

Comment on

"Resonance-induced growth of number entropy in strongly disordered systems"

Maximilian Kiefer-Emmanouilidis,^{1,2} Razmik Unanyan,¹ Michael Fleischhauer,¹ and Jesko Sirker^{2,3}

¹*Department of Physics and Research Center OPTIMAS,
University Kaiserslautern, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg R3T 2N2, Canada*

³*Manitoba Quantum Institute, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg R3T 2N2, Canada*

(Dated: June 22, 2022)

We comment on the recent paper by Ghosh and Žnidarič (Phys. Rev. B **105**, 144203 (2022)) which studies the growth of the number entropy S_N in the Heisenberg model with random magnetic fields after a quantum quench. The authors present arguments for an intermediate power-law growth in time t and a sub-ergodic saturation value, claiming consistency of their results with many-body localization (MBL) for strong disorder. We show that these interpretations are inconsistent with other recent studies and discuss specific issues with the analysis of the numerical data. We point out, in particular, that (i) the saturation values $\tilde{S}_N(L, W)$ for fixed length L are only bounded from above by 'the ergodic value' and are already far below this value for $W \ll 1$. Furthermore, the saturation values can show non-monotonic scaling with L . (ii) Power-law fits $S_N(t) \sim 1/t^\alpha$ —with $\alpha = 1$ expected based on the resonance model described in the paper—yield a system-size dependent exponent α while fits $S_N \sim \frac{1}{W^3} \ln \ln t$ do hold independent of system size and over several orders of magnitude in time. (iii) We also argue that for the cases where the effective resonance model works best and predicts a saturation of the number entropy, the same applies to the von-Neumann entropy, i.e. the dynamics at the considered scales is of single particle type and unrelated to MBL.

I. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [1], Ghosh and Žnidarič investigate quench dynamics in the Heisenberg model with random magnetic fields. As an indicator for a possible MBL phase, they consider the disorder averaged number entropy S_N obtained from the reduced density matrix after dividing the system in two halves A and B and tracing out one of them. The reduced density matrix then has block structure [2] with respect to the number of particles n_A in subsystem A . The entanglement entropy S can be written as $S = S_N + S_c$ with the number entropy given by $S_N = -\sum_{p_{n_A}} p_{n_A} \ln p_{n_A}$ where p_{n_A} is the probability to find n_A particles in subsystem A . S_c is the configurational entropy. We agree with the authors that S_N is a useful indicator for a possible MBL transition: While $S_N(t)$ will grow without bounds after a quantum quench in the thermodynamic limit (TDL) if the system is not localized, an MBL phase is characterized by $S_N(t \rightarrow \infty) = \text{const}$ while $S(t) \sim \ln t$.

Before we discuss specific data and conclusions in [1], we want to summarize some important general points: (i) In a finite system, both S and S_N will saturate. A finite size scaling is therefore crucial. It is also crucial to study both S and S_N . While one can find initial states where S_N seems to saturate quickly for the system sizes and times accessible to exact diagonalizations (ED), this by itself does not point to MBL. One also needs to show that in this regime $S \sim \ln t$. If S grows slower than $\ln t$ or also saturates quickly then this merely points to insufficient system sizes and a possible dominance of single particle physics on these time and length scales. (ii) For disorder strengths $W \gtrsim 10$, $S_N(t)$ is difficult to analyze by ED

because the time t_d , where the results start to deviate from the TDL, scales exponentially both with system size L and disorder strength W for typical initial states, see Ref. [3]. Double precision though is insufficient to study dynamics for $t \gtrsim 10^{14}$. Furthermore, we have found in the same paper that $S_N \sim \frac{1}{W^3} \ln \ln t$. I.e., the prefactor and thus the growth of $S_N(t)$ becomes very small for large W and needs to be analyzed carefully. (iii) For the saturation value $\tilde{S}_N(L, W)$ we note first that for any finite L , \tilde{S}_N will be an analytic function of W . In particular, it will continuously decrease as a function of W and will be below 'the ergodic value' $S_N \sim \frac{1}{2} \ln(\epsilon\pi L/8)$ even for very small disorder. For a generic interacting system, the saturation value of the number entropy averaged over all initial half-filled product states is bounded from above by the entropy of the hypergeometric distribution which in the asymptotic limit of large L approaches $\frac{1}{2} \ln(\epsilon\pi L/8)$. Second, $\tilde{S}_N(L, W)$ does not have to be a monotonically increasing function of L . The scaling does depend on the initial state. In particular, if an average over all initial product states is considered then already in the non-interacting case there is a well-understood $\sim 1/L$ correction (see Appendix A and Fig. 11 in [4]) which competes with any potential increase in the particle number fluctuations due to interactions. In cases where such a $1/L$ correction is absent, for example for the initial Néel state [4], $\tilde{S}_N(L, W) \sim \ln L$ for fixed W . (iv) Initial states such as the domain wall state or partial domain walls ('q-states' in [1]) are problematic starting points for a search of an MBL phase: in small finite systems only a small number of particles at the edge of the domain wall are initially able to move. Especially for large W , the dynamics in small systems is then dominated by single-particle

