{2} \ln q + (t + \ell + \ln k) \ln n + (t + \ell - 1) \ln 2 \\
&+ n \left(\frac{c}{p} \ln \frac{1 + c}{c} + \frac{1 - c'}{p} (\delta + \ln(1 + c)) + c' \ln(2p) \right) + n\delta \left(\frac{1}{p} \ln \frac{1 + c}{c} + \frac{1}{p} \right). \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

Using $t + \ell \leq 1 + c' \sqrt{n}$, $\delta \leq c' \sqrt{n}$ and $\delta_1 \leq (\ln k)/n$, we see that (45) is implied by

$$\begin{aligned}
&c \ln \frac{1}{c} + (1 - c) \ln \frac{1}{1 - c} - \frac{\ln k}{n} (1 - \ln c) - \frac{\ln n}{n} - \omega(k) \\
> &\frac{2c' \sqrt{n} + \ln k}{2n} \ln(n + 1) + \frac{c' \sqrt{n} + 1 + \ln k}{n} \ln n + \frac{c' \ln 2}{\sqrt{n}} \\
&+ \frac{c}{p} \ln \frac{1 + c}{c} + \frac{1 - c'}{p} \ln(1 + c) + c' \ln(2p) + \frac{c'}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{1}{p} \ln \frac{1 + c}{c} + \frac{2}{p} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which is again implied by (40) when n is sufficiently large.

The proof of part (b) is similar by using (33). A similar argument leads to the following sufficient condition

$$c \ln \frac{1}{c} + (1 - c) \ln \frac{1}{1 - c} \geq c \ln \frac{c + c'}{c} + c' \ln \frac{c + c'}{c'} + \frac{3 \ln n}{\sqrt{n}},$$

which is implied by (41).

Since $x \ln \frac{1}{x} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, for any given constant $c \in (0, 1)$, inequality (41) is satisfied for sufficiently large n and sufficiently small constant c' . This completes the proof for the case $Q = x^t$.

Finally we consider the case that Q is irreducible. The proof is exactly the same as above by using (31) and

$$|\mu_{d-r}(r) - \mu_{d-t-r}(r)| \leq \frac{1}{(d - t - r + 1)!}.$$

■

Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 follow immediately from Theorem 6.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we applied Hayes' theory of equivalences to study the number of zeros in a random monic polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q in a given Hayes equivalence class. We proved that the number of zeros in a given set $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ of a random monic polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q in a given equivalence class is asymptotically Poisson with mean $|D|/q$. We used the generating functions defined on the group algebra of equivalence classes, Weil's bounds on the corresponding character sums, and Li-Wan's coordinate-sieve formula. It will be of considerable interest if the problem can be expressed as the sum of nearly independent random variables so that the Chen-Stein method can be applied to obtain the same results. When the equivalence class is defined in terms of the leading coefficients only, the problem is closely related to the distance distribution in Reed-Solomon codes, and our asymptotic formulas hold for relevant parameters in a much wider range than the one given by Li and Wan.

References

- [1] R. Arratia, A.D. Barbour, and S. Tavaré, Random combinatorial structures and prime factorizations, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (8) (1997) 903–910.
- [2] Arratia R, Goldstein L, Gordon L (1989) Two moments suffice for Poisson approximations: the Chen-Stein method. *Ann Prob* **17**, 9–25.
- [3] Barbour AD, Holst L, Janson S, Poisson Approximation, Oxford University Press, 1992.
- [4] B. Bollobás, Random Graphs, Academic Press, 1985.
- [5] S. Chatterjee, P. Diaconis and E. Meckes, Exchangeable pairs and Poisson approximation, *Probability Surveys* **2** (2005), 64–106.
- [6] Qi Cheng and Elizabeth Murray, On deciding deep holes of Reed-Solomon codes, In *Theory and applications of models of computation*, volume **4484** of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, 296-305. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [7] S. D. Cohen, Explicit theorems on generator polynomials, *Finite Fields Appl.* **11** (2005), 337–357.
- [8] G. W. Effinger and D. R. Hayes, “Additive Number Theory of Polynomials over a Finite Field”, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [9] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick, Analytic Combinatorics, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [10] Z. Gao, Counting polynomials over finite fields with prescribed leading coefficients and linear factors, *Finite Fields Appl.* **82** (2022) 102052
- [11] Z. Gao, S. Kuttner, and Q. Wang, Counting irreducible polynomials with prescribed coefficients over a finite field, *Finite Fields Appl.* **80** (2022), 102023, 27pp.
- [12] Z. Gao and J. Li, Improved error bounds for the distance distribution of Reed-Solomon codes, submitted.
- [13] Z. C. Gao and D. Panario, Degree Distribution of the Greatest Common Divisor of Polynomials over F_q , *Random Structure and Algorithms*, **29** (2006), 26–37.
- [14] D. R. Hayes, The distribution of irreducibles in $GF[q, x]$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117** (1965), 101-127.
- [15] C. N. Hsu, The distribution of irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$, *J. Number Theory* **61** (1) (1996) 85–96.

- [16] A. Knopfmacher and J. Knopfmacher, Counting polynomials with a given number of zeros in a finite field, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **26** (1990), 287-292.
- [17] A. Knopfmacher, J. Knopfmacher, Counting irreducible factors of polynomials over a finite field, *Discrete Math.* **112** (1993), 103–118.
- [18] A. Knopfmacher and R. Warlimont, Distinct degree factorizations for polynomials over a finite field, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (6) (1995) 2235–2243.
- [19] S. Kuttner and S. Wang, On the enumeration of polynomials with prescribed factorization pattern, *Finite Fields Appl.* **81** (2022), 32pp.
- [20] J. Li and D. Wan, A new sieve for distinct coordinate counting, *Science in China Series A* **53** (2010) 2351-2362.
- [21] J. Li and D. Wan, Distance distribution in Reed-Solomon codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **66** (5) (2020), 2743–2750.
- [22] H. Zhou, L. Wang, W. Wang, Counting polynomials with distinct zeros in finite fields, *J. Number Theory* **174** (2017), 118-135.