physics. (v) In Refs. [3–7] we have established through finite-size scaling that $S_N(t) \sim \frac{1}{W^3} \ln \ln t$ for $W \lesssim 10$, a scaling which holds over 4 orders of magnitude in time for the largest system sizes studied. We have also established that in the same regime particle fluctuations in the subsystem of more than one particle are present as can be seen, for example, by studying the Hartley number entropy, see [3]. This points to the absence of localization in this regime. These results are consistent with the most recent studies [8, 9] which find no localization for $W \lesssim 20$ by using completely independent indicators. Note that the latter study is based on the extension of the method proposed in the former to larger system sizes. These results are not adequately described in [1] and most of the data presented in [1] fall into the regime which is not localized according to these studies.

Let us now concentrate on some of the most relevant issues. In Sec. II we show that the saturation value $\tilde{S}_N(L, W)$ is monotonically decreasing with W and always smaller than the 'ergodic value' even for very small disorder. We show that the same is also true in the off-diagonal disorder model which is known to be not localized. In Sec. III we present data for a quench from the Néel state which show that in a power-law fit $S_N(t) = c_1 - c_2/t^\alpha$ for intermediate times, with $c_{1,2}$ constants which depend on L , the exponent α is not close to 1 as predicted in [1] but rather also depends on length with α monotonically decreasing with increasing L . In contrast, $S_N = c_1 + c_2 \ln \ln t$ describes the data at intermediate times for all lengths with $c_{1,2}$ independent of L . In Sec. IV we then discuss the choice of initial states and argue, in particular, that for small system sizes quenches from the domain wall state or large q-states are more akin to local quenches. The $|\mathcal{I}\rangle$ state, on the other hand, leads to dynamics where the time range with $S \sim \ln t$ is extremely small for system sizes accessible by ED. In Sec. V we demonstrate that for the initial states and large disorder strengths where the authors find that a simple resonance model describes $S_N(t)$, the same holds also true for the entropy $S(t)$ and $S \approx S_N$. The dynamics stays entirely local in these cases and can be explained by single particle physics.

II. SATURATION VALUE OF NUMBER ENTROPY

In [1] the authors write "Saturation values of S_N are in all cases small and far from being ergodic. For example, a random half-filled state to which one would converge at long times in an ergodic system has $S_N \approx \frac{1}{2} \ln(e\pi L/8), \dots$ ". This is shown in Fig. 4 of their paper and argued to confirm the lack of ergodicity.

In Fig.1(a) we compare the saturation values of the number entropy taken from [1]—with values for smaller disorder added—with the 'ergodic value' above, which is, in fact, the value expected for a hypergeometric distribution of particles. In [1] \tilde{S}_N is the long-time average of

S_N which has been calculated by averaging data between $t \sim 10^6 - 10^7$ and $\bar{S}_N = -\sum_{n_A=0} \bar{p}_{n_A} \ln \bar{p}_{n_A}$, where \bar{p}_{n_A} are the long time averages of p_{n_A} , and $\tilde{S}_N \leq \bar{S}_N$ holds. One notices that the saturation values \tilde{S}_N are bound from above by the hypergeometric one including in the regime of very small disorder where no MBL is expected. Secondly, the decrease of the saturation value with disorder strength follows a smooth power-law with no indication of a phase transition. We have indicated the predicted transition points from several publications in the TDL. We therefore believe that Fig.1(a) rather supports the interpretation of a smooth crossover and that being below the hypergeometric value cannot be used to argue in favour of localization. This criticism is supported by Fig. 1(c) which shows that in the off-diagonal disorder case, known to be not localized, \tilde{S}_N is also well below the hypergeometric value for all finite system sizes.




FIG. 1. (a) Saturation values \bar{S}_N as function of disorder strength taken from [1] and supplemented with values \tilde{S}_N for smaller W taken from [6]. Note that \bar{S}_N and \tilde{S}_N become indistinguishable at large W on this scale. The vertical lines indicate different theoretical predictions of the critical disorder strength. (a - Ref.[10], b - Ref.[11], c - Ref.[8], d - Ref. [9]). (b) $\tilde{S}(L) \equiv S(t \rightarrow \infty)$ for the off-diagonal disorder case with a fit $\tilde{S} \sim \ln L$ [12–15], and (c) the corresponding $\tilde{S}_N(L) \sim \ln \ln L$ [5]. For our data shown in (a) we have averaged over 10000 disorder realizations and initial states for $L \leq 14$ and 3000 for $L > 14$. The data presented in (b,c) are averaged over at least 10000 disorder realizations and initial states.

Let us finally note that a decrease of the saturation

value with system size if one averages over all initial product states as shown in Fig. 4(b) in [1] has been observed previously [7, 16]. It is explained by a $1/L$ correction due to states with large number fluctuations which is already present in the non-interacting case [4]. To study the effect of interactions, S_N relative to the non-interacting case needs to be considered in this case.

III. POWER-LAW TIME-EVOLUTION OF THE NUMBER ENTROPY

The main result of Ref. [1] appears to be the prediction that the number entropy increases like a power law at intermediate times, followed by a system-size independent saturation. More precisely, the authors write in Eq. (14) of [1] that

$$S_N(t) = \text{const} - B/t \quad (1)$$

with a constant B . Using a quench from the Néel state as an example, we show that this prediction is false. Instead, the growth is well described for up to 4 orders of magnitude in time by

$$S_N(t) = c_1 + c_2 \ln \ln t \quad (2)$$

with constants $c_{1,2}$ which do not depend on system size. I.e., the growth is consistent with our earlier results presented in [3, 4, 6, 7].

In Fig. 2 we show the number entropy for a quench from the Néel state and a disorder strength $W = 5$. We note that reliable fits of the time dependence of $S_N(t)$ become virtually impossible for $W \gtrsim 10$ because the prefactor in Eq. (2) scales as $c_2 \sim 1/W^3$ and the saturation time increases exponentially with W , see Ref. [3] for details. Therefore double precision calculations are no longer sufficient for $W \gtrsim 10$ and if multi-precision is used, a huge number of samples would be needed to resolve the small increase. While fits by a power law do work reasonably well close to the finite-size saturation values, the power-law exponent α does depend on system size L and appears to approach zero for $L \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, the saturation value is not constant but rather increases $\sim \ln L$ (see also [4]). On the other hand, all data fall *onto a single* $\ln \ln t$ curve before saturation due to the finite size of the systems sets in.

In addition, we also show data for the Hartley entropy and $W = 8$ in Fig. 3. The Hartley entropy is defined in Ref. [7] and more easily allows to extract the scaling behaviour in time for very strongly disordered systems. The simple reason is that the Hartley entropy is larger than the number entropy so a proper scaling can already be extracted using a much smaller amount of samples than what would be needed for the number entropy. As for the number entropy, we find that the effective power-law exponent α is not equal to one as predicted by the theory in Ref. [1] but rather tends to zero with increasing system size. The saturation values of S_H are also not




FIG. 2. Quench from the Néel state for $W = 5$ and system sizes $L = 8, 10, \dots, 16, 20, 24$. The left lower panel shows the exponent α of power-law fits, the right lower panel the saturation value as a function of length. We have computed 2×10^5 disorder realizations for $L = 8$ and $L = 10$, 80000 for $L = 12$, 4000 for $L = 14$, 3000 for $L = 16$, 5300 for $L = 20$, and 1406 for $L = 24$. For $L > 16$ we used a Trotter-Suzuki decomposition as described in [6] with $\delta t = 0.035$.

constant but again increase logarithmically with system size. To summarize, the theory (1) does not describe the data. They are instead well described by (2).

IV. CHOICE OF INITIAL STATE

It is important to stress that Anderson localization is not about the localization of a particle whose motion is already restricted to a finite region of space. Rather, the particle is in principle able to move infinitely far away from its initial position; what is stopping it from doing so is that the effective coupling to another site falls off exponentially with distance while the energy mismatch only falls off like one over distance in one dimension [17]. Similarly, to study the possible existence of MBL one has to understand the occurrence of many-body resonances and avalanche instabilities which are global, not local, properties of the system [11].

To numerically investigate the question whether or not an MBL phase in the Heisenberg model does exist, it is thus important to start from an initial state in which a macroscopic number of particles are able to move. I.e.,




FIG. 3. Same as in Fig. 2 but for the Hartley entropy S_H and for larger disorder $W = 8$. We have computed 10^5 disorder realizations for $L = 8$ and $L = 10$, 20000 for $L = 12$, 10000 for $L = 14$, 2000 for $L = 16$.

initial states which do have *extensive* energy fluctuations ΔE^2 . This is the case, for example, for the Néel state used above and also for the vast majority of other product states. For this reason, almost all numerical studies of the MBL problem so far use the Néel state and/or randomly drawn product states as initial states. The authors of Ref. [1], on the other hand, choose in their numerical examples to support the resonance model in Sec. III very special product states as, for example, the domain wall state which has $O(1)$ energy fluctuations: only the particle at the edge of the domain wall is initially able to move. In particular, for all product states which are eigenstates of the total particle number operator \hat{N} the energy fluctuations can be simply quantified by the number of kinks in the state: $\Delta E^2 \sim N_{\text{kinks}}$. The Neel state has $N_{\text{kinks}} = L - 1$ and thus has extensive energy fluctuations while the domain wall state has $N_{\text{kinks}} = 1$ and therefore intensive energy fluctuations.

Another way to look at this issue is in terms of the difference between a global and a local quench: the domain wall state is an eigenstate of the Heisenberg model with the bond connecting the two domains removed. So the quench is effectively a local one. Typical initial product states, on the other hand, are not eigenstates of the Hamiltonian with a finite number of local modifications. It should be noted, furthermore, that the domain wall state remains partially frozen in a quench using the XXZ

model with $\Delta > 1$ even without any disorder [18].

The q -states considered—at least for $q > 2$ —fall, for the considered small system sizes, also into the category of atypical initial states with small energy fluctuations and an initial dynamics restricted to the boundaries of the finite domain wall. While these states will eventually show extensive fluctuations for fixed q and large system sizes L , a finite-size scaling was not attempted in [1] and the accessible system sizes are likely too small for the larger q -states to ever see typical dynamics.

The authors also consider the $|\mathcal{I}\rangle$ state which is the tensor product of the independent superposition of all states in subsystems A and B with half the particles in each subsystem. Here we note that while S_N indeed shows only very slow growth, the time range in which $S \sim \ln t$ is also extremely small, see Figs. 13, 14 in [1]. Thus these data, in our view, simply show that for this initial state the system sizes accessible in ED are not sufficient to make any statements about the scaling in the TDL.

V. RESONANCES AND QUENCHES FROM A DOMAIN WALL STATE

We have argued above that the domain wall state and the q -states are not suitable to investigate MBL physics because they show only local dynamics at strong disorder and for small system sizes. Here we will provide numerical data to support this point, taking the domain wall state as an example.

The authors of Ref. [1] base their theory of the growth of S_N on resonances between product states connected by the hopping of a single particle. As an example, they show in Fig. 3 of their paper results for quenches from the domain wall state for strong disorder for different specific disorder realizations. Depending on the chosen realization, jumps of $S_N(t)$ occur at different times that can be associated to certain resonances. The authors argue that averaging over disorder configurations then smooth out the jumps leading to an intermediate slow power-law increase of the number entropy. They argue that in this case, only very few states are involved in the dynamics of the system. We agree with this statement, however, it holds true also for the von Neumann entropy S , which unfortunately was not shown in [1]. Both quantities are nearly indistinguishable for the parameters chosen and saturate at short times. This is particularly obvious if one considers the configurational entropy $S_C = S - S_N$, see Fig. 4. S_C is extremely small for typical disorder configurations. We conclude that for this initial state, large disorder values $W = 15$ and higher, and the considered system sizes and time scales, only single particle dynamics is visible. The system is essentially Anderson localized and the entanglement entropy is caused by number fluctuations alone. While the oscillations at long time can indeed be studied using an effective m -state model, the observed behavior is not related to many-body localization.




FIG. 4. The analogue of Fig. 3 in [1]. For a quench from the domain wall state and strong disorder $W = 15$, not only the number entropy saturates quickly but also the von-Neumann entropy. (a) Rare disorder realization: A plateau is visible before the saturation value is reached. The inset shows $S - S_N$. (b) Typical disorder realization: The configurational entropy is extremely small. Note that on this scale S and S_N cannot be distinguished, see inset for $S - S_N$. Here typical means that we determined the median of 1000 realizations and selected one realization which oscillates around the median value.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

M.K-E., R.U., and M.F. acknowledge financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) via SFB TR 185, Project No.277625399. J.S. acknowledges support by the National Science and Engineering Council (NSERC, Canada) and by the DFG via Research Unit FOR 2316. The numerical simulations were executed on the GPU nodes of the high performance cluster “Elwetritsch” at the University of Kaiserslautern which is part of the “Alliance of High Performance Computing Rheinland-Pfalz” (AHRP) and on Compute Canada high-performance clusters. We kindly acknowledge the support of the RHRK and of Compute Canada.

-
- [1] R. Ghosh and M. Žnidarič, Resonance-induced growth of number entropy in strongly disordered systems, *Physical Review B* **105**, 144203 (2022).
- [2] Note that this structure is shown incorrectly in Fig. 1 of [1]. The blocks are along the diagonal and there is also a block with $n_A = 0$.
- [3] M. Kiefer-Emmanouilidis, R. Unanyan, M. Fleischhauer, and J. Sirker, Unlimited growth of particle fluctuations in many-body localized phases, *Annals of Physics*, 168481 (2021).
- [4] M. Kiefer-Emmanouilidis, R. Unanyan, M. Fleischhauer, and J. Sirker, Particle fluctuations and the failure of simple effective models for many-body localized phases, *SciPost Phys.* **12**, 34 (2022).
- [5] M. Kiefer-Emmanouilidis, R. Unanyan, J. Sirker, and M. Fleischhauer, Bounds on the entanglement entropy by the number entropy in non-interacting fermionic systems, *SciPost Phys.* **8**, 83 (2020).
- [6] M. Kiefer-Emmanouilidis, R. Unanyan, M. Fleischhauer, and J. Sirker, Evidence for unbounded growth of the number entropy in many-body localized phases, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 243601 (2020).
- [7] M. Kiefer-Emmanouilidis, R. Unanyan, M. Fleischhauer, and J. Sirker, Slow delocalization of particles in many-body localized phases, *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 024203 (2021).
- [8] A. Morningstar, L. Colmenarez, V. Khemani, D. J. Luitz, and D. A. Huse, Avalanches and many-body resonances in many-body localized systems, arXiv:2107.05642v2 (2021), arXiv:2107.05642 [cond-mat.dis-nn].
- [9] D. Sels, Markovian baths and quantum avalanches, arXiv:2108.10796v1 10.48550/ARXIV.2108.10796 (2021).
- [10] D. J. Luitz, N. Laflorencie, and F. Alet, Many-body localization edge in the random-field heisenberg chain, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 081103 (2015).
- [11] A. Morningstar, L. Colmenarez, V. Khemani, D. J. Luitz, and D. A. Huse, Avalanches and many-body resonances in many-body localized systems, arXiv:2107.05642v1 (2021), arXiv:2107.05642 [cond-mat.dis-nn].
- [12] F. Iglói, Z. Szatmári, and Y.-C. Lin, Entanglement entropy dynamics of disordered quantum spin chains, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 094417 (2012).
- [13] R. Vosk and E. Altman, Many-body localization in one dimension as a dynamical renormalization group fixed point, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 067204 (2013).
- [14] D. Pekker, G. Refael, E. Altman, E. Demler, and V. Oganesyan, Hilbert-glass transition: New universality of temperature-tuned many-body dynamical quantum criticality, *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 011052 (2014).
- [15] Y. Zhao, F. Andraschko, and J. Sirker, Entanglement entropy of disordered quantum chains following a global quench, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 205146 (2016).
- [16] D. J. Luitz and Y. B. Lev, Absence of slow particle transport in the many-body localized phase, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 100202 (2020).
- [17] E. Abrahams, ed., *50 Years of Anderson Localization* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2010).
- [18] J. Mossel and J.-S. Caux, Relaxation dynamics in the gappedXXZspin-1/2 chain, *New Journal of Physics* **12**, 055028 (2010